



This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + *Refrain from automated querying* Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at <http://books.google.com/>





8°

A. 20.

238.

Jun.

CW .U .K .

X 585





ARCHBOLD'S
P R A C T I C E

OF

The Court of Queen's Bench,

IN

PERSONAL ACTIONS AND EJECTMENT.



The Seventh Edition,

By THOMAS CHITTY, Esq.,

OF THE INNER TEMPLE,

INCLUDING

THE PRACTICE

OF

The Courts of Common Pleas and Exchequer.



VOL. II.




LONDON:

S. SWEET, AND V. & R. STEVENS & G. S. NORTON,

Lathe Booksellers & Publishers:

AND MILLIKEN & SON, GRAFTON STREET, DUBLIN.

1840.



100

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

BOOK II.

PART I.

PROCEEDINGS UPON PLEAS IN ABATEMENT, &c.

PART II.

PROCEEDINGS UPON DEMURRER.

PART III.

PROCEEDINGS UPON NUL TIEL RECORDS.

	<i>Page</i>
SECT. 1. When a Record of the same Court is pleaded . . .	669
2. When a Record of another Court is pleaded . . .	672

PART IV.

PROCEEDINGS UPON JUDGMENT BY CONFESSION OR DEFAULT.

CHAP. I.—Judgment by Cognovit . . .	674
CHAP. II.—Judgment upon a Warrant of Attorney . . .	682
Sect. 1. The Warrant of Attorney . . .	<i>id.</i>
2. The Judgment . . .	692
3. Execution, &c. . .	698
CHAP. III.—Judgment by Default . . .	700
CHAP. IV.—Writ of Inquiry . . .	707
SECT. 1. Writ of Inquiry in ordinary Cases . . .	707—721
2. Reference to the Master . . .	721—723
3. Writ of Inquiry, in Debt on Bond . . .	723—729

BOOK III.

PART I.

PROCEEDINGS IN PARTICULAR ACTIONS.

	<i>Page</i>
CHAP. I.—Ejectment	730
Sect. 1. Proceedings in Ejectment, in ordinary Cases	730—769
2. Proceedings in Ejectment, on a vacant Possession	770—772
3. Proceedings in Ejectment by Landlord for Non-Payment of Rent	772—776
4. Proceedings in Ejectment by Landlord, under stat. 1 G. 4, c. 87	777—783
5. Proceedings in Ejectment by Landlord, under stat. 11 G. 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 70, ss. 36, 37	783—785
6. Action for Mesne Profits	785—787
CHAP. II.—Replevin	788
Sect. 1. The Distress	788—792
2. Replevin	792—814
CHAP. III.—Scire facias	815
Sect. 1. What, and in what Cases requisite	815—829
2. Proceedings upon	829—837

PART II.

PROCEEDINGS IN ACTIONS BY AND AGAINST PARTICULAR PERSONS.

CHAP. I.—Proceedings against Peers and Members of Parliament	838
Sect. 1. Proceedings against, in ordinary Cases	838, 839
2. Proceedings against Members subject to the Bankrupt Laws	839, 840
CHAP. II.—Proceedings by and against Corporations and Hundredors	841
Sect. 1. Proceedings by and against Corporations	841
2. Proceedings against Hundredors under the 7 & 8 G. 4, c. 71	842
CHAP. III.—Actions by and against Attornies and Officers of the Court, and against the Marshal or Warden.	
Sect. 1. Actions by Attornies and Officers	846, 847
2. Actions against Attornies and Officers	847—849
3. Action against the Marshal or Warden for an Escape, &c.	849, 850
CHAP. IV.—Proceedings by and against Prisoners.	
Sect. 1. Against Prisoners who have been held to Bail in the Action	851—860
2. By Prisoners generally	860—873
CHAP. V.—Actions by and against Executors or Administrators.	
Sect. 1. Actions by Executors or Administrators	874
2. Actions against Executors or Administrators	877
CHAP. VI.—Actions against an Heir or Devisee on the Bond, &c., of Ancestor.	
Sect. 1. Actions against Heirs	884
2. Actions against Devisees	888

Table of Contents.

v

	<i>Page</i>
CHAP. VII.—Actions by and against Infants.	
Sect. 1. Actions by Infants	889
2. Actions against Infants	892
CHAP. VIII.—Actions by and against Husband and Wife.	
Sect. 1. Actions by Husband and Wife	895
2. Actions against Husband and Wife	896
CHAP. IX.—Actions by and against Bankrupts or their Assignees.	
Sect. 1. Actions by Bankrupts or their Assignees	899
2. Actions against Bankrupts or their Assignees	902
CHAP. X.—Actions by and against Idiots and Lunatics	909
XI.—Actions against Justices of Peace, Constables, &c.	910
XII.—Actions against Clergymen	915
XIII.—Actions by Paupers	918
XIV.—Proceedings against Traders subject to the Bankrupt Laws	921

BOOK IV.

PART I.

PROCEEDINGS INCIDENTAL AND COLLATERAL TO THE ACTION.

CHAP. I.—Entry of process on roll to save the Statute of Limitations	922
CHAP. II.—Outlawry.	
Sect. 1. Upon Mesne Process	926—934
2. Upon Final Process	934, 935
3. Reversal of Outlawry	935—940
CHAP. III.—Removal of Prisoners into the custody of the Marshal or Warden	941
CHAP. IV.—Removal of Causes from inferior Courts, &c.	
Sect. 1. Removal of the Cause before Judgment	944
2. Removal of Judgments, Rules, &c., of Inferior Courts for the purpose of Execution	950
CHAP. V.—Claim of Conusance	954
VI.—Change of Venue	956
VII.—Striking out Counts, Pleas, unnecessary Averments, &c.	964
VIII.—Consolidating Actions	966
IX.—Payment of Money into Court	969
X.—Staying Proceedings	982
CHAP. XI.—Interpleader.	
Sect. 1. Relief of Persons in general against adverse Claims	999—1003
2. Relief of Sheriffs and other Officers against adverse Claims	1004—1011
CHAP. XII.—Security for Costs	1012
XIII.—Oyer of Deeds, &c.	1019
XIV.—Inspection and Copies, &c., of Instruments	1023
XV.—Particulars of Demand, Set-off, &c.	1028
XVI.—Compounding Penal Actions	1040
XVII.—Setting aside Proceedings for Irregularity, &c.	1042
XVIII.—Judgment of Nonpros	1052

	<i>Page</i>
CHAP. XIX.—Discontinuance	1057
XX.—Cassetur Breve	1060
XXI.—Putting off the Trial	1061
XXII.—Trial by Proviso	1065
XXIII.—Costs for not proceeding to Trial	1067
XXIV.—Judgment as in case of a Nonsuit	1070
XXV.—Nolle Prosequi, Retraxit	1081
XXVI.—Remittitur Damna	1085
CHAP. XXVII.—New Trial.	
Sect. 1. Cases in which a new Trial will be granted or not	1086
2. Mode of obtaining a new Trial	1098
3. The New Trial	1103
4. The Costs	1104
5. Venire de Novo	1106
CHAP. XXVIII.—Judgment non obstante veredicto	1108
XXIX.—Arrest of Judgment	1110
CHAP. XXX.—Amendment and Jeofails.	
Sect. 1. Amendment, &c., generally	1112
2. Amendment, &c., of particular Proceedings	1117
CHAP. XXXI.—Costs.	
Sect. 1. Statutes and Rules, as to	1138
2. Taxation of Costs	1162
3. Remedies for Costs	1168
CHAP. XXXII.—Entry of Suggestions upon the Roll	1170
XXXIII.—Death, Bankruptcy, &c., of Parties	1178
CHAP. XXXIV.—Motions and Rules.	
Sect. 1. Rules granted upon Motion by Counsel	1184
2. Rules granted without Motion by Counsel	1195
3. Enforcing Rules for Payment of Money, Costs, &c., under 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 18	1196
CHAP. XXXV.—Summonses and Orders	1198
XXXVI.—Affidavits	1207

PART II.

ARBITRATION.

SECT. 1. The Reference	1220—1227
2. The Award, &c.	1227—1239
3. Setting aside the Award	1239—1254
4. Enforcing Performance of Award	1255—1264

PART III.

Attachment	1262
----------------------	------

APPENDIX	1275
INDEX	1291

TABLE OF CASES CITED.

- | | |
|---|---|
| <p> AARON v. Alexander, 1153
 ——— v. Chaundry, 166, 200, 757
 Abbey v. Lill, 1174
 ——— v. Martin, 135
 Abbott v. Abbott, 165, 207
 ——— v. Blofield, 895
 ——— v. Greenwood, 693, 704, 1051
 ——— v. Parsons, 1809
 ——— v. Rawley, 619, 629
 ——— v. Rice, 88
 ——— v. Rugely, 301
 Abbotts v. Kelly, 126
 A'Becket v. Rawley, 607
 Aberdeen v. Newland, 690
 Abernethy v. Paton, 459, 1169
 Abraham v. Burne, 275
 ——— v. Cook, 317, 663
 ——— v. Newton, 240
 ——— v. Noakes, 716
 ——— v. Pugh, 360
 Ackland v. Paynton, 421
 ——— v. Pearce, 231
 Ackworth v. Kemp, 429, 430
 Acock v. Taylor, 194
 A'Court v. Swift, 353
 Actman v. Conway, 142
 Adam v. Bristol (Inhabitants of), 843, 1180
 ——— v. Kerr, 227
 Adams v. Bankart, 278, 675, 1222
 ——— v. Bridger, 904
 ——— v. Brown, 1017
 ——— v. Drummond, 156, 1035
 ——— v. Hughes, 1262
 ——— v. Luck, 120, 1118
 ——— v. Meredew, 380, 1111
 ——— v. Power, 284
 ——— v. Savage, 817, 821
 ——— v. Sparry, 405
 ——— v. Staton, 989
 Adamson v. Anon., 120
 ——— v. Jarvis, 324
 ——— v. Noel, 236 </p> | <p> Adcock v. Fisk, 931, 932, 939
 Adamthwaite v. Synge, 223
 Addingson v. Oakley, 657
 Addington v. Appleton, 1034
 ——— v. Clode, 1027
 Addison v. Foster, 597
 ——— v. Gray, 1238, 1244, 1249
 Ade v. Stubbs, 837
 Adeane v. Mortlock, 275
 Adlam v. Grinaway, 1142
 Adlame v. Colebatch, 939
 Adlard v. Booth, 974
 Adlington v. Appleton, 64
 Adnams v. Wilks, 367
 Affir v. Constable, 656
 Agar v. Bleghegn, 1002
 Ahitbol v. Benedetto, 266, 511
 Aike v. Hunkin, 352
 Aikenhead v. Blades, 422
 Aikman v. Conway, 158
 Ailway v. Burrows, 877, 1175
 Aires v. Hardress, 399, 818
 Aireton v. Davis, 408, 422, 683, 692
 Airey v. Fearnside, 324, 1110
 Aitcheson v. Cargey, 1239, 1243, 1250
 ——— v. Madock, 63
 Aitkin, Re, 61, 66
 Aland v. Mason, 893
 Alanson v. Walker, 1189
 Albin v. Toomer, 1269
 Alchin v. Hopkins, 690
 Alchin v. Wells, 412, 415, 552
 Alcock v. Cook, 959
 Aldborough (Lord) v. Burton, 1013
 Aldenburgh v. Peaple, 788, 791
 Alder v. Chip, 197, 1113, 1114, 1115
 ——— v. Park, 299
 ——— v. Savill, 1247
 Alderson v. Johnson, 1561
 Aldiss v. Burgess, 603
 Aldred v. Halliwell, 278
 ——— v. Hicks, 115 </p> |
|---|---|

- Aldridge *v.* Barry, 464
 ——— *v.* Buller, 926
 ——— *v.* Harper, 812
 Alebury *v.* Walby, 395
 Alexander *v.* Barker, 314, 1087, 1088
 ——— *v.* Dixon, 232
 ——— *v.* Gibson, 276
 ——— *v.* Lawson, 1156
 ——— *v.* Milton, 1212
 Alikén *v.* Howell, 1093
 Alison *v.* Furnival, 995
 Aliven *v.* Furnival, 478, 1168, 1194
 Allanson *v.* Atkinson, 452
 ——— *v.* Butler, 453
 Alldritt *v.* Kittridge, 899
 Allen *v.* Allen, 420, 1136
 ——— *v.* Gibbon, 1005, 1008
 ——— *v.* Gilby, 1022
 ——— *v.* Griffiths, 956, 962
 ——— *v.* Hicker, 790
 ——— *v.* Keyt, 593
 ——— *v.* Miller, 720
 ——— *v.* Moxey, 656
 ——— *v.* Newton, 1257
 ——— *v.* Pink, 293
 ——— *v.* Powell, 829
 ——— *v.* Shaw, 355
 ——— *v.* Snow, 642
 ——— *v.* Tap, 1027
 ——— *v.* Walker, 167
 Allenby *v.* Proudlock, 1153, 1159,
 1238, 1252, 1253
 Allerton *v.* Stockdale, 1132
 Allesbrook *v.* Roach, 228
 Allgood *v.* Howard, 854
 Allier *v.* Newton, 1264, 1269
 Allingham *v.* Flower, 542, 544
 Allingill *v.* Pearson, 1077
 Allington *v.* Vivasor, 1053
 Alloway *v.* Hill, 709
 Allport *v.* Baldwin, 237
 ——— *v.* Meek, 228
 Allsop *v.* Smith, 290
 Alner *v.* George, 299
 Alson *v.* Oxford, 82
 Alsop *v.* Oxford (Lord), 72
 Alston *v.* Underhill, 109, 560, 561,
 562, 565
 Altroffe *v.* Lunn, 853, 854, 858
 Alves *v.* Bunbury, 222
 Alworth *v.* Hutchinson, 932
 Alyson *v.* Byston, 831
 Ambrose *v.* Rees, 201, 1095, 1171
 Amery *v.* Smalridge, 400, 681, 698
 Ames *v.* Hill, 676
 ——— *v.* Milward, 1247
 ——— *v.* Ragg, 138, 1059
 Amey *v.* Long, 233, 235
 Amner *v.* Cattell, 962
 Amor *v.* Blofield, 1152
 Amos *v.* Hughes, 270
 Ampthill *v.* Semple, 166
 Ancell *v.* Sloman, 920
 Anderdon *v.* Alexander, 1045, 1048,
 1049
 Anderson *v.* Anderson, 175
 ——— *v.* Baker, 1209
 ——— *v.* Buckton, 1142
 ——— *v.* Carter, 1240
 ——— *v.* Calloway, 1006, 1007,
 1009
 ——— *v.* Chapman, 1158
 ——— *v.* Ell, 1137, 1185, 1192,
 1219
 ——— *v.* Fuller, 1252
 ——— *v.* George, 1092, 1104
 ——— *v.* Hampton, 529, 544
 ——— *v.* May, 78, 84, 231
 ——— *v.* Noah, 579, 1120
 ——— *v.* Shaw, 313
 ——— *v.* Sherwin, 1141
 ——— *v.* Ward, 894
 Anderton *v.* Alexander, 937
 Andrews *v.* Askey, 326
 ——— *v.* Harper, 830
 ——— *v.* Sharp, 508, 557, 1263
 ——— *v.* Linton, 370
 ——— *v.* Marris, 1014
 ——— *v.* Palmer, 1227
 ——— *v.* Palsgrave, 979
 ——— *v.* Sealey, 902
 ——— *v.* Thornton, 236, 254
 Audrioni *v.* Morgan, 488, 496
 Angle *v.* Alexander, 324
 Angus *v.* Coppard, 113, 118, 520,
 1164
 ——— *v.* Robilliard, 489
 ——— *v.* Wooton, 1007
 Anon. *v.* Bruce, 621
 ——— *v.* Clarke, 603
 ——— *v.* Costar, 580, 581
 ——— *v.* Hallett, 601
 ——— *v.* Harvey, 601
 ——— *v.* Nichols, 364
 ——— *v.* Pasman, 580
 ——— *v.* Phillips, 1096
 ——— *v.* Rennels, 632
 ——— *v.* Sexton, 35, 1138
 ——— *v.* Smith, 355, 527, 996
 ——— *v.* Warlters (1 Chit. R. 14), 114
 ——— *v.* White, 869
 Anott *v.* Redfern, 321
 Ansell *v.* Evans, 1222, 1223
 Anslee *v.* Liley, 1175
 Ausley *v.* Birch, 1061, 1063
 Ansterbury *v.* Morgan, 683
 Anthill *v.* Metcalfe, 1200
 Antrani *v.* Chace, 1255
 Apperley *v.* Morse, 207, 1074
 Appleton *v.* Bond, 695

- Appleton v. Bucks, 663
 Arbuckle v. Cowtan, 443
 — v. Price, 1229
 Archer v. Bamford, 255
 — v. Barnes, 1047
 — v. Dudley, 811
 — v. Ellard, 488
 — v. Frowde, 890
 — v. Garrard, 172, 179
 — v. Hale, 634, 812
 — v. Marsh, 1165
 — v. Snatt, 756
 Arden v. Connell, 711
 — v. Jones, 110, 111
 — v. Lamley, 347, 358
 — v. Mornington, 958
 — v. Tucker, 278
 Arding v. Flower, 527, 530
 Argent v. Reynolds, 116
 — v. St. Paul's (Dean of), 91, 92
 Argoll v. Cheney, 800, 805
 Ariel v. Barrow, 1052, 1054
 Armistead v. Philpot, 427
 Armitage v. Foster, 1009, 1010, 1011
 — v. Rigbye, 94, 622, 637,
 639, 640, 832, 833
 Armitt v. Breame, 1243
 Armstrong v. Lewis, 312
 — v. Marshall, 1249
 — v. Stratton, 502
 Arnell v. Weatherby, 400, 402, 1135
 Arnitt v. Garnett, 425
 Arnold v. Johnson, 313
 Arnold v. Squire, 716
 Arrayne v. Lloyd, 1001
 Arrowsmith v. Barford, 70
 — v. Le Mesurier, 532
 — v. Ingle, 115, 1200
 Arton v. Booth, 299
 Arundel v. Chitty, 480
 Arundell v. Arundell, 370
 Ashbrook v. Townley, 114
 Ashby v. Minnett, 192
 Ashey v. Flaxman, 1071
 Ashley v. Ashley, 1090
 Ashford v. Price, 85
 Ashlin v. Langton, 691
 Ashman v. Bowdler, 696
 Ashmore v. Fletcher, 624
 Ashton v. Hay, 237
 — v. Naull, 1148
 — v. Poynter, 876, 877, 1240,
 1249
 Ashwin v. Corbill, 210
 Ashworth v. Heathcote, 99, 1113,
 1198
 — v. Ryall, 106, 145, 513,
 514
 Askew v. Hayton, 948
 Aslett v. Abbott, 659
 Aslin v. Parkin, 785, 786
 Asmole v. Goodwin, 158
 Aspinall v. Smith, 161, 1035, 1047
 — v. Stamp, 460
 Asplin v. Gray, 957
 Asser v. Finch, 1144
 Assignees of — v. —, 1062
 Astley v. Goodjer, 408, 525, 858
 Astley v. Joy, 1238
 Astley v. Young, 1157
 Aston v. George, 1221, 1225, 1257
 Astree v. Palfreyman, 642
 Astrey's case, 261
 Atcheson v. Everitt, 1117
 Atherfold v. Beard, 224
 Atherton v. Hole, 348
 Athol (Earl of) v. Earl of Derby, 465
 Atkins v. Banwell, 914, 1173
 Atkins v. Lowther, 126
 — v. Meredith, 230, 1192
 — v. Palmer, 245
 — v. Seaward, 902
 — v. Wheeler, 378
 Atkinson, Ex parte, 304
 — v. Abraham, 1249
 — v. Baynton, 455, 456, 699
 — v. Bell, 1121
 — v. Bayntum, 1113, 1205
 — v. Lord Braybrooke, 321
 — v. Cleave, 126
 — v. Dickenson, 1063
 — v. Duckham, 177, 971
 — v. Jameson, 452, 531, 543,
 544
 — v. Matteson, 542, 544
 — v. Newton, 1135, 1136
 — v. Sadler, 959
 — v. Thompson, 495, 582, 1215
 Atkinson v. Warne, 269
 Atkyns v. Clare, 509
 Attbury v. Smith, 358, 359, 366
 Atterborough v. Hardy, 946
 Attorney-General v. Allgood, 174
 — v. Bulpit, 277
 — v. Carl Cass, 479
 — v. Diamond, 319
 — v. Dorkings, 479
 — v. Dummia, 918
 — v. Hull, 1063, 1064
 — v. Le Merchant, 230
 — v. Martin, 43
 — v. Sewell, 815
 — v. Skinners' Com-
 pany, 464
 Attwood v. Bonwich, 167
 — v. Rattenbury, 106, 514
 Atwill v. Baker, 295, 1127
 Atwood v. Burr, 396, 666, 924
 a 3

- Aubert *v.* Maize, 1247
 Augarde *v.* Thompson, 904
 Aust *v.* Fenwick, 1092
 Austen *v.* Fenton, 572
 ——— *v.* Gibbs, 1105
 ——— *v.* Howard, 793, 812
 Austerbury *v.* Morgan, 699
 Austin *v.* Crisby, 420
 ——— *v.* Debnam, 482, 1148
 ——— *v.* Grange, 1137, 1215
 ——— *v.* Hilliers, 327
 ——— *v.* Millward, 323
 Avenell *v.* Croker, 790, 791
 Axford *v.* Perrett, 810
 Aylett *v.* Harford, 620
 ——— *v.* Lowe, 1089
 Aylwin *v.* Todd, 1121
 Ayre *v.* Aden, 422
 Ayres *v.* Buston, 963
 ——— *v.* Wilson, 1113
- BACHELOR** *v.* Bigg, 1142
 Bacon *v.* Ashton, 184
 ——— *v.* Dubarry, 1222
 Badcock *v.* Beauchamp, 1006
 Baddeley *v.* Adams, 9, 576
 ——— *v.* Gilmore, 243
 ——— *v.* Shafto, 682
 ——— *v.* Oliver, 291, 332, 1174, 1176
 Baden *v.* Flight, 1113
 Badger, *Re*, 1247, 1249
 Badley *v.* Loveday, 1256, 1259
 Badnall *v.* Haley, 1018
 Baffle *v.* Jackson, 105
 Bagley *v.* Watkins, 96
 Bagnall *v.* Underwood, 236, 1166
 Bagshot *v.* Toogood, 738
 Baikie *v.* Chandless, 63, 219
 Baidon *v.* Pitter, 1174, 1176
 Bailey, *Ex parte*, 20
 ——— *v.* Bailey, 793
 ——— *v.* Cheeseley, 1256
 ——— *v.* Chitty, 1174
 ——— *v.* Dillon, 471
 ——— *v.* Huntler, 802
 ——— *v.* Jones, 67
 ——— *v.* Lechmere, 1255
 ——— *v.* Smeathman, 623
 Baille *v.* De Bernales, 1016
 Baillie *v.* Gazelet, 971, 976
 ——— *v.* Hole, 576, 635
 Baily *v.* Jones, 1186
 Bain *v.* De Vetry, 238
 Bainbrigge *v.* Purvis, 1073, 1079
 Bainbrigge *v.* Houlton, 1211, 1258, 1260
 Baker *v.* Brown, 327, 1091, 1130
 ——— *v.* Bulstrode, 347
 ——— *v.* Cooper, 135
- Baker *v.* Creswell, 1233
 ——— *v.* Davenport, 413, 454, 546, 555
 ——— *v.* Garrett, 813
 ——— *v.* Hall, 159
 ——— *v.* Hart, 648
 ——— *v.* Lade, 376, 800
 ——— *v.* Mills, 83
 ——— *v.* Money, 302
 ——— *v.* Neaver, 120, 353, 1134
 ——— *v.* Newbegin, 538
 ——— *v.* Ridgway, 303, 449, 456
 ——— *v.* Rue, 1264
 ——— *v.* Rye, 1204, 1264
 ——— *v.* Sydee, 416, 870, 1056
 ——— *v.* Townsend, 1223
 ——— *v.* Wells, 494
 Balbi *v.* Batley, 491
 Balden *v.* Temple, 452, 942
 Baldney *v.* Ritchie, 230
 Baldwin & Gwinn's case, 327
 ——— & Twine's case, 1130
 ——— *v.* Atkin, 688
 ——— *v.* Morgan, 619
 ——— *v.* Richards, 992
 ——— *v.* Tankard, 326
 Bale *v.* Hodgetts, 711, 1126, 1147
 Bales *v.* Wingfield, 437, 543
 Balgay *v.* Gardener, 126, 132
 Ball *v.* Blackwood, 983
 ——— *v.* Humlet, 199, 204
 ——— *v.* Stafford, 973
 Ballam *v.* Price, 455
 Ballantine *v.* Golding, 620
 ——— *v.* Taylor, 1149
 Ballantyne *v.* Wilson, 521
 Ballard *v.* Bennett, 352
 Balmano *v.* May, 489
 Balme *v.* Hutton, 433
 Balls *v.* Stafford, 616
 Banbury's (Lord) case, 464
 Banfil *v.* Leigh, 1240, 1255
 Bank of England *v.* Atkins, 722
 ——— *v.* Morris, 1124
 Banks *v.* Banks, 1230
 ——— *v.* Brand, 806, 916
 ——— *v.* Wright, 296, 1074
 Bannister *v.* Fisher, 1143
 Banting *v.* Jadis, 491
 Barber, *In re*, 496, 1217
 ——— *v.* Barber, 683, 693, 698
 ——— *v.* Bolt, 361
 ——— *v.* Fox, 50
 ——— *v.* French, 451
 ——— *v.* Mitchell, 414, 418, 432
 ——— *v.* Palmer, 302, 469, 848
 ——— *v.* Satchwell, 1020
 ——— *v.* Wilkins, 1077
 Barclay *v.* Faber, 480, 535
 ——— *v.* Hunt, 487
 Barford *v.* Nelson, 290

- Baring v. Christie, 364, 379
 Barker, Ex parte, 24
 —, Re, 65, 71
 — v. Braham, 458
 — v. Butler, 64
 — v. Dynes, 1010
 — v. Dyson, 1005
 — v. Forrest, 656
 — v. Gleadow, 164
 — v. London (Bishop of), 83
 — v. Phipson, 1005, 1007
 — v. Richardson, 1191
 — v. Weedon, 516, 517
 Barkie v. Dixie, 1091
 Barling v. Waters, 607
 Barlow v. Hall, 479, 535
 — v. Kaye, 1056, 1210
 — v. Leeds, 990
 Barnard v. Berger, 550, 552, 1264
 — v. Gostling, 35
 — v. Guy, 353, 1134
 — v. Higdon, 876
 — v. Lee, 422
 — v. Leigh, 428
 — v. Moss, 1147, 1148, 1237
 — v. Neville, 490
 — v. Symonds, 868
 Barnell v. Minot, 1222
 Barnes, Re, 63
 — v. Edgard, 1142
 — v. England (Bank of), 1003, 1010
 — v. Eyles, 849, 1120
 — v. Headley, 646
 — v. Jackson, 100, 137
 — v. Keiley, 150
 — v. Maton, 478
 — v. Pendry, 685, 686
 — v. Trompowsky, 225, 226
 — v. Ward, 465, 685
 — v. Winkler, 1174
 Barnesdall v. Stretton, 599
 Barnett v. Glossop, 185, 194, 298
 Barnett v. Harris, 854, 942
 — v. Newton, 160
 Barney v. Tubb, 1174, 1175, 1176, 1177
 Barnsley v. Archer, 474
 Barnstaple (Corporation of) v. Lathey, 224, 1026
 Barr v. Harper, 228
 — v. Satchwell, 833
 Barratt v. Price, 479, 480, 530, 533, 535
 Barrett v. James, 589
 — v. Moss, 72
 — v. Parry, 1231, 1236, 1244
 — v. Partington, 678, 679
 — v. Wilson, 1241
 Barrow v. Croft, 337, 339
 — v. Humphreys, 235
 Barrow v. Whitehead, 603
 Barrowe v. Poile, 434
 Barrudale v. Cutts, 526
 Barry v. Bebbington, 229
 Barry v. Goodman, 732
 — v. Perry, 1146
 — v. Rodney, 170
 — v. Rush, 1224
 Bartelot v. Burton, 804
 Bartholomew v. Goulding, 142, 143
 Barthrop v. Anderton, 902
 Bartlett, Ex parte, 38
 — v. Hebbes, 449, 464
 — v. Leighton, 301
 — v. Pentland, 402, 727, 815, 1170, 1172, 1177
 Barton v. Glover, 325
 — v. Hunter, 402
 — v. Miles, 1173
 — v. Ranson, 1257, 1259
 Bartrim v. Solyman, 833
 Bartrum v. Williams, 514
 Barwick, Re, 1268, 1269
 Barwise v. Russell, 727
 Basingstoke (Mayor of) v. Bonner, 469
 Baakett v. Barnard, 361, 655, 1186, 1192, 1207
 Bass v. Clive, 1016
 — v. Maitland, 1257
 Bassett v. Giblett, 79
 Basset v. Saller, 453
 Bastard or Burston v. Trutch, 858
 — v. Smith, 269
 — v. Trutch, 403
 Basten v. Carew, 772
 — v. Squires, 950
 Batchelor, v. Bigg, 1145
 — v. Ellis, 56, 350
 — v. Honeywood, 228
 Bate v. Bolton, 107, 122, 123, 1054, 1112, 1120
 — v. Hodgetts, 1173
 Bateman, Re, 60
 — v. Dunn, 486, 500, 504
 — v. Phillips, 1024, 1025
 — v. Smith, 1174
 Bates v. Barry, 476
 — v. Cook, 1234
 — v. Lockwood, 338, 360, 397
 — v. Maddison, 118
 — v. Pettipher, 205
 — v. Pilling, 418, 1152
 — v. Sturges, 900
 Batson v. M'Lean, 410, 464, 532
 — v. Meggatt, 412
 Batten v. Harrison, 716
 Baugh v. Craddocke, 48
 Baxter v. Bailey, 857
 — v. Morgan, 1012, 1013
 Bayes v. Forrest, 831
 Bayley, Ex parte, 24, 29, 60

- Bayley v. Beaumont, 460
 — v. Harman, 664
 — v. Jenners, 475
 — v. Taylor, 689
 — v. Thompson, 35, 45, 140, 164, 1138
 Baylis v. Dinely, 1154
 — v. Hayward, 660, 661
 Baylis v. Lucas, 306, 1089
 Baynton v. Harvey, 1005, 1008
 Bazzard v. Bonafield, 135
 Beaching v. Gower, 273
 Beacon v. Peck, 400, 447, 455
 Beadle v. Thompson, 385
 Beadmore v. Rattenbury, 923
 Beake v. Penn, 72
 Beal v. Langstaff, 66
 — v. Overton, 1007
 Bealy v. Sampson, 422
 Beal's bail, 581, 598, 603, 610
 Beames v. Cross, 1005
 Beamon v. Ellice, 277
 Beaumont v. Long, 820, 825
 Beaumont v. Cosin, 4
 Bean v. Elton, 1126
 Bear v. Binkus, 1748
 Bearcroft, Ex parte, 73
 — v. Burnham & Stone (Inhabitants of), 845
 Beardmore v. Carrington, 1090
 — v. Phillips, 600
 — v. Rattenbury, 923
 Beare v. Beecher, 373
 Beauchamp v. Tomkins, 931, 935
 Beaumont v. Steward, 1127
 Beaumont v. Dean, 1215
 — v. Mountain, 216
 Beavan v. Dawson, 1004
 — v. Robins, 455, 992
 Beawfage's case, 537, 538
 Beck v. Mordaunt, 63, 705
 — v. Sargent, 1234
 — v. Wells, 70
 Beckenden, Ex parte, 21
 Beckford v. Montague, 543, 551
 Beckford v. Welby, 524
 Beckham v. Knight, 675
 Bedam v. Clarkson, 1241
 Beddeley v. Shafto, 698
 Beddowe v. Holbrooke, 620
 Bedell v. Russell, 268, 269
 Bedford v. Gatfield, 179
 Bedford, Re Justices of, 1027
 Beecher v. Shurley, 370, 1057
 Beechey v. Side*, 910
 Beer v. Ward, 56
 Beerfield v. Petrie, 1094
 Beaton v. Beckett, 703
 Begbie v. Grenville, 169, 296, 670, 1070, 1073, 1075, 1194
 Belairs v. Poultney, 721
 Belb v. Wales, 1200
 Belbin v. Butt, 185, 190
 Belcher v. Smith, 1001
 Belchier v. Gansell, 1059
 Belifante v. Levy, 476
 Belither v. Gibbs, 483
 Belk v. Broadbent, 91
 Bell v. Bilton, 1235, 1236
 Bell v. D. Costa, 163
 — v. Foster, 582, 584, 598
 — v. Gate, 387
 — v. Gipps, 1243
 — v. Harrison, 201, 960
 — v. Jackson, 639, 831
 — v. Jacobs, 410, 464, 509, 531, 532
 — v. Mann, (Executor of Russell), 636
 — v. Oakley, 912
 — v. Potts, 312, 380
 — v. Taylor, 570, 1024
 — v. Thompson, 1093
 — v. Thrupp, 492
 — v. Vincent, 115
 Bellairs v. Poultney, 541, 1257
 Bellamont's (Lord's) case, 262
 Bellamy v. Say, 447
 Bellasis v. Hanford, 818
 Bellew v. Aylmer, 377, 336
 Bellis v. Beale, 1040
 Belloes v. Hanford, 817
 Bellotti v. Berella, 514
 Bellows v. Poultney, 1188
 Belshaw v. Marshall, 357
 Benbow's Bail, 583, 585
 Benmore v. Neck, 184
 Benn v. Denn, 990
 — v. Geary, 179
 Bennet v. Apperly, 915, 916
 — v. Francis, 979
 — v. Neale, 1056, 1141
 — v. Filkins, 538
 — v. Potter, 9, 166, 170, 576
 Bennet's case, 424
 Bennett v. Allcott, 1090
 — v. Coker, 876
 — v. Coster, 1155, 1156
 — v. Daniel, 675, 677, 678, 683
 — v. Nicholls, 358, 364
 — v. Pilkins, 538
 — v. Smith, 158, 1056
 — v. Thomson, 439
 Bennion v. Davison, 191, 491, 492
 Benson, Ex parte, 71
 — v. Frederick, 1090
 — v. Port, 1027
 — v. Schneider, 236
 Bent v. Baker, 275, 312
 — v. Benyon, 246, 266
 Bentham v. Chesterfield, 709

- Bentley v. Hooke, 1005
 Benton v. Bullard, 77, 82
 — v. Praed, 966
 — v. Sutton, 452, 453
 Benwell v. Black, 360
 — v. Hinxman, 1233
 — v. Oakley, 416
 Bentzing v. Scott, 281
 Berchere v. Colson, 575
 Berdoe v. Bloomfield, 361
 Beresford v. Cole, 821
 Berger v. Green, 721, 823, 1182
 Beriman v. Gilbert, 449
 Berkenhead v. Tanshaw, 69
 Berlington v. Southall, 1247
 Bernard v. Burner, 1176
 — v. Turner, 708
 — v. Winnington, 848
 Bernasconi v. Fairbrother, 985, 1004,
 1086, 1096
 Berridge v. Priestley, 659
 Berriman v. Gilman, 897
 Berrington's (Sir Charles) case, 1099
 Berrington v. Collis, 689
 — v. Parkhurst, 732, 787
 — v. Phillips, 323
 Berry v. Adamson, 532, 1152
 — v. Anderson, 163
 — v. Jenkins, 58
 — v. Pratt, 236
 — v. Wheeler, 442
 Berryman v. Wise, 85
 Berthen v. Street, 709, 756, 984
 Bertram v. Davis, 555, 556
 — v. Gordon, 1082, 1083
 Berwick v. Andrews, 1179
 — (Mayor of) v. Ewart, 201,
 1171
 — &c. (Mayor of) v. Shanks, 510
 — v. Symonds, 976
 Best, Ex parte, 1027
 — v. Argles, 1202
 — v. Gompertz, 361, 675, 698
 Beswick v. Thomas, 1009, 1010
 Betteley v. M'Leod, 235, 236
 Bettesworth v. Bell, 625, 941, 942,
 947
 Bettison v. Richards, 649
 Betts v. Applegarth, 164
 — v. Gibbons, 324
 — v. Kimpton, 825
 Bettyes v. Thompson, 451
 Bevan v. Bevan, 1211, 1260
 — v. Cheshire, 392, 892
 — v. Jones, 1096
 — v. Prothesk, 796, 946
 Beverley's case, 49, 909
 Beverley v. Lincoln Gas Company,
 842
 Bevis v. Lindsell, 718
 Bewfage's case, 423
 Bibbins v. Mantell, 826, 858
 Bickerton v. Lewis, 357
 Bicknell v. Longstaffe, 360
 Biddell v. Dowse, 1221, 1226
 — v. Smith, 956
 Biddlecombe v. Bond, 693
 Biddulph v. Gray, 870
 Bidgood v. Davies, 464
 — v. Way, 895
 Bidlake v. Carter, 695
 Bigg v. Dicks, 598
 Biggins v. Goode, 789, 791
 Biggs v. Bengier, 315, 701
 — v. Cox, 300, 900
 — v. Maxwell, 178
 Bigland v. Kelton, 1238
 — v. Robinson, 971
 Bignold v. Holding, 606
 — v. Lee, 561, 606
 Biles v. Wingfield, 408
 Bilke v. Havelock, 415
 Billing v. Flight, 1121
 — v. Kightley, 660
 — v. Pooley, 1121
 Billings, Ex parte, 39
 Binfield v. Maxwell, 511
 Bingham v. Dickie, 1120
 — d. Redhead v. Oakes, 756
 Binns v. Pratt, 120, 352
 Birbeck v. Hughes, 744
 Birch v. Pointer, 1162, 1163
 — v. Prodger, 479, 535
 — v. Sharland, 687
 — v. Triste, 355, 361, 389, 390
 Bircham v. Chambers, 577
 Birchere v. Colson, 627
 Bird v. Appleton, 316, 318, 1105, 1107
 — v. Bird, 1241
 — v. Cooper, 1241, 1245
 — v. Culmer, 878
 — v. Foster, 963
 — v. Higginson, 184, 662, 667, 1155,
 1156, 1158, 1160
 — v. Morse, 201, 961, 1171
 — v. Orm, 377, 892
 — v. Pegg, 350, 890, 892
 — v. Randall, 993
 Bird's bail, 589, 612
 Birkett v. Holmes, 1264, 1268
 Birn v. Bond, 542, 572
 Biron v. Phillips, 882
 Birt v. Barlow, 223, 1099
 — v. Roberts, 575, 628
 Birton v. Mandel, 1127
 Biscoe v. Kennedy, 929, 936
 Bishop v. Best, 360, 709
 — v. Bryant, 790, 791
 — v. Hatch, 916, 917
 — v. Hayward, 1122

- Bishop *v.* Hinxman, 1006, 1009
 ——— *v.* Marsh, 1174, 1175, 1177
 ——— *v.* Powell, 478, 1059
 ——— *v.* Stacy, 1112
 Blaaw *v.* Charters, 165, 206, 207, 715
 Black *v.* Cloup, 1189
 ——— *v.* Sangster, 1115, 1123, 1204
 ——— *v.* Thorne, 902
 Blackburn *v.* Kymer, 358, 364, 704
 ——— *v.* Peat, 37, 53, 94
 ——— *v.* Peate, 1200
 ——— *v.* Schoales, 980
 ——— *v.* Stupart, 455
 Blackett *v.* Crissop, 793
 Blackey *v.* Birmingham, 1132
 Blackford *v.* Hawkins, 559, 570, 1210
 Blackhurst *v.* Bulmer, 1092
 ——— *v.* Clinkard, 432
 Blackmore *v.* Flemyng, 321, 672, 709,
 710, 803, 804, 1121
 Blades *v.* Arundale, 421
 Blake *v.* Lawrence, 1036
 ——— *v.* White, 344
 Blakey *v.* Porter, 1024
 Blanchard *v.* Bramble, 914
 ——— *v.* Lilly, 1246
 Blanchenay *v.* Vandenburg, 331
 Bland *v.* Bland, 1090
 ——— *v.* Darley, 155, 196, 210
 ——— *v.* Delano, 1010
 ——— *v.* Drake, 485, 487, 496, 1208
 ——— *v.* Pakenham, 685
 ——— *v.* Swafford, 236
 ——— *v.* Warren, 1106
 Blandford *v.* De Tastet, 235
 ——— *v.* Foot, 482, 865
 Blaney *v.* Holt, 618
 Blatch *v.* Archer, 524, 530
 Blaydes, *Ex parte*, 905
 Blayer *v.* Baldwin, 396, 818
 Bleasdale *v.* Darby, 346, 357
 Blewitt *v.* Marsden, 163
 Blick *v.* Dymoke, 163
 Bligh *v.* Brewer, 681, 686, 687, 689,
 690
 Blissett *v.* Tenant, 200, 204, 294, 1127,
 1128
 Blogg *v.* Kent, 1023
 Blood *v.* Lee, 918, 919, 920
 Bloomfield *v.* Blake, 87, 88
 ——— *v.* Lake, 1083
 Bloor *v.* Cox, 616
 Blow *v.* Wyatt, 1067
 Bloxam *v.* Brown, 254
 ——— *v.* Hubbard, 899
 ——— *v.* Surtees, 797
 Bludwick *v.* Osborne, 182
 Blumfield *v.* Rosewith, 401
 Blumfield's case, 446
 Blundell *v.* Blundell, 60, 575, 603
 Blundell *v.* Hanson, 160, 165
 Blunt, *Ex parte*, 22, 30
 ——— *v.* Hislop, 69, 75
 ——— *v.* Morris, 157
 ——— *v.* Snedston, 373
 Board *v.* Parker, 847
 Boats *v.* Edwards, 118, 521, 1019
 Boddington *v.* Harris, 1264
 ——— *v.* Woodley, 505
 Boddy *v.* Leyland, 600
 Bodfield *v.* Bodmore, 515, 519
 Bodily *v.* Bellamy, 378, 385
 Bodington *v.* Harris, 1095, 1269
 Body's bail, 584
 Bogg *v.* Roe, 155, 196, 210
 Bohrs *v.* Sessions, 958, 960
 Boise's bail, 626
 Boissier *v.* London Assurance Com-
 pany, 1019
 Bolcot *v.* Hughes, 1080
 Bold's bail, 599, 604
 Boldero *v.* Gray, 570, 587, 588, 597
 Bolland *v.* Pritchard, 601
 Bollard *v.* Spencer, 875
 Bologne *v.* Vautrin, 48, 587
 Bolton *v.* Johnson, 587
 ——— *v.* Manning, 157, 1162, 1163
 Boman *v.* Noright, 653
 Bonafous *v.* Rybot, 984
 ——— *v.* Schoole, 845, 854, 1271,
 1273
 ——— *v.* Walker, 325, 452, 849
 Bond *v.* Bailey, 297, 708, 1176, 1177
 ——— *v.* Evans, 560
 ——— *v.* Isaac, 474, 626, 635, 830
 ——— *v.* Rust, 316
 ——— *v.* Smart, 160, 168, 654, 1099
 ——— *v.* Turner, 1134
 ——— *v.* Dawe, 1140, 1141, 1143, 1145
 Bones *v.* Punter, 167, 180
 Bonfield *v.* Milner, 1115, 1120
 Bonnefor *v.* Russell, 570, 1017
 Bonner, *Re*, 66
 ——— *v.* Charlton, 1260
 ——— *v.* Liddell, 1241
 Boodle *v.* Davies, 1237, 1253
 Boomer *v.* Mellor, 1268
 Booth *v.* Beard, 356
 ——— *v.* Blundell, 227
 ——— *v.* Booth, 817
 ——— *v.* Drake, 1142, 1143
 ——— *v.* Garnett, 1246
 ——— *v.* Holt, 876
 ——— *v.* Howard, 980, 1036, 1039
 ——— *v.* Middelcoat, 1084
 ——— *v.* Parker, 334, 679
 Boothby (*Executors of*) *v.* Buller, 489
 Boothman *v.* Surrey (*Earl of*), 452,
 551
 Border *v.* Levi, 515

- Berer v. Baker, 857**
Borrowdale v. Hitchener, 1260, 1261
Borthwick v. Ravenscroft, 1209
Borwick v. Walton, 553
Bosanquet v. Pillia, 489, 490
 — v. Roudeau, 121
Bosc v. Solliers, 1214
Boaler v. Levy, 515
Bostock v. White, 476, 487, 492, 493
Boswell v. Atkins, 579
 — v. Roberts, 114, 115
Bosworth v. Phillips, 185, 196, 210
Botterill, Ex parte, 905
Bottomby v. Belchambers, 1212
Boucher v. Lawson, 1038
 — v. Sima, 1270
Bouhey v. Webb, 868
Boughton v. Frere, 104, 106
Boulsworth v. Pilkington, 419
Bourchier v. Wittle, 1118
Bourdeaux v. Rowe, 239
Bourne v. Church, 1061, 1062
Bousefield v. Godfrey, 925
Boustead v. Scott, 1012
Boutchet v. Kittoe, 1212
Boutefleur v. Coats, 568
Bonter v. Ford, 701
Boutillier v. Thick, 1249
Bowden, Ex parte, 86
 — v. Horne, 710, 1081, 1083, 1084
 — v. Waithman, 16
Bowditch v. Slaney, 112, 983
Bowdler v. Smith, 1009, 1010
Bowen v. Barnett, 482
 — v. Brambridge, 406, 1009, 1010
 — v. Shapcott, 656
Bower, Re, 1257, 1269
 — v. Hill, 1105
 — v. Kemp, 655, 706
Bowerbank v. Walker, 950
Bowering v. Bignold, 960
Bowers v. Mann, 371, 383
Bowington v. Parry, 983
Bowker v. Nixon, 647
Bowler v. Smith, 1008
Bowler v. Browne, 35, 36
 — v. Jenkin, 206
 — v. Owen, 475
Bowles, Ex parte, 77
 — Trustees, Ex parte, 71
 — v. Bilton, 96, 138, 144, 1043
 — v. Edwards, 159
 — v. Fuller, 971, 985
 — v. Johnson, 232, 233, 235
 — v. Jones, 237
 — v. Langworthy, 225
Bowling v. Pritchard, 509
Bowman v. Mauziemann, 232
 — v. Willia, 275
Bowring v. Pritchard, 510
- Bowser v. Austin, 127**
Bowfield v. Tower, 566, 633
Bowyear v. Bowyear, 991
Bowyer v. Hoskins, 468
Bowyer v. Kemp, 654
 — v. Rivitt, 821, 887
 — v. Taylor, 1126
Box v. Bennett, 346, 361
Boxer v. Rabith, 227
Boyd's bail, 584
Boyd v. Emerson, 1231
 — v. Straker, 1213
Boyes v. Twist, 209
Boyfield v. Porter, 978
Boyle, Ex parte, 794
Boyne, Ex parte, 530
Boya v. Ancell, 283, 285, 325, 481
 — v. Durand, 520, 524, 530
 — v. Edmead, 892, 18
Boyton's case, 452
Bozannett, Ex parte, 905
Bozon v. Falconer, 601
 — v. Williams, 899
Brace v. Pennoyer, 824
Bracebridge v. Johnston, 509
Braceby v. Dalton, 52
Brackenbury v. Laurie, 14, 1005, 1006
 — v. Needham, 501, 502
 — v. Pell, 811
Bradburn v. Taylor, 50, 370
Bradbury v. Evans, 965
Braddick v. Thomson, 1248
Braddock v. Smith, 1002
Bradford v. Bryan, 1244
Bradham v. Taylor, 1117
Bradley v. Bailey, 638, 1 35, 1136
 — v. Breach, 56
 — v. Webb, 868, 870
 — v. Wyndham, 407
Bradney v. Hasseldine, 736
Bradshaw v. Barton, 47
 — v. Davis, 609, 1118
 — v. Mottram, 1040
 — v. Saddington, 491
Brady v. Veeres, 946
Bragg v. Hopkins, 1005, 1007
Braguer v. Langmead, 338, 396, 397
Braham v. Browne, 1174
Braine v. Hunt, 1006, 1191
Braithwaite v. Montford (Lord), 113, 923
 — v. Watts, 338, 339
Bramah v. Roberts, 865, 1113
Bramall, Ex parte, 62
Brame v. Hunt, 1007
Bramridge v. Adshhead, 1008
Bramwell v. Farmer, 619
Brand v. Mears, 419, 420
 — v. Rich, 140
Brander v. Penteaze, 1257

- Brandlin *v.* Millbank, 821, 835
Brandling *v.* Kent, 546
Brandon *v.* Brandon, 1257
—— *v.* Davis, 626, 855
—— *v.* Henry, 607
—— *v.* Payne, 157, 654
—— *v.* Robson, 409, 482
—— *v.* Webb, 653
Branning *v.* Patterson, 722
Branquin *v.* Perrott, 725
Branscombe *v.* Bridges, 791
—— *v.* Hughes, 387
Branson, *In re*, 70, 71
Branthwaite *v.* Blackerby, 468
Braswell *v.* Jeco, 1134
Bray's case, 222
Bray *v.* Haller, 655
—— *v.* Hine, 46
—— *v.* Yates, 1265
Brazier *v.* Bryant, 1248
—— *v.* Jones, 849, 1120
Brazil (Emperor of) *v.* Robinson, 1013
Breach *v.* Casterton, 1092
Brealey *v.* Andrews, 1122
—— *v.* Holt, 609
Brecon *v.* Smith, 1036, 1038
Brennan *v.* Egan, 166
Brenton *v.* Lawrence, 153, 848
Bretherton *v.* Osborne, 300, 301
Brett *v.* Beales, 216
Brettargh *v.* Dearden, 957
Bretton *v.* Prat, 1241
Brewer *v.* Turner, 348
Brewster *v.* Meaks, 836
Brian *v.* Stretton, 126
Briant *v.* Eicke, 281, 282
Bricheno *v.* Thorp, 56
Brickill *v.* Hulse, 220, 221
Brickline *v.* Smallwood, 478
Brickwood *v.* Annis, 634
Bridge *v.* Grand Junction Railway
Company, 193
Bridger *v.* Austen, 141
Bridges *d.* Brydges *v.* Fisher, 24, 25
—— *v.* Francis, 73
—— *v.* Smith, 88, 822
—— *v.* Williamson, 984
Bridget *v.* Mills, 905
Bridgett *v.* Coyney, 532
Bridgman, *Ex parte*, 38
—— *v.* Congreave, 519
—— *v.* Curgenvin, 507
—— *v.* Jennings, 223
Bridgwood *v.* Wynn, 1101
Bridier *v.* Thomas, 347
Briggs *v.* Bowgin, 1140, 1145
—— *v.* Dixon, 253
—— *v.* Evelyn, 910
—— *v.* Richardson, 637
—— *v.* Sharp, 1204
Bright *v.* Downell, 1226
—— *v.* Eynon, 264, 1089, 1104
—— *v.* Jackson, 1155
Brill *v.* Neele, 711
Brind *v.* Dale, 191
—— *v.* Torris, 206, 715
Briscow *v.* Beckett, 1050
Bristol (Mayor of) *v.* Anon., 201
—— (Mayor of, &c.) *v.* Proctor
959
Bristow *v.* Binns, 1226
—— *v.* Waddington, 378
Bristow's (Bishop of) case, 443
British Museum *v.* White, 263
Brittain *v.* Greenville, 920, 991
Britten *v.* Britten, 664
Britten *v.* Teasdale, 468
—— *v.* Waite, 690
Britton *v.* Cole, 448
Broadbent *v.* Shaw, 1144
Broadhead *v.* Marshall, 1094
Broadhurst *v.* Baldwin, 979
—— *v.* Darlington, 1247, 1249
Brocas *v.* London (City of), 201, 1171
Brocher *v.* Pond, 397, 404, 405, 419,
454
Brogden *v.* Marriott, 664
Broggref *v.* Hawke, 1168
Bromfield *v.* Archer, 482
Bromhead *v.* Beaumont, 217
Bromley *v.* Foster, 1099, 1208
—— *v.* Littleton, 833
—— *v.* Peck, 478
Brook *v.* Colman, 490
—— *v.* Edridge, 112, 122
—— *v.* Fearn, 1261
—— *v.* Finch, 248, 1108, 1110,
1124, 1127, 1128
—— *v.* Lawrence, 196
—— *v.* Trist, 489
Brookbaird *v.* Woodley, 228
Brooke *v.* Booth, 827
—— *v.* Bryant, 48, 466
—— *v.* Clarke, 325
—— *v.* Manning, 50
—— *v.* Middleton, 1087, 1098
—— *v.* Stone, 548
—— *v.* Willett, 1155
—— *v.* Humphries, 664
—— *v.* Hutchinson, 471
Brookhouse *v.* Derbyshire (Sheriff of)
542
Brooks *v.* Bridges, 787
—— *v.* Clark, 491
—— *v.* Farlar, 1030, 1211
—— *v.* Hayne, 69
—— *v.* Mason, 74
—— *v.* Rigby, 1151
—— *v.* Till, 714
—— *v.* Warren, 628

- Broom v. Stille, 141
 Broomfield v. Smith, 189
 Brough v. Scarby, 1071
 Broughton v. Jeremy, 1018
 — v. Langley, 759
 — v. Manchester Water Works
 Company, 842
 — v. Martin, 873, 1182
 Brown's case, 468, 469
 Brown v. Ahrenfeldt, 585
 — v. Austin, 163
 — v. Carrington, 954
 — v. Croley, 876
 — v. Crowley, 876
 — v. Croydon Canal Company,
 1245, 1246, 1247
 — v. Crump, 1120
 — v. Davis, 477
 — v. Dean, 281
 — v. Garnier, 492
 — v. Gerard, 16
 — v. Gibbons, 42, 1146
 — v. Gilles, 622
 — v. Goodman, 1226, 1232, 1255
 — v. Granville, (Ld.), 261, 349,
 361
 — v. Hodgson, 1035, 1038
 — v. Holt, 690
 — v. James, 182
 — v. Jarvis, 408, 524, 543, 544,
 569
 — v. Jenks, 1265
 — v. Jennings, 559
 — v. Kennedy, 1075
 — v. Knill, 1095
 — v. Messiter, 720
 — v. Murray, 1061
 — v. Otley, 314, 1066
 — v. Probert, 1191
 — v. Rivera, 447
 — v. Rose, 1025
 — v. Rudd, 1071
 — v. Seymour, 327
 — v. Shaker, 885, 886, 887
 — v. Vawser, 1240
 — v. Watts, 1034, 1035
 — v. Wright, 1017
 Brownsac, Ex parte, 62
 Browne v. Browne, 365
 — v. Hammond, 1118, 1136
 — v. Murray, 272, 280
 — v. Pearce, 818
 — v. Renouard, 954, 955
 Browning v. Alwyn, 1024
 — v. Dann, 788
 Bruce v. Rawlins, 709, 1090, 1091
 Bruckshaw v. Hopkins, 961
 Brunskill v. Giles, 308
 Brunton v. White, 969
 Brutton v. Burton, 676, 682, 683
 Bryant v. Wagstaffe, 230, 237, 235
 Bryant v. Woodward, 474, 475
 — v. Clutton, 194
 —, Re, 10, 11, 12
 — v. Skey, 1009, 1010
 — v. Perring, 300
 — v. Wagner, 918, 919
 Brydges v. Fisher, 243
 — v. Smith, 458
 — v. Walford, 414, 437
 Buchanan v. Alders, 360, 619
 Bucher v. Jarratt, 231
 Buckingham v. Backs, 1062
 — v. Francis, 409
 Buckle v. Bewes, 327, 415, 417, 439,
 1161
 — v. Hollis, 318, 320
 — v. Roach, 57, 995
 Buckler v. Rawlings, 55, 169
 Buckley v. Buckley, 750
 — v. Collier, 895
 — v. Hollis, 1103
 — v. Nightingale, 885
 — v. Smith, 226
 Buckton v. Frost, 901
 Buckworth v. Levy, 491
 Budd v. Graham, 512
 Buddle v. Wilson, 803, 804
 Buffle v. Jackson, 516
 Build v. Wightman, 686
 Bulkley v. Butler, 310
 Bull v. Pinkus, 253
 — v. Turner, 616
 — v. Wheeler, 880
 Buller's bail, 612
 Buller v. Lusitano, 355
 — v. Upton, 1052
 Bullman v. Callow, 1209, 1210
 Bullock v. Morris, 478, 568
 — v. Parsons, 1128
 Bullythorpe v. Turner, 801
 Bulman v. Birkett, 73
 Bulmer v. Marshall, 950
 Bulnois v. M'Kenzie, 1028, 1031
 Bulwer v. Horne, 981
 Bumps, Ex parte, 29, 30
 Buncombe v. Love, 115
 Bunyan v. Yerbury, 1077
 Burchall v. Bellamy, 1166, 1237
 Burchell v. Clark, 1168
 Burford v. Holloway, 611
 Burden v. Cox, 290
 Burders v. Shorter, 234
 Burdett v. Colman, 662, 1062
 — v. Wheatley, 370, 392
 Burgess v. Cuthill, 275
 — v. Royle, 716
 — v. Swayne, 1083
 Burghart v. Ranlagh (Lord), 141
 Burks v. Main, 637
 Burleigh v. Harris, 351
 — v. Bethune, 914

- Burleigh *v.* Stevens, 1232, 1233, 1240
 Burmester *v.* Hilch, 977
 Burn *v.* Carvalho, 378, 379
 — *v.* Middlesex (Sheriff of), 542
 — *v.* Passmore, 47
 Burnard *v.* Moss, 1141
 Burne *v.* Aguilar, 622
 — *v.* Richardson, 785, 788
 Burnell *v.* Martin, 482
 Burnett *v.* Holden, 823
 — *v.* Lynch, 227
 Burney *v.* Moxal, 298
 Burr *v.* Attwood, 56, 348, 353, 817
 Burrell *v.* Nicholson, 224, 962
 Burrough *v.* Martin, 276
 — *v.* Skinner, 978
 Burroughs *v.* Clarke, 1236
 — *v.* Stevens, 1133
 — *v.* Willis, 959
 Burrows *v.* Unwin, 288
 Burslem *v.* Fern, 524
 Burstall *v.* Homer, 313, 976
 Burston or Bastard *v.* Trutch, 858
 Burt, Re, 1252
 — *v.* Jackson, 113
 — *v.* Owen, 497, 1218
 — *v.* Walker, 226
 — *v.* Wigmore, 1242
 Burton, Ex parte, 838, 839
 — *v.* Campbell, 1177
 — *v.* Chatterton, 70
 — *v.* Green, 431
 — *v.* Harrison, 1071
 — *v.* Haworth, 502
 — *v.* Hickey, 714, 800, 806
 — *v.* Kirkby, 676, 682
 — *v.* Thompson, 1104, 1096
 — *v.* Wigmore, 1242
 Busby & Milfield's case, 336
 Buscall *v.* Hogg, 1104, 1087
 Bush *v.* Bates, 483
 — *v.* Parker, 168
 Bushby *v.* Fearon, 1174
 Bushell's case, 289
 Bushell *v.* Yallar, 348
 Buszard *v.* Capel, 788
 Butcher *v.* Butcher, 731
 — *v.* Green, 1167
 — *v.* Kierman, 1071
 — *v.* Porter, 376
 Butchers' Company *v.* Jones, 273
 Butler's bail, 597
 Butler *v.* Bulkley, 334, 704, 1151, 1179
 — *v.* Carver, 273
 — *v.* Cohen, 109, 130
 — *v.* Delt, 824
 — *v.* Dorant, 1087
 — *v.* Hobson, 1165
 — *v.* Inneys, 920
 — *v.* Johnson, 164, 206, 207
 — *v.* Mapp, 1082
 Butt *v.* Conant, 872
 — *v.* Deschamp, 881
 — *v.* Moore, 482
 Butt *v.* Vine, 471
 Buttemer *v.* Hayes, 194
 Butter *v.* Brown, 980
 — *v.* Hobson, 901
 Butterson *v.* Furber, 808, 1160
 Butterworth *v.* Crabtree, 296, 1074
 Buxom *v.* Hoskin, 1134
 Buxton *v.* Home, 453
 — *v.* Lawton, 646, 1062
 — *v.* Mardin, 402, 697, 826
 — *v.* Mingay, 1145
 — *v.* Spiers, 1193
 Buzzard *v.* Bousfield, 853
 Byers *v.* Whittaker, 114
 Byfield *v.* Street, 120, 534, 535, 1119
 Bygrove *v.* Bolland, 361
 Byland *v.* King, 488, 490
 Byles *v.* Walter, 1200
 — *v.* Wilton, 48, 49, 468
 Byrne *v.* Aguilar, 629
 — *v.* Harvey, 230
 Byrom *v.* Johnson, 709
 CADBY *v.* Parsons, 541
 Cadell *v.* Smart, 89, 460
 Cadogan *v.* Kennett, 430, 431
 Caffin *v.* Idle, 696
 Cailland *v.* Champion, 962
 Cain *v.* Molineaux, 466
 Calcraft *v.* Gibbs, 1087
 Caldwell *v.* Blake, 106, 514
 Call *v.* Dunning, 225
 Call *v.* Thelwell, 569, 570, 571
 Callan *v.* Tye, 569
 Calland, Ex parte, 39, 40
 Callard *v.* Paterson, 1261
 Callen *v.* Meyrick, 434
 Calliand *v.* Vaughan, 1061
 Callum *v.* Leeson, 482, 489, 493, 511
 Calveray *v.* De Miranda, 618
 Calverley *v.* Bieseley, 50
 Calvert *v.* Baker, 190, 192
 — *v.* Canterbury (Abp. of), 229
 — *v.* Everard, 1176
 — *v.* Gordon, 256, 714
 — *v.* Joliffe, 971
 — *v.* Redfearn, 1257, 1258, 1264
 — *v.* Tomlin, 338, 397, 678, 679, 688, 693, 1182
 Calye *v.* Lyttleton (Lord), 160
 Camden *v.* Edie, 349, 361, 1264
 Cameron *v.* Gray, 958
 — *v.* Lightfoot, 468, 469, 526
 — *v.* Reynolds, 314, 436, 437, 1111
 Campbell *v.* Ackland, 624
 — *v.* Cumming, 404, 405, 637, 1135

- Campbell v. French, 377
 ——— v. Richards, 276
 ——— v. Twemlow, 1240, 1248
 Candell v. Shaw, 895
 Candler v. Candler, 44
 ——— v. Fuller, 1235, 1236, 1238, 1249
 Cane v. Spinks, 1033
 Canham v. Fisk, 1105
 Cann v. Facy, 327, 1141
 Canning v. Davis, 513, 514
 ——— v. Wright, 358
 Cannon v. Abbot, 349
 Cantellow v. Freeman and Trueman, 477
 Canterbury (Archbishop of) v. Robertson, 319, 727, 729
 ——— v. Tubb, 1020, 1021
 Cautwell v. Stirling (Earl of), 105, 657, 838
 Capell v. Staines, 1164
 Capello v. Brown, 111
 Capen v. Bond, 168
 Capper v. Dando, 692, 693, 696, 698
 Capron v. Archer, 357, 619
 Cardozo v. Hardy, 157, 321, 723
 Carew v. Edwards, 906
 ——— v. Winslow, 722
 Cargey v. Aitcheson, 1243, 1244, 1256
 Carlile v. Parkins, 422
 Carlisle v. Eady, 274
 ——— v. Starr, 473
 Carlton v. Mortagh, 373
 Carmach v. Gundry, 147, 964
 Carmichael v. Hockin, 1253, 1254
 ——— v. Hunter, 1254
 Carnaby v. Welby, 194, 992
 Carne v. Legh, 993
 ——— v. Nicoll, 305
 Carpenter v. Marnell, 899
 ——— v. Waite, 221
 Carr v. Burdiss, 227, 231
 ——— v. Roberts, 693
 ——— v. Shaw, 120, 1017, 1118
 Carraway v. Harrington, 410
 Carrett v. Smallpage, 509, 531
 Carrington's bail, 604, 609
 Carruthers v. Graham, 718
 ——— v. Payne, 903, 906
 Carson v. Dowling, 106
 Carstairs v. Stein, 647, 1098
 Carter's bail, 585, 602
 ——— case, 23
 ——— v. Fish, 1143, 1146
 ——— v. Fossatt, 1179
 ——— v. Hart, 478
 ——— v. Jones, 269
 ——— v. Southall, 1189
 ——— v. Uppington, 1063
 Cartwright v. Blackworth, 1189, 1241
 ——— v. Cook, 1155, 1159
 ——— v. Wheeler, 477
 Carunce v. Rigby, 494
 Cary v. Hinton, 127
 Casbourn v. Ball, 913
 Cash v. Wells, 417, 704
 Cass (Lady) v. Title, 349, 353, 1132, 1134
 Casseldine v. Munday, 351, 352, 370, 392, 410, 446
 Cassell, Re, 1234
 Cassen v. Bond, 654
 Castell v. Bambridge, 262
 Castle v. Burditt, 93, 910, 911
 ——— v. Sowerby, 1189
 Caswell v. Coare, 632
 ——— v. Martin, 143, 522, 1046
 ——— v. Norman, 379
 Cates v. Knight, 1
 ——— v. West, 349, 354, 361
 ——— v. Winter, 230
 Cathrow v. Haggard, 492
 Catlin v. Bowling, 985
 ——— v. Elliot, 966
 Catmur v. Knatchbull, 1259, 1267
 Cattarns v. Player, 514, 897
 Cattle v. Andrews, 315
 Cave v. Aaron, 163
 ——— v. Massey, 354, 361
 ——— v. Price, 550
 Cavanaugh v. Collett, 413, 454, 546, 551, 555
 Cawdor (Earl) v. Lewis, 787
 Cawthorne v. Holbin, 682
 Cayme v. Watts, 1243
 Cazenove v. Vaughan, 220
 Cecil v. Briggess, 996
 Ceeley v. Hoskins, 376
 Chace v. Westmore, 1249
 Chadwick v. Battye, 539
 ——— v. Hugh, 43
 Chaffers v. Gover, 1189
 Chalkeley v. Carter, 107, 116, 119, 1045, 1046
 Chalon v. Anderson, 1006
 Chaman v. Brown, 759
 Chamberlain v. Chamberlain, 1012
 ——— v. Williamson, 1178, 1179
 Chambers v. Bernasconi, 480, 502, 903
 ——— v. Caulfield, 1090
 ——— v. Donaldson, 37, 996
 ——— v. Robinson, 482, 483, 1097
 ——— v. Ward, 489, 490
 Charneronzou, Ex parte, 62
 Chamier v. Clingo, 785
 Champernowne (Sir R.) v. Godolphin (Sir R.), 347
 Champion v. Crawshaw, 709
 ——— v. Gilbert, 487
 Champneys v. Hamlin, 140

Chancey *v.* Needham, 688
 Chandler *v.* Beswold, 248
 ——— *v.* Parkes, 893
 Chanter *v.* Leese, 285
 Chapman, *Ex parte*, 21
 ——— *v.* Eland, 514, 1047
 ——— *v.* Haw, 87
 ——— *v.* Hicks, 169
 ——— *v.* House, 719
 ——— *v.* Maddison, 508, 509, 1263
 ——— *v.* Partridge, 1105
 ——— *v.* Pointer, 235, 237
 ——— *v.* Ryall, 110, 519
 ——— *v.* Snow, 503, 635, 1046
 ——— *v.* Vandevelde, 478
 Chapple *v.* Durston, 1105
 Charges *v.* Farhall, 1203
 Charlesworth *v.* Rudgard, 910
 Charleton *v.* Morris, 566, 633
 Charlton's case, 1267
 ——— *v.* Burfitt, 252
 ——— *v.* Fletcher, 687
 Charnley *v.* Winstanley, 1227
 Charnock, *Ex parte*, 69
 ——— *v.* Lumley, 1023
 ——— *v.* Smith, 94, 295
 Charrington *v.* Laing, 325, 681
 ——— *v.* Meatheringham, 1079,
 1160, 1162, 1173
 Charter *v.* Jaques, 493
 ——— *v.* Peter, 437
 Chartress *v.* Cusaick, 50, 871
 Chartwood *v.* Berridge, 87
 Chase *v.* Joyce, 113, 532
 Chatfield *v.* Parker, 786
 ——— *v.* Souter, 991
 Chauville *v.* Chimelli, 821
 Cheasely *v.* Barnes, 410, 436
 Checchi *v.* Powell, 1070, 1072, 1172,
 1181
 Cheesewright *v.* Franks, 951
 Cheesly *v.* Baily, 1124
 Chell *v.* Oldfield, 695
 Chelsea Water Works' Company *v.*
 Cowper, 226
 Cheltenham Railway Company *v.* Fry,
 709
 Cheslyn *v.* Pearce, 211, 1187
 Chester, *Ex parte*, 690
 ——— *v.* Upsdale, 465
 Chetwin *v.* Venner, 484
 Chetwind *v.* Marnell, 1024
 ——— *v.* Marshall, 1019
 Chevalier *v.* Finnis, 875, 1012
 Cheveley *v.* Morris, 326
 Chevers *v.* Parkington, 660
 Chew *v.* Lye, 869
 Chichester *v.* Phillips, 311
 Chick's bail, 601
 Child *v.* Chamberlain, 788

Child *v.* Harvey, 1129
 ——— *v.* Marsh, 117, 119
 ——— *v.* Prowse, 857
 Childerston *v.* Barrett, 526, 527
 Children *v.* Mannering, 164
 Chilton *v.* Ellis, 1265
 Chippendale *v.* Masson, 272
 Chitty's case, 626
 Chitty, *Re*, 65
 ——— *v.* Dendy, 174
 Cholmely *v.* Paxton, 1113
 ——— *v.* Veal, 817
 Cholmondeley *v.* Bealey, 637, 817
 ——— *v.* Clinton, 56
 ——— *v.* Payne, 149
 Christchurch (Borough of) case, 262
 Christie *v.* Hamlet, 1254
 ——— *v.* Richardson, 346, 360, 361
 ——— *v.* Walker, 114, 180, 632
 Chubb *v.* Nicholson, 119, 1046
 Chumley *v.* Broom, 655
 Church *v.* Imperial Gas Light and
 Coke Company, 841
 Churcher *v.* Stringer, 1256
 Churchill *v.* Day, 976
 Ciragno *v.* Hassan, 1012, 1013
 Clapham *v.* Higham, 1225, 1253
 Claphamson *v.* Bowman, 486
 Clapworthy *v.* Collier, 1014
 Clare *v.* Cook, 1151
 ——— *v.* Frestal, 1103
 Claridge *v.* Collins, 1005
 ——— *v.* Crawford, 889, 892
 ——— *v.* Dalton, 456
 ——— *v.* Smith, 1139
 Clark *v.* Askew, 1174
 ——— *v.* Baker, 495, 511, 632
 ——— *v.* Berwick (Mayor of), 796, 799,
 949, 1053
 ——— *v.* Clark, 48
 ——— *v.* Dignum, 1265
 ——— *v.* Dixon, 949
 ——— *v.* Elwick, 696
 ——— *v.* Godfrey, 69
 ——— *v.* Hamlet, 1175
 ——— *v.* Manns, 1205
 ——— *v.* Martin, 1209
 ——— *v.* Nicholson, 1124, 1127
 ——— *v.* Stephenson, 287
 ——— *v.* Stilwell, 497
 ——— *v.* Stocken, 1199, 1206, 1226,
 1232
 ——— *Ex parte*, 21, 39, 40
 Clarke, *In the matter of*, 30
 ———, and others, *Re*, 44
 ——— *v.* Adams, 164
 ——— *v.* Alnutt, 161
 ——— *v.* Bradshaw, 618, 640, 833
 ——— *v.* Cawthorne, 493
 ——— *v.* Chetwode, 1010

- Clarke *v.* Clement, 401, 455
 — *v.* Crockford, 1050
 — *v.* Crofts, 1226
 — *v.* Davey, 910, 912
 — *v.* Davies, 664
 — *v.* Donovan, 70
 — *v.* Fisher, 1148
 — *v.* Gaskarth, 789
 — *v.* Goldsmid, 1072, 1073
 — *v.* Gorman, 61
 — *v.* Harbin, 948, 950
 — *v.* Hoppe, 620
 — *v.* Imperial Gas &c. Com-
 pany, 842
 — *v.* Johnson, 118, 679
 — *v.* Jones, 674, 676, 680, 682,
 1162
 — *v.* Lords, 1006, 1008, 1009
 — *v.* Marner, 292, 294
 — *v.* Nicholson, 326
 — *v.* Palmer, 421, 444, 451, 515,
 519
 — *v.* Quince, 720
 — *v.* Reed, 961
 — *v.* Rippon, 354
 — *v.* Simpson, 1067
 — *v.* Suffery, 273
 — *v.* Taylor, 77
 — *v.* Yeates, 541
 Clarkson *v.* Lawson, 1678
 — *v.* Parker, 75, 76, 77
 Claughton *v.* Leigh, 529
 Claxmore *v.* Searle, 759
 Clay *v.* Bowler, 869, 909
 — *v.* Cheate, 171
 — *v.* Stephens, 245
 — *v.* Stephenson, 245
 Clayton *v.* Marsham, 127
 Cleasby *v.* Poole, 1078
 Cleaver *v.* Hargreave, 1168
 Clegg *v.* Levy, 223
 — *v.* Molyneux, 1143
 — *v.* Woollan, 432
 Cleghorn *v.* Desanges, 407, 436
 Clement *v.* Lewis, 712, 1108
 Clements *v.* George, 256
 Clements *v.* Keen, 911
 — *v.* Whaller, 376
 Clementson *v.* Newcombe, 958, 962
 — *v.* Williamson, 152, 853
 Clerk *v.* Dixon, 425
 — *v.* Molineux, 526
 — *v.* Udall, 1097
 — *v.* Withers, 408, 422, 436, 437,
 438, 819, 820
 Clerke *v.* Pywell, 773
 Cleve *v.* Beer, 819
 — *v.* Powell, 48
 — *v.* Vere, 408
 Cliffe *v.* Prosser, 64, 81, 168
 Clifford *v.* Taylor, 232, 1026
 Clift *v.* Gye, 561, 566, 633
 Clifton, *Ex parte*, 60
 Clinas *v.* Wallis, 481
 Clissold *v.* Clissold, 958
 Close *v.* Parker, 127
 Clothier *v.* Ess, 680, 1162, 1189, 1219
 Clothworthy *v.* Clothworthy, 885
 Cluther *v.* Thinn, 347
 Clutterbuck *v.* Comber, 77, 79
 — *v.* Huntingtower (Lord),
 891
 — *v.* Jones, 414, 432, 437,
 438
 — *v.* Wiseman, 510
 Coaltsworth *v.* Martin, 205
 Coan *v.* Bowles, 370
 Coates *v.* Hawarden (Lord), 465
 — *v.* Nash, 82
 — *v.* Stevens, 975, 1036, 1054
 Cock *v.* Bell, 623, 635
 — *v.* Brockhurst, 637
 Cockburn *v.* Ling, 611
 Cockerell *v.* Chamberlayne, 962
 — *v.* Cholmeley, 380
 Cockerill *v.* Allanson, 1144
 — *v.* Dixon, 958
 — *v.* Kynaston, 875, 876
 Cockman *v.* Hellier, 694
 Cockram *v.* Welbye, 439
 Cocks *v.* Hannan, 65
 — *v.* Nash, 1023, 1025, 1026
 Cocksedge *v.* Fanshawe, 311
 Codrington *v.* Lloyd, 199, 204, 250, 710
 Codwin *v.* Seaman, 803
 Coe *v.* Clay, 731
 Coehn *v.* Waterhouse, 599
 Coett *v.* Willis, 126
 Cogan *v.* Ebdon, 287, 288
 Coggs *v.* Bernard, 166
 Coghlan *v.* Williamson, 226
 Cohen, *Ex parte*, 66
 — *v.* Bell, 1014
 — *v.* Cunningham, 455, 1183
 — *v.* Bulkeley, 967
 — *v.* Watson, 116
 Cohn *v.* Davis, 564, 588, 593
 Coke *v.* Allen, 50
 — *v.* Brummel, 690
 Colbron *v.* Hall, 341, 856, 857, 865
 Colburn *v.* Patmore, 323
 Coldwell *v.* Blake, 144
 Cole's case, 373
 Cole, *Ex parte*, 39, 69
 — *v.* Beale, 1012
 — *v.* Bennett, 87
 — *v.* Davies, 421
 — *v.* Greene, 352, 376
 — *v.* Hawkins, 114
 — *v.* Hindson, 104, 122, 130, 512,
 513, 797

- Cole v. Hulme, 169, 1021
 — v. Le Sond, 190
 Colebeck v. Peck, 823
 Colebrook v. Diggs, 364, 382
 — v. Dobbs, 1062
 Colebrooke v. Layton, 690, 916
 Coleby v. Graves, 664
 Coleman v. Mawby, 717
 Coles v. De Hayne, 618, 635
 — v. Gunn, 565
 — v. Haden, 688
 College of Physicians v. Harrison,
 369, 1056, 1138
 Collett v. Bland, 560
 — v. Thompson, 1029
 Colley v. Smith, 233
 Collier v. Galliard, 1146
 — v. Hague, 497
 Collin v. Treweek, 84, 231
 Collins, Ex parte, 30
 — v. Aaron, 1202
 — v. Beaumont, 146, 183, 816
 — v. Collins, 321, 665, 723
 — v. Gibbs, 1121
 — v. Godefroy, 237
 — v. Goodjer, 1212
 — v. Griffin, 46, 208
 — v. Gwynne, 364, 614
 — v. Jenkins, 962
 — v. Scevington, 356
 — v. Shapland, 115
 — v. Morgan, 913
 — v. Nicholson, 71
 — v. Poney, 1161, 1173
 — v. Powell, 482, 483
 — v. Rose, 910
 — v. Rowen, 472
 — v. Rybot, 718, 727
 Colls v. Morpeth, 112
 Colombies v. Slim, 998
 Colson v. Selby, 1037
 Colston v. Berens, 510, 521, 534, 1118
 Coltsworth v. Martin, 1066
 Colvin v. Newbury, 1105
 Colyer v. Billett, 171
 — v. Speer, 424, 425
 Combe v. Cuttill, 639, 830, 831
 — v. Pitt, 203, 1048
 — v. Samson, 986
 — v. Sandon, 457
 Combers v. Watton, 890, 891
 Combes v. Blackall, 483
 Comerford v. Price, 469
 Compere v. Hicks, 316, 787
 Compton v. Ward, 942
 Concannen v. Lethbridge, 813
 Conden v. Coulter, 1117, 1126
 Cone v. Bowles, 364
 Coningsby's (Lord) case, 990, 991
 Connell v. Watson, 1182
 Connelly v. Smith, 465
 Connolly v. Finch, 1121
 Connop v. Yeates, 689
 Connor v. West, 768
 Constable v. Fothergill, 451, 1048
 — v. Johnson, 42, 421, 109
 — v. Wren, 696
 Conwell v. Thomas, 163
 Cook v. Allen, 121, 802, 1007
 — v. Beale, 327
 — v. Cooper, 518, 537, 539
 — v. Cox, 325
 — v. Coxwell, 190, 192
 — v. Hunt, 987, 1167
 — v. Jones, 689, 690
 — v. Martin, 159
 — v. Palmer, 16
 — v. Raven, 159
 — v. Remington (Lady), 1019,
 1020, 1021
 — v. Shone, 963
 Cooke v. Bathurst, 818
 — v. Berry, 836, 1093, 1094
 — v. Birt, 409
 — v. Burke, 1124, 1127
 — v. Dobree, 488, 992
 — v. Johnson, 142
 — v. Lucas, 875
 — v. Maxwell, 274
 — v. Pettit, 709
 — v. Stocks, 1025
 — v. Tanswell, 227, 1264, 1269
 — v. Vaughan, 534
 Coombes v. Blachall, 470
 — v. Cole, 811
 — v. Dod, 623
 Cooper's bail, 585
 Cooper, Ex parte, 28
 — v. Amos, 1036, 1039
 — v. Bliss, 869
 — v. Chitty, 433
 — v. Ginger, 348, 350, 353, 356,
 390
 — v. Hawkes, 664
 — v. Holloway, 1059, 1072
 — v. Hunchin, 348, 472, 825,
 896, 898, 1183
 — v. Johnston, 1226
 — v. Jones, 942, 1024
 — v. Langworth, 447
 — v. Lead Smelting Company,
 1002
 — v. Marsden, 226, 229
 — v. Morecroft, 186
 — v. Nias, 1054
 — v. Rowe, 399
 — v. South, 1099
 — v. Sherbrooke, 800, 801
 — v. Spencer, 248, 1127, 1129
 — v. Tiffin, 1081, 1083

- Cooper v. Wakley, 269
 — v. Waller, 112, 120, 1119
 — v. Wheale, 105, 107, 515
 — v. Whitehouse, 284
 — v. Whitmarsh, 211
 Cope v. Cook, 488
 — v. Holt, 259, 1080
 — v. Joseph, 481
 — v. Marshall, 1115, 1124
 Copeland v. Neville, 132
 — v. Powell, 913
 Copley v. Day, 397, 821, 822, 1181
 Copons v. Blyton, 360, 619
 Coppell v. Smith, 1260
 Coppendale v. Debonaire, 399
 — v. Sunderland, 696
 Copperthwaite v. Owen, 386, 389, 420
 Coppin v. Carter, 166
 — v. Copper, 488
 — v. Gunnell, 854
 — v. Potter, 493, 633, 1211
 Coppinger v. Beaton, 492
 Corbett v. Bates, 118, 1043
 — v. Brown, 412, 524
 Corbyn v. Heyworth, 1075
 Coren v. Sharp, 36, 40
 Corner v. Shew, 325
 — v. Showe, 1107, 1110
 Cornforth v. Lowcock, 994, 1176
 Cornish v. King, 132
 Corone v. Garment, 299, 1071, 1104
 Correll v. Cattle, 1093
 Corsen v. Dubois, 133
 Cort v. Birkbeck, 310
 — v. Jacques, 142
 Cortessos v. Hume, 1056
 Cossey v. Diggon, 316
 Cost v. Lynch, 380
 Costello v. Colbett, 1152
 Coster v. Merest, 1062, 1092
 Coterill v. Wylde, 1095
 Cottam v. Symonds, 468
 Cotten v. Wall, 537
 Cotter v. England (Bank of), 1001, 1002
 Cotterell v. Hooke, 86
 Cotterill v. Dixon, 960
 — v. Tolly, 1143
 Cottle v. Longman, 1174
 — v. Warrington, 916
 Cotton v. Baiera, 946
 — v. Brown, 178, 193
 — v. James, 268
 — v. Witt, 236
 Couche v. Arundel (Lord), 464
 Coulson v. Graham, 1272
 — v. Hammon, 576
 — v. King, 114
 — v. Scott, 897
 Coulson v. Turnbull, 141
 Coulstwith v. Martin, 1076
 Coupey v. Henley, 912
 Courteen v. Touse, 273
 Courtney v. Phelps, 537
 Cousins v. Paddon, 189
 Coutanche v. Le Rues, 1120
 Coux v. Lowther, 892, 1083
 Cowan v. Abrahams, 231
 Cowell v. Butterley, 89
 Cowie, Ex parte, 65, 66
 — v. Allaway, 679, 688
 Cowley v. Bussel, 870
 — v. Lidiot, 446
 Cowling v. Ely, 891
 Cowne v. Bowles, 370
 Cowper v. Jones, 167, 181
 Cowperthwaite v. Owen, 1136
 Cox v. Allingham, 221
 — v. Barnsby, 443
 — v. Cannon, 685
 — v. Coppenham, 1027
 — v. Fenn, 1010
 — v. Hart, 946, 947
 — v. Kitchen, 1087, 1097
 — v. Munday, 1118
 — v. Painter, 295, 1095, 1127, 1128, 1129
 — v. Parry, 979
 — v. Peacock, 881
 — v. Robinson, 981
 — v. Rodbard, 683, 699
 — v. Rolt, 180
 — v. Thomason, 1157
 — v. Tullock, 119, 704, 1048
 Coxe v. Cropwell, 350
 Coxeter v. Burke, 638, 830
 Coxhead v. Huish, 271
 Coy v. Heinas, 1085
 Cracraft v. Gledowe, 931
 Crackall v. Thomson, 854
 Craddock v. Davis, 254
 Cragg, Ex parte, 24
 Craig v. Evans, 610
 Craik, Re, 1257
 Craswell v. Thompson, 354
 Craven v. Craven, 1249
 — v. Vavasour, 798
 Crawford v. Oxley, 582
 — v. Satchwell, 104, 122, 450
 Crawley v. Impey, 992
 — v. Lidgate, 400, 446, 455
 Crawshay v. Thornton, 1002
 Crease v. Barrett, 1088
 Creevy v. Bowman, 275
 Creighton's bail, 612
 Cremer v. Wickett, 669
 Crerar v. Sodo, 279
 Creswel v. Packham, 1110
 Cresswell v. Crisp, 659

- Cresswell v. Lovell, 488
 — v. Green, 622
 — v. Horn, 565, 929
 — v. Houghton, 1138
 Cressy v. Kell, 483
 — v. Webb, 701
 Crew v. Bails, 927
 — v. Saunders, 1026
 Cripwell, Ex parte, 66
 Crisp, Ex parte, 65
 — v. Anderson, 225
 — v. Griffiths, 659
 Croad v. Harris, 1176
 Crockay v. Martin, 981
 Crocker v. Pothergill, 759
 Croft, Ex parte, 30
 Croft v. Coggs, 579
 — v. Johnson, 566, 683
 — v. Miller, 1167
 — v. Peach, 1024
 Crofton v. Poole, 36
 Crofts v. Stockley, 538
 Croker v. Mactavish, 910
 Cromer v. Brown, 1076
 Crompton v. Steward, 687, 959, 960
 — v. Warde, 453, 583
 Cromwell (Lord) v. Andrews, 349,
 356
 — v. Grunsden, 1182
 Crook v. M'Taviah, 75
 Crooke v. Davis, 494, 1043
 Crookes v. Longden, 362, 946
 Crooks v. Fry, 471, 472
 Crookshank v. Rose, 290
 Crosby v. Clark, 491
 — v. Innes, 570, 571, 706
 — v. Olorenshaw, 976
 — v. Percy, 226
 Cross, Ex parte, 38, 905
 Cross v. Collins, 1174
 — v. Johnson, 1145, 1155, 1157,
 1160
 — v. Kaye, 35, 1115, 1120, 1121
 — v. Long, 209
 — v. Metcalfe, 1114, 1125
 — v. Morgan, 491
 — v. Talbot, 466, 467
 — v. Wilkins, 126
 Crossfield v. Stanley 433, 434
 Crossgrave v. Evans, 236
 Cross Keys Bridge Company v. Raw-
 lings, 184
 Crossley, Re, 67, 1269
 — v. Ebers, 1007
 — v. Shaw, 469, 526
 Crouch v. Tregoning, 1126
 Crow's case, 370
 Crow v. Watson, 544
 Crowder v. Crooks, 1129
 — v. Davies, 70, 71
 Crowder v. Long, 16
 — v. Rooke, 248, 1197
 — v. Shee, 74, 75
 — v. Wagstaff, 1040
 Crowley v. Dean, 1074
 Crown v. Kitchen, 365
 Crowther v. Duke, 1070, 1073
 — v. Long, 16
 Crozier v. Pilling, 53, 452, 872
 Crump v. Adney, 168
 — v. Symons, 1249
 Crutchfield v. Scott, 875, 971
 — v. Seyward, 482
 Cuckson v. Winter, 524, 630
 Cuerton, Ex parte, 1250
 Culliford v. Warren, 66
 Cullingford, Ex parte, 470
 Cuming v. Sharland, 164
 Cumming v. Columbine, 988
 — v. Sibley, 320, 321, 376
 Cunliffe v. Sefton, 226
 — v. Whitehead, 244
 Cunningham, Ex parte, 38, 39, 40
 — v. Chambers, 559
 — v. Cohen, 869
 — v. Houston, 373, 376
 — v. Johnson, 654, 655
 Curd v. Eastmead, 358
 Curlew v. Dudley, 757
 — v. Pocock, 1008
 Curling v. Innis, 163
 — v. Sedger, 70
 Curlius v. Pardey, 1067
 Currie v. Almond, 176
 — v. Arnott, 176
 — v. Child, 226
 — v. Kinnear, 626
 Cursum v. Durham, 256
 Curtis v. Barker, 1060
 — v. Bligh, 1230
 — v. Drinkwater, 962
 — v. Headfort, 660
 — v. Tabram, 46, 47, 1076
 — v. Wheeler, 270
 Curwin v. Moseley, 112
 Curzon v. Hodges, 502
 Cutfield v. Coney, 1180
 Cutting v. Derby, 785
 — v. Williams, 376
 Cymes v. Oakes, 537
 DABBS v. Humphries, 1010, 1011
 Da Costa v. Clarke, 1109, 1159
 — v. Davis, 455
 Dacre v. Tebb, 1142
 Dacres v. Duncombe, 804
 Dadley v. Robins, 265
 Dagg v. Penkevon, 1147
 Dagley v. Kentish, 77.
 Dahl v. Johnson, 618

Dakins *v.* Wagner, 93, 165
 Dale *v.* Beer, 167
 Dale *v.* Birch, 436, 439
 Dale *v.* Eyre, 323, 1083, 1132
 Daley *v.* Mahon, 1020, 1021
 Dalling *v.* Matchett, 1230, 1259
 Dally *v.* Woolferston, 905
 Dalmer *v.* Barnard, 496, 1217
 Dalton *v.* Barnes, 503, 1046
 — *v.* Gibbs, 504
 — *v.* Lloyd, 240, 244
 — *v.* Thorpe, 413
 — *v.* Tucker, 1264
 Daly *v.* Brooshoft, 601
 — *v.* Mahon, 1214
 Danbero *v.* Pender, 1135
 Danbury *v.* Rickman, 1237
 Dand *v.* Barnes, 1209, 1210
 Dand *v.* Sexton, 1139
 Dangerfield *v.* Thomas, 899
 Daniel *v.* Bishop, 1168, 1207
 — *v.* Morewood, 862
 — *v.* Thompson, 626
 — *v.* Wilson, 911
 Daniels *v.* Phillips, 946
 — *v.* Varsity, 132
 Dann *v.* Crease, 379
 Danser *v.* Berryman, 47
 Danvers *v.* Pinder, 353
 D'Aranda *v.* Houston, 291
 Darbell, *Ex parte*, 23
 Darty *v.* Aneley, 356
 — *v.* Brougham, 528
 — *v.* Smith, 430
 — *v.* Wilkins, 984
 Darcey's bail, 580
 D'Argent *v.* Vivant, 503, 1046
 — *v.* Wilson, 635
 Darker *v.* Darker, 662, 663
 Darling *v.* Atkins, 466
 — *v.* Gurney, 664
 — *v.* Hutchinson, 585
 Darlow *v.* Collinson, 1154
 Darrose *v.* Newbott, 310, 711
 Dartmouth (Lady) *v.* Roberts, 219
 Dartnall *v.* Howard, 219, 1121
 Dashwood *v.* Cooper, 356
 Daubney *v.* Cooper, 1143
 Daubuz *v.* Rickman, 1158, 1159, 1238
 Davenant *v.* Rastor, 373, 388
 Davenport *v.* Davies, 1037
 — *v.* Tyrrell, 312
 — *v.* Wall, 567
 Davergier *v.* Fellows, 364
 Davey, *Ex parte*, 38
 — *v.* Hobson, 307
 — *v.* Renton, 1151
 Davids *v.* Wilson, 1132
 Davidson *v.* Chilman, 47, 654, 655, 847
 — *v.* Dunne, 421, 451

Davidson *v.* Fowler, 627
 — *v.* Nicholl, 239
 — *v.* Seymour, 453
 — *v.* Watkins, 653
 Davies *v.* Brown, 1025
 — *v.* Chippendale, 1540
 — *v.* Cottle, 1078, 1194
 — *d.* Dawkins tenant, Evans
 vouchee, 42
 — *v.* Edwards, 1038
 — *v.* Evans, 270
 — *v.* Grey, 590, 591, 612
 — *v.* Griffiths, 17, 415, 416
 — *v.* Humphreys, 1026
 — *v.* James, 808, 949, 1056
 — *v.* Jones, 107
 — *v.* Leckie, 483
 — *v.* Lloyd, 111, 293
 — *v.* Locket, 890
 — *v.* Lovell, 232
 — *v.* Lowndes, 240, 311, 1096,
 1107
 — *v.* Mazzinghi, 483, 494
 — *v.* Parker, 107
 — *v.* Penton, 325, 481
 — *v.* Pierce, 312, 1107
 — *v.* Porter, 103, 129, 508
 — *v.* Povey, 748, 766
 — *d.* Povey *v.* Roe, 1264
 — *v.* Rendlesham, (Lord), 465
 — *v.* Rogers, 869
 — *v.* Salter, 716
 — *v.* Sherlock, 1045, 1137
 — *v.* Vasa, 1231, 1258
 Davila *v.* Almanza, 1260
 — *v.* Herring, 314, 320, 1096
 Davis & Carter's case, 496
 —, *Ex parte*, 40
 — *v.* Blackwell, 175
 — *v.* Chapman, 452, 849, 1030
 — *v.* Chippendale, 499
 — *v.* Cooper, 121, 158, 159
 — *v.* Curtis, 869
 — *v.* Dale, 233
 — *v.* Edmonson, 35
 — *v.* Fowler, 577, 628
 — *v.* Gompertz, 678, 699
 — *v.* Gray, 803
 — *v.* Holdship, 718
 — *v.* Hughes, 674, 679, 1042
 — *v.* Jones, 51, 56, 437
 — *v.* Lawton, 119
 — *v.* Mansell, 975
 — *v.* Nicholson, 239
 — *v.* Norton, 402, 837
 — *v.* Oswell, 320
 — *v.* Owen, 522, 1047, 1118
 — *v.* Prince, 306
 — *v.* Shapley, 434
 — *v.* Sherlock, 508, 1046

- Davis v. Skyllins, 713
 — v. Stanbury, 694, 1211
 — v. Stringer, 954
 — v. Taylor, 1091, 1101
 — v. Trotter, 529
 — v. Williams, 221
 Davison v. Cleworth, 478
 — v. Davison, 43
 — v. Franklin, 689
 — v. Marsh, 491
 — v. Morton, 166
 Davy v. Brown, 1051, 1202, 1203, 1205
 — v. Pepys, 885
 Daw v. Clark, 448
 Dawes v. Anstruther, 1030, 1034
 — v. Solomonson, 110
 Dawson v. Bowman, 962, 963, 958
 — v. Garrett, 1237
 — v. Howard, 283
 — v. Macdonald, 181, 190, 194
 — v. Morgan, 983
 — v. Sampson, 992
 — v. Sladford, 722
 — v. Wood, 429
 Day v. Bonnin, 1245, 1246, 1247
 — v. Bower, 1037
 — v. Clarke, 1151
 — v. Davies, 1039
 — v. Day, 299, 1104
 — v. Edwards, 972, 974, 1090
 — v. Greenway, 610
 — v. Hanks, 703
 — v. Holloway, 1090
 — v. Peckwell, 1147
 — v. Picton, 1149
 — v. Savage, 308, 954
 — v. Smith, 1014, 1015
 — v. Waldock, 1006
 Dayrell v. Bridge, 329, 1132
 Dax v. Ward, 85
 Deacon v. Fuller, 56, 210
 — v. Morris, 415
 Deakin v. Praed, 161, 995
 Dean v. Whittaker, 431, 432
 Deane, Ex parte, 65, 66
 Dearden v. Holden, 162, 163
 Dearne v. Grimp, 882
 De Balf v. Mackenzie, 481
 De Bastos v. Willmot, 457
 De Bedolliere v. Ryan, 1076
 De Bodi's bail, 584, 585, 589
 De Charme v. Waine, 901
 Decker v. Sedden, 157
 — v. Thompson, 156
 De Cossen v. Vaughan, 899
 Deckrow v. Jenkins, 763
 Dee v. Thomson, 732
 Deemer v. Brooker, 858
 De Fries, Ex parte, 529
 Defries v. Davis, 894
 Defries v. Snell, 1175
 De Gaillon v. L'Aigle, 471, 717, 718
 De Goadouin v. Lewis, 910
 De Grave v. The Mayor, &c., 842
 Deighton v. Foster, 658
 De la Bastide v. Reynel, 800
 De la Cour v. Read, 482, 516, 631, 632
 Delafield v. Freeman, 221
 — v. Tanner, 163, 705, 893
 Delauney v. Mitchell, 272
 Delanoy v. Cannon, 104
 Dela Preuve v. Duc de Biron, 494, 1017
 De la Vega v. Vianna, 467, 470, 488
 De Luneville v. Phillips, 696, 997
 Delver v. Barnes, 1249
 Delves v. Strange, 106
 De Montellano (Duke) v. Christin, 1013
 De Moranda v. Dunkin, 412, 524
 Denman v. Bull, 1019, 1071
 Denn v. Barnard, 1089
 — v. Cadogan, 263
 — v. Fenn, 750
 — v. Fulford, 217, 1014
 — v. Moore, 376
 — v. Purvis, 759
 — v. Spray, 223
 Denne v. Abingdon, 442
 Dennehay v. Richardson, 1080
 Dennett v. Pass, 1265
 Dennis v. Drake, 818
 — v. Edwards, 1103
 Dennison v. Mair, 709
 Denny v. Trapnell, 713
 Denomanville v. Meyer, 171
 Dent v. Hallifax, 853
 — v. Hertford (hundred of), 1091
 — v. Lingood, 373, 376
 — v. Weston, 560, 592, 597
 Denton's bail, 593
 De Pinna v. Polhill, 191, 194
 De Revoise v. Hayman, 366
 De Rouffigny v. Peale, 64, 1092
 Derry v. Lloyd, 155
 De Rutzen (Baron) v. Farr, 1088
 — v. John, 1080
 — v. Richards, 1078, 1080
 De Saily v. Morgan, 276
 Desborough v. Coppinger, 503, 1046
 Deserisay v. O'Brien, 466
 Deshons v. Head, 118, 166, 521
 De Tastet v. Andrade, 881
 — v. Racket, 370
 — v. Rucker, 1114, 1135
 Devallo v. Plomer, 467
 Devaynes v. Boys, 180, 913
 Devenish v. Mertins, 914, 1057, 1173
 Devereux v. John, 1007
 Dew v. Katz, 283, 284, 1121
 Dewar v. Purday, 313, 314
 Dewell v. Marshall, 711, 807

- Dewey v. Bayntun, 430
 — v. Sopp, 163
 Dewhurst v. Pearson, 546
 De Woolf v. —, 61, 65, 1185
 Dias v. Freeman, 810, 811
 Diboen v. Cooke, 1153
 Dibden v. Anglesey (Marquis of), 1247
 Dicaa, Ex parte, 1185, 1218
 — v. Jay, 992, 993, 1225, 1243, 1254
 — v. Lawson, 235
 — v. Stockley, 46
 — v. Warne, 68, 399, 607, 1269
 Dick v. Norrish, 962
 Dicken v. Neale, 189
 Dickens v. Jarvis, 1232, 1244, 1257, 1258
 — v. Woolcott, 82
 Dickenson v. Blake, 1089, 1094
 — v. Eyre, 1003, 1011
 — v. Fisher, 961
 — v. Heseltine, 367, 642, 643
 — v. Teague, 420, 840
 Dicker v. Adams, 701, 1082
 Dickinson v. Bowes, 511
 — v. Plaisted, 1118
 — v. Shee, 277
 Dickson, Ex parte, 905
 — v. Baker, 931
 Digby v. Alexander, 449
 — v. Steadman, 229
 — v. Stirling (Lord), 465
 — v. Thompson, 114, 115, 121
 Dignam v. Ibbotson, 212
 — v. Mostyn, 164, 165, 212
 Dillamore v. Capon, 1174, 1194
 Dillon v. Brown, 675, 817
 — v. Edwards, 692
 — v. Harper, 848
 — v. Parker, 312
 Dimsdale v. Nielson, 168, 654
 Dinsdale v. Eames, 568
 Ditcher v. Kenrick, 233
 Ditchett v. Tollett, 1185
 Dive v. Manningham, 413
 Dixon, Ex parte, 530
 — v. Baldwin, 470
 — v. Clarke, 604
 — v. Dixon, 365, 367
 — v. Ensell, 1007, 1009, 1011
 — v. Haigh, 227
 — v. Heslop, 949
 — v. Lee, 235
 — v. Wigram, 756
 Dobbin v. Wilson, 654
 Dobbins v. Green, 1007
 Dobbs v. Passer, 747
 Dober v. Hasler, 432
 Doberteen v. Chancellor, 657
 Doble v. Cummins, 1008
 Dobson, Ex parte, 29
 Dockett v. Read, 1068, 1079
 Dod v. Grant, 146, 925, 950
 — v. Monger, 788
 — v. Saxby, 424
 Dodd v. Beckman, 834
 — v. Crease, 1107
 — v. Drummond, 582, 1189
 — v. Neal, 1105
 — v. Joddrell, 809, 1155
 Doddington v. Bailward, 1231, 1235, 1247, 1250, 1264, 1269
 — v. Hudson, 275, 1249, 1256, 1264
 Dodsley v. Hamilton (Lady), 987
 Dodson v. Taylor, 1066
 Doe v. —, 868
 — v. Allsop, 88, 460
 — v. Anderson, 748, 774
 — v. Andrews, 232, 233, 235
 — v. Armitage, 736, 1120
 — v. Aston, 755, 991
 — v. Badtittle, 734, 743
 — v. Barclay, 991
 — v. Barnes, 269
 — v. Barter, 289
 — v. Bayliss, 738
 — v. Bayton, 1192
 — v. Baytup, 298
 — v. Bennett, 753
 — v. Birch, 1030
 — v. Boast, 779
 — v. Bramston, 732
 — v. Bransom, 55, 1102
 — v. Bray, 269
 — v. Brenton, 756, 966, 991
 — v. Brewer, 300, 751
 — v. Bromley, 272, 758
 — v. Brown, 266, 428, 560, 755, 780, 1240, 1244
 — v. Burdett, 229
 — v. Butcher, 104, 122, 430, 770, 743, 767, 825, 898, 1183
 — v. Calloway, 222
 — v. Capps, 756
 — v. Carter, 89, 459
 — v. Cartwright, 230
 — v. Caulfield, 897
 — v. Cavan, 966
 — v. Clifton, 755, 756
 — v. Cock, 770, 738
 — v. Cooper, 752
 — v. Copeland, 760
 — v. Corbett, 269
 — v. Cotterell, 1048
 — v. Cotterill, 203
 — v. Creed, 442, 753
 — v. Crisp, 822, 823
 — v. Cuff, 752

- | | |
|--|--|
| <p> Doe <i>v.</i> Darnford, 229
 —— <i>v.</i> Darnton, 459
 —— <i>v.</i> Davies, 748, 1142
 —— <i>v.</i> Davis, 773, 787
 —— <i>v.</i> Dawson, 760, 766, 768
 —— <i>v.</i> Dinely, 360
 —— <i>v.</i> Docker, 1070
 —— <i>v.</i> Dodd, 1098
 —— <i>v.</i> Dolman, 922, 1057, 1129
 —— <i>v.</i> Douston, 422, 427, 428
 —— <i>v.</i> Dyball, 376, 736, 1131
 —— <i>v.</i> Dyer, 754, 1076
 —— <i>v.</i> Dyneley, 334
 —— <i>v.</i> Dyson, 774
 —— <i>v.</i> Edwards, 221, 331, 283, 920,
 1100
 —— <i>v.</i> Errington, 284, 701
 —— <i>v.</i> Evans, 221, 227, 427
 —— <i>v.</i> Eyton, 57, 995
 —— <i>v.</i> Field, 777
 —— <i>v.</i> Figgins, 756
 —— <i>v.</i> Ford, 762
 —— <i>v.</i> Francis, 312
 —— <i>v.</i> Franklin, 299, 754
 —— <i>v.</i> Fry, 762
 —— <i>v.</i> Fuchau, 774
 —— <i>v.</i> Gibbs, 767
 —— <i>v.</i> Grant, 3
 —— <i>v.</i> Gray, 754
 —— <i>v.</i> Greenhill, 443
 —— <i>v.</i> Gregory, 732
 —— <i>v.</i> Grey, 230, 762
 —— <i>v.</i> Grubb, 754, 1181
 —— <i>v.</i> Grundy, 762, 1267
 —— <i>v.</i> Gunning, 221, 734, 765
 —— <i>v.</i> Haddon, 1106
 —— <i>v.</i> Hare, 786, 787
 —— <i>v.</i> Harmer, 201
 —— <i>v.</i> Harriss, 48
 —— <i>v.</i> Hatherly, 990
 —— <i>v.</i> Hazell, 733
 —— <i>v.</i> Hedges, 747, 1043
 —— <i>v.</i> Heming, 227
 —— <i>v.</i> Hicks, 732
 —— <i>v.</i> Hilliard, 766
 —— <i>v.</i> Horu, 753
 —— <i>v.</i> Horner, 1245, 1253
 —— <i>v.</i> Huddart, 786, 787
 —— <i>v.</i> Hughes, 751, 761
 —— <i>v.</i> Hurst, 770
 —— <i>v.</i> James, 233
 —— <i>v.</i> Jameson, 753
 —— <i>v.</i> Jepson, 212, 758, 785, 794,
 1093
 —— <i>v.</i> Jesson, 226
 —— <i>v.</i> Johnson, 1265
 —— <i>v.</i> Jones, 442
 —— <i>v.</i> Lamble, 759
 —— <i>v.</i> Langdon, 755, 991
 —— <i>v.</i> Law, 990, 991, 993 </p> | <p> Doe <i>v.</i> Leo, 786
 —— <i>v.</i> Lewis, 320, 775, 776, 1132
 —— <i>v.</i> Lord, 447, 768, 769, 1070
 1136, 1186
 —— <i>v.</i> Lorimer, 254
 —— <i>v.</i> Maisey, 447, 731
 —— <i>v.</i> Mason, 1090
 —— <i>v.</i> Masters, 753, 755, 767, 773, 774
 —— <i>v.</i> Mew, 221
 —— <i>v.</i> Miller, 736
 —— <i>v.</i> Mirehouse, 766
 —— <i>v.</i> M'Kaig, 733
 —— <i>v.</i> Moore, 780, 783, 1204
 —— <i>v.</i> Morgan, 1238
 —— <i>v.</i> Morpeth, (Bailiff of), 1241
 —— <i>v.</i> Moses, 1076
 —— <i>v.</i> Murless, 418, 423
 —— <i>v.</i> Neale, 1058
 —— <i>v.</i> Needs, 1089
 —— <i>v.</i> Newcastle, (Duke of), 754
 —— <i>v.</i> Newton, 228
 —— <i>v.</i> Owen, 233
 —— <i>v.</i> Packer, 991, 994
 —— <i>v.</i> Pattison, 243
 —— <i>v.</i> Paul, 773
 —— <i>v.</i> Payne, 1158
 —— <i>v.</i> Payton, 868, 870
 —— <i>v.</i> Penfold, 223
 —— <i>v.</i> Perkins, 276, 1131
 —— <i>v.</i> Phillips, 240, 754
 —— <i>v.</i> Pike, 1104
 —— <i>v.</i> Pilkington, 736
 —— <i>v.</i> Plymouth, (Corporation of),
 756
 —— <i>v.</i> Powell, 1232, 1233
 —— <i>v.</i> Pucker, 459
 —— <i>v.</i> Raby, 759
 —— <i>v.</i> Rees, 260
 —— <i>v.</i> Reid, 751
 —— <i>v.</i> Rendall, 736
 —— <i>v.</i> Reynolds, 642, 868, 765
 —— <i>v.</i> Rhys, 753
 —— <i>v.</i> Richardson, 1244, 1250
 —— <i>v.</i> Ridgway, 1055
 —— <i>v.</i> Roberts, 313, 691, 755, 891
 —— <i>v.</i> Robinson, 653, 1264
 —— <i>v.</i> Robson, 229, 773
 —— <i>Agar v.</i> Roe, 739
 —— <i>Anglesey (Marquis of) v.</i> Roe,
 743, 777, 778
 —— <i>Ashman v.</i> Roe, 2, 146, 734
 —— <i>Atkins v.</i> Roe, 734, 770
 —— <i>Avery v.</i> Roe, 695, 696, 779, 780
 —— <i>Aylesbury v.</i> Roe, 742, 746
 —— <i>Baddam v.</i> Roe, 738
 —— <i>Bailey v.</i> Roe, 738
 —— <i>Baker v.</i> Roe, 995
 —— <i>Baring v.</i> Roe, 742
 —— <i>Barles v.</i> Roe, 743
 —— <i>Bass v.</i> Roe, 735, 736 </p> |
|--|--|

Doe Rath (Marquis of) v. Roe, 744	Doe Haines v. Roe, 146, 734
— Bawden v. Roe, 1012	— Halsey v. Roe, 739, 741
— Beard v. Roe, 778	— Hambrook v. Roe, 739
— Beyer v. Roe, 767, 769	— Harcourt v. Roe, 755, 776, 1190
— Bird v. Roe, 736	— Harris v. Roe, 739
— Bloxham v. Roe, 734, 947	— Harrison v. Roe, 740
— Boullott v. Roe, 738	— Hartford v. Roe, 740
— Bradford v. Roe, 777	— Hervey v. Roe, 741
— Briggs v. Roe, 744	— Hewson v. Roe, 738
— — v. Roe, 738, 739	— Hindle v. Roe, 770
— Brittlebank v. Roe, 739	— Holt v. Roe, 430, 681
— Bromley v. Roe, 738	— Hunter v. Roe, 744
— Brown v. Roe, 742	— Hutchins v. Roe, 738
— Burlton v. Roe, 735, 746	— Ingram v. Roe, 747, 748
— Burrows v. Roe, 770	— d. Irwin v. Roe, 140
— Cardigan v. Roe, 777	— Isherwood v. Roe, 736
— Cawfield v. Roe, 779	— Jackson v. Roe, 743
— Charles v. Roe, 775	— Jenks v. Roe, 743, 744, 1209
— Clothier v. Roe, 738	— Jones v. Roe, 738, 742, 743, 744
— Cockburn v. Roe, 740, 741	— Kirschner v. Roe, 742
— d. Collins v. Roe, 739	— Lambert v. Roe, 755, 776
— Colson v. Roe, 741	— Landeselio v. Roe, 147, 149, 150
— Cooper v. Roe, 122, 743	— Lawford v. Roe, 745
— Courthorpe v. Roe, 738	— Ledger v. Roe, 747
— Cousins v. Roe, 743	— Levi v. Roe, 744
— Crockett v. Roe, 993	— Lowe v. Roe, 744
— Crooks v. Roe, 734	— Luff v. Roe, 740, 741
— Croome v. Roe, 745	— Marsdall v. Roe, 739
— Darwent v. Roe, 735, 736	— Martin v. Roe, 744
— Deily v. Roe, 744	— Mather v. Roe, 740, 741
— Dickens v. Roe, 742	— Messer v. Roe, 740
— Downes v. Roe, 737	— Meyrick v. Roe, 747, 748, 750
— Emerson v. Roe, 740	— Mingay v. Roe, 744
— Evans v. Roe, 146, 734, 735, 1068	— Morgan v. Roe, 746
— Faithful v. Roe, 752, 764	— Morpeth v. Roe, 741
— Feldon v. Roe, 990	— Mortlake v. Roe, 741
— Field v. Roe, 735	— Morton v. Roe, 750
— Folkes v. Roe, 735	— Neale v. Roe, 737, 738
— Forbes v. Roe, 735, 737, 738, 739	— Norman v. Roe, 770
— Frazer v. Roe, 741, 743	— Norris v. Roe, 784
— Frith v. Roe, 739, 741, 771	— Oldham v. Roe, 743
— Frodsham v. Roe, 736	— Osbaldiston v. Roe, 740, 741, 743
— Frost v. Roe, 735, 741	— Palmer v. Roe, 72, 76, 77, 79
— Fry v. Roe, 2, 146, 734, 1265	— Pate v. Roe, 766
— George v. Roe, 737, 740, 744	— Peach v. Roe, 735
— Giles v. Roe, 734	— Pearson v. Roe, 734, 735, 746, 752
— Gillett v. Roe, 146, 734	— Pemberton v. Roe, 777
— Ginger v. Roe, 752	— Phillips v. Roe, 777, 780, 1057
— Glynn v. Roe, 745	— Pinchard v. Roe, 990, 991
— Goodwin v. Roe, 734	— Potter v. Roe, 740
— Gore v. Roe, 734	— Prescott v. Roe, 1051, 1202
— Gowland v. Roe, 734, 779, 780	— Probert v. Roe, 737
— Graef v. Roe, 738	— Pugh v. Roe, 775
— Grant v. Roe, 743, 1216	— Quintin v. Roe, 744
— Gray v. Roe, 742	— Rankin v. Roe, 785
— Greaves v. Roe, 745	— Read v. Roe, 744
— Grimes v. Roe, 738	— Reeve v. Roe, 745
— Grocers' Company v. Roe, 747, 748	— Rigley v. Roe, 743
	— Roberts v. Roe, 735

Doe Robinson v. Roe, 740
 — Ross v. Roe, 743
 — Rust v. Roe, 650, 653, 655
 — St. Margaret v. Roe, 734
 — Sampson v. Roe, 703
 — Saunders v. Roe, 777
 — Schovell v. Roe, 742, 770
 — Shaw v. Roe, 747, 748
 — Shepherd v. Roe, 756
 — Showell v. Roe, 770
 — Simmons v. Roe, 738, 744
 — Simpson v. Roe, 734
 — Smith v. Roe, 735, 738, 739, 744
 — Smithers v. Roe, 734
 — Somerville v. Roe, 784
 — Southampton (Lord) v. Roe, 738
 — Stainton v. Roe, 735
 — Standish v. Roe, 990
 — Stokes v. Roe, 748
 — Summers v. Roe, 737
 — Tarluy v. Roe, 744
 — Thomas v. Roe, 735
 — Thompson v. Roe, 744, 747
 — Thwaites v. Roe, 68, 77
 — Tindal v. Roe, 214, 744, 777,
 1093
 — Treat v. Roe, 740
 — Trimmings v. Roe, 740
 — Troughton v. Roe, 747, 750
 — Tubb v. Roe, 752, 755
 — Tucker v. Roe, 739
 — Turncroft v. Roe, 741
 — Vernon v. Roe, 749, 751
 — Visger v. Roe, 738
 — Wade v. Roe, 737
 — Walker v. Roe, 738, 740, 744
 — Warne v. Roe, 734
 — Warren v. Roe, 737, 739
 — Watts v. Roe, 735, 777
 — Weeks v. Roe, 738, 742
 — v. Rotheram, 777, 779
 — v. Rowe, 746
 — Welchon v. Roe, 746
 — Wells v. Rowe, 741
 — Wetherell v. Roe, 740
 — Whitfield v. Roe, 755, 775
 — Wiggs v. Roe, 745
 — Williamson v. Roe, 738
 — Wills v. Roe, 734, 752
 — Wilson v. Roe, 744, 745
 — Wingfield v. Roe, 738
 — Wright v. Roe, 741
 — v. Rushworth, 778, 779
 — v. Salter, 760, 762
 — v. Savage, 1076
 — v. Saunders, 1233
 — v. Shadwell, 755, 991
 — v. Shawcross, 774
 — v. Shipley, 768, 950
 — v. Sinclair, 89, 460, 868

Doe v. Slight, 1024
 — v. Smith, 215, 227, 313, 427,
 739, 741, 1190
 — v. Smythe, 753
 — v. Snee, 739
 — v. Soley, 756
 — v. Staff, 1098
 — v. Staunton, 739, 786
 — v. Steel, 756
 — v. Street, 753
 — v. Stevenson, 991
 — v. Stillwell, 1211, 1218, 1230,
 1256, 1258
 — v. Suckermore, 228
 — v. Thomas, 755, 991
 — v. Thorn, 418, 423
 — v. Tindale, 273, 759
 — v. Towgood, 1070
 — v. Trussel, 920
 — v. Tucker, 271, 736
 — v. Tyler, 1088
 — v. Wainwright, 227, 231
 — v. Wandlass, 773, 774
 — v. Want, 1209
 — v. Ward, 868
 — v. Warwick, 759
 — v. Watkins, 48, 58
 — v. Webber, 236, 761, 1157, 1158,
 1159, 1160
 — v. Williams, 2, 170, 750, 760,
 769, 959
 — v. Wilson, 269, 270
 — v. Winch, 755, 991
 — v. Wipple, 759
 — v. Witherick, 755, 768
 — v. Woodroffe, 738
 — v. Woolley, 226
 — v. Wright, 742, 1088
 — v. Wylde, 248, 758, 1129
 — v. Wynne, 1071
 Doker v. Hasler, 408, 421, 422
 Doldern v. Feast, 601
 Dolves v. Strange, 514
 Domett v. Helyer, 89
 Donaldson's bail, 605
 Doncaster v. Cardwell, 165
 ——— (Mayor of) v. Coe, 255
 Done v. Smith, 471
 Donnelly v. Dunn, 620, 812
 Donelvey, Ex parte, 529
 Donlan v. Brett, 1150, 1241, 1250,
 1259
 Donnatty v. Barclay, 562
 Donne v. Marsh, 157
 Donniger v. Hinxman, 1007, 1008
 Doran v. O'Reilly, 709
 Dordsey v. Cook, 1054
 Dorrington v. Edwin, 828
 Double v. Gibbs, 1174
 Doubleday v. —, 1121

- Dougal v. Bowman, 174
 Doughty v. Lascelles, 803, 804
 Douglas v. Forrest, 874, 877
 — v. Green, 168, 654
 — v. Irlam, 106, 145, 514
 — v. Ray, 153, 206, 1042
 — v. Stanburgh, 616
 Douglass v. —, 738, 740, 741, 746
 — v. Yallop, 337, 338, 1133
 Dove v. Darkin, 392, 393
 Dover v. Mestaer, 963, 1115
 Dovey v. Hobson, 1089
 Dow v. Clark, 369, 891, 894
 Dowbiggin v. Harrison, 822, 875
 Dowler v. Caller, 960
 Downes v. Brian, 959
 — v. Cross, 1073
 — v. Ray, 1174
 Downing v. Jennings, 1136
 Downton v. Styles, 67
 Dowse v. Coxe, 1226
 Dawson v. Cull, 570, 571
 — v. Levi, 569, 571
 Doyle v. Anderson, 967, 1014
 — v. Douglas, 967
 Doyley v. White, 898
 Drabble v. Denham, 604
 Drage v. Brand, 21, 725
 Drake v. Brown, 1002
 — v. Harding, 489, 493, 494
 Draper v. Blaney, 403
 Drax v. Scroope, 78, 81
 Drew v. Clifford, 74
 — v. Coles, 1174
 — v. Fletcher, 1173, 1174
 — v. Marriott, 113
 Dring v. Dickenson, 104
 Driver v. Hood, 490
 — v. Lawrence, 749
 Dronefield v. Archer, 875, 1148
 Drummond v. Burt, 248, 1128, 1129
 — v. Dorant, 1082, 1112
 — v. Pigou, 193
 Drury's case, 453
 — v. Davenport, 109, 130
 Duberly v. Gunning, 1063, 1090
 — v. Page, 662, 1155
 Dublin (Archbishop of) v. Dublin
 (Dean of), 354
 Dubois v. M'Kenzie, 1126
 Duck v. Braddyll, 425
 Ducker v. Wood, 1090
 Duckett v. Williams, 239, 240, 242, 243
 Duckworth v. Fogg, 952
 Duckworth v. Harrison, 1247
 Duckworth d. Tubley v. Tunstall, 776
 Dudden v. Triquet, 300
 Duddin v. Long, 1006
 Dudley v. Nettlefold, 1244
 — v. Stokes, 359
 Duff v. Campbell, 300, 301
 Duffil v. Spottiswoode, 431
 Duffy v. Oakes, 48
 Duke v. Gostling, 193
 — v. Sweeting, 50
 Dukes v. Saunders, 689
 Dumsday v. Hughes, 1033, 1055
 Dunbar v. Hitchcock, 1132
 Dunbary v. Dun, 793
 Duncan v. Carlton, 165
 — v. Hill, 600, 1036, 1039
 — v. Richmond, 65
 — v. Scott, 99, 220, 245, 620
 — v. Stint, 1017
 — v. Sutton, 620
 — v. Thomas, 689
 Duncombe's (Sir F.) case, 355
 — v. Church, 468, 469, 526
 — v. Crisp, 1194
 — v. Wingfield, 318
 Dundas v. Weymouth (Lord), 964
 Dunkly v. Wade, 1090
 Dunmer v. Pitcher, 678
 Dunn v. Crump, 335
 — v. Harding, 113, 118, 399, 520
 — v. Murray, 1224
 Dunnage v. Kemble, 1140, 1143
 Dunsford v. Gouldsmith, 408, 873
 Dunstan v. Burwell, 895, 896
 Dunster v. Day, 1176
 Dupen v. Keeling, 57
 Duperoy v. Johnson, 710, 721, 723,
 1082, 1083
 Duplessis v. Chalk, 958
 Duppa v. Mayo, 773
 Dupratt v. Testard, 473
 Dupre v. Langridge, 146
 Durden v. Hammond, 1119
 Durnford v. Messiter, 493
 Durrell v. Mattheson, 1012, 1013
 Duthy v. Tito, 761
 Dwyer v. Gurry, 378
 Dyball v. Duffield, 1096
 Dyche v. Burgoyne, 159, 160
 Dyer v. Ashton, 919
 — v. Levy, 47, 847
 — v. Long, 846
 — v. Mackintosh, 1002
 Dyke v. Blackstone, 408, 716
 — v. Duke, 543
 — v. Edwards, 1072
 — v. Mercer, 439
 Dyott v. Dunn, 366, 576
 Dyson v. Birch, 48, 468
 — v. Harris, 284
 EADES v. Everett, 1159
 Eady, Re, 496, 1213, 1214, 1217
 Eager v. Cuthill, 1068, 1074, 1076
 Eaglefield v. Stevens, 607

- Eames v. Jew**, 158
 ——— **v. Williams**, 994
Eardley v. Turnock, 379
Earl v. Brown, 823
 ——— **v. Holderne**, 985
Earle v. Wynne, 1150
Early v. Bowman, 976
Easter v. Edwards, 574
Eastmure v. Lawes, 313, 998
Eaton v. Shuckborough, 1067, 1068
Eccles v. Holland, 957
Ecclestone v. Malaird, 241
Eckhardt v. Wilson, 899
Eddie v. Davidson, 431
Eddowes v. Hopkins, 324, 1141
Edelston v. Adams, 540
Eden v. Willis, 639, 831
Edensor v. Hoffman, 160, 802, 803, 1203
Edgar v. Farmer, 114
 ——— **v. Watt**, 488
Edge v. Frost, 1086
 ——— **v. Parker**, 903, 906
 ——— **v. Shaw**, 112, 293, 1119
Edgell v. Dallimore, 1242, 1259
Edgington v. Proudman, 1058
Edginton v. Town, 167
Edie v. E. I. Co., 1099
Edinburgh and Leith Railway Company v. Dawson, 1012, 1017
Edmond v. Carter, 927
 ———, **Assignee of the Sheriff of Surrey v. Ross**, 399
Edmonds, Ex parte, 1213
 ——— **v. Probert**, 374, 392
 ——— **v. Walter**, 1112
Edmondson v. Davis, 35
Edmondson v. Edmondson, 1140, 1146
Edmonson v. Machell, 326, 1087, 1097
 ——— **v. Parker**, 826
 ——— **v. Popkin**, 689
Edmonstone v. Plaisted, 218
Edmunds v. Coates, 1162, 1163
 ——— **v. Cox**, 1226
 ——— **v. Harris**, 189
 ——— **v. Keats**, 584
 ——— **v. Watson**, 410
Edrup v. Davies, 1071
Edwards, Ex parte, 905
Edwards v. Bennett, 1026
 ——— **v. Bethel**, 881, 1156
 ——— **v. Blunt**, 1110
 ——— **v. Bowen**, 796, 945
 ——— **v. Brown**, 1107
 ——— **v. Broxton**, 1095
 ——— **v. Carter**, 144
 ——— **v. Collins**, 119, 1046
 ——— **v. Danks**, 1044
 ——— **v. Dick**, 491
 ——— **v. Dignam**, 107, 145, 298, 516, 798, 799, 1093, 1096
Edwards v. Dunch, 798
 ——— **v. Edmunds**, 719
 ——— **v. Edwards**, 126
 ——— **v. Evans**, 1088
 ——— **v. Farebrother**, 430
 ——— **v. Greenwood**, 167, 181, 660
 ——— **v. Harrison**, 977
 ——— **v. Horben**, 431
 ——— **v. Jones**, 1150, 1152
 ——— **v. Price**, 972
 ——— **v. Rourke**, 471
 ——— **v. Tucker**, 471
Edwin v. Allen, 565, 621
Egerton v. Furzman, 265
Eggleton v. Smart, 807
 ——— **v. Smith**, 1070
Eichorn v. Le Maitre, 656, 711, 712, 1107
Eicke v. Evans, 488
 ——— **v. Nokes**, 72, 75, 905, 907
 ——— **v. Sowerby**, 361
Eidem v. Lutman, 718
Elchin v. Hopkins, 916
Elden v. Keddel, 221
Elderton, Ex parte, 568, 1185
Eldon v. Haig, 716
Elkins v. Payne, 1134
Elliot v. Callow, 981
Elliott v. Gutteridge, 590
 ——— **v. Micklin**, 717
 ——— **v. Skipp**, 1128
 ——— **v. Smith**, 639, 641, 831
 ——— **v. Sparrow**, 1010, 1210
 ——— **v. Thomas**, 194
Ellis v. Bates, 561
 ——— **v. Jackson**, 131
 ——— **v. Pipin**, 829
 ——— **v. Sinclair**, 496, 1217
 ——— **v. Trusler**, 207
 ——— **v. Yarborough**, 551
Elliston v. Roberts, 119, 130
 ——— **v. Robinson**, 111
Ellson v. Roberts, 1046
Ellwood v. Pearce, 83
Elmslie v. Wildman, 1093, 1094
Elsam, Re, 61
Elstone v. Mortlake, 491
Elton v. Larkins, 276
Elvin v. Drummond, 109, 1105
Elwell v. Quash, 691, 697, 880
Elwes v. Maw, 428
Elwood v. Elwood, 45
Elworthy v. Bird, 313, 314, 1087
 ——— **v. Maunder**, 489
Ely's (Bishop of) case, 955
Emanuel v. Martin, 347, 358
Emdin v. Darley, 460
Emerson v. Emerson, 1179
 ——— **v. Hawkins**, 493
 ——— **v. Lashley**, 460, 481
Emery v. Mucklow, 996

- Emmet v. Lyne, 1140
 Emmott v. Standen, 1055
 Empson v. Bathurst, 8
 England v. Kerwan, 593
 — v. Lewis, 476, 478
 — v. Roper, 225
 — v. Slade, 763
 Englefield v. Stephens, 583
 Engleheart v. Dunbar, 404, 638, 1135
 — v. Edwards, 110
 — v. Eyre, 103, 110, 332
 — v. Morgan, 1189
 Engler v. Twisden, 337, 876
 English v. Caballero, 467
 — v. Cox, 992, 993
 — v. Darley, 456
 Ent v. Withins, 1058
 Entick v. Carrington, 910, 912
 Entwistle v. Shepherd, 321, 360, 361, 388
 Erby v. Erby, 342
 Erle v. Wynne, 1148
 Ernest v. Brown, 185, 1036
 — v. Standen, 975
 Esdaile v. Davis, 451, 1045, 1049, 1136
 — v. Marshall, 127, 128
 — v. Oxenham, 86
 Esmonde v. Cooke, 886
 Ess v. Smith, 854
 Etherick v. Cowper, 548
 Ethersey v. Jackson, 203, 728, 729
 Etherton v. Popplewell, 789, 791
 Ethrington v. Kemp, 1211
 Evans's bail, 602
 Evans v. Atkins, 451
 — v. Barnard, 207, 1074
 — v. Bates, 560
 — v. Bidgood, 518, 539
 — v. Brander, 813
 — v. Burghart, 126
 — v. Chester, 348, 897, 898
 — v. Davies, 177, 196, 210, 1233
 — v. Downes, 298
 — v. Duncombe, 59
 — v. Elliott, 189
 — v. Fry, 126, 128
 — v. Gill, 163, 705
 — v. Higgs, 466
 — v. Lewis, 320
 — v. Mosely, 538
 — v. Pugh, 401, 681
 — v. Roberts, 393
 — v. Stephens, 1112
 — v. Sweet, 346, 361, 576
 — v. Taylor, 81, 223
 — v. Thomas, 338, 1133
 — v. Thompson, 1225, 1232
 — v. Weaver, 958, 959, 960
 — v. Whitehead, 106, 138, 142, 144, 514, 1043
 Eveleigh v. Salisbury, 1008, 1010
 Everard v. Patterson, 188, 377
 Everett v. Youells, 285, 286, 287
 Evering v. Chiffenden, 1013
 Evereth v. Bell, 978, 979, 980
 Evindon's case, 47
 Ewbank v. Owen, 799, 1204
 Ewer v. Ambrose, 219, 276
 Eyles v. Warren, 91, 694
 Eyre v. Thorpe, 1106
 — v. Welsh, 109, 130
 — v. Woodfine, 418, 938
 Eyres v. Coward, 824
 — v. Taunton, 821, 830
 Exeter (Dean and Chapter of) v. Leagell, 494, 1043
 — (Governors of Poor of) v. Sivell, 332, 1042
 FABIAN and Windsor's case, 773
 — v. Winstan, 773
 Fabrigas v. Mostyn, 1089, 1090
 Fabrilus v. Cock, 1093
 Facy v. Lange, 321
 Faget v. Vauthiennen, 579, 1120
 Fagg v. Borsley, 157
 Fail v. Pickford, 970
 Fairclaim v. Shamtitle, 752
 Fairlie v. Birch, 544
 — v. Denton, 278
 — v. Parker, 239
 Fairman v. Bryant, 468
 — v. Farquharson, 488
 Faith v. M'Intyre, 271, 275, 279
 Faithorne v. Blaquiere, 691
 Falconbridge (Lady) v. Forrest, 958
 Falconer v. Hanson, 246
 Faldowe v. Ridge, 376
 Fall v. Fall, 1186, 1269
 Fancourt v. Bull, 275
 Fanshaw v. Morrison, 321
 Fansick v. Agar, 246
 Far v. Denn, 202, 371, 375, 763, 1172
 Farebrother v. Worsley, 182
 Farewell v. Chaffey, 1090
 — v. Coker, 8
 Farley v. Bryant, 876, 884
 Farley v. Hebbes, 55, 169
 — v. Newnham, 1061
 Farmel v. Stanford, 126
 Farmer v. Champneys, 146
 — v. Jenkinson, 479
 — v. Thorley, 566, 633
 — v. Thrustout, 738
 Farncombe, Ex parte, 28
 — v. Kent, 403, 412, 419, 420, 444, 446, 455, 486, 1136, 1182
 Farnell v. Keightley, 881

- Farnstone *v.* Taylor, 1188
 Farquhar, *Ex parte*, 93
 ——— *v.* Morris, 984
 Farr *v.* Newman, 427, 430
 Farrah *v.* Keat, 235
 Farrance *v.* Brignall, 1020
 Farrant *v.* Morgan, 978
 ——— *v.* Olmius, 1097, 1104
 Farrant *v.* Thompson, 418, 423, 428
 Farray *v.* Durrant, 442
 Farrelain *v.* Shackleton, 923
 Farrell *v.* Dale, 1188
 Farrent *v.* Morgan, 1174
 Farwig *v.* Cockerton, 200, 294, 295,
 1127, 1128, 1129
 Fassett *v.* Browne, 226
 Faulkener *v.* Chevell, 166
 ——— *v.* Emmett, 687
 ——— *v.* Wise, 601
 Fawcett *v.* Christie, 987
 Fazacharly *v.* Baldo, 947, 948, 949,
 950
 Fearne *v.* Wilson, 71
 Fearnley's bail, 580, 582, 598
 Fearnley, *Re*, 1247
 Fearon *v.* White, 240, 243
 Featherstonehaugh *v.* Atkinson, 531,
 544
 ——— *v.* Reece, 82
 Feeley *v.* Reed, 875, 1150
 Feize *v.* Parkinson, 1039
 ——— *v.* Thompson, 322
 Felgate *v.* Mole, 649
 Fell *v.* Fell, 1187
 ——— *v.* Riley, 687, 689
 ——— *v.* Rosling, 1030
 ——— *v.* Tyne, 212
 Felton *v.* Ash, 756
 ——— *v.* King, 586
 Fendall *v.* May, 688
 ——— *v.* Sidney, 237
 Fenn, *Ex parte*, 1268
 ——— *v.* Denn, 746
 ——— *v.* Roe, 746
 ——— *v.* Wild, 67
 Fennell, *Re*, 1269
 ——— *v.* Gardner, 603
 ——— *v.* Tait, 234
 Fenner *v.* Evans, 815
 Fenton, *Re*, 65
 ——— *v.* Anstice, 152
 ——— *v.* Correia, 71
 ——— *v.* Ellis, 492
 ——— *v.* Hill, 126
 ——— *v.* Ruggles, 579, 580, 587
 ——— *v.* Warre, 581
 Fenwick's case, 745
 ——— *v.* Farrow, 959
 ——— *v.* Fenwick, 465
 ——— *v.* Grosvenor, 765, 991
 Ferguson *v.* Clarke, 324
 ——— *v.* D'Arcy, 208
 ——— *v.* Mahon D'Arcy, 856, 866
 ——— *v.* Mitchell, 199
 ——— *v.* Norman, 1242, 1244
 ——— *v.* Rawlinson, 379
 ——— *v.* Sprang, 690
 Fermor *v.* Phillips, 545
 Ferrall *v.* Alexander, 614, 615
 Ferrars (Earl) *v.* Robins, 1013
 Ferrer *v.* Oven, 1255
 Ferrers *v.* Weal, 1127
 Fidgett *v.* Remy, 190
 Fidlett *v.* Bolton, 1190
 Fife *v.* Bruere, 522, 1045
 Figes *v.* Adams, 1247
 Figgins *v.* Ward, 714, 721, 1189
 ——— *v.* Willie, 8
 Field *v.* Biercroft, 695
 ——— *v.* Bezant, 83, 481
 ——— *v.* Carron, 1014
 ——— *v.* Cope, 1010
 ——— *v.* Henning, 214
 ——— *v.* Jones, 862
 ——— *v.* Smith, 414, 437
 ——— *v.* Weeks, 211
 ——— *v.* Woods, 190, 194
 Fielder *v.* Ray, 278
 Fieldhouse *v.* Croft, 427
 Filewood *v.* Clement, 452
 ——— *v.* Popplewell, 620
 Filkes *v.* Allen, 854
 Filmer *v.* Delber, 53, 58, 1221
 Finch *v.* Blount, 192, 320
 ——— *v.* Cocker, 130, 450, 512, 513,
 1210
 ——— *v.* Duddin, 449, 897
 Finchett *v.* How, 74
 Finlay *v.* Jowle, 889, 891
 Finlayson *v.* M'Kenzie, 190
 ——— *v.* M'Leod, 1237, 1239
 Finley *v.* Porter, 235
 Finn *v.* Hutchinson, 687
 Finnerty *v.* Smith, 1202, 1208, 1267
 Firley *v.* Rallett, 503, 511, 521, 704,
 1046, 1048
 Firth *v.* Robinson, 1235, 1236
 Fish's case, 418, 455
 ——— *v.* Palmer, 136, 139, 143, 1047
 ——— *v.* Travers, 268
 ——— *v.* Wiseman, 455, 818
 Fisher *v.* Aide, 980
 ——— *v.* Algar, 789
 ——— *v.* Begrez, 466, 477
 ——— *v.* Branscombe, 622
 ——— *v.* Carruthers, 642
 ——— *v.* Davies, 290
 ——— *v.* Goodwin, 126
 ——— *v.* Hewitt, 1031, 1126
 ——— *v.* Hughes, 763

- Faber v. Lane, 222
 — v. Nicholas, 684, 686
 — v. Pimbley, 1241, 1255
 — v. Snow, 146, 663, 964
 — v. Stanhope, 859
 — v. Thames Junction Canal Company, 178, 183
 — v. Wainwright, 1039
 Fitch v. Green, 1194
 Fitzgerald v. Graves, 1236
 Fitch v. Toulmin, 299, 301
 Fitchet v. Adams, 771
 Fitzgerald v. Clanricard, (Countess of), 630
 — v. Elsee, 227
 — v. Plunkett, 687
 — v. Whitmore, 1012
 Fitzherbert v. Leach, 351
 Fitzpatrick v. Pickering, 1176
 Fitzwilliam (Earl) v. Maxwell, 647
 Flanders v. Nicholls, 475
 Flecke v. Godfrey, 958
 Fleetwood's case, 443, 445
 — v. Taylor, 298, 1096
 Fleming v. Crisp, 1037
 — v. Langton, 667, 710, 723, 1082, 1083
 Flemming's bail, 580
 Fletcher's case, 23
 — v. Greenwood, 910
 — v. Lew, 1016
 — v. Richardson, 757
 — v. Saunders, 790
 — v. Wilkins, 912
 Flight v. Chaplin, 689, 690
 — v. Salter, 690
 Flint, Re, 49
 — v. Bignell, 721
 — v. De Logant, 466
 — v. Hill, 1145
 Flower v. Bolingbroke, (Earl of), 337
 — v. Carr, 181
 Fogarty v. Smith, 868
 Foley v. Langhorne, 1267
 Folkard v. Hemet, 1027
 Folkein v. Critico, 623, 626
 Folks v. Scudder, 901, 903
 Foncreau v. —, 1098
 Foot v. Coare, 1173
 — v. Sherreff, 119
 Forbes v. Mason, 520
 — v. Middleton, 705
 — v. Phillips, 495, 514, 632
 — v. Wells, 244
 Ford v. Baynton, 1005, 1008
 — v. Bernard, 1034, 1048, 1049
 — v. Boucher, 1012, 1013
 — v. Dillon, 1008
 — v. Gainer, 957
 — v. Grey, 226
 Ford v. Jones, 1234
 — v. Lech, 524
 — v. Leeke, 412
 — v. Maxwell, 73
 Fores v. Diemar, 1209
 Forest v. Sandland (Sir J.), 348
 Forester v. Dale, 1145
 Forman v. Jayes, 396
 Fornin v. Oswald, 1173, 1174
 Forrest v. Hale, 177
 Forster v. Cale, 469
 Forster v. Kerkwall, 552
 Forsyth v. Marryatt, 637
 Fort v. Oliver, 823, 934, 1182
 Fortescue's bail, 581
 Fortescue, Ex parte, 1257, 1265
 — v. Jones, 1045, 1187
 Forty v. Hermer, 832
 Fosbrook v. Holt, 1161
 Foss v. Wagner, 1013
 Fossett v. Godfrey, 1177
 Foster's bail, 582, 583, 598, 606, 1047
 Foster v. Allenby, 967
 — v. Alves, 967
 — v. Blackwell, 1132
 — v. Blakelock, 416
 — v. Bonner, 2
 — v. Claggett, 688
 — v. Compton, 217
 — v. Hawden, 287
 — v. Hexam, 955
 — v. Hilton, 425
 — v. Jackson, 399, 446, 447, 455, 464
 — v. Jolly, 1099
 — v. Kirkwall, 556
 — v. Laidler, 353
 — v. Mitton, 955
 — v. Obadiah, 524
 — v. Smales, 716
 — v. Snow, 162
 — v. Steele, 1097
 — v. Taylor, 958, 259, 960
 — v. Weston, 1148
 Fothergill v. Walton, 408, 873
 Fotterel v. Philby, 859
 Foulkes v. Burgess, 857
 — v. Neighbour, 1150
 Fountain v. Steele, 1016
 — v. Young, 1174, 1175
 Fourdrinier v. Bradbury, 265, 1092
 Fowell v. Leo, 614
 — v. Petrie, 490
 Fowlds v. Mackintosh, 572
 Fowler's bail, 593
 — v. Coster, 271, 907
 — v. Dunn, 623, 626
 — v. Morton, 487
 — v. Whadcock, 823
 Fowles v. Grosvenor, 592

- Fownes v. Stokes**, 503, 511, 521, 522,
 531, 1045, 1046
Fox v. Chandler, 174
 — *v. Clifton*, 120
 — *v. Glass*, 705
 — *v. Jones*, 850, 1024
 — *v. M'Culloch*, 1074
 — *v. Money*, 119, 522
 — *v. Nuney*, 1046
 — *v. Smith*, 1238, 1244
Foxall's bail, 601, 607
Foxall v. Banks, 1142
Foxcroft v. Devonshire, 1089
Foxwist v. Tremain, 49, 656
Foxworthy's case, 855
Foy's bail, 612
Foy v. Percy, 865
Fraas v. Paravicini, 212, 704, 1093
Fradly v. Fradly, 193
France v. Clarkson, 410
 — *v. Lucy*, 230
 — *v. Parry*, 386
 — *v. Tringer*, 1125
 — *v. Wright*, 116
Francis v. Clarkson, 687
 — *v. Baker*, 190
 — *v. Ball*, 1174
 — *v. Doe d. Harvey*, 1166, 1168
 — *v. Nash*, 398, 426
Francisco v. Gilmore, 238
Frankland v. Cole, 63
Franklin v. Featherstonehaugh, 84
Franklyn v. Reeves, 371
Franks, Ex parte, 38
 — *v. James*, 510
 — *v. Quinsee*, 945, 946
Frankum v. Falmouth (Earl of), 193,
 284, 1155
Fraser's case, 21, 128
Fraser v. Miller, 832, 835
Freake v. Cranefeldt, 874, 877
Fream v. Best, 602
 — *v. Chaplin*, 302
Freame v. Mitford, 471, 472
 — *v. Pinneger*, 1252
Frederick v. Lookup, 321, 377
Free v. Hawkins, 180
 — *v. Mason*, 158
Freeman's bail, 582
Freeman v. Archer, 712, 807
 — *v. Arkell*, 1088
 — *v. Bluet*, 453
 — *v. Burgess*, 471
 — *v. Freeman (Exor. of)*, 642
 — *v. Gwynn*, 961
 — *v. Moyes*, 877
 — *v. Norris*, 958
 — *v. Paganini*, 616
 — *v. Weston*, 855, 858, 865
French, Ex parte, 38
French v. Bellew, 497, 1213
 — *v. Burton*, 210, 1068
 — *v. Manwood*, 925
Frescobaldi v. Kynaston, 349, 892
Fricke v. Poole, 486, 492, 494
Fricker v. Eastman, 987
**Friedlander v. London Assurance
 Company**, 276
Frith's bail, 612
Frith, Ex parte, 904
 — *v. Donegal (Lord)*, 923
 — *v. Leroux*, 385, 642
Frodsham v. Myers, 1012
 — *v. Round*, 112, 293, 1119
 — *v. Rust*, 1077
Frost's case, 453, 938
Frost, Ex parte, 23, 68
Frost v. Coare, 1174
Froud v. Stillard, 73
Fruckebolt v. Payne, 175
Fry, Ex parte, 32, 34
 — *v. Carey*, 949
 — *v. Hardy*, 287
 — *v. Malcolm*, 481
 — *v. Mann*, 208
 — *v. Rogers*, 141
 — *v. Wills*, 1017
Fryer v. Binns, 716, 717
Fulham v. Bagshaw, 318, 663
Fulke v. Bourke, 590, 597, 619
Fuller's bail, 582, 612
Fuller v. Coombe, 365
 — *v. Jocelyn*, 688
 — *v. Osborne*, 203
 — *v. Prentice*, 235, 236, 237, 1269
 — *v. Prest*, 537, 539, 542, 544,
 572, 587, 588, 599
Fulwell v. Hall, 970, 971
Fulwood's case, 445, 447
Fulwood v. Annis, 1134
Furlong v. Rucker, 378
Furly v. Newnham, 234
Furieux v. Fotherby, 788
 — *v. Hutchins*, 1104
Furnell v. Smith, 637, 832
Furnish v. Swan, 362
Furnival v. Stringer, 858, 859, 942, 943
 — *v. Weston*, 299
Fursey v. Pilkington, 694
Furtado v. Miller, 405
Futcher v. Smith, 688
Fynn v. Kemp, 130
Fyson v. Kemp, 84
GABLENTZ'S bail, 581, 584, 604
Gadd v. Bennett, 266, 1071
Gains v. Bilson, 207, 211
Gainsborough v. Follyard, 688, 697
Gainsford v. Blackford, 1091
Gaire v. Goodman, 704

- Gaitkill v. Greathead, 163
 Gale v. Hayworth, 569
 — v. Leckie, 493
 — v. Packington, 83
 — v. Winkes, 126, 127, 128, 130
 Galloway v. Bleaden, 1031
 Gally v. Clegg, 262
 Gambrell v. Falmouth (Earl), 1153
 Gammage v. Watkin, 483
 Gamon v. Jones, 808, 1130
 Gandell v. Rogier, 1210
 Garbutt, Ex parte, 45
 Garden v. Cresswell, 235
 Gardener v. Davis, 1066
 Gardiner v. Holt, 448, 891, 894
 — v. Gardner. Ex parte, 59, 61
 — v. Alexander, 178
 — v. Baillie, 312
 — v. Bowman, 150
 — v. Cover, 43
 — v. Cresswell, 1267
 — v. Green, 1189
 — v. Jessop, 48, 847
 — v. Merrett, 353, 1135
 — v. Moses, 1077
 — v. Slack, 901
 — v. Walker, 104, 1120
 — v. Williams, 358
 Garland, In re, 59
 Garland v. Burton, 1152
 — v. Carlisle, 433
 — v. Exton, 656
 — v. Jekyll, 1139
 — v. Scoones, 217
 Garner v. Anderson, 1121
 — v. Brown, 1268
 Garnett v. Heaviside, 566
 Garnham v. Hammond, 487
 Garratt, Ex parte, 42
 Garratt v. Hooper, 198, 655, 1048,
 1049, 1055
 Garrells v. Alexander, 228
 Garrett v. Mantell, 857
 Garrick v. Jones, 459
 Garry v. Wilks, 64, 68
 Garth v. Howard, 315
 Gaskell v. Marshall, 430
 Gates v. Terry, 1073
 Gatliff v. Browne, 268
 Gawler v. Jolly, 404, 637
 Gayler v. Cleeve, 986, 989
 Gaylor v. Farrant, 283, 285
 Geach v. Coppin, 539, 540, 541, 615
 Geale v. Chapman, 210
 Gee v. Lane, 688, 697
 Geery v. Hopkins, 232, 234
 Gehagan v. Harper, 865
 Gennér v. Sparks, 532
 Gent v. Abbott, 632, 927
 George v. Birch, 1004
 George v. Easton, 1153
 — v. Elston, 88, 458
 — v. Fry, 870
 — v. Lousley, 1230, 1231, 1233,
 1249
 — v. Radford, 532
 — v. Stanley, 689
 — v. Thompson, 230
 — v. Wisdom, 764
 Gerard's case, 47
 Germain v. Burrows, 482
 Gerrard v. Arnold, 348
 Gerrard v. De Roebuck, 962
 Gerrard v. Early, 1019, 1020
 Gervas v. Burtchley, 291
 Gethin v. Wilkes, 424, 1006
 Ghent v. Abbott, 934
 Gibbon v. Coggan, 543
 — v. Copeman, 977, 983
 Gibbons, Ex parte, 529
 — v. Dove, 366
 — v. Phillips, 211, 1106, 1166
 — v. Saunders, 356
 Gibbs v. Goles, 1068
 Gibson v. Bond, 690
 — v. Brooke, 414
 — v. East India Company, 842
 — v. Harris, 191
 — v. Humphreys, 985, 986
 — v. Hunter, 310, 311
 — v. M'Carty, 919
 — v. White, 624
 — v. Winter, 299
 Giddings v. Giddings, 665
 Gifford v. Woodgate, 414
 Gilbert v. Burtenshaw, 1090
 — v. Kirkland, 139, 143, 1047,
 1071
 — v. Pope, 868
 Gilby v. Lockyer, 494
 Giles v. Grover, 406, 433
 — v. Hemming, 1050
 — v. Powell, 278
 Gilhard v. Gadstone, 380
 Gill v. Scrivens, 826
 Gillett v. Abbott, 226
 — v. Rippon, 85
 Gillies v. Smither, 227
 Gillingham v. Waskett, 704, 712, 726
 Gillman v. Hill, 685
 — v. Wright, 526
 Gilmore v. Melton, 1075
 Gilmour v. Brindley, 576
 Gilson v. Carr, 51, 109, 1192
 Gimbart v. Pelah, 790
 Ginders v. Moore, 55
 Gingell v. Turnbull, 811
 Ginger v. Cowper, 348, 354, 1134
 Gisborne v. Wyatt, 1634
 Gisburne v. Hart, 1245

- Gist v. Mason**, 1097
Gitton v. Randell, 957
Gadman v. Bateman, 892, 893
Gladstone v. White, 1001, 1002
Gladwin v. Scott, 689
Glaister v. Hewitt, 86, 458
Glandy v. Borrowdale, 195
Glascok v. Morgan, 447
Glascott v. Castle, 78
Glasspoole v. Young, 326
Glazier v. Cooke, 1009
Glead v. Mackay, 600
Glendinning v. Robinson, 623
Glendow v. Atkin, 230
Glenn v. Wilks, 119
Gloster v. Honan, 1221
Gloucester (Bishop of) and Savacre's case, 348
Glover, Ex parte, 904, 905
—— **v. Barrie**, 1245
—— **v. Watmore**, 155, 156, 577, 1033, 1200
Glynn v. Hutchinson, 33, 35, 945, 949
—— **v. Yates**, 620
Goater v. Nunneley, 232, 1023
Gobby v. Dewes, 546, 1268
Gobed v. Hirt, 1174
Goddard v. Davis, 587
—— **v. Harris**, 528, 915
—— **v. Jarvis**, 591
—— **v. Smith**, 1083
Godefroy v. Dalton, 63
—— **v. Jay**, 217, 803, 804
Godfrey v. Clements, 1128
—— **v. Norris**, 226
—— **v. Philpott**, 960
—— **v. Wade**, 1222
—— **v. Watson**, 448
Godin v. Ferris, 910
Goding v. Dias, 379
Godmanchester (Bailiffs of) v. Phillips, 275
Godsby v. Marden, 946
Godson v. Freeman, 876
—— **v. Lloyd**, 708, 1176
—— **v. Sanctuary**, 93, 432, 433, 434, 677
Godwin v. Crowle, 725
Gofton v. Sedgwick, 355
Goldie, Ex parte, 529
Golding v. Barlow, 1014
—— **v. Dias**, 380
—— **v. Grace**, 135
—— **v. Haverfield**, 624
—— **v. Scarborough**, 1047, 1050
Goldschmidt v. Marryatt, 232, 265, 1023
Goldsmid v. Taite, 709
Goldsmith v. Baynard, 48, 468
Goldsmith v. Levy, 934
Goldsworthy v. Southcott, 823
Goldthwaite v. Petrie, 875
Gompertz v. Denton, 1149
Gooch v. Pearson, 1076
Good v. Holmstrom, 367
—— **v. Watkins**, 1145
Good v. Wilks, 1270
Goodall v. Ensell, 1146
—— **v. Ray**, 1164
Goodburne v. Bowman, 1109, 1159
Goodchild v. Chaworth, 448, 641
Goode v. Burcher, 1245, 1246
—— **v. Langley**, 416
Goodee v. Goldsmith, 975, 1084
Goodenough v. Butler, 1058
Goodfellow v. Robings, 868
Goodman v. —, 854
—— **v. London**, 479, 480
—— **v. Ranger**, 734
Goodner v. Cover, 43
Goodricke v. Turley, 162, 1020, 1048, 1215, 1219
Goodright Ward v. Badtittle, 751
—— **v. Cator**, 773
—— **v. Moore**, 756
—— **Stevenson v. Noright**, 776
—— **v. Rich**, 1030
—— **v. Saul**, 1104
—— **and Waddington v. Thrustout**, 738
—— **v. Vice**, 760
—— **v. Williams**, 201
—— **v. Wright**, 892, 893
Goodson v. Forbes, 1223, 1231
Goodtitle v. Badtittle, 496, 739, 742, 743, 747, 748
—— **d. Pye v. Badtittle**, 1215, 1216
—— **d. Roberts v. Badtittle**, 739
—— **v. Braham**, 228, 269
—— **v. Clayton**, 227, 1098
—— **v. Holdfast**, 775
—— **v. Jones**, 318, 1107
—— **v. Mayo**, 918, 920
—— **v. North**, 786
—— **v. Notitle**, 736, 778
—— **v. Otway**, 1131
—— **v. Pope**, 756, 984
—— **v. Thrustout**, 737, 739
—— **v. Tombs**, 719, 785, 786, 787
Goodwin v. Beakbean, 831
—— **v. Gibbons**, 468, 1097
—— **v. Lordon**, 479, 480
—— **v. Lugar**, 637, 832
—— **v. Moore**, 890
—— **v. Parry**, 483, 494, 1042
—— **v. Peck**, 639, 831
Goodright v. Hodgson, 1110
—— **v. Wright**, 350, 392, 1119

- Goodyere v. Ince, 418
 Gordon, Ex parte, 30, 38
 — v. Corbett, 721
 — v. Secretan, 227, 231
 — v. Twine, 870
 Gore v. Goston, 424
 Goring v. Bishop, 64, 65
 Gorman v. Boyle, 206
 Gorton v. Dyson, 228, 231
 Gosbell v. Archer, 1159
 Goslin v. Wilcock, 1089
 Goss v. Watlington, 230
 Gosson v. Graham, 1142
 Gossop v. Poole, 430
 Gotlieb v. Danvers, 231
 Goubot v. De Crouy, 414, 415
 Gouge's bail, 601
 Gougenheim v. Lane, 919, 920, 1153,
 1159
 Gough v. Bryan, 188, 193
 — v. White, 207, 1073, 1074
 Gould v. Berry, 600
 — v. Bradstock, 788
 — v. Conethurst, 354
 — v. Davis, 87
 — v. Hammersley, 709, 723
 — v. Holmstrom, 366, 588, 619,
 643
 — v. Hulme, 221
 — v. Jones, 228
 — v. Oliver, 1165
 — v. Williams, 471
 Gousham v. Germain, 1249
 Gower v. Elkins, 812, 988
 — v. Popkin, 78
 Gowlett v. Hanforth, 698, 984
 Gracewood v. —, 1024
 Graddell v. Tyson, 371, 375
 Graddon, Ex parte, 34
 Graham v. Anderson, 600
 — v. Beaumont, 1191
 — v. Beantree (hundred of), 843
 — v. Benton, 470
 — v. Dyster, 230, 231
 — v. Grill, 937, 939, 414, 415
 — v. Henry, 935, 937, 939
 — v. Partridge, 186, 190, 1126
 — v. Stewart, 600
 Grainger v. Moore, 854
 — v. Shopper, 298
 Granby v. Frowd, 1205
 Granger v. Taunton, 509
 — v. Wilkes, 870
 Grant's bail, 602, 611
 Grant, Ex parte, 61, 67, 1263, 1268
 — v. Anon., 168, 171
 — v. Astle, 324, 1131
 — v. Bagge, 509, 510
 — v. Bryant, 933, 995, 997
 — v. Fagan, 623, 635
 Grant v. Flower, 704, 1047
 — v. Fry, 1001
 — v. Gilba, 507, 576, 580, 608,
 610
 — v. Kemp, 877
 — v. Sondes, 654, 804
 — v. Stoneham, 1189
 — v. South, 374
 — v. Willes, 617
 Grantley v. Summons, 694
 Gratt v. Willis, 1033
 Gravall v. Stimpson, 357, 364
 Gravenor v. Woodhouse, 1088
 Graves v. Browning, 1212
 — v. Eades, 87
 — v. Graves, 891
 — v. Short, 287, 288
 — v. Walter, 1046
 — v. Weld, 428
 — v. Wise, 142, 143
 Gravett v. Williams, 569
 Gray v. Ashton, 163
 — v. Cookson, 914
 — v. Cox, 1059, 1105
 — v. Harvey, 632
 — v. Kirby, 46, 68
 — v. Pennell, 166, 1052, 1054
 — v. Pindar, 167, 168
 — v. Shepherd, 493
 — v. Sidneff, 166, 654
 — v. Soames, 1174
 — v. Withers, 695
 Grayson v. Jupp, 1237
 Grazebrook v. Davis, 1248
 Greatwood v. Sims, 1092, 1104
 Greaves, Re, 59, 60
 — v. Hunter, 228
 — v. Rolls, 759
 Gree v. Rolle, 1083
 Green v. Austin, 425
 — v. Bolton, 1175
 — v. Brown, 1004, 1005
 — v. Clarke, 1037
 — v. Coughlan, 981
 — v. Elgie, 400, 483, 495, 501,
 517, 632
 — v. Farmer, 86
 — v. Foster, 399, 943
 — v. Gauntlett, 210
 — v. Giffard, 209
 — v. Glasbrooke, 413, 503, 522,
 616, 1064, 1136
 — v. Gray, 675, 676, 677, 678
 — v. Hartley, 611
 — v. Hewett, 11
 — v. Jacobs, 625
 — v. Light, 1268
 — v. Miller, 1116, 1118, 1125,
 1132
 — v. Mitton, 1121

- Green v. Okill, 357
 — v. Pole, 1225
 — v. Prosser, 1268
 — v. Redshaw, 485, 502
 — v. Rennett, 1116, 1118, 1120, 1132
 — v. Rohan, 449
 — v. Smith, 253
 — v. Waring, 1241
 Greene v. Hearne, 718
 — v. Jones, 408, 525
 Greenhaugh v. Gaskell, 48
 Greenhill v. Mitchell, 1077
 — v. Shepherd, 656
 Greensill v. Hopley, 601
 Greenslade v. Rotheroe, 104
 Greenway v. Fisher, 91, 341
 — v. Hard, 911
 Greenwood, *In re*, 1234
 — v. Dyer, 1264
 — v. Johnson, 1152
 — v. Pigott, 1127
 — v. Richardson, 1118
 Greeves v. Rolls, 1083
 Gregg's case, 77, 970, 971, 986
 Gregory, *Ex parte*, 694, 1211
 — v. Des Anges, 118, 924, 927
 — v. Elgin, 1014
 — v. Gurdon, 571, 587
 — v. Hartnoll, 189, 190
 — v. Hurrell, 922
 — v. Taverner, 1087, 1098
 — v. Tuffs, 1098
 Gregson v. Heather, 559, 562
 Greig v. Talbot, 1232, 1255
 Grell v. Richards, 393
 Grellier v. Neale, 225
 Grenfell v. Pierson, 1146
 Grenville v. Hutchings, 1149
 — v. Smith, 1114
 Gretham v. Theele (*hundred of*), 845
 Grey v. Grant, 1090
 — v. Jefferson, 1134
 — v. Pennell, 139
 — v. Saunders, 142
 Gribble v. Abbott, 360, 361
 Grice v. Allen, 525
 Grierson v. Aird, 1061
 Griffiths v. Eyles, 87, 179
 Griffin v. Scott, 789, 791
 — v. Taylor, 100, 1198
 Griffith v. Crockford, 1127
 Griffiths v. Davies, 48
 — v. Franklin, 316
 — v. Jones, 975, 1153
 — v. Liversedge, 680, 697, 1162
 — v. Pointon, 1150
 — v. Williams, 45, 46, 53, 228, 972, 975, 976, 1103, 1181
 Grillard v. Hogue, 238
 Grimes v. Joseph, 852, 856
 — v. Naish, 1260, 1261
 Grimshaw v. Atterwell, 325
 Grimstead v. Shirley, 875
 Grimstone v. Burgers, 966
 Grindall v. Goodman, 1165
 — v. Smith, 495, 632
 Grindley v. Holloway, 914
 — v. Thorn, 126, 127
 Grissell v. Peto, 56
 — v. Robinson, 84
 Groenvelt v. Burwell, 289, 346
 Grojan v. Lee, 109, 130
 Gronow v. Pointer, 97
 Groom v. Bradley, 276
 Grosjean v. Manning, 209
 Gross v. Fisher, 1174
 Grosvenor v. Soane, 548
 Grottick v. Bailey, 570
 — v. Phillips, 664
 Grove v. Cox, 1236
 — v. Ware, 231
 Grover v. Heath, 78
 Groves v. Cowham, 433, 435
 — v. Shackery, 957
 Grubb, *Ex parte*, 65
 Gruggen v. White, 61
 Grumble v. Bodilly, 990, 991
 Grundy v. Mell, 1127
 — v. Wilson, 1260
 Guard v. Hodge, 958
 Gubbs v. Blackwell, 634
 Guest v. Elwes, 285, 335, 336
 — v. Everset, 149
 Guichard v. Roberts, 600
 Guillam v. Hardesty, 831
 Guillod v. Nock, 978
 Guinness v. Carrol, 690, 900
 Gulliver v. Drinkwater, 787
 — v. Smith, 771
 — v. Summerfield, 1260
 — v. Wagstaffe, 746
 Gully v. Exeter (*Bishop of*), 175
 Gundry v. Sturt, 1145
 Gunn v. Cromer, 626
 — v. Honeymoon, 254
 — v. M'Clintock, 478
 — v. Macheury, 950
 Gunter v. M'Kear, 243
 Gurden v. Cresswell, 233
 Gurney v. Buller, 809, 1237
 — v. Clere, 1128, 1129
 — v. Hopkinson, 118, 119, 516, 521
 — v. Key, 1013, 1017
 — v. Langlands, 228
 Guthrie v. Ford, 855
 — v. Wood, 429
 Gutteridge v. Smith, 313, 978
 Guy v. Newson, 471

Gwilliam v. Barnet, 61
 Gwillim v. Hollbrook, 794, 810
 — v. Howes, 604
 — v. Scholey, 813
 Gwilt v. Crawley, 1092
 Gwinn v. Fuller, 604
 Gwyn v. Godby, 378
 Gynn v. Kirby, 52

HACKER v. Gordon, 793
 — v. Hardy, 1077
 Hackett v. Herne, 348, 353, 373, 1134
 — v. Marshall, 336, 1133
 Hadderweek v. Catmur, 484
 Haddock v. Williams, 1216
 Haden, Ex parte, 24
 Hadley v. Stiles, 315
 Hagedorn v. Allnutt, 236
 Haggett v. Argent, 55, 575, 593
 Hague, Ex parte, 60, 68
 — v. Levi, 494
 Haigh v. Conway, 144, 984
 — v. Struck, 180
 Hailey v. Disney, 1005
 Haine v. Davy, 1096
 Haines v. Nairne, 415, 540, 615, 616,
 617
 — v. Taylor, 1079, 1080
 Halden v. Glasscock, 1232, 1258
 Hale v. Baker, 977
 — v. Castleman, 1267
 — v. Cove, 287, 1104
 — v. Phillips, 1233
 Halford v. Smith, 1121, 1129, 1146
 Halhead v. Abrahams, 313
 Hall's bail, 579
 — Ex parte, 921
 — v. Alderson, 1230
 — v. Arnold, 860
 — v. Ashurst, 316
 — v. Barber, 472
 — v. Bradford, 1178
 — v. Buchanan, 207, 1072
 — v. Carter, 541
 — v. Champneys, 1053
 — v. Forget, 1153
 — v. Hawkins, 470, 926
 — v. Howes, 482
 — v. Jones, 540
 — v. Lawrence, 1235, 1249
 — v. Maule, 174
 — v. Middleton, 298
 — v. Milligan, 266
 — v. Ody, 460
 — v. Pierce, 1142
 — v. Roche, 408, 410, 525
 — v. Rouse, 1222, 1233
 — v. Smith, 1151, 1161
 — v. Stone, 1091
 — v. Stothard, 1094

Hall v. Tapper, 338
 — v. Welchman, 94
 — v. Winckfield, 830
 Hallen v. Smith, 1168
 Hallet v. East India Company, 970,
 971
 Hallett v. Hallett, 1233
 Hallett v. Mearns, 237
 — v. Mountstephen, 812
 Halley's case, 954
 Halliday v. Fitzpatrick, 1120
 Hallifax v. Chambers, 194
 Hally v. Tipping, 106, 514
 Halsall v. Wedgwood, 738
 Halt v. Verdier, 871
 Halton v. Stocking, 1050
 Haly v. Morgan, 471
 Ham v. Gregg, 207, 211, 1071, 1073
 — v. Philcox, 570
 Hamber v. Cooper, 477
 Hambly v. Trott, 1178, 1179
 Hambridge v. Crawley, 290
 Hamilton v. Dalziel, 412, 524
 — v. Jones, 575
 — v. Pitt, 70, 476
 — v. Wilson, 574, 1112
 Hamlet's bail, 580
 Hammell v. Abel, 378
 Hammond v. Stewart, 232, 235
 — v. Taylor, 532
 — v. Teague, 180
 — v. Thorpe, 57
 Hampson v. Chamberlain, 1134
 Hanbury v. Ella, 282
 — v. Guest, 360, 723, 729
 Hancock v. Coffin, 899
 — v. Haywood, 324
 — v. Smith, 1016
 Hand v. Dinely (Lady), 987
 Handasyde v. Morgan, 366
 Handcock, Ex parte, 30
 Handford v. Handford, 294, 295
 Handley v. Levy, 1151, 1152
 Hankey v. Smith, 320, 1096
 Hankin v. Broomhead, 728
 Hanlaire v. Byam, 1045
 Hanley v. Morgan, 490
 Hann v. Capell, 425
 Hannaford v. Holman, 716
 Hannah v. Willis, 541, 615, 1164
 — v. Wyman, 1045, 1076, 1187
 Hannam v. Dietrichsen, 127
 Hannay v. Smith, 313, 315, 701
 Hannot v. Farettes, 358
 Hausby v. Evans, 1071
 Hanslow v. Wilks, 297
 Hanson v. Blakey, 303, 434, 470, 907
 — v. Shackleton, 119, 521, 1044,
 1049
 — v. Sprange, 1040

- Hanwell's bail, 581, 585, 588, 603
 Hanwell *v.* Moore, 614
 Harbin *v.* Miles, 82
 Harbord *v.* Perigal, 653
 Harbottle *v.* Clarke, 591, 610
 Harcourt *v.* Weeks, 807
 Hardinburgh, *Ex parte*, 905
 Harding *v.* Austen, 1191, 1192
 ——— *v.* Cobley, 275
 ——— *v.* Forshaw, 1246
 ——— *v.* Greensmith, 744
 ——— *v.* Manners, 128
 ——— *v.* Stafford, 714
 ——— *v.* Watts, 1234
 ——— *v.* Wilkin, 985
 Hardisty *v.* Barney, 425, 832
 ——— *v.* Barry, 820
 Hardwick *v.* Black, 597
 Hardy *v.* Bern, 725, 1107
 ——— *v.* Cathcart, 324, 1085
 ——— *v.* Ringrose, 1240, 1249
 ——— *v.* Ryle, 93, 910
 Harewood *v.* Matthews, 1084
 Harford *v.* Harris, 539
 Hargest *v.* Fothergill, 231
 Hargrave *v.* Holden, 1050, 1203, 1205
 Harle *v.* Wilson, 1072, 1074, 1075
 Harlett *v.* Souter, 570
 Harley *v.* Greenwood, 904, 905
 Harmer *v.* Ashby, 492
 ——— *v.* Hagger, 620, 621
 Harper *v.* Abrahams, 1222
 ——— *v.* Carr, 912, 914, 1173
 ——— *v.* Champneys, 964
 ——— *v.* Davy, 1103
 ——— *v.* Mount, 1210
 ——— *v.* Taswell, 790
 ——— *v.* Leech, 75
 Harries *v.* Thomas, 286, 998
 Harrington *v.* Coswell, 275
 ——— *v.* Johnson, 993
 ——— *v.* M'Morris, 1038
 ——— *v.* Page, 47, 846
 ——— *v.* Try, 228
 Harris *v.* Adritt, 1025
 ——— *v.* Alcock, 624
 ——— *v.* Ashley, 560
 ——— *v.* Booker, 443
 ——— *v.* Butterley, 313, 1104
 ——— *v.* Duncan, 1052, 1139
 ——— *v.* Glossop, 624
 ——— *v.* Griffiths, 1209
 ——— *v.* Hill, 233
 ——— *v.* Jones, 876
 ——— *v.* Lloyd, 1176
 ——— *v.* Manley, 599
 ——— *v.* Masters, 775
 ——— *v.* Matthews, 1050, 1219
 ——— *v.* Parker, 869
 ——— *v.* Tippet, 274, 977
 Harris *v.* Woolford, 396, 922, 680
 Harrison *v.* Almond, 996
 ——— *v.* Bainbridge, 88, 460, 1166
 ——— *v.* Barry, 424
 ——— *v.* Bennett, 1104
 ——— *v.* Blades, 227
 ——— *v.* Bowden, 408, 819
 ——— *v.* Davis, 627
 ——— *v.* Dickinson, 505
 ——— *v.* Douglas, 980
 ——— *v.* Gould, 269
 ——— *v.* Grote, 361
 ——— *v.* Grundy, 1224
 ——— *v.* Harrison, 1093
 ——— *v.* King, 324, 1131
 ——— *v.* Morris, 180
 ——— *v.* Payne, 1001
 ——— *v.* Rigby, 491
 ——— *v.* Smith, 9, 158, 160, 166, 170, 172
 ——— *v.* Tait, 94
 ——— *v.* Timmins, 402
 ——— *v.* Turner, 489, 493
 ——— *v.* Ward, 83, 1266
 ——— *v.* Wardle, 810
 ——— *v.* Williams, 207, 1026
 ——— *v.* Wood, 1037
 Harrod *v.* Benton, 436, 689, 690, 691
 Harry *v.* Broad, 91
 Harsant *v.* Larkin, 1174
 Hart, *Ex parte*, 87
 ——— *v.* Bell, 177
 ——— *v.* Bett, 176
 ——— *v.* Biggs, 323
 ——— *v.* Cutbush, 881, 1160
 ——— *v.* Dally, 203
 ——— *v.* Draper, 1204
 ——— *v.* M'Gerris, 491
 ——— *v.* Taylor, 958
 ——— *v.* Vollans, 916
 ——— *v.* Weatherly, 525
 ——— *v.* Weston, 108, 1043
 Hartford *v.* Mattingley, 689
 Hartley *v.* Bateson, 975
 ——— *v.* Hodson, 163
 ——— *v.* Rodenhurst, 110
 ——— *v.* Varley, 1020
 Hartnall *v.* Hill, 1238
 Hartop *v.* Holt, 355
 ——— *v.* Jukes, 140
 Hartwright *v.* Bodham, 1091
 Harvey *v.* Cooke, 473
 ——— *v.* Gilbert, 992, 953
 ——— *v.* Gooford, 158
 ——— *v.* Grabham, 168, 184
 ——— *v.* Jacob, 1016
 ——— *v.* Morgan, 230
 ——— *v.* O'Meara, 505, 935, 937
 ——— *v.* Peake, 1127
 Harvies *v.* Thomas, 285

- Harwood v. Lester, 1174
 Haselfoot v. Duke, 203
 Haselop v. Chaplin, 808
 Hasker v. Jarman, 116, 119, 1144
 Haslop v. Thorne, 1012
 Haswell v. Thorogood, 341
 Hatch v. Cannon, 653
 Hatchet v. Marshall, 1034
 Hatchwell v. Griffiths, 210
 Hatfield's case, 601
 — v. Lingard, 482, 488
 Hatton v. Bolton, 970
 — v. Hopkins, 464
 — v. Mascal, 820
 — v. Walker, 1112, 1125
 — v. Young, 675, 678
 Haughton v. Howarth, 126
 Havelock v. Geddes, 937
 Havendon v. Crother, 636
 Havers v. Bannister, 1114, 1120
 Hawes v. Johnson, 920, 1205
 — v. Saunders, 876, 1056
 Hawkins, Ex parte, 529
 — v. Calclough, 1242
 — v. Edwards, 43
 — v. Jones, 357, 359
 — v. Magnell, 561, 601, 771
 — v. Moore, 300
 — v. Plomer, 325, 452
 — v. Ramsbottom, 1082
 — v. Snugs, 361
 — v. Wilson, 597
 Hawley v. Shirley, 1071
 Haworth v. Holgate, 977
 — v. Hubbersty, 1208
 Hay v. Brookman, 226
 — v. Fisher, 1037, 1039
 — v. Howell, 1073
 — v. Punchiman, 972
 Haydon's (Sir C.) case, 821
 Hayes v. Perkins, 45
 Hayes v. Thornton, 355, 398
 Hayley v. Grant, 1062
 — v. Riley, 155, 210
 Hayling v. Mulhall, 451
 Hayllar v. Ellis, 1244
 Hayne v. Anon., 166
 Haynes v. Jones, 114
 — v. Powell, 1214
 Hays v. Trotter, 84
 Hayselden v. Staff, 189
 Haythorn v. Birch, 960
 — v. Bush, 1006
 Hayward's bail, 595
 — Ex parte, 33
 — v. Chambers, 721
 — v. Fiott, 84
 — v. Giffard, 762
 — v. Kain, 1081
 — v. Newman, 1091
 Hayward v. Phillips, 1241, 1250,
 1251, 1252, 1254, 1261
 — v. Ribbans, 330, 637, 1260
 — v. Wells, 957
 Hazlewood v. Thatcher, 735, 736
 Head v. Baldrey, 1168
 — v. Gascoigne, 432
 Heaford v. M'Night, 1014
 Heald's bail, 585, 602
 — v. Johnson, 710, 723
 — v. Hall, 81
 Heale v. Curtis, 207, 1073, 1074
 — v. Earle, 708, 1176
 Healings v. London, (Mayor & c. of), 348
 Healy v. Fitzgerald, 537
 Heane v. Battersby, 706
 Heapy v. Parris, 397
 Heard v. Baskerfield, 445
 Hearle v. Wilson, 296
 Hearn v. Battersby, 1164
 Hearsey v. Pechell, 1015
 Heath v. Astley, 567
 — v. Bloxall, 1070, 1074
 — v. Brindley, 676, 679, 688
 — v. Gurley, 566, 568, 571
 — v. Milward, 194
 — v. Rose, 152
 — v. Walker, 313
 Heathcoat's case, 959
 Heathcote v. Gosling, 487
 Heatherington v. Robinson, 1235,
 1240
 Heathfield v. Chilton, 466, 467
 Heaton v. Whittaker, 856
 Heaward v. Hopkins, 1174
 Heford v. Alger, 812
 Heilings v. Jones, 989
 Helbut v. Held, 370
 Helie v. Baker, 822
 Hellings v. Gregory, 50, 78
 — v. Jones, 59
 — v. Stevens, 298
 Hely v. Hewson, 935
 Hemes v. Guie, 336
 Heming v. Duke, 141
 — v. Wilton, 46
 Hemming v. Blake, 585, 602
 — v. English, 274, 1094
 — v. Plenty, 599
 Hemmings v. Wilton, 69, 71
 Hemsley, Ex parte, 931
 Henbury v. Rose, 209
 Henchett v. Kimpson, 424, 425
 Henderson v. Darling, 603
 — v. Sansom, 171
 — v. Williamson, 1240
 Heneky v. Strathmore (Earl), 652
 Henfree v. Bromley, 1230, 1231, 1240
 Henkyn v. Gersa, 1071
 Henley v. Lyme Regis (Mayor of), 365,
 1131

- Henn v. Neck, 1089
 Hennah v. Wyman, 111
 Hennell v. Lyon, 219
 Henning v. Samuel, 1093, 1096
 Henningham's case, 886
 Henriques v. Dutch East India Company, 50, 836
 Henry, Ex parte, 26
 ——— v. Adey, 222
 ——— v. Lee, 276
 Henshall v. Matthew, 688
 Henshaw v. Garves, 1012
 ——— v. Woolwich, 584, 585, 609
 Hensworth v. Fawkes, 113
 Heppel v. King, 537, 572
 Hepworth v. Sanderson, 412
 Herbert, Ex parte, 29, 30
 ——— v. Ashburner, 1027
 ——— v. Darley, 115, 117, 1049
 ——— v. Griffiths, 180, 1112
 ——— v. Keal, 1070
 ——— v. Piggott, 299
 ——— v. Waters, 711, 712, 807, 808, 1130
 ——— v. Williamson, 647
 Herbot v. Darley, 1049
 Heron v. Heron, 163
 Hescott's case, 8, 416
 Heslop v. Metcalfe, 54
 Hesse v. Stevenson, 483
 ——— v. Wood, 934, 935, 936, 939
 Hetherington v. Hobson, 142
 Heullan, Ex parte, 1208
 Hewes v. Mott, 470
 Hewitt v. Bellott, 76, 81
 ——— v. Ferneley, 78
 ——— v. Mantell, 825, 826
 ——— v. Milton, 127, 128
 ——— v. Palmer, 159
 ——— v. Pigott, 459, 1025
 Hewlett v. Cruchley, 1092
 ——— v. Laycock, 1248, 1249, 1251
 Hews v. Pyke, 616
 Hewson v. Brown, 672
 Heydon's case, 442, 443, 702
 ——— v. Heydon, 431
 ——— v. Thompson, 1112, 1124
 Heyrick v. Forster, 174
 Hian v. Smith, 204, 294
 Hick, Re, 1233, 1234, 1249
 ——— v. Keats, 1107
 Hickey v. Burt, 299
 ——— v. Haylor, 339
 Hickman v. Colley, 1172, 1177
 ——— v. Dallimore, 126, 127
 Hicks v. Jones, 831
 ——— v. Marreco, 511
 ——— v. Richardson, 1236, 1256
 ——— v. Young, 314, 1066
 Hifferman v. Langelie, 153
 Higgin's case, 634, 642
 ——— v. M'Adam, 434, 440, 524
 ——— v. Nicholls, 1098, 1102, 1136
 ——— v. Wilkes, 640
 ——— v. Willes, 1259
 ——— v. Woolcott, 82
 Higginon v. Nesbitt, 398
 Higgs's bail, 582, 591, 593
 ——— Ex parte, 60, 67, 1199
 ——— v. Dixon, 228
 ——— v. Evans, 347
 ——— v. Warry, 876, 1056
 Higham v. Ridgway, 229, 230
 Highfield v. Peake, 219, 220
 Highgate Archway Company v. Nash, 1237
 Higmore v. Walker, 210
 Hilary v. Gay, 731
 Hilbert v. Wilkins, 721
 Hilbey v. Weyberg, 53
 Hildyard v. Baker, 1135
 ——— v. Blowers, 980
 ——— v. Smith, 1023
 Hill's case, 69
 Hill, Ex parte, 22, 33, 62, 1263
 ——— v. Allen, 189
 ——— v. Bolt, 569
 ——— v. Ching, 539
 ——— v. Featherstonehaugh, 63, 85
 ——— v. Goodchild, 323
 ——— v. Grange, 773
 ——— v. Harvey, 515, 516
 ——— v. Hollister, 1227
 ——— v. Humphreys, 72, 74
 ——— v. Jervis, 497
 ——— v. Jones, 587
 ——— v. Leigh, 545
 ——— v. Manchester and Salford Water Works Co., 192
 ——— v. Maule, 126
 ——— v. Mills, 35, 45, 169, 704, 1048, 1138
 ——— v. Mould, 126
 ——— v. Payne, 959, 961
 ——— v. Phillips, 226
 ——— v. Prosser, 1063
 ——— v. Reeve, 530
 ——— v. Roche, 533
 ——— v. Roe, 55, 593
 ——— v. Salter, 295
 ——— v. Sidney, 189, 194
 ——— v. Spilsbury, 357
 ——— v. Stanton, 579
 ——— v. Tebb, 356, 358
 ——— v. Thorn, 1241
 ——— v. Townsend, 1260, 1261
 ——— v. Wilkes, 940
 ——— v. Wilkinson, 127
 ——— v. Yates, 307, 317, 1089, 1128

- Hillary v. Rowles, 561
 Hilleary v. Hungate, 33, 35, 36, 37
 Hillhouse v. Davis, 321
 Hilliard v. Smith, 940, 1024
 Hillier v. Fletcher, 175
 Hilton v. Fowler, 327, 1090
 — v. Hopwood, 1231
 Hinchliffe v. Jones, 552, 556
 Hind v. Kingston, 688
 — v. Lyon, 885
 Hinde's case, 62
 Hindford (Earl of) v. Charteris, 140
 Hindle v. Bell, 432
 — v. Birch, 287, 1091
 — v. Blades, 813
 — v. O'Brien, 680
 — v. Shackleton, 83
 Hindmarsh v. Chandler, 892
 Hindsley v. Russell, 881
 Hingham v. Robins, 978, 980
 Hinton v. Stevens, 104, 119, 1048,
 1045, 1046, 1047
 Hipposley's case, 468
 Hipposley v. Layng, 1176
 — v. Tuck, 370
 Hiscocks v. Kemp, 817
 Hiskett v. Biddle, 1013
 Hitchcock v. Smith, 1189
 — v. Tyson, 893
 Hitchen v. Stevens, 1122
 Hitchon v. Best, 958
 Hoad v. Matthews, 897
 Hoar v. Hill, 1191
 Hoard v. Cheshire, 1156
 — v. Hunt, 710, 721
 Hoare v. Mingay, 622
 Hobart v. Wilkins, 958, 962
 Hobby v. Pritchard, 79, 1205
 Hobson v. Campbell, 487
 Hoby v. Built, 54
 — Anon. v. Hobson, 689, 694, 695
 Hocken v. Grenfell, 1254
 Hocker v. Townsend, 127, 128
 Hockin v. Recce, 1076
 Hockley v. Merry, 643
 — v. Sutton, 168, 179
 Hodding v. Warrand, 847
 Hodge, Ex parte, 23
 — v. Hopkins, 569
 Hodges v. Atkiss, 1026, 1027
 — v. Daly, 338
 — v. Diley, 205, 662, 1048, 1055,
 1066, 1129, 1172
 — v. Holder, 269
 — v. Jordan, 412
 — v. Litchfield (Lord), 970, 972
 — v. Perkins, 714
 Hodgkinson, Ex parte, 906
 — v. Hodgkinson, 120, 510,
 521, 533, 1118, 1119
 Hodgkinson v. Mayer, 35, 42
 — v. Snibson, 806
 — v. Travers, 902, 990, 995
 — v. Whalley, 399
 — v. Willis, 219
 Hodgson, Re, 45
 — v. Cooper, 585
 — v. Forster, 314, 1966, 1090
 — v. Gascoigne, 424, 428
 — v. Mee, 91, 137, 540, 544,
 547, 548, 549, 559, 560,
 561, 565, 571, 574, 576,
 621, 627, 1054
 — v. Scarlett, 272
 — v. Temple, 626
 — & Ross, Ex parte, 35
 Hodinott v. Cox, 959
 Hodnett v. Forman, 226
 Hodsoll v. Wise, 1228
 Hodson v. Drury, In re, 1234
 — v. Gamble, 52
 — v. Garrett, 564, 588
 — v. Gunn, 983
 — v. Marshall, 275
 — v. Parnel, 167
 — v. Pennel, 145, 146, 167, 170
 — v. Terrell, 66
 Hoe's case, 453
 Hoe v. Melthorpe, 221
 Hogan v. Page, 984
 Hogg v. Graham, 881
 Holah v. Fleet, 1073
 Holborn v. Tucker, 102, 485, 500
 Holcombe v. Lambkin, 491
 Holcombe v. Wade, 687
 Holden v. Newman, 1173
 Holder v. Raith, 1151, 1237
 Holderness v. Barkworth, 83
 Holdfast v. Dowsing, 227, 759
 — v. Freeman, 735
 — v. Morris, 786, 971, 985
 Holding v. Raphael, 538
 Holdsworth v. Wakeman, 85, 690, 691
 Holdy v. Hodges, 392
 Hole v. Finch, 104, 122, 579, 511
 — v. Bradford, 1179
 Holhead v. Abrahams, 1095
 Holiday v. Oxford (Lord), 695
 — v. Pitt, 465, 527
 Holkham v. Plunket, 694
 Holland, Ex parte, 28
 — v. Bothmar, 483, 494
 — v. Brooks, 1259
 — v. Brown, 198
 — v. Cooke, 153
 — v. Gore, 1141
 — v. Heron, 200, 1170
 — v. Hopkins, 1036, 1038
 — v. Johnson, 106, 144, 514,
 1043

- Holland v. Lee, 821
 — v. Phillips, 826, 1134
 — v. Richards, 514
 — v. White, 606
 Holliday v. Lawes, 89, 119, 495, 476,
 478, 496, 503, 511, 522,
 1046
 Hollingdale v. Lloyd, 472
 Hollingsworth v. Briggs, 1124
 — v. Brodrick, 967
 — v. Robertson, 485
 Hollis v. Brandon, 495, 502
 Hollis v. Buckingham, 197, 1054
 — v. Claridge, 86
 — v. Preer, 895, 1183
 — v. Smith, 875, 876
 Hollowell v. Abell, 194
 Holloway v. Bennett, 324, 1131
 Holm v. Booth, 600
 Holman v. Brazier, 940
 Holme v. Smith, 235
 Holmes v. Brown, 261, 262, 263
 — v. Edwards, 1194
 — v. Gordon, 467
 — v. Grant, 163
 — v. Hodgson, 163
 — v. Holmes, 1100
 — v. Mentz, 1006
 — v. Marcott, 865, 873
 — v. Pinney, 120, 1120
 — v. Senior, 1188
 — v. Wainright, 960
 Holsten v. Culliford, 141
 Holt v. Frank, 579, 834, 1043
 — v. Meddowcroft, 255
 — v. Scholesfield, 324, 1107, 1110
 Holton v. Boldero, 912
 — v. Guntrip, 1006
 Holworthy v. Richards, 647
 Homan v. Tidmarsh, 511
 Home v. Bentinck, 374
 Homer v. Battya, 532
 Homfray v. Rigby, 728
 Hompay v. Kenning, 119, 1046
 Hood v. Darby, 206
 Hooker v. Nye, 178, 183
 Hookham v. Chambers, 471
 — v. Monkton, 543
 Hooper v. Abrahams, 1232
 — v. Harcourt, 52
 — v. Jacobs, 596
 — v. Till, 71, 73, 78, 81
 — v. Vestris, 489
 Hooppell v. Leigh, 720
 Hopcroft v. Fermor, 1256, 1260
 Hope v. Atkins, 1093
 Hope v. Bague, 884
 — v. Bennet, 962
 — v. Watson, 909
 Hopkins v. Davies, 1269
 Hopkins v. Lloyd, 960
 — v. Neal, 891
 — v. Roebuck, 466
 — v. Shrole, 806, 986
 — v. Squibb, 848
 — v. Vaughan, 481, 492
 — v. Wieglesworth, 350, 351
 Hopkinson v. Henry, 168, 654
 — v. Salembier, 503
 — v. Smith, 44, 45, 58, 63,
 85
 Hopley v. Granger, 1269
 — v. Thornton, 694
 Hopman v. Barber, 941
 Hoppell v. Leigh, 1167
 Hopper v. Smith, 236, 266, 273
 Hopwood v. Adams, 43, 1262
 — v. Watts, 338, 339
 Hore, Ex parte, 33
 Horford v. Wilson, 1088
 Hork v. Weatherley, 548
 Horlock v. Smith, 78
 Horn v. Hughes, 1174
 — v. Whitcombe, 622, 629
 Horncastle v. Smith, 302
 Horne v. Smith, 237
 — v. Yook, 137, 140
 Horner v. Keppel, 965
 Horsfall, Ex parte, 67
 — v. Matthewman, 169, 655
 Horsley v. Purdon, 140, 141, 170, 582
 — v. Somers, 496
 — v. Walstab, 634
 Horston v. Shilliter, 1120
 Horton v. Beckman, 949
 — v. Moggeridge, 471, 871
 — v. Ruesby, 406
 — v. Stamford (Inhabitants of),
 120, 844
 Horwood v. Roberts, 296, 1075
 Hoskins v. Berkeley, 644, 647
 — v. Knight, 424
 Hough v. Bond, 143, 146, 157, 160,
 165
 Houghton, In re, 1211, 1258
 Houghton v. Rugby, 406
 Houlden v. Fassen, 495, 1214, 1215
 Houlditch v. Birch, 451, 535, 546
 — v. Swinfen, 936, 937, 939,
 1194, 1219
 House v. Thames Commissioners, 1144
 Houseman v. Roberts, 230
 Housin v. Barrow, 5, 477, 524
 Hovill v. Stephenson, 227
 How v. Hall, 231
 — v. Lay, 542, 559
 — v. Pickard, 961
 — v. Stode, 1087
 Howard v. Bradbury, 566, 633
 — v. Brown, 1214

- Howard v. Cheshire, 1139
 — v. Groom, 76, 82
 — v. Jemmett, 881
 — v. Pitt, 354, 380, 401, 818, 824
 — v. Ramsbottom, 52, 901
 — v. Ratbone, 1070
 — v. Smith, 637
 — v. Sowerby, 1040, 1041
 Howarth v. Samuel, 1105
 — v. Willet, 958, 961
 — v. Hubbersty, 668, 659
 Howell v. Bulteel, 552, 556
 — v. Coleman, 512, 1213
 — v. Hanforth, 699
 — v. Harding, 88, 459
 — v. Lock, 273
 — v. M'Ivers, 665, 1112
 — v. Morris, 1040
 — v. Howlett, 1073
 — v. Stratton, 675, 676
 — v. Thomas, 283
 — v. White, 192
 — v. Wilkins, 485, 1208
 — v. Wyke, 618
 Howen v. Carr, 180
 Howman v. Buck, 1005
 Howson v. Shackleton, 108
 — v. Walker, 480, 535
 Hoyle v. Cornwallis, 716
 — v. Holt, 182
 Hubbard, Ex parte, 23
 — v. Briggs, 1083
 — v. Johnstone, 316
 — v. Pacheco, 488
 — v. Wilkinson, 616, 973
 Huber v. Steiner, 182, 1124
 Hubert v. Weymouth (Lord), 171
 Hucker v. Gordon, 810, 813
 Huckfield v. Kendall, 722
 Huckle v. Money, 1090
 Huckman v. Fernie, 271
 Huckvale v. Kendal, 157, 159
 Hudd v. Ravenor, 791
 Hudson v. Majoribanks, 1105
 Huffill v. Armitstead, 733
 Huggett v. Parkin, 116, 1044, 1050
 Huggins v. Bainbridge, 482, 587, 856
 Hugh v. Robinson, 341
 Hughes, Ex parte, 59
 — v. Alvarez, 169, 654, 655, 712, 1126
 — v. Brand, 1202
 — v. Brett, 486, 491
 — v. Chitty, 1153
 — v. Hughes, 65, 1143
 — v. Jones, 496
 — v. Pellett, 162
 — v. Pordevin, 619, 629
 — v. Marye, 65
 Hughes v. Rees, 411, 437
 — v. Walden, 565, 1045
 Huish v. Sheldon, 1094
 Huit v. Cogan, 442
 Hulke v. Pickering, 694
 Hullock v. Hemsworth, 717
 Hulme, Ex parte, 29, 30, 31
 Humble v. Bland, 335, 1057, 1119, 1127
 Humpage v. Rowley, 645, 1065
 Humphrey v. Woodhouse, 1154
 — v. Leite, 597
 Humphreys v. Harvey, 32, 35, 42, 85, 1138
 — v. Knight, 470, 620
 — v. Pratt, 324
 — v. Winslow, 491
 Humphry v. Leite, 590, 619, 635
 Hunger v. Frey, 446
 Hunn v. Brine, 415, 540, 615, 617
 Hunt's bail, 584, 1211
 Hunt v. Barclay, 161
 — v. Blackquiere, 601
 — v. Bridgeford, 962
 — v. Coles, 443
 — v. Cox, 636, 637
 — v. Hudson, 484, 786
 — v. Hunt, 1241
 — v. Kendrick, 1135
 — v. Lawson, 356
 — v. Passman, 402, 420, 1136
 — v. Pasmore, 438
 — v. Puckmore, 665, 1112
 — v. Round, 812
 Hunter v. Britts, 787
 — v. Campbell, 839
 — v. Hornblower, 1089
 — v. Rice, 1255
 — v. Simpson, 121
 — v. Welsh, 1035, 1038
 — v. Whitfield, 936, 939
 — v. Wiseman, 669
 Huntingdon's case, 464
 Huntingtower (Lord) v. Gardiner, 1122
 — v. Heeley, 1149, 1174
 Huntley v. Balwer, 996, 1016
 Hurd v. Leach, 75
 Hurdman v. Pilkinton, 962
 Hurst v. Dixon, 83
 — v. Jennings, 674, 675, 678, 723
 — v. Watkis, 1035, 1038
 Hurt v. Cutbush, 1158
 Hussett v. Parkin, 1194
 Hussey v. Baskerville, 501
 — v. Welby, 56
 — v. Wilson, 494, 495, 503, 1043, 1049
 Hutchins v. Hird, 548, 554
 — v. Hutchins, 1259

Hutchins *v.* Kenrick, 480, 629, 865
Hutchinson's bail, 584
Hutchinson (Lady), *Ex parte*, 496, 1217
——— *v.* Bernard, 241
——— *v.* Birch, 409
——— *v.* Blackwell, 1241
——— *v.* Brice, 314, 1124
——— *v.* Brown, 142, 153, 653
——— *v.* Hardcastle, 566
——— *v.* Hargrave, 489, 493
——— *v.* Hyde, 1118
——— *v.* Johnson, 407
——— *v.* Piper, 1096
——— *v.* Smith, 568
Hutson *v.* Hutson, 685
Hutt, *Ex parte*, 1027
Hutton *v.* Price, 197
——— *v.* Reuben, 636, 637
——— *v.* Stoubridge, 950
Hyde *v.* Gardiner, 1072
——— *v.* Thrustout, 747, 749
——— *v.* Whiskard, 559, 576

IBBOTSON *v.* Galway (Lord), 635, 909
——— *v.* Tenton, 936
——— *v.* Tindal, 572
Ibbs *v.* Richardson, 785
Ifield *v.* Weeks, 207
Iggulden *v.* Terson, 881
Ikin *v.* Plevin, 203, 204, 295
Iles *v.* Pitt, 1126
——— *v.* Turner, 1096
Ilsley *v.* Ilsley, 106, 495, 513
Imlay *v.* Ellison, 467, 470, 476, 478, 493, 494, 499, 501, 502
Imman, *Ex parte*, 82
Imperial Gas Company *v.* Clarke, 1026
Incedon *v.* Clark, 365
Ingham *v.* Chishull, 1126
Ingle *v.* Wordsworth, 1153
Ingledew *v.* Cripps, 1085
Ingoldsby *v.* Martin, 356
Ingram *v.* Bligh, 244
——— *v.* Milnes, 1241, 1247, 1249
Inman *v.* Hill, *v.* 1257, 1265
——— *v.* Huish, 402, 1118
Innell *v.* Newman, 299, 896
Innes *v.* Hay, 670
——— *v.* Muir, 585, 602, 603
Ireland (Bank of) *v.* Beresford, 812
——— *v.* Bushell, 1006
——— *v.* Champneys, 1181
——— *v.* Thompson, 1031
Irvine *v.* Elnon, 1230
Irving, *Ex parte*, 905
——— *v.* Heaton, 491
——— *v.* Viama, 86

Irving *v.* Wilson, 911
Irwin *v.* Dearman, 1010, 1091
Irwine *v.* Reddish, 1139
Isaac *v.* Goodman, 1073
——— *v.* Ricardo, 987
——— *v.* Spilsbury, 1005
Isaacs *v.* Silver, 502
Isaacson, *Re*, 1272
Isles *v.* Turner, 1087
Ismay *v.* Dewin, 865
Ison *v.* Fowen, 716
Israel *v.* Benjamin, 220, 975, 978, 979
——— *v.* Middleton, 119, 1119
Isted *v.* Clark, 56
Ivemy *v.* Farrant, 166
Ives *v.* Conington, 59
——— *v.* Lucas, 418
Iveson *v.* Corington, 53, 1221
Ivey *v.* Young, 1168
Isaacs *v.* Goodman, 198
Izard *v.* Milner, 265
Izod *v.* Lamb, 430

JACKMAN *v.* Cother, 1175
Jacks *v.* Bell, 63
——— *v.* Meyer, 207, 211
——— *v.* Pemberton, 488, 489, 502
Jackson's bail, 581, 585, 602
——— *Ex parte*, 530
——— & Wood, *Re*, 44
——— *v.* Allen, 277
——— *v.* Burleigh, 980
——— *v.* Cawley, 660
——— *v.* Chambers, 1084
——— *v.* Chard, 1211
——— *v.* Clarke, 1241, 1250, 1257
——— *v.* Colesworth, 1138
——— *v.* Cooper, 505
——— *v.* Davison, 690
——— *v.* Duchaire, 1104
——— *v.* Elam, 833
——— *v.* Galloway, 275
——— *v.* Hall, 319
——— *v.* Hallam, 1058
——— *v.* Halland, 1105
——— *v.* Hassel, 572, 618
——— *v.* Hesketh, 268, 269
——— *v.* Hunter, 508, 509
——— *v.* Irwin, 432
——— *v.* Jackson, 510
——— *v.* Macreth, 2
——— *v.* Salesby, 1246
——— *v.* Taylor, 412
——— *v.* Tomkins, 502
——— *v.* Trinder, 627
——— *v.* Wickes, 669
——— *v.* Williamson, 287, 327, 1130
——— *v.* Yate, 491
Jacob's case, 97

- Jacob v. Bowes, 618
 — v. Hungate, 232, 233, 236, 1215
 — v. King, 790, 793
 — v. Lees, 230
 — v. Rule, 265, 528
 Jacobs, Re, 235
 — v. Griffiths, 695
 — v. Humphreys, 408, 422, 407, 543
 — v. Jacobs, 479, 480
 — v. Latour, 432
 — v. Miniconi, 822
 — v. Phillips, 471, 907
 — v. Shirley, 1036
 — v. Stevenson, 1012
 Jacquot v. Boura, 292, 293
 Jaffray v. Frebain, 893
 James, Ex parte, 67
 — v. Askew, 1152
 — v. Attwood, 1228
 — v. Bourne, 150
 — v. Child, 70, 71, 72, 1032
 — v. Dawson, 1152
 — v. Fowkes, 896
 — v. Francis, 1151
 — v. Harris, 686, 696, 919
 — v. Hatfield, 891
 — v. Jenkins, 929, 935, 936, 939
 — v. Pierce, 452
 — v. Ragges, 975, 977
 — v. Salter, 270.
 — v. Saunders, 911
 — v. Thomas, 699, 724
 Jameson's bail, 601
 — v. Campbell, 839
 — v. Raper, 1204
 — v. Schonswar, 948, 1210
 — v. Binns, 1234
 Jans v. Hutton, 160
 Jaques, Re, 44
 — v. Nixon, 347, 357, 358, 359, 364
 — v. Withey, 455, 872
 Jarmain v. Algar, 541
 Jarman v. Worlston, 430
 Jarrells v. Alexander, 228
 Jarrett v. Dillon, 485, 1211
 Jay v. Coaks, 82
 — v. Warren, 676
 Jebb v. Kierman, 1231
 Jeffereys v. Walter, 180
 Jefferies, Ex parte, 47
 — v. Sheppard, 422, 439, 989
 Jefferson v. Dawson, 447
 Jeffery v. Bastard, 813
 — v. Coles, 1
 — v. White, 1019, 1020, 1022
 Jeffrey v. Robinson, 221
 — v. Wood, 392
 Jeffries v. Watts, 1177
 Jelf v. Oriel, 281
 Jelks v. Fry, 106, 110
 Jell v. Douglass, 574, 592
 Jenkins v. Bates, 355
 — v. Charity, 1072
 — v. Cooke, 432
 — v. Creech, 178, 182, 194
 — v. Edwards, 174
 — v. Hyde, 920
 — v. Law, 1257
 Jenkins v. Purcel, 262
 Jenkins v. Treloar, 149
 — v. Tucker, 980
 — v. Maltby, 591
 Jenkinson v. Morton, 1174
 Jenks v. Taylor, 1159
 Jenner v. Yolland, 791
 Jennings v. Martin, 487
 — v. Mayor, 84
 — v. Webb, 168, 653, 655
 Jenny d. Mills v. Cutts, 739
 Jennyugs v. Hankyn, 954
 Jervis v. Dewes, 48, 1165
 — v. Jones, 1211, 1212
 Jervois v. Hall, 1098
 Jeyes v. Booth, 685
 Jobson v. Forster, 875
 Jodrell v. Anon., 155, 1201
 John v. Currie, 284
 Johnes v. Johnes, 378
 — v. Lloyd, 78
 Johns v. Bowen, 370
 — v. Dodsworth, 323, 1132
 — v. Mills, 1218
 Johnson's bail, 581, 591
 — Ex parte, 23, 529
 — v. Alston, 63, 85
 — v. Beresford, 960
 — v. Birley, 52
 — v. Blackwall, 254
 — v. Bray, 847
 — v. Budge, 97, 822
 — v. Disney, 126, 127
 — v. Dobell, 402
 — v. Driver, 929, 936
 — v. Fry, 694
 — v. Gas Company, 253
 — v. Hamilton, 822, 1181
 — v. Holdsworth, 181, 209
 — v. Houlditch, 977
 — v. Jackson, 844
 — v. Jebb, 348
 — v. Jenkins, 688
 — v. Lakeman, 450
 — v. Lawson, 809, 1166
 — v. Leigh, 533
 — v. Lewellin, 231, 232
 — v. Linsey, 620
 — v. Lord, 911
 — v. Lowth, 475

- Johnson v. Macdonald, 562, 572
 — v. Marriott, 56, 1190, 1192
 — v. Mason, 225
 — v. Northwood, 1143
 — v. Nevison, 959, 960
 — v. Piper, 1096
 — v. Popplewell, 655
 — v. Rouse, 126, 127
 — v. Routledge, 870
 — v. Smallwood, 116
 — v. Smealey, 131
 — v. Smith, 108, 1068, 1179
 — v. Stanton, 914, 1145
 — v. Streete, 427
 — v. Toulmin, 712, 1126
 — v. Veale, 708, 1176
 — v. Walker, 949
 — v. Wall, 616
 — v. Wardle, 995, 1062
 — v. Wells, 298
 — v. Wilson, 1244
 Johnson v. Legh, 409
 Jolliffe v. Langston, 954
 — v. Munday, 1105
 Jonas v. Greening, 1173, 1174
 Jones's bail, 591, 592
 Jones's case, 859
 Jones, Ex parte, 29, 30, 34, 36, 37, 38, 40, 46, 68
 — v. Atherton, 407
 — v. Barnes, 296
 — v. Bodinner, 712, 954
 — v. Bond, 296, 1139
 — v. Bramwell, 990
 — v. Bywater, 70, 79
 — v. Chartres, 620
 — v. Chune, 716
 — v. Clarke, 3
 — v. Clay, 995
 — v. Collins, 488, 491, 494
 — v. Concannon, 807, 1070
 — v. Corry, 1249
 — v. Danvers, 855
 — v. Davies, 945, 949, 1155
 — v. Dyer, 131
 — v. Edwards, 230, 765, 1115
 — v. Ellis, 620
 — v. Fitzadams, 8, 870, 1199
 — v. Fort, 277
 — v. Fowler, 156, 1029, 1035, 1036
 — v. Gibson, 313, 1052, 1053, 1071
 — v. Green, 325
 — v. Harris, 315, 701, 1174, 1177
 — v. Herbert, 181, 299
 — v. Howell, 298
 — v. Hows, 1074, 1076
 — v. Hunter, 85, 690
 — v. Jones, 43, 691, 696, 1016, 1018, 1151
 Jones v. Jacobs, 1016
 — v. Key, 164, 660
 — v. Kirk, 1204
 — v. Knight, 695
 — v. Lake, 1142
 — v. Lander, 559, 575, 867
 — v. Lewis, 472, 473
 — v. Mason, 227
 — & Matanle, Re, 863
 — v. Nanny, 188, 189, 191
 — v. Owen, 977, 978
 — v. Pearce, 956
 — v. Peers, 918, 919
 — v. Pope, 543, 544
 — v. Powell, 1237, 1251
 — v. Price, 111, 112, 128, 303, 963, 1046
 — v. Pritchard, 1071
 — v. Randall, 218, 219
 — v. Read, 188, 189, 979
 — v. Reynolds, 678
 — v. Roberts, 182, 667, 780, 781, 1123, 1164
 — v. Sheil, 710, 723
 — v. Shepperd, 983
 — v. Smith, 651, 652
 — v. Sparrow, 1090
 — v. Stephenson, 1077
 — v. Stevens, 35
 — v. Stordy, 538
 — v. Stroud, 276
 — v. Tatham, 248, 1095, 1129
 — v. Tripp, 85
 — v. Tubb, 367, 597, 635, 643
 — v. Turnbull, 86
 — v. Tye, 400
 — v. Vaughan, 912
 — v. Vestris, 589
 — v. Williams, 166, 186, 910, 913
 Jonge v. Murray, 144
 Jordan v. Cole, 950
 — v. Farr, 689, 694, 695
 — v. Harper, 761
 — v. Hunt, 87
 — v. Martin, 1077
 — v. Strong, 1175
 — v. Swells, 1112
 Jory v. Orchard, 231, 912
 Joseph, Ex parte, 905
 — v. Perry, 253, 1199
 Jourdain v. Green, 590
 — v. Johnson, 150, 167, 184, 971
 Jowett v. Charnock, 104
 Joy, Ex parte, 21
 Joyce v. Pratt, 577, 603, 606, 626
 Juliet v. Harper, 694
 Jupp v. Grayson, 1237, 1240, 1249
 KARVER v. James, 925

law, 1012, 1017
 aera, 471
 arte, 868
 Mattoa, 55, 593
 new, 49
 . King, 476, 490
 ldstein, 948
 a, 1202
 igg, 1175
 Austin, 361
 Ball, 227
 . Newton, 143
 atshore, 1235
 mith, 248
 histler, 1142
 Angel v. Angel, 755, 991
 Dearden, 367, 764, 780
 Deeble, 1151
 iggs, 653
 v. Birch, 422, 424, 437
 Tyrrell, 513
 Minter, 435
 a (Vicar of) v. Cambridge
 (Master &c. of), 223
 Jurzon, 492
 Devereux, 487
 Dickenson, 869, 870
 Flint, 976
 Partington, 1146
 Shaw, 508
 Wrother, 570, 582, 1210
 v. Farren, 325, 481
 ir Thomas) and Windsor's
 case, 409
 Burt, 63
 Hyslop, 405, 636
 Potter, 904
 Dyson, 165
 d v. Macauley, 346, 361, 362,
 407
 v. Deacon, 1145
 Bellew, 1217
 Carey, 482, 483
 v. Davis, 1235, 1237, 1250
 v. Bean, 135, 138
 v. Harris, 1251
 v. Jones, 994
 v. Gad, 265, 266
 v. Duff, 1015
 nd Avon Canal Company v.
 Jones, 484, 1214
 v. May, 790, 791
 v. Parry, 283
 v. Nanney, 421, 515, 519
 ton v. Chantler, 961
 Elstobe, 1240, 1249
 Jones, 1189
 Kent, 370, 376
 Poole, 1018
 hy v. Peppiat, 120, 130, 1118

Kenyon v. Grayson, 1257
 — v. Solomon, 529
 — v. Wakes, 185, 1036
 Kerbey v. Siggers, 670
 Kerie v. Clifton, 376
 Kerney, Ex parte, 528, 530
 Kernot v. Norman, 473, 501, 909
 Kerr v. Dick, 109
 — v. Sheriff, 632
 Kerry v. Cade, 892
 — v. Reynolds, 209
 Kershaw v. Cartwright, 619
 Kerval v. Fossett, 514
 Kettleby v. Woodcock, 482
 Key v. Browne, 135
 — v. Hill, 562, 569, 571, 572, 812,
 966
 — v. M'Intyre, 591, 592
 Keys v. Smith, 959
 — v. Tavernier, 55
 Kibble v. Thorburn, 603
 Kibblewhite v. Jeffreys, 1056, 1191
 Kidd v. Davis, 1215
 — v. Mason, 297, 1173, 1176, 1177
 — v. Rawlinson, 431
 — v. Walker, 973
 Kidwelly v. Brand, 773
 Nightly v. Birch, 325, 1131
 Kilby v. Stanton, 496, 1217
 — v. Weybery, 123
 Kill v. Hollister, 675, 683
 Killegrew v. Trewymard, 50
 Kilwick v. Maidman, 163, 803
 Kinahan v. Palmer, 659
 Kinaston v. Shrewsbury (Mayor of),
 711, 807
 Kinder v. Paris, 1113
 — v. Williams, 528
 Kindred v. Bagg, 314, 1087
 Kine v. Beaumont, 231
 King's bail, 591, 612
 King, Ex parte, 33, 77, 79, 530
 —, Re, 45, 67
 — v. Alberton, 1094
 — v. Bridges, 1004
 — v. Carlisle (Bishop of), 118, 521,
 1118
 — v. Dundonald (Earl of), 1244
 — v. Foster, 464
 — v. Gosper, 370
 — v. Jones, 165, 896, 898
 — v. Joseph, 1225, 1257
 — v. King, 231, 1024
 — v. Marborough, 892
 — v. Monkhouse, 110
 — v. Myers, 100, 166, 168, 1176,
 1204
 — v. Nichols, 803
 — v. Pacey, 494
 — v. Packwood, 1257

- King *v.* Pippett, 538, 1066, 1071
 — *v.* Skiffington, 107, 142, 516, 630
 — *v.* Turner, 956
 — *v.* Williamson, 272
 Kingdom *v.* Horn, 141
 Kingham *v.* Robins, 978, 980
 Kingsdale *v.* Mann, 763
 Kingston (Mayor of) *v.* Bulb, 508
 — *v.* Llewellyn, 512
 — *v.* Phelps, 1255
 Kingwell *v.* Elliott, 1249, 1251
 Kinnaird (Lord) *v.* Lyall, 354, 355, 360
 Kinnear *v.* Keane, 659
 — *v.* Tarrant, 900
 Kinnersley *v.* Mussen, 683, 684, 699
 Kinsey *v.* Heyward, 1179
 Kirby *v.* Ellier, 1199
 — *v.* Ellison, 983
 — *v.* Jenkins, 674
 — *v.* Simpson, 182, 284, 1095, 1124
 — *v.* Snowden, 1033
 Kirk *v.* Almond, 491, 494
 — *v.* Clarke, 1008
 — *v.* Nowill, 1109, 1155, 1159
 — *v.* Strickland, 482, 502
 Kirke *v.* Broad, 958
 Kirkham *v.* Marter, 331, 1099, 1100
 — *v.* Wheeby, 1040, 1152
 Kirkers *v.* Hodgson, 1221
 Kirkuso *v.* Hudson, 1253
 Kirlew *v.* Butts, 690
 Kitchen *v.* Bartsch, 880
 Kitching *v.* Alder, 565
 Kitson *v.* Fagg, 560
 Klos *v.* Dodd, 1134
 Knapton *v.* Drew, 981
 Knell *v.* Joy, 964
 Knibbs *v.* Hopcroft, 714
 Knight's bail, 603
 Knight, Re, 61, 62
 — *v.* Barnaby, 959
 — *v.* Bate, 874
 — *v.* Brown, 1157
 — *v.* Criddle, 427
 — *v.* Dorsey, 633, 634
 — *v.* Hughes, 324
 — *v.* Keyte, 488
 — *v.* Parker, 138, 853
 — *v.* Quarles, 1179
 — *v.* Warren, 119, 923, 1119
 — *v.* Winter, 630
 — *v.* Woore, 187, 916, 1159
 Knoll's case, 351
 Knott *v.* Long, 1238
 Knowles *v.* Burward, 965
 — *v.* Johnson, 106, 145, 513, 514, 562, 643
 — *v.* Lynch, 959
 Knowles *v.* Palmer, 446
 — *v.* Stevens, 184, 501
 — *v.* Vallance, 1200
 Knowlys *v.* Reading, 612
 Knox *v.* Costello, 348, 350, 836
 Kretchman *v.* Beyer, 355, 826
 LACEY *v.* Forrester, 1090
 — *v.* Umbers, 659
 Lackland *v.* Badland, 735
 Lacon *v.* Hooper, 75
 Lacy *v.* Reynolds, 712
 Ladbroke *v.* Criokett, 429
 Ladbroke *v.* Hewett, 633, 634, 641, 835
 — *v.* Phillips, 511
 Ladbury *v.* Richards, 959, 960
 Ladd *v.* Arnatoldi, 548, 561, 591
 — *v.* Lyme, 84
 Laforest *v.* Langam, 167, 181
 La Grue *v.* Penny, 49, 110
 Laidler *v.* Elliott, 63
 — *v.* Foster, 856
 Laidlow *v.* Cockburn, 980
 Laing *v.* Bowes, 237
 — *v.* Caine, 695
 — *v.* Chatham, 322, 996
 Lake *v.* Silk, 485, 512
 — *v.* Turner, 416
 Lakin *v.* Massie, 876, 877, 1207
 — *v.* Watson, 120, 1118
 Lamb *v.* Pegg, 109
 — *v.* Wiseman, 413
 Lambell *v.* Pretty John, 351
 Lambert, Ex parte, 30
 — *v.* Buckmaster, 86
 — *v.* Cooper, 1003
 — *v.* Wray, 484, 490
 Lambith *v.* Barrington, 341, 822, 1000, 1011
 Lambirth *v.* Roff, 1037
 Lamey *v.* Bishop, 280, 281, 282
 Lamont *v.* Southall, 911
 Lampen *v.* Hatch, 1146
 Lampley *v.* Sands, 992
 Lampon *v.* Corke, 226
 Lampton *v.* Collingwood, 370, 834
 Lancaster *v.* Fidler, 447
 — *v.* Fielder, 400, 455
 — *v.* Keyleigh, 348
 — *v.* Lowe, 349
 Landens *v.* Shiel, 947, 949
 Landon *v.* Pickering, 373
 Lane *v.* Bacchus, 357, 358, 359, 364
 — *v.* Crockett, 1095, 1110
 — *v.* Glennuy, 74, 75, 84, 189, 194
 — *v.* Isaacs, 570, 706
 — *v.* Parsons, 161, 1035
 — *v.* Sewell, 255
 Lang *v.* Comber, 655, 1186, 1192

- Lang v. Webber, 88, 460
 Langden v. Boufne, 1144
 Langdon v. Wallis, 422
 Langford v. Foot, 430, 896
 — v. Nott, 76
 — v. Waghorne, 163
 Langridge v. Flood, 635
 Langstaff v. Rain, 449, 897
 Langstaffe v. Lamb, 716
 Landman v. Audley, (Lord), 336, 341,
 679, 822, 823
 Lanyard's bail, 584
 Lanyon's bail, 580, 581, 584
 Lapiere v. Germain, 1118
 La Porte's bail, 600, 636, 637
 Larchin v. Willan, 486, 498, 500
 Lardner v. Bassage, 623
 — v. Dick, 1160
 Large v. Attwood, 537
 Laroche v. Wasbrough, 348, 349, 354,
 356, 361, 380, 417, 857, 1135
 Last v. Denny, 716
 Lately v. Fry, 1142, 1143
 Lathan v. Hide, 32, 43, 71
 Lathbury v. Browne, 1091
 Latimer v. Batson, 431
 Latraile v. Hoepfner, 490
 Latuch v. Pascherante, 57
 Laughher v. Brefitt, 326, 913
 — v. Laughher, 1227
 Loughton v. Ritchie, 175
 Laverack v. Bean, 947
 Law v. Crockett, 1086
 — v. Law, 180
 — v. Smith, 361
 — v. Stevens, 575, 594
 — v. Williamson, 965
 — v. Wilkins, 314, 1087
 — v. Worrall, 1036
 Lawe v. Harwood, 1146
 Lawes v. Codrington, 399
 — v. Hutchison, 626, 627, 947,
 949
 Lawrence, Ex parte, 1204, 1264
 — v. Boswell, 287
 — v. Crowder, 901
 — v. Harrison, 56
 — v. Hodgson, 338, 822, 1233,
 1234, 1242
 — v. Hooker, 1026
 — v. Matthews, 1001
 — v. Potts, 54
 — v. Stephens, 149, 150, 965
 Lawson, Ex parte, 30
 — v. Case, 1211
 — v. Moggridge, 1174
 — v. Robinson, 206, 207
 Layton v. Mason, 1190
 Lazarus v. Waithman, 432
 Leach v. Johnson, 858
 Leach v. Thomas, 324, 1110
 Leadbiter v. Mackland, 925
 Leader v. Danders, 432, 436
 — v. Harris, 995
 Leaf v. Jones, 556, 1188, 1190, 1264
 — v. Lees, 660
 Leafcroft, Ex parte, 40
 Lean v. Smith, 266
 Leapidge v. Pongillione, 970
 Lear v. Heath, 481
 Leasingby v. Smith, 954, 955
 Leatherdale v. Sweepstone, 969
 Leaver v. Whalley, 48, 237
 Lechmere Charlton's case, 1267
 Ledwick v. Prangnall, 1046
 Lee, Re, 1259
 — v. Ayrton, 63
 — v. Bidwell, 487
 — v. Bradford, 208
 — v. Carey, 1041
 — v. Carlton, 331, 653, 1099
 — v. Cass, 1040
 — v. Clarke, 757
 — v. Gansell, 131, 409, 533
 — v. Goodlad, 946, 948
 — v. Irish, 984
 — v. Jones, 84
 — v. Lingard, 398, 1260, 1261
 — v. Lopes, 433
 — v. Muggeridge, 324
 — v. Selwood, 492
 — v. Shore, 1090, 1097
 — v. Wilson, 77, 78
 Leeds v. Cook, 233
 — (Duke of) v. Vevers, 1020
 Leechill v. Reynell, 157
 Leeke v. Deer, 1089
 Leeman v. Allen, 248, 1090, 1128,
 1129
 Leeming, Re, 1247
 Lees v. Kendall, 88, 1153
 Leeson v. Smith, 1091
 Lefaus v. Moregreen, 423
 Le Fevre v. Molyneux, 154, 161
 Legatt v. Tollervey, 218
 Legge v. Williams, 206
 Leggett v. Cooper, 978, 979
 — v. Finlay, 1233, 1234
 Le Grew v. Cooke, 981
 Leigh, Ex parte, 529, 530
 — v. Bender, 166
 — v. Kent, 997
 — v. Leigh, 119
 — v. Monteiro, 168, 171
 — v. Sherry, 234
 Leighton v. Wales, 325, 481
 Leith v. Pope, 1090
 Leman v. Leman, 126
 Le Mark v. Newnham, 716
 Le Mason v. Dixon, 1178, 1179

- Lemon v. Hopson, 1078
 Lempriere v. Humphreys, 151
 Lench v. Pargiter, 1204
 Lenney v. Poulter, 1073, 1075
 Lenniker v. Barr, 1068, 1079
 Lenthal v. Lenthal, 452
 Leominster Canal Company v. Cowell, 809
 Leonard v. Baker, 431
 ——— v. Simpson, 414, 473
 Lepine v. Barratt, 565, 629
 ——— v. Garratt, 571
 Leslie v. Disney, 464
 Lester v. Garland, 93
 ——— v. Lazarus, 72, 73, 74, 1100
 Letchmere v. Thorowgood, 433
 Lethmere v. Fletcher, 979
 Letsom v. Bickley, 200, 508
 Leuckhart v. Cooper, 177
 Leveridge v. Forty, 678, 698
 Levet v. Kibblewhite, 632
 Levett v. Perry, 361, 362
 Levi v. Claggett, 936, 937
 Levingston v. Stoner, 831
 Levy's bail, 600
 Levy v. Baillie, 1091
 ——— v. Champneys, 1007, 1009
 ——— v. Duncombe, 1189, 1219, 1269
 ——— v. Langridge, 378, 379
 ——— v. Milne, 1089
 ——— v. Price, 357, 364, 389, 390
 Lewin v. Smith, 514
 Lewis v. Alcock, 193
 ——— v. Briggs, 64
 ——— v. Clement, 712
 ——— v. Dalrymple, 984
 ——— v. Davison, 111, 927, 929
 ——— v. Duthrie, 145
 ——— v. Eicke, 1009
 ——— v. Ford, 504
 ——— v. Gadderer, 590
 ——— v. Gompertz, 490, 491, 687
 ——— v. Grimstone, 561, 565
 ——— v. Harris, 1236
 ——— v. Hilton, 1031
 ——— v. Jones, 1006
 ——— v. Kerr, 47, 653, 864
 ——— v. Knight, 59, 537, 541
 ——— v. Moreland, 868
 ——— v. Morgan, 448
 ——— v. Newton, 105, 111
 ——— v. Ovens, 1012
 ——— v. Pine, 640, 883
 ——— v. Pottle, 483
 ——— v. Sappio, 228
 ——— v. Shelley, 47, 847, 959
 ——— v. Thompson, 599
 ——— v. Wella, 270
 ——— v. Woolrych, 1164, 1186, 1208
 Ley v. Ballard, 227
 Lickbarrow v. Mason, 1107
 Liddall v. Biddle, 1002
 Liddler v. Cranch, 121
 Lightfoot v. Brightman, 1122
 ——— v. Cameron, 527
 Lilley v. Johnson, 298
 Lillie v. Price, 193
 Lilly v. Gompertz, 94
 Limerick and Waterford Railway Co. v. Frazer, 1012
 ———, (Lessee Earl of) v. Odell, (1 Jones Rep.), 57
 Linch v. Hooke, 896
 Lincham (Lessee of) v. Antony, 766
 Lindo v. Simpson, 302
 Lindon v. Collina, 809
 Lindredge v. Roe, 515, 516
 Lindsay v. Wells, 104, 106
 Lindsey v. Clarke, 763
 Lindus v. Pound, 659
 Line v. Long, 865
 ——— v. Lowe, 865
 Lines v. Chetwood, 570, 1210
 ——— v. Rees, 1034, 1038
 Linging v. Comyn, 620
 Linley v. Bates, 961, 1149
 Linsey v. Clerk, 335
 Linthwaite v. Bellings, 1151
 Lipscombe v. Holmes, 980
 List's case, 528
 Lister v. Brown, 772
 ——— v. Mundell, 303, 434, 907, 1093
 ——— v. Venton, 1074
 ——— v. Wainhouse, 575, 585, 594
 Litchfield (Bailiffs &c. of) v. Slater, 1123
 Little v. Heaton, 773
 Littleton v. Cross, 341
 Littlewood v. Wilkinson, 1142
 Liversedge v. Goode, 992, 993
 Livet v. Reid, 911
 Lloyd v. Archbowl, 1248
 ——— v. Davies, 1012
 ——— v. Hooper, 715
 ——— v. Hawkyard, 1047
 ——— v. Jones, 119
 ——— v. Kent, 1162, 1163
 ——— v. Key, 240, 243
 ——— v. Morris, 325
 ——— v. Peel, 786
 ——— v. Sandilands, 532, 533
 ——— v. Skutt, 351, 353, 354, 362
 ——— v. Smith, 114, 131, 532
 ——— v. Vaughan, 348
 ——— v. Williams, 106, 145, 513, 655, 803, 804
 ——— v. Winton, 809
 ——— v. Wooddall, 475
 Loader v. Thomas, 1104, 1106, 1161

- Lock's bail, 600
 — v. Vulliamy, 1230, 1244
 Locke v. Shermer, 984
 Lockhart v. Mackreth, 157, 160, 168,
 171, 803
 Lockwood v. Coysgarne, 466
 — v. Orme, 640
 — v. Salter, 472
 — v. Stannard, 1143
 Lockyer v. East India Company, 262
 Loinsada v. Moryoseph, 481, 492
 London case, 466
 London Water Works Company v.
 Bailey, 831, 842
 — (Mayor of) v. Cole, 1223
 — v. Gorry, 1020,
 1020, 1021
 — Gas-light Company v. Ni-
 cholls, 841
 Lonergan v. Royal Exchange Assu-
 rance Company, 236, 237
 Long v. Buckeridge, 806, 1057
 — v. Douglas, 967
 — v. Greville, 980
 — v. Lynch, 486
 — v. Miller, 653
 — v. Wilkins, 313
 — v. Wordsworth, 1111
 Longavil v. Ialeworth (Hundred of),
 1020, 1021, 1022
 Longden v. Bourne, 1157
 Longdill v. Jones, 8, 440
 Longman v. Rogers, 49
 Longmore v. Rogers, 1021
 Lonsdale v. Church, 725, 984
 — (Earl of) v. Littledale, 464
 — v. Whinney, 12,
 560
 Lopez v. De Tastet, 237, 1101, 1103,
 1136, 1164
 Lorck v. Wright, 976
 Lord, Ex parte, 905
 — v. Cooke, 1061, 1063
 — v. Cross, 952
 — v. Hilliard, 196, 210
 — v. Wardle, 48, 1087, 1106, 1166
 Lorimer v. Hollister, 53, 123
 — v. Lule, 417, 704
 Lorymer v. Vizieu, 971
 Losche v. Hague, 1147
 Losemore v. Cohen, 141
 Losh v. Hay, 1032, 1126
 Lothbury v. Brown, 719
 Loton v. Devereux, 704, 1050, 1051
 Louis v. Kermode, 993
 Loukes v. Holbeche, 931, 937
 Love v. Honeybourne, 1244
 Lovegrove v. Dymond, 55
 Loveland v. Basset, 488
 Lovell v. Eastaff, 299, 300, 301
 Lovell v. Plomer, 536
 Lovelock v. Cheveley, 1033
 — v. Doncaster, 752
 Lover v. Salkeld, 1083
 — v. Titmin, 616, 617
 Loveridge v. Botham, 84, 1039
 — v. Plaistowe, 479, 531, 535
 Lovett v. Hill, 516
 Lovewell v. Curtis, 918
 Lovick v. Crowder, 437
 Low v. Beart, 818
 — v. Newland, 1114, 1123, 1124
 Lowden v. Hierons, 1090
 Lowder v. Lander, 153, 848
 Lowe, Ex parte, 65
 Lowe, Re, 1257, 1264
 — v. Broxtowe, 843
 — v. Farley, 487
 — v. Joliffe, 276
 — v. Lowe, 994
 — v. Peers, 325
 — v. Robins, 832
 Lowes v. Kermode, 1225, 1226
 Lowfield v. Jackson, 163
 Lowless v. Timms, 47, 846, 959
 Lowndes v. Lowndes, 1252, 1259
 Lownley v. Hempson, 210
 Lowry v. Guilford, 63
 Lowthal v. Tomkins, 406
 Lucas v. Goodwin, 492
 — v. London Dock Company, 985,
 1002
 — v. Nockells, 406
 — v. Wilson, 1240, 1248
 Luce v. Irwin, 491, 632
 Luden v. Justice, 472
 Ludlow v. Lennard, 354, 834
 Ludlow (Corporation of) v. Tyler,
 301
 Lumby v. Allday, 313
 Lumley v. Anon., 468
 — v. Foster, 654
 — v. Hempson, 1187
 Luntley v. Battine, 449, 464, 501
 — v. Nathaniel, 526, 527
 Lushington & Doe d. Godfrey, 365
 — v. Waller, 693
 Luttrell v. Lea, 673
 Luxmore v. Lethbridge, 81
 Luzaletti v. Powell, 1018
 Lyddon v. Coombes, 298, 1096
 Lynch v. Coot, 387
 — v. Spencer, 318
 Lyng v. Sutton, 145, 659, 1252
 Lynker v. Stanwell, 325
 Lynn (Mayor of) v. Denton, 224,
 1026
 Lyon v. Weldon, 790
 Lyons, Ex parte, 28
 — v. Cohen, 659

- Lyons v. Golding, 919
 Lyons v. Barrow, 876
 Lyster v. Dolloz, 443
 Lyttleton v. Cross, 300, 302, 879

MAESON v. Butler, 852
M'Alpine v. Coles, 237
M'Alpines v. Poles, 245
M'Andrew v. Adam, 1164, 1149
M'Arthur v. Campbell, 1230, 1231, 1253
 ——— v. Seaforth (Lord), 321
Macarthy v. Smith, 259, 1035, 1037, 1038, 1039
M'Beath v. Chatterley, 511, 512
Macbeath v. Cooke, 56
 ——— v. Ellis, 1093
M'Cauley v. Thorpe, 1061
M'Clure v. Dunkin, 321, 709, 710
 ——— v. M'Keand, 962
 ——— v. Pringley, 476, 502
M'Collam v. Carr, 1174
M'Connell v. Johnston, 1012, 1014
M'Cormack v. Melton, 417, 418, 455, 1135
M'Craw v. Gentry, 226
M'Culloch v. Robinson, 1016
M'Curdy v. Driacoll, 168
M'Donald v. Pasely, 984
M'Donnell v. M'Donnell, 167
Macdougall v. Nicholls, 1203, 1204
M'Dougall v. Robertson, 1226, 1231
M'Dowall v. Lister, 182
Mace v. Caddell, 896
 ——— v. Lovett, 1115, 1121
M'Evoy v. M'Intosh, 1186
Macferon v. Toytes, 228
M'Gahey v. Alston, 230
M'Gregor v. Horsfall, 967
Macher v. Billing, 165, 168, 171
Machin v. Delaval, 689
Machmichael v. Johnson, 934
Machu v. Fraser, 491, 502
M'Illeham v. Smith, 1188, 1268, 1270
Mackalley's case, 131, 410, 531
M'Kenzie v. Gayford, 711
Mackenzie v. Hudson, 1082, 1064
 ——— v. Mackenzie, 473, 487
 ——— v. M'Leod, 467
 ——— v. Martin, 1209
Mackey v. Goodden, 914
Mackie v. Smith, 1135
 ——— v. Warren, 418, 455, 479
Mackintosh v. Blyth, 1236
 ——— v. Haydon, 989
Maclean v. Douglass, 691
 ——— v. Dunn, 771
Macleod v. Maraden, 555
Maclellan v. Howard, 174, 180
M'Master v. Kell, 455, 530, 1183
M'Namara v. Fisher, 346, 352, 354, 1134
Macpherson v. Lovie, 489
 ——— v. Rorison, 55, 593
M'Quillan v. Cox, 970
M'Quoick v. Davis, 142, 1047
Macrow v. Hull, 1090, 1096, 1104
M'Taggart v. Ellis, 491
M'Williams, Re, 528
Maddoley v. Batty, 296, 1070, 1078
Maddock v. Hammett, 15, 1220
 ——— v. Phillips, 1205
Maddocks v. Holmen, 162, 180, 706
Madden d. Baker v. White, 891
Maddox v. Phillips, 877
Madison v. Bacon, 1165
Madox v. Eden, 473, 891
Maggs v. Ames, 194
 ——— v. Gunston, 1260
Magnay v. Wilkes, 870
Mahoney v. Frasi, 1094, 1102
Malikud v. Masaredo, 857
 ——— v. Taylor, 23
Malachi Carolina's case, 466, 467
Malcolm v. Fullarton, 981, 1223, 1242
Maliphant, Ex parte, 38
Mallory v. Jennings, 1126
Maloney v. Smith, 1012
Malperson's bail, 576
Maltby v. Moses, 253
Mammatt v. Matthew, 491, 503, 1040
Manby v. Wortley, 1076
Mandorff's bail, 609
Manesty v. Stevens, 495, 513
Mann v. Adams, 878
 ——— v. Berthen, 891, 1013
 ——— v. Calow, 1120
 ——— v. Fletcher, 153, 848
 ——— v. Lovejoy, 314
 ——— v. Sherrieff, 491, 502
Manners, In re, 932, 938
 ——— v. Postan, 225, 1115, 1137
Mannin v. Partridge, 620, 623, 623
Manning v. Brown, 78
 ——— v. Glynn, 74
Manningford v. Parker, 265
Manrivet v. Brecknock, 1091
Manzell v. Borridge, 1223
Manser v. Heaver, 1240, 1244, 1245, 1250, 1152, 1253, 1254
Mansfield v. Breary, 298
Mantill v. Southall, 1151
Manville v. Manville, 688
Mara v. Quinn, 822, 826, 831, 878, 1132
March v. Munns, 78
Marden's bail, 43
Marder v. Lee, 689
Mare v. Smith, 68

- Margerem v. Mackilwaine**, 55, 169, 1048
Mariner v. Barrett, 1153
Markham v. Middleton, 717, 1087, 1091
Marks v. Marriott, 1223, 1240
Marlborough (Exors.) v. Windmore, 1120, 1121
Marquand v. Boston (Mayor and Burgesses of), 522
Marr v. Quin, 338
 — **v. Smith**, 55, 56, 457, 872
Marrack v. Ellis, 316
Marriott v. Lister, 1121
Marryatt v. Winkfield, 722
Marryott v. Clapp, 977
Marsh v. Blackford, 538, 1118
 — **v. Bower**, 1096
 — **v. Bulteel**, 1255
 — **v. Fawcett**, 916
 — **v. Newell**, 998
 — **v. Wood**, 1227
Marshall's case, 65
 — **Ex parte**, 36, 37, 39
 — **v. Adams**, 1209
 — **v. Foster**, 1073
 — **v. Griffin**, 718
 — **v. Riggs**, 1120, 1103
 — **v. Rutton**, 471
 — **v. Thomas**, 145
 — **v. Whiteside**, 150, 971
 — **v. Wilder**, 879, 880, 881, 882
Marsham v. Gibbs, 166
Martin, Ex parte, 41, 85
 — **v. Bidgood**, 518
 — **v. Bold**, 9
 — **v. Bradley**, 803
 — **v. Burge**, 1252
 — **v. Colvill**, 141, 1190
 — **v. Emmote**, 378
 — **v. Francis**, 87, 88, 543
 — **v. Gell**, 585
 — **v. Justice**, 365
 — **v. Mahony**, 159
 — **v. Martin**, 689, 691
 — **v. Norfolk**, 875
 — **v. O'Hara**, 470, 620
 — **v. Smith**, 189
 — **v. Thornton**, 1224
 — **v. Townshend**, 133, 798
 — **v. Vallance**, 1143, 1144
 — **v. Wilks**, 443
 — **v. Wyvil**, 300
Martindale v. Booth, 431
 — **v. Galloway**, 180
 — **v. Harding**, 654
Martinez v. Barnes, 1166
Martyn v. Podger, 1094
Marzetti v. Jouffroy, 495, 513, 1214
- Masel v. Angel**, 502, 490
Masfen v. Touchet, 723
Mash v. Densham, 283
Mason v. Clarke, 331, 1099
 — **v. Dixon**, 1178, 1179
 — **v. Fox**, 356
 — **v. Hodgson**, 747, 749
 — **v. Polhill**, 1014
 — **v. Redshaw**, 1007
 — **v. Simmonds**, 401
 — **v. Smith**, 502
 — **v. Vickery**, 1089
 — **v. Whitehouse**, 53, 1257, 1265
Massey (Lessee of) v. Ejector, 766
 — **v. Goyder**, 272, 273
 — **v. Johnson**, 910, 913, 914
 — **v. Nanny**, 194
Master v. Milner, 1080
Masterman, Ex parte, 24
 — **v. Grant**, 361
 — **v. Judson**, 280, 282
 — **v. Malin**, 459
Masters, Re, 79, 81
 — **v. Barnwell**, 1092
 — **v. Billing**, 490
 — **v. Carter**, 1209
 — **v. Manby**, 466
 — **v. Tickler**, 1167
Mather v. Brinker, 203, 1048, 1095
Mathews v. Gibson, 937
Mayton v. Hayter, 1207
Matson, Ex parte, 40
 — **v. Booth**, 536, 539
 — **v. Trower**, 1233, 1234
Matthews, Ex parte, 23, 905
 — **v. Royle**, 45, 1262
 — **v. Sims**, 1009
 — **v. Stone**, 705
 — **v. Swift**, 1115
Matthison v. Allanson, 1098
Matravers v. Fossett, 1112, 1125
Maud v. Barnard, 114, 408
 — **v. Branthwaite**, 857
Maude v. Jowett, 603, 623
 — **v. Meesham**, 190
 — **v. Sessions**, 958
Maugham v. Hubbard, 228, 276
Maughan v. Walker, 1040
Maule v. Murray, 470, 478
Maunsell v. Massareene, 709
Maurice v. Engier, 164
Mawby v. Wortley, 210
Mawman v. Whally, 1046
Maxwell, Ex parte, 65
 — **v. Skerrett**, 158, 159
May v. Gwynn, 224, 1026
 — **v. Pike**, 55
 — **v. Probie**, 412
 — **v. Wooding**, 210, 331
Mayer v. Ring, 420

- Mayfield *v.* Davison, 516
 ——— *v.* Wadsley, 1097
 Mayhew *v.* Asby, 755
 ——— *v.* Hoadley, 108, 507, 517
 Maynard *v.* Bright, 179
 ——— *v.* Hopkins, 1126
 Mayo *v.* Archer, 1132
 Meager *v.* Smith, 978, 979
 Meagher *v.* Vandyck, 357, 359
 Meagoe *v.* Simmons, 279
 Meard *v.* Phillips, 180
 Mears *v.* Greenaway, 1143
 Meddowcross *v.* Holbrook, 43
 Meddowcroft *v.* Sutton, 622
 Medley *v.* Smith, 319
 Mee *v.* Hopkins, 346, 361, 362
 ——— *v.* Tomlinson, 971
 Meeke *v.* Oxdale, 964
 Meeking *v.* Whaley, 35
 Meekins *v.* Smith, 234, 526, 554, 556
 Meggison *v.* ———, 709
 ——— *v.* Cole, 47, 846, 847
 Meggs *v.* Binns, 64
 Melan *v.* Duke de Fitz James, 467
 Melchart *v.* Helsey, 992
 Melhuish *v.* Maunder, 876
 Melin *v.* Taylor, 1090
 Meller *v.* Barber, 1123
 Mellin *v.* Evans, 478, 875
 ——— *v.* Taylor, 1087, 1088
 Mellish *v.* Allnutt, 979
 ——— *v.* Petherick, 470, 482
 Melsoms *v.* Gardner, 945
 Melton *v.* Garment, 994, 1174
 ——— *v.* Hewitt, 864, 865
 Melville *v.* Glendinning, 566, 634
 Mence *v.* Graves, 870
 Mendez *v.* Bridges, 542, 559
 Merceron *v.* Merceron, 502
 Meredith *v.* Davies, 373
 ——— *v.* Drew, 1176
 ——— *v.* Hodges, 512, 579
 ——— *v.* Rogers, 1003, 1010
 Merest *v.* Harvey, 1090
 Merington *v.* Becket, 167
 Meriton *v.* Stevens, 357, 359, 360
 Merrick *v.* Ossulston (Hundred of),
 845, 1117
 ——— *v.* Vaucher, 635
 Merriman *v.* Carpenter, 560
 Merrington *v.* A'Beckett, 62
 Merryfield *v.* Berry, 371
 Merryman *v.* Carpenter, 569
 ——— *v.* Quibble, 570, 571, 1185
 Merryweather *v.* Nixon, 323
 Messin *v.* Massareene, 709
 Mestaer *v.* Hertz, 104, 511, 1060,
 1115, 1121
 Mestayer *v.* Biggs, 191, 194
 Metcalf *v.* Parry, 297
 Metcalf *v.* Scholey, 427
 Metcalfe *v.* Boote, 689
 ——— *v.* Markham, 958
 Methold *v.* Noright, 746
 Methuen *v.* Martin, 474
 Meule *v.* Goddard, 1106
 Mewburn *v.* Langley, 1071
 Meyer *v.* Ring, 1136
 Meysey *v.* Carnell, 561, 565, 621
 Michel *v.* Cue, 817
 Michinson *v.* Allcock, 1069
 Michlam *v.* Bate, 656, 1056
 Mickin *v.* Whalley, 990
 Middleton *v.* Bryan, 710, 724
 ——— *v.* Gill, 378
 ——— *v.* Hill, 86, 88, 458
 ——— *v.* Melton, 230
 ——— *v.* Sandford, 560, 811
 Milborn *v.* Copeland, 369
 Milborne *v.* Nixon, 155, 158
 Miles *v.* Bristol (Inhabitants of), 992
 Millar *v.* Yerraway, 832, 1152
 Millard *v.* Millman, 854
 Mille *v.* M'Donoughoo, 695, 696
 Miller's bail, 584, 585, 602
 ——— *v.* Andrew, 1060
 ——— *v.* Bowden, 421, 519
 ——— *v.* Cousins, 361
 ——— *v.* Green, 471
 ——— *v.* Hassall, 1074
 ——— *v.* James, 60
 ——— *v.* Knox, 545, 1263
 ——— *v.* Miller, 100, 146, 147, 183,
 1212
 ——— *v.* Newbald, 359
 ——— *v.* Parnell, 399
 ——— *v.* Robe, 1236
 ——— *v.* Rowden, 108
 ——— *v.* Taylor, 1089
 ——— *v.* Towers, 42, 75
 ——— *v.* Trets, 315
 ——— *v.* Warre, 310, 311
 ——— *v.* Williams, 980
 Milligan *v.* Thomas, 298
 Millner *v.* Crowdale, 69
 Mills *v.* Barber, 270
 ——— *v.* Bond, 565
 ——— *v.* Campbell, 190
 ——— *v.* Funnell, 1085
 ——— *v.* Head, 627
 ——— *v.* Oddy, 233, 271
 ——— *v.* Revett, 82, 83, 84
 ——— *v.* Stephens, 1140
 Millwood *v.* Waller, 1035, 1037
 Milne *v.* Gratix, 1225
 ——— *v.* Wood, 536
 Milner *v.* Milnes, 654, 895, 896
 ——— *v.* Graham, 1159, 1160
 ——— *v.* Petit, 622
 Milson *v.* King, 592

- Milstead v. Coppard, 360, 420**
Milton v. Green, 912
Milward v. Temple, 225
Minchin v. Clement, 313, 314, 1089, 1097
 — v. Hart, 1014, 1015
Minchir, Ex parte, 38
Minns v. Baxter, 166
Minshall v. Lloyd, 428, 431
Minshull v. Evans, 301
Minster v. Coles, 143, 704, 1047
Mitchell's bail, 599
 — v. Gibbons, 537, 572
 — v. Harris, 1234
 — v. Milbank, 323, 709, 1132
 — v. Mitchenham, 943, 944
 — v. Morriss, 627
 — v. Oldfield, 86, 88, 458
 — v. Staveley, 1244, 1256
 — v. Townley, 971
 — v. Wheeler, 361
 — v. Wright, 1034
Mitchinson v. Hewson, 897
Moffat v. Carter, 141
Mogg v. Baker, 899
Mohun's (Lord) case, 337, 338
Mollett v. Powell, 281
Molineux v. Fulgam, 766
Molins v. Werby, 370
Molling v. Buckholtz, 487, 493, 502, 1058, 1059
 — v. Poland, 482, 1208
Molyneaux v. Brown, 865
Monday v. Sear, 1047
Money v. Leech, 312, 912
Monk's bail, 600
 — v. Bonham, 1070, 1076
 — v. Morris, 826
 — v. Wade, 207, 211
Montellano v. Garcias, 1017
Montfort v. Bond, 1077, 1080
Montrion v. Jefferys, 63, 64, 85
Monys v. Leake, 417
Moody's case, 69
 — v. Aslatt, 106, 1120
 — v. Dick, 1092
 — v. Morgan, 127
 — v. Pheasant, 321, 562, 710, 723
 — v. Spencer, 46
 — v. Stracey, 1114, 1122
Moon v. Raphael, 901
 — v. Thynne, 126, 128
Moor v. Adam, 236, 237
 — v. Fearnhaugh, 961
 — v. Lynch, 367
 — v. Watts, 413, 800
Moore v. Archer, 107, 145
 — v. Bowmaker, 812
 — v. Butlin, 1252
 — v. Clay, 870
Moore v. Dent, 194
 — v. Goodright, 371, 392, 765
 — v. Hawkins, 300, 302
 — v. Jones, 1174
 — v. Kendrick, 608
 — v. Newbold, 1189
 — v. Ramsden, 690
 — v. Stockwell, 503, 511, 522, 605, 1049
 — v. Taylor, 125
 — v. Terrell, 48
 — v. Thomas, 534
Morant v. Sign, 193, 665, 1112
Moravia v. Hunter, 1082
Mordecai v. Solomon, 53, 64
Mordington's (Lord) case, 465
More v. Stockwell, 1046
Moreau v. Hicks, 1175, 1177
Morell v. Dubost, 683
Morfoot v. Chivers, 357, 820, 882
Morgan, Ex parte, 30
 — v. Baylis, 493, 503
 — v. Eastwick, 918, 919
 — v. Edwards, 703
 — v. Evans, 1014, 1015
 — v. Griffith, 810
 — v. Harris, 259, 1036
 — v. Hughes, 1122
 — v. Johnson, 141, 1049
 — v. Luckup, 174, 522
 — v. Lute, 1040
 — v. Morgan, 226, 1249
 — v. Painter, 895, 1183
 — v. Palmer, 910, 911
 — v. Pedlar, 614
 — v. Prebee, 191
 — v. Puddock, 194
 — v. Thomas, 996
 — v. Williams, 1225
Morgans v. Bridges, 513
Morice v. Green, 700
Morland v. Hammersley, 88
 — v. Chitty, 1008
 — v. Leigh, 440
 — v. Pellatt, 433, 439
 — v. Weston, 855
Morley v. Carr, 567
 — v. Cole, 543, 556
 — v. Hall, 674, 676, 679
 — v. Inglis, 481
 — v. Law, 104, 106
 — v. Strombom, 431
Morrell v. Parker, 488, 502
Morres v. Barry, 763
Morrice v. Hurry, 958
Morris's case, 1019
 — v. Barry, 761
 — v. Cleasby, 1089
 — v. Coles, 115, 116
 — v. Davies, 287, 928

- Morris v. Evans**, 1115, 1120, 1121
 — **v. Fletcher**, 370
 — **v. Gelester**, 1085
 — **v. Hunt**, 160, 706, 1164, 1165, 1200
 — **v. Hurry**, 958
 — **v. James**, 59
 — **v. Jones**, 442, 443, 676
 — **v. Lotan**, 271
 — **v. Magraib**, 857, 866
 — **v. Mellin**, 675, 677, 683
 — **v. Norfolk (Duke of)**, 959
 — **v. Nugent**, 268
 — **v. Parkinson**, 82, 524
 — **v. Rees**, 552
 — **v. Reynolds**, 1245
 — **v. Smith**, 106, 121, 136
Morrish v. Richards, 137
Morrison v. Bowne, 414
Morrison v. Summers, 990, 1164
Morrow v. Saunders, 1024, 1026
Morse v. James, 1116
Mortimer v. Piggott, 637, 819, 1049
 — **v. Preedy**, 297, 1126
Mortin v. Burge, 1243
Morton's case, 452
 — **v. Burn**, 291
 — **v. Grey**, 137, 138, 853
 — **v. Hopkins**, 261
Moscato v. Lawson, 286, 993, 998
Moseley, Ex parte, 39
 — **v. Clarke**, 1072
 — **v. Cocks**, 369
 — **v. Davis**, 648
 — **v. Sanford**, 714
Moses v. Compton, 67 721
 — **v. Norris**, 542, 544, 554, 576
 — **v. Richardson**, 449, 472, 704, 897 046
 — **v. Stevenson**, 957
Moseley, Ex parte, 39
Moss v. Birch, 144, 514
 — **v. Heaviside**, 580
Mostyn v. Champneys, 649
 — **v. Fabrigas**, 1061
Motteux v. St. Aubin, 691
Mould v. Murphy, 158, 170, 196
 — **v. Roberts**, 53, 123
Moulty v. Richardson, 487
Mounsey v. Dawson, 792
 — **v. Watson**, 847
Mounson v. Clayton, 453
Mount v. Larkins, 236
Mountrey v. Watson, 168
Mountstephen v. Brooke, 299
Mouys v. Leake, 1135
Mowbray v. Fleming, 72
 — **v. Senberth**, 1065
Moxon, Ex parte, 65
Mudie v. Newman, 1189
Mudry v. Newman, 57, 995
Muir v. Smith, 581
Mulcarry v. Eyres, 376
Muller v. Hartshorne, 239, 976, 979
Mullett v. Hunt, 235, 236
Mullins v. Bishop, 296, 1075
 — **v. Scott**, 1155
 — **v. Weldy**, 370
Mummery v. Campbell, 503, 1164, 1203
Munday v. Newman, 1077
Munford v. Pait, 920
Munkenbeck v. Bushnell, 670, 672
Munn v. Godbold, 925
Munnings v. Lennox, 182
Munroe v. Howe, 516
Munt v. Tremamondo, 1073
Muppin v. Gillatt, 298
Murphy v. Cadell, 634, 990, 991
 — **v. Cunningham**, 73, 458
 — **v. Donlan**, 313, 1052, 1071
 — **v. Marlow**, 1113
Murray, Ex parte, 40
 — **v. Butler**, 272, 278
 — **v. Durand**, 544, 559
 — **v. E. I. Company**, 842, 874, 877
 — **v. Harding**, 689
 — **v. Hubbard**, 166, 511, 512
 — **v. Nicholls**, 1153
 — **v. Stair (Earl)**, 321, 723
 — **v. Thornhill**, 1027
 — **v. Wilson**, 1056
Murnell v. Pickmore, 891
Murrell v. Jowatt, 514
Musgrave v. Nevinson, 1069
Musselbrook v. Dunkin, 1230, 1251, 1253
Mussenden v. O'Hara, 966
Musgrave v. Medex, 476, 478
Muston v. Tabard, 080
Mutchinson v. Allcock, 236
Mutrie v. Harris, 316
Myddleton v. Wynn, 335
Myers v. Cooper, 856
 — **v. Taylor**, 301
 — **v. Willa**, 1262
Mylett v. Hawkins, 1014
Mylocke v. Saladine, 959, 960
Mynn's case, 698

NADIN v. Battie, 455
Nanney v. Kenrick, 163, 1156
Napean v. Doe d. Knight, 732
Napier v. Daniel, 288, 289
 — **v. Schneider**, 709
Nash, Ex parte, 690
 — **v. Godmond**, 690
Nathan v. Cohen, 512, 1213, 1214
Naylor's bail, 585

- Naylor v. Eager, 501, 502**
 — v. Joseph, 1012
Neal v. Isaacs, 221
 — v. Richardson, 658, 660
Neale v. Bird, 1024
 — v. Ledger, 1234
 — v. M'Kenzie, 178, 183
 — v. Neville, 958, 962
 — v. Swind, 1023, 1025
Neat v. Allen, 601
Neck v. Humphrey, 544
Nedham v. Bennett, 509
Neill v. Loveless, 857
Neilson, Ex parte, 870
Nelson v. Cherril, 907
 — v. Garforth, 73
 — v. Hartley, 562, 811
 — v. Ogle, 1012
 — v. Sheridan, 709, 711
 — v. Whittall, 226, 227
 — v. Wilson, 87
Nesbitt v. Pym, 497, 503
Nesham v. Armstrong, 843
Nestor v. Newcomb, 913
Nethersole's bail, 628
Nettleton v. Crosby, 1186
Newall v. Simpkin, 1026
Newball v. Adams, 1110
Newberry, In re, 66
Newbury v. Strudwick, 672
Newcastle (Duke of) v. Broxtowe
 (Inhabitants of), 1087
Newcombe v. Green, 1131
Newel v. Newman, 181
Newell v. Pidgeon, 346
Newman's bail, 600
 — v. Faucitt, 562
 — v. Hodgson, 540
 — v. More, 371
Newnham v. Dowding, 163
 — v. Hanny, 143, 146, 503,
 511, 521, 1046, 1047
 — v. Law, 202, 1135, 1172
 — v. Nanny, 119
Newton's bail, 605
 — v. Flight, 640
 — v. Harland, 959, 1158
 — v. Levy, 128
 — v. Matthews, 995
 — v. Maxwell, 511, 640
 — v. Moody, 1001
 — v. Newton, 460
 — v. Peacock, 1177
 — v. Rowland, 847, 877, 959
 — v. Spencer, 33, 41, 85
 — v. Walker, 1259
Nias v. Milton, 505
 — v. Spratley, 157
Nicholas, Ex parte, 36, 37
 — v. Earl, 469
Nicholl's bail, 600
Nicholl v. Bayn, 1119
 — v. Bromley, 692, 693
 — v. Darley, 532
 — v. Williams, 1036
Nichollas v. Killigrew, 875
Nicholls v. Bastard, 192
 — v. Bozon, 1103
 — v. Chambers, 29, 333, 727
 — v. Collingwood, 1077
 — v. Dowding, 273
 — v. Downes, 221
 — v. Le Fevre, 992, 996
 — v. Neilson, 870
 — v. Tallyhenty, 496
 — v. Withams, 185
Nichols v. Bozon, 314
Nicholson v. Leman, 118
 — v. Nichols, 939
 — v. Rowe & Leman, 923
 — v. Warne, 1077, 1078
Nicol v. Boyne, 120, 510, 533
Nightingale v. Nightingale, 483
Nixon v. Hewitt, 48, 468
Nizetitch v. Bonocich, 502
Noble v. Adams, 1103
 — v. King, 1112
 — v. Kennoway, 1091
 — v. Kersey, 86
Noel v. Davis, 971
 — v. Isaac, 463, 469, 848
 — v. Hart, 49
Noell v. Nelson, 823
Nohro, Ex parte, 947, 1210
Noke v. Caldecot, 50
 — v. Ingram, 893, 903, 1082, 1154
 — v. Wyndhan, 891, 1014
Nokes v. Frazer, 1167, 1168
Nollekin v. Severn, 165, 168, 654
Noone v. Smith, 163
Norden v. Korsley, 537, 539
Norfolk's (Duke of) case, 822
 — (Duke of) v. Alderton, 958
 — v. Anthony, 1153
 — v. Leicester, 832
Norgate v. Snape, 820
Norman v. Beaumont, 307, 1089
 — v. Danger, 914, 1161
 — v. Winter, 105, 117, 118, 126,
 127, 923, 924
Norris v. Brighton, 504, 570
 — v. Daniell, 1244
 — v. Freeman, 1090
 — v. Gawtry (Hundred of), 843
 — (Lord) v. Winchester (Mar-
 quis of), 347
 — v. Tyler, 1090
Norrish v. Richards, 799, 949, 950
North v. Evans, 1264
 — v. Lambert, 159

- North v. Smart, 1040
 Northcote v. Beauchamp, 1007
 Northfield v. Orton, 60
 Norton's bail, 593
 — v. Curtis, 45, 1187
 — v. Danvers, 503
 — v. Lamb, 239, 242
 — v. M'Intosh, 659
 — v. Melbourne (Lord), 239, 242
 — v. Miller, 911
 — v. Mosely, 471
 — v. Shakespeare, 907
 Norwich (Mayor of) v. Berry, 47
 — v. Gill, 200, 508
 Norwood v. Read, 1178
 Notley v. Buck, 434
 Nott v. Oldfield, 158, 159
 Nottingham (Mayor of) v. Lambert, 317
 Notts v. Curtis, 789, 791, 957
 Novello v. Toogood, 466
 Nowell v. Roake, 353, 787
 — v. Underwood, 438
 Nowers v. Colman, 470
 Noy v. Reynolds, 80, 1192
 Nugee v. M'Donnell, 157, 853
 Nugent (Lord) v. Harcourt, 1018
 Nun v. Taylor, 957
 Nunez v. Modizliani, 459, 876
 Nunn v. Curtis, 892, 893
 — v. Powell, 540
 — v. Rogers, 587
 — v. Wilsmore, 430
 Nurse v. Geeting, 155
 Nutkins v. Wilkin, 568
 Nutt v. Verney, 473, 909
 Nuttal v. Marr, 77
 Nuttall v. Staunton, 788
 Nyas v. Noy, 476

 OAKES v. Albin, 1191
 Oakley v. Giles, 104, 122
 Oates v. Brydon, 752, 772
 Obrian v. Frazier, 833
 — v. Ram, 824, 825
 O'Connor v. Malone, 648
 — v. Murphy, 458
 Odes v. Woodward, 397, 688
 Offley v. Dickens, 623
 Ogden v. Barker, 512
 Ogle's case, 529
 — v. Moffatt, 1067
 — v. Story, 86
 Ohrly v. Dunbar, 967
 Okely v. Salter, 1160
 Okeover v. Overbury, 393
 Okill's bail, 584
 O'Lawlor v. Macdonald, 1013
 Oldershaw v. Thompson, 1123
 — v. Treywell, 966

 Oldham v. Burrell, 141, 586, 588
 Oliva v. Johnson, 1012, 1013
 Olivant v. Berino, 985
 — v. Perineau, 985
 Oliver, Re, 61, 87
 — v. Ames, 530
 — v. Collings, 1234
 — v. Hunning, 349
 — v. Price, 1208
 — v. Woodruffe, 680, 685, 686, 687, 894
 Oliverson v. Latour, 837
 Olmius v. Delany, 476, 1059
 Olorenshaw v. Straignforth, 355
 Omealy v. Newell, 496, 497, 1217
 O'Mealy v. Wilson, 836
 O'Neil v. Marson, 453, 545
 Onions v. Naish, 1091
 Onslow v. Booth, 654
 — v. Orchard, 709, 719
 Oppenheim v. Harrison, 43, 110, 519, 1043, 1262
 Oram v. Sheldon, 1009, 1010
 Orchard v. Glover, 590
 Orgill v. Kemshead, 174
 Orme v. Crockford, 256
 Ormerod v. Tate, 86, 87
 Ormond v. Brierley, 469, 482, 811
 Orr v. Bowles, 1012
 — v. Morice, 227
 Orton v. France, 1049, 1050
 — v. Vincent, 567
 Osborn v. Tatum, 1208
 Osborne v. Noad, 710
 — v. Pennell, 170, 1578
 — v. Taylor, 521, 1049
 Osgood v. Lyon, 205
 Ostler v. Bower, 1006, 1007
 Oswald v. Williams, 471, 907
 Other v. Calvert, 1156
 Otho (King of Greece) v. Wright, 1013, 1016, 1017
 Ottiwell v. D'Aeth, 160
 Oulds v. Sanson, 49, 691, 897
 Oulton v. Perry, 994
 Overton v. Swettenham, 3, 371
 Owen, Ex parte, 8, 39
 Owens v. Dubois, 1120, 1121
 Owen v. Hurd, 1209, 1256
 — v. Knight, 192
 — v. Legh, 789
 — v. Nail, 538
 — v. Ord, 50
 — v. Owen, 858
 — v. Pugh, 298
 — v. Warburton, 287, 1091
 — v. Water, 659
 Owston v. Coates, 921
 Oxenden v. Cooper, 1017
 Oxenham v. Esdaile, 86

- Oxenham v. Lemon, 74
 Oxfordshire (Sheriff of), Re, 1009
 PACK v. Tarpley, 916
 Packham v. Newman, 1063, 1093, 1096
 — v. Newnham, 296, 298
 Paddon v. Bartlett, 1133
 Padfield v. Brine, 427
 Pagden v. Kelly, 126
 Page v. Baner, 899
 — v. Divine, 1020, 1021
 — v. Eamer, 810, 811
 —, Ex parte, 33, 68
 — v. Kemp, 131
 — v. Newman, 925
 — v. Price, 473
 — v. South, 570, 706
 — v. Vogel, 803
 Paget v. Perchard, 431
 — v. Thompson, 892
 Pagett v. Wheate, 871
 Pain v. Dibdin, 464
 Paine v. Basten, 1113, 1129
 — v. Bushin, 1127
 — v. Gawdery, 478
 Paire v. Goodman, 143
 Palgrave v. Windham, 424
 Palk v. Rendle, 158
 Pallant v. Roll, 1145
 Pallister v. Pallister, 412, 440, 548
 Palmer's case, 445, 446
 —, Re, 44
 — v. Byfield, 830
 — v. Cohen, 822, 1181
 — v. Dixon, 180, 181
 — v. Dixon, 168
 — v. Fiestel, 1052
 — v. Fletcher, 883
 — v. Forsyth, 944, 948
 — v. Humphrey, 410, 446
 — v. Johnson, 320
 — v. Marshall, 957
 — v. Needham, 483
 — v. Potter, 413
 — v. Price, 412
 — v. Terry, 956
 Palmet v. Price, 436
 Panter v. Seaman, 832
 Panton v. Hall, 821, 824, 830, 831, 835
 Paplief v. Codrington, 180
 Paradise v. Holiday, 560
 Parchand v. Woolley, 114
 Pariente v. Plumtree, 542, 544
 Paris v. Salkeld, 301
 — v. Wilkinson, 697
 Park v. Mears, 225
 — v. Stockley, 496
 — v. Torre, 943
 Parks v. Edge, 8, 281, 282
 Parker, Ex parte, 528
 — v. Ade, 8, 282
 — v. Ansell, 1097
 — v. Bailey, 1123
 — v. Bent, 511, 512, 538
 — v. Booth, 1004
 — v. Elding, 1175
 — v. French, 421
 — v. Godin, 1099
 — v. Harcourt, 85
 — v. Harris, 354, 376
 — v. Hoskins, 226
 — v. Langley, 1122
 — v. Lawrence, 349, 1083
 — v. Linnett, 1001, 1002
 — v. M'William, 277
 — v. Moore, 531
 — v. Mosse, 414
 — v. Needon, 510
 — v. Riley, 664
 — v. Searle, 1167
 — v. Thornton, 309, 1089
 — v. Turner, 540, 541, 603
 — v. Vaughan, 847, 1173
 Parkes v. Renton, 795
 Parkin v. Scott, 992
 Parkins v. Wilson, 859
 Parkinson v. Horlock, 873, 1182
 — v. Caines, 687
 Parmeter v. Otway, 958, 960
 Parrot v. Mumford, 131
 Parry v. Berry, 620
 — v. Duncan, 808, 1098
 — v. Fairhurst, 282, 285
 Parsell v. Horne, 1143
 Parsloe v. Foy, 48, 1165
 Parsons v. Gill, 56, 1114, 1125, 1131, 1133
 — v. Hancock, 316
 — v. King, 923
 — v. Pitcher, 812, 977
 Partington v. Williams, 987
 Partington v. Woodcock, 47, 847
 Parton v. Williams, 910, 912
 Partridge, Ex parte, 1026
 — v. Clarke, 472
 — v. Frazer, 683, 693
 — v. Slater, 1072
 — v. Welbank, 120, 128, 1118
 Pascall v. Brown, 221
 — v. Horsley, 301
 Pascoe v. Pascoe, 1241
 Pashley v. Poole, 992
 Pasmore's bail, 603
 — v. Goodwin, 848
 Passenger v. Brookes, 191
 Passmore v. Birnie, 85
 Pater v. Croome, 8
 Paternoster v. Graham, 955
 Paterson, Re, 59

- Paterson v. Powell, 35
 — v. Zachariah, 272, 278
 Patrick v. Colerick, 1143
 — v. Johnson, 819
 Patterson v. Eades, 945
 — v. Powell, 1059, 1138
 — v. Reay, 946
 Paul v. Cleaver, 685
 — v. Garry, 848
 — v. Goodluck, 813
 Paull v. Paull, 1259
 Pawsey v. Gooday, 947
 Paxton v. Popham, 1071
 Payett v. Hill, 722, 1188
 Payne v. Acton, 1151
 — v. Deakle, 1231
 — v. Drew, 406, 407
 — v. Drewe, 406
 — v. Rogers, 754
 — v. Spencer, 620
 — v. Whaley, 357
 Peaceable v. Troublesome, 735
 Peach v. Wadland, 930
 Peachey v. Bower, 865
 Peacock v. Day, 397, 404, 639
 — v. Harris, 1105
 — v. Jeffrey, 455, 998
 — v. Purvis, 424, 428, 789
 Peake v. Anon., 1142
 Pearce v. Davy, 654
 Pearce v. Hooper, 227, 231
 — v. Whale, 36, 40, 85
 Pearman v. Carter, 1221
 Pearse v. Browning, 1208
 — v. Cameron, 1121, 1222
 — v. Pearse, 1246
 Pearson v. Coles, 269
 — v. Fletcher, 233
 — v. Graham, 192
 — v. Henry, 1224
 — v. Henson, 469
 — v. Iles, 235
 — v. Meadow, 472
 — v. Reynolds, 157, 159
 — v. Rawlings, 864, 1048
 — v. Skelton, 324
 — v. Sutton, 65
 — v. Yewens, 479, 480, 524
 Peat v. Triscott, 541
 Peate v. Dicken, 85
 Pechell v. Layton, 998
 Pedder v. M'Master, 470, 620
 Peddle v. Kiddle, 1143
 Pedler v. Page, 226
 Pedley v. Goddard, 1245, 1252, 1259
 — v. Westmacott, 1224
 Peel v. Ward, 195, 204, 295
 Peers v. Godderer, 471
 Pell v. Brown, 722
 — v. Jackson, 107, 516
 Pellew v. Wanford (Hundred of), 93, 843
 Pemberton v. Browning, 694
 — v. Shelton, 1085
 Penfold v. Maxwell, 477
 Penn v. Scholey, 436
 Pennel v. Kingston, 124, 126
 Penney v. Slade, 914
 Pepnoyer v. Brace, 354, 401, 824, 1172
 Penny v. Harvey, 923
 — v. Slade, 1162
 Penprase v. Crease, 1034
 — v. Johns, 1096
 Penson's bail, 69
 — v. Johnson, 77
 — v. Lee, 272, 278, 1157
 Penton v. Browne, 409
 Pepper v. Bawden, 854
 — v. Whalley, 106, 107, 514, 656, 657, 670
 — v. Yeatman, 71
 Pepperell v. Barrell, 161, 168
 Peppin v. Cooper, 538
 Percival v. Alcock, 290
 — v. Bird, 1080
 — v. Connell, 294, 1126
 — v. Cook, 653, 847
 Percy v. Powell, 530
 Periga v. Mellish, 619
 Perkins v. Burton, 1008, 1009
 — v. Meacher, 413, 454, 546, 551, 555
 — v. Pettit, 642, 1134
 — v. Woolaston, 359, 360
 Perkinson v. Gilford, 1178, 1179
 — v. Gilliford, 439
 Perks v. Severn, 492
 Perreau v. Bevan, 810, 811, 813
 — v. Bryan, 811
 Perrie's case, 347
 Perrin v. Kymer, 1185
 — v. West, 946, 1208
 Perring, Re, 1252
 — v. Tucker, 273
 — v. Turner, 504, 515, 522, 534
 Perry's bail, 584, 590, 591, 592
 — v. Campbell, 359
 — v. Fisher, 55, 157, 158, 160, 166, 1048
 — v. Gibson, 233
 — v. Jackson, 206
 — v. Patchett, 111
 — v. Turner, 675, 678, 1163
 Perryman v. Steggall, 1240, 1248, 1249
 Perseval v. Spencer, 1085
 Peshall v. Layton, 16
 Petch v. Coulan, 1240, 1242
 — v. Fountain, 1240, 1241, 1242

Peter v. Reiguer, 114
 Peters v. Stainsay, 532
 Pether v. Shelton, 169, 655
 Petit v. Ambrose, 114
 — v. Benson, 422
 Petre v. Croft, 963
 — v. Fitzroy, 702
 Petree v. Fitzroy, 198
 Petrie v. Hannay, 1131
 — v. White, 997
 Petyt v. Berkeley, 957, 959, 962
 Pewtress v. Hardy, 1204
 Peyton v. Burdus, 210, 715
 Philby v. Ikey, 1009
 Philcox, Ex parte, 40
 Philips v. Biron, 418
 — v. Smith, 1129
 Phillips v. Allan, 470
 — v. Bacon, 1161
 — v. Baker, 920
 — v. Barlow, 560
 — v. Berkely, 916
 — v. Berry, 376
 — v. Chapman, 957, 962
 — v. Dance, 1065, 1072, 1080
 — v. Drake, 7, 1215
 — v. Eamer, 277
 — v. Ensell, 115, 116
 — v. Hayward, 985, 986, 989
 — v. Huish, 370
 — v. Hutchinson, 1209, 1219,
 1264, 1269
 — v. Meredith, 231
 — v. Morgan, 438
 — v. Price, 811
 — v. Tanner, 402, 420, 1136
 — v. Turner, 491
 — v. Wellesley, 465, 501, 635,
 838
 Phillipson v. Browne, 365
 — v. Caldwell, 455, 459
 — v. Chase, 84, 231
 Philpot, Ex parte, 40
 — v. Aslett, 680, 690
 — v. Corden, 907
 — v. Manuel, 636
 — v. Muller, 1052, 1081
 — v. Page, 1100
 Philpotts v. Reeds, 470
 Phipps v. Ingram, 1226, 1245, 1248
 — v. Parker, 227
 Phythian v. White, 187, 316
 Pickard v. Dobson, 600, 607
 — v. Featherstone, 958
 — v. Pacton, 915
 Picardo v. Machado, 490, 496, 1217
 Pickering v. Dawson, 1095
 — v. Dowson, 1087
 — v. Noyes, 233, 1023, 1024
 — v. Truste, 935

Pickering v. Watson, 1250
 Picker v. Webster, 705
 Pickford v. Ewington, 50, 996, 1205
 Pickles v. Hollings, 275
 Pickman v. Collis, 110, 489
 — v. Robson, 837
 Pickup v. Wharton, 876, 877, 1070
 Pickwood v. Wright, 326, 1085, 1130
 Pierce's bail, 591, 612
 — v. Street, 137
 Piercy v. Owen, 1068, 1079
 Pierse v. Lord Fauconberg, 263
 Pierson v. Chesham, 1072, 1073
 — v. Goodwin, 857, 865
 Pieters v. Luytjest, 496
 Pigeon v. Bruce, 115
 Pigott v. Killick, 691, 693
 Piggott v. Kemp, 1100
 — v. Wilks, 509, 531
 — v. Truste, 560
 Pigou v. Drummond, 935, 936, 940
 Pike v. Carter, 320
 — v. Corbin, 786, 1014
 Pilcher v. Hulkes, 34
 — v. Williams, 36
 — v. Woods, 552, 556
 Pilgrim, Ex parte, 22
 —, In re, 234
 Pilkins, Ex parte, 38
 Pillop v. Saxton, 626
 — v. Sexton, 623
 Pilton, Ex parte, 771
 Pinfold v. Northey, 938
 Pinkerton v. Caslon, 1247
 Pinkney v. Collins, 958
 Pinney v. Pinney, 221, 228
 Pippet v. Hearne, 712, 1122, 1126
 Pirie v. Iron, 240
 Pistor v. Dunbar, 78
 Pitcher v. Bailey, 543, 545, 572
 — v. Monmouth (Sheriff of), 47
 Pitchers v. Edney, 1003
 Pitman v. Humfrey, 676
 — v. Madox, 229
 Pitt, Ex parte, 67, 1268
 — v. Coombs, 460, 526, 527, 1191
 — v. Eldred, 127
 — v. Evans, 528, 868, 869, 1080,
 1210
 — or Keep v. Pocock or Biggs, 468
 — v. New, 493
 — v. Shew, 789, 790
 — v. Thompson, 471, 472
 — v. Williams, 368
 — v. Yalden, 63, 64, 864
 Pitt's case, 464
 Pittman v. Humphrey, 682
 Pitton v. Walker, 217
 Pitts v. Carpenter, 1174
 — v. Miller, 449, 897

- Planck *v.* Anderson, 546
 Platt *v.* Greene, 236
 — *v.* Hall, 1102, 1243, 1254, 1261
 Player *v.* Warn, 323
 Playters *v.* Sheering, 801
 Pletwood *v.* Tarty, 854
 Plevin *v.* Henshall, 303, 400
 Pleydell *v.* Dorchester (Earl of), 1090
 Plimpton *v.* Howell, 574
 Plomer *v.* Webb, 822
 Plummer *v.* Lea, 826
 — *v.* Lee, 1108, 1128, 1244
 — *v.* Woodburne, 925
 Plunket *v.* Penson, 443
 Plunkett *v.* Buchanan, 937
 — *v.* Cobbett, 271
 Plymouth (Mayor of &c.) *v.* Werring, 1138
 Pochin *v.* Pawley, 1104
 Pocklington *v.* Peck, 355, 619, 836
 Pocock *v.* Carpenter, 721
 — *v.* Cockerton, 637, 1210
 — *v.* Mason, 520, 521, 534
 Pole *v.* Rogers, 240, 242, 244
 Polleri *v.* De Souza, 487, 488
 Pomeroy *v.* Baddeley, 277
 Pomp *v.* Ludvigson, 486
 Pond *v.* Dimes, 240
 — *v.* King, 821
 Pool *v.* Charnock, 361
 Poole's bail, 521, 612
 — case, 428
 Poole *v.* Bell, 901
 — (Mayor of) *v.* Bennet, 959
 — *v.* Boulton, 1153
 — *v.* Cook, 858
 — *v.* Pembrey, 655, 656, 1209, 1211
 — *v.* Robberds, 694, 1211
 — *v.* Warren, 227
 Pope *v.* Banyard, 1175
 — *v.* Hayman, 431
 — *v.* Redfearne, 847, 848, 959
 — *v.* Vaux, 945, 949
 Popjoy's bail, 585, 602, 609
 Pople *v.* Wyatt, 559, 565, 567
 Porkers *v.* Wilkins, 868
 Porter *v.* Cooper, 719, 1105
 — *v.* Harris, 315, 701
 — *v.* O'Meara, 935, 936
 — *v.* Philpot, 1174
 — *v.* Viner, 412, 524
 — *v.* Wray, 808
 Porzelius *v.* Maddocks, 1071
 Postan *v.* Rose, 1061
 — *v.* Stanway, 1157
 Postell *v.* Williams, 568
 Posterne *v.* Hanson, 538, 541, 559
 Postle *v.* Beckington, 976
 Postlethwaite *v.* Gibson, 912
 Pother *v.* Macdonnell, 502
 Potier *v.* Croza, 467
 Potten *v.* Bradley, 665, 1112
 Potter's case, 62
 Potter *v.* Brown, 470
 — *v.* Newman, 1232, 1252
 — *v.* Turner, 380
 Potts *v.* Sparrow, 169
 Poulett *v.* Wightman, 824
 Pound *v.* Lewis, 195
 — *v.* Penfold, 206
 Powell *v.* Blackett, 225
 — *v.* Duff, 537
 — *v.* Eason, 621
 — *v.* Henderson, 568
 — *v.* Hord, 321
 — *v.* Little, 53, 55
 — *v.* Lock, 1007
 — *v.* Parkinson, 977
 — *v.* Portherch, 487
 — *v.* Rees, 874, 878, 1180
 — *v.* Rich, 961, 962
 — *v.* Saunders, 378
 — *v.* Sonnett, 316
 Poweller *v.* Lock, 1005
 Power *v.* Barham, 278
 — *v.* Fry, 171
 — *v.* Horton, 298, 1088
 — *v.* Izod, 169, 171, 672
 — *v.* Jones, 892, 893, 1119
 Powis *v.* Powis, 817
 Prairie *v.* Goodman, 1047
 Prangley, Re, 30
 Prankerd, Ex parte, 24
 Pratt *v.* Hillman, 1237
 — *v.* Rutledge, 800, 805, 807
 — *v.* Salt, 1238
 — *v.* Vizard, 84, 86
 Pray *v.* Edie, 1012
 Pready *v.* Lovell, 1044
 Preedy *v.* Lovell, 1207, 1208, 1219
 — *v.* Makfarlane, 1973, 1151, 1152
 Prendergast *v.* Davis, 470, 482
 Prentice *v.* Blott, 1074
 — *v.* Reed, 1222, 1260
 Prescott *v.* Stevens, 478
 Preston *v.* Bindley, 601
 — *v.* Eastwood, 1248
 — *v.* Lingden, 261, 1014
 — *v.* Whiteheart, 1035
 Price's case, 530
 Price *v.* Bambridge, 209, 716
 — *v.* Blackburn, 226
 — *v.* Brown, 126, 127
 — *v.* Carver, 886
 — *v.* Day, 477
 — *v.* Fletcher, 964
 — *v.* Foulkes, 1053
 — *v.* Griffith, 960

aria, 703, 1099, 1154
 urwood, 512
 ayman, 1192, 1218
 ollis, 416, 1246
 ughes, 91, 155, 338, 688,
 694, 802
 uxley, 515
 ickson, 508
 umea, 1136, 1254
 essenger, 912
 lorgan, 293, 302
 arker, 1058
 hilcox, 1266
 ittman, 1151
 opkin, 1241, 1242, 1244,
 1250
 everne, 1090, 1103, 1124
 impson, 164, 207
 treet, 553
 orrington, 229
 arney, 447
 illiams, 714
 oodburne, 962
 Ex parte, 70, 71
 case, 62
 . Cooper, 114
 , Ex parte, 24, 72
 Beaseley, 599
 v. Baddeley, 522, 1045, 1048
 v. Bradley, 1053
 v. Gibson, 399
 . Nicholson, 300, 301, 654,
 1133, 1209
 Samo, 245
 . Isaac, 407
 . Marsack, 706
 use, 351
 Anon., 350, 351
 uckingham (Duke of), 1123,
 1125
 Lucas, 494
 Moore, 34, 48, 49
 mith, 41, 47
 l v. M'Gill, 296, 1174
 v. Boevey, 1051
 v. Cross, 471, 494
 . Phillips, 881
 . Johnson, 769, 817, 821
 . Lainson, 246
 Wiggins, 876, 877
 . Goringe, 1242
 v. Thomas, 71
 v. Armstrong, 705
 v. Twemlow, 789, 791
 me v. Fraser, 284, 1158
 Lovell, 1194
 v. Thomas, 347, 354, 356
 mith, 168, 170, 196, 653
 v. Maxwell, 477
 mery, 580, 582

Pugh v. Griffiths, 410
 — v. Kerr, 1115, 1266
 — v. Roberts, 1140, 1144
 — v. Robins, 1058
 Pulgrave v. Wyndham, 1179
 Pullein v. Benson, 537
 Pullen v. White, 278, 279
 — v. Seymour, 1121
 Pulley v. Hitton, 647
 Pullin v. Stokes, 336
 Purden v. Brockridge, 859
 Purnell v. Young, 1140, 1145
 Pursell v. Horne, 1144
 Putland v. Newman, 444, 817, 818, 819
 Putney v. Swann, 167, 184
 Puttin v. Purbeck, 433
 Pye v. Leigh, 848, 959
 Pyeburn v. Gibson, 1139
 Pyewell v. Stow, 628
 Pyke v. Glendinning, 297
 Pyne v. Erle, 88, 872
 Pytt v. Griffith, 226

QUARTERMAN v. Cox, 274
 Queen's (The) case, 278
 Queen v. Yeaveley, 218
 Quelle v. Boucher, 1192, 1219
 Quick v. Staines, 430, 431
 Quin v. Keefe, 470
 — v. King, 729, 1190
 — v. Reynolds, 479

RABAN v. Plaistow, 133
 Babell v. Hudson, 976
 Rabey v. Olerenshaw, 1069
 Rackett v. Gye, 494
 Rackham v. Jesup, 1087
 Radburn v. Morris, 275
 Radcliffe v. Hall, 236
 — v. Tate, 766
 Radford v. Smith, 1078
 Radmore v. Gould, 901
 Rafael v. Verelst, 353, 364, 398, 1134,
 1135
 Ragg v. Wells, 881, 1155, 1160
 Raikes v. Todd, 191
 Raine v. Alderson, 193
 — v. Hodgson, 206
 Ralm (Administrator) v. Woodward,
 514
 Rama (Chitty) v. Hume, 180
 Ramsay v. Bird, 1125
 — v. M'Donald, 854
 Ramsbotham v. Cooper, 1026
 Ramsbottom v. Buckhurst, 218
 — v. Harcourt, 47, 468, 658
 Ramsden v. Mackdonald, 854
 — v. Maugham, 497
 Ramsey v. Lord Reay, 156
 Rance v. Farmer, 1224

- Rand v. Vaughan, 1108**
Randall's case, 347
 ——— *v. Gurney, 527*
 ——— *v. Ikey, 1034*
 ——— *v. Lynch, 225, 919*
 ——— *v. Randall, 1244*
 ——— *v. Wale, 883*
Randle v. Fuller, 86, 88
Randole v. Bailey, 369, 386, 1135
Ranger v. Bligh, 212, 1073
Rastall v. Stratton, 672, 1121
Ratcliff v. Burton, 348, 354
Ratcliffe v. Bleasby, 1023, 1025
 ——— *v. Eden, 845*
Rathbone v. Drakeford, 675
 ——— *v. Fowler, 869*
Ratter v. Redstone, 353
Ravenscroft v. Eyles, 452
 ——— *v. Wyse, 980*
Raw v. Alderson, 688
Rawbone v. Hickman, 1127
Rawbottom v. Ralphs, 1190
Rawes v. Knight, 1047
Rawlings v. Till, 1140, 1141, 1143, 1145
Rawlins v. Desborough, 270
 ——— *v. Perry, 361*
Rawlinston v. Gunston, 620, 636
Rawson v. Dundas, 1172
Rawsthorne v. Arnold, 1252, 1253, 1254
Rawstorne v. Wilkinson, 415, 416
Rawtree v. King, 1221
Ray v. Dow, 125, 925
 ——— *v. Good, 1070*
 ——— *v. Sharp, 1068, 1075, 1078*
Rayer v. Cooke, 920
Rayner v. Spicer, 1077
Raysing's case, 445
Razing v. Ruddock, 373
Read, Ex parte, 42
 ——— *v. Coleman, 1023, 1026*
 ——— *v. Cooper, 1216*
 ——— *v. Duppa, 87*
 ——— *v. Lee, 1051*
 ——— *v. Massey, 1186, 1192*
 ——— *v. Sowerby, 907*
 ——— *v. Wilmot, 371*
Reade v. Youde, 125
Reader v. Bloom, 35, 1127, 1138
Reardon v. Swaby, 676
Reaveley v. Mainwaring, 1090
Reay v. Joude, 928
Re Bridge v. Wright, 1202
Red v. Burnis, 1058
Reddell v. Pakeman, 504, 522
Redferne v. Smith, 257
Redford v. Edie, 590, 604, 1200
 ——— *v. Garrod, 361*
Redit v. Broomhead, 601
Redit v. Ludock, 1068
Redman's case, 468
Redpath v. Williams, 115
Redridge v. Palmer, 1143
Redshaw v. Hesther, 886, 887
Redward v. Way, 1074
Reed and Others (Assignees) v. Cooper, 367
Beece v. Griffiths, 532
 ——— *v. Rigby, 63*
Reed v. Cooper, 1120
 ——— *v. Speer, 171, 172, 194, 1194*
Reeder v. Whipp, 695
Reeks v. Groneman, 486
Rees v. King, 774
 ——— *v. Morgan, 2, 106, 801, 807, 808, 1130*
 ——— *v. Smith, 272*
 ——— *v. Thrustout, 777*
Reeve v. Lee, 1142, 1143
 ——— *v. Underhill, 270*
Reeves v. Capper, 431
 ——— *v. Crisp, 1209*
 ——— *v. Hucker, 503, 1046*
 ——— *v. M'Gregor, 1237, 1242*
 ——— *v. Slater, 104, 122, 682*
 ——— *v. Stroud, 1174*
Reid v. Dickons, 980
 ——— *v. Mageson, 216*
 ——— *v. Smart, 1113*
 ——— *v. Fryatt, 1231, 1233*
Reilly v. Jones, 325
Rejindoz v. Randolph, 353, 354, 1134
Remington v. Johnson, 159
 ——— *v. Taylor, 146*
Renalds v. Smith, 538
Rendall v. Hayward, 1991
Rendell v. Bailey, 1072, 1201
Rennie v. Mills, 1168, 1237
Renson v. Johnson, 72
Renbal v. Preston, 1118
Rettalick v. Hawkes, 1029
Rex v. Abingdon (Earl), 279
 ——— *v. Adderley, 411, 549*
 ——— *v. Agar, 654*
 ——— *v. Aires, 1134*
 ——— *v. Algood, 222, 1027*
 ——— *v. All Saints, Derby, (Inhabitants of), 353, 1134*
 ——— *v. Almon, 100, 930*
 ——— *v. Amery, 201, 261, 262, 1019, 1020, 1171*
 ——— *v. Archer, 475*
 ——— *v. Armstrong, 916, 933*
 ——— *v. Babb, 1026*
 ——— *v. Back, 77*
 ——— *v. Bailey, 860*
 ——— *v. Baldwin, 412*
 ——— *v. Banks, 261, 807, 1065*
 ——— *v. Barber, 1272*

- | | |
|--|--|
| Rex v. Bardell, 1223, 1226 | Rex v. Crossley, 32, 1218 |
| — v. Barnes, 221 | — v. Cotesbitch, 1223 |
| — v. Bastell Careinion, (Inhabitants of), 274 | — v. Cowle, 201, 1171 |
| — v. Beardmore, 1272 | — v. Cumberland (Justices of), 93 |
| — v. Bell, 279 | — v. Curwood, 1030 |
| — v. Bellamy, 217, 218 | — v. Danser, 1174 |
| — v. Bennett, 64 | — v. Davis, 873 |
| — v. Berks (Sheriff of), 411 | — v. Dawes, 475 |
| — v. Bewdley, 174 | — v. Deane, 427 |
| — v. Bignold, 279 | — v. D'Eon, 1061, 1063 |
| — v. Bingham, 220, 1225, 1232, 1255 | — v. Despard, 303, 306 |
| — v. Bird, 409, 436, 437 | — v. Devon (Sheriff of), 8, 95, 1270, 1273 |
| — v. Blake, 479 | — v. Dunne, 868 |
| — v. Boddington (Upper), 233 | — v. Edmonds, 305 |
| — v. Boston, 995 | — v. Edwards, 288, 470, 601, 1271 |
| — v. Brady, 911 | — v. Elkins, 546, 1271 |
| — v. Bray, 275 | — v. Ellicombe, 231 |
| — v. Brooke, 277 | — v. Essex (Sheriff of), in Fitch v. Courtenay, 569 |
| — v. Browne, 217 | — v. Essex (Sheriff of), in Levy v. Paine, 589, 608 |
| — v. Buchanan, 933 | — v. Eyre, 1134 |
| — v. Buckinghamshire (Justices of), 1026 | — v. Farringdon (Great), 1026 |
| — v. Buckland, 860 | — v. Fenn, 285, 1059, 1204, 1266 |
| — v. Burbage, 234 | — v. Ferrers (Earl), 1267 |
| — v. Burdett, 287 | — v. Fielding, 64 |
| — v. Burgess, 1278 | — v. Fitzwater (Lord), 287 |
| — v. Burgh, 638 | — v. Flower, 1030 |
| — v. Burrige, 306, 1225 | — v. Foley, 261 |
| — v. Butcher, 555, 628 | — v. Forman, 668 |
| — v. Cadogan (Earl of), 222 | — v. Fowler, 287, 288, 508, 524 |
| — v. Caldwell, 174 | — v. Frampton, 464 |
| — v. Calvert, 1264 | — v. Gibb, 1041 |
| — & Capel v. Band, 464 | — v. Goodenough, 93 |
| — v. Carlisle, 371 | — v. Gordon, 218, 219, 224 |
| — v. Carmarthen (Burgesses of), 361, 362 | — v. Gough, 331, 1099 |
| — v. Carnarvon (Justices of), 1212, 1213 | — v. Grainger, 655 |
| — v. Carpenter, 61, 1268 | — v. Grampound (Mayor of, &c.), 1115 |
| — v. Carroll, 1267 | — v. Graut, 1088, 1102 |
| — v. Cator, 228 | — v. Gray, 1062 |
| — v. Chester (Sheriff of), 1025 | — v. Great Yarmouth (Mayor of), 1067 |
| — v. Clarke, 988 | — v. Greenwood, 68 |
| — v. Clifford, 868 | — v. Gwynn, 224 |
| — v. Clifton, 1041, 1266 | — v. Haines, 222 |
| — v. Cockshaw, 1213, 1219 | — v. Hales, 261 |
| — v. Cohen, 202, 1172 | — v. Holl, 1266, 1270 |
| — v. Coles, 550 | — v. Hanks, 1215 |
| — v. Colley, 277 | — v. Hare, 119, 484, 1046, 1208, 1214 |
| — v. Collier, 1040 | — v. Harris, 201, 959, 1171 |
| — v. Collingridge, 71 | — v. Harrison, 418, 1210 |
| — v. Commissioners of the Thames, 1157 | — v. Hart, 255 |
| — v. Cooke, 167, 655, 926, 1010 | — v. Hensey, 562 |
| — v. Cornelina, 1026 | — v. Hertfordshire (Sheriff of), 1008, 1011 |
| — v. Cornwall (Sheriff of), in Hemming v. Tremora, 1263 | — v. Heydon, 1026 |
| — v. Crisp, 1040 | — v. Hilditch, 280 |
| | — v. Hind, 916, 933 |

Rex v. Hodson & Drury, 1234
 — v. Holland, 224, 1027, 1112
 — v. Holt, 331, 1099, 1100
 — v. Hood, 513
 — v. Horne, 279, 494, 1047
 — v. Horsley, 546, 1271
 — v. Hostman, 1026
 — v. Houlditch, 265
 — v. Hubbard, 868
 — v. Hulse, 264
 — v. Hunt, 201
 — v. Huntingdon (Justice of), 218
 Reg. v. Ingersoll, 289
 Rex v. Insolvent Court, 926, 932, 939
 — v. Ireland, 1265
 — v. Johnson, 306, 1187
 — v. Joliffe, 1215
 — v. Jones, 81, 238, 411, 549, 1019, 1215, 1262
 — v. Keat, 1131, 1132
 — v. Kent (Sheriff of), 413, 454
 — v. ———, in Potter v. Simpson, 552
 — v. Kinnear, 287, 1091
 — v. Koops, 1264, 1265, 1269
 — v. Ledgard, 224
 — v. Lee, 1026
 — v. Lever, 572
 — v. Llandaff (Bishop of), 1115
 — v. Lewis, 813, 1263
 — v. Lucas, 222, 1027, 1267
 — v. London Court of Request, (Commissioners of), 1173
 — v. ——— (Bishop of), 916
 — v. ——— (Sheriffs of), 983
 — v. ———, in Day v. Morley, 802
 — v. ———, in Hollier v. Clark, 572
 — v. ———, in Lazarus v. Tanner, 539, 572
 — v. ———, in Marsh v. Russell, 587, 594
 — v. ———, in Hobhouse v. Middleditch, 548
 — v. ———, in Plomer v. Houghton, 55, 575, 582, 593
 — v. ———, in Todd v. Jacob, 571, 572, 599
 — v. ———, in Wilson v. Goldstein, 570
 — v. Long Buckley, 225
 — v. Lyon, 619
 — v. Madley, Staffordshire (Inhabitants of), 379
 — v. Manchester Railway Co., 1207
 — v. Marsack, 415
 — v. Marsden, 279

Rex v. Massey, 1259
 — v. Matty, 1265
 — v. Mawbey, 1086, 1099
 — v. Mawgan, 1162
 Reg. v. Mead, 1027
 Rex v. Middlezoy (Inhabitants of), 231
 — v. Middlesex (Sheriff of), 92, 140, 411, 413, 418, 505, 1050, 1194, 1200, 1211
 — v. ———, in Bridger v. Smith, 579
 — v. ———, in Brown v. Culver, 554, 555
 — v. ———, in ——— v. Farquhar, 606
 — v. ———, in Bradley v. Waddell, 566
 — v. ———, in Davis v. Allen, 555
 — v. ———, in Disney v. Anthony, 1214
 — v. ———, in Duncombe v. Crisp, 582
 — v. ———, in Haldiday v. Locke, 587, 594
 — v. ———, in Hammond v. Bean, 555, 571
 — v. ———, in Irwin v. Hogg, 603
 — v. ———, in Lister v. Goldstein, 554, 555
 — v. ———, in Logan v. Louel, 619, 627
 — v. ———, in March v. Russell, 567
 — v. ———, in Peacock v. Leigh, 554
 — v. ———, in Phillips v. Dore, 629, 630
 — v. ———, in Potter v. Marsden, 554
 — v. ———, in Pouchée v. Lewin, 554
 — v. ———, in Ridgway v. Porter, 543, 554, 571
 — v. ———, in Rogers v. Porter, 565, 588
 — v. ———, in Shaw v. Ward, 570, 571
 — v. ———, in Taylor v. Odlin, 545, 554
 — v. ———, in Thompson v. Powell, 551, 552
 — v. ———, in Watts v. Hamilton, 545, 552, 554, 569
 — v. ———, in Williams v. Pennell, 545

- Rex v. Middlesex (Sheriff of), in Wol-
 laston v. Wright, 576, 594
 — v. ———, in Wood-
 ward v. Feltham, 564
 — v. Miden, 1117
 — v. Miles, 416, 836
 — v. Minify, 546
 — v. Monmouth (Sheriff of), 413
 — v. Moulton, 464
 Reg. v. Murphy, 228
 Rex v. Myers, 1270
 — v. Nottingham, 1026
 — v. Ossner, 546
 — v. Oxfordshire (Sheriff of), 1006
 — v. Page, 918
 — v. Palmer, 1270
 — v. Park, 65
 — v. Partridge, 81
 — v. Paty, 346
 — v. Peckham, 557, 1263
 — v. Pember, 546, 1262
 — v. Perring, 548, 556, 567
 — v. Perry, 255
 — v. Peto, 725
 — v. Pilgrim, 234
 — v. Pinney, 98
 — v. Plunket, 1273
 — v. Ponsonby, 1135
 — v. Powell, 916, 933
 — v. Preston (Inhabitants of), 311
 — v. Price, 92, 1204
 — v. Priddle, 526
 — v. Purnell, 1026
 — v. Ramsden, 276
 — v. Rebord, 483
 — v. Richardson, 174
 — v. Roberts, 1128
 — v. Robinson, 1210
 — v. Roddam, 233, 234, 941
 — v. Rogers, 6, 1268
 — v. Roper, 122
 — v. Routledge, 47
 — v. Rudge, 122
 — v. Russell (Lord John), 1264,
 1268
 — v. Rye (Mayor of), 644
 — v. Sankey, 86
 — v. St. Asaph (Bishop of), 1267
 — v. St. Katharine's Dock Com-
 pany, 402
 — v. St. Mary (Inhabitants of),
 201, 1171
 — v. Scalbert, 288
 — v. Scriveners' Company, 22
 — v. Shakspeare, 511
 — v. Shelley, 222, 1027
 — v. Slaney, 228, 274
 — v. Sloman, 233
 — v. Smith, 218, 319, 1027
 — v. Smithers, 1188
 Rex v. Smithies, 550, 552, 556, 1069,
 1257, 1264
 — v. Smollett, 8
 — v. Southerton, 61
 — v. Stafford (Sheriff of), 1190
 — v. Stobbs, 464, 532
 — v. Stokes, 1265
 — v. Stretch, 235, 1263, 1268
 — v. Suffolk (Sheriff of), 512
 — v. Surrey (Sheriff of), 405, 411
 — v. Surrey (Sheriff of), in Brewer
 v. Clarke, 548, 566
 — v. Surrey (Sheriff of), in Morris
 v. Duffield, 548, 556, 567
 — v. Surrey (Sheriff of), in Weston
 v. Woods, 570
 — v. Sutton, 1098
 — v. Taylor, 301
 — v. Teal, 1088
 — v. Tew, 64
 — v. Tower, 1027
 — v. Tremaine, 246, 398
 — v. Tremearne, 995
 — v. Vaughan, 62, 1272
 — v. Ward, 218
 — v. Warrington, 200, 1170
 — v. Warwick (Sheriffs of), 1219
 — v. Warwickshire (Justices of),
 1137
 — v. Washbrooke, 1250
 — v. Watson, 274
 — v. Webb, 277
 — v. Wells, 406
 — v. Wettenhall, 569
 — v. Whaley, 557
 — v. Wheeler, 97, 1240, 1272
 — v. Wheeler, 1260
 — v. Wilkes, 935, 1204
 — v. Wilkins, 556, 918, 1269
 — v. Williams, 657, 1061
 — v. Wilson, 586, 587
 — v. Winton, 942
 — v. Woodfall, 287, 1107
 — v. Wooller, 287, 288, 1091, 1101
 — v. Worsenham, 1027
 — v. Wright, 918, 942
 — v. Wylde, 277
 — v. Yandell, 929, 930
 — v. Yearsley, 217
 — v. York, 1186
 — v. York (Archbishop of), 8, 174,
 1205
 — v. Yorkshire (W. R.), 1213
 — v. ——— (Justices
 of), 93
 Kew v. Long, 351
 Reynal v. Smith, 46, 69
 Reynard v. Edwards, 1145
 Reynolds v. Adams, 930, 932
 — v. Askew, 1252, 1253

- Reynolds *v.* Caswell, 73, 75
 — *v.* Cope, 244
 — *v.* Gray, 1234
 — *v.* Hankin, 485, 511, 512, 1213
 — *v.* Matthews, 1152
 — *v.* Pocock, 464
 — *v.* Simmonds, 505
 — *v.* Simons, 1190
 — *v.* Taplin, 74
 — *v.* Wedd, 541
 Rhodam *v.* Watson, 356
 Rhodes *v.* Haigh, 822
 — *v.* Innes, 115, 117, 121
 — *v.* Smethurst, 874, 877
 Ribans *v.* Crickett, 979
 Ribout *v.* Wheeler, 370, 390, 398
 Rice, *Re*, 65, 71
 — *v.* Brown, 919, 1064
 — *v.* Huxley, 516
 — *v.* Legh, 1174
 — *v.* Leinstead, 685, 686
 — *v.* Shute, 1087
 Richard *v.* Isaac, 1209
 Richards, *Ex parte*, 38
 — *v.* Acton, 810, 813
 — *v.* Brown, 50, 1114, 1115, 1117, 1157
 — *v.* Cohen, 1155, 1159
 — *v.* Harris, 210
 — *v.* Setree, 654, 655
 — *v.* Stuart, 103, 107, 129, 476, 487, 495, 501, 508, 516, 517, 518
 — *v.* Symes, 648
 — *v.* Williams, 1171
 Richardson *v.* Allen, 276
 — *v.* Daly, 674
 — *v.* Fell, 271
 — *v.* Fisher, 1094
 — *v.* Hodgson, 561
 — *v.* Mellish, 324, 593, 1113, 1114, 1131
 — *v.* Nourse, 1240, 1249
 — *v.* Pollen, 138, 139
 — *v.* Robertson, 185
 — *v.* Tomlin, 1142
 Richer, *Ex parte*, 870
 Richmond *v.* Heapy, 903
 — *v.* Johnson, 1156
 — *v.* Parkinson, 1257, 1260
 Rickards *v.* East India Company, 243
 — *v.* Murdock, 276
 Ricketts *v.* Lewis, 380
 — *v.* Burman, 208, 314
 Rickman *v.* Hawes, 581
 — *v.* Studwick, 475
 Riddell *v.* Nash, 1217
 — *v.* Sutton, 1178
 Rider, *In re*, 1243
 Ridge *v.* Hardcastle, 47
 Ridgway *v.* Baynton, 1186
 — *v.* Fisher, 1007
 — *v.* Phillips, 272
 Ridley, *Ex parte*, 30
 — *v.* Weston, 534
 Ridout *v.* Pye, 1228
 Rigby, *Ex parte*, 38, 40
 — *v.* O'Kell, 1233, 1237
 Right *v.* Wrong, 738, 749
 — *d.* Jeffery *v.* Wrong, 745
 Rigley *v.* Edwards, 579, 582
 Riley *v.* Byrne, 987
 Rinch *v.* Anon., 356
 Ringer *v.* Joyce, 1248
 Rimmer *v.* Green, 526
 — *v.* Turner, 543, 572
 Rios *v.* Belifante, 486
 Ripling *v.* Watts, 145
 Rippon *v.* Dawson, 105
 Risdale *v.* Kelly, 167
 Ritchie *v.* Bowsfield, 1095
 Ritchet *v.* Brevey, 704
 Ritson *v.* Francis, 360, 619
 Rivers' (Countess) case, 465
 — (Lord) *v.* Pratt, 261
 Rivet *v.* Cholmondeley, 963
 Rivett *v.* Lark, 449
 Ravis *v.* Watson, 1112
 Robarts *v.* Mason, 472
 Robert *v.* Rogers, 520
 Roberts *v.* Andrews, 449, 472, 897
 — *v.* Arthur, 219, 1020
 — *v.* Bates, 120, 844, 1119, 1120
 — *v.* Bradshaw, 231, 253, 265
 — *v.* Brown, 256
 — *v.* Croft, 286
 — *v.* Cuttill, 1047
 — *v.* Downes, 1062
 — *v.* Giddius, 570, 1210
 — *v.* Goff, 689
 — *v.* Hillsborough, 1062
 — *v.* Jonnea, 585
 — *v.* Karr, 1096
 — *v.* Monkhouse, 141, 195, 521
 — *v.* Phillips, 1158, 1160
 — *v.* Pilkington, 491
 — *v.* Pierson, 691
 — *v.* Simpson, 233
 — *v.* Spurr, 701, 702, 704, 1042, 1044, 1049
 — *v.* Stacey, 330
 — *v.* Thomas, 430
 — *v.* Wedderburn, 515
 — *v.* Wright, 958
 Robertson *v.* Barker, 1101
 — *v.* Douglas, 114, 135, 142, 1048
 — *v.* Paterson, 474, 623
 — *v.* Score, 906, 907

- Robertson v. Taylor, 325, 453
 Rabey v. Howard, 269
 Robinett v. Cobb, 1246
 Robins v. Bridge, 237
 — v. Hender, 533
 — v. Richards, 142, 143
 Robinson, Ex parte, 907
 Robinson v. Brookbank, 687
 — v. Cook, 1087
 — v. Cresswell, 866, 868
 — v. Davis, 1260
 — v. Day, 858, 1106
 — v. Day, 858
 — v. Elsom, 1148
 — v. Hawkins, 111, 561
 — v. Henderson, 1243
 — v. Lester, 694
 — v. Lundell, 869
 — v. Mearns, 266
 — v. Messenger, 1156
 — v. Nicholls, 503, 1046
 — v. Owen, 559
 — v. Peace, 344, 427
 — v. Phillips, 716
 — v. Powell, 1152
 — v. Raley, 665, 1113
 — v. Robinson, 1069
 — v. Robland, 294
 — v. Rowland, 75, 84, 189
 — v. Smith, 1061
 — v. Stoddart, 705, 1050
 — v. Taylor, 207, 1074
 — v. Tuckwell, 360
 — v. Whitehead, 1150
 — v. Williamson, 1088
 — v. Yewens, 479, 480, 524, 535
 Robert v. Andrews, 371, 373
 Robson, Re, 1244
 Robson v. Blackwell, 958
 — v. Eaton, 57, 995
 — v. Robson, 995
 — v. Rowland, 1029
 Roche v. Carey, 487
 Rochester (Dean of) v. Pierce, 841
 Rochfort v. Robertson, 206, 715
 Rock v. Johnson, 522
 — v. Slade, 909, 996
 Rodney v. Strobe, 323, 1132
 Roe v. Cobham, 977, 988
 — v. Cock, 144, 1052
 — v. Davies, 755
 — Fenwick v. Doe, 740, 741
 — Hambrook v. Doe, 736
 — Jones v. Doe, 749
 — Leek v. Doe, 752
 — v. Harvey, 231, 232, 233
 — v. Moore, 351, 352, 764
 — v. Rawlings, 229
 — v. Street, 733
 — v. Whitehead, 642
 Roe v. Wigga, 785
 — v. Gray, 1068
 — Lee v. Ellis, 736
 — Peacock v. Raffen, 733
 — Rankin v. Brindley, 784
 — West v. Davis, 775
 — Wood v. Doe, 1234, 1236
 — Wrangham v. Hersey, 1122
 Roffey v. Smith, 189
 Roger v. Cooke, 938, 940
 Rogers v. Bangor, 1015
 — v. Dallimore, 1252
 — v. Godbold, 111, 494
 — v. Jenkins, 144, 514
 — v. Jones, 496, 584, 914, 1027
 — v. Kingaton, 689
 — v. M'Carthy, 313
 — v. Mapleback, 553, 564, 588, 589, 593
 — v. Neville, 58
 — v. Peterson, 80
 — v. Pitcher, 447
 — v. Reeves, 423, 537, 541, 575
 — v. Smith, 253, 1129
 — v. Stanton, 1259
 — v. Twiadel, 1264
 Rohrs v. Sessions, 1016
 Rolf v. Dart, 216
 Rolfe v. Brown, 141, 1045, 1047, 1048, 1187
 — v. Burke, 1208
 — v. Elthorne, 843
 — v. Rogers, 61
 — v. Steele, 554
 — v. Swann, 515
 Rolleston v. Scott, 802
 Rollin v. Mills, 487
 Rolls v. Germin, 376
 Rolls v. Rosewell, 725, 1107
 Rolph v. Peckham, 104
 Romecill v. Bowen, 132
 Rook v. Johnson, 1045
 — v. Wilmot, 452
 Rooke v. Leicester (Earl of), 803
 — v. Sherwood, 141, 142
 — v. Wasp, 87, 989
 Roper v. Sheasby, 1150
 — v. Shevely, 1150
 Roscoe v. Hardman, 67
 Rose v. Bowler, 895, 1082
 — v. Christfield, 159, 854, 865
 — v. Green, 452, 853
 — v. Tomblinson, 402, 524, 676, 678, 679, 682, 698
 Roselotti v. Webb, 709
 Ross, Ex parte, 479, 529
 — v. Hodgson, Re, 45
 — v. Boards, 1241
 — v. Parker, 1022
 — v. Robeson, 659

- Rossett *v.* Hartly, 511, 1194
 — *v.* King, 175
 Rotheray *v.* Munnings, 1174
 Rothery *v.* Wood, 425
 Rotton *v.* Jeffery, 145
 Rouch *v.* Boucher, 624
 Rouferoy *v.* Alefson, 1151
 Rourke *v.* Bourne, 569
 Rous *v.* Etherington, 348
 Rouse *v.* —, 880
 Routledge *v.* Abbott, 1158
 — *v.* Giles, 1163
 — *v.* Thornton, 1235
 Rowbotham *v.* Dupree, 706
 Rowe *v.* Brenton, 261, 263, 279, 311
 — *v.* Howden, 1024
 — *v.* Huntington, 316
 — *v.* Rhodes, 1151
 — *v.* Sawyer, 1259
 — *v.* Softly, 540, 615
 — *v.* Tapp, 1136
 Rowell *v.* Breedon, 946, 949, 951
 — *v.* Dyon, 670
 Rowland *v.* Dakeyne, 111
 — *v.* Veale, 410
 Rowle, *Ex parte*, 21, 23
 Rowles *v.* Lawrence, 146
 — *v.* Lusty, 167
 Rowley *v.* Allen, 957
 — *v.* Bayley, 493
 — *v.* Raphson, 819
 Rowndell *v.* Powell, 694
 Rowney *v.* Dean, 487
 Rownson *v.* Earle, 74
 Rowsell *v.* Cox, 168, 171
 Rowson *v.* Earle, 54
 Roy, *Ex parte*, 66
 — *v.* Bristow, 150, 965
 — *v.* Tunmer, 347
 Roylance *v.* Hewlins, 868
 Royson's case, 607, 1272
 Ruberry *v.* Stephens, 881
 Rucker *v.* Palsgrave, 979, 980
 — *v.* Ansley, 1072
 — *v.* Hannay, 163, 180
 Ruddick *v.* Simmons, 291
 Ruffle *v.* Hitchcock, 684
 Ruffman *v.* Thornwell, 796, 947
 Rumsey *v.* George, 895
 — *v.* Tuffnell, 416
 Rundell *v.* Champneys, 159
 Rundle *v.* Beaumont, 1024, 1025, 1026
 Rush *v.* Smith, 277
 Rushton *v.* Aspinall, 1122
 Rushworth *v.* Barron, 1252
 — *v.* Pembroke (Countess of), 220
 — *v.* Wilson, 236
 Russell, *Ex parte*, 528
 — *v.* Atkinson, 1149
 Russell *v.* Ball, 307
 — *v.* Dixon, 636
 — *v.* Hurst, 957
 — *v.* Palmer, 63
 — *v.* Stewart, 63
 Russen *v.* Hayward, 721
 Rust *v.* Chine, 110
 — *v.* Kennedy, 104
 Ruston *v.* Greene, 623
 — *v.* Hatfield, 412, 437, 554
 — *v.* Owston, 1118
 Rutherford *v.* Evans, 281
 — *v.* Mein, 120, 1118
 Rutland's (Countess of) case, 410, 464, 465
 — *v.* Rutland, 889, 1179
 Rutter *v.* Redstone, 1114, 1135
 Rutty *v.* Arbur, 703
 Ryalls *v.* Emerson, 80, 81, 1266
 Rybot *v.* Peckham, 407
 Ryder *v.* Malbon, 281
 Ryland *v.* Noakes, 56
 — *v.* Wormald, 93, 653
 Ryley *v.* Boissomas, 112
 Rymer *v.* Cook, 279, 1036
 Ryves *v.* Bunning, 478
 SABIN *v.* Long, 323, 1132
 Sabine *v.* Field, 833
 Sableman *v.* Claringbold, 1007
 Sackett *v.* Owen, 1248
 Sadler *v.* Cleaver, 906, 997
 — *v.* Dring, 945
 — *v.* Evans, 314, 1087
 — *v.* Hickson, 323, 417
 — *v.* Leigh, 93, 432
 — *v.* Palfreyman, 76, 82
 — *v.* Robins, 1238
 Saggors *v.* Gordon, 599
 Sainsbury *v.* Gandon, 571
 — *v.* Mathews, 283
 — *v.* Pringle, 639, 642, 831, 835, 837
 Saint George's case, 483
 St. Hanlaire *v.* Byan, 155, 565, 577, 725, 1033
 Salby *v.* White, 691
 Sale *v.* Crompton, 1133
 Salisbury, *Re*, 628, 942
 — *v.* Proctor, 1062
 Salkeld *v.* Sands, 483
 Salloway *v.* Whorewood, 1185
 Salmon's bail, 609
 — *v.* James, 1006
 Salsh *v.* Cranbrook, 1079
 Salt *v.* Richards, 369, 379, 1006
 — *v.* Salt, 970, 971
 Salter *v.* Shergold, 565
 Salter *v.* Slade, 386
 — *v.* Yeates, 1231, 1233

Wynne, 379
 v. Hewett, 690
 v. Ex parte, 69, 387
 v. Miller, 471
 v. De Payba, 353, 354, 356, 1134
 v. Appleyard, 1089
 v. Brown, 359, 819
 v. Graves (Lord), 128
 Culham, 142
 v. bail, 585
 v. Barker, 1141
 v. Cooper, 1245
 v. Cowper, 1248
 v. Duke, 192, 342, 406, 431
 v. Dunn, 181
 v. Evans, 91, 537, 538
 v. Hoder, 808
 v. Judin, 346
 v. Morris, 192
 v. Dunn, 168, 180
 v. Bennett, 663, 994, 1176
 v. Miller, 1173
 (Sir J.) v. Deverton, 343
 v. De Chastelain, 121
 v. Jones, 695
 v. n's bail, 597
 v. Baker, 417, 429
 v. Brown, 622, 640
 v. Lee, 718, 721
 v. Nestor, 285
 v. Clarke, 1130
 v. Hunt, 271
 v. Porter, 1130, 1131, 1132
 v. Claridge, 639, 830, 832
 v. Bourne, 70
 v. s case, 261
 v. Hornby, 46, 69, 942
 Re, 52
 v. Goode, 683
 v. Heard, 961
 v. Forrest, 464
 v. Gordon, 104
 v. Hubbard, 231
 v. Nanny, 191
 v. bail, 595
 v. Ex parte, 31, 38
 v. Bridges, 407, 414
 v. Melhuish, 1272
 v. Musgrave, 424
 v. Owen, 168, 654
 v. Pitman, 1061
 v. Saunders, 910
 v. Spinks, 568
 v. Bowen, 132
 v. Hudson, 934
 v. Marr, 691
 v. Piper, 988
 Ashwin, 1247
 Binney, 239

Savage v. Dent, 770
 — v. Hall, 599
 — v. Smith, 515
 — v. Lipscombe, 1167
 Savil v. Wiltshire, 341, 342
 Savile v. Jackson, 724
 v. Roberts, 960
 v. Wiltshire, 688
 Saville v. Jackson, 321
 v. Jardine, 1146
 v. Thornton, 370
 Sawle v. Paynter, 407
 Sawtell v. Gillard, 164
 Saxby v. Kirkus, 1112
 Saxelby v. Moor, 373
 Saxon v. Swabey, 1080
 Sayer v. Pocock, 1124, 1127
 v. Verdenhalm, 627
 Scaithe v. Browne, 624
 Scale v. Evans, 273
 Scales v. East London Water Works
 Company, 1230, 1248
 v. Sargeson, 1009, 1010, 1111
 Scarborough v. Evans, 132
 Schimmel v. Lousada, 236
 Schlesinger, Ex parte, 905
 Schofield v. Huggins, 706
 Schoole v. Noble, 458
 Schuldam v. Burniss, 1026
 Schwalbanker, Ex parte, 65
 Scorrall v. Boxall, 428
 Scott, Ex parte, 479
 v. Bryant, 820
 v. Bye, 346
 v. Clare, 221
 v. Cogger, 704, 1048
 v. Henley, 544
 v. Jones, 231
 v. Larkin, 832
 v. Larkins, 637
 v. Lewis, 270, 1006
 v. Marshall, 1190
 v. Peacock, 453
 v. Robson, 663
 v. Scholey, 427, 431
 v. Sholey, 443
 v. Staley, 729
 v. Thomas, 177
 v. Waithman, 813
 v. Williams, 1259
 Scougall v. Campbell, 1132
 Scrace v. Whittington, 46
 Scrivener v. Watling, 145
 Scryven v. Dryther, 627
 Scuerhop v. Schmanuel, 488
 Scurrall v. Horton, 987
 Seabrook v. Cave, 1075
 v. Howkin, 940
 Seacomb v. Bromley, 466, 467
 Seal v. Phillips, 811
 d 2

- Sealey v. Harris, 163
 — v. Hearn, 111
 — v. Robertson, 722
 Seamour v. Bridge, 976
 Searl v. Johnson, 858
 Searle v. Bradshaw, 163
 Seaton v. Benedict, 978
 — v. Gilbert, 473
 — v. Heap, 639, 707, 830
 — v. Scale, 198
 — v. Skey, 164
 Seaver v. Spraggon, 591, 627
 Seaward, Re, 1259
 — v. Williams, 1099
 Seby v. Harris, 220
 Seddon v. Tutop, 1224
 Sedley v. White, 1212
 Sedgwick v. Allerton, 160, 1208
 — v. Goston, 381
 Sedgworth v. Spicer, 58, 59, 537, 541
 Seeley v. Mayhew, 1094
 Seely v. Powis, 1104, 1156, 1237
 Selby v. Crutchley, 1013
 — v. Hills, 527, 528, 530
 — v. Richardson, 1203, 1204
 — v. Robinson, 109
 Sell v. Adams, 1075, 1079
 — v. Carter, 1252
 Sellon v. Chamberlayne, 1062
 Sells v. Hoare, 1094
 Selly v. Powis, 1090
 Selsa v. Powell, 1098
 Semayne's case, 469, 445, 531, 533
 Semayne v. Gresham, 409
 Senior, Ex parte, 30, 38
 Senter v. Watts, 57, 58
 Sergeant v. Chafy, 1130
 Serjeant v. Cowan, 508
 Serle v. Bradshaw, 164
 Serocold v. Hampson, 936, 937
 Serra v. Muncz, 367
 Severn v. Olive, 237, 1154, 1166
 — v. Slade, 1164
 Sewell v. Browne, 126
 Seymour v. Greavill, 444, 218
 Shackell v. Ronger, 197, 200, 204
 Shaddick v. Bennett, 1173, 1174
 Shadford v. Houston, 1067
 Shadgett v. Clipseon, 512
 Shadwell v. Angell, 121, 159, 159
 Shakespeare v. Phillips, 621
 Sharman v. Hall, 1240, 1249
 — v. Stevenson, 974
 Sharp, Ex parte, 66
 — v. Abbey, 539
 — v. Clark, 834
 — v. Hawker, 60, 67
 — v. Johnston, 1211, 1212, 1217, 1219
 — v. Scoging, 274
 Sharp v. Sharp, 316
 Sharp v. Sheriff, 606, 626
 — v. Wagstaffe, 194
 Sharpe, Re, 86
 — v. Abbey, 518
 — v. Brice, 1090
 — v. Johnson, 503, 1049, 1214
 — v. Thomas, 589
 Shaw v. Alvanley (Lord), 175
 — v. Arden, 63, 85
 — v. Cash, 623
 — v. Evans, 682
 — v. Everett, 176
 — v. Bishop, 1097
 — v. Mansfield, 1185, 1192
 — v. Maxwell, 402, 420, 1135
 — v. Oates, 297, 708, 1179
 — v. Roberts, 4, 1005, 1199
 — v. Robinson, 512, 1210
 — v. Simpson, 414
 — v. Tunbridge, 1004
 — v. Worcester (Marquis of), 675, 681, 683, 699
 Shawe v. Johnstone, 571
 Shawman v. Whalley, 503
 Sheape v. Culpepper, 807, 808, 1139
 Shearman v. Knight, 533
 Shearwood v. Hay, 194, 979
 Sheather v. Holt, 545, 1262
 Shee v. Abbott, 607
 Sheen v. Rickie, 1122
 Sheepshanks v. Lucas, 547, 392, 393
 Sheera v. Brooks, 628
 Sheldon v. Mumford, 486, 487, 1049, 1041
 Shelling v. Farmer, 1224
 Sheppard v. Hall, 908
 Sheperd v. Green, 958
 Shephard v. Hall, 712, 1106
 Shepherd v. Butler, 207, 211
 — v. Charter, 709
 — v. Chester, 213, 718
 — v. Magdeth, 378, 379
 — v. Orchard, 349
 — v. Shum, 519
 — v. Taylor, 1073
 Shepley v. Marsh, 203, 249, 1049, 1123
 Sheppard v. Shum, 541
 Sheriff v. Gresley, 81, 1202
 Sherley v. Underhill, 356
 Sherlock v. Barned, 1105
 Sherman v. Alvarez, 169, 656
 — v. Tinsley, 297, 1093, 1
 Sherratt v. Eloyer, 622
 Sherson v. Hughes, 854
 Sherry v. Oke, 1102, 1136, 1252, 1253, 1254, 1261
 Sherwin v. Clarges, 342
 Sherwood v. Benson, 449, 471, 526, 967, 997
 — v. Taylor, 150, 115

- orth v. Neville, 235
 t v. Passman, 270, 270
 s's bail, 611
 d v. Saxton, 52, 270, 1076
 n, Ex parte, 78
 s. Cooper, 937
 n v. Henbest, 1
 — v. Stevens, 892, 893
 s. Shipton, 699
 s. Jacobs, 112, 120, 125, 491,
 1119, 1205
 s. Matthews, 1088
 s. Wright, 404, 405, 419
 s. Brooks, 639, 641, 650
 s. v. Irwin, 153
 s. Madisten, 1138, 1141, 1147
 s. v. De la Cour, 179
 s. Campbell, 406, 1212
 Coffin, 1132
 Coglein, 638
 s. Channingham, 501
 s. Edwards, 1039
 s. Hubbard, 792, 812
 s. King, 991, 1014
 s. Pfaff, 62
 s. Williams, 869, 870
 s. v. Helbut, 248
 s. v. Hiern, 807, 1070
 s. v. Barlow, 1161
 s. v. Shebelli, 244
 Bury (Earl of) v. Hayeroff, 114
 — (Mercers of) v. Hart, 224
 Bury's (Earl of) case, 464
 son v. Carter, 1079, 1209
 s. v. Barrett, 703, 1154
 s. v. Coocannon, 503, 527,
 1046, 1049
 s. Procter, 725
 s. v. Wood, 638
 s. v. Clark, 1009
 — v. Pilkington, 638
 s. Kirkman, 1095, 1127
 s. Nivin, 160, 161
 more v. Thistleton, 324
 ys v. Dyson, 230, 231
 s. Bingham, 516, 519
 s. v. Brett, 866
 s. v. Lewis, 989
 s. v. Sampson, 119
 s. v. Sanson, 1112
 s. Marshall, 230
 Bennett, 847
 s. Humfrey, 269
 s. v. Bowley, 1151
 s. v. Thomson, 162
 s. Gibbs, 1216
 s. v. Nevinsan, 984
 s's bail, 579
 — v. Middleton, 622, 623
 — v. Mills, 88
 Simmonds v. Swaine, 1243
 Simmons v. Shannon, 141
 Simon v. Winnington, 472
 Simons v. Folkenhorn, 1074
 Simpson's bail, 594, 609
 — case, 529
 Simpson v. Cooper, 161
 — v. Clayton, 1087
 — v. Dick, 491
 — v. Drummond, 1219
 — v. Graves (Lord), 126, 127
 — v. Gray, 396, 817
 — v. Hanley, 455, 458
 — v. Horda, 1139, 1149, 1157
 — v. Juxon, 381
 — v. Neal, 171
 — v. Benton, 546
 — v. Stone, 985
 Sims v. Jaquet, 482, 1148
 — v. Kitchen, 230, 232
 Sinclair v. Phillippa, 467
 — v. Stevenson, 276
 Singleton v. Barret, 1088
 Siney v. Nevinsan, 967
 Sisted v. Lee, 705
 Skarratt v. Vaughan, 279, 976
 Skee v. Coxon, 1225
 Skeeles v. Shirley, 442
 Skeen v. M'Gregor, 486, 489
 Skeete, Re, 1241
 Skelton v. Hawling, 878
 — v. Steward, 236
 Skete, In re, 1259
 Skewe's (Executors of) v. Chamond,
 465
 Skye v. Voice, 260
 Skin v. Bradley, 378
 — v. Brook, 693
 Skinner v. Shoppy, 1146, 1155
 — v. Stacy, 984
 Skipper v. Lane, 1007
 Skirrow v. Tagg, 34, 36, 46, 468
 Skrine v. Hewett, 590
 Skutt v. Woodward, 1123
 Slack v. Williams, 36
 Slackford v. Austen, 452, 539
 Slade v. Trew, 958
 Slade's bail, 599
 Slater v. Lawson, 875
 — v. Mills, 472, 473
 — v. Shergold, 502
 Slaughter v. Cheyne, 906
 — v. Talbot, 891
 Slegg v. Phillips, 275
 Slie v. Finch, 412
 Sliver v. Thompson, 1132
 Slocumb's case, 376
 Sloman v. Angel, 920
 — v. Gregory, 1048
 Slowman v. Back, 1008

we, 1073
 08, 957, 961
 rth, 70, 71
 1001, 1005, 1045,
 1118
 5
 023, 1024
 15
 L, 983
 1212
 daon, 321
 son, 724
 , 512, 579
 820
 , 469
 2
 1092
 t, 899
 cla, 1030
 1254, 1267
 , 649
 81
 360, 819, 820, 1135
 d, 255, 268
 2
 l, 1014
 t, 1224
 ewood, 1209
 44, 936
 y, 1199
 hill, 526, 1062
 man, 160
 ins, 1115
 , 1112, 1124
 rker, 154
 1033
 663
 of) v. Mere (Hun-
 d), 843
 site, 334, 347, 357,
 358, 361
 228
 13, 139, 161
 v, 1020, 1021
 on, 1234
 57, 58, 991
 , 348, 353
 40
 (ayor of) v. Graves,
 4, 1026
 thwaite, 642
 te, 29
 277
 , 1165
 n, 878
 l, 1168
 n, 300
 t, 270
 ion, 279, 1096

Sowerby v. Lockerby, 1090
 ——— v. Woodroffe, 694, 1211
 Sowley v. Jones, 470
 Sowter v. Dunston, 654, 992
 ——— v. Hitchcock, 754, 1029, 1030
 ——— v. Watts, 995
 Spalding v. Mare, 495
 Spalton v. Moorhouse, 826
 Sparkes v. Barrett, 244, 272
 ——— v. Bell, 449, 472, 897
 ——— v. Simpson, 1020
 Sparks v. Spicer, 1090
 ——— v. Spinks, 509, 531, 532
 Sparling v. Haddon, 85
 Sparrow v. Bristol, 427
 ——— v. Cooper, 89
 ——— v. Johna, 71
 ——— v. Lewes (Sir W.), 366
 ——— v. Lowgate, 620
 ——— v. Mattersock, 442, 445
 ——— v. Nayler, 560
 ——— v. Turner, 1166
 Speach v. Slade, 457
 Speake v. Richards, 439
 Spence v. Albert, 876
 ——— v. Eastern Railway Company,
 1230, 1242
 ——— v. Stuart, 527
 Spenceley v. De Willott, 277
 Spencely v. Shouls, 160, 179, 1200
 Spencer v. Bates, 1037
 ——— v. Cartlick, 171
 ——— v. Coter, 322
 ——— v. De Willot, 1092
 ——— v. Goter, 324, 1104
 ——— v. Hall, 206, 715
 ——— v. Hamerton, 809, 1158,
 1160
 ——— v. Newton, 527, 659, 854
 ——— v. Scott, 144
 ——— v. Stuart, 480
 ——— (Earl of) v. Swannell, 186
 Spenser v. Rutland, 348, 354
 Spicer v. Burgess, 273
 ——— v. Dodd, 755
 ——— v. Teasdale, 324, 336
 ——— v. Todd, 996, 1051, 1202, 1205
 Spieres v. Parker, 1074, 1122
 Spinks v. Bird, 935
 Spivy v. Webster, 1237, 1259, 1265
 Spong v. Hogg, 1094
 Spooner v. Dank, 1150
 ——— v. Garland, 361
 Spragg v. Willis, 1268
 Sprague v. Mitchell, 1093
 Sprang v. Monprivatt, 360, 619
 Sprigens v. Nash, 1234
 Sprigg v. Rutherford, 1093
 Sprigger v. Rutherford, 1092
 Sprightly v. Dunch, 738
 Spurdens v. Mahony, 605

- Spurr v. Rayner, 1080
 Squire v. Almond, 716
 — v. Archer, 970, 971, 985
 — v. Grewett, 1246
 — v. Todd, 1039
 Stacey v. Fieldand, 870
 — v. Frederici, 470, 621
 — v. Jeffreys, 1074
 Stadholme v. Hoggaon, 163
 Stafford v. Clark, 978
 — v. Little, 166
 — v. Nichols, 166
 — (Mayor of) v. Till, 841, 842
 Staffordshire &c. Canal Co. v. Trent
 &c. Canal Co., 1103
 Staines v. Stoneham, 593
 Stalaland v. Ogle, 552
 Stainton v. Beadie, 1089
 Staley v. Bedley, 1010
 — v. Bedwell, 1010
 — v. Long, 1135
 Stamford (Earl) v. Gardal, 484
 Stammers v. Yearsley, 168
 Stamp v. Parker, 299, 300
 Stampe v. Kinsey, 396
 Stamper v. Milbourne, 559, 575
 Stanbury v. Gillett, 254
 Stancliffe v. Hardwicke, 192
 Standard v. Ogle, 554
 Standen v. Blakie, 569
 — v. Hall, 1166
 Standley v. Hennington, 1258
 Stanford v. M'Cann, 615
 Stanforth v. M'Cann, 615
 Stanhope v. Eavery (or Firmin), 996
 — v. Firmin and another, 57
 Staniland v. Ludham, 899, 1161
 Stanley v. Perry, 1902
 — v. Robson, 276
 — v. Stanley, 85
 Stannall v. Towers, 1264
 Stannard v. Ullithorne, 63, 1030
 Stante v. Pricket, 278
 Stanton's bail, 577
 Stanway v. Hislop, 958
 — v. Perry, 923
 Stanynought v. Cosens, 767
 Staple v. Bird, 427
 — v. Hayden, 1128
 Staples v. Holdsworth, 1034, 1123
 — v. Hollingsworth, 163
 — v. Purner, 689
 Stapleton v. De Stark (Baron), 462
 — v. Devoy, 1029
 — v. Macbar, 620
 Starkie's case, 464
 Starkie v. Skilbeck, 132, 909
 Starling v. Cogent, 458
 — v. Cosens, 1153
 — v. Coxens, 1153
 Stead v. Latoward, 882
 Stead v. Salt, 676, 1223
 Steadman v. Purchase, 696
 Stean v. Holmes, 994
 Steel v. Alan, 473, 909
 — v. Allen, 1013
 — v. Bradfield, 984
 — v. Sowerby, 1112
 Steele v. Bradfield, 990
 — v. Brown, 431
 — v. Morgan, 119, 1046
 — v. Rorke, 339
 — v. Sterry, 177
 Steeple v. Bonall, 1108
 Steer v. Smith, 1206
 Steers v. Carwardine, 275
 — v. Lashley, 1247
 Stephens v. Crichton, 239, 245
 — v. Etherick, 1058
 — v. Foster, 246
 — v. Gwenap, 239
 — v. Pell, 298
 — v. Weston, 88
 Stewart v. Gaveran, 1212
 Stevens v. Berwick, 224, 1026
 — v. Hudson, 1112
 — v. Ingram, 353, 357
 — v. Jackson, 546
 — v. Miller, 584, 585, 598
 — v. Pell, 166, 714, 715, 717,
 718, 719
 — v. Rothwell, 415, 416
 — v. Villingale, 10
 — v. Weston, 459
 Stevenson, Re, 21
 — v. Blakelock, 86
 — v. Cameron, 537, 542, 572
 — v. Danvers, 1049
 — v. Grant, 1134
 — v. Roche, 633, 634, 637,
 642
 — v. Stimpson, 82
 — v. Yorke, 976
 Steward v. Bracebridge, 539
 — v. Howey, 473
 — v. Lombe, 428
 Stewart v. Abraham, 209, 211
 — v. Barnes, 275
 — v. Bishop, 574
 — v. Bracebridge, 415, 541, 617
 — v. Smith, 639, 830
 Stayner v. Cottrell, 1209
 Stilbs v. Clough, 1019
 Stiles v. Mead, 654
 Stinton v. Hughes, 481, 489
 Stock v. De Smith, 1256
 Stockdale v. Chapman, 1124, 1127
 — v. Hansard, 919
 Stockes v. Willes, 694
 Stockham v. French, 607
 Stodhart v. Johnson, 285, 976
 Stokes, Ex parte, 29

- Stokes v. Lewis, 1286, 1256
 — v. White, 468
 — v. Woodeson, 1059, 1266
 Stone v. Attwell, 893
 — v. Blackburn, 273
 — v. Farey, 1070, 1077, 1078
 — v. Forsyth, 1155
 — v. Philips, 1245, 1250
 — v. Stone, 64
 Stonehouse v. Ewen, 445, 446
 — v. Mullin, 452
 Stonehurst, Ex parte, 30
 — v. Ramsden, 359, 857
 Stone's bail, 583
 Storer v. Hunter, 428
 — v. Rayson, 114, 532
 Storey, Ex parte, 1223
 — v. Birmingham, 465
 — v. Bloxham, 300
 Storie v. Ball, 490
 Stork v. Herbert, 138, 1120
 Storke v. De Smeth, 1247
 Storr v. Mount, 510
 Storton v. Tomlins, 690, 691
 Story v. Hedson, 296, 1139
 Stour v. Marsh, 647
 Stout v. Smith, 582, 1188, 1189
 Stoveld v. Brewin, 979, 980
 — v. Eade, 693
 Stovin v. Taylor, 1151
 Stowel v. Zouch (Lord), 930
 Stowell v. Eade, 691
 Stracy v. Blake, 214
 Straker v. Graham, 287, 1091, 1101
 Stranger v. Searle, 228
 Strangman v. Buckle, 145
 Stratford v. Love, 615
 — v. Marshall, 1061
 Stratten v. Hutchinson, 3
 Stratton v. Burgess, 53, 123
 — v. Burgis, 1119
 — v. Burgess, 892
 — v. Green, 1237
 — v. Negan, 1045, 1187
 Street v. Alvanley, 126
 — v. Alvanley (Lord), 126
 — v. Brown, 1025
 — v. Carter, 533
 — v. Hopkinson, 347, 357, 373, 376
 — v. Rigby, 1227
 Streeter v. Scott, 568
 Stride v. Hill, 625, 1210
 Strike v. Blanchard, 1212
 Stringer v. Martyr, 913
 Strong v. Howe, 64
 — v. Simpson, 970
 Strother v. Hutchinson, 286, 311, 314
 — v. Randerson, 1082
 Stroud v. Gerrard, 512
 Stroud v. Kenny, 589
 — v. Tilly, 963, 1120
 Strowther v. Hutchinson, 1107
 Strutt v. Rogers, 1236, 1258
 Stratton v. Hawkes, 1189
 Stuart v. Rogers, 313, 1071
 — v. Whittaker, 16
 Stubbing v. M'Grath, 868
 Studley v. Start, 576
 Studwell v. Bunton, 474
 Stultz v. Heneage, 616, 973
 Stunnell v. Tower, 1257, 1268
 Sturch v. Clarke, 912
 Sturdy v. Andrews, 236
 Sturgess v. Claude, 1001, 1006
 Sturmy v. Smith, 16, 474
 Sudall v. Wytham, 453
 Sugars v. Concannon, 503, 511, 522, 534, 1046, 1049
 Suister v. Coell, 1023
 Sullivan v. Magill, 1063
 Sulsh v. Cranbroke, 207, 211
 Summers v. Jones, 277, 470, 568, 907
 — v. Mosely, 233
 Summervil v. Watkins, 931, 936, 939
 Sumner v. Batson, 104
 — v. Greene, 481
 Sumption v. Monzani, 868
 Sunbolf v. Alford, 425, 427
 Surgeons of London v. Pelson, 841
 Surman v. Bruce, 561, 566, 567, 633
 — v. Sheletto, 1146
 Sutcliffe v. Eldred, 365
 Sutton v. Bishop, 997
 — v. Barnett, 165
 — v. Bryan, 717, 1067
 — v. Burgess, 112, 521, 533
 — v. Cardross (Lord), 404
 — v. Clarke, 1033
 — v. Mitchell, 1104
 — v. Oswald, 483
 — d. Doe v. Ridgeway, 993
 — v. Wadilove, 164
 — v. Waite, 813
 Swain v. Hall, 1090
 — v. Lewis, 1095, 1127
 Swaine v. Senate, 87
 — v. Stone, 1204
 Swan v. Broome, 341
 — v. Freeman, 973
 Swann v. Falmouth (Earl), 788
 Swannell v. Ellis, 63
 Swarbreck v. Wheeler, 487
 Swayne v. Bland, 620
 — v. Cramond, 487, 496, 564, 1045
 Sweet v. John, 159
 Sweetapple v. Goodfellow, 359
 Sweeting v. Halse, 1038, 1105, 1120
 — v. Weaver, 566

- Sweetland *v.* Beezeley, 1134
 Swift, *Ex parte*, 32
 — *v.* Nott, 890
 Swinburne *v.* Hewitt, 82, 83
 Swinglehurst *v.* Altham, 1176, 1237
 Swinnerton *v.* Jarvis, 1145
 — *v.* Stafford (Marquis of),
 226, 1090, 1097
 Swinsted *v.* Lydal, 423
 Swire *v.* Bell, 226
 Swithen *v.* Vincent, 895, 896, 966
 Sword Blade Company *v.* Demsey,
 353, 1134
 Sydenham *v.* Bond, 233
 Sykes *v.* Banwens, 138, 634
 — *v.* Harrison, 378
 — *v.* Hayn, 1265
 — *v.* Reeves, 177
 — *v.* Ross, 489
 Sylvester *v.* Webster, 70, 71
 Symes *v.* Goodfellow, 189, 1249
 — *v.* Larby, 314
 — *v.* Rose, 616, 617
 Symmers *v.* Wason, 512, 1213
 Symmonds *v.* Parmenter, 1022, 1120
 Symon *v.* Parmenter, 936
 Symonds *v.* Andrews, 492
 — *v.* Page, 761, 787
 — *v.* Parmenter, 1121
 Syms *v.* Chaplin, 194
 Synge *v.* Jervis, 1252
 Sywood *v.* Dogherty, 581

 TABRAM *v.* Freeman, 680, 690
 — *v.* Thomas, 112, 129
 — *v.* Tenant, 120, 1120
 Tacer *v.* French, 920
 Talbot *v.* Binna, 795
 — *v.* Hodson, 227, 482
 — *v.* Linfield, 93, 139
 Tamworth *v.* Smith, 940
 Tanner *v.* Hague, 455
 Tapley *v.* Battine, 464
 Tardrew *v.* Brook, 987
 Tarleton *v.* Dummelow, 1005
 Tarlton *v.* Fisher, 465, 468, 471, 526
 — *v.* Wragg, 180, 972
 Tashburn *v.* Harelock, 45, 52
 Taswell *v.* Stone, 360
 Tate *v.* Bodfield, 156, 706
 Tatham *v.* Wright, 227
 Tattersall *v.* Groote, 1227
 Taunton *v.* Costar, 447, 731
 — *v.* Gosforth, 46, 56, 86
 — (Market, Clerk of) *v.* Kimber-
 ley, 104
 Tavernor *v.* Little, 194, 1095
 Taylor's case, 626
 —, *Re*, 22, 33, 1256
 — *v.* Baker, 439

 Taylor *v.* Belkon, 423
 — *v.* Blacklow, 48, 63
 — *v.* Blair, 1175
 — *v.* Brander, 543
 — *v.* Capper, 709, 710
 — *v.* Clow, 537
 — *v.* Cole, 427, 428, 447, 731
 — *v.* Cooke, 228, 459
 — *v.* Duncombe, 840
 — *v.* Evans, 575, 627, 628
 — *v.* Forbes, 492
 — *v.* Fraser, 1012, 1013
 — *v.* Gilkes, 1061
 — *v.* Gordon, 1236
 — *v.* Glassbrook, 85
 — *v.* Gregory, 925, 1233
 — *v.* Halliburton, 593
 — *v.* Harris, 52, 822, 1180
 — *v.* Helps, 298, 1096
 — *v.* Higgins, 481, 502
 — *v.* Hillary, 189
 — *v.* Hollman, 828
 — *v.* Horde, 759
 — *v.* Joddrell, 180
 — *v.* Lake, 252
 — *v.* Lanyon, 424
 — *v.* Leighton, 695, 696
 — *v.* M'Gougan, 70
 — *v.* Montague, 900, 1077, 1078
 — *v.* Murray, 1163
 — *v.* Nicholl, 686
 — *v.* Nicholls, 1143, 1144
 — *v.* Osborne, 1026
 — *v.* Parkinson, 683
 — *v.* Phillips, 114, 119, 131,
 521, 531, 1049
 — *v.* Richardson, 524
 — *v.* Royal Exchange Company,
 239, 245
 — *v.* Rutherman, 512
 — *v.* Shapland, 948
 — *v.* Sherman, 142
 — *v.* Slater, 497, 522, 1045
 — *v.* Thompson, 257
 — *v.* Ward, 416
 — *v.* Wasteneys, 477
 — *v.* Waters, 455, 930, 938
 — *v.* Whitehead, 1110, 1131
 — *v.* Whittaker, 471
 — *v.* Wilbore, 763
 — *v.* Wilkinson, 632, 638
 — *v.* Willans, 312
 Tebbutt *v.* Ambler, 1025
 Tench, *Re*, 22
 — *v.* Roberts, 44
 Temperly *v.* Scott, 236, 246
 Temple, *Ex parte*, 528
 Templer *v.* M'Lachlan, 63, 85
 Tenny *v.* Moody, 754, 1038
 Tenons *v.* Mars, 488

- Tenn v. Fitzhugh, 6**
Tesseyman v. Gildart, 794, 810
Tetherington v. Goulding, 495, 516, 631, 632
Teulon v. Grant, 1052
Thackerau v. Turner, 620
Thackeray v. Whittaker, 634
Thackray v. Harris, 636, 638
Thatcher v. Stephenson, 388, 391, 393
Thaxbie v. Smith, 325
Theedam v. Jackson, 170
Thelluson v. Fletcher, 709, 718
 ——— v. Smith, 162, 164
 ——— v. Staples, 236
Theobald v. Crickmore, 210, 912, 1066
 ——— v. Long, 669
Thomas v. Desanges, 93, 432, 1140
 ——— v. Edwards, 299, 985, 1099
 ——— v. Goodtitle, 764
 ——— v. Heathorne, 168
 ——— v. Jackson, 965
 ——— v. Jones, 1073, 1108, 1110
 ——— v. Lewis, 1087
 ——— v. Lloyd, 656, 848
 ——— v. Morgan, 193
 ——— v. Pearce, 114
 ——— v. Phelby, 1204, 1253, 1256
 ——— v. Powell, 647
 ——— v. Saunders, 236, 914, 1161
 ——— v. Thomas, 126
 ——— v. Vandermoolen, 167, 180
 ——— v. Ward, 330
 ——— v. Williams, 819, 832
 ——— v. Young, 566, 633
Thomason v. Frere, 899
Thomeur v. Rand, 957
Thompson's bail, 555, 584, 585
 ———, *Ex parte*, 40, 85
 ——— v. Atkinson, 1148, 1151
 ——— v. Berry, 1142
 ——— v. Blackhurst, 49
 ——— v. Bradbury, 177
 ——— v. Burton, 114
 ——— v. Carter, 1205
 ——— v. Charnock, 1227
 ——— v. Clerk, 422
 ——— v. Colier, 656
 ——— v. Cotter, 144, 514
 ——— v. Crocker, 1134
 ——— v. Dicaa, 109, 145, 517, 1194
 ——— v. Gill, 994
 ——— v. Jordan, 798, 802
 ——— v. Macerone, 632
 ——— v. Marshall, 146
 ——— v. Rock, 537
 ——— v. Ryall, 166
 ——— v. Sheldon, 1009
 ——— v. Vaux, 1211
Thomson's bail, 582, 602
 ——— v. Billingsby, 1268
 ——— v. Croker, 352
 ——— v. King, 868
 ——— v. Macirone, 516
 ——— v. Pheney, 115, 121
 ——— v. Smith, 581
Thormolin v. Cole, 1093
Thorn v. Leslie, 856, 857
Thornby v. Fleetwood, 376, 384, 388, 759
Thorncroft v. Dellis, 1203
Thorne v. Hutchinson, 629
 ——— v. Londonderry (Marquis of), 253
Thornton, Re, 64
 ——— v. Dallas, 906
 ——— v. Ford & Serle, 954
 ——— v. Horuby, 1242, 1259
 ——— v. Jennings, 959
 ——— v. Thackray, 265
 ——— v. Whitehead, 149
Thorold v. Fisher, 556, 622
Thoroughgood's case, 819
 ——— v. Scroggs, 371
Thorp v. Wordy, 1163
Thorpe, Ex parte, 38
 ——— v. Beer, 357, 1202
 ——— v. Cooper, 1224
 ——— v. Gisbourne, 232
 ——— v. Graham, 1263, 1264
 ——— v. Hook, 402, 405, 413, 417, 1133, 1134, 1135, 1136, 1209, 1210
Threlfall v. Webster, 1023, 1024, 1025
Throgmorton v. Smith, 891
 ——— d. Miller v. Smith, 1014
Thrower v. Whetstone, 538
Thruston v. Slatford, 311
Thrustout v. Bedwell, 760
 ——— v. Crofter, 88
 ——— v. Gray, 755
 ——— d. Turner v. Grey, 1014
 ——— v. Percival, 891
 ——— v. Shenton, 733, 753, 762
 ——— Williams v. Holdfast, 991
Thurston v. Thurston, 1004
Thurgood v. Richardson, 424
Thurtell v. Beaumont, 1094
Thwaites v. Gallington, 588
 ——— v. Mackerson, 42, 72
 ——— v. Macpherson, 85
 ——— v. Piper, 635
 ——— v. Sainsbury, 268, 1096, 1102
Thynn v. Thynn, 374
Tibbits v. George, 899
Tighe, Ex parte, 863
 ——— v. Crafter, 984
Tilby v. Best, 401, 681, 689, 698, 699

- Till v. Wilson, 907
 Tilley v. Henley, 1050, 1185, 1191, 1194
 Tillotson, Ex parte, 234, 526, 527
 Tilly v. Richardson, 364, 382
 Tilney v. Norris, 881
 Tilson v. Warwick Gas Company, 842
 Timmins v. Platt, 185, 190
 Timwood v. Mawley, 1052
 Tinkler v. Rowland, 1087
 Tinmouth v. Taylor, 868
 Tipping v. Johnson, 55, 669
 ——— v. Smith, 1242, 1244
 Tipton v. Meeke, 210, 1187
 ——— v. Gardner, 1149
 Tisdall v. Bennet, 926
 Tite v. Worcester (Bishop of), 1113
 Todd v. Dodd, 688
 ——— v. Etherington, 576
 ——— v. Gompertz, 635, 687, 693
 ——— v. Jeffery, 8, 95, 1194
 ——— v. Maxfield, 300, 621
 Tollit v. Shenston, 1122
 Tollitt v. Saunders, 1235
 Tomes v. Hawkes, 1231, 1233
 Tomkins, Ex parte, 23
 ——— v. Chilcote, 111
 ——— v. Geach, 1209
 ——— v. Grattan, 824
 Tomkinson v. Russell, 16
 Tomlin v. Brookes, 890
 ——— v. Fordwick (Mayor &c. of), 1241, 1250
 Tomlinson v. Blacksmith, 326, 1103, 1121
 ——— v. Clark, 75
 ——— v. Done, 1009
 ——— v. Gell, 50
 ——— v. Harvey, 604
 ——— v. Thynn, 414
 Tompson v. Tiller, 1048
 Toms v. Hammond, 466, 467
 ——— v. Powell, 87, 987
 Tomsey v. Napier, 600
 Tomson v. Browne, 1043
 Tonks v. Fisher, 937
 Tonna v. Edwards, 487
 Toomer v. Fuller, 82
 Topham v. Calvert, 581
 ——— v. Kidmore, 975, 1055
 Topping v. Fuge, 146, 798, 799
 ——— v. Johnson, 396
 ——— v. Ryan, 859, 865
 Toss v. Racine, 918, 920
 Toulmin v. Anderson, 1181
 Toussaint v. Hartop, 432, 1181, 1226
 Towers v. Powell, 1048
 Tows v. Powell, 139, 157
 Towne v. Crowder, 407, 432
 Townley, Ex parte, 61, 67, 1204, 1263, 1268
 Townsend v. Burns, 489, 720
 ——— v. Gurney, 146, 964
 Townshend v. Pool, 711
 Tracey v. Gramston, 138
 Travis v. Collins, 1025
 Treeman v. Garden, 364
 Tremain v. Barrett, 236
 Tremeere v. Morison, 881
 Tregoning v. Attenborough, 1236
 Trelawney v. Thomas, 1105
 Trentham v. Deveril, 276
 Tresidden v. Smith, 71
 Treviban v. Lawrence, 820
 Trevivan v. Lawrance, 1132
 Trevor v. Wall, 324, 1107
 Trevors v. Michelborne, 810, 828
 Trew v. Burton, 1230, 1231
 Treacher v. Hinchin, 1098
 ——— v. Hinton, 313
 Trean v. Chaplin, 155
 Treance v. Pinneger, 1186
 Treasurer's bail, 581, 583, 585
 Tribe v. Wingfield, 296
 Tribuer v. Duerr, 176
 Trimbey v. Vignier, 467
 Trimley v. Unwin, 901
 Trinder v. Shirley, 501, 635
 ——— v. Smedley, 154, 161
 Tring v. Gooding, 132
 Tripp v. Bellamy, 1191
 ——— v. Thomas, 719
 Trippitt v. Eyre, 1234
 Triquet v. Bath, 466, 467
 Trist v. Johnson, 233
 Trotter v. Bass, 112, 293, 1119
 Troughton v. Clarke, 641
 ——— v. Craven, 140, 141, 142
 Truslove v. Barton, 1104
 Tubb v. Tubb, 367, 590
 ——— v. Woodward, 994, 1174
 Tubervil v. Stamp, 1100
 Tuberville v. Patrick, 268
 Tucker v. Barrow, 1038
 ——— v. Brand, 131
 ——— v. Colegate, 503, 511, 1064
 ——— v. Francis, 488
 ——— v. Morris, 1001
 ——— v. Tucker, 502
 Tuffkin, Ex parte, 40
 Tullett v. Linfield, 161
 Tullidge v. Wade, 1090, 1097
 Tully v. Sparkes, 353, 1133, 1135
 Tummon v. Ward, 1043
 Tunno, Re, 1234, 1235
 Tunzell v. Allen, 1023
 Tupper v. Doe, 742
 Turley's bail, 603

- Tarling's case, 482
 Turnbull v. Moreton, 496
 Turner, Re, 67, 1225, 1249, 1267
 — v. Barnaby, 262, 263
 — v. Barnard, 978, 1174
 — v. Bean, 950
 — v. Bristow, 556, 622
 — v. Brown, 575
 — v. Cary, 587, 588
 — v. Colville, 512
 — v. Darnell, 101, 102, 506, 923
 — v. Gallilee, 808
 — v. Gill, 112, 987, 1059, 1114, 1266
 — v. Horton, 1146
 — v. Meymott, 447, 731
 — v. Pearte, 273, 1094
 — v. Portall, 135, 138
 — v. Prince, 1151
 — v. Shaw, 687, 689
 — v. Smith, 126, 127
 — v. Taylor, 987
 — v. Turner, 801, 890, 891, 1058
 — v. Unwin, 1191
 — v. Warren, 483
 Turning v. Jones, 516
 Tarnish v. Swann, 946
 Turnor v. Darnell, 51, 134, 461, 485, 486, 500, 701, 925
 — v. Turner, 194, 805, 807, 808, 810, 811, 813
 Turquand v. Dawson, 1093, 1104
 — v. Knight, 48
 Turton v. Hayes, 476, 478, 1056
 Tutton v. Andrews, 1088
 Tweddale v. Fennell, 9
 Twemlow v. Astrey, 980
 — v. Brock, 976
 Twigg v. Potts, 178, 183, 1087, 1096, 1141, 1159, 1160
 Twiss v. Osborn, 1149
 Twogood v. Morgan, 1008
 — v. Twogood, 1235
 Twyne's case, 431
 Tyat v. Young, 175
 Tyler v. Bennett, 1139, 1142
 — v. Campbell, 488, 1165
 — v. Green, 119, 1046
 — v. Jones, 1226
 — v. Leeds (Duke of), 436
 Tyndall v. Ullithorne, 659
 Tynn v. Billingham, 213
 Tyser v. Brian, 128
 Tyson v. Paske, 416
 Tyte v. Steventon, 209
 — v. Glode, 415
 UDALL v. Nelson, 502
 Underden v. Burgess, 1011
 Underhill v. Devereux, 817
 Undershell (or Underhill) v. Fuller, 660
 Unite v. Humphrey, 137
 Unthank, Ex parte, 21, 23
 Unwin v. King, 1176, 1177
 Upton v. Bewson, 868
 — v. M'Kenzie, 114
 — & Wells' case, 767
 Upward v. Knight, 184
 Urquhart v. Dick, 112, 485, 1208
 Osborne v. Pennell, 196, 520
 Usher v. Dansey, 1085, 1130, 1131, 1132, 1135
 Utterton v. Vernon, 649
 VACHER v. Cocks, 227, 1088
 Vaise v. Deleval, 287
 Vale v. Bayle, 1104
 Valentine v. Gulland, 685
 — v. Fawcett, 711
 Vallance v. Adams, 1159
 — v. Evans, 1158
 Vanbrymen v. Wilson, 997
 Vandeput v. Lord, 1122
 Vanderhaden v. Britten, 554, 572
 Vandermoolen's bail, 605
 Vane v. Michell, 981
 Van Morsel v. Julian, 486
 Van Sandau v. —, 728, 984
 Vansandau v. Brown, 54
 — v. Burt, 88, 459
 — v. Nash, 618
 Varden v. Wilson, 600
 Vasper v. Eddows, 791
 Vassier v. Alderson, 1211, 1212
 Vaughan, Ex parte, 38
 — v. Barnes, 981
 — v. Flord, 371
 — v. Frewent, 1026, 1194
 — v. Goadby, 502
 — v. Martin, 276
 — v. Sawyer, 550
 — v. Wilson, 341, 822, 823
 Vavasor v. Faux, 348
 Veal v. Warner, 398
 Ven v. Phillips, 321, 1142
 Venner v. Oxenham, 869
 Vere v. Goldsborough, 164, 167
 — v. Gower, 128, 928
 — v. Moore, 1167
 Verelst v. Rafael, 349, 353, 1134
 Verge v. Dodd, 35, 685
 Vergen's case, 626
 Vern v. Calvert, 159, 168
 — v. Warner, 1120
 Vernon v. Hankey, 1095, 1096
 — v. Hodgens, 660, 1045
 — v. Shipton, 192
 — v. Turley, 633, 634
 — v. Wynne, 806, 986

Vestris's bail, 589, 590
 Vloars v. Haydon, 380, 382, 384, 389,
 713, 736, 1121
 Vicary v. Parthing, 287, 288
 Vice v. Burton, 353, 1134
 Vickers v. Cook, 298
 — v. Gallimore, 1144
 Vigers v. Aldrick, 455
 Villars v. Parry, 1133
 Vilmot v. Barry, 685
 Vincent's case, 924
 Vincent, Ex parte, 39
 — v. Brady, 470
 — v. Holt, 43
 Vincent v. Slaymaker, 74
 Vintner v. Allen, 452
 Visger v. Delegal, 492
 Vireash v. Becker, 466
 Vivian v. Blake, 1156, 1160
 Voise v. Deleval, 1091
 Vokins v. Snell, 1079
 Volet v. Waters, 929
 Vollum v. Sampson, 1155
 Vought v. Winch, 786

WADDILOVE v. Barnett, 189
 Waddington v. Palmer, 1267
 Wade v. Beasley, 1036
 — v. Birmingham, 1061
 — v. Malpas, 1240
 — v. Rogers, 360, 675
 — v. Swift, 674
 — v. Wade, 493
 Wadson v. Smith, 74
 Wadham v. Brett, 1069
 Wadsworth v. Gibson, 364, 764
 — v. Marshall, 54, 232, 233
 Wadworth v. Allen, 67
 Waggett v. Shaw, 256
 Wagborn v. Langmead, 397
 Wagstaff v. Sharp, 194
 Wagstaff v. Darby, 1812
 — v. Long, 162
 Wain v. Bradbury, 539
 Wainwright v. Bland, 238, 239, 242,
 117
 Waistell v. Atkinson, 1174
 Wait v. Bishop, 915
 — v. Garth, 339
 Waite v. Bishop, 915, 917
 Wakefield's case, 335
 Wakefield v. Marden, 654
 Wakeot v. Goulding, 321, 723
 Walde v. Lambert, 333
 Waldo v. Harrison, 29
 Walbridge v. Kennison, 229
 Waldron's case, 3
 Waldron v. Coomba, 324
 Wale v. Walker, 637
 Walker's bail, 389

Walker v. Ariatt, 69
 — v. Bailey, 378
 — v. Carter, 537
 — v. Christian, 121
 — v. Gann, 946
 — v. Gardner, 686
 — v. Giblett, 620
 — v. Gregory, 489
 — v. Grosvenor (Earl), 1259,
 1267
 — v. Harris, 691, 696
 — v. Haryes, 405
 — v. Hawkey, 120, 1118
 — v. Kearney, 496
 — v. Laws, 1064
 — v. Nicholson, 70
 — v. Rawson, 978, 980
 — v. Robinson, 1139, 1140
 — v. Rushbury, 468
 — v. Stoker, 350, 361, 352, 353,
 389, 390, 391
 — v. Watson, 1174
 — v. Whaley, 1186
 — v. Willoughby, 511, 512
 — v. Wright, 958
 Walkington v. Davis, 947
 Walkins v. Phillips, 1253
 Wall v. Lyon, 1060, 1122
 Wallace v. Arrowsmith, 582, 587
 — v. Brockley, 685, 687
 — v. Cumberland, (Duchess of),
 169, 1021
 — v. Humes, 713
 — v. King, 789, 790, 791
 — v. Smith, 911
 — v. Willington, 46
 Wallen v. Smith, 1167, 168, 1228
 Waller v. Green, 590, 619
 Wallop v. Irwin, 823, 180, 1181
 Walls v. Redmayne, 296, 1070
 Wallis v. Anderson, 1032
 — v. Harrison, 893
 — v. Nicholson, 72
 — v. Sheffield, 1 97
 Walmouth v. Houghton, 970
 Walmaley, Re, 67
 — v. Dibdin, 491
 — v. Macey, 491
 — v. Rosen, 353, 391, 393
 Walpole v. Saunders, 366
 Walrod v. Franksam, 487
 Walsall v. Heath, 443
 Walsh v. Andrews, 346
 — v. Bishop, 1083
 — v. Davies, 835
 Walter v. Beekle, 1073, 1077
 — v. Nicholson, 661
 — v. Rumball, 769, 790, 791
 — v. Stewart, 864
 — v. Stokes, 343, 356, 1134

- Walters v. Frythall, 1017
 — v. Rees, 468, 527
 Walthew v. Syera, 958
 Walton v. Bent, 562
 — v. Hutton, 957
 — v. Stanton, 685
 Walwyn v. Awberry, 435, 915
 Wansall v. Southwood, 1228
 Waraker v. Gaskoyne, 687
 Warbarton v. Stove, 1225, 1255
 Ward's bail, 581, 582
 Ward, Ex parte, 80, 905
 — v. Abrahams, 902, 1175
 — v. Bell, 316, 1168
 — v. Brumfit, 637
 — v. Dean, 1230
 — v. Gansell, 835
 — v. Graystock, 965
 — v. Gregg, 111, 982, 983
 — v. Grime, 244
 — v. Henley, 812
 — v. Jones, 601
 — v. Levi, 365
 — v. Lowring, 981
 — v. Mason, 313
 — v. Nethercoate, 49, 52, 606
 — v. Pell, 1157
 — v. Snell, 336, 1158, 1147
 — v. Thomas, 291, 882
 — v. Tummon, 107, 145, 516, 631, 633
 — v. Turner, 1079, 1080
 — v. Wells, 226, 246
 Wardell v. Fermor, 226
 — v. Gooch, 471, 472
 Wardle v. Ackland, 209
 — v. Nicholson, 70, 71, 72
 Wardroper v. Richardson, 295, 1139
 Ware, Ex parte, 33
 Waring v. Bowles, 695
 — v. Dewberry, 425
 — v. Holt, 957
 Warmoll v. Young, 407
 Warmaley v. Macey, 488
 Warne v. Beresford, 157, 165, 1162, 1173, 1175, 1230
 — v. Bryant, 1230
 Warner v. Haines, 271
 — v. Wood, 1192
 Warre v. Calvert, 192
 Warren, Re, 61, 62
 — v. Cunningham, 75
 — v. De Burgh, 585
 — v. Lons, 1120
 — v. Love, 123
 — v. Smith, 722, 1188
 Warriner v. Giles, 1027
 Warwick v. Bruce, 398, 995, 1094
 Wase v. Wyburd, 1175
 Washborn v. Black, 789
 Washburn, In re, 434
 Watchorn v. Cook, 1176
 Waterer v. Freeman, 1122
 Waterfall v. Glode, 164
 Waterhouse's bail, 597, 600
 Waterhouse v. Keene, 912
 — v. Saltmarsh, 1266
 Waterman v. Yea, 805, 810, 811
 Waters v. Bovell, 182, 1114
 — v. Joyce, 681, 485, 1213
 — v. Ogden, 1113
 — v. Rees, 321, 619
 — v. Smith, 471, 472
 — v. Weatherby, 1067
 Wathen v. Beaumont, 158, 834, 835
 Watkins v. Barry, 537
 — v. Giles, 1072
 — v. Hanbury, 687
 — v. Haydon, 155, 210
 — v. Morgan, 284
 — v. Philpotts, 1232
 — v. Towers, 313, 314, 958, 961, 1097
 — v. Woolley, 142
 Watkinson v. Surger, 1142
 Watson, Ex parte, 38
 — v. Abbott, 292, 296
 — v. Carroll, 453, 463, 464, 468
 — v. Christie, 326
 — v. Clerke, 945, 949
 — v. Delcroix, 714
 — v. Dore, 341, 679, 856, 857, 1042
 — v. Jackson, 1070
 — v. Locke, 124, 126
 — v. M'Cullum, 1223
 — v. Madox, 905
 — v. Mascall, 397
 — v. Maskell, 88, 89, 404
 — v. Pears, 93, 145, 513
 — v. Pilling, 106
 — v. Postern, 79
 — v. Reeve, 1092
 — v. Richardson, 1112
 — v. Shaw, 494
 Waugh v. Ashford, 624
 Weak v. Calloway, 1077, 1094
 Weald v. Brown, 711
 Weatherby v. Goring, 958, 960
 Weatherhead v. Landless, 833
 Weaver v. Chandler, 630
 — v. Stokes, 691
 Weavers' Company v. Forrest, 106, 513, 841, 1021, 1022
 Webb's bail, 586, 612
 Webb v. Aspinall, 674
 — v. Brown, 877, 1175
 — v. Dorwell, 479

- Webb v. Fairmaner, 93
 — v. Harvey, 833
 — v. Hill, 281
 — v. Hinde, 800
 — v. Holt, 167
 — v. Jenkins, 126, 127
 — v. Lawrence, 515, 518
 — v. Matthew, 542, 599
 — v. Pritchett, 84
 — v. Punter, 985
 — v. Rhodes, 84
 — v. Spurrell, 822
 — v. Stone, 83
 — v. Ward, 1014
 — v. Webb, 696
 Webber v. Austin, 155, 1020
 — v. Manning, 114, 131, 532
 — v. Nicholas, 460
 — v. Roe, 1077
 Webster's bail, 609
 — v. Jones, 849, 1030
 Weddall v. Berger, 581, 622
 Wedde v. Brazier, 142, 153
 Wedge v. Berkeley, 910, 911
 Weedon v. Medley, 491
 Weekes v. Pall, 240
 — v. Whitely, 1262
 Welch v. Hole, 86, 87
 — v. Ireland, 321, 723
 — v. Langford, 105
 — v. Pribble, 35
 Weld v. Crawford, 70
 — v. Forster, 175
 Welden v. Greg, 818, 924
 Welford v. Davidson, 321, 378
 Well v. Gurney, 479
 Welland v. Rock, 705
 Weller's bail, 585
 — v. Crampton, 696
 — v. Goyton, 313, 1070
 — v. Robinson, 141
 Welles v. Trahern, 954
 Wellings v. Marsh, 609
 Wellington v. Arters, 994
 Wells v. Barton, 1012, 1013
 — v. Cooke, 1234
 — v. Ody, 809, 914, 1161, 1173
 — v. Pickman, 1004
 — v. Secret, 160, 179, 1200
 — v. Trehern, 954
 Welsh v. Langford, 515, 516
 — v. Lywood, 581, 598
 — v. Troyte, 994, 1174
 — v. Upton, 1128, 1129
 Wemyss v. Greenwood, 256
 Wendover v. Cooper, 135
 Wenham v. Downe, 1264, 1265, 1269
 — v. Fowle, 460
 Wentworth, Ex parte, 38
 — v. Stafford, 1132
 Wentworth v. Bullen, 417, 651, 698, 704
 West's bail, 604
 West v. Ashdown, 620
 — v. College of Physicians, 1027
 — v. Eyles, 1207
 — v. Hedges, 425
 — v. Pryce, 459
 — v. Radford, 141, 142, 153
 — v. Rotherham, 1010
 — v. Taunton, 42, 985
 — v. Turner, 1175
 — v. Williams, 584, 602
 Westall v. Sturgess, 900
 Westbrooke v. Andrews, 335
 Westley v. Jones, 114
 Westmacott v. Cook, 490
 Weston v. Coulson, 508
 — v. Donnelly, 1174
 — v. Fosters, 1108, 1110
 — v. Fournier, 910
 — v. James, 323
 — v. Matson, 1122
 — v. Pool, 77, 82
 — v. Withers, 918, 920, 992
 Westwood v. Cowne, 790
 Wetham v. Needham, 49
 Wetherall v. Long, 687
 Wetherston v. Edgington, 228, 231
 Wettenhall v. Graham, 163
 — v. Wakefield, 1175
 Weyman v. Weyman, 483
 Weymouth v. Knipe, 46, 73, 77
 Whale v. Fuller, 114, 1046
 — v. Lenny, 175, 180
 Whalley v. Barnet, 418, 802, 1048
 — v. Martin, 482
 — v. Pepper, 463, 469, 848
 — v. Williamson, 1141, 1145
 Wharod v. Smart, 381, 765
 Wharton v. Musgrave, 830
 — v. Richardson, 834
 Whatley v. Morland, 1248, 1253
 Whatton, Ex parte, 44
 Wheatley v. Williams, 48, 184
 Wheeler's case, 469
 Wheeler, Ex parte, 70
 — v. Copeland, 483, 486, 494
 — v. Green, 108, 153, 158, 196
 — v. Rankin, 575, 576
 — v. Whitmore, 298
 Wheelwright v. Joseph, 476
 — v. Jutting, 632
 — v. Simmons, 618
 Whelpdale's case, 191
 Wheton v. Packman, 1119
 Whicker, Re, 68
 Whipple v. Manly, 203, 204
 Whiskard v. Wilder, 518, 537, 539

- Winkler v. Lee, 370
 Whitbourne v. Pettifer, 1034
 Whitburn v. Staines, 958
 Whitechurch, Ex parte, 1270
 White's bail, 574, 580, 604
 White, Ex parte, 869
 — v. Boot, 997
 — v. Brazier, 237
 — v. Cameron, 686
 — v. Dent, 142, 158,
 — v. Givens, 164
 — v. Gompertz, 476, 480, 1058
 — v. Hiskop, 296
 — v. Howard, 166
 — v. Irving, 1208
 — v. Johnson, 126, 128
 — v. Larnax, 572
 — v. Mayor, 245
 — v. Milner, 82
 — v. Montgomery (Earl of), 1221
 — v. Prickett, 1150, 1151
 — v. Royal Exchange, 46
 — v. Sowerby, 489, 493
 — v. Stratton, 850
 — v. Western, 126, 127
 — v. Wiltshire, 409
 — v. Woodhouse, 971
 Whitehead, Ex parte, 469
 — v. Barber, 855, 856
 — v. Firth, 1211, 1236, 1258
 — v. Hughes, 996
 — v. Munn, 590
 — v. Phillips, 569, 571
 Whitehouse v. Atkinson, 326
 Whitfield v. Holmes, 471
 — v. James, 83
 — v. Whitfield, 439
 Whiting v. Reynel, 452
 Whitlock v. Humphreys, 1067
 Whitmore v. Bantock, 300
 — v. Nicholls, 669
 — v. Williams, 1058, 1059
 Whitnash v. George, 230
 Whittaker v. Izod, 1023
 — v. Mason, 1065
 — v. Whittaker, 91, 341, 694
 Whitter v. Cazelet, 161, 990, 1023
 Whittingham v. Coghlan, 483
 Whittle v. Oldaker, 561, 591
 Whitton v. Preston, 351
 Whitwick v. Havenden, 930, 934
 Whitworth v. Smith, 791
 Whytt v. McIntosh, 239
 Wickens v. Cox, 1204
 Wickes v. Clutterbuck, 1067
 Wicket v. Cremer, 302, 365
 Wickham v. Enfield, 371
 — v. Walker, 1145
 Widdrington v. Charlton, 522
 Widger v. Browning, 901
 Widmore v. Alvarez, 466, 467
 Wiffin v. Kincard, 1140, 1143, 1145
 Wiggins v. Stephens, 627, 949
 Wigglesworth v. Sherwood, 499
 Wight v. Ferrers, 294
 Wightwick v. Banks, 502
 Wigley v. Dubbins, 957
 — v. Edwards, 582
 — v. Morgan, 843
 — v. Thomas, 802
 — v. Tomlins, 155
 Wilbean v. Ashton, 326
 Wilbraham v. Snow, 439
 Wilcoxon v. Nightingale, 518, 539
 Wild v. Harding, 630
 — v. Rickman, 617
 — v. Sands, 688
 Wildbore v. Rainsforth, 731
 Wilde v. Clarkson, 725, 984
 Wilder v. Handy, 1103, 1121
 — v. Speer, 790
 Wildey v. Thornton, 481, 489
 Wilford v. Berkeley, 1090
 Wilkes v. Halifax (Earl of), 146,
 1120
 — v. Jorden, 351, 765
 — v. Ottley, 1205
 — v. Wood, 180, 1075
 Wilkins v. Parker, 504, 522
 — v. Perkins, 334, 1163
 — v. Perry, 965
 — v. Wetherill, 691
 Wilkinson v. Allot, 314, 1138, 1152
 — v. Brown, 169
 — v. Edwards, 875, 876
 — v. Forster, 78
 — v. Malin, 369, 879, 714,
 1106, 1166
 — v. Payn, 1089, 1097
 — v. Pennington, 1268
 — v. Poole, 1067
 — v. Small, 176
 — v. Time, 1233
 — v. Vass, 622
 Wilks v. Adcock, 488, 495, 614
 — v. Lorch, 511
 Willans v. Taylor, 312
 Willes v. James, 694, 1207
 Willet v. Atterton, 705
 Willett v. Sparrow, 412, 436
 — v. Wilson, 121
 Williams, Ex parte, 41, 42, 70
 — v. Barber, 74
 — v. Breedon, 324, 1131
 — v. Brickenden, 953, 954
 — v. Brown, 832
 — v. Bryant, 191
 — v. Burgess, 911
 — v. Calverley, 200, 251
 — v. Cary, 1179

- Williams v. Cloagh**, 1214
 — *v. Cooper*, 718
 — *v. Davies*, 272
 — *v. Davis*, 280, 1074
 — *v. Edley*, 1040
 — *v. Edwards*, 296, 1074, 1075, 1079
 — *v. Evans*, 298, 1096
 — *v. Frith*, 73, 78, 84, 716
 — *v. Harris*, 1083
 — *v. Hockin*, 1216
 — *v. Hunt*, 597, 1168, 1218
 — *v. Jackson*, 487
 — *v. Jenkins*, 356, 358
 — *v. Jones*, 532, 858, 942, 1066
 — *v. Keen*, 216
 — *v. Land*, 9583
 — *v. Lewis*, 110, 519, 524
 — *v. Lewsey*, 424
 — *v. Macgregor*, 854, 864
 — *v. Manwaring*, 138
 — *v. Miller*, 1146
 — *v. Mostyn*, 546
 — *v. Odell*, 705
 — *v. Passmore*, 1189
 — *v. Pigot*, 115, 117
 — *v. Pratt*, 1095, 1121
 — *v. Prothero*, 85
 — *v. Reeves*, 1185
 — *v. Riley*, 379
 — *v. Roberts*, 76, 80, 923, 925, 1201
 — *v. Scudamore*, 854, 864
 — *v. Sharwood*, 1084
 — *v. Smith*, 57, 996
 — *v. Strahan*, 1047, 1049
 — *v. Thomas*, 270
 — *v. Waring*, 400, 406, 858, 859
 — *v. Waterfield*, 597, 622
 — *v. Williams*, (1 C. & J. 387), 3, (2 C. & J. 55), 3, 211, 630, 1093
Williamson v. Henley, 85
Willingham v. Matthews, 527
Willis v. Bennett, 1100
 — *v. Farren*, 648
 — *v. Farrer*, 1062
 — *v. Garbutt*, 1013
 — *v. Hallett*, 163
 — *v. Oakley*, 1079
 — *v. Peckham*, 236
Willison v. Whittaker, 634
Willman v. Worrall, 226
Willoughby's case, 307
 — *v. Fenton*, 47
 — *v. Rhodes*, 568
 — *v. Swinton*, 321, 723
 — *v. Wilkins*, 301
Willows v. Ball, 427
- Wills v. Bowman**, 126
 — *v. Hare*, 1052
 — *v. Hopkins*, 1009
 — *v. Langridge*, 979
 — *v. Popjoy*, 1007
Wilmore v. Clarke, 622, 627
Wilmot v. Smith, 53
Wilson's bail, 581, 584, 599
 — *v. Bacon*, 943
 — *v. Bradstocke*, 154, 161
 — *v. Broughton*, 1152
 — *v. Curtis*, 1068
 — *v. Edwards*, 106, 144, 514
 — *v. Farr*, 637
 — *v. Finch*, 522
 — *v. Foote*, 1154
 — *v. George*, 135
 — *v. Griffin*, 580, 627
 — *v. Gutteridge*, 70, 71, 77, 81
 — *v. Hamer*, 477
 — *v. Harris*, 957
 — *v. Hawkins*, 592
 — *v. Hunt*, 155, 160, 1023, 1203, 1204
 — *v. Ingoldsby*, 353, 358, 361, 669, 1134
Wilson v. Joy, 103
 — *v. Kemp*, 471, 871
 — *v. King*, 1240
 — *v. Kingston*, 399
 — *v. Knubley*, 884
 — *v. Lainson*, 1140, 1141
 — *v. Minchin*, 1017
 — *v. Mockler*, 870
 — *v. Northern*, 679
 — *v. Northop*, 67, 1204, 1205
 — *v. Portrie*, 688
 — *v. Price*, 681
 — *v. Rastall*, 1087
 — *v. River Dun Company*, 1161
 — *v. Rogers*, 1027
 — *v. Serres*, 472, 473
 — *v. Thomas*, 945
 — *v. Tucker*, 664
 — *v. Whittaker*, 677
 — *v. Woodfries*, 1022
Wilton v. Chambers, 36, 37, 411, 413, 549, 690, 1011, 1211
 — *v. Hamilton*, 875
 — *v. Place*, 976
Wiltshire v. Lloyd, 48, 847
Wimall v. Cook, 640, 834
Winch v. Keeley, 899
Winchcomb v. Goddard, 371
Winchurch v. Belwood, 351
Windham v. Wither, 398
Wingfield v. Cleverley, 709
Wingrave v. Godmond, 537, 539
Wingrove v. Hodson, 198, 1073
Winn v. Ingilby, 428

Lloid, 873
 Lloyd, 349
 v. Bates, 1224, 1257
 v. Gaitskell, 623
 Ex parte, 38
 Le, 40
 Barnes, 802
 Elliott, 868
 Garlick, 1238, 1244
 Kretchman, 815, 826
 Lightbound, 396, 398, 817
 Miles, 410, 532
 Payne, 70, 72
 Slow, 920, 992
 v. Morgan, 789, 791
 Hogg, 1050
 Chetwynd (Lord), 436, 437
 v. Derby (Earl of), 318
 v. Gompertz, 491
 v. Hill, 845
 v. Lewis, 1107
 v. Harris, 401, 408, 435, 769,
 817, 824, 1172
 Polehampton, 358, 361, 1091,
 1094
 v. Lageman, 1258
 Collingwood, 553, 554
 Smith, 302
 Cassian, 1040
 Chadwick, 580
 Critchfield, 1189
 Dodgson, 115
 Drury, 226
 Duncan, 1105, 1237, 1243,
 1247
 Farr, 168
 Grimwood, 256, 1112, 1115
 Harburne, 454
 Heath, 691
 Inrd, 456, 1090
 Johnson, 1040
 London (Mayor of), 6
 Matthews, 1114
 Miller, 757
 Mitchell, 623
 Mosley, 833, 834
 Mosley, 641
 Lunn, 788
 v. Kelly, 1236
 Perkes, 961, 962
 Plant, 1204
 Ray, 580
 Rug, 268
 Silletto, 321, 1141
 Stephens, 1213, 1237
 Thompson, 478
 Webb, 1211
 Fenman, 802
 Finch, 957
 Smith, 431

Woodcock v. Kilby, 514, 1046
 ——— v. Killey, 1048
 ——— v. Worthington, 1025
 Woodeman v. Baldock, 431
 Wooden v. Moxon, 539
 Woodford v. Eades, 1091
 Woodgate v. Knatchbull, 8, 16, 415,
 416, 417, 422, 1141
 Woodman v. Ford, 675, 679
 ——— v. Goble, 164
 Woodmeston v. Scott, 477
 Woodroffe v. Watson, 157
 ——— v. Williams, 1120
 ——— v. Woottan, 460
 Woods, Ex parte, 529
 ——— v. Pope, 1096
 Woodyer v. Gresham, 815, 824, 825
 Woolcott v. Leicester, 621
 Wooley v. Cobb, 568, 620
 ——— v. Sloper, 1070
 Woolf v. Beard, 193
 Woolfe v. Cooper, 1224
 Woolinson's bail, 585
 Woollaston v. Weston, 77, 78
 ——— v. Wright, 92
 Woollen v. Hodgson, 1264
 Woolley, Ex parte, 904
 ——— v. Batte, 324
 ——— v. Clark, 1221
 ——— v. Jennings, 693
 ——— v. Sloper, 876
 ——— v. Thomas, 493
 ——— v. Whitby, 1145
 Woollison v. Hodgson, 82, 83, 1265
 Woolwright, Ex parte, 30
 Woosnam v. Price, 561, 566, 1206
 Worcester's case (Bishop of), 1129
 Worcester Canal Company v. Trent
 Navigation Company, 1065
 Wordall v. Smith, 436
 Wordsworth v. Brown, 204, 1127
 Workman v. Leake, 871
 Worley v. ———, 57
 ——— v. Bull, 114
 ——— v. Glover, 114
 ——— v. Harrison, 168, 184
 ——— v. Lee, 137, 141, 142, 923
 Wormwell v. Hailstone, 402
 Wormwood v. Cotton, 217
 Worrall v. Bent, 763
 Worrall v. Deane, 1253
 ——— v. Grayson, 190
 Worsley, Ex parte, 1217
 Worth v. Bubb, 903, 906
 Worthington v. Barlow, 1224
 ——— v. Higley, 1129
 ——— v. Wigley, 200, 204,
 1095
 Worthy v. Rayner, 401, 825
 Wraight v. Kitchingman, 356

- Wracken v. Frowd, 1217
 Wrason v. Wallis, 1233
 Wray v. Egremont (Earl of), 424,
 — v. Lister, 1085
 — v. Thorn, 307, 1089
 Wreathcock v. Bingham, 1129
 Wright, Ex parte, 529
 — v. Acres, 1108
 — v. Barrack, 560
 — v. Canning, 353, 361, 377,
 1134
 — v. Carr, 211
 — v. Dewes, 424, 789
 — v. Fairfield, 380, 899
 — v. Gardner, 1189
 — v. Goddard, 978, 980
 — v. Guiver, 290
 — v. Horton, 910, 911, 1115,
 1127
 — v. Hunt, 1209
 — v. Kitchingman, 370
 — v. Lainson, 193
 — v. Lord Verney, 423
 — d. Clymer v. Lyttler, 1098
 — v. Newton, 177
 —, Bart., (Executors of), v. Nutt,
 349
 — (Executors of) v. Nutt, 361,
 815, 823
 — v. Nuttall, 847, 1139
 — v. Page, 640, 833
 — v. Perrers, 1129
 — v. Piggin, 1140, 1144
 — v. Russell, 163
 — v. Skinner, 1112, 1205, 1208,
 1218
 — v. Stevenson, 1203, 1205,
 1206
 — v. Treweek, 355
 — v. Walker, 559, 560, 591
 — v. Warren, 130
 — v. Wickham (Mayor and Com-
 monalty of), 370
 — v. Wright, 645
 Wrightson v. Bywater, 1226, 1247,
 1251
 Wriglesworth v. Wright, 856
 Wyat v. Evans, 950
 — v. Markham, 947
 Wyatt v. Howell, 1073
 — v. Prebbell, 998, 1045
 — v. Stocken, 209
 — v. Wingford, 235
 Wye v. Fisher, 198
 — v. Wright, 1188
 Wykes v. Shipton, 1242, 1245, 1247
 Wylie v. Jones, 601, 607
 Wylie v. Phillips, 87, 112, 983, 989
 Wymer v. Kemble, 433
 Wyndowe v. Carlisle (Bishop of),
 1070
 Wynn v. Bellman, 1078, 1080
 — v. Petty, 623
 — v. Wynn, 1057
 Wynne v. Clarke, 560, 1043
 — v. Middleton, 1117
 Wyse v. Fisher, 164
 Wyvil v. Stapleton, 376
 YARBOROUGH v. England (Bank
 of), 842
 Yardley v. Jones, 111, 520
 Yaroth v. Hopkins, 15, 411, 549
 Yarworth v. Mitchell, 890, 891, 1013
 Yate v. Swaine, 1093
 Yates' bail, 595
 Yates, Ex parte, 40, 60, 68, 69
 — v. Doughan, 619
 — v. Freckleton, 46, 53
 — v. Knight, 1237
 — v. Plaxton, 633
 — v. Windham, 371
 Yea v. Lothbridge, 813
 Yeardley v. Roe, 47, 847, 848, 959
 Yeates v. Chapman, 565
 Yeatman, Ex parte, 66, 78
 Yeomans v. Legh, 275
 Yonge v. Murray, 514
 York v. Twine, 427
 Yorke v. Ogden, 562
 Youde v. Goude, 958, 960, 1012, 1018
 Youlton v. Hall, 107, 110
 Young v. Beck, 181, 667
 — v. Dowlman, 32, 135, 489,
 1138
 — v. Fewson, 284
 — v. Gatien, 492
 — v. Gye, 459
 — v. Harris, 1096
 — v. Lynch, 1027
 — v. Maltby, 539, 540, 615
 — v. Miller, 1234
 — v. Redhead, 87
 — v. Rishworth, 826, 906, 1017
 — v. Showler, 695
 — v. Wilson, 108
 — v. Wood, 576, 635
 — v. Young, 889, 891
 Younge v. Crooks, 290
 Younger v. Wilsby, 1174
 Younie v. Mallison, 1148
 ZACHARY v. Shepherd, 1252
 Zinck v. Langton, 388, 945

BOOK II.

PART I.

INGS UPON PLEAS IN ABATEMENT, &c.

BOOK II.
PART I.

he plaintiff has delivered or filed his declaration, nt, having appeared, may plead either to the or in *abatement*, or in *bar*. The proceedings upon r have already been fully considered in the last shall now treat of those upon pleas to the juris- l pleas in abatement, under the following heads:

651.	<i>Amendment of</i> , 655.
652.	<i>Replication, &c.</i> , <i>id.</i>
653.	<i>Issue, &c.</i> , 656.
<i>error, id.</i>	<i>Judgment, id.</i>
<i>et, id.</i>	<i>Costs, id.</i>
<i>when and how pleaded,</i>	<i>Subsequent Proceedings</i> , 657.
<i>affidavit of Truth, &c.</i> , <i>id.</i>	

der.] By stat. 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, s. 8, "no plea in Nonjoinder. for the *nonjoinder* of any person as a *co-defendant*, allowed in any court of common law, unless it shall in such plea that such person is *resident within the* of the court, and unless the *place of residence* of on shall be stated with convenient certainty in an verifying such plea" (a). And, by s. 9, "to any batement in any court of law of the nonjoinder of erson, the plaintiff may reply, that such person has harged *by bankruptcy and certificate*, or *under an act* of insolvent debtors." And sect. 10 enacts, "that s in which, *after such plea* in abatement, the plaintiff out *having proceeded to trial upon an issue thereon*, another action against the defendant or defendants

tion does not apply to any of coverture of the defendant was held oinder. except where the not to be within its provisions. See go on against the party forms of plea, replication, and affidavit, statement, (*per Parks, B.*, Chit. Forms, 298, 299. 6 Dowl. 557,) where a plea

BOOK II.
PART I.

in the action in which such plea in abatement shall have been pleaded, and the person or persons named in such plea of abatement as joint contractors, if it shall appear by the pleadings in such subsequent action, or in the evidence at the trial thereof, that all the original defendants are liable, but that one or more of the persons named in such plea in abatement, or any subsequent plea in abatement, are not liable as a contracting party or parties, the plaintiff shall, nevertheless, be entitled to judgment, or to a verdict and judgment, as the case may be, against the other defendant or defendants, who shall appear to be liable; and every defendant who is not so liable shall have judgment, and shall be entitled to his costs as against the plaintiff, who shall be allowed the same as costs in the cause against the defendant or defendants who shall have so pleaded in abatement the nonjoinder of such person; provided, that any such defendant who shall have so pleaded in abatement shall be at liberty, on the trial, to adduce evidence of the liability of the defendants named by him in such plea in abatement." These sections do not apply to a plea of coverture(b).

Where the
Statute of
Limitations
has run as
against one.

By Lord Tenterden's act, (9 G. 4, c. 14, s. 2), "if any defendant or defendants in any action on any simple contract shall plead any matter in abatement, to the effect that any other person or persons ought to be jointly sued, and issue be joined on such plea, and it shall appear at the trial that the action could not, by reason of the said recited acts or this act, [the Statutes of Limitations], or of either of them, be maintained against the other person or persons named in such plea, or any of them, the issue joined on such plea shall be found against the party pleading the same."

In Actions
against Car-
riers.

By the Common Carriers' Act, (11 G. 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 68, s. 5), "any one or more of such mail contractors, stage coach proprietors, or common carriers, shall be liable to be sued by his, her, or their name or names only; and that no action or suit commenced to recover damages for loss or injury to any parcel, package, or person, shall abate for the want of joining any co-proprietor or co-partner in such mail, stage coach, or other public conveyance by land for hire as aforesaid."

Misnomer.

Misnomer.] As regards pleas in abatement for a *misnomer*, the 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, s. 11, enacts, "that no such plea shall be allowed in any *personal* action, but that, in all cases in which a *misnomer* would, but for this act, have been by law *pleadable in abatement* in such actions, the defendant shall be at liberty to cause the declaration to be *amended*, at the costs of the plaintiff, by inserting the right name, upon a judge's summons, founded on an affidavit of the right name(c); and, in case such summons should be *discharged*, the costs of such application shall be paid by the party applying, if the judge shall think fit"(d). It is questionable whether the words of this enactment are *peremptory*; and where a judge had made an order for amending a *misnomer* in a declaration on payment of costs, the court granted a rule to shew cause

(b) *Jones v. Smith*, 6 Dowl. 557.
(c) See forms, Chit. Forms, 296.

(d) See the cases on this statute, ante, Vol. I. 511, &c.

why the judge's order should not be amended, and for a stay of proceedings in the meantime (*e*). Sect. 12 allows the plaintiff to use the initials of the defendant's name, in actions upon written instruments wherein the defendant has used those initials (*f*).

Privilege of Attornies.] An attorney, provided he be not sued in a representative character, or jointly with unprivileged (*g*) persons, and that the plaintiff have not privilege in the court in which the action is brought, is still entitled, notwithstanding the Uniformity of Process Act (*h*), or the 1 V. c. 56 (*i*), to be sued in the court of which he is an attorney, and if sued in any other court, he may plead his privilege to the jurisdiction of the court, provided he pleads in person and not by attorney. This plea must be verified by affidavit (*k*). Privilege of Attornies.

Parol Demurrer.] Before the 11 G. 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 47, s. 10, when an infant was sued as heir on the obligation of his ancestor, though he could not have pleaded in abatement of the suit, the *parol* might have demurred, or proceedings thereon have been stayed till he came of age; but this course is now abolished by that act. (*See post*, Book III. Part II. Ch. 6, Sect. 1). Parol Demurrer

In Ejectment.] In ejectment the defendant, according to the terms of the consent rule, can plead the general issue only; he cannot, under any circumstances, plead in abatement; and if he wish to plead to the jurisdiction, it is necessary that he should previously obtain the leave of the court to do so (*l*). In Ejectment.

The Plea, when and how Pleaded, and Affidavit of Truth, &c.] The defendant, if he intend to plead in abatement or to the jurisdiction, must deliver his plea to the plaintiff's attorney, or, in country causes, to the agent in town, on or before the fourth day exclusive (*m*) after the delivery or filing and notice of the declaration. And this, it seems, though no rule to plead be given. If Sunday happen to be the last of the four days, the defendant is at liberty to plead upon the Monday (*n*). The defendant is not bound, unless otherwise ordered, to plead on any day between the 10th August and 24th October (*o*). And in case the time for pleading has not expired before the 10th August, the defendant has the same number of days for pleading after the 24th October as if the declaration had been delivered or filed on the 24th October (*p*). Where two The Plea, when and how Pleaded, &c.

(*e*) *Henekey v. Earl Strathmore*, 13 Leg. Obs. 45.

(*f*) See the enactment, *ante*, Vol. I. 485.

(*g*) See *Kensbottom v. Harcourt*, 4 M. & Sel. 485. *Quære*, whether he would lose his privilege, even if sued jointly with unprivileged persons. (*Keep v. Biggs*, 2 Dowl. 278).

(*h*) *Lucie v. Ker*, 5 Dowl. 447: *ante*, Vol. I. 47.

(*i*) *Pryer v. Smith*, 6 Dowl. 290: *Percival v. Cook*, 7 Dowl. 500; 5 M. & W. 53, S.C.

(*j*) *Davidson v. Watkins*, 3 Dowl. 129.

(*k*) *Deighton v. Foster*, Barnes, 187: *Brown v. Norrington*, Id. 194: *Williams v. Kern*, 1 W. Bl. 197: and see *Doe Rust v. Lee*, 2 Burr. 1646: *Hatch v. Cannon*, 3

Wils. 51: *Doe Duchess of Hamilton v. Robinson*, 2 Str. 1120.

(*m*) *Ryland v. Wormland*, 5 Dowl. 581; 6 Law, J., N.S. 119; 2 M. & W. 393, S.C. Before the rule of H. T., 2 W. 4, r. 8, *ante*, Vol. I. 93, the four days were reckoned inclusive. (*See Jennings v. Webb*, 1 T. R. 277; *Harbord v. Perigal*, 5 T. R. 210; *Hutchinson v. Brown*, 7 Id. 298; *Brandon v. Webb*, 1 Id. 689; *Long v. Miller*, 1 Wils. 23; 2 Stra. 1191, S.C.)

(*n*) See *Lee v. Carlton*, 3 T. R. 642: R. E., 5 A. a.

(*o*) See 2 W. 4, c. 39, s. 11.

(*p*) R. M., 3 W. 4, r. 12, *ante*, Vol. I. 94, 153.

BOOK II.
PART I.

actions had been vexatiously brought for the same cause, the court allowed the defendant to plead in abatement, even after the four days had elapsed (*q*); and leave has been given to plead the nonjoinder of a co-contractor after the four days, that being considered a plea in abatement more to be favoured than those which constitute a mere formal objection (*r*). If the defendant plead in abatement or to the jurisdiction, either wholly or in part, after the time here mentioned, without leave of the court or a judge, the plaintiff may treat the plea as a *nullity*, and sign judgment at the expiration of the time allowed for pleading (*s*).

Must be after
Appearance.

The defendant must, of course, enter a common appearance before he can be allowed to plead in abatement or to the jurisdiction (*t*). In bailable cases, before 1 & 2 *V. c.* 110, he must have put in bail: but it was not necessary that he should *justify* his bail before he pleaded (*u*). Since that act he may plead in abatement without reference to the state of the bailable proceedings.

And after
Declaration.

The plea cannot be delivered before the plaintiff has declared (*x*); and where the declaration has been filed, the plea cannot be delivered before the defendant has taken the declaration out of the office (*y*).

Where to be
pleaded in
person.

A plea to the jurisdiction must be pleaded in person, and not by attorney (*z*). If a *feme covert* plead her coverture in abatement, she must plead it in person (*a*). But, in all other cases, pleas in abatement may be pleaded either by attorney or in person, or by guardian, if the defendant be an infant, in the same manner as pleas in bar (*b*).

Must be veri-
fied by Affi-
davit.

The plea must be *verified by affidavit* (*c*); and this, whether it be a plea of privilege (*d*), of infancy (*e*), nonjoinder (*f*), or the like. But there is no occasion for such affidavit, if the matter of the plea appear upon the face of the record (*g*). The affidavit may be made either by the defendant or a third person (*h*). If it be annexed to a plea, it may be in a general form verifying the plea, and it would seem, that it need not, in such case, be entitled in the cause (*i*), though it is usual to do so. But if it be not annexed to the plea, it must be entitled in the cause, and must contain a special statement of the facts contained in the plea (*k*). The affidavit verifying a plea of

(*q*) *Souter v. Dunston*, 1 M. & R. 508, 510: see *M'ner v. Milnes*, 3 T. R. 632.

(*r*) See Chit. Sum. Pract. 130: *Souter v. Dunston*, 1 M. & R. 508.

(*s*) *Brandin v. Payne*, 1 T. R. 689: R. E., 5 A.; *Martindale v. Harding*, 1 Chit. Rep. 716: *Nulleken v. Severn*, 1 Dowl. 320: 2 C. & J. 333, S. C.; 4 Dowl. 631.

(*t*) *Saunders v. Owen*, 2 D. & R. 252: *Wakefield v. Marden*, 2 Chit. Rep. 8.

(*u*) *Dimodale v. Nielsen*, 2 East, 406: *Cassen v. Bond*, 2 Y. & J. 531: *Hopkinson v. Henry*, 13 East. 170.

(*v*) *Douglas v. Green*, 2 Chit. Rep. 7: and see *Booyer v. Kem*, 1 Dowl. 201. 1 C. & J. 287, S. C.

(*y*) *Bond v. Smart*, 1 Chit. Rep. 735: *Douglas v. Green*, 2 Id. 7: but see *White v. Dent*, 1 B. & P. 341: *ante*, Vol. I. 168.

(*z*) *Gillb. C. B.* 187: 1 Bac. Abr. 2: *Grant v. Soudes*, 2 W. Bl. 1004.

(*a*) 2 Saund. 209 a.

(*b*) *Id.*: and see further, 1 Chit. Pl. 6th ed. 456.

(*c*) 4 A. c. 16, s. 11. As to the certainty required in the affidavit, see *Dobbin v. Wilson*, 3 Nev. & M. 290: *Parce v. Davy*, 1 Ld. Ken. 304; Say. 293, S. C. An affidavit that the plea "is a true plea" will not suffice. (*Owlou v. Booth*, 2 Str. 705).

(*d*) *Davidson v. Chilman*, 1 Scott, 117; 3 Dowl. 129: 1 Bing. N. C. 297, S. C.: *Stiles v. Mead*, 2 Str. 738: *Cunningham v. Johnson*, Say. 19.

(*e*) Pr. Reg. 5.

(*f*) *Id.* 4. See form, Chit. Forms, 298.

(*g*) *Hughes v. Alvarez*, 2 L. Raym. 1409: Pr. Reg. 5: *Gruy v. Sidneff*, 3 B. & P. 397: see *Dobbin v. Wilson*, 3 Nev. & M. 290.

(*h*) Pr. Reg. 5, 6: *Lumley v. Foster*, Barnes, 344; 2 Saund. 211 f. See the form, Chit. Forms, 298.

(*i*) See *Prince v. Nicholas*, 5 Taunt. 333. And a mistake is fatal. (*Richards v. Settee*, 3 Prier. 197.)

(*k*) See *Dobbin v. Wilson*, 3 Nev. & M. 290, where the affidavit was special.

nonjoinder of a co-defendant must state the place of residence of such party with convenient certainty (*l*). When ancient *demesne* is pleaded, the affidavit must state that the lands in question are holden of a manor which is ancient *demesne*, that the party has a freehold interest in it, and there is a court of ancient *demesne*, regularly holden (*m*). The affidavit must not be sworn before the declaration is filed or delivered (*n*). But where the affidavit was sworn in Liverpool the very day the declaration was filed in London, the court held it sufficient (*o*). And the same where it was sworn two days before the date of the plea (*p*).

If the plea be filed without an affidavit, or with an insufficient affidavit to verify it, the plaintiff may treat it as a nullity and sign judgment (*q*). But he cannot, it seems, get it set aside (*r*). No judgment of *nonpros* could be regularly signed for not replying to it (*s*), and the plea is such an absolute nullity that the defect cannot be waived (*t*). Where the affidavit was sworn before the defendant's attorney, the court held that the plaintiff could not treat the plea as a nullity on that account and sign judgment, although, probably, it might be a sufficient ground for setting it aside (*t*).

Engross the plea on plain paper, and get it signed by counsel (*u*); write your affidavit on plain paper (*x*), annex it to the plea, and deliver them to the plaintiff's attorney or agent (*y*). If not delivered within the time above mentioned, the plaintiff's attorney or agent should not receive it, though, indeed, it may be questionable whether the receipt of it would be deemed a waiver of his right to sign judgment after the time for pleading has expired, the plea being perhaps a nullity. We have seen (*ante*, 654) that if the declaration has been filed, the defendant must take it out of the office before he pleads, otherwise the plaintiff may sign judgment.

Amendment of.] Pleas in abatement are not, in general, amendable because they are dilatory, and do not go to the merits of the action (*z*).

Replication, Demurrer, &c.] The plaintiff replies or demurs to the plea in the same manner as to a plea in bar, except that the demurrer need not specially shew the causes of it in the body thereof (*a*). The court will not, in general, as we have just seen, quash the plea upon motion, however defective (*b*).

(*l*). 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, s. 8, *ante*, 631.

(*m*). *The Rust v. Rue*, 2 Burr. 1048.

(*n*). *Boocer v. Kemp*, 1 Dowl. 281: 1 C. & J. 257; 1 Tyr. 210, S. C.: *Johnson v. Popplewell*, 2 C. & J. 545: 2 Tyr. 715, S. C.

(*o*). *Lang v. Comber*, 4 East, 348: and see *Baskett v. Barnard*, 4 M. & Sel. 332.

(*p*). *Poule v. Pembrey*, 1 Dowl. 651.

(*q*). *Chumley v. Broom*, Carth. 402: *Sherman v. Alvarez*, 1 Str. 630: *Hughes v. Alvarez*, 2 L. Raym. 1405: *Davidson v. Osmon*, 1 Bing. N. C. 217: *Richards v. Stree*, 3 Price, 117; in which case it was wrongly intitled: and see *Lang v. Comber*, 4 East, 348: *Poule v. Pembrey*, 1 Dowl. 651.

(*r*). *Bray v. Haller*, 2 Moore, 213: R. v.

Cooke, 2 B. & C. 618: and vide *Pether v. Shelton*, 1 Str. 630: *Cunningham v. Johnson*, Say. 19, 293: R. v. *Granger*, 3 Burr. 1617: *Poule v. Pembrey*, 1 Dowl. 651.

(*s*). *Gurratt v. Hopper*, 1 Dowl. 28. The judgment was set aside without costs.

(*t*). *Horsefall v. Matthewman*, 3 M. & Sel. 154.

(*u*). See the form of a plea of nonjoinder, Chit. Forms, 298.

(*x*). See the form, Chit. Forms, 291.

(*y*). See *Jennings v. Webb*, 1 T. R. 278.

(*z*). Cas. Prac. C. P. 29: Tidd, 9th ed. 294.

(*a*). *Lloyd v. Williams*, 2 M. & Sel. 484.

(*b*). See, as to the replication, &c., *ante*, Vol. I. 195, &c. See form of a

Consequence if not.

The Plea, & How prepared and delivered &c.

Amendment of.

Replication, Demurrer, &c.

BOOK II.
PART I.Cassatur
breve.

If you cannot confess and avoid the plea, or deny it, not safely demur to it, you should then enter on the record *cassatur breve*, as directed *post*, *Book IV. Part I. Ch. 1*. upon which neither party will be entitled to costs.

Issue, &c.

Issue, &c.] If issue in fact be joined between the parties, and issue is made up, and they proceed to trial, as in the cases (c). So, if there be a demurrer and joinder, the subsequent proceedings to judgment exclusive are the same as in ordinary cases, and as mentioned *post*, 658 to 655 (d).

As to which party is to begin on the trial, see *ante*,

Judgment.

For Plaintiff.

Judgment.] Judgment for the *plaintiff* upon a verdict peremptory, *quod recuperet* (e); and therefore, care must be taken at the trial, in cases where damages are the object of the action, that the jury (if they find for the plaintiff) assess the damages; otherwise, as an omission in this respect cannot be supplied by a writ of inquiry, a writ *de novo* must be awarded (f). Judgment for the plaintiff upon a demurrer, or on replication of *nul tiel* record, is not merely a *respondeas ouster* (g).

For Defendant.

Judgment for the *defendant*, in all cases, whether upon a verdict, demurrer, or *nul tiel* record, is that the writ be quashed, unless, where the matter pleaded in abatement is a temporary disability, such as infancy, &c., in which case judgment is, that the plea remain without damages &c. (i).

A plea in abatement, with judgment of *respondeas ouster*, need not now be entered on the issue, or in the *Nisi Prius* record (k).

Costs.

Costs.] Upon a *cassatur breve* entered by plaintiff, the plaintiff party is entitled to costs (l). If there be a verdict for the plaintiff upon a plea in abatement, as the judgment in the case is peremptory, *quod recuperet*, he is, of course, entitled to costs, as in other cases; and if the plaintiff have a verdict against him, or be non-suited, the defendant shall have costs for his defence (m). Formerly, neither the plaintiff nor defendant was entitled to costs on a judgment on demurrer to a plea in abatement (n); but now, by the 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, s. 1, the party succeeding on such a demurrer shall be entitled to costs, as in other cases.

replication to a plea of nonjoinder, Chit. Forms, 290; as to the demurrer, and proceedings thereon, see *post*, 658; and as to the issue, &c., see Chit. Forms, 299.

(c) See the form of the issue, notice of trial; *Nisi Prius* record, jury process, *costes*, judgment, and execution, Chit. Forms, 299, 66.

(d) See Chit. Forms, 301, &c.

(e) *Elchorn v. Lemaitre*, 2 Wils. 367; Gilb. C. B. 53; *Bauren v. Shapcott*, 1 East, 542.

(f) *Elchorn v. Lemaitre*, 2 Wils. 367; *Maxwell v. Tremaine*, 2 Saund. 211, (n. 3); *post*, 710.

(g) *Thompson v. Collier*, Yelv. 112; *Barker v. Forrest*, 1 Str. 433; *Bauren v. Shapcott*, 1 East, 542; and see *Anon.*, 1 Wils.

302. See the forms, Chit. Fo

(h) Gilb. C. B. 52. See Chit. Forms, 300.

(i) Tidd, 642. See, upon the Com. Dig., Abatement, l. 14,

(k) *Pepper v. Whalley*, 5

437; 1 H. & W. 480, S. C. (l) *Allen v. Masey*, M., 8 G. 6; *Greenhill v. Shepherd*, 12 Mo

lock, 126.

(m) *Affir v. Constable*, T.,

Hullock, 126; Ca. Pr. C. B. 3

(n) *Garland v. Erten*, 2 L.

1 Salk. 194, S. C.; *Thomas v.*

Raym. 336; 1 Salk, 194, S. C.

Michlam v. Bate, 8 B. & C. 6

Ry. 91, S. C.

[*Subsequent Proceedings.*] After judgment of *respondeas ouster*, defendant has four days to plead (*o*). This, however, it is in the discretion of the court (*p*); and it is said, that the court will sometimes order the defendant to plead *instante*, or to-morrow (*q*).

The order invariable to be observed in pleading is thus:—

BOOK II.
PART I.
Subsequent
Proceeding
Time for
Pleading.
Order of
Pleading.

I. To the jurisdiction.

II. In abatement.

I. To the person.

1st. Of the plaintiff.

2nd. Of the defendant.

II. To the count.

III. To the writ.

1st. To the form of the writ.

2nd. To the action of the writ.

III. In bar of the action (*r*).

Making a plea in any one of these classes is deemed an acknowledgment that you have no ground for pleading a plea in the preceding classes, and a waiver of your right to do so hereafter, after a judgment of *respondeas ouster*, you cannot plead a plea in the same or in any preceding degree or class as that which you have already pleaded; but you may plead any of the subsequent classes you please (*s*).

On making up the second issue, you must formerly have entered the plea in abatement and the proceedings on it to the effect of *respondeas ouster* (*t*). But the omission of them is no ground for arresting the judgment, or for a new trial.

Entry of Proceedings in Second Issue

And since *R. H.*, 4 *W.* 4, *s.* 15, it has been decided, that a plea in abatement, with judgment of *respondeas ouster*, may now be entered on the issue or in the *Nisi Prius* (*u*).

I have seen *ante*, 651, that by the 3 & 4 *W.* 4, *c.* 42, *s.* 10, a plea in abatement of the nonjoinder of another person, does not prevent the plaintiff from commencing another action against the original defendant and the party not joined, he has some rights given him which did not exist before that act. And in pursuance of that act, one of the recent rules of pleading of *H. T.*, 4 *W.* 4, has prescribed a form for the commencement of a declaration in such case (*x*).

Declaration in Second Action.

Edmon., 275; *Cantwell v. Earl of*
8 Bing. 177; *1 Moo. & Sc.* 355;
63, S. C.
v. Williams, *Comb.* 19.
d. 641.
Lit. 303.
Com. Dig., Abatement: 2 *Saund.*

Rep. 5th ed. 40, 41.
(*s*) *Deberteen v. Chancellor*, 1 *L. Raym.*
329; *Carth.* 447, *S. C.*: *Addington v. Oakley*,
5 *Mod.* 389; *Anon.*, 7 *Mod.* 51.
(*u*) *Pepper v. Whalley*, 5 *Nev. & M.* 437.
(*x*) See the form, *Chit. Forms*, 300.

BOOK II.

PART II.

BOOK II.
PART II.

PROCEEDINGS UPON DEMURRER.

Demurrer, what, and how framed, &c., 658.*Setting it aside*, 659.*Joinder in Demurrer*, 660.*Notice of Inquiry*, 661.*Demurrer Book, id.**Argument of*, 664.*Amendment, &c.*, 665.*Judgment, id.**Costs of*, 667.*Execution*, 668.Demurrer,
what, and how
framed, &c.

Demurrer, what, and how framed, &c.] A DEMURRER is a pleading, which admits the facts as stated in the pleading of the opponent, and refers the law arising thereon to the judgment of the court (a). It is either to the whole, or a part of the declaration, or to the whole of the plea, replication, &c., or to the whole or part of a divisible plea or replication, &c.

When general
and when
special.

A demurrer is either *general* or *special*; the former being for some defect in substance, the latter for some defect in form (b). If the defendant is under terms of pleading *issuably*, he cannot demur *specially* to the declaration, but he may, notwithstanding, demur *specially* to the replication (*ante*, Vol. I. p. 163).

Form of.

Get the demurrer prepared by counsel or special pleader. The form of it is directed by the rule of H., 4 W. 4, r. 14, to be thus:—"The said defendant by —, his attorney, [or in person, &c., or the said plaintiff], says that the declaration [or plea, &c.] is not sufficient in law," *shewing the special cause of demurrer, if any. Get the draft of the demurrer signed by counsel (c). Engross it and the marginal note on plain paper; and deliver the engrossment to the attorney or agent of the opposite party (d).* By the recent rule of H. T., 4 W. 4, r. 2, "in the margin of every demurrer, before it is signed by counsel, some matter of law intended to be argued shall be stated: and if any

The marginal
Note, stating
Ground of
Demurrer.

(a) Co. Lit. 71. b.

(b) Id. 72. a.

(c) R. E., 18 C. 2, Q. B.: R. E., 33 Geo. 3, C. P.: *Neal v. Richardson*, 2 Dowl. 89: Tidd, New Pract. 430.

(d) By R. H., 4 W. 4, r. 1, "no de-

murrer shall in any case be filed with any officer of the court, but the same shall always be delivered between the parties." See the forms of demurrers and joinders thereto, Chit. Forms, 301.

BOOK II.
PART II.Fact and in
Law.Better, in ge-
neral, to have
Demurrer
argued first.No Entry on
Record before
Judgment.Copies of De-
murrer book

pleadings, demurrer and joinder, and, immediately after enter an award of a *venire* as well to try the issues in fact, as to assess contingent damages upon the issue in law, if it be found for the plaintiff (*m*). And in such case, all the proceedings, not only as to the issue in fact, but as to the issue in law also, must be entered on the aforesaid *Nisi Prius* record, when you are preparing for trial of the issue in fact, in the same order as they appear in the issue (*n*). If the demurrer has been determined *before* the trial of the issue in fact, the judgment should be stated on the issue (*o*) and *Nisi Prius* record. When there are thus several issues in law and in fact, it is optional with the plaintiff which he will have determined first (*p*); and he may make up his issue or demurrer book accordingly. It is, in general, preferable to have the demurrer argued first, especially if the demurrer would put an end to the whole action. And where three actions were brought against three several defendants, for different parts they had taken in the same transaction, in one of which issue was joined on a demurrer, and issues in fact on the other two, the court, upon application of the defendant, ordered the demurrer to be argued first, as the point of law involved in it was the foundation of the plaintiff's right to damages in the other two actions (*q*). Where there are several issues in law and in fact, and the issues in fact are tried first, if the plaintiff be nonsuit, contingent damages cannot be assessed for him on the demurrer (*r*). If the judgment on the demurrer be in favour of the plaintiff, and the pleading on which it was given cover his whole cause of action, he may execute an inquiry, or where the cause of the action admits of it, get the damages assessed by the court, and afterwards enter a *nolle prosequi* as to the issue in fact (*s*). When it is certain that the issue in fact will be determined in favour of the plaintiff, and that the demurrer must also be determined for him, or that the latter may be safely abandoned, then it may be advisable first to try the issue in fact (*t*).

Formerly it was the practice to enter the proceedings on record, and carry in and docket the roll before the demurrer was argued, as was the analogous practice of entering the issue before a trial: but since the recent rule of *H. T.*, 4 *W.* 4, r. 15, by which it is ordered that "the *entry of proceedings* on the *record for trial*, or on the *judgment roll*, (according to the nature of the case), shall be taken to be, and shall be in fact, the first entry of the proceedings in the cause, or of any part thereof, upon record; and no fees shall be payable in respect of any *prior entry* made or supposed to be made on *any roll or record* whatever," this is no longer necessary or proper (*u*).

By rule of all the courts of *H. T.*, 4 *W.* 4, r. 17, "four clear days (*x*) before the day appointed for argument, the

(*m*) See form of this award of the *venire*, Chit. Forms, 44.

(*n*) Imp. B. R. 47.

(*o*) See the form, Chit. Forms, 47.

(*p*) *Duherley v. Page*, 2 T. R. 394: 2 Saund. 300 n. (3): *Bird v. Higginson*, 5 A. & E. 83.

(*q*) *Burlett v. Culman*, 13 East, 27: see, however, *Bird v. Higginson*, 5 A. & E. 83.

See *post*, 665, as to amendment.

(*r*) *Snow v. Como*, 1 Str. 507.

(*s*) *Post*, 710.

(*t*) Chit. Sum. Prac. 144.

(*u*) See *Hodges v. Diley*, 7 Dowl. 555.

(*x*) See the former practice, R. T., 40 G. 3: 1 East, 131: Tidd, 9th ed. 738: Tidd, New Prac. 451: and in *Exch. Darker v. Darker*, 2 Dowl. 88.

shall deliver copies of the demurrer book, special verdict, to the lord chief justice of the King's Common Pleas, or lord chief baron, (as the case may be) the senior judge of the court in which the action is brought, and the defendant shall deliver copies to the other two judges of the court, next in seniority; and in default thereof by the party, the other party may on the day following deliver copies as ought to have been so delivered by the party in default; and the party making default shall not be allowed to plead until he shall have paid for such copies, or deposited with the clerk of the rules in the King's Bench and Exchequer the secondary in the Common Pleas, as the case may be, since the 7 W. 4 & 1 V. c. 30, in either court one of the sums, a sufficient sum to pay for such copies" (y). If entered for argument on a Tuesday, the copies of the book must be delivered to the judge on the preceding day; and if entered for argument for a Friday, then on the following Saturday. The party who delivers his books in default, should, on the following morning, search at the office of the other judges, to ascertain if his opponent has delivered his copies, in order that he may be prepared in case of his opponent's default with copies for the other judges on that day. The judge's clerk his fee with the demurrer book (z). It is decided, that if a party seek to make his opponent pay for copies of demurrer books, he must deliver them before the time for his opponent's delivering them (a). An affidavit, stating the omission by one of the parties to deliver two copies of the demurrer book to the other, and thereupon the opposite party delivered such copies, if the fee has not been paid, will not entitle him to object to the matter being heard, unless notice has been given of the intention to make such objection, so as to give such party an opportunity of answering the affidavit (b). If all the demurrer books are not delivered to the judges by one party or the other, the case will be struck out of the paper (c). But where a defendant neglected to deliver his demurrer books, and did not appear at the argument to support his pleadings, but offered to give a *cognovit*, the court gave judgment for the plaintiff without requiring the delivery of the defendant's demurrer books (d).

BOOK II.
PART II.

to be delivered
to Judges.

It is a rule in the Queen's Bench, (*R. E.*, 2 J. 2, *R. M.*, 11 J. 2), that "in all books to be delivered to the judges, the party who objects to the pleadings in the margin of the book should be intended to be insisted upon in argument should be by the party who objects to the pleadings in the margin of the book," and he should leave copies of such exceptions with the other two judges (e). This rule is followed, in the Exchequer. There are also similar rules in the Common Pleas, the last of which (*H. T.*, 11 G. 4, and

Points for
Argument to
be stated in
the Margin.

Darker v. Darker, 2 Dowl. 88. If either party neglected to deliver his books, and the other delivered his, it seems, must have moved upon the demurrer without notice, as the former could not be heard. See *Selmon*, 336; *R. v. Forman*, 11 R. M., 17 Car. 1: and vide *Fulcher*, 1 B. & P. 202). Chap. Prac. 3 Adda. 50.

(a) *Fisher v. Snow*, 3 Dowl. 27.

(b) *Sandall v. Bennett*, 4 Nev. & M. 80; 2 A. & E. 204, S. C.

(c) *Abraham v. Cook*, 3 Dowl. 215; and *MSS.*, E. 1814: but see *Somers v. Miller*, 2 H. & W. 117.

(d) *Scott v. Robson*, 2 C., M. & R. 29; 5 Tyr. 717, S. C.

(e) *Per Laurence*, J., in *Apleton v. Bucks*, 1 Smith, 361; 5 East, 140, S. C.

BOOK II.
PART II.

see *H. T.*, 48 *G.* 3) orders, that "in all special arguments in this court notice in writing of the points which are intended to be insisted upon by each of the parties, be delivered to the judges at their chambers *two* days before the day on which the case shall be set down for hearing, either by marking the points in the margin of the books delivered to the judges, or on separate paper: and that each of the parties do within the same time leave a copy of such notice at the chambers of the lord chief justice, to be delivered to the adverse party upon his application." It has also always been the practice in that court, *if each party intends to take objections to the other's pleadings, that each should state his objections in the margin of his demurrer books*, otherwise he cannot enter into them upon the argument (*f*); and this practice is adopted in the other courts (*g*). The Court of Common Pleas lately intimated, that, if the party demurring omitted thus to state his objections in the margin, they would give judgment against him without argument (*h*). And it has been intimated by the Court of Exchequer, that they will not have an argument on an objection, even to any of the former pleadings, unless it be stated in the margin of the demurrer book (*i*). But the Court of Queen's Bench, in one instance, postponed a case in order that an objection might be stated in the margin (*k*).

Brief for
Counsel.

A copy of the demurrer book should also be made out for and delivered to counsel, to which you may add such observations as you think necessary. Mark on the back of it when the demurrer will be argued.

Argument.

Argument.] By rule of all the courts of *H. T.*, 4 *W.* 4, *r.* 6, "no motion or rule for a *concilium* (*l*) shall be required; but demurrers, as well as all special cases and special verdicts, shall be set down for argument at the request of either party, with the clerk of the rules in the King's Bench and Exchequer, and a secondary in the Common Pleas, [now since the 7 *W.* 4 & 1 *V. c.* 30, in either court one of the masters], upon payment of a fee of one shilling; and notice thereof shall be given forthwith by such party to the opposite party." Where the defendant, two days before the end of term, demurred for the purpose of gaining time, the court allowed the case to be set down for argument on the last day of term, and refused to allow the defendant to withdraw the demurrer and plead the general issue (*m*); but, in ordinary cases, the notice must be given in sufficient time to enable the opposite party to prepare his demurrer books, otherwise the court will refuse to hear the demurrer, and, probably, allow the objecting party his costs of appearing to make the objection (*n*). *Set down the demurrer for argument, and give notice thereof accordingly to the opposite attorney or agent* (*o*).

(*f*) See *Clarke v. Davies*, 7 Taunt. 72: *Bayley v. Horman*, 3 Scott, 384.

(*g*) See *Darling v. Gurney*, 2 Dowl. 101.

(*h*) *Grottick v. Phillips*, 3 Moo. & Sc. 138; 9 Bing. 723, S. C.: and see *Brogden v. Marriott*, 2 Scott, 708.

(*i*) *Parker v. Riley*, 3 M. & W. 230.

(*k*) *Coleby v. Graves*, cited by Knowles (*amicus curiae*) in 3 M. & W. 235: and see

Brookes v. Humphries, 8 Law Journ., N. S., C. P., 34, S. P.

(*l*) Or *dies concilii*, or day to hear counsel of both parties.

(*m*) *Wilson v. Tucker*, 2 Dowl. 83: *Casper v. Hawkes*, 1 C. & J. 219.

(*n*) *Britten v. Britten*, 2 Dowl. 230.

(*o*) See form of notice, Chit. Forms, 303.

Afterwards, upon some “paper day” in term, (*ante*, 95), the demurrer will be called on for argument, in the order in which it stands on the paper. A special application for an adjournment must be made two days before the time appointed for argument. All causes remaining undetermined at the end of the term will come on in the next term in the order they stand. In general, no argument will be heard on the first four or last four days of the term (*p*). On the argument, the counsel for the party demurring is first heard in support of the demurrer; next, the counsel for the other party is heard in answer; and, lastly, the former counsel is heard in reply. One counsel only on each side (usually the junior, where there is more than one) is allowed to argue the demurrer. The court then deliver their opinion; according to which, the judgment is afterwards entered for the plaintiff or the defendant.

BOOK II.
PART II.

Time and
Manner of
Argument.

Formerly, those demurrers which were not intended to be argued were set down in a paper called the “common paper,” and were called on and disposed of before a single judge in the early part of the day; those which were to be argued, were set down in the “special paper,” and argued before the full court. But now all demurrers to which a joinder in demurrer is added are supposed to be arguable, and are set down for argument accordingly in the special paper. If not arguable, the opposite party ought to have applied before joining in demurrer to have the demurrer set aside as frivolous, and as to which see *ante*, 659.

Common
Paper done
away.

Amendment, &c.] As to the cases in which the parties will be allowed to amend after a demurrer, and before argument, see *post*, *Book IV. Part I. Ch. 28*. Frequently, on the case coming on for argument, when the judges, on perusal of the demurrer books or hearing counsel, think that the objection is well founded, they will express that opinion, and suggest to the opposite counsel the expediency of amending, and which, if acceded to, will be permitted on payment of costs. But, if counsel persist in arguing in support of the pleading, and the court have delivered their opinion, they will seldom afterwards permit an amendment (*q*). Under particular circumstances, however, the court have allowed a defendant to withdraw his demurrer, and to plead *de novo*, even after argument (*r*), the defendant producing an affidavit, distinctly shewing a ground of defence upon the merits (*s*). If there be issues in law and in fact, and the latter be tried first, and contingent damages assessed as to the demurrer, the court, it seems, will not, in that case, allow either of an amendment or of the demurrer being withdrawn (*t*).

Amendment,
&c.

Judgment.] In the evening of the day of, and after the argument, obtain from one of the masters a peremptory rule “that

Judgment.

(p) *M. T.*, 30 G. 2, *ante*, 95, 96: 2 Wils. 173: *Potten v. Bradley*, 2 Moo. & Lesh. 370. (q) *Tidd*, 710: *Chit. Sum. Prac.* 148. (r) *Harcoll v. M'Leers*, 4 T. R. 690: *Bing. N. C.* 481, S. C.: see *Morent v. Giddings v. Giddings*, Say. 316: *Hunt v. Peckmore*, Barnes. 155: *Collins v. Collins*, 2 Burr. 820; 2 Ld. Ken. 530, S. C.: *Anon.*, (s) *Bramah v. Roberts*, 1 Scott, 364; 1 (t) *Robinson v. Raley*, 1 Burr. 322.

BOOK II.
PART II.

How Signed.

judgment be entered for the plaintiff or defendant," as the case may be. Serve a copy thereof on the opposite attorney or agent. Judgment upon demurrer is interlocutory or final, in the same manner, and in the same cases, as judgment by default (u). If interlocutory, proceed to execute your writ of inquiry, or to have principal and interest computed by the master, according to the nature of the case, and sign final judgment, and tax your costs, as directed post, 702. We have seen, ante, 661, that the plaintiff may give notice of inquiry on the back of the demurrer when he demurs, or on the back of the joinder when the defendant demurs. If the judgment be final, sign it with the master, as directed post, 702, for which the rule above mentioned will be his authority. As to the necessity of suggesting breaches upon the roll, after judgment upon demurrer in debt on bond, and the mode of making the suggestion, and of proceeding to an inquiry thereon, see post, Ch. 4, Sect. 3.

Entry of on Roll, where there are issues in Law only.

In entering the judgment on the roll, if there be but a single issue, then immediately after the joinder in demurrer, which concludes the issue, enter the appearance of the parties, and the judgment (x). If the judgment for plaintiff upon the demurrer be merely interlocutory, and a writ of inquiry executed, then follow on the roll the award of the writ of inquiry, an entry of the return of it, and the finding of the inquest; and, lastly, an entry of the final judgment, as mentioned infra. As to the judgment for plaintiff upon demurrer to a plea in abatement, see ante, 656 (y). If the judgment on a single issue be for the defendant, then immediately after the entry of the joinder in demurrer, as above, enter the appearance of the parties, and a judgment of *nil capiat per breve* (z). This is, of course, a final judgment, and gives the defendant his costs.

Entry of where there are issues in Fact also.

When there are several issues in law and in fact, if the issues in fact were tried before the determination of the demurrer, then immediately after the award of the *venire*, (as mentioned ante, 661), enter the *jurata ponitur in respectu* and *postea*, as directed Vol. I. 338; then enter the appearance of the parties, and judgment upon the demurrer; and lastly, the final judgment (a). But when, of several issues in law and in fact, the issues in law have been tried first, and found for the plaintiff, then immediately after the joinder in demurrer enter the judgment on the demurrer; then an award of a *venire*, as well to try the issues in fact, as to inquire of the damages upon the issue in law; then the *jurata ponitur in respectu*, and *postea*, as ante, 328; and lastly, the final judgment (b). But if the plaintiff be content to take damages upon the judgment on demurrer only, he may execute a writ of inquiry as to that judgment, or, in the case of a bill of exchange or the like, may have it

(u) See post, 701.

(x) See forms of the entry, Chit. Forms, 304 to 309. See *Attwood v. Burr*, 1 Salk. 402; 2 L. Raym. 821, S. C.

(y) See the forms, Chit. Forms, 304.

(z) See the form on demurrer to a declaration or replication. Chit. Forms, 303; the like on demurrer to a plea or rejoinder, Id. 304; the like on demurrer to a

plea in abatement, Id. 304; the like, on demurrer to a replication to a plea in abatement, Id. 305.

(a) The entry of any continuances, either by *curia adelsari vult*, or by *recomes non misit breve*, is no longer requisite. (R. H., 4 W. 4, r. 2).

(b) See the form of this entry, 2 Saund. 298—301: Chit. Forms, 305.

by the master, and he may enter a *nolle prosequi* as to in fact (c).

BOOK II.
PART II.

defendant plead several pleas to the same or several a declaration, and the plaintiff demur to some of the take issue upon others; if the defendant succeed of the pleas demurred to, and that plea be an answer to the action, the plaintiff shall not have judgment upon in fact, should they be found for him (d); but the judgment that shall be entered is *nil capiat per breve*.

Where Defendant succeeds on one of several Pleas to Action brought.

By stat. 8 & 9 W. 3, c. 11, s. 2, if either plaintiff ant have judgment upon demurrer, he shall be en- costs, and may have execution for the same by *ca. facias*, or *elegit*. This statute, however, did not ex-

Costs.
Generally.

lemurrers in abatement, nor to actions where the would not be entitled to damages if he had a ver-

But now, by the Law Amendment Act (f), "where t shall be given either for or against a plaintiff or nt, or for or against a defendant or tenant, upon any : joined in any action whatever, the party in whose ich judgment shall be given shall also have judgment r his costs in that behalf."

recent case, where, to a declaration in two counts, it pleaded two pleas to the first count and one to the ssues were joined on one plea to the first count, and lea to the second count; the other plea to the first as demurred to: the plaintiff took the issues in fact and a verdict was found for the plaintiff on the issue irst count, and damages assessed; and for the defend- he issue on the second count: afterwards, on the de- to the other plea to the first count, the defendant had nt: Held, that the plaintiff was entitled to all the costs rial on the issue on which he had succeeded, including ition to the pleadings) briefs, witnesses, &c.; and that ction arose from his having tried the issues in fact hat in law, especially as a judge at chambers had re- a application by the defendant to order the trial of the a fact to be postponed till judgment was given on the er (g).

Where there are Issues of Fact and Law.

re there were several issues in fact, and a demurrer to der, the defendant had leave to amend upon payment ; and upon taxation of the costs, the briefs and de- books were charged for, as containing all the issues in well as at law; it was held, that the master was right in ring the charges so far as related to the issues in

use was entered in the paper for argument;—a defend- ing demurred to a replication, the plaintiff got the case

Costs of At-
tendance

ind. 109, n. (1): and see *Flem- ington*, 1 Str. 533; *Anon.*, 1 Salk. 662: *post*, 723. See the form. ns, 306, &c. See the form of ocess, where there are issues in law, *Chit. Forms*, 69. und. 80, n. (1). Where the obtains judgment on one of going to the whole cause of

action, the court will allow him to strike out the other plea on paying costs of the issues joined on them. (*Young v. Beck*, 3 Dowl. 804).

(e) *Hullock*, 145.

(f) 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, s. 34: and see 3 Rep. C. L. Com. 25, 76.

(g) *Bird v. Higginson*, 5 A. & E. 83.

(h) *Jones v. Roberts*, 2 Dowl. 374.

BOOK II.
PART II.

where no
Joinder.

put into the paper as for argument, and the defendant prepared to argue the point; but it appeared that the plaintiff had not joined in demurrer, and, of course, no papers were delivered to the judges; it was held, that the defendant was not entitled to his costs of appearing for argument.

Execution.

Execution.] The execution is the same as in other cases. (See ante, 395 to 456).

(i) *Haworth v. Hubbersty*, 3 Dowl. 457.

BOOK II.

PART III.

PROCEEDINGS UPON NUL TIEL RECORD.

- | | |
|---|---|
| <p>1. <i>Record of the same Court is pleaded.</i>
 <i>&c.</i>, 669.
 670.
 <i>Issue, &c.</i>, <i>id.</i>
 671.
 <i>Demurrer, id.</i>
 <i>Verdict, id.</i></p> | <p>2. <i>When a Record of another Court is pleaded.</i>
 <i>Plea of Judgment recovered in another Court</i>, 672.
 <i>Issue, id.</i>
 <i>Certiorari, id.</i>
 <i>Trial and subsequent Proceedings</i>, 673.</p> |
|---|---|

*When a Record of the same Court is pleaded.*BOOK II.
PART III.

[*c.*] ON a record of the same court being pleaded, the plaintiff replies *nul tiel* record, or when he replies to a *nul tiel* record, he concludes his replication that the record may be inspected; and a day is accordingly given to the plaintiff for that purpose (*a*). As this completes the replication, you may make up the issue and deliver it as in cases (*b*). It is the same in form as in an issue in the country (*c*), excepting the conclusion (*d*).

The plaintiff, however, when the defendant pleads a record of another court, *instead of replying nul tiel record, may deliver the defendant a note in writing of the term and number on such judgment or matter of record is entered, or if no record is entered or filed, or, in default thereof, the day at to be received, and the plaintiff may sign judgment.*

But this cannot be done when the defendant pleads a record of another court; and as to which, see *post*, 672.

When the plaintiff replies *nul tiel* record, he should, in the Bench, obtain from one of the masters a rule to produce the Record.

See form, Chit. Forms, 311.

4 W. 4. r. 5, *ante*, Vol. I. It has been held in the Common Pleas that as the issue is complete by the inspection of the record, the defendant demur to the replication may, nevertheless, insist on the production of the record on the given day. (See *Tipping v. Jackson*, 2 P. 302; *Jackson v. Wickes*, 7 Taunt. 30, S. C.)

(*c*) See Vol. I. 199.

(*d*) See the form, Chit. Forms, 312.

(*e*) R. T., 5 & 6 G. 2, Q. B.: Imp. C. P. 7th ed. 292; Tidd, 9th ed. 742; Keil, 95, 96; *Thorbald v. Long*, 1 L. Raym. 347; Holt, 557, S. C.: *Cromer v. Wickett*, Id. 550; 12 Mod. 350, S. C.: *Wilson v. Ingoldsby*, 2 Id. 1179; *Hunter v. Wiseman*, 2 Str. 823; 1 Saund. 92, n. (3). See a form of demand, Chit. Forms, 311.

BOOK II.
PART III.Notice by
Plaintiff of
Production
of.

the record (*f*); enter it with him, and serve a copy of it on the defendant's attorney or agent. It is a four-day rule. In the Common Pleas and Exchequer, he obtains a rule for judgment, and serves a copy on the defendant's attorney or agent.

When the plaintiff replies to a plea of *nul tiel* record, he must, in the Queen's Bench, give a notice in writing to the defendant's attorney or agent that he will produce the record on the day therein mentioned, (*g*). In the Common Pleas and Exchequer, he obtains a rule for judgment, and serves a copy on the defendant's attorney or agent.

Trial.

Trial.] Let the party who has to produce the record bespeak it at the Treasury, and desire that it may be brought into court, upon the day appointed by the notice or rule above mentioned. Let the party entitled to judgment instruct counsel to move for it; and the opposite party, if he contest it, will instruct counsel to oppose the motion. On the motion being made, the master will declare whether the record is in court or not. If the record be not produced, or if produced and found not to maintain the plea, judgment of failure of record is given for the opposite party (*h*), otherwise judgment that the party hath perfected the record will be given for the party who pleaded it. If a record be produced which ought not to be so, the course will be to apply to the court from which the record is, to quash the roll (*i*). Upon a plea in abatement of another action pending in another court for the same cause, concluding with a *prout patet per recordum*, it is sufficient to satisfy the plea, if a record of a writ be produced (*k*). But where the plaintiff issued two writs, one out of the Common Pleas, which was never served, and the other out of the Exchequer, on which he proceeded to declare; and the defendant pleaded to the action in the Exchequer, another action pending for the same cause in the Common Pleas; the plaintiff replied *nul tiel* record, and served the defendant with a rule to produce the record; and the defendant having made up a roll from the *præcipe* on the file of the Common Pleas, that court ordered it to be cancelled with costs (*l*). And where a defendant who had been sued in the Common Pleas signed judgment of *nonpros*, after which the plaintiff proceeded against him in another action for the same cause in the King's Bench, the latter court would not permit him to abandon his judgment of *nonpros*, and plead the pendency of the former action in the Common Pleas (*m*).

Judgment,
&c.
For Plaintiff.

Judgment, &c.] Judgment for the plaintiff is interlocutory or final in the same manner, and in the same cases, as judgment upon demurrer or default (*n*). If interlocutory, make an incipitur on plain paper, and take it to the master, as directed post, 735, and he will sign judgment. Then proceed to sue out and execute your writ of inquiry, or have principal and interest

(*f*) See the form, Chit. Forms, 312: *Begbie v. Grenville*, 8 Dowl. 502. A notice by plaintiff on defendant to produce the record would be irregular. (*Id.*)

(*g*) Tidd, 9th ed. 743. See the form, Chit. Forms, 312.

(*A*) *Innes v. Hay*, Fort. 353; *Rowell v. Dyon*, Lutw. 945: see *Munkendeck v.*

Busknehl, 4 Dowl. 139.

(*i*) *Kerbey v. Siggers*, 2 Dowl. 659; *Id.* 813.

(*k*) *Kerbey v. Siggers*, 2 Dowl. 813; 4 M. & Scott, 481, S. C.

(*l*) *Kerbey v. Siggers*, 2 Dowl. 659.

(*m*) *Pepper v. Whalley*, 3 Dowl. 579.

(*n*) See post, 701.

computed by the master, according to the nature of the case, and give final judgment, as directed post, 720, &c. (p). In the court of Queen's Bench or Exchequer it is not necessary to have a rule before interlocutory judgment can be signed. If the judgment be final, write out a præcipe of a rule for judgment on a slip of paper (q), and take and enter it with the master; and in the Common Pleas, you must get the master, upon the expiration of the rule, to certify on the back of it that no cause has been taken. The rule expires in four days exclusive of the day on which it is entered, and inclusive of the last day, unless the last day be a Sunday, Christmas-day, Good Friday, or a day appointed for a public fast or thanksgiving; in which case that day would not be reckoned as one of the four (r). After the expiration of these four days, make an incipitur on plain paper, and take it to the master, who will sign the judgment; give the opposite party the usual one day's notice of your intention to tax the costs (s), and, on the day named for such taxation, take the judgment paper to the master, who will tax the costs, and insert them on it. You may then sue out execution (t). Notice of taxation of costs is not requisite where the plaintiff has appeared for the defendant (u). Judgment upon a replication of nul tiel record, to a plea in abatement is, we have seen, (ante, 656), not final, but merely a respondeas ouster.

Judgment for the defendant is, of course, final; and signed as above directed, a rule for judgment having been previously given. For Defendant.

In entering the proceedings upon the roll—if the issue be single, then, immediately after the conclusion of the issue, enter, in a new paragraph, the appearance of the parties and the judgment; and if the judgment be interlocutory, and the writ of inquiry have been executed, enter the award of the writ of inquiry, the return to it, and the finding of the inquest, and, lastly, the final judgment. But where there are several issues, some to be tried by the record, others by the country, the entries may be made in the manner directed ante, 686, as to proceedings upon a demurrer, mutatis mutandis (x). Entry of Proceedings on the Roll.

Costs.] The party, in whose favour judgment is given, is, in general, entitled to costs as on trials by jury; however, in debt on judgment recovered by plaintiff, (in which this issue frequently arises), the plaintiff will not be entitled to costs, unless the court or a judge thereof shall otherwise order (y). Costs.

Execution.] The execution is the same as in ordinary cases. Execution.

Amendment.] When, in consequence of variance between the record produced and that stated in pleading, the defendant is entitled to judgment of failure of record, the court will not permit an amendment to be made at the trial by the Amendment.

(p) See *Moss v. Compton*, 6 M. & Sel. 311.

(q) See the form of the memorandum, Chit. Forms, 314.

(r) B. H., 2 W. 4, r. 8, ante, 93.

(s) See ante, 334.

(t) See the forms of judgment for plaintiff on nul tiel record, Chit. Forms, 315; of a judgment for defendant on nul tiel

record, Id. 309.

(u) See R. H., 4 W. 4, r. 17, post, Book IV. Part I. Chap. 30.

(x) See as to the forms, Chit. Forms, 315. See as to the form of the jury process, where there are several issues, some to be tried by the country, and others by the record, Id. 69.

(y) 43 G. 3, c. 46, s. 4.

BOOK II.
PART III.

record, so as to prevent the defendant from obtaining judgment; but on a special application for that purpose, the plaintiff will be allowed to amend, even after judgment. Thus, an amendment has been allowed after judgment of failure of record, where, in an action on a judgment, the declaration stated it to have been recovered in a term different from that which appeared on the record, and that it was against one defendant only, where it was against more than one (*z*). Also, where in debt on a recognisance of bail, the declaration stated it to have been entered into in an action of debt, and, on trial by the record, it appeared to have been in an action of *assumpsit* (*a*).

2. When a Record of another Court is pleaded.

Plea of Judgment recovered in another Court.

Marginal Note, in Plea of Number of Roll.

Plea of Judgment recovered in another Court.] The plea of judgment recovered in another court used to be frequently adopted for delay, when, in truth, no such judgment ever existed. To prevent this, the rule of *H. T.*, 4 *W.* 4, r. 8, requires, that "where a defendant shall plead a plea of judgment recovered in another court, he shall in the margin of such plea state the date of such judgment, and if such judgment shall be in a court of record, the number of the roll on which such proceedings are entered, if any; and in default of his so doing, the plaintiff shall be at liberty to sign judgment as for want of a plea; and in case the same be falsely stated by the defendant, the plaintiff, on producing a certificate from the proper officer, or person having the custody of the records or proceedings of the court where such judgment is alleged to have been recovered, that there is no such record or entry of a judgment as therein stated, shall be at liberty to sign judgment as for want of a plea, by leave of the court or a judge." (*b*). The rule applies only to a plea of judgment recovered, strictly so called, and not others, such as a plea by an executor of judgments recovered against the testator, whereby the assets are absorbed (*c*).

Issue.

Issue.] Let the issue be made up and delivered as in ordinary cases (*d*). You cannot, in this case, demand a note in writing of the term and number of the roll, &c., as mentioned *ante*, 669; but you must plead *nul tiel* record, and so proceed to trial.

Certiorari.

Certiorari.] The only way of bringing in the record is by writ of *certiorari* (*e*). This writ must be sued out by the party who has to produce the record, directed to the chief justice, judge, or officer of the court below, in whose custody the record is supposed to be (*f*). If it be the record of an inferior court, it may be sued out either in the court in which the action is pending, or with the cursitor; if the latter, it is an original writ, tested in or out of term, returnable on a general return

(*z*) *Rastall v. Stratton*, 1 H. Bl. 49.

(*a*) *Munkenbeck v. Bushnell*, 4 Dowl. 139; *Rastall v. Stratton*, 1 H. Bl. 49: see *Blackmore v. Fleming*, 7 T. R. 447 a.

(*b*) See form of plea and marginal notes, Chit. Forms, 310.

Power v. Isod, 3 Dowl. 140; 1 Bing.

N. C. 304; 1 Scott, 119, S. C.

(*d*) See *Newbury v. Stradwick*, Barnes, 335. See the forms, Chit. Forms, 46.

(*e*) *Hewson v. Brown*, 2 Burr. 1034.

(*f*) See the form of it, Chit. Forms, 312.

day, and made out by the cursitor, upon your furnishing him with a *præcipe*. If sued out in the court in which the action is pending, it is a judicial writ, tested in the name of the chief justice or chief baron on some day in term, and returnable on a day certain in term, and signed and sealed as in ordinary cases. It is sufficient to return the tenor of the record upon this writ, without certifying the record itself (*g*). But if the record be the record of a superior court, as, for instance, if the action be in the Common Pleas, and the record be one of the Queen's Bench, you must first sue out, with the cursitor, a *certiorari*, directed to the chief justice of the Queen's Bench, returnable in Chancery ; and upon the record being certified into that court (*h*), an exemplification or transcript of it, under the seal of the Chancellor, will be sent into the court in which the action is pending, to be produced upon the day given (*i*). If the action be in the Queen's Bench, and the record be in the Common Pleas or Exchequer, it seems that you may proceed either by *certiorari* out of Chancery, and *mittimus* thereon, or by *certiorari* from the Queen's Bench in the first instance (*k*).

Trial and subsequent Proceedings.] Give notice of your bringing in the record, or rule the other party to bring it in, and proceed to trial, judgment, &c., as directed *ante*, 669, 670. Trial and subsequent Proceedings.

(*g*) *Hambledon v. Lancashire*, 3 Salk. 286; *Giff. Execution*, 143.

(*i*) See *Luttrell v. Lee*, Cro. Car. 297.

(*h*) See the form, Chit. Forms, 312, and Tidd, 268.

BOOK II.

PART IV.

PROCEEDINGS UPON JUDGMENT BY CONFESSION OR DEFAULT.

CHAPTER I.

BOOK II.
PART IV.

JUDGMENT BY COGNOVIT.

The Cognovit, 674.
In what Cases filed, &c. 677.
Judgment on, when and how Signed,
678.
Execution on, 680.

*Cancelling Cognovit and setting
aside Execution, &c., id.*
Implied Confession of Action,
681.
Writ of Inquiry, 681.

The Cognovit.

What.

At what State
of Proceed-
ings given.

The Cognovit.] WHERE the defendant has no available defence to make to the action, it is not unusual for him, instead of proceeding to trial, or of allowing judgment to pass against him by default, to give the plaintiff a *cognovit* or written confession of the action, usually upon condition that he shall be allowed a certain time for the payment of the debt or damages, the amount of such debt or damages being, in general, first ascertained and agreed upon. A *cognovit* is supposed to be given by the defendant in court, and it impliedly authorizes the plaintiff's attorney to do everything necessary for proceeding with the action in order to obtain judgment, and, consequently, to enter an appearance, if necessary (a). A *cognovit* may be given at any time after the process is sued out, and even before it is served (b), or before the plaintiff has declared (c); but this is not very usual; moreover, if the defendant be a trader, and subject to the bankrupt laws, an execution under the *cognovit* would come within the meaning of the 108th section of the Bankrupt Act, (6 G. 4, c. 16), and, probably, be unavailable, unless the *cognovit* were given *after* declaration, as required by the 1 W. 4, c. 7, s. 7 (d). A *cognovit* may be given and will be valid, though the writ by which the action was commenced has ceased, by lapse of time, to be in form against the defendant (a).

(a) *Richardson v. Daly*, 4 M. & W. 384; 7 Dowl. 25, S. C.

(b) *Kirby v. Jenkins*, 2 Tyr. 499; and see *Wade v. Swift*, 8 Price, 513.

(c) *Morley v. Hall*, 2 Dowl. 494; *Clarke v. Jones*, 3 Id. 277; *Webb v. Aspinall*, 7

Taunt. 701; 1 Moore, 428, S. C.; and see *Davis v. Hughes*, 7 T. R. 907, n. (a); *Hurst v. Jennings*, 5 B. & C. 658; Tidd, 9th ed. 559.

(d) *Ante*, 433.

It would seem that one partner cannot bind his copartner *cognovit*, without his consent: at all events, after a partnership dissolved, one of the partners has no power to bind by giving a *cognovit* to pay costs as between attorney and client (*e*). Where one of several parties to a *cognovit* signs after the others, his signing relates back to the time of signing (*f*).

By one of several Defendants.

The prescribed form of *cognovit* is, in general, requisite (*g*). It is, however, always expressly to shew the terms upon which the judgment is given. If any agreement or understanding be entered into, contrary to the express terms of it, the court will not, in general, regard such agreement, but put the party to its proof, if any, by action (*h*). Sometimes, however, the court will set aside a judgment entered up, and execution thereon, contrary to the express agreement or understanding of the parties at the time of confessing the judgment (*i*). The defendant, the plaintiff, on the eve of trial, accepted from the plaintiff a *cognovit* for a certain sum payable at a future time, in full discharge of the action, and the master, on costs, to pay the plaintiff's costs previous to the *cognovit*; the court will not admit the plaintiff's affidavit, stating a verbal agreement that he should have such costs in case the defendant should default in payment, and that he had made such default, as the rule for the disallowance of such costs absolute (*k*). By statute 3 G. 4, c. 39, s. 4, a *cognovit* which is to be used according to that act (*post*, 677), to make it available against the creditors, in the event of the bankruptcy of the debtor, if the same be given subject to a condition, such condition must be written on the same paper or parchment on which the *cognovit* is, before filing it, otherwise it will be void against the assignees (*l*). This provision is extended in favour of the creditors of an insolvent debtor, by the 1 & 2 V. c. 60 (*m*).

Form of, and how affected by collateral Agreement.

The Condition of it must be written on same Paper.

A *cognovit* generally contains an agreement upon the part of the defendant that no writ of error shall be brought, nor any writ of equity filed (*n*); and if, notwithstanding this, the defendant does bring a writ of error, the allowance of such writ is *quashed*, and will not prevent the plaintiff from proceeding with his writ in execution (*o*). It frequently also contains an agreement to waive the necessity for a *scire facias* to revive the judgment, and such agreement has been considered binding.

Agreement to waive Writ of Error and Sci. Fa.

see v. Drakeford, 4 Moo. & P. 375, S. C.: see *Brutton v. Chitt*, Rep. 707; *Stead v. Salt*, 380; 3 Bing. 101. S. C.: *Adams v. 1 C.*, M. & R. 48; *Beckham v. King*, N. C. 243. *v. Turner*, 1 Dowl. 300; 2 C. Tyr. 128, S. C. *Forst v. Jennings*, 5 B. & C. 424, S. C. See the forms of *Writ*, Forms, 318: in *assumpsit*, *Writ*, Id. 318. *Beckham v. King*, N. C. 243. *see*, 1 Salk. 400. *v. Browne*, 6 Mod. 14; *Hatton v. W. Bla.* 943; *Woodman v. 1*, 1837; 2 Jurist, 1: *post*, 678. *7 D. & R.* 375. *see v. Dentel*, 10 B. & C. 1. *Gray*, 1 Dowl. 350.

(*m*) See *Morris v. Mellin*, 6 B. & C. 446; 9 Dowl. & Ry. 503, decided before the 7 G. 4, c. 57.

(*n*) But this stipulation does not, it should seem, oust the superior courts of their jurisdiction. (See *Wade v. Rogers*, 2 W. Bla. 780; *Kill v. Hollister*, 1 Wils. 129: *post*, (383). And see this stipulation commented on in the case of *Shaw v. Marquis of Worcester*, 4 Moo. & P. 21; 6 Bing. 307, S. C. It should seem from that case, that such stipulation would not deprive the defendant of taking advantage of the plaintiff's not executing a writ of inquiry, or of not suggesting breaches under the 8 & 9 W. 3, c. 11, when necessary. And see *Howell v. Stratton*, 2 Smith, 69.

(*o*) *Best v. Gompertz*, 2 Dowl. 395.

BOOK II.
PART IV.

After Plea
pleaded.

Of Part of
Action.

Stamp on.

on the defendant (*p*), though a doubt seems to have been thrown upon this by a more recent case (*q*).

If given after plea pleaded, it contains an agreement to withdraw the plea; in which case it is termed a *cognovit actionem relictâ verificatione*, from the form of the entry of it upon the roll (*r*).

The *cognovit* may be of part of the cause of action, or of the entire; if of part, the plaintiff can only sign judgment for the part confessed, and proceed in the action as to the residue (*s*).

The *cognovit* may be written upon plain paper, if it contain no terms of agreement, to the amount of 20*l.*, between the parties; but if it contain such terms, as if it be conditioned for the payment of the debt, (to the amount of 20*l.* or more), or debt and costs (to that amount or more) by instalments (*t*), or the like, it must be written on a 20*s.* stamp. In a late case, it was held that a *cognovit*, on which judgment was not to be entered up, unless default should be made in payment of 18*l.* debt and interest from 26th October to day of payment, and costs on 16th February then next, required a stamp (*x*). A stipulation not to take advantage of the *cognovit* being given before declaration, does not render a stamp necessary (*y*). The want of, or a defect in, the stamp, will not render the *cognovit* unavailable, for a proper stamp may be procured on payment of the usual penalty (5*l.*), and this at any time, even after a motion to set aside a judgment entered up on it (*z*). But the master will not, in general, allow a *cognovit* to be filed, unless duly stamped.

Attestation by
an Attorney.

By a general rule of all the courts of *H. T.*, 4 *W.* 4, *r.* 72, “no warrant of attorney to confess judgment, or *cognovit actionem*, given by any person in custody of a sheriff or other officer upon *mesne* process, shall be of any force, unless there be present some attorney on behalf of such person in custody expressly named by him, and attending at his request, to inform him of the nature and effect of such warrant or *cognovit* before the same is executed, which attorney shall subscribe his name as a witness to the due execution thereof, and declare himself to be attorney for the defendant, and state that he subscribes as such attorney” (*a*). And by 1 & 2 *V. c.* 110, *s.* 9, after reciting that “it is expedient that provision should be made for giving every person executing a warrant of attorney to confess judgment, or a *cognovit actionem*, due information of the nature and effect thereof,” it is enacted, “that from and after the time appointed for the commencement of this Act,” [1st October, 1838,] “no warrant of attorney to confess judgment in any personal action, or *cognovit actionem*, given by any person, shall be of any force, unless there shall be present some attorney of one of the superior courts on behalf of such person, expressly named, and attending at his request, to inform him of the nature and effect of such warrant or *cognovit* before the

(*p*) *Howell v. Stratton*, 2 Smith, 65: *Morris v. Jones*, 2 B. & C. 242; 3 D. & R. 603, S. C.: *Lee's Dict.* 2nd ed. 1228.

(*q*) *Heath v. Brindley*, 2 A. & E. 368.

(*r*) See the form, *Chit. Forms*, 318.

(*s*) 1 Sellon, 373: *Tidd*, 9th ed. 561.

(*t*) *Ames v. Hill*, 2 B. & P. 150: *Reardon v. Sneydy*, 4 East, 188: *Jay v. Warren*, 1 C. & P. 532: *Murley v. Hall*, 2 Dowl.

494: *Pitman v. Humfrey*, 2 Tyr. 501.

(*x*) *Pitman v. Humfrey*, 2 Tyr. 501.

(*y*) *Green v. Gray*, 1 Dowl. 350.

(*z*) See *Burton v. Kirkby*, 7 Taunt. 174: 2 Marsh. 490, S. C.: *Clarke v. Jones*, 3 Dowl. 277: *Rose v. Thimblinson*, Id. 49: *Pitman v. Humfrey*, 2 Tyr. 501.

(*a*) See the former practice, *Tidd*, New Pract. 288.

same is executed, which attorney shall subscribe his name as a witness to the due execution thereof, and thereby declare himself to be attorney for the person executing the same, and state that he subscribes as such attorney" (b). And by the 10th sect. of the same act, "a warrant of attorney to confess judgment, or *cognovit actionem* not executed in manner aforesaid, shall not be rendered valid by proof, that the person executing the same did, in fact, understand the nature and effect thereof, and was fully informed of the same." These sections and rules, and the decisions relating to them, will be found hereafter (*post*, 683, 684, 685) noticed, while treating of warrants of attorney.

In what cases Filed, &c.] By stat. 3 G. 4, c. 39, s. 3, the *cognovit*, if the action be in the Queen's Bench, (or a copy if the action be in the Common Pleas or Exchequer), when given in a personal action, and an affidavit of the time of the execution thereof, must be filed [with the masters] within twenty-one days after its execution, to render it, or any judgment or execution thereupon, valid as against the assignees (c) of the defendant, unless judgment be signed and execution issued within the twenty-one days. The master's fee for the filing is 1s. (d). If the *cognovit* be made subject to a defeazance or condition, such defeazance or condition must, in order to make the *cognovit* effectual against the assignees (e), be written on the same paper or parchment on which the *cognovit* is written before the time when the same or a copy thereof respectively shall be filed (e). The same act, section 7, provides, that any person shall be entitled to have an office copy of the *cognovit*, upon paying for the same at the like rate as for an office copy of a judgment. By the 5th section of the act the masters shall keep a book, containing particulars of each *cognovit* filed, &c.

In what Cases filed, &c.

Where a warrant of attorney was not filed, as directed by the 3 G. 4, c. 39, s. 2, *post*, 692, and execution issued *after* an act of bankruptcy, but more than two months before the commission issued, it was held not a case within the protection of 6 G. 4, c. 16, s. 81 (f); but whether it would have been within that act, if execution had been executed *before* any act of bankruptcy, has not been decided (g). A bond upon the face of it appeared to be conditioned for the payment of a sum certain; but by an indenture of the same date, declaring the purposes for which the bond was executed, it was agreed that it should be lawful for the obligees to commence an action upon the bond, and proceed to judgment whenever they should think fit, and, upon judgment being obtained, to issue execution; and that the judgment should be a security for the payment to the obligees, on demand, of all sums of money which then were, or might thereafter become, due to them. A judgment having been entered up by virtue of this deed, the

Consequences of not Filing

(b) See form of attestation, Chit. Forms, 317, 324.

(c) *Green v. Gray*, 1 Dowl. 350; *Bennett v. Daniel*, 10 B. & C. 340; 5 Man. & Ry. 44; *Marble v. Mellin*, 6 Id. 44; 9 Dowl. & Ry. 343.

(d) 3 G. 4, c. 39, s. 6.

(e) 3 G. 4, c. 39, s. 4; *Bennett v. Daniel*, 10 B. & C. 340; *Green v. Gray*, 1 Dowl. 350.

(f) *Gordon v. Sanctuary*, 1 Nev. & M. 52; 4 B. & Ad. 255, S. C.

(g) *Willam v. Whittaker*, 1 M. & M. 8. It seems pretty clear that it would not, in such case, be inoperative as against the assignees: for the 3 G. 4, c. 39, merely paralyzes the *cognovit* as against the fiat, but does not give the fiat any power of overreaching the act of bankruptcy.

BOOK II.
PART IV.

obligees issued execution, without assigning breaches or executing a writ of inquiry; and the court held, that the indenture, by virtue of which the judgment was entered up, was in legal effect a *cognovit actionem*, within the meaning of the 3rd section of the statute 3 G. 4, c. 39; or, if not, that it was a contrivance to defeat the provisions of that statute; and this indenture not having been filed with the proper officer, within twenty-one days after its execution, nor judgment entered up within that period, as required by the statute, the court, upon application by the assignees of the obligor, who had become bankrupt, ordered the execution to be withdrawn (*h*). The *cognovit*, though not filed, is not absolutely void, but only inoperative as against the assignees of the defendant should he become bankrupt or insolvent (*i*).

Entering
Satisfaction.

If the *cognovit* have been filed, as above mentioned, and the debt be afterwards satisfied or discharged, a judge, upon being satisfied of that fact, may order a memorandum of satisfaction to be written upon it (*j*).

In case of
Insolvents.

The Insolvent Debtors' Act (*k*) extends these provisions in favour of the creditors of an insolvent debtor. But it is provided that they shall not extend to warrants of attorney executed in pursuance of the insolvent act (*l*).

Judgment,
when it may
be signed.

Judgment on, when it may be signed.] If the *cognovit* be made unconditionally, the plaintiff may, of course, sign judgment and sue out execution as soon as he pleases (*m*). But if made upon terms, judgment cannot be signed or execution sued out contrary to such terms, otherwise the court or a judge, upon application, will set it aside (*n*), or a judge will stay the proceedings until the defendant has had an opportunity to apply to the court. Under a *cognovit*, by which it is agreed that no judgment is to be signed or execution issued unless default made in payment of a certain sum, with costs, by instalments, the plaintiff may sign judgment and issue execution for the whole sum, if default is made in one instalment, unless there be clear words restraining plaintiff from so doing (*o*). Where the *cognovit* is given to secure the payment of a sum by instalments, and default is made, the defendant may, it seems, be charged in execution for each of those defaults as they are made, without any leave of the court or a judge (*p*). Where judgment was not to be entered up until the final hearing of a chancery suit, it was held that the plaintiff was not authorized to enter up judgment pending an appeal (*q*). In one case the defendant gave a *cognovit*, whereby it was stipulated that no judgment should be entered up, unless default should be made in payment of the debt, with interest and costs, on the 9th November; and in case the defendant made default in

(*h*) *Hurst v. Jennings*, 5 B. & C. 650; 8 D. & R. 424, S. C.

(*i*) *Bennett v. Daniel*, 10 B. & C. 500; *Green v. Gray*, 1 Dowl. 350.

(*j*) 3 G. 4, c. 39, s. 8.

(*k*) 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 60.

(*l*) 1 W. 4, c. 38, s. 2.

(*m*) *Calvert v. Tomlin*, 5 Bing. 1; 2 Moo. & P. 1, S. C.

(*n*) *Ante*, 675; *Hatton v. Young*, 2 W. Bl. 943; *Perry v. Turner*, 2 Tyr. 121. See as to signing it, where, by the terms

of the *cognovit*, it was not to be so until certain proceedings in Chancery were disposed of, *Jones v. Reynolds*, 1 A. & E. 384; *Dunmer v. Pitcher*, 3 B. & Ad. 347.

(*o*) *Rose v. Tomblinson*, 3 Dowl. 49; *Burrett v. Partington*, 5 Bing. N. C. 487; 7 Dowl. 447, S. C.; and see *Leveridge v. Firby*, 1 M. & Sel. 706, *post*, 698.

(*p*) *Davis v. Gompertz*, 2 Dowl. 407; see *post*, 618.

(*q*) *Jones v. Reynolds*, 3 Nev. & M. 486; 1 A. & E. 384, S. C.

payment, the plaintiff was to be at liberty to enter up judgment and proceed to execution. It was held, that no default could be made until the plaintiff had furnished the defendant with a bill of the costs, and given notice of taxation; and not having done so, that judgment, signed on the 10th November, was irregular, although the defendant had paid no part of either the debt or costs (*r*). But had there been a stipulation for payment by instalments, it seems the plaintiff might have signed judgment, though not issued execution, without taxation (*s*). If before judgment signed the defendant tender the amount of the *cognovit* to the plaintiff, any judgment signed afterwards will be irregular, unless the plaintiff have made a subsequent demand (*t*).

It seems, that parol evidence is inadmissible to shew that a *cognovit* absolute in its terms, was given upon a condition that the defendant should have three months' time (*u*).

Formerly, judgment might have been entered up after the death of the plaintiff or defendant, pending the vacation, as of the preceding term (*x*); and where a *cognovit* was given on the 8th February in Hilary term, with a condition that judgment should not be entered unless default should be made in payment on the ensuing 1st April, and the defendant died in Hilary vacation before the 1st April, judgment entered up on the 10th April in Hilary vacation, after defendant's death, was held regular, as relating to the first day of Hilary term, as also execution tested of a day anterior in that term to the defendant's death (*x*). Now, however, as judgments have not since the rule of *H. T.*, 4 *W.* 4, *r.* 3, (*ante*, 336), relation to the first day of the term, but to the day on which they are actually signed, this cannot be done (*y*).

After Death of Parties.

Judgment on, how signed.] Where the *cognovit* is given before plea pleaded, and the defendant has not appeared, you must enter a common appearance (*z*) for him, in pursuance of the statute, before you can sign judgment (*a*). If the *cognovit* has been given before declaration, there is no occasion actually to file or deliver any declaration (*b*). If the *cognovit* does not agree on a specific sum for costs of the action, they must be taxed, before you can sign judgment (*c*); and a notice of taxation must,

Judgment. how signed.

(*r*) *Booth v. Parker*, 3 M. & W. 54: 6 Dowl. 87, S. C.: and see *Wilson v. Northern*, 4 Dowl. 812.

(*s*) *Barrett v. Partington*, 5 Bing. N. C. 457: 7 Dowl. 447, S. C.

(*t*) *Asen.*, 1 Dowl. 173.

(*u*) *Woodman v. Ford*, Q. B., M. 1837; 2 Jurist, 1.

(*x*) *Calvert v. Tomlin*, 5 Bing. 1; 2 Moo. & P. 1, S. C.: *Cowie v. Allaway*, 8 T. R. 257.

(*y*) See *Heath v. Brindley*, 2 A. & F. 363: 4 Nev. & M. 255: *Lanman v. Lord Audley*, 2 M. & W. 535; 5 Dowl. 396, S. C., *non. Mann*.

(*z*) See Vol. I. 121.

(*a*) The appearance must be entered before judgment signed, or the judgment will be irregular, and the plaintiff cannot enter the appearance afterwards *nunc pro tunc*. (*Watson v. Dove*, 2 M. & W. 396;

5 Dowl. 584, S. C.) In *Darlow v. Hughes*, 7 T. R. 206, where a judgment was irregularly signed without filing common bail for the defendant in due time, the defendant was held to be estopped from objecting to the irregularity, having given a *cognovit*, and the plaintiff having, before the objection was made, filed common bail *nunc pro tunc*; but when that case was decided, there was a relation to the first day of the term.

(*b*) *Morley v. Hall*, 2 Dowl. 494: *Ruse v. Tomblinson*, 3 Id. 49: *Clarke v. Jones*, Id. 237.

(*c*) *Wilson v. Northern*, 4 Dowl. 212: *Booth v. Parker*, M. & W. 54, *supra*, n. (*r*.) Unless the plaintiff chooses to waive his right to costs, in which case express notice of such intention should be given to the defendant before signing judgment for the debt. (Id.)

Judgment by Confession.

BOOK II.
PART IV.

it seems, be given and the costs taxed as in other cases (d). In order to sign the judgment in this case, make an incipitur of the declaration on plain paper, (then called the judgment paper); make an incipitur also on a roll, which you will get at the master's office (e). Take these and the cognovit to one of the masters, and he will sign the judgment, and file the cognovit (f). If the cognovit agrees on a fixed sum for costs, then you sign your judgment and file the cognovit in the same way, except that the master taxes only the usual costs of signing the judgment, and marks them on the judgment paper; and it is not necessary to give notice of taxing those costs (g). If the cognovit have been given after plea pleaded, enter the pleadings, as far as they have gone, upon a roll, which you will get at the master's office. Let the defendant (h), or his attorney, attend with you before one of the masters, for the purpose of withdrawing the plea (i); and the master will accordingly enter the *relictâ verificatione* in the margin of the roll, and will sign judgment as above directed (k).

Execution on.

Execution on.] After signing judgment as directed *supra*, you may, if the judgment be final, proceed to sue out execution according to the terms of the cognovit, producing the judgment paper to the sealer of the writs at the time he seals the *fi. fa.* or *ca. sa.* (l). Or, if the judgment be interlocutory only, you may proceed to execute a writ of inquiry, as pointed out *post*, 681 (m).

The observations already made as to executions in ordinary cases will be, for the most part, applicable to executions on cognovit (*ante*, Vol. I. 395).

We have already pointed out how far the plaintiff is at liberty to avail himself of an execution under a cognovit, in the event of the defendant's becoming bankrupt, or discharged under the Insolvent Act (*ante*, Vol. I. 432, 433).

Cancelling
Cognovit, and
setting aside
Execution,
&c.

Cancelling Cognovit and setting aside Execution, &c.] In some cases the court will order the cognovit to be delivered up to be cancelled, or set aside a judgment and execution thereon: *ex. gr.*—if it were given by an insolvent, before his discharge under the Insolvent Act, for a debt purposely omitted in his schedule (o), or by an infant (p), or were obtained by fraud or duress, or the like (q). Where the plaintiff brought an action on a promissory note for which defendant gave a cog-

(d) R. H., 4 W. 4, r. 17; and see *Clarke v. Jones*, 3 Dowl. 277; *Clothier v. Ess*, 3 Moo. & Sc. 216; *Griffiths v. Liveredge*, 2 Dowl. 143; 3 Moo. & Sc. 217, n. S. C.

(e) See R. M., 5 A. r. 1.

(f) See R. H., 2 & 3 G. 4, Q. B., 5 B. & Ald. 560; 1 D. & R. 471; 2 Chit. Rep. 377; and see 25 Geo. 3, c. 30, s. 29.

(g) *Griffiths v. Liveredge*, 2 Dowl. 143; and see *Clothier v. Ess*, 8 M. & Sc. 316.

(h) R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 100. "Where the defendant, after having pleaded, is allowed to confess the action, he may withdraw his plea in person, without the appearance of the attorney or his clerk for that purpose before the officer of the court." Before this rule, when the confession was after plea pleaded, the defendant's attorney or his clerk used to come in person before the master to withdraw it, in the Queen's

Bench; (*Anon.*, 1 L. Raym. 845); but this was unnecessary in the C. P. (Fidd, 9th ed. 500).

(i) *Anon.*, 1 L. Raym. 343.

(k) See the form of entry of judgment, &c., Chit. Forms, 321.

(l) R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 75; R. H., 2 & 3 G. 4, *ante*, 451.

(m) See the form of the entry of the judgment, &c., in debt, Chit. Forms, 321; the like in *assumpsit*, *Id.* 320; the like with a *relictâ verificatione*, *Id.* 320; the like in ejectment, *Id.*; of the docket paper, *Id.* 322.

(n) *Tubram v. Freeman*, 2 Dowl. 373; see *Phelip v. Astley*, 1 C., M. & R. 85.

(o) *Oliver v. Windruffe*, 6 Dowl. 166; 4 M. & W. 650, S. C.

(p) *Anon.*, 1 Chit. 268; and see *Cowp.* 281.

erit, the court refused to set aside the *cognovit* on the ground that part of the note had been paid, and that it was given for an illegal consideration (*r*). See the cases as to warrants of torney, *post*, 689, 690.

Also, if the execution be against good faith, or contrary to the terms of the *cognovit*, or the express understanding of the parties, the court, we have seen, (*ante*, 675, 678), will sometimes set it aside. Where the defendant, in an action on the case, gave a *cognovit*, for 200*l.*, with a defeazance conditioned for the performance of various matters by a given time, and performed the matters in part, at least, in two months after the time stipulated, the plaintiff having issued execution on the *cognovit*, the Court of Common Pleas referred it to the prothonotary, to see how much, if anything, ought to be paid to the plaintiff (*s*). If the plaintiff be guilty of any excess in the amount for which he ought to have levied, the court will either set the execution aside (*t*), or, in case of a mistake, refer it to one of the masters; or, if necessary, to a jury, to ascertain for what sum the execution ought to stand (*u*), and an action might, perhaps, be supported against him by the defendant (*x*).

Where it is against good Faith.

Excessive Levy.

Implied Confession of Action.] Besides the case of judgment by default, where the defendant's default is deemed tantamount to a confession, (and which shall be fully considered in Chapter III. *post*, 700), there is also a confession of action in some cases implied in the defendant's pleading; as where an executor or administrator pleads *plene administravit*, or *plene administravit præter*, without pleading in bar, this is impliedly a confession of the action; and upon the plea of *plene administravit* the plaintiff may take judgment of assets *in futuro*; or, upon *plene administravit præter*, take judgment presently of the assets acknowledged to be in the hands of the defendant, and of assets *in futuro*, for the residue. (*See further upon this subject, post, Book III. Part II. Ch. 5, Sect. 2*).

Implied Confession of Action.

Writ of Inquiry.] In all these cases of implied confessions, and also of express confessions, which do not ascertain the amount of the damages, the plaintiff must enter up interlocutory judgment only, and then execute a writ of inquiry, except in most actions of debt and in ejectment; in which cases, as the damages recoverable are not of consequence sufficient to warrant the expense of a writ of inquiry, the plaintiff may sign final judgment in the first instance; and except also in a few other cases hereinafter mentioned in Chapter III. *post*, p. 707. After the entry of the interlocutory judgment on the roll, follow the award of the writ of inquiry, the sheriff's return to it, and final judgment. (*See further upon this subject, post, Ch. IV. p. 707*).

Writ of Inquiry.

(*r*) *Bligh v. Breuer*, 3 Dowl. 286.

(*s*) *Charrington v. Laing*, 3 Moo. & P. 7; 6 Bing. 242, S. C.: *Wilson v. Price*, Dowl. 213: and see *Doe Holt v. Roe*, Moo. & P. 177; 6 Bing. 447, S. C.

(*t*) See *Tilly v. Best*, 16 East, 163: *Wray v. Smalbridge*, 2 W. Bl. 760: *post*,

698.

(*u*) See per Tindal, C. J., in *Shaw v. Marquis of Worcester*, 3 Moo. & P. 587; 6 Bing. 389, S. C.: *Evans v. Pugh*, 2 Dowl. 360.

(*x*) *Wentworth v. Bullen*, 9 B. & C. 840.

CHAPTER II.

JUDGMENT UPON A WARRANT OF ATTORNEY.

- | | |
|--|--|
| <p>1. <i>The Warrant of Attorney.</i>
 <i>What, and Form of, &c.,</i> 682.
 <i>How Executed,</i> 683.
 <i>How Attested,</i> <i>id.</i>
 <i>How far revocable, and how</i>
 <i>affected by Death, Mar-</i>
 <i>riage, &c.,</i> 687.
 <i>When ordered to be given up</i>
 <i>and Cancelled,</i> 689.</p> | <p>1. <i>The Warrant of Attorney—</i>
 <i>continued.</i>
 <i>In what Cases Filed,</i> 692.
 <p>2. <i>The Judgment.</i>
 <i>When to be Signed,</i> 692.
 <i>When leave to Sign neces-</i>
 <i>sary,</i> 693.
 <i>How Signed, &c.,</i> 697.
 <p>3. <i>Execution, &c.,</i> 698.</p> </p> </p> |
|--|--|

1. *The Warrant of Attorney.*

What, and Form of, &c.,] A WARRANT of attorney is a written authority to the attorney or attorneys to whom it is directed, to appear for the party executing it, and receive a declaration for him in an action at the suit of a person therein mentioned, and thereupon to confess the same, or to suffer judgment to pass by default; it also authorizes the attorney to execute a release of errors. It may be given whether an action be depending or not (*a*). It must be given voluntarily and for a good consideration, and by a party capable of appointing an attorney, or it will be voidable, and the court will order it to be delivered up, and set aside the judgment and proceedings, if any, which have been had under it. (*See post*, 689 to 692).

It should seem that one partner cannot give a warrant of attorney to bind his copartner without his consent; at all events, he could not do it after the partnership is dissolved (*b*). But a warrant of attorney under seal, executed by one person for himself and his partner, in the absence of the latter, but with his consent, is a sufficient authority for signing judgment against both (*c*).

It must be on a proper stamp.—The defeazance does not require a separate stamp from that upon the warrant (*d*). But, although the warrant be not stamped at all, or be improperly stamped, and therefore unavailable, yet it may be made available on payment of the usual penalty (5*l.*), and the proper stamp affixed; and this may be done, even after a rule *nisi* obtained to set aside a judgment on the warrant of attorney for the want of or a defect in the stamp (*e*).

By rule of *M.*, 42 *G.* 3, *Q. B.* and *C. P.*, and *R. M.*, 43 *G.* 3, *Erch.*, every attorney who shall prepare any warrant of attorney to confess a judgment, which is to be subject to any defeazance, *shall cause such defeazance to be written on the same*

(*a*) See *Boddely v. Shafto*, 8 Taunt. 434; *Reeves v. Slater*, 7 B. & C. 406; 1 M. & Ry. 265, S. C. See the form, Chit. Forms, 321.

(*b*) See *ante*, 675, as to cognoritis.

(*c*) *Brutton v. Burton*, 1 Chit. Rep. 707.

(*d*) *Curthorne v. Hollen*, 1 N. R. 274.

(*e*) *Burton v. Kirby*, 7 Taunt. 174; 2 Marsh, 480, S. C.; and see *Ross v. Tomblinson*, 3 Dowl. 40; *Clarke v. Jones*, *Id.* 277; *ante*, 711; *Pittman v. Humphrey*, 2 Tyr. 500.

BOOK II.
PART IV.

1. The War-
rant of Attor-
ney.

What, and
Form of.

When given.

By whom.
Consideration
for.

By one Part-
ner.

Stamp on.

Defeazance
to be written
on same Pa-
per or Patch-
ment.

on paper or parchment on which the warrant of attorney shall be written; or cause a memorandum in writing to be made on the warrant of attorney, containing the substance and effect of such defeazance (f). If the attorney, however, omit to insert the defeazance upon the warrant of attorney, as directed by this rule, the omission does not avoid the instrument, but only renders the attorney answerable, on motion, for the neglect of a duty thus imposed on him by the court (g). Here, however, the warrant of attorney, or a copy of it, is to be filed, pursuant to 3 G. 4, c. 39, and 1 & 2 V. c. 110, 60, (see post, 692), if it have been given subject to a defeazance, the defeazance must be written on the same paper or parchment on which the warrant of attorney is written, before the same or the copy thereof is filed, otherwise the warrant will be void (h) as against the assignees of the defendant if he become a bankrupt or insolvent, though not so as between the parties themselves (i); and it would seem that the true defeazance must be written, or the warrant would be void as against such assignees.

The defeazance on the warrant, also, usually contains a stipulation that no *scire facias* shall be necessary to revive a judgment, and such stipulation will be binding on the defendant (k). Also, if the warrant be given for the purpose of securing the payment of an annuity, or of money by instalments, it is also usual to insert a clause in it, dispensing with the necessity of a suggestion of breaches and *scire facias* hereon under 8 & 9 W. 3, c. 11, s. 8 (l). It may admit of doubt, perhaps, whether this latter clause can have the effect intended by it (m); besides, from several cases recently decided in the Court of Common Pleas, it seems to be unnecessary; that court having determined that a warrant of attorney is not within the stat. 8 & 9 W. 3, c. 11, s. 8, which requires suggestions of breaches and the *scire facias* (n), even though it be given as a collateral security with a bond (o).

Clause dispensing with *Scire Facias*

How Executed.] The warrant of attorney is signed, sealed, and delivered; the defeazance only signed. It is not necessary, however, that the warrant should be sealed, unless for the purpose of the release of errors (p). Neither the warrant or the defeazance need be read over to the party previously to its being executed, as was formerly required by the Court of Common Pleas (q).

How Executed.

How Attested.] Previously to the passing of the act abolishing imprisonment for debt on mesne process, 1 & 2 V. c. 110,

How Attested

(f) See *Barber v. Barber*, 3 Taunt. 465; *Wall v. Dubost*, Id. 235. See the form, *lit. Forms*, 324.

(g) *Shaw v. Evans*, 14 East, 576; *Partridge v. Fraser*, 7 Taunt. 307; 1 Moore, 3, S. C.; and see *Sansom v. Goode*, 2 B. Ald. 568; 1 Chit. Rep. 311, S. C.; *Barber v. Barber*, 3 Taunt. 465.

(h) 3 G. 4, c. 39, s. 4; 1 & 2 V. c. 110, 60.

(i) *Bennett v. Daniel*, 10 B. & C. 300; *Wright v. Mollan*, 6 B. & C. 446; *Aireton v. Davis*, 3 Moo. & Sc. 136; 9 Bing. 740, D.

(k) See ante, 675.

(l) See forms, *Chit. Forms*, 324.

(m) *Kill v. Hollister*, 1 Wils. 129: ante, 675.

(n) See post, Ch. 4, Sect. 3: *Shaw v. Marquis of Worcester*, 6 Bing. 385; 4 Moo. & P. 21, S. C.; *Cor v. Hubbard*, 3 Taunt. 74; *Kinnerley v. Mussen*, 5 Taunt. 264; and MS., E. 1814, S. P. dict. in B. R.; and see *Tilby v. Best*, 16 East, 163.

(o) *Ansterbury v. Morgan*, 2 Taunt. 195.

(p) *Kinnerley v. Mussen*, 5 Taunt. 264; *Brutton v. Burton*, 1 Chit. Rep. 707.

(q) See *Taylor v. Parkinson*, 2 H. Bl. 333.

BOOK II.
PART IV.

1 & 2 V. c. 110,
ss. 9 & 10.

an attesting witness was not, in general, requisite (*r*); but the 9th section of that act, after reciting "that it is expedient that provision should be made for giving every person executing a warrant of attorney to confess judgment or a *cognovit actionem*, due information of the nature and effect thereof," enacts, "that from and after the time appointed for the commencement of this act," [1st Oct. 1838,] "no warrant of attorney to confess judgment in any personal action, or *cognovit actionem*, given by any person, shall be of any force, unless there shall be present some attorney of one of the superior courts on behalf of such person, expressly named by him, and attending at his request, to inform him of the nature and effect of such warrant or *cognovit*, before the same is executed; which attorney shall subscribe his name as a witness to the due execution thereof, and thereby declare himself to be attorney for the person executing the same, and state that he subscribes as such attorney." And the 10th section enacts, "that a warrant of attorney to confess judgment or *cognovit actionem* not executed in manner aforesaid shall not be rendered valid by proof that the person executing the same did in fact understand the nature and effect thereof, or was fully informed of the same." These provisions, it will be observed, are extremely similar to, though not identical with, those of the general rule of all the courts of *H.*, 2 *W.* 4, *r.* 72, which provided, that "no warrant of attorney to confess judgment, or *cognovit actionem*, given by any person in custody of a sheriff or other officer upon mesne process, shall be of any force, unless there be present some attorney on behalf of such person in custody, expressly named by him, and attending at his request, to inform him of the nature and effect of such warrant or *cognovit*, before the same is executed, which attorney shall subscribe his name, as a witness to the due execution thereof, and declare himself to be attorney for the defendant, and state that he subscribes as such attorney" (*s*).

H., 2 *W.* 4,
r. 72.

Differences
between the
Statute and
the Rule.

There is, however, this important difference between the rule and the statute: that the former affected only warrants of attorney and *cognovits* given by persons in custody on mesne process, but the latter affects every such instrument by whomsoever given. There is also another difference, *viz.* that that part of the rule which requires the attesting witness to "declare himself to be attorney for the defendant," was sufficiently complied with by a *rici rore* declaration; but the statute requires that the attorney who attests the instrument should "*thereby* declare himself to be attorney for the person executing the same;" so that the declaration should now, in every case, form part of the written attestation. (*See post*, 686).

Consequence
of Non-compliance.

The rule of *H. T.*, 2 *W.* 4, *r.* 72, above mentioned, was always strictly enforced, and, unless it were complied with, the judgment, or other proceedings had under the warrant of attorney or *cognovit*, might be set aside (*b*), although other persons

(*a*) *Kimmerley v. Musson*, 5 Taunt. 264.

W. Bl. 1097.

(*c*) See *R. E.*, 15 Car. 2, *r.* 2; *R. F.*, 4 G. 2, Q. P.; and *H.*, 14 & 15 Car. 2, *r.* 3, C. P.; *Jervis's Rules*, 33, *n.*; *Tidd*, *New Prac.* 270; *Ruffle v. Hitchcock*, 2

(*b*) *Fisher v. Nicholas*, 2 C. & M. 251; 4 Tyr. 44; 2 Dowl. 251, *S. C.*; *Amos*, 1 Salk 402, *n.*; *Ruffle v. Hitchcock*, 2 *W.* Bl. 1097.

decided on them, will now be stated.

There must be present an attorney of one of the superior courts. He need not be an attorney of the court in which judgment is signed (*f*), provided he be an attorney of a superior court. An attorney's clerk is clearly not (*g*); so is an attorney who has not taken out his certificate within a year (*h*). Even where the *cognovit* was executed by a person whom the defendant, without fraud, and with the understanding that he was not an attorney, expressly represented as an attorney, *Culveridge, J.*, held that he was entitled to the protection of the rule, and set aside the *cognovit* (*i*). However, in a case under the rule, the defendant, on the ground that an attorney must be present on his behalf, and for the purpose of cheating the plaintiff, produced an attorney a person whom he knew not to be so, and the sheriff executed the warrant, the court refused to set aside the proceedings on the warrant on the ground that the person produced was not an attorney (*k*). And, in another case under the rule, where an uncertificated attorney, who was a prisoner, was introduced by the defendant himself as an attorney, and described himself and witnessed the warrant, the court refused to interfere (*l*). Whether the defendant can, even by fraud, divest himself of the protection of the rule, remains to be decided. It seems, however, that he cannot be allowed to pervert the statute any more than to use it as an instrument of fraud. Another exception to the rule was, where the defendant himself was an attorney, in which case the attendance of another attorney on his part was dispensed with, as not being within its meaning (*m*), and the same has been held under the statute (*n*).

2. The attorney must be present on behalf of the person who executes. It is clear, for instance, that the presence of the plaintiff's attorney will not be sufficient, even though the

quisites of the Rule and Statute.

1. An Attorney of a superior Court must be present.

Exceptions to this.

2. He must be present on behalf of the person executing.

choice. A mere nomination of an attorney by the plaintiff or his attorney, and adoption of him by the defendant, is not a substantial compliance with the rule(*q*). Therefore, where a defendant offered a warrant of attorney, and the plaintiff's attorney, who had also advised the defendant in previous stages of the business, came at his request to the place where he was in custody, and proposed another attorney, whom he brought with him, to read over the warrant of attorney to the defendant, and attest it on his behalf, and the defendant acquiesced, but the attorney so introduced was not known to or sent for, or expressly named by him, the warrant was set aside(*r*). And where an attorney who accompanied the clerk of the plaintiff's attorney to the defendant's house (on being told beforehand that there was a *cognovit* to be executed) with the acquiescence of the defendant acted as her attorney in attesting a *cognovit* pursuant to the statute, but the same attorney afterwards carried the *cognovit* to the office to be filed, and there subscribed his name as the plaintiff's attorney's agent, the Court of C. P. set aside the *cognovit*, observing, that it was meant that the defendant should exercise a free and unrestrained choice in sending for some person who shall act as his attorney on the occasion(*s*). And where a defendant about to execute a *cognovit*, having no attorney of his own present, expressed a wish that one might be sent for, and the plaintiff's attorney thereupon sent for and procured one previously unknown to the defendant, who accordingly attended and witnessed the execution, *Coleridge, J.*, set aside the proceedings on the *cognovit*, as the defendant had not had an opportunity of exercising an option in his choice of the attorney(*t*).

It is to be observed, however, that the rule and statute require the attorney to be *expressly* named, but not to be *originally* named by the defendant. The mere circumstance of the attorney having been named in the first instance by the plaintiff's attorney is not material, unless some fraud be shewn(*u*). Therefore, where the defendant being in custody was about to execute a *cognovit*, and the defendant's attorney being absent from home, the plaintiff's attorney suggested another to act for him, to whom the defendant made no objection, but *went to his office*, and, on being asked by that attorney if he wished him to attest the execution as his attorney, answered "yes;" this was held to be an express naming of the attorney, so as to satisfy the rule(*x*). And a similar decision has been come to in cases under the statute(*y*).

4thly. The attorney should inform the person about to execute of the nature and effect of the warrant or *cognovit* before the same is executed. It has, however, been held, that if there be no collusion with the plaintiff, a neglect of the attorney's duty in this respect will not vitiate the instrument(*z*). And it is not necessary that it should be read over to the defendant(*y*), except, perhaps, he be a marksman(*a*).

Lastly. The attorney should subscribe his name as a wit-

(*q*) *White v. Cameron*, 6 Dowl. 476; *Fisher v. Nicholas*, 2 C. & M. 215; 4 Tyr. 44; 2 Dowl. 251, S. C.

(*r*) *Walker v. Gardner*, 4 B. & Ad. 371. This decision was on the old rule of F. T., 4 G. 2, which is the same as that of H., 2 W. 4, as to warrant of attorney.

(*s*) *Rice v. Linsted*, 7 Dowl. 153.

(*t*) *Barnes v. Pendry*, 7 Dowl. 747.

(*u*) See per Lord Abinger, *Oliver v. Woodruffe*, 7 Dowl. 166.

(*x*) *Bligh v. Brewer*, 3 Dowl. 266; 1 C., M. & R. 651, S. C.

(*y*) *Oliver v. Woodruffe*, 7 Dowl. 166; *Taylor v. Nicholl*, Exch., 31st Jan. 1840.

(*z*) *Haigh v. Frost*, 7 Dowl. 743.

(*a*) See *James v. Harris*, 6 Dowl. 121.

to the due execution of the instrument, and should in the attestation declare himself to be attorney for the person executing the same, and state that he subscribes as such attorney. The declaration that the witness is attorney for the person executing, might, under the rule, have been made *vivâ voce* (b). And therefore, under the rule, "Witness H. K., attorney for the defendant at his request," H. K. at the same time verbally stating that he attested as attorney for the defendant, was held a sufficient attestation (c). But, as already noticed, in order to satisfy the statute, it must now, in every case, appear on the face of the instrument that its requisitions have been complied with, and consequently, the declaration must be in writing (d). "Witness G. E., defendant's attorney named by him and at his request," has been held insufficient for not further stating that G. E. subscribed as such attorney (e). It will, however, it seems, be sufficient to declare that he is attorney for the defendant, and that he subscribes as such, without declaring that he is appointed by him, or the like (d). The safest course is to adhere to the words of the act (f).

CHAP. I
—
should attest and declare himself to be Attorney for the executing Party.

It may be well here to notice what species of custody was within the above rule of H., 2 W. 4, r. 72. It was held in one case to apply even to cases where the defendant had reason to suppose himself in custody (g), but this seems doubtful (h). It was not confined to prisoners in the custody of the sheriff or other officer who arrested them, but also extended to prisoners in the custody of the marshal or warden (i). It did not, however, extend to persons in custody in execution (k); nor, it seems, to warrants of attorney given to any other person than the plaintiff at whose suit a prisoner is in custody (l); and, consequently, it did not extend to the case of a person in custody on criminal process (m). But although the rule did not extend to a defendant in custody in execution, yet, if it could be shewn that he was prevailed upon to acknowledge a judgment for more money than was really due, the court, upon application, would relieve him (n). Where a defendant, whilst in custody in Ireland, gave a warrant of attorney to confess a judgment in the Court of Queen's Bench here, the court held that the necessity of an attorney being present on the part of the defendant, at the time of its execution, was as essential as if the defendant were in this country (o). The statute of 1 & 2 V. c. 110, it may be well to repeat, is general in its application, and extends to all warrants of attorney and *cognorits*, no matter by whom, or under what circumstance, they may be given.

What Custody was within the rule of H. 2 W. 4, r. 72

(b) *Robinson v. Breakbank*, 4 Dowl. 385: *Wallace v. Brockley*, 5 Dowl. 685: *Todd v. Gompertz*, 6 Dowl. 216. *Contra* now under the statute 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 9.

(c) *Todd v. Gompertz*, 6 Dowl. 296.

(d) See *Officer v. Woodruffe*, 7 Dowl. 168.

(e) *Pool v. Hobbs*, 3 Jurist, 1151.

(f) See *per Coleridge, J.*, in *Pool v. Hobbs*, *supra*.

(g) *Turner v. Shaw*, 2 Dowl. 244.

(h) See *Bligh v. Brewer*, 1 C. M. & R. 651: 5 Tyr. 222; 3 Dowl. 206, S. C.

(i) *Parkinson v. Quince*, 3 T. R. 616: and see *Waraker v. Gascoyne*, 2 W. Bl. 1297.

(k) *Birch v. Sharland*, 1 T. R. 715: *Crompton v. Steward*, 7 T. R. 19: *Fell v. Riley*, *Cowp.* 381: *Watkins v. Hanbury*,

2 Str. 1245: *Lewis v. Gompertz*, 6 Dowl. 7. It rested on the defendant to shew that he was in custody on mesne process at the time. (*Id.*) But it was sufficient if it appeared from the affidavits that he must have been in custody on mesne process, without swearing in terms to it. (*Weatherall v. Long*, 6 Dowl. 267).

(l) *Weatherall v. Long*, 6 Dowl. 267: *Hulcombe v. Wade*, 3 Burr. 1792: *Finn v. Hutchinson*, 2 L. Raym. 797: *Smith v. Burton*, 1 East, 241: *Faulkener v. Emmett*, 8 Taunt. 233; 2 Moore, 176, S. C.: *Frances v. Clarkson*, 5 Dowl. 699: *Lewis v. Gompertz*, 6 Id. 7.

(m) *Charlton v. Fletcher*, 4 T. R. 433.

(n) *Fell v. Riley*, 1 Cowp. 281.

(o) *Fitzgerald v. Plunkett*, 2 Str. 1247.

How far Revocable. How affected by Death, Marriage, &c.]

A warrant of attorney to confess a judgment cannot be expressly revoked; or, if the defendant do that which purports to be a revocation of it, the plaintiff may enter up judgment notwithstanding (*f*). There are some cases of implied revocation, however, which it may be here necessary to mention.

The death of either party is, in general, a revocation of the warrant. Formerly, indeed, this might, in general, have been remedied if the plaintiff were entitled to enter up judgment at the time, by entering up the judgment as of the term in or after which the party died, before the first day of the following term (*g*). Now, however, since the rule of *II. T.*, 4 *W.* 4, *r.* 3, *ante*, 341, orders that the relation of judgments shall only be had to the day on which they are actually signed, this can no longer be done (*h*). If it became necessary to obtain the leave of the court (*i*) to enter up the judgment, even before that rule, they would seldom grant it after the death of the plaintiff, particularly where the application was not made until after the first day of the term following the death (*k*); and in no case would they allow it to be entered up after the death of a sole defendant (*l*). If the warrant, however, in its terms *expressly* authorizes the judgment to be entered up by the plaintiff's representatives, the court or a judge may, perhaps, even now allow them to enter it up; as, if it be to enter up judgment "at the suit of A., his heirs, executors, or administrators" (*m*). Where the warrant merely empowered the plaintiff to enter up judgment, without mentioning his executors, although the defeazance stated the judgment was to secure the payment of 200*l.* "to plaintiff, his executors," &c., the court refused to allow them to enter up judgment (*n*). If the warrant be given to two or more, and one of them die, the court will allow judgment to be entered up by the survivors (*o*). If a joint warrant be given by two, and one of them die, the plaintiff cannot, unless the terms of the warrant allow it, have leave to enter up the judgment afterwards; not against both, on account of the rule above mentioned; nor against the survivor, for the judgment would not, in that case, pursue the authority (*p*).

And the same reasoning applies where an instrument, purporting to be a joint warrant, is not executed by all the par-

(*f*) *Odes v. Woodward*, 2 L. Raym. 850; 1 Salk. 87, S. C.

(*g*) *Odes v. Woodward*, 1 Salk. 87; 2 L. Raym. 766, S. C.: *Price v. Hughes*, 1 Dowl. 448; *Chancey v. Needham*, 1 Str. 1081; *Fuller v. Jocelyn*, Id. 882; *Sanile v. Wiltshire*, Barnes, 270; 1 Saund. 219 *e*: *Calvert v. Tomlin*, 5 Bing. 1; 2 Moo. & P. 1, S. C.: *ante*, 617.

(*h*) *Heath v. Brindley*, 2 A. & E. 365. There the defendant was dead when the judgment was entered up, and the warrant expressly allowed the judgment to be signed, notwithstanding his death. But the court held the judgment irregular, and set aside the execution, saying that this allowance by the defendant was not binding on his representatives, and still less on the court.

(*i*) It seems a judge at chambers would not interfere. (15 Petersdorff's Ab., Warrant of Attorney, 388).

(*k*) *Coele v. Allway*, 8 T. R. 257; *Wild v. Sands*, 2 Str. 718.

(*l*) *Chancey v. Needham*, 2 Str. 1081:

Calvert v. Tomlin, 5 Bing. 1; 2 Moo. & P. 1, S. C.: *vide post*, 613.

(*m*) *Odes v. Haden*, Barnes, 44: see *Baldwin v. Atkin*, 2 Dowl. 591.

(*n*) *Henshall v. Matthaeo*, 7 Bing. 337; 5 Moo. & P. 157; 1 Dowl. 217, S. C.: *Manrille v. Manrille*, 1 Dowl. 544, S. P.: *Foster v. Claggett*, 6 Dowl. 524; though the defeazance in that case stated that the executors and administrators might enter up judgment: and see *Short v. Coglin*, 1 Anst. 225.

(*o*) *Fendall v. May*, 2 M. & Sel. 76; *Johnson v. Jenkins*, 30th April, 1832, M.S.: 1 Dowl. 367, S. C.: *Bruid v. Wightman*, Id. 545; *Fletcher v. Smith*, 2 W. Bl. 1301; *Todd v. Dault*, 1 Wils. 312; Barnes, 48, S. C.: *Hind v. Kingston*, 6 Dowl. 523.

(*p*) *Gea v. Lane*, 15 East, 592; *Rau v. Alderson*, 7 Taunt. 453; 1 Moore, 145, S. C.: *Gainborough v. Folgerd*, 2 Str. 1121; *post*, 617. The same, even though the release of error be joint and several. (*Wilson v. Portrie*, 1 Jebb. & Symes Rep. Q. B., (Irish), 96).

es; in which case, judgment cannot be signed even against those who have executed (*q*). But if the warrant be to enter up judgment "against us or either of us," judgment may be entered up against one only (*r*). And where a warrant was given by two persons, to enter up judgment on a writ bond against *me*, not *us*; the court, after the death of one of them, allowed judgment to be entered up against the other (*s*).

CHAP. I.

If a *feme sole* give a warrant of attorney, it has been holden that her subsequent marriage, before judgment is entered up, is a revocation of the warrant (*t*). But, from subsequent cases, it appears the court will, notwithstanding the marriage, allow the judgment to be entered up against the husband and wife (*u*). And in *Walter v. White & Wife* (*x*), the Court of Queen's Bench, on an affidavit intitled as against both husband and wife, gave the plaintiff leave to enter an appearance for, and enter up judgment against the husband and wife, on a warrant of attorney executed by the wife, whilst unmarried; and the rule was made absolute in the first instance (*y*); though the master suggested a doubt whether it might not to have been a rule *nisi*. If a warrant of attorney be given to a *feme sole*, her subsequent marriage will not be a revocation of it (*z*); and upon application to the court, founded upon a proper affidavit of the marriage, the execution of the warrant, and the non-payment of the debt (*a*), they will allow the judgment to be entered up in the name of the husband and wife (*b*). And if one *feme sole* give a warrant of attorney to another, and they both marry, the court will allow judgment to be entered up by husband and wife, against husband and wife.

Effect of Marriage of Parties on.

When ordered to be given up and cancelled.] If the warrant of attorney have been obtained by fraud (*c*), or misrepresentation (*d*), or upon an usurious consideration (*e*), or for a gambling debt (*f*), (unless the defendant represented to the plaintiff before he purchased the debt that it was a valid one (*g*),) or to defraud creditors, and the application be made on their behalf (*h*), or by an insolvent debtor previous to his discharge, it being agreed that the debt should be omitted in

When order to be given and cancelled. Where the Consideration is illegal or fraudulent

(q) *Harris v. Wade*, 1 Chit. 322.
(r) *Jordan v. Farr*, 2 A. & E. 437; 4 Nev. & M. 347. S. C.: — *v. Holman*, 1 Chit. R. 14.
(s) *Gloria v. Scott*, Barnes, 53, C. P.
(t) *Anon.*, 1 Salk. 117.
(u) *Staples v. Purser*, 2 Dowl. 764; 3 M. & Scott, 801, S. C.: *Anon.*, 1 Show. 120; *Sturford v. Muttigly*, 2 Chit. Rep. 117.
(x) K. B., 24th June, 1820.
(y) See *Staples v. Purser*, 2 Dowl. 764; M. & Scott, 801, S. C.
(z) *Anon.*, 1 Salk. 117.
(a) *Marder v. Lee*, 3 Burr. 1469; *Marder v. Bate*, 6 D. & R. 46.
(b) *Anon.*, 7 Mod. 53.
(c) *Duncan v. Thomas*, 1 Doug. 196; *Ed v. Riley*, 1 Cowp. 281; 3 T. R. 616; *Wright v. Taylor*, 8 D. & R. 56; *Martin v. Martin*, 3 B. & Ad. 934; *Turner v. Stuart*, Dowl. 244.
(d) *Anon.*, 2 Ken. 294.
(e) *Barrington v. Collins*, 5 Bing. N. C. 8; *Roberts v. Giff*, 4 B. & Ald. 92; *Cook Jones*, 2 Cowp. 727; *Machin v. Delaval*,

Barnes, 52; *Edmondson v. Popkin*, 1 B. & P. 270; *Flight v. Chaplin*, 2 B. & Ad. 112; *Murray v. Harding*, 3 Wils. 300; 2 W. Bl. 859, S. C.: see *Hindle v. O'Brien*, 1 Taunt. 413. In *Compt v. Yeates*, 4 Nev. & M. 302; 2 A. & E. 326, S. C., a warrant of attorney given to secure the amount of an usurious bill at three months, which had been dishonoured at maturity, was holden to be protected by the 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 98, s. 7.
(f) See *George v. Stanley*, 4 Taunt. 683; 4 M. & Scott, 615, S. C. A *conduit* given in an action on a promissory note was refused to be set aside, on the ground of the note having been given for an illegal consideration (*Blich v. Brewer*, 3 Dowl. 206).
(g) *Darison v. Franklin*, 1 B. & Ad. 142.
(h) *Harrod v. Bentin*, 2 M. & R. 130; 8 B. & C. 217, S. C.: *Martin v. Martin*, 3 B. & Ad. 934; *Sharpe v. Thomas*, 6 Bing. 416; *Rogers v. Kingston*, 10 Moore, 97; 2 Bing. 441, S. C.: *Dukes v. Saunders*, 1 Dowl. 522.

BOOK II.
PART IV.

his schedule (*i*), or for a debt discharged by the Insolvent Debtors' Act (*k*), or if given to the plaintiff to induce her to live in a state of prostitution with the defendant (*l*), or expressly and in terms for creating a charge on an ecclesiastical benefice (*m*), or for securing an annuity void by the Annuity Act (*n*), or for securing an attorney payment by his client of costs to which he is disentitled for want of re-admission (*o*), or of future costs (*p*), or the like (*q*), the court or judge will order the warrant to be delivered up to be cancelled; or, if judgment have been entered up, they will set it aside, and any proceedings that may have been had upon it. If the fact of the consideration, however, be doubtful, and be fairly contested, the court will direct an issue to try it, and enlarge the rule for setting aside the judgment in the meantime (*r*), or dismiss it altogether (*s*). Where a party gives a warrant of attorney to another without consideration, in order that the latter may protect the goods of the former from execution, and judgment and execution are signed against good faith, the court will not, it seems, interfere (*t*). And the court refused to decide the question, whether a joint-stock company was a nuisance within the 6 G. 1, c. 18, upon a motion to set aside a judgment confessed to them on a warrant of attorney (*u*). And where the defendant has had an opportunity of pleading the illegality, the court will not, it seems, interfere summarily (*v*).

Irish Judgment.

It has been doubted whether, in an action upon an Irish judgment entered up on a warrant of attorney, the grounds of such judgment are examinable by the courts here (*x*).

Where the Warrant has been forged or altered.

If it be alleged that the warrant of attorney is forged, or the like, the court will direct an issue to try whether it has been duly executed or not (*y*). But, where a joint warrant of attorney had been altered after its execution, in the christian name of one of the parties, who had re-executed the same without the knowledge of the other, the court refused, on the application of the former, to set aside the judgment which had been signed thereon (*z*).

Where given by an Infant.

Also, if a warrant of attorney be given by an infant, the court will order it to be delivered up to be cancelled, even although there may be circumstances of fraud on the part of

(*i*) *Tabram v. Freeman*, 2 Dowl. 375; *Jackson v. Davison*, 4 B. & A. 691.
(*k*) *Smith v. Alexander*, 5 Dowl. 13. But, it seems, the court will not interfere if the defendant has had an opportunity of pleading his discharge. (*Philpot v. Aslett*, 1 C., M. & R. 85; 2 Dowl. 669, S. C.)
(*l*) *Tidd*, 9th ed. 547.
(*m*) *Flight v. Salter*, 1 B. & Ad. 673; *Kirlew v. Butts*, 2 B. & Ad. 736, n.; *Britten v. Wait*, 3 B. & Ad. 915; *Colebrook v. Layton*, 1 Nev. & M. 374; *Aberdeen v. Newark*, 4 Sim. 281; *Alchin v. Hopkins*, 1 Bing. Rep. N. C. 99; *Saltmarsh v. Hewett*, 1 A. & E. 812; *Skrine v. Same*, Id. But the warrant of attorney will not be set aside, unless it does in terms create a charge upon the benefice, contrary to 13 Eliz. c. 20. (See *Moore v. Ramaden*, 3 Nev. & P. 180).
(*n*) *Ex p. Chester*, 4 T. R. 694; *Steadman v. Purchase*, 6 Id. 737; *Storton v. Tomlins*, 10 Moore, 172; *Nash v. Gudmond*, 1 B. & Ad. 634; in which case the de-

fendant had to pay the costs of the judgment and motion, &c.
(*o*) *Wilton v. Chambers*, 2 Nev. & P. 392; 7 Ad. & El. 524, S. C.
(*p*) *Jones v. Hunter*, 1 Dowl. 402; *Holdsworth v. Wakeman*, Id. 532.
(*q*) See *Jackson v. Davison*, 4 B. & Ad. 691.
(*r*) *Cook v. Jones*, 2 Cowp. 727; *Harrod v. Benton*, 8 B. & C. 217; 2 M. & R. 130, S. C.
(*s*) See *Flight v. Chaplin*, 2 B. & Ad. 11; *Ferguson v. Sprang*, 3 Nev. & M. 665; 1 A. & E. 576, S. C.; *Ex p. Nash*, 4 Moo. & P. 793.
(*t*) *Ante*, 609, note (*h*).
(*u*) *Brown v. Holt*, 4 Taunt. 587; and see other cases in *Tidd*, 9th ed. 547.
(*v*) *Bligh v. Brewer*, 3 Dowl. 266; *Philpot v. Aslett*, 1 C., M. & R. 85; 2 Dowl. 669, S. C.
(*w*) *Grinness v. Carroll*, 1 B. & Ad. 459.
(*y*) *Gibson v. Bond*, Barnes, 239.
(*z*) *Coke v. Brunnell*, 2 Moore, 495; 8 Taunt. 439, S. C.

infant (a), on his clearly shewing that he was under age he gave the warrant (b). But if an infant and another give a warrant of attorney, and judgment be entered up on both, the judgment may be vacated as to the infant, and is good as to the other (c).

If a *feme covert* give a warrant of attorney, the court or a judge will order it to be delivered up to be cancelled, or will set aside the judgment, &c.; the warrant, in such a case, being entirely void (d). And, on motion, the court set aside a judgment on a warrant of attorney given by a *feme covert*, though she had been divorced *a mensâ et thoro* (e); and in such a case, though the warrant was given by her in another name, and the plaintiff was wholly ignorant of the fact (f). But in a prior case the court refused to relieve her, at the time she executed the warrant, she lived by her husband, and acted as a *feme sole*, and they put her to her writ (g). And even a warrant of attorney to confess a judgment to a *feme covert* is void (h).

By a married Woman.

If one of several executors gave a warrant of attorney to confess a judgment against all, the court ordered it to be delivered up to be cancelled (i).

By one of several Executors.

It is not an objection to signing judgment on a warrant of attorney that the defendant has, since its execution, become insane (k).

By a Lunatic.

It is not an objection that he has since given another security for the same, unless there be some agreement that the latter shall be substituted for the former (l).

Where another Security is given.

If a warrant of attorney be not altogether void, but good in part and bad as to the residue, the court will only set aside the effect of the bad part (m). Therefore, a warrant to secure the payment of future costs, and also costs already due and advanced, though void as to the future liability, is valid as to the actual debt (n).

Where good in Part and bad in Part.

An application to have the warrant given up to be cancelled, or to have judgment or execution on it set aside, may, though the objection be a substantial one,—as, for instance, that it has been given for an illegal or fraudulent consideration, made by any person interested in impeaching the warrant, though not a party to it (o). And, where it has been given for a fraudulent purpose, it would seem that the application can only be made by third parties, and not by the defendant (p). But a mere formal objection, even the want of proper attestation, under 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 9, cannot be made by any but the defendant or his representatives (q).

Application, by whom to be made.

Anderson v. Marr, 1 H. Bl. 75: 4. 1814: *Storton v. Tumkins*, 10 172; 2 Bing. 475, S. C. *Farrer v. Stokes*, 1 M. & W. 203; G. 512; 4 Dowl. 724, S. C. *Mutton v. St. Aubin*, 2 W. Bl. *Wood v. Heath*, 1 Chit. 708, n.: *v. Langton*, 4 M. & Scott, 719. *Wilde v. Sanson*, 3 Taunt. 261. *Withorne v. Blaquiere*, 6 M. & Sel. 73. *Malby v. White*, 4 Leg. Obs. 390. *Wheaton*, 1 Salk. 400: and see *Wil-Weatherill*, 3 B. & P. 220: *Maclean* case. Id. 128. *Storke v. Pierson*, 2 Wils. 3. *Wool v. Quash*, 1 Str. 20.

(k) *Pigott v. Killick*, 4 Dowl. 207. (l) *Stovell v. Eade*, 4 Bing. 134: *Anon.*, 2 Chit. 423. (m) See *Holdsworth v. Wakeman*, 1 Dowl. 532. (n) *Holdsworth v. Wakeman*, 1 Dowl. 532: and see *Smith v. Alexander*, 5 Dowl. 13; 2 H. & W. 82, S. C. (o) *Harrod v. Benton*, 2 M. & Ry. 130; 8 B. & C. 217, S. C.: *Martin v. Martin*, 3 B. & Ad. 934. (p) *Ante*, 689, n. (h): and see *Doe Roberts v. Roberts*, 2 B. & Ald. 367. (q) *Walker v. Harris*, Exch., 8th June, 1839: see *Jones v. Jones*, 1 D. & R. 558: and *post*, 697.

Judgment on Warrant of Attorney.

The court, in general, give the successful party his costs.

In what cases Filed.] By stat. 3 G. 4, c. 39, s. 1, 2, the warrant of attorney, or a true copy thereof, and of the attestation thereof, and of the defeazance and indorsements thereon, and an affidavit of the time of the execution of such warrant of attorney, must be filed with [the masters] within twenty days after its execution, to render such warrant of attorney or any judgment or execution thereon, valid as against the assignees of the defendant, if he should become bankrupt, unless judgment be signed and execution issued within twenty-one days. The master's fee for the filing is 1s. And if afterwards the debt be satisfied or discharged, a certificate upon being satisfied of that fact, may order a memorandum of satisfaction to be written on the warrant of attorney or copy filed (s). An affidavit made by an attesting witness to the warrant of attorney, and filed with it, merely stating it and that he saw the party execute the same, without verifying the day on which it was executed, has been deemed insufficient under the above act; and the sheriff, who had seized and sold goods under a writ issued at the suit of a judgment creditor on a judgment entered up on the warrant of attorney, was holden to be liable to the assignees of the party whose goods were seized in an action of trover, a commission being issued against him after the seizure before sale (t). But in order to let in the objection, that the statute has not been complied with, it must first appear that there is a valid commission against the party, and it then lies upon him who seeks to impeach the warrant of attorney, to shew that it was not filed (u).

The 7 G. 4, c. 57, s. 33, and 1 & 2 W. 4, c. 110, s. 60, contain these provisions in favour of the creditors of an insolvent debtor. It being questionable whether warrants of attorney executed by insolvent debtors, before adjudication made in the matter of their petition, pursuant to the several acts passed for their relief, were to be deemed secret warrants of attorney, within the 3 G. 4, c. 39, it was enacted by the 1 W. 4, c. 110, s. 3, that such warrants of attorney should not be within the act, and that the same should be deemed valid.

2. The Judgment.

When to be Signed.] Judgment may be entered up on a warrant of attorney, at the time therein specified for that purpose; and if the warrant were given to secure the payment of money, it is not necessary that the plaintiff should defer the signing of the judgment until default be made in the payment (x), unless that he expressly stipulated for a defeazance (y). And if the warrant be given for a sum of money to indemnify the plaintiff against the payment of a sum of money, the plaintiff need not defer signing judgment and

(s) 3 G. 4, c. 39, s. 6.

(t) *Id.* s. 8. See also the provisions of the act as to *committals*, ante, 677, and the cases there.

(u) *Dillon v. Edwards*, 2 Moo. & P. 550.

(v) *Aireton v. Davis*, 3 M. & Scott, 138;

9 Bing. 740, S. C.

(x) M.S., M. 1814: and see *Hardw.* 270.

(y) See *Nicholl v. Bromley*, 2 B. & C. 5 Moore, 307, S. C.: *Copper v. De Witt*, 2 A. & E. 458; 4 Nev. & M.

execution until the contingency happen (a). If the defeazance state that it is given to secure the payment of a sum on demand, and in case default shall be made, then judgment to be entered up and execution issued; an actual demand must be made, and a proposal to settle amicably does not amount to a demand (b); and it seems that a demand on a lunatic is insufficient (c). A stipulation that judgment shall not be entered up on a warrant of attorney before a certain day, unless the party giving it shall, in the meantime, have become bankrupt or insolvent, does not oust the party to whom it is given from the right to enter up judgment before the day specified, if the former be in insolvent circumstances, although he may not have become bankrupt, or taken the benefit of an insolvent Debtors' Act (d). Where the warrant of attorney is given with a defeazance stating it to be given as a security for a certain sum, and interest thereon, the court held that it is to be construed as a continuing security, and not merely as a security for money then due (e). If the warrant specify any particular time at which the judgment is to be signed, it cannot be entered up at any other time (f). It may be added, that a warrant of attorney, to confess judgment generally of a sum, is regular, notwithstanding *R. G., H. T., 4 W. 4*; and a judgment should be signed of a particular day in that term (g). See further the cases as to *cognovits*, ante, 678.

When Leave of the Court or Judge necessary before signing judgment.] Within a year and day from the date of the warrant, judgment may be entered up as of course (h). But after a year and day from such date, judgment cannot be entered up until leave of the court in term time, or of a judge in vacation, is obtained for that purpose (i). By a general rule of all the courts of *H. T., 2 W. 4, r. 1*. 13, "leave to enter up judgment on a warrant of attorney where one, and under ten years old, must be obtained by a motion in term, or by order of a judge in vacation; and if ten years old or more, upon a rule to shew cause." On this rule a rule nisi is holden to be unnecessary for entering judgment on a warrant of attorney under ten years old, notwithstanding it appeared that the defendant was insane, and had been so for a considerable period, and there did not appear to be any chance of his recovery (j). In a case decided before this rule, where judgment had not been entered up within a year and day on a warrant of attorney, given with a *post-obit* bond, and no application was made by the obligee to enter it until after the death of the person on whose death it was payable, the court entered a rule nisi only (k).

When Leave of Court or Judge necessary before signing Judgment.

Application how made.

Barber v. Barber, 3 Taunt. 465; see *Partridge v. Fraser*, 7 Id. 307; 1 Re. 54, S. C.; *Curr v. Roberts*, 5 B. & F. 8; *Stin v. Brook*, 1 Id. 124. *Nicholl v. Bramley*, 5 Moore, 307; 2 B. 464, S. C.; *Abbott v. Greenwood*, 2 Jurist, 969; see *Cupper v. Dando*, 4 & M. 336; 2 A. & E. 458; 1 H. & W. 518. *Cupper v. Dando*, *ubi supra*. *Bliddecombe v. Bond*, 5 Nev. & M. 621; & W. 612, S. C.; see *Partridge v. W. 7 Taunt 307*; 1 Moore, 54, S. C. *Woolley v. Jennings*, 5 B. & C. 165; & B. 824, S. C.; and see *Steele v.*

Paul, 12 Moore, 370; 4 Bing. 154, S. C. (f) *Mynn's case*, 1 Mod. 1; *Anon.*, 7 Id. 53. (g) *Todd v. Gumpertz*, 6 Dowl. 206. (h) *Calvert v. Tonkin*, 5 Bing. 1; 2 Moo. & P. 1, S. C. (i) *Anon.*, 6 Mod. 212; *Lushington v. Waller*, 1 H. Bl. 94. (j) *Pliggott v. Killeck*, 4 Dowl. 287; 1 H. & W. 518, S. C. In the report of this case in Dowling the warrant is stated to have been more than eleven years old; *sed quære*. (See 1 H. & W. 518). (k) *Lushington v. Waller*, 1 H. Bl. 94. See form of the rule, Chit. Forms, 326.

BOOK II.
PART IV.

Affidavit in
support of.

It must shew
that Defendant
is alive.

The application for such leave is founded upon an affidavit stating the consideration for the warrant of attorney; its relation; the amount remaining due to the plaintiff (l), and also positively (m) that the defendant was alive at a certain time therein mentioned (n). The affidavit may or may not seem, be intitled in the cause in which the judgment was entered up (o).

It must appear, from the affidavit, in support of the application, that the defendant is alive, either from the plaintiff's having seen him alive, or otherwise. Formerly, in the *R. H.*, 4 *W.* 4, r. 3, (*ante*, 341), it must have appeared from the affidavit that he was alive upon some day within full term, in order that the court might be satisfied that he was alive on the day to which the judgment would have relation, and even where the affidavit stated the defendant to have been alive on the *essoign* day of term, the court held it to be insufficient, saying, that it must appear from the affidavit that the defendant was alive on some day in full term (*p*). Now, however, as, by that rule, all judgments, whether interlocutory or final, shall be entered of record of the month and whether in term or vacation, when signed, and shall not have relation to any other day, the affidavit must be framed with reference to that doctrine of relation. And since that is sufficient in all cases if the affidavit shew that the defendant was alive within a *reasonable* time before the day on which the motion or application is made (*q*). As to what is a reasonable time, must depend upon the circumstances of the particular case. An affidavit that the defendant was alive on the 8th April, the term commencing on the 15th, was held to be sufficient (*r*). And in another case, the court granted a rule moved for on the *third* day of term, upon an affidavit stating that the defendant was alive on a day six days before the commencement of the term (*s*), and, in another case, a rule was granted where the defendant had last been seen alive three weeks (*t*), and in another (*u*), five weeks before the application. So judgment has been allowed to be entered against a defendant residing in Jamaica, upon an affidavit stating he was alive four months before (*y*); and against a defendant at Nice, on production and verification of a letter from him dated thirteen days before (*z*); and against a defendant in South Wales, upon an affidavit stating the receipt of a letter from him, dated from that place in the August preceding the application being made in November (*a*), and that day

(l) *Hulke v. Pickering*, 4 D. & R. 5; 2 D. & C. 555, S. C.: *R. H.*, 2 *W.* 4, r. 73.

(m) — *v. Hotham*, 1 Chit. Rep. 314: *Juliet v. Harper*, Id. 617 a.

(n) See the form of the affidavit, Chit. Forms, 325.

(o) *Davis v. Stanbury*, 3 Dowl. 440; *Sucreby v. Wartruffe*, 1 B. & Ald. 567; 1 Chit. 315, S. C.: *Pinde v. Robberds*, Id. 568, n.: *Ex p. Gregory*, 8 B. & C. 409.

(p) *Eyles v. Warren*, 4 M. & Sel. 174; 1 Chit. 617, S. C.: *Whittaker v. Whittaker*, 8 B. & C. 768; *Price v. Hughes*, 1 Dowl. 448; *Willes v. James*, Id. 498; *Ann.*, 4 Moore, 2; *Man. Exch. Prac.*, 1st ed. 504.

(q) *Jordan v. Farr*, 4 Nev. & M. 407; 2 A. & E. 437, S. C.

(r) *Robinson v. Lester*, 3 D. & C. 3; 4 M. & Scott, 487; 2 D. & C. 3.

(s) *Jordan v. Farr*, 4 Nev. & M. 407; 2 A. & E. 437, S. C.

(t) *Watts v. Bury*, 4 Dowl. 44.

(u) *Stokes v. Willes*, 13 Leg. 5 Dowl. 221, S. C.: and see *Kne*, 4 Dowl. 610; 1 H. & W. 670, S. C.

(y) *Rennell v. Powell*, Will. 452.

(z) *Grantley v. Summons*, 6 D. & C. 3.

(a) *Hopley v. Thornton*, 2 D. & C. 3; and see *Pemberton v. Brouning*, 204; 9 Moore, 389, S. C.: *Johnson*, 5 Dowl. 215; *Halkam v. Plunkett*, Jurist, 494.

verily believed him to be still alive. So judgment has allowed to be entered up on an affidavit, shewing that the receipt of the defendant's, dated thirteen days before the execution, had been paid in the interim (b). An affidavit, shewing the receipt of a letter from the defendant, in his handwriting, is sufficient evidence of his being alive at the time it was made (c). But an affidavit, merely stating that the deponent believed the defendant, is not enough, unless it state that he saw the defendant alive (d). And where the affidavit stated merely that the deponent was told by the defendant's wife that her husband was living, the court held it to be insufficient (e). It is insufficient, also, for the deponent to swear, that he believes the defendant to be alive from information which he has received, unless he also swears that he believes the information to be true (f). If several persons join in the warrant, it must be sworn that they are all alive (g), unless the warrant be joint and several, and the application be for the purpose of signing judgment against the survivors only (h).

In support of the application, as already observed, there must also be an affidavit by the attesting witness, stating the execution of the warrant of attorney. Even though the attesting witness refuses from malice to make the necessary affidavit, the court will not grant the application (i). The circumstance of the commissioner, before whom the affidavit of the party is alive is sworn, being the attesting witness, does not dispense with the necessity of an affidavit by him of the execution of the warrant (j). An affidavit that the defendant had recently acknowledged the execution, expressly for the purpose of enabling the plaintiff to enter up judgment without being at the trouble of sending for the subscribing witness, has been held sufficient by the Court of Common Pleas (k); though, indeed, the Court of Queen's Bench have decided otherwise (l). If the attesting witness be dead or cannot be found, and that fact be substantiated by affidavit, or if endeavours have been made to find him, then the court will receive secondary evidence of the execution (n). Where the attesting witness was the clerk of the attorney who prepared the warrant, the want of his affidavit in this case was considered sufficiently supplied by that of his master, verifying the handwriting of his clerk and that of the defendant, and shewing that the former had absconded and could not be found (o). And a rule was obtained to enter up judgment

Affidavit of attesting Witness in general necessary.

Jacob v. Griffiths, 5 Dowl. 577.
Bidlake v. Carter, MS., E. T. 1824:
Gray v. Jones, 1 Dowl. 367. *Gray v. Jones*, 4 Id. 636; 1 H. & W. 659, S. C.
Chell v. Oakfield, 4 Dowl. 629.
 MS., M. 1824: — *v. Hobson*, 1 Rep. 314.
Reeder v. Whip, 5 Dowl. 576.
 — *v. Holman*, 1 Chit. Rep. 314.
 See *Jordan v. Farr*, 2 A. & E. 437; 1 A. & M. 347, S. C.: — *v. Holman*, 1 Rep. 314; Barnes, 53.
Mille v. M'Donoughan, 1 H. & W. 659. But the attesting witness may be allowed to prove the execution of the warrant; at least, if he be an attorney or

officer of the court. (See *per Williams, J.*, *Due Arrey v. Roe*, 6 Dowl. 518).

(j) *Field v. Beaucroft*, 1 Dowl. 308; 2 C. & J. 217; 2 Tyr. 283, S. C.

(k) *Laing v. Kaine*, 2 B. & P. 85.

(l) *Jones v. Knight*, 1 Chit. Rep. 743; *Holiday v. Lord Oxford*, 10 Leg. Obs. 430. See Bagley's Pract. 322.

(m) *Taylor v. Leighton*, 3 M. & Scott, 423; 2 Dowl. 746, S. C.

(n) *Young v. Showler*, 2 Dowl. 556; *Waring v. Burtles*, 4 Taunt. 132; *Jones v. Knight*, 1 Chit. Rep. 743; and see *Appleton v. Bond*, Id. 744.

(o) *Young v. Showler*, 2 Dowl. 556.

BOOK II.
PART IV.

when the attesting witness to the execution of the warrant was dead, and to prove the execution, an affidavit was made by one of the plaintiffs verifying the handwriting of the witness (*p*). The court will, by rule, compel the attesting witness to swear to the execution, at all events, if he be an officer of the court (*q*). The production of an office-copy of the affidavit of the due execution of the warrant at the time it was filed, if it was so, will be sufficient (*r*). If the defendant be a marksman, it seems that the affidavit should state that the warrant was read over to him before execution (*s*). It must be observed, however, that as this is a mere matter of practice, if a judge allows judgment to be signed on other evidence, without the affidavit of the attesting witness, such judgment will not afterwards be liable to be set aside merely on account of that deficiency (*t*).

The original Warrant must be forthcoming.

It may here be added, that even where the warrant of attorney is in the hands of the defendant, the court will not allow the plaintiff to enter up judgment on a copy, though in the defendant's handwriting (*u*). The proper course, in such a case, appears to be to apply for a rule, calling on the defendant to shew cause why he should not produce the original in court for the purpose of having judgment entered on it (*u*).

The Affidavit must shew that a Debt exists.

The consideration, and the sum remaining due, are usually sworn to by the plaintiff himself in the affidavit used on this occasion. And, if not sworn to by the plaintiff himself, it seems that there must be an affidavit stating why not (*x*). Where the plaintiff was a lunatic, an affidavit of the debt being unpaid, made by a person who had received the interest due upon it for the last three years, was deemed sufficient (*y*); and an affidavit by the plaintiff's attorney, swearing to the consideration and the money remaining unpaid, and that he has been employed in managing the money and paying over the interest, has been admitted as sufficient, without any affidavit by the plaintiff himself (*z*). Where the warrant was given to secure the doing of an act, as the re-transfer of stock on demand, the court refused leave to enter up judgment on it on proof of a demand, made while defendant was insane (*a*).

Alien Enemy.

Where it appeared by the plaintiff's affidavit that she was then resident in an enemy's country, the Court of Common Pleas refused to give leave to enter up the judgment (*b*).

Consequences of signing Judgment without leave.

Although judgment happen to be entered up without the leave of the court or a judge when necessary, yet it seems that none but the defendant himself can object to the irregularity (*c*).

(*p*) *Constable v. Wren*, 3 M. & Scott, 210 a: and see *Taylor v. Leighton*, Id. 423: 2 Dowl. 746.

(*q*) *Clark v. Elwick*, 1 Str. 1: *Cuffin v. Idle*, M., 3 G. 4, K. B.: Tidd, 9th ed. 554: *Milk v. McDonough*, 1 H. & W. 184: see *Dee Avery v. Roe*, 6 Dowl. 518, per Williams, J.

(*r*) *Webb v. Webb*, 4 Dowl. 590.

(*s*) *James v. Harris*, 6 Dowl. 184.

(*t*) *Weller v. Crumpton*, at Chambers, 27th September, 1828, coram Maule, B.

(*u*) *Anon.*, M. 1838, B. C.: *Littledale, J.*,

2 Jurist, 944.

(*x*) *Anon.*, M. 1838, B. C.: *Littledale, J.*, 2 Jurist, 1067.

(*y*) *Coppendale v. Sunderland*, Barnes, 42.

(*z*) *Ashman v. Bourdier*, 2 C. & M. 212: 4 Tyr. 84, S. C.

(*a*) *Capper v. Dando*, 2 A. & E. 458: 4 Nev. & M. 335; 1 H. & W. 11, S. C.

(*b*) *De Lunerville v. Phillips*, 2 New Rep. 97.

(*c*) *Jones v. Jones*, 1 D. & R. 558: and see *Walker v. Harris*, ante, 651.

nt, how Signed, &c.] Enter an appearance for the . *Make an incipitur of the declaration on plain d an incipitur on a roll, which you will get at the fice. Take these, and the warrant of attorney, to one sters, who will sign the judgment, and file the war- If judgment be signed, and leave of the court or a judge, rule or order to the incipitur, on plain paper, when it to the master to sign judgment.* There is no occa- ix the costs of signing judgment, because they are sum, which cannot be reduced (f). No judgment gnied upon any warrant authorizing an attorney to judgment without such warrant being delivered to by the master, who is to file the same in the order they are received (g). It is prudent, and indeed docket the judgment immediately, for the reasons d ante, 337, 338, particularly if the warrant of at- e given to secure the payment of an annuity, or by instalments, or the like (h).

CHAP. II
Judgment.
how Signed
&c.

and have been given with a warrant of attorney, the s is made out in debt on bond; if not, it is usually on a *mutuatus*. But, in all cases, the warrant of at- must be strictly pursued, in entering up the judgment; if, on a warrant to enter up judgment in debt on gment be entered up in debt on a *mutuatus*, the court t aside as irregular (i). So a general warrant given on who afterwards became insolvent, was held not to the plaintiff to enter up a special judgment against e effects (k). Or if a warrant be given to confess a : of a particular term or day, judgment cannot be up of any other term or day (l). So, upon a *joint* of attorney given by two, judgment cannot, in general, d up against one, even after the death of the other (m). rrant given by one of two executors will not author- plaintiff in entering up judgment against both (n). ere, on a warrant of attorney given to an executor, t was entered up in vacation as of the previous term, e testator himself was alive, the court set it aside for ity (o). Yet, where a judgment-creditor applied to a judgment and execution against the debtor, upon nd that the judgment was entered up against the de- by a different christian name from that signed to the of attorney, the court refused even a rule *nisi* (p). was, probably, on the ground that a mere formal cannot be taken advantage of by third parties (q).

Form of
Judgment.
It must pur-
sue the War-
rant.

arrant of attorney, as already mentioned, (ante, 682), s the attorney to execute a *release of errors*; and if idant, notwithstanding, bring a writ of error upon

Effect of Re-
lease of Err-
ors.

e form of the entry, Chit.
or *Patteson, J., Griffiths v.*
Dowl. 142.
42 G. 3: R. M. 43 Geo 3, C.
1 G. 3, Exch.: see ante, 691.
: form of the register. Chit.
Wilkinson, 8 T. R. 153.

(k) *Burton v. Mardin*, 1 T. R. 80; de-
cided before the 7 G. 4, c. 57.
(l) *Ante*, 678, 682.
(m) *Gee v. Lane*, 15 East, 502: ante, 683.
(n) *Elwell v. Quash*, 1 Str. 20.
(o) *Gainborough v. Felthard*, 2 Str. 1121.
ante, 688.
(p) MS., M. 1815.
(q) See ante, 691.

the judgment, or upon a judgment in *scire facias* to that judgment (*r*), the court, upon application, would probably quash the writ.

3. Execution, &c.

3 Execution,
&c.

When it may
be issued.

For what
Amount, and
when set aside
for excess.

In noticing (*ante*, 692, 693, &c.) the practice as to the judgment may be signed, we have mentioned points as to the execution thereon. As soon as judgment is signed, the plaintiff may immediately sue out execution as in ordinary cases, if he be at liberty to do so terms of the defeazance. A writ of execution, however, may be sued out before, but it cannot be executed until on or after the day specified for that purpose in the defeazance (1) in some cases, where the warrant of attorney is given to satisfy the plaintiff against the payment of a debt, judgment may be signed, and execution issued, before he has paid it (2) the defeazance state that a demand must be made before execution issued, such demand must be made according to the judgment and upon a person capable of giving a substantial answer.

If the plaintiff be guilty of any excess in the amount which he ought to have levied, the court or a judge will set aside the execution (*x*), or in case of a mistake refer it to one of the masters, or, if necessary, to a jury, to ascertain what sum the execution ought to stand; and an action may be supported against the plaintiff (*y*). Where a warrant of attorney was given for securing the payment of an annuity, and upon default made in the payment of the same the plaintiff sued out execution and arrested the defendant for the amount of the penalty, the court set aside the execution and ordered the defendant to be discharged, as the defendant was authorized the plaintiff to take out execution merely for the arrears (*z*). The plaintiff, in such a case, should sue out execution for the amount of the penalty, because the execution must strictly pursue the judgment (*a*), but may indorse it to levy the amount of the arrears only. But where a warrant of attorney was given for the payment of money by instalments, and by the terms of the defeazance the plaintiff was to be at liberty to enter up judgment immediately upon default made in payment of any instalment, no execution to be issued until default made in payment of the said sum of 1,402*l.* 18*s.* 8*d.* with interest as aforesaid, the court held that the plaintiff, upon a fair construction of the above terms of the defeazance, was at liberty to sue out and execute a writ of execution for the entire sum, upon default in payment of any one of the instalments (*b*). In similar cases, where the sum secured by the warrant is paid

(*r*) *Buddley v. Shafto*, 8 Taunt. 484: see *ante*, 68: and see also *Best v. Gompertz*, 2 Dowl. 395.

(*s*) MS., M. 1814: see Hardw. 270.

(*t*) *Barber v. Barber*, 3 Taunt. 465: and see *ante*, 682.

(*u*) *Nicholl v. Bromley*, 5 Moore, 307; 2 B. & B. 464, S. C.: *ante*, 693.

(*v*) *Cupper v. Dando*, 1 H. & W. 11; 4 Nev. & M. 335; 2 A. & E. 458, S. C. A demand on a lunatic would not do. (*Id.*)

(*x*) See *Tilby v. Best*, 16 E. Amery v. Snaithridge, 2 W. Bla. *ante*, 417, 618.

(*y*) *Wentworth v. Bullen*, 9 B.

(*z*) *Tilby v. Best*, 16 East, 163.

(*a*) See *ante*, 400.

(*b*) *Leveridge v. Forty*, 1 M. & W. 100; and see *Roe v. Tomblinson*, 3 L. R. 100; *ante*, 678; *Gowlett v. Hanfurth*, 10 Q. B. 957.

ments, and default is made, the defendant may be or charged in execution for each of those defaults made, without any leave of the court or a judge (*d*). Warrant of attorney made no mention of interest on principal, but the defeazance did, the court allowed execution to be issued for the principal and interest (*e*). Interest may now in all cases be levied from the date of judgment under the 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 17.

How far an execution under a warrant of attorney is available in case of the bankruptcy or insolvency of the defendant, see *ante*, 432, 433, &c.

Though a warrant of attorney be given to secure the payment of an annuity, or of a sum of money by instalments, or it seems a *scire facias* is not necessary, previous to execution for every periodical payment or instalment, so it has been the case if a bond only had been given; for it has been held in several cases in the Court of Common Pleas (*f*), and it seems also to be the opinion of the Queen's Bench (*g*), that, stat. 8 & 9 W. 3, c. 11, s. 8, which requires suggestions of breaches and the *scire facias* in such cases (*h*), does not extend to warrants of attorney to confess judgment on a bond, even when given merely as a collateral security with a suggestion of breaches.

In other cases, by an express stipulation in the warrant of attorney, a *scire facias* to revive the judgment may be necessary, in cases where it would otherwise be required (*k*).

In Case of
Bankruptcy,
&c.

Suggestions
of Breaches,
and Sci. Fa.
under 8 & 9
W. 3, c. 11,
unnecessary.

Agreement to
disperse with
Sci. Fa.

James v. Baynton, 1 Hodg. 7; 2 W. Bl. 845.

444.

Gumpertz, 2 Dowl. 407.

v. Shipton, 1 Dowl. 518.

v. Marquis of Worcester, 6

Moo. & P. 21, S. C.: *Auster-*

man, 2 Taunt. 195: *Our v.*

174: *Kinnerley v. Mussen*,

and see *Hosel v. Hanforth*,

(*g*) MS., E. 1814: and see *Tilby v. Best*, 16 East, 163.

(*h*) See *post*, Ch. 4, Sect. 3, p. 723.

(*i*) *Austerbury v. Morgan*, 2 Taunt. 195:

see *per Littleale, J.*, in *James v. Thomas*,

5 B. & Ad. 41.

(*k*) *Ante*, 678.

CHAPTER III.

JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT.

What, and in what Cases. 700.*When Signed.* 701.*How Signed.* 702.*Costs of.* *id.**Execution on.* 703.*Setting aside or Wearing irregular Judgment.* 703.*Setting aside regular Judgment on Terms.* 705.BUT IN
PART.What, and in
what Cases.

What, and in what Cases.] WHEN a defendant hath a day certain given him in court, and is then demandable, and being demanded doth not appear, the court thereupon give judgment against him by default (*a*).

The defendant allows judgment to go by default, either intentionally, or through mistake or neglect: intentionally, where he has no merits, or when he does so according to a previous agreement with the plaintiff; through mistake, when he puts in a plea, or rejoinder, &c., so informal or defective, that it is treated as a nullity; and through neglect, when perhaps he has merits, but he neglects to plead, rejoin, &c., within the time limited by the rules of court for that purpose. This is also an implied confession of the action.

Judgment by default is either by *nil dicit*, that is, where the defendant is stated to have appeared, but to have said nothing in bar or preclusion of the action;—or by *non sum informatus*, where he is said to appear by attorney, but the attorney says that he is not informed by the defendant of any answer to be given. The latter is used only in cases where judgment is entered in pursuance of a previous agreement between the parties; the former, where the defendant has not pleaded within the time limited by the rules of the court, or in a proper manner, or where he has pleaded some plea not adapted to the nature of the action or circumstances of the case, or the like (*b*). As to judgment for want of a plea, and where the plea, from some irregularity in the form of it, or in the manner or time of pleading it, may be treated as a nullity, see *ante*, 165 to 170, &c. As to judgment for want of a rejoinder, rebutter, &c., see *ante*, 197, 198.

If the defendant make default at the trial, this is not such a default as will entitle the plaintiff to sign judgment; but he must proceed regularly to verdict and judgment, in the same manner as if the action were defended.

Where judgment by default is signed as to *part*, and issue is joined as to the residue, a special *renire* is always awarded, *tam ad triandum quam ad inquirendum*, as well to try the issue as to inquire of the damages; and the jury who try the issue, in that case, assess the damages for the whole (*c*).

(a) *Morice v. Green*, 3 Salk. 213.(b) See forms of judgment by *non sum informatus*, Chit. Forms, 331.(c) 11 Co. 5. See the form of the award of the *renire*, Chit. Forms, 44.

Judgment

Non sum in-
formatus.Default at
Trial.Default as to
Part of the
Cause of
Action.

So, where there are several defendants, if some let judgment go by default, and others plead to issue, a similar special verdict should be awarded, and the jury who try the issue should assess the damages against all the defendants (*d*). But in actions where the plea of one defendant enures to the benefit of all, as in actions upon contracts (*e*), if the plaintiff fail of obtaining a verdict against those who have pleaded, he cannot have damages assessed against the others who let judgment go by default; for the contract being entire, the plaintiff must succeed against all the defendants or none (*f*). In actions *ex delicto*, on the contrary, if the plaintiff do not succeed against the defendants who plead, he may still have his damages assessed against those who allowed judgment to go by default (*g*), (unless the plea of those who pleaded prove that the plaintiff could have no cause of action against any of them (*h*)), for the tort is several, as well as joint (*i*).

CHAP. III
As to some of several Defendants.

Judgment by default is *interlocutory* in *assumpsit*, covenant, trespass, case, and replevin, where the sole object of the action is damages; but in debt and ejectment, damages not being the principal object of the action, and those usually recoverable without being of sufficient consequence to warrant the expense of executing a writ of inquiry, the plaintiff usually signs *final* judgment in the first instance. But even in debt the plaintiff must, as we shall hereafter see, in some instances in actions on bonds, execute an inquiry; and sometimes, though not necessary, it may be advisable for him to execute it (*k*).

The Judgment, when interlocutory or final.

When Signed.] Judgment for want of a plea cannot be signed until the defendant is fully before the court. And if it is signed without an appearance entered, it is a nullity, and cannot be cured, even by laches of the defendant (*l*). Therefore, in *bailable* actions, before the passing of the act for abolishing arrest on mesne process, &c. (1 & 2 V. c. 110), if the defendant had not perfected bail, the plaintiff could only proceed against the sheriff, or upon the bail-bond, to compel an appearance by perfecting bail; but in *non-bailable* actions, if the defendant had not entered an appearance within the time limited for that purpose by the rules of the court, the plaintiff might do it for him in pursuance of the statute, and afterwards sign judgment by *nil dicit*, if the defendant had not pleaded within the time allowed him for that purpose (*m*); and as all personal actions must now be commenced by writ of summons (*n*), except in case of proceeding against insolvents under the 85th section of the 1 & 2 V. c. 110 (*o*), the plaintiff may now, it seems, in all cases, enter an appearance for the defendant, and sign judgment as in *non-bailable* actions before the act 1 & 2 V. c. 110. It may be as well here to refer to the preceding volume of this Work,

When Signed.

(d) 11 Co. 5: *Dicker v. Adams*, 2 B. & P. 62. See the form of the award of the jury. Chit. Forms, 44.

(e) *Porter v. Harris*, 1 Lev. 63: *Bouter v. Ford*, 1 Sid. 76: Ca. Pr. C. B. 107: Pr. leg. 102: *Hannay v. Smith*, 3 T. R. 662.

(f) *Aliter* in some cases, as in another action after plea in abatement for non-joinder, (*ante*, 651), or in action against executors or administrators (*post*). See form of judgment in such a case, Chit. Forms, 333.

(g) *Jones v. Harris*, 2 Str. 1101: *Cressey v. Webb*, 1d. 1222.

(h) *Biggs v. Bengier*, 2 Ld. Raym. 1372: 1 Str. 610, S. C.; 8 Mod. 217.

(i) See form of judgment in such a case, Chit. Forms, 333.

(k) See *post*, 710.

(l) *Roberts v. Spurr*, 3 Dowl. 551.

(m) *Ante*, Vol. 1. 121.

(n) 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 2.

(o) *Turner v. Darnell*, 7 Dowl. 346: 5 M. & W. 28, S. C.

BOOK II.
PART IV.

How Signed.

pp. 165, 197, 198, as to when the plaintiff may sign judgment. He cannot, in general, do so for want of a plea before the time for pleading is out. The judgment may be signed in vacation (*p*), but not on a *dies non* (*q*).

How Signed.] After entering an appearance for the defendant, (if none has been already entered (*r*)), then, if your judgment is to be interlocutory merely (*s*), make an incipitur of your declaration on plain paper, and an incipitur on a roll, which you may get at the master's office; take them to one of the masters, and he will sign the judgment (*t*). Having signed interlocutory judgment, you may proceed to sue out and execute your writ of inquiry, or obtain a rule to compute, (as the case may be), as directed in the next Chapter. If your judgment is to be final (*ante*, 701), make an incipitur of your declaration on plain paper, and an incipitur on the roll; take the judgment paper and roll to one of the masters, and he will sign judgment, and tax the costs, and mark them on the judgment paper (*u*). If the defendant has appeared by himself or his attorney, give the usual one day's notice before taxing the costs, as directed post, Book IV. Part I. Ch. 31, title, "Costs." No rule for judgment is necessary in this case. If judgment is to be signed for want of a rejoinder or rebutter, &c., to a replication, or surrejoinder to a plea to the whole cause of action, this being deemed an abandonment of the plea, the plaintiff strikes out all the previous pleadings, and signs judgment as for want of a plea (*x*).

Entry of,
nunc pro
tunc.

By *R. H.*, 4 *W.* 4, *r.* 3, "all judgments, whether interlocutory or final, shall be entered of record of the month and year, whether in term or vacation, when signed, and shall not have relation to any other day; provided that it shall be competent for the court or a judge to order a judgment to be entered *nunc pro tunc*" (*y*).

Continuances
unnecessary.

Formerly, in the Queen's Bench, after judgment by default, and a writ of inquiry awarded, subsequent continuances, if any, were required to be entered on the roll (*z*), but not so in the Common Pleas (*a*); and now by rule of all the courts of *H. T.*, 2 *W.* 4, *r.* 105, "after judgment by default, the entry of any subsequent continuances shall not be required."

Costs.

Costs.] The plaintiff is entitled to his full costs, upon judgment by default, in all cases where he would be entitled to damages if he obtained a verdict, by the *stat. Gloucester* (*b*); and this, although the damages given by the inquest upon the writ of inquiry be less than 40s.; for the statutes upon that subject extend to damages given by a jury only, and not to those given by an inquest. (See post, Book IV. Part I. Ch. 31, title, "Costs"). If there be two counts on distinct causes

(*p*) *R. T.*, 29 *Car.* 2, *r.* 5.

(*q*) *Harrison v. Smith*, 9 *B. & Cres.* 243.

(*r*) See *Chit. Forms.* 17. An appearance is so absolutely requisite that an interlocutory judgment signed without it would be a nullity. (*Roberts v. Spurr*, 3 *Dowl.* 551).

(*s*) *Ante*, 701.

(*t*) See the various forms of the entries of judgment by default, *Chit. Forms*, 328 to 333. And see the form of the jury process in these latter cases, where judg-

ment by default is only as to part or by one defendant. (*Chit. Forms*, 69, 70).

(*u*) See the form of the entry of judgment in debt, &c., *Chit. Forms*, 329.

(*x*) *Petre v. Fitzroy*, 5 *T. R.* 152.

(*y*) See this rule noticed *ante*, Vol. I. 341.

(*z*) *Heydon's case*, 11 *Coke*, Rep. 6 b.

(*a*) *Tidd*, 9th ed. 678.

(*b*) *Post*, Book IV. Part. I. Ch. 3.

and the defendant lets judgment go by default as and obtains a verdict on the other, the plaintiff is to costs on the former, and the defendant on the . If there be two defendants, however, one of leads, and the other suffers judgment by default, if pleaded be a complete bar to the action as against ndants, it seems the plaintiff cannot have his costs ie defendant who suffered judgment by default (*d*). , where two of the three joint covenantors suffer by default on counts on several deeds, and the third and succeeds on some counts, the plaintiff cannot judgment on those counts against the other two; and, use, it has been held, that neither party is entitled to on the counts on which the plaintiff fails (*e*). But an action of *assumpsit*, one defendant suffered judgment by default, and the other obtained a verdict, it was held, ho obtained the verdict was entitled to his costs (*f*). actions *ex delicto*, the plaintiff may retain his judgment against the defendant who suffered judgment by default but the defendant who succeeds is entitled to his . (See further, *post*, Book IV. Part I. Ch. 31, title,).

ion.] The execution on a judgment by default is, in the same as in ordinary cases (*i*). In the case of bank—however, sometimes the plaintiff, on a judgment by cannot avail himself of it to the prejudice of other cre—). In actions of debt, within the statute 8 & 9 W. 3, 8, such as on a bond for the performance of covenants, payment of money by instalments, or of an annuity, or (*l*), if the defendant suffer judgment to go by default, in strictness this is a *final* judgment, and entered up entire penalty of the bond, yet the plaintiff cannot sue Execution. for the sum recovered by the judgment, but he *ggest breaches* upon the roll, from time to time, as they and execute a *writ of inquiry*, in order to assess damage on them (*m*).

g aside or waiving irregular Judgment.] If the judgment Setting aside or waiving Judgment. *irregularly* signed, or if any of the previous proceedings be part of the plaintiff be irregular, and the irregularity waived by any act of the defendant, or if judgment be when, in fact, the defendant has not been guilty of any the court on motion, or a judge on summons, will set , or stay the proceedings, so as to give the defendant an unity to move the court for that purpose (*n*). The ap—

v. Hanks, 3 T. R. 654: see *per* , 10 Bing. 560.
lock, 143.
van v. Edwards, 6 Taunt. 398;
191, S. C.
abb v. Barrett, 2 H. Bl. 28.
arian, Morgan v. Edwards, *ubi*
s v. Harris, 10 Bing. 557; 2
, S. C.
ste, 395 to 456.
, 433.
st, Ch. 4, Sect. 3, p. 723.

(*m*) See *Ibid*.
(*n*) It has been doubted whether a judge at Chambers has power to set aside a judgment. (*Rutty v. Arbur*, 2 Dowl. 36; 5 Tyr. 501, S. C.) But the object is sufficiently obtained by an order to stay the proceedings, which affords an opportunity for a subsequent application to the court before execution can be sued out. (11 Petersdorff's Ab. 653: Tidd, 511: Bagley's Prac. 327). And, in practice, it is every day's occurrence for a judge at Chambers to set aside a judgment.

plication should be made within a reasonable time, and, at all events, not after the defendant has taken any fresh step after the knowledge of the irregularity (*o*). If the irregularity be in the delivery, filing, or notice of declaration, then an application, if possible, must be made at least two days before inquiry executed (*p*). Or if the writ of inquiry be executed in vacation, and the defendant intend applying to the court, notice of the motion should be given two days previously to the plaintiff's attorney or agent (*q*). And, in general, the time for making the application to set aside an interlocutory judgment for irregularity begins to run from the time that notice was received of judgment being signed, and the defendant cannot as of course delay the application until a rule to compute is served (*r*). It has been lately holden, that an interlocutory judgment cannot be set aside because the notice of declaration is irregular, as the defendant is bound to move to set aside the notice, and not wait until judgment has been signed (*s*). At all events, the application should be made before execution executed; and where the defendant had attended and cross-examined witnesses on executing a writ of inquiry, the court held it too late to move to set aside such judgment (*t*). Taxing costs, and signing final judgment, are considered as contemporaneous acts; and therefore the attendance of the defendant or his attorney before the master on taxing costs, is, in general, an admission that the judgment was properly signed, and it cannot afterwards be objected to as having been signed too soon (*u*). If the judgment be a *nullity*, and not merely irregular, the defendant will not waive it by any delay (*x*); an interlocutory judgment signed without an appearance entered, is a *nullity* (*x*). In setting aside a judgment and execution for irregularity, the rule will, in general, be absolute *with costs*, provided the defendant consents to the terms of bringing no action; but if the defendant will not consent to those terms, the court will not give costs, unless a strong case for damages be shewn (*y*), or the judgment and execution were against good faith (*z*). If the terms of bringing no action be not imposed by the court at the time of disposing of the rule, the defendant cannot afterwards be restrained from bringing an action (*a*). A defendant, on whose application a judgment has been set aside for irregularity in practice, without costs, cannot recover such costs as damages in an action of trespass, for taking his goods under colour of the judgment (*b*).

Plaintiff may
waive the
Judgment.

The plaintiff, also, if he finds that he has signed judgment irregularly, may *wave* the judgment, by getting the master

(*o*) R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 33: *post*, Book IV. Part I. Ch. 17.

(*p*) 1 Sellon, 345: *Minster v. Coles*, 2 Chit. Rep. 237: *Moses v. Richardson*, 8 B. & C. 421: *Scott v. Ogger*, 3 Dowl. 212: *Smith v. Clark*, 2 Id. 218: *Firley v. Rallett*, Id. 708: *Cor v. Tullock*, Id. 478: *vide Hill v. Mills*, Id. (88).

(*q*) Tidd, 513, 567: *Gaire v. Goodman*, 2 Smith, 301.

(*r*) *Grant v. Flower*, 5 Dowl. 419.

(*s*) *Smith v. Clarke*, 2 Dowl. 218.

(*t*) *Fmas v. Paravicini*, 4 Taunt. 345: *Gillingham v. Waskett*, M'Clel. 568: *Doz Antrobus v. Jeason*, 3 B. & Adol. 402.

(*u*) Tidd, 9th ed. 930: *Blackburn v.*

Kymor, 5 Taunt. 672; 1 Marsh. 278, 2 C.: *Butler v. Bulkeley*, 1 Bing. 233; 8 Moore, 104, 2 C.

(*x*) *Roberts v. Spurr*, 3 Dowl. 551.

(*y*) *Larimer v. Lule*, 1 Chit. Rep. 134, 238: *Wentworth v. Bullen*, 9 B. & C. 840, 849.

(*z*) *Cush v. Wells*, 1 B. & Adol. 375: *Abbott v. Greenwood*, 7 Dowl. 534, *per* Patterson, J.

(*a*) *Abbott v. Greenwood*, 7 Dowl. 534.

(*b*) *Luton v. Derreux*, 3 B. & Adol. 342.

In the case of a discharge of a defendant from arrest, the judge refused to give him costs, unless he would forego the action. (*Ritchet v. Bresey*, 1 C. & M. 735).

to strike it out; and he may give notice thereof to the defendant's attorney, in order to prevent the expense of an application to the court (c); and he may, it seems, do this, even after application made to set aside the judgment, provided he pay the costs incurred by the defendant in consequence of the irregularity (d). Where the plaintiff gives notice to the defendant of abandoning a judgment by default irregularly signed, but does not actually strike it out, the defendant need not, it seems, apply to the court to set it aside; and, where it appeared that the defendant had not asked the plaintiff to strike out the judgment, *Littledale, J.*, discharged a rule for that purpose, but without costs (e).

Setting aside regular Judgment on Terms.] The court, also, in some cases, on the defendant's application, will set aside a regular judgment, upon an affidavit of merits, if the plaintiff has not lost a trial (f). As it is wholly discretionary, however, in the court to do this or not, they will not set aside a regular judgment in order to give the defendant an advantage of any nicety of pleading (g), or of any matter which does not go to the merits of the cause (h): for instance, in an action on an attorney's bill, that no signed bill was delivered (i), or a special plea of questionable matter, designed to draw the plaintiff to demur (k). And the Court of Common Pleas have refused to set aside a regular judgment, where it appeared that the defendant had refused to accede to equitable terms of compromise (l). But a plea of the Statute of Limitations is now considered a plea to the merits; and therefore, in the Common Pleas, an interlocutory judgment was allowed to be set aside without restraining the defendant from pleading it (m). So the defendant may plead bankruptcy (n), or infancy (o).

Setting aside regular Judgment on Terms.

When the court set aside a regular judgment, it is usually upon the terms of the defendant's paying the costs of the application (p), pleading issuably *instantly*, (which means on the same day at all events) (q), taking short notice of trial (r), and giving judgment of the term (s), or of a particular day, when necessary; thereby placing the plaintiff in the same situation as though the judgment had not been set aside (t); and in some cases, also, they will order the defendant to bring the money into court (u); and in all cases of regular judgment will restrain him from bringing an action.

On what Terms.

The affidavit of merits must, in terms, state that the defendant has "a good defence to this action upon the merits" (x); and must be made, either by the defendant himself or his

"Merits" must be sworn to.

(c) Imp. B. R. 494, n.
(d) See *just*, Book IV. Part I. Ch. 17: and see *Drexton v. Beckett*, 4 M. & R. 100: (a. Prac. C. B. 124.
(e) *Robinson v. Studdart*, 5 Dowl. 266.
(f) *Wood v. Cleveland*, 2 Salk. 518: *Sister v. Lee*, 1 Salk. 402.
(g) *Forbes v. Middleton*, 2 Str. 1242.
(h) *Wilket v. Atterton*, 1 W. Bla. 35: but see as to Statute of Limitations, *Maddocks v. Holmes*, *infra*.
(i) *Berk v. Mordaunt*, 2 Bing. N. C. 141: 4 Dowl. 112, S. C.
(k) *Wood v. Cleveland*, 2 Salk. 518.
(l) *Anon.*, 4 Taunt. 803.

(m) *Maddocks v. Holmes*, 1 B. & P. 228.
(n) *Frans v. Gill*, 1 B. & P. 52: Tidd, 568.
(o) *Delafield v. Tanner*, 5 Taunt. 856: 1 Marsh. (2d), S. C.
(p) *Sister v. Lee*, 1 Salk. 402: see *Prudhoe v. Armstrong*, Barnes, 251.
(q) Tidd, 9th ed. 567.
(r) *Mattheus v. Stone*, Barnes, 242.
(s) *For v. Glass*, 2 Str. 823.
(t) See *Smith v. Blundell*, 1 Chit. Rep. 226: and see *Picker v. Webster*, Id. 232.
(u) *Welland v. Rock*, Barnes, 243.
(x) *Ante*, 570. See Chit. Forms, 243.

BOOK II.
PART IV.

attorney or agent, or the clerk of the attorney who has management of the cause, or some person who has had connexion with the cause as acquaints him with its merits, and this must appear on the face of the affidavit (*y*). An affidavit merely that the defendant is advised and believes "a good and meritorious defence" (*z*); or, that the defendant "hath merits and good cause of defence to this action," or, that he "is informed and believes that he has a good and substantial, and available defence to this action" (*b*), will suffice. And an affidavit that he has "merits to defend" or "a good defence to the action," will not satisfy the court of a rule which requires him to swear to a good defence upon the merits" (*c*). But perhaps an affidavit by the defendant himself, who is not in the profession, that he has a good defence upon the merits, "as he is advised and verily believes" would suffice (*d*); and an affidavit by an agent that following the instructions he had received from the country, he believed that the defendant had a good defence to the action upon the merits, has been held sufficient (*e*). The affidavit must state that the defence is to the particular action in question (*f*); the plaintiff cannot in general, in answer to the affidavit of defence, go into a long statement in his affidavit to shew that the defendant has no merits; and if he does, the court will or the master not to allow the costs of that part of the affidavit

(*y*) *Rowbotham v. Dupree*, 5 Dowl. 557;
Morris v. Hunt, 1 Chit. Rep. 97.

(*z*) *Bauer v. Kemp*, 1 Dowl. 282; 1 C.
& J. 287, S. C.

(*a*) *Lane v. Isaacs*, 3 Dowl. 652.

(*b*) *Page v. South*, 7 Dowl. 412.

(*c*) *Pringle v. Marsack*, 1 D. &

(*d*) *Cruikshank v. Innes*, 5 Dowl.

(*e*) *Schofield v. Huggins*, 3 D.

(*f*) *Tate v. Bodfield*, 3 Dowl.

(*g*) *Heane v. Battersby*, 3 D.
per Gurney, B.

CHAPTER IV.

WRIT OF INQUIRY.

- SECT. 1. *Writ of Inquiry in ordinary Cases*—707 to 721.
 2. *Reference to the Master*—721 to 723.
 3. *Writ of Inquiry, in Debt on Bond*—723 to 729.

SECT. 1.

Writ of Inquiry in ordinary Cases.

What, and Form of, 707.
What Cases necessary, 709.
How Sued out and left with the Sheriff, 713.
Where whom to be Executed, *id.*
Order for a good Jury, 714.
Notice of Executing the Inquiry, *id.*
Attending by Counsel, 717.

Subpœnaing Witnesses, 717.
How Writ Executed, *id.*
Evidence and Damages on, *id.*
Setting aside Inquisition, &c.
 719.
Return of Inquisition, 720.
Final Judgment, *id.*
Execution, *id.*

CHAP. IV.
 SECT. 1.

What, and Form of.] A WRIT of inquiry is a judicial writ, directed to the sheriff of the county in which the venue in the action is laid, stating the former proceedings in the action, and “because it is unknown what damages the plaintiff hath sustained,” commanding the sheriff that by the oath of twelve honest and lawful men of his county he diligently inquire the same, and return the inquisition into court (*h*). It is now tested on the day on which it is issued, in actions within the Uniformity of Process Act (*i*).

What, and
 Form of.

Formerly, the writ must have been *returnable* in term; but now, by stat. 1 W. 4, c. 7, s. 1, reciting, that “the judgment and execution in actions brought in his majesty’s courts of law at Westminster are often delayed by reason of the interval between the terms,” “for the prevention of such delay,” it is enacted, “that any writ of inquiry of damages to be issued in or by either of the said courts, by whatever form of process the action may have been commenced, may be made *returnable* and be *returned on any day certain*, in term or vacation, to be named in such writ, and such writ shall be as valid and effectual as if the same had been returnable according to the course of the common law; and thereupon, at the return thereof, a

When Re-
 turnable.

(i) See the forms of writs of inquiry, Chit. Forms, 335. the like into the county palatine of Lancaster, *Id.* 336.

(h) *Quære*, whether it ought not, in all cases, to be tested in term? (See *Seaton v. Hea*, 5 Dowl. 247).

BOOK II.
PART IV.

rule for judgment may be given (j), costs taxed, final judgment signed, and execution issued forthwith (*k*), unless the sheriff or other officer before whom the same may be executed shall *certify* under his hand upon such writ that judgment ought not to be signed until the defendant shall have had an opportunity to apply to the court to set aside the execution of such writ, or one of the judges of the said courts shall think fit to order the judgment to be stayed until a day to be named in such order: provided always, that in case the signing of judgment on such writ shall be postponed by reason of such certificate or order, or by the choice of the plaintiff or otherwise, and judgment shall be afterwards signed thereon, such judgment shall be entered of record, as of the day of the return of such writ, unless the court shall otherwise direct."

Judgment
and Execu-
tion on,
where Writ
returnable in
Vacation.

By sect. 3, "every judgment to be signed by virtue of this act may be *entered and recorded* as the judgment of the court wherein the action shall be depending, although the court may not be sitting on the day of the signing thereof; and every *execution* issued by virtue of this act shall and may bear *date* on the day of issuing thereof (*k*); and such judgment and execution shall be as valid and effectual as if the same had been signed and recorded and issued according to the course of the common law."—Sect. 4: "Provided always, that, notwithstanding any judgment signed or recovered, or execution issued, by virtue of this act, it shall be lawful for the court in which the action shall have been brought to order such judgment to be vacated, and execution to be stayed or set aside, and to enter an arrest of judgment, or grant a new trial or new writ of inquiry, as justice may appear to require; and thereupon the party affected by such writ of execution shall be restored to all that he may have lost thereby in such manner as upon the reversal of a judgment by writ of error, or otherwise, as the court may think fit to direct."

In order to enable the plaintiff to avail himself of the advantages of this act, he should make the writ of inquiry *returnable in vacation*, some day immediately after that on which he is certain he will be prepared with his witnesses to execute the same (*l*). After the execution of the writ of inquiry, and judgment and execution thereon under the above act, the court have allowed the defendant to enter a suggestion to deprive the plaintiff of costs, under a Court of Request Act, and ordered the plaintiff to restore the amount of defendant's costs, at the same time restraining the defendant from bringing any action (*m*).

The writ must be executed against all the defendants jointly, who have allowed judgment to go by default. If two defendants, even in trespass, suffer judgment by default, and the plaintiff execute writs of inquiry against them separately.

(j) But by the rule of H. T., 2 W. 4, r. 67, *ante*, 332, such rule is unnecessary, and judgment may be signed after the expiration of *four days* from the return of the inquiry without such rule.

(k) See also the 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 67, s. 2, which allows the execution to be *returnable immediately after it is executed*, *ante*, 404. As to the return of writs of inquiry in the Common Pleas at Lancaster, see now

the 4 & 5 W. 4, c. 62, ss. 18, 19: 39 & 40 G. 3, c. 103, s. 2: 22 G. 3, c. 46, s. 35: 1 W. 4, c. 7, s. 8: Tidd's Supp. 133.

(l) Chit. Sum. Prac. 124

(m) — v. —, MS., Nov. 1832: *see Heale v. Earle*, 2 M. & W. 363: *Bond v. Bailey*, 3 Dowl. 808: 2 C., M. & R. 346 S. C.: *Gulson v. Lloyd*, 4 Dowl. 157: *Shaw v. Oates*, Id. 720: *Barnard v. Turner*, 1 M. & W. 540: *Johnson v. Leake*, 7 Dowl. 467

Must include
all the De-
fendants.

several damages against them, it will be irregular; final judgment be entered up for those several damages, the error (n). The only way the plaintiff has of remedying his evil is, by applying to the court, before final judgment, to set aside his own proceedings; which they will allow him to do, upon payment of costs (o).

CHAP. IV.
SECT. I.

the amendment of a writ of inquiry, see *post*, Book IV. Amendment of. Ch. 28.

[*In what Cases necessary, &c.*] When the judgment is inter- merely, (which is always the case in *assumpsit*, cove- nant, trespass, and replevin, the sole object of those actions being *damages* (p), the plaintiff's title to damages is established; but the amount of the damages yet remains to be ascertained. This is usually done by a writ of inquiry. As the inquest, however, is merely for the purpose of ascertaining the conscience of the court, the court themselves, in all cases, if they please, assess the damages, and thereupon give final judgment (q); and it is accordingly the practice in actions upon bills of exchange and promissory notes (r), and on a banker's cheque (s), to refer it to one of the masters of the court to state the amount of principal and interest due on the note, without a writ of inquiry; and the same in an action on an award (t), and in an action of covenant for non-payment of a liquidated sum (u), as for non-payment of money on mortgage (x), or for non-payment of rent upon a lease (y), or for the arrears of an annuity (z), or the like. When the computation of damages is not a mere *matter of calculation*, the court will not refer it to one of the masters, but will put the plaintiff to sue out his writ of inquiry; thus, in an action on a bill of exchange for foreign money (a), or on a judgment (b), or on a bond to save harmless (c), or on a covenant to indemnify (d), or on a bottomry bond (e), or on calls due on railway shares (f), and even in an action for a judgment recovered on a bill of exchange where interest is sought for (g), or in *assumpsit* for a sum certain due by agreement (h), the court have refused to refer it to a master (i). In cases where the court will refer it, as when the matter is on a bill of exchange or other matter, where the damages are merely the subject of calculation, it is necessary

In what Cases necessary.

Wall v. Milbank, 6 T. R. 199.
Re v. Orchard, 1 Str. 422: *post*, ante, 701.
Re v. Rawlins, 3 Wils. 61: *Thelwell v. Thelwell*, 1 Doug. 316, n.: 1 Esp. 73.
Id v. Hammerley, 4 Taunt. 148.
Hard v. Charter, 4 T. R. 275; 2 W. & A. 7, n. (2). And in *Goldmid v. P. & P.* 55, the court referred it to a master to compute principal, interest, exchange, re-exchange, and costs; charges and expenses. But in *Schneider*, (12 East, 419,) the court refused to direct the master to compute on a bill drawn in Scotland and accepted by the defendant.

(x) *Berthen v. Street*, 8 T. R. 326.
(y) *Byrom v. Johnson*, 8 T. R. 410: *Campion v. Crauchay*, 6 Taunt. 356; 2 Marsh, 56, S. C.
(z) *Alkway v. Hill*, 2 Chit. Rep. 32.
(a) *Maunsell v. Massacreene*, 4 T. R. 87.
(b) *Messin v. Massacreene*, 4 T. R. 493: see *Doran v. O'Reilly*, 5 Dowl. 233.
(c) *Crook v. Pettit*, 2 Wils. 5.
(d) *Deunson v. Mair*, 14 East, 622.
(e) *Tidd*, 571.
(f) *Cheltenham Railway Co. v. Fry*, B. & C. F. 1839; 3 Jurist, 316.
(g) *Nelson v. Sheridan*, 8 T. R. 395; see *Blackmore v. Fleming*, 7 T. R. 446; *Taylor v. Capper*, 14 East, 442; *McClure v. Duncan*, 1 East, 436; but since the 1 & 2 V. c. 110, this would probably be held otherwise, where the plaintiff seeks only £4 per cent.
(h) *Tidd*, 571.
(i) *Bishop v. Best*, 2 Chit. Rep. 233; 3 B. & Ald. 275, S. C.

that this appear upon the face of the declaration, and not be mere matter of evidence (*k*); and if one of several counts contain matters of this kind, you can, after a judgment by default, have it referred to the master to compute the damages upon that count, upon your entering a *remittitur damna* as to the others (*l*); but not after payment has been made generally on account (*m*). As to this reference to the master, *vide post*, 722.

But if there be judgment by default as to part, and issue joined as to the residue, or if some of several defendants suffer judgment by default, and others plead to issue, a writ of inquiry is never executed; but a special *venire*, as well to try the issues as to inquire of the damages, is awarded, and the jury who try the issues will assess the damages for the whole (*n*). So, if there be a demurrer to one count, and issue in fact joined on the other, a special *venire* may issue as above mentioned (*o*); or the plaintiff, after he has obtained judgment on the demurrer, may execute an inquiry as to that count, and enter a *nolle prosequi* as to the other (*p*); and he may enter the *nolle prosequi* after the damages have been assessed, provided he do so before final judgment (*q*). Or if there be a demurrer as to part, and judgment by default as to the residue, the plaintiff may sue out a writ of inquiry on the judgment by default, and assess contingent damages as to the demurrer; or he may proceed to obtain judgment on the demurrer in the first instance, and then execute a writ of inquiry on both judgments (*r*).

In *debt*, the judgment is always *final*, *quoad* the debt, and the damages usually sought for being very trifling, it is not, in general, worth while to execute a writ of inquiry for them, but the plaintiff may, at once, enter up a final judgment, and sue out execution; and this even in an action on a bail-bond (*s*). So, in debt on a replevin bond, where the not making a return of goods distrained for rent was assigned for breach, it was holden that the plaintiff, after signing judgment by default, might sue out execution for the amount of the goods as indorsed on the replevin bond, and of the taxed costs, without executing a writ of inquiry (*t*). And in one case, in an action of debt (*u*), although the court set aside execution upon a judgment by default, and ordered payment of costs, and referred it to the master, to ascertain what was due to the plaintiff, yet they refused to direct a writ of inquiry to be executed. But if the damages be of sufficient consequence to warrant the expense of proceeding for them, the plaintiff may either execute a writ of inquiry for them, or, where they are mere matter of calculation, may apply to have it referred to one of the masters. Thus, in an action of debt on a judgment of many years' standing, where the defendant allowed judgment to go by default, the court held, that the plaintiff was justified in executing a writ of inquiry, to obtain interest on his judgment by way of damages (*v*). And if, from the

(*k*) *Osborne v. Nond*, 8 T. R. 648.

(*l*) *Duperon v. Johnson*, 7 T. R. 473; *Hald v. Johnson*, 2 Smith, 46, 47, n.; *Howard v. Hunt*, C. P., M. 1888; 2 Jurist, 24; *Bowden v. Horne*, 7 Bing. 716; 5 Moo. & P. 756, S. C.

(*m*) *Jones v. Shail*, 6 Dowl. 579.

(*n*) *Ante*, 700.

(*o*) See *Codrington v. Lloyd*, 1 Per. & D. 157.

(*p*) *Fleming v. Langton*, 1 Str. 532.

(*q*) *Duperon v. Johnson*, 7 T. R. 473; see *Bowden v. Horne*, 7 Bing. 716; 5 Moo. & P. 756, S. C.

(*r*) See *ante*, 662; *Barnes*, 229.

(*s*) *Mo dy v. Phoenix*, 2 B. & P. 446.

(*t*) *Middleton v. Bryan*, 3 M. & Sel. 151.

(*u*) *Taylor v. Copper*, 14 East, 442.

(*v*) *Blackmore v. Flemmyng*, 7 T. R. 456; *McClure v. Duncan*, 1 East, 436; see *Nel-*

re of the contract, the amount must of necessity be uncertain, then, though the action be in debt, there must be a writ of inquiry to reduce it to a certainty (*x*). Thus, in an action of debt for use and occupation on a *quantum meruit*, judgment by default, a writ of inquiry would, perhaps, be necessary before signing final judgment (*y*); and in an action on the stat. 2 & 3 Ed. 6, c. 13, for not setting out tithes, the jury must, it seems, be a writ of inquiry to ascertain the value of the tithes; so, in an action of debt for foreign money, the jury must find the value of the money (*z*). And it seems, in any case where the plaintiff is uncertain as to the amount of his demand, there is no objection to his signing interlocutory judgment, and executing a writ of inquiry, instead of signing final judgment in the first instance (*a*).

In a *debt on bond*, conditioned for the payment of an annuity, or of money by instalments, or for the performance of covenants, or of an award, or of any other specific act, although judgment by default be entered up for the amount of the annuity, yet a writ of inquiry must afterwards be executed, in order to ascertain what damages the plaintiff may have actually sustained by the breach of covenant, &c., complained of (*b*). This, however, does not extend to bail-bonds, replevin-bonds, bonds of petitioning creditors, or bonds for the payment of a sum of money in gross, or other bonds *anted post*, 723.

In Debt on Bond, within 8 & 9 W. 3, c. 11.

And, lastly, where the jury, on a trial at *Nisi Prius*, or before the sheriff under the 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, or at bar, act as an inquest—as, where they are to assess contingent damages on a demurrer, or where they are to assess damages on a judgment by default, as to some of the counts of the declaration (*c*), or where a demurrer to evidence is put in at the trial (*d*), and the jury omit to assess the contingent damages on the demurrer, or the damages on the judgment by default; or where, in trespass or replevin against an over-seer of the poor, the plaintiff is nonsuit, or the defendant has a verdict, and the jury omit to inquire of the treble damages given to the defendant in such a case by stat. 43 Eliz. c. 2, s. 19 (*e*); or where, in *quare impedit*, the jury, after finding for the plaintiff, omit to inquire of the value of the living, &c. (*f*);—in all these cases, the omission of the jury to assess the damages may afterwards, upon application to the court, be supplied by a writ of inquiry; and the same in all other cases where an attainder would not lie (*g*). But whenever an attainder (now abolished by the stat. 6 G. 4, c. 50, s. 60) would have lain, if the jury had assessed the damages,—as in an ordinary personal action, and the jury find a verdict for the

Where the Jury omit to assess Damages.

see v. Sheridan, 8 T. R. 795: see *ante*, 70.

(*x*) See per Bayley, J., *Weald v. Brown*, 1 C. & J. 673.

(*y*) *Arden v. Connell*, 5 B. & Ald. 885.

(*z*) *Arden v. Connell*, 5 B. & Ald. 885; 1 D. & R. 529, S. C.; *Brill v. Neale*, 1 Chit. Rep. 627; 3 B. & Ald. 204, S. C., not S. P.; *Bale v. Hodgetts*, 1 Bing. 182; 7 Moore, 612, S. C.; *M'Kenzie v. Gayford*, 5 Dowl. 413: *ante*, 710.

(*a*) *M'Kenzie v. Gayford*, 5 Dowl. 403.

(*b*) 8 & 9 W. 3, c. 11, s. 8.

(*c*) See *ante*, 700, 710: and *Townshend v. Pool*, Barnes, 220.

(*d*) *Darrouse v. Newbott*, Cro. Car. 143.

(*e*) *Valentine v. Fawcett*, Hardw. 138; *Valentine v. Fawcett*, 2 Str. 1021; *Herbert v. Waters*, 1 Salk. 205; 1 L. Raym. 59, S. C.; *Dewell v. Marshall*, 2 W. Bl. 921; 3 Wils. 432, S. C.

(*f*) 10 Co. 118; 1 Tidd, 9th ed. 575.

(*g*) See *Eschorn v. Le Maitre*, 2 Wils. 367; *Kimston v. Mayer, &c.*, of *S. rewsbury*, Hard. 205.

BOOK II.
PART IV.

plaintiff, but omit to assess the damages (*h*); or where issue is joined upon a plea in abatement, and the jury, upon finding for the plaintiff, omit to assess the damages (*i*)—the omission cannot be supplied by a writ of inquiry (*k*). Also, in a replevin for a distress for rent, if the jury find for the defendant, but omit to inquire of the arrears of rent, in pursuance of stat. 17 C. 2, c. 7, this omission cannot be remedied by a writ of inquiry; because the statute requires that the inquiry be made by the same jury who try the issue (*l*). And in this last class of cases the proper course is to award a *venire de novo*, as to which, see *post*, Book IV. Part I. Ch. 27, title, "New Trial." Therefore, where, in an action for a libel, the defendant pleaded the general issue, and nine special pleas, and a verdict was found for the plaintiff on the first issue, and on two of the special pleas, without any damages, and for the defendant on the remaining seven pleas, and the court, upon motion, awarded a writ of inquiry to assess the plaintiff's damages, on which judgment was entered up for the damages found on the inquisition; a writ of error being afterwards brought in the Exchequer Chamber to reverse the judgment as to the award of the writ of inquiry, that court, holding the verdict on these issues to be void, no damages having been assessed, ordered a *venire de novo* to be awarded to try the first issue, and also the last, so far as related to the two pleas on which the verdict for the plaintiff had been found (*m*).

It has been holden, that where a verdict for the plaintiff is void, but the defendant's plea amounts to a confession, the court will give judgment upon this confession, and award a writ of inquiry to ascertain the plaintiff's damages (*n*). Where the plaintiff obtains judgment *non obstante veredicto*, he may execute a writ of inquiry, as of course, without applying to the court (*o*).

Award of.] Where a writ of inquiry is allowable and necessary, an award of it follows immediately after the entry of the interlocutory judgment, thus:—*But because it is unknown to the court here what damages the plaintiff hath sustained by means of the premises,*" [or where the inquiry is to extend only to some of several counts, they must be particularized, as thus: *by means of the not performing the said promises in the said first and second counts mentioned*] (*p*), "*the sheriff is commanded, that, by the oath of twelve good and lawful men of his bailiwick, he diligently inquire,*" &c. (*q*). If the award of the writ of inquiry on the roll be right, the *teste* of the writ, if wrong, may be amended by it (*r*). Where a writ of error is brought in the House of Lords upon a judgment for the defendant, and the judgment is reversed, a writ of inquiry is awarded by the court in which the original judgment

(*h*) *Clement v. Lewis*, 3 B. & B. 297; 7 Moore, 200. S. C.: see *ante*, Vol. I. 320.

(*i*) *Ante*, 655.

(*k*) See *Eichorn v. Le Maitre*, 2 Wils. 367.

(*l*) *Herbert v. Waters*, 1 Salk. 205: 1 L. Raym. 50, S. C.: see *Freeman v. Archer*, 2 W. Bl. 763.

(*m*) *Lewis v. Clement*, 3 B. & Ald. 702: and see *Clement v. Lewis*, 3 B. & B. 297;

7 Moore, 200, S. C.

(*n*) *Lacy v. Reynolds*, Cro. El. 214: *Jones v. Budinner*, Carth. 370.

(*o*) *Shepherd v. Halls*, 2 Dowl. 339.

(*p*) See *Hughes v. Alvarez*, 2 Str. 684.

(*q*) See the form, Chit. Forms, 328.

(*r*) See *Johann v. Toulmin*, 4 East, 173: *Pipert v. Hearn*, 1 D. & Ry. 266, 271: *per Bayley, J.*, 5 B. & Ald. 634, & C.: *post*, Book IV. Part I. Ch. 28.

In case of
Judgment
non obstante
veredicto, &c.

Award of.

s to ascertain the plaintiff's damages, the House of having no power to award a writ of inquiry (s).

CHAP. IV.
SECT. I.

Sued out and left with the Sheriff.] Engross the writ on parchment; get it sealed by the sealer of the writs. It is not to be signed in the Court of Queen's Bench, but it is to be so in the Common Pleas or Exchequer; therefore, in those courts, get it also signed by one of the masters(t). On it a memorandum of the day on which it is to be executed; and leave it at the sheriff's office the day before, at least (u); the sheriff will thereupon summon a jury for the trial of it.

How sued out and left with the Sheriff.

Before whom to be Executed.] The writ is usually executed before the sheriff or his deputy(x). It may, however, under special circumstances, by leave of the court, be executed before the chief justice, if the venue have been laid in Middlesex or London; or by leave of the court or a judge, before a judge of assize as an assistant to the sheriff, if the venue were laid in any other county(y). It is only, however, where a difficult point of law is likely to arise in the course of the inquiry, or where the facts are important, that the court or judge will grant this indulgence; and the mere importance of the facts will not, it seems, induce the court to grant it. When the venue is laid in Middlesex or London(z); for the sheriff of Middlesex, and the secondary in London, are generally men of experience, and fully competent to conduct a business of this kind. By the 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 22, the court or a judge may, in a local action, order the inquiry to be executed in another county than that in which the venue is laid, and for that purpose may order a suggestion to be entered on the record, that the inquiry may be conveniently executed in the other county.

Before whom to be executed.

Application to have a writ of inquiry executed before the chief justice must, it seems, be made to the court in term time.

Motion to have it executed before the Chief Justice.

For this purpose, make an affidavit of the circumstances, and give it to counsel with a motion paper, to move for a rule to draw up the rule with one of the masters, and serve a copy of it on the opposite attorney; and afterwards move to make it absolute upon an affidavit of service(a). Draw up the rule with one of the masters, prepare the writ of inquiry in ordinary cases, annex the rule to it, and leave it at the sheriff's office. You then enter the cause with the marshal, in the same manner as if it were a record, and pay him the same fee(b). The sheriff afterwards returns the inquisition as in the ordinary cases.

Where the inquiry is to be before a judge of assize, application must be either to the court in term time, or to a judge in vacation: if to the court, it is made in the manner above directed; if to a judge in vacation, get a motion paper signed by counsel, and take it, together with the affidavit above mentioned, to the judge's chambers, and the judge will grant his fiat to one of

Before a Judge of Assize.

Finch v. Haydon, Cowp. 843. See *neil*, 2 Wils. 378.

Forms, 335.

Fidd, 9th ed. 574.

R. H., 23 G. 3.

See *Wallace v. Humes*, Barnes, 231;

v. Skidmore, 1d. 232; *Denny v. Trap-*

(y) See *Anon.*, 12 Mod. 610.

(z) 1 Sellon, 344.

(a) See the forms, Chit. Forms. 337.

(b) See *ante*, 253.

Writ of Inquiry, in ordinary Cases.

BOOK II.
PART IV.

the masters to draw up the rule(c). Take the motion paper and fiat to one of the masters, and draw up the rule, and proceed as is above directed.

Order for a
good Jury.

Order for a good Jury.] When the writ of inquiry is to be executed before the chief justice or a judge of assize, it is not unusual, and in general it is better, to obtain a judge's order(*d*) for the sheriff to return a "good jury," which is a better sort of jury taken from the special jury book(*e*). The costs of this good jury are now usually allowed to the plaintiff(*f*).

Notice of ex-
ecuting the
inquiry.

To whom
given.

Notice of Executing the Inquiry.] The plaintiff must give a written notice of executing the writ of inquiry to the defendant himself, if he have no attorney in the cause, or otherwise to the defendant's attorney(*g*). But if the attorney be not known, it may be given to the defendant himself. By *R. H.*, 2 *W.* 4, r. 57, "notice of trial and inquiry, and of continuance of inquiry, shall be given in town; but countermand of notice of trial or inquiry may be given either in town or country, unless otherwise ordered by the court or a judge"(*h*). It must, it seems, be given to all the defendants, if there be more than one(*i*), or left at their last or most usual place of abode(*j*). Notice of inquiry has been allowed to be served by sticking it up in the office, and leaving it at the defendant's last place of abode; though neither the process nor notice of declaration had been personally served(*k*).

low long.

If the writ is to be executed in London or Middlesex, and the defendant lives within forty computed miles of London, eight days' notice must be given, which must be computed exclusive of the day of giving the notice, and inclusive of the day of executing the inquiry(*l*). But fourteen days' notice is required if the defendant resides at a greater distance, the same as a notice of trial(*m*). If the writ is to be executed in any other county, eight days' notice is sufficient(*n*). In *replevin* there should be fifteen days' notice of inquiry under 17 *C.* 2, c. 7, s. 2(*o*). Sunday, Christmas-day, Good Friday, or a day appointed for a public fast or thanksgiving, is reckoned as one of the days, unless it be the last day(*p*). And the intervening days between Thursday next before and Wednesday next after Easter-day are reckoned in notices of inquiry, although not in other proceedings(*q*). A defendant residing at an hotel in London, from the time of his arrest

(c) See the forms, *Chit. Forms*, 337.

(d) *R. H.*, 2 *W.* 4, r. 101. Formerly a rule was necessary, but, by the rule of *H. T.*, "there shall be no rule for the sheriff to return a good jury upon a writ of inquiry, but an order shall be made by a judge upon summons for that purpose." (See the form, *Chit. Forms*, 337).

(e) See *Price v. Williams*, 5 *Dowl.* 160.

(f) *Wilkinson v. Malm*, 1 *Dowl.* 630; 1 *C. & M.* 238, *S. C.* Before the rule of *H. T.*, 2 *W.* 4, r. 10, it was otherwise. (See *Calvert v. Gordon*, 3 *M. & Ry.* 124, 128; *Chapman*, 1 *Add.* 20).

(g) *Ante*, 241; *Moseley v. Sanford*, *Barnes*, 311; *Pr. Reg.* 276; *Harding v. Stafford*, *Say.* 133; *Knibbs v. Hopcraft*, 10 *Price*, 147; *Brooks v. Till*, 2 *Y. & J.* 276. See the form, *Chit. Forms*, 338.

(h) See *Hodges v. Perkins*, 3 *East*, 568; *Barnes*, 306; and see this rule commented on, *ante*, Vol. I. 204.

(i) *Pr. Reg.* 443: *sed vide Figgins v. Ward*, 2 *Dowl.* 364.

(j) See *R. T.*, 1 *G.* 2: and *ante*, 208.

(k) *Watson v. Delcrolz*, 2 *Dowl.* 396.

(l) *R. M.*, 4 *A. c.*: *R. H.*, 2 *W.* 4, r. 8, *ante*, 93.

(m) *Ante*, 205, 207: see *Stevens v. Poll*, 2 *Dowl.* 355.

(n) *R. M.*, 4 *A. c.*: *R. H.*, 39 *G.* 3, *Exch.*

(o) *Burton v. Hickey*, 6 *Taunt.* 57; 1 *Marsh*, 444, *S. C.*

(p) *R. M.*, 4 *A. c.*: *R. H.*, 2 *W.* 4, r. 8, *ante*, 93, 207.

(q) *R. E.*, 2 *W.* 4, r. 1, *ante*, 93.

which case he would be entitled to fourteen days' notice. If he reside above forty miles from London, he is entitled to fourteen days' notice, although he may be nearer London when the notice is served (t). Where a defendant is a vessel, and resides on board, and has no home, he is considered to reside where his ship is registered; and if more than forty miles from London, is entitled to fourteen days' notice of executing a writ of inquiry (u). And, in the same rules that are applicable to notices of trial are applicable to notices of inquiry (v). If the defendant undertakes to take "short notice" of inquiry, he is bound to take short notice of trial, namely, four days in country causes, and two days in town causes (x). But being bound to take short notice of trial does not bind the defendant to take short notice of inquiry (y).

Notice of inquiry is also necessary in cases where notice of trial would be required if the cause had proceeded to trial (x). By *R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 52*, (*ante*, 210), notice may be given at any time before the first day of

Term's Notice, when necessary.

trial to give the notice on a separate piece of paper: *Notice, how given.* By rule of *H. T., 2 W. 4, r. 59*, "in all cases where the defendant's pleading concludes to the country, the plaintiff's attorney shall give notice of trial at the time of delivering his or other subsequent pleading; and, in case issue is joined, such notice shall be available; but if not joined on such replication or other subsequent pleading, the plaintiff shall sign judgment for want of such notice, and forthwith give notice of executing a writ of inquiry, as aforesaid; and in all cases where the defendant demurs to the plaintiff's declaration, replication, or subsequent pleading, the defendant's attorney, or the

BOOK II.
PART IV.Statement of
time of Exe-
cution must
be certain and
definite.But sufficient,
if Defendant
not misled.The Defend-
ant must
attend punct-
ually.Notice for
Sittings or
Assizes.Continuance
or Counter-
mand of
Notice of
Inquiry.

When the writ is to be executed before the notice states that it will be executed on a day other than which must be on or before the return day of the writ being Sunday (c), usually between two certain hours between the hours of ten and twelve o'clock in the forenoon and between the hours of four and six o'clock in the afternoon "at the Secondary's Office, No. 28, Coleman Street, in London; or "at the Sheriff's Office, in Red Lion Street, Holborn, in the county of Middlesex," if in Middlesex; or in any other county, then at some place within the county appointed for that purpose, and particularly described in the notice (f). A notice of executing the writ "by ten o'clock" or "at ten o'clock, or as soon after as the sheriff can get it" will be bad for uncertainty; so, "between the hours of ten and two o'clock," has been held insufficient, as not sufficiently definite (i). But a notice to execute "at 11 o'clock" is good, it having been executed before twelve o'clock when the notice was given for Wednesday, the 13th, when Wednesday fell on the 10th, on which day the writ was executed, the court refused to set it aside, the defendant refusing to swear that he was misled by it (l); and where the notice was given for Tuesday the 14th, when the 14th fell on Thursday, on which day the writ was executed (m), the defendant not swearing that he was misled.

If the defendant do not attend punctually at the place mentioned in the notice, and the writ be executed in his absence, the court will not relieve him (n); and, on the other hand, if the defendant attend at the hour, he will not be wrong in leaving the court at the expiration of the time mentioned in the notice; for the sheriff may have prior business, and may detain him beyond that time (o). But, if the writ be executed in the absence of the defendant, have the writ executed at a different time or place from that specified in the notice, it will be irregular, and the court, upon application, will set it aside.

If the writ is to be executed before the chief judge of assize, the notice is given for the sittings generally (p), in the same manner as in the notice *ante*, 208.

Notice of inquiry may be *continued or countermanded* in the same manner as a notice of trial, and as to which see *ante*, 210, 211 (q). It can be continued but once (r). A notice of continuance need not specify the place or hour, but may be taken to refer to the place and hour specified in the original notice (s). But a notice of continuance, not stating

(b) *Davies v. Sulter*, 2 Salk. 627; *Dyke v. Blackston*, 2 L. Raym. 1449.(c) *Hoyle v. Curmialls*, 1 Str. 387.(d) *Arnold v. Squire*, Say. 181.

(e) Tidd, 579.

(f) See Comyns, 551; *Squire v. Almond*, Barnes, 297; *Le Mark v. Neunham*, Id. 300; *Arnold v. Squire*, Say. 181; Pr. Reg. 447.(g) *Imu v. Foxen*, 2 Str. 1142.(h) *Hannaford v. Hudson*, Barnes, 295.(i) *Poster v. Smiles*, Barnes, 295, 296; *Robinson v. Phillips*, Id. 296; Comyns, 551; and see 1 Barnard, 139; *Langstaffe v. Lamb*, Barnes, 293.(k) *Last v. Denny*, Barnes, 302.(l) *Ellen v. Haig*, 1 Chit. Rep. 5; see *Batten v. Harrison*, 3 B. & Ald. 299, 294; and vide *Neakes*, 1 Chit. Rep. 5.(m) *Batten v. Harrison*, 3 B. & Ald. 299.

(n) 1 Barnard, 233.

(o) *Williams v. Frith*, 1 Lofft. 193, S. C.: 2 Barnard, 139.

(p) Tidd, 579; 1 Sellon, 1.

(q) See form of a notice of continuance, Chit. Forms, 339; of countermand, Id. 340.

(r) MS. H. 1820: *Price v. Barnes*, 297; *Burgess v. R. Rep.* 220; *Fryer v. Bians*, B. 2 Jurist, 15.(s) *Jones v. Chune*, 1 B. & Ald. 299.

e, cannot operate as an *original* notice, though given s previously (*t*). Notice of continuance of inquiry given in town; but countermand of notice of inquiry given either in town or country, unless otherwise by the court or a judge (*u*).

CHAP. IV.
SECT. 1.

plaintiff do not either proceed to execute his writ ac- to the notice, or countermand it in time, the defendant entitled to his *costs of the day*, on an affidavit of attend- l necessary expenses incurred (*x*), in the same manner it proceeding to trial (*y*).

Costs of Day,
for not pro-
ceeding on
Notice.

regularity in the notice of inquiry, or in the time and executing it, is *waived*, in general, by the defendant or may attending at the inquiry, and making a defence execution of the writ (*z*). It has been held, that a at to whom an irregular notice of inquiry is given o return it forthwith, and state what objection he has otherwise he would not be allowed the costs of an appli- o set aside the inquiry (*a*). But retaining the notice is er of the irregularity (*b*).

Irregularity
is, how
waived.

ding by Counsel.] If you wish to attend the execution writ of inquiry by counsel, you should give notice to the opposite party (*c*), in order to get the expense tendance, and briefs, &c., allowed you. Moreover the may, it seems, at the request of the opposite party, s the execution of the writ, unless such notice be). A written notice is not requisite (*e*). The master may not, in his discretion, allow costs for the attend- counsel, and preparing briefs, &c. (*f*).

Attending by
Counsel.

maing Witnesses.] After the notice of inquiry, the p to be taken is to subpœna the witnesses necessary to e amount of the damages (*g*).

Subpœnaing
Witnesses.

Writ executed.] Immediately upon the receipt of the : sheriff will summon a jury. Attend at the time ap- with your counsel and witnesses; and the inquest taken in nearly the same manner as at a trial at us, (*see ante*, 264), excepting that the jurors can- hallenged (*h*). Also, the execution of the writ may rned by the sheriff, if necessary, after it is entered .

How Writ
executed.

nce and Damages.] All the plaintiff has to prove, or ndant is permitted to controvert, is the amount of the (*k*); for the cause of action itself, as stated in the

Evidence and
Damages.

v. *Binnar*, B., C. M. 1837; 2 H., 2 W. 4, s. 57.
3 G. 1 a: *see Sutton v. Bryam*, 1st, Book IV. Part I. Ch. 23. 2d, 211.
see v. Poff, 2 Dowl. 355.
see form, Chit. Forms, 329.
last v. Micklin, 5 Price, 641;
Coleman v. Mauby, 2 Str. 453;
see v. Middleton, Id. 1250.

(e) *Elliott v. Micklin*, 5 Price, 641.
(f) *Hullock v. Hemsworth*, Tidd, 9th ed. 530.
(g) *See ante*, 232. See form of a *præcipe* for a subpœna, Chit. Forms, 329; of the subpœna, Id.; of the subpœna ticket, Id. 340.
(h) *Anon.*, 3 Salk. 81.
(i) *Coleman v. Mauby*, 2 Str. 453; *Markham v. Middleton*, Id. 1250; *Elliott v. Micklin*, 5 Price, 641.
(k) *De Gaillon v. L'Aigle*, 1 B. & P. 368.

declaration, is impliedly admitted by the defendant, by his suffering judgment to pass against him by default (*l*). The judgment admits something to be due, but disputes the amount: therefore, in an action for work and labour under the common counts, though the defendant admits that some work was done at his request, still he may cross-examine plaintiff's witnesses, or perhaps call others to prove that all the work charged for was not done at defendant's request (*m*). In an action on a policy on a foreign ship, when there is a stipulation that the policy shall be sufficient proof of interest, and judgment is suffered by default, the plaintiff, on the inquiry, need only prove the defendant's subscription to the policy, without giving any evidence as to interest (*n*). A lease, mentioned in the condition of a bond set out by the defendant upon *oyer*, need not be proved (*o*). So, a bill of exchange or promissory note, if declared upon, need not be proved, although it must be produced, in order to satisfy the inquest that no money has been paid on account of it (*p*); and the plaintiff is entitled to recover nominal damages, though the bill or note be not produced (*q*). So a contract, if declared on, is by the judgment admitted, and evidence to contradict it, which would be good under the general issue, ought not to be admitted (*r*). So the defendant, in an action on a contract, will not be allowed to give evidence of fraud (*s*), or of any other matter which would render the contract void; for, by allowing judgment to go by default, he has admitted the validity of the contract. So, the defendant will not be allowed to give in evidence, in mitigation of damages, any matter which might have been made the subject of a set-off (*t*). In an action for use and occupation, the plaintiff need not shew that the house occupied by the defendant was the plaintiff's house, as the judgment by default is an admission that the defendant occupied a house under the plaintiff; but if the defendant insist that he did not occupy the particular house alluded to in the evidence produced on the inquiry, the plaintiff must then prove the fact of its being his own house (*u*).

Amount of
Damages,
where no Evi-
dence given.

In trespass, or any other action, where the damage actually sustained by the plaintiff is the measure of the damages to be given by the jury, if the plaintiff do not prove the nature of the injury, and the amount of the damage sustained by him, the jury always give nominal damages merely. But where the jury are to imply the amount of the damages from the nature of the injury, and where no special damage could be proved unless laid in the declaration,—as, for instance, in an action of slander, or the like,—there, although the plaintiff do

(*l*) *Eadem v. Lutman*, 1 Str. 612: and see 2 Saund. 107, n. 2.

(*m*) *Williams v. Croper*, 3 Dowl. 204.

(*n*) *Thellusson v. Fletcher*, 1 Doug. 316; 1 Esp. 73, S. C.

(*o*) *Cullins v. Rybot*, 1 Esp. 157.

(*p*) *Green v. Hearn*, 3 T. R. 301: *Anon.*, 3 Wils. 155: and see *Beris v. Lindsell*, 2 Str. 1149. On an application for a rule to compute without production of the bill, the court refused to give an opinion whether production was necessary, but granted the rule, leaving the question to

the decision of the master. (*Sanderson v. Lee*, 7 Dowl. 97).

(*q*) *Marshall v. Griffin*, 1 R. & M. 41.

(*r*) *Stephens v. Pell*, 2 Dowl. 629: see *De Gailon v. L'Aigle*, 1 B. & P. 368: *Shepherd v. Chester*, 4 T. R. 273.

(*s*) *Eadem v. Lutman*, 1 Str. 612: *Shepherd v. Chester*, 4 T. R. 273.

(*t*) *Carruthers v. Graham*, 14 East, 78. As to payments, see *ante*, Vol. I. 185, 186.

(*u*) *Davis v. Holdship*, 1 Chit. Rep. 644, n. (a).

offer evidence, yet the jury may give such damages as the circumstances of the case warrant (*x*).

CHAP. IV.
SECT. 1.

In an action for mesne profits, the jury may give as damages, not merely the annual value of the premises, but may give ten times its amount, and damages for plaintiff's trouble, &c. (*y*).

In Action for
mesne Profits.

The jury may give damages in the nature of interest, over and above the value of the goods at the time of the conversion or seizure, in an action of trover or trespass *de bonis asportatis*; and over and above the money recoverable in an action on a policy of insurance made after the 14th of August, 1803 (*z*); and they ought to assess interest in the same cases in which it may be given by a jury at *Nisi Prius* (*a*).

Interest as
Damages.

If there be two or more defendants who suffer judgment to be by default, the inquest cannot, even in trespass, sever the damages (*b*); but where there is judgment by default against one defendant, and judgment upon demurrer against the other, the inquest may sever the damages, because the defendants were severed in their pleading (*c*).

Where the
Damages may
be severed.

Inquisition, how set aside, &c.] Within four days after the return day of the writ of inquiry, the defendant may, if he chooses, move the court to set aside the inquisition, or arrest the judgment, or apply to a judge (*d*) to stay the judgment until a day named by him. The sheriff or other officer before whom the writ was executed may also prevent the signing of judgment immediately, if he certify, on the return of the writ (*e*), that judgment ought not to be signed till defendant shall have had an opportunity of applying to the court to stay the execution of the writ set aside (*f*). To procure such certificate of the sheriff or order of a judge, it is usual to make an affidavit of the facts to induce him to grant it, though this seems unnecessary (*g*). Upon moving for such new trial, &c., it will suffice to produce the under-sheriff's notes verified by affidavit (*h*).

Inquisition.
how set aside,
&c.

As to the causes for which the court will set aside the inquisition, see *post*, Book IV. Part I. Ch. 27, title, "New Trial." Motion to set aside an inquiry for excess in the damages will not be granted, unless a strong case be made out, and it seems that it will not be granted on the affidavits of the parties, unless corroborated by others (*i*).

For what
Causes.

Where the court, upon application, ordered a new inquiry, on the ground that as to part of the damages found there was no evidence to warrant the finding of the jury; the defendant, however, in order to save the expense of a second inquiry, paid the plaintiff the whole of his demand; it was held, notwithstanding, that he was not bound to pay the plaintiff the costs of the first inquiry (*k*).

Costs of first
Inquiry.

(x) *Tripp v. Thomas*, 3 B. & C. 427; 5 D. & R. 276, S. C.
(y) *Godtula v. Tomba*, 3 Wils. 191.
(z) 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, s. 29.
(a) — v. *Edmunds*, 6 Taunt. 346.
(b) 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, s. 38: and see *ante*, "Verdict," p. 322.
(c) *Quince v. Orchard*, 1 Str. 422: *ante*, 470, 323.
(d) *Chapman v. House*, 2 Str. 1140; *sed*

quarre. See Vol. I. 323.
(d) See a form of the summons and order, Chit. Forms, 341.
(e) See a form of certificate, Chit. Forms, 341.
(f) 1 W. 4, c. 7, s. 1, *ante*, 707.
(g) See a form, Chit. Sum. Prac. 358.
(h) *Stephens v. Pell*, 2 Dowl. 621.
(i) *Lathbury v. Brown*, 10 Moore, 106.
(k) *Porter v. Cooper*, 3 Dowl. 622.

BOOK II.
PART IV.

Return of.

Return of.] Call at the sheriff's office, at or after the expiration of four days from the return day of the inquiry, and he will deliver to you the writ and his return with the inquisition. Such return is indorsed on the writ of inquiry. The inquisition is engrossed on parchment, and signed and sealed in the name of the sheriff and by the jurors (*l*). The defendant is entitled to have the inquisition filed; and if the plaintiff's attorney refuses to file it or shew it to the defendant's attorney, the court will compel him to do so, and to pay the costs (*m*).

Final Judgment, &c.

Final Judgment, &c.] After the four days from the return day of the inquiry has expired, (if the sheriff has not certified on the writ as above mentioned, and a judge has not ordered the staying the judgment till a day not yet arrived, and the defendant has not moved to set aside the inquisition or the arrest of judgment, or if he has moved, and the inquisition be not set aside, nor the judgment arrested), get your costs taxed by one of the masters and final judgment signed, as upon a postea (see post, Book IV. Part I. Ch. 31, title, "Costs"), and you may then proceed forthwith to sue out execution. (See ante, 707). By rule of H. T., 2 W. 4, r. 67, "after the return of a writ of inquiry, judgment may be signed at the expiration of four days from such return without any rule for judgment." It seems, however, that where the damage assessed by the inquiry are under 20*l.*, it is the practice to consider the same as within the 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, s. 18, post 727, and to tax costs and sign judgment immediately after the execution of the inquiry, without waiting the four days (*n*).

Entry of on Roll.

The entry of the judgment is thus:—After the award of the writ of inquiry on the roll, (as ante, 712), follows an entry of the return of it and the finding of the inquest, and lastly the entry of the final judgment as in ordinary cases (*o*). We have seen (ante, 702) that the judgment is to be entered of record of the day when signed, to which day it has relation; also that there is no occasion to enter any continuances. If the roll have already been carried in, this entry will be made by one of the masters, upon your leaving the inquisition with him for that purpose. But if the roll have not as yet been carried in, you must get a roll, and enter the proceedings on it, to the interlocutory judgment inclusive; after which, enter the award of the inquiry, the return, and final judgment, as above mentioned. Then docket your entry and carry in the roll (*p*).

After Death of Defendant.

If the defendant die after interlocutory and before final judgment, and the interlocutory judgment be revived against the executor, &c., and a writ of inquiry executed, the final judgment in that case must be against the executor or administrator and not against the testator or intestate (*q*).

Execution.

Execution.] The execution after a writ of inquiry is the same as in ordinary cases. By the 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, s. 19, all the provisions of the 1 W. 4, c. 7, relating to judgments and executions are extended to judgments and executions on writs of inquiry

(*l*) See a form of the sheriff's return and inquisition, Chit. Forms, 340.

(*m*) *Townsend v. Burns*, 1 Dowl. 629; 1 C. & M. 176, S. C.

(*n*) *Huopell v. Leigh*, 3 Scott, 188.

(*o*) See the form, Chit. Forms, 328, &c. 10 Went. 4*th*. 442, 448, 436, 456.

(*p*) See Chit. Forms, 328, 334.

(*q*) 2 Saund. 72, n.

SECT. 2.

Reference to the Master.

the nature of the demand to ascertain which a reference to the master may be substituted for a writ of inquiry, 707, 708. What Cause of Action may be referred.

though the bill or note, &c., has been destroyed, the copy still be obtained (*r*). Where it appeared, upon production, that the bill had been stolen out of the attorney's pocket, the court ordered the usual reference to the master upon production of a copy (*s*). On an application to the court for a rule to compute without production of the bill, the court declined to give any opinion as to the necessity of such a rule, but granted the rule, leaving the question to the discretion of the master (*t*). Where the Bill, &c., is not forthcoming.

After an interlocutory judgment was signed, and the plaintiff died, on the subsequent day in the term, the court granted a rule to the plaintiff's principal and interest on the bill on which the judgment was brought (*u*). After Death of Plaintiff.

On a demurrer to one count, (on a bill of exchange), and on a plea to the other counts, the court granted a reference to the master, to see what was due to the plaintiff on the bill (*v*). On one of several Counts.

The mode of proceeding is thus:—In term time make an affidavit of the cause of action, and that interlocutory judgment signed (*w*). Annex this affidavit to a motion paper, and move for a rule to the master to move to have the matter referred to one of the masters, and the court will thereupon grant a rule nisi (*x*). In the case of bills of exchange and promissory notes, this is a course of course (*y*). It may be made on the same day the interlocutory judgment is signed for want of a plea, or for not producing the bill on *nul tiel* record pleaded (*x*); or at any time in the term. Where, however, such judgment is signed upon a plea, the practice is not to move for a rule absolute till the next day (*z*). The rule can, it seems, in no case be made absolute till judgment has been, in fact, signed, whether for a plea or on demurrer, or for not producing an alleged bill (*y*). Draw up the rule with one of the masters, and serve it on the defendant's attorney; or on the defendant, if he has not appeared. If there be several defendants, a service of the rule on one will, it seems, suffice (*a*). The original bill need not be shewn unless sight thereof be demanded (*b*). How obtained in Term Time.

v. Quince, 3 Dowl. 26.

v. Mewster, 3 M. & Sel. 281: J.)

v. Miller, 1 Dowl. 420.

v. Lee, 7 Dowl. 17. As to the mode of production in case of a bill, see *ante*, 718.

v. Green, 1 M. & Sel. 229.

v. Johann, 7 T. R. 473.

See form, Chit. Forms, 342.

As to a rule to compute on a bill, see *ante*, 718.

As to a rule to compute on a bill, see *ante*, 718.

As to a rule to compute on a bill, see *ante*, 718.

As to a rule to compute on a bill, see *ante*, 718.

As to a rule to compute on a bill, see *ante*, 718.

As to a rule to compute on a bill, see *ante*, 718.

As to a rule to compute on a bill, see *ante*, 718.

As to a rule to compute on a bill, see *ante*, 718.

As to a rule to compute on a bill, see *ante*, 718.

As to a rule to compute on a bill, see *ante*, 718.

As to a rule to compute on a bill, see *ante*, 718.

As to a rule to compute on a bill, see *ante*, 718.

As to a rule to compute on a bill, see *ante*, 718.

As to a rule to compute on a bill, see *ante*, 718.

As to a rule to compute on a bill, see *ante*, 718.

As to a rule to compute on a bill, see *ante*, 718.

Wilkins, Ball Court, M. 1839, Little Dale, J.)

(*r*) *Pacock v. Carpenter*, 3 M. & Sel. 109;

Haywood v. Chambers, 5 B. & Ald. 752; 1

D. & R. 411, S. C.; *Russen v. Hayward*,

1 D. & R. 444; 5 B. & Ald. 752, S. C.;

see *Gordon v. Corbett*, 3 Smith, 179.

(*y*) See *Hoard v. Hunt*, C. P., M.

1838; 8 Jurist, 24.

(*z*) *Moses v. Compton*, 6 M. & Sel. 381.

(*a*) *Figgins v. Ward*, 2 Dowl. 364; 2 C.

& M. 424, S. C.; see *vide Flindt v. Bignell*,

1 Chit. Rep. 466, n.

(*b*) R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 51; see *Belairs v.*

Poultnery, 1 Chit. Rep. 466, n.

replevin-bond (*d*), the bond of a petitioning creditor (*e*) bond for replacing stock (*f*), or a bond for payment of instalments, with a clause that all shall be due on fault (*g*), or indeed any bond where the damages to be by the jury would be calculated to meet and satisfy the condition of the bond (*h*),]—“the plaintiff or plaintiffs assign as many breaches as he or they shall think fit, jury, upon trial of such action or actions, shall and may not only such damages and costs of suit as have been usually done in such cases, but also damages for the said breaches so to be assigned, as the plaintiff or plaintiffs at trial of the issues shall prove to have been broken; and the like judgment shall be entered on such verdict as afore hath been usually done in such like actions; and judgment shall be given for the plaintiff on a demurrer, confession, or *nihil dicit*, the plaintiff upon the roll or *quest* as many breaches of the covenants and agreements shall think fit; upon which shall issue a writ to the sheriff of that county where the action shall be brought, to summon the jury to appear before the justices or justice of assize *Prius*” — [or now in cases within the 3 & 4 *W. 4, c. 4 post*, 725, before the sheriff]— “of that county, to inquire the truth of every one of those breaches, and to assess the damages that the plaintiff shall have sustained thereby; in which writ it shall be commanded to the said justices or justice or *Nisi Prius*, that he or they shall make return there to the court from whence the same shall issue, at the time the writ mentioned; and in case the defendant or defendants shall have such judgment entered, and before any execution shall be levied, shall *pay unto the court* where the action shall be brought, for the use of the plaintiff or plaintiffs, or his or their executors or administrators, such damages so to be assessed by the jury on all or any of the breaches of such covenants, together with the costs of suit, *a stay of execution* of the said judgment entered upon record; or if, by reason of any *execution* of the said judgment, the plaintiff or plaintiffs, or his or their executors or administrators, shall be fully paid or satisfied all such damages so to be assessed, together with his or their costs of suit, reasonable charges and expenses for executing the said judgment, the body, lands, or goods of the defendant shall be upon forthwith discharged from the said execution, and the said judgment shall likewise be entered upon record; but notwithstanding each case such judgment shall remain, continue, and be a *further security*, to answer to the plaintiff or plaintiffs, or his or their executors or administrators, such damages as may be sustained for *further breach* of any covenants or agreements in the same indenture, deed, or writing containing which the plaintiff or plaintiffs may have a *scire fac* issued on the said judgment against the defendant, or against the terretenant, or his executors or administrators, so

wherefore such cases do not fall within the rule which produced the statute, viz. that the defendant in actions on bonds for the performance of covenants, and the like, must proceed for relief in a court of equity.

(*d*) 2 Saund. 187, n. 2: Middleton v. Bryan, 3 M. & Sel. 155. See note (*c*) *ante*,

723.

(*e*) Smith v. Broomhead, 7 Smithey v. Edmonson, 3 East.

(*f*) Savile v. Jackson, 13 Pr.

(*g*) James v. Thomas, 5 B. & C.

(*h*) See Smith v. Bond, 10 M. & Scott, 528, S. C.

f the said covenants or agreements, and to them respectively to shew cause why execution had or awarded upon the said judgment shall be the like proceeding as was in the upon the said bond or obligation for assessing trial of issues joined upon such breaches, or upon a writ to be awarded in manner as afore- upon payment or satisfaction in manner as future damages, costs, and charges as afore- proceedings on the said judgment are again to *toties quoties*, and the defendant, his body, shall be discharged out of execution, as afore- defendant is accountable only to the extent of as soon as that is recovered, or if the defend- y it into court, the plaintiff can proceed no the contrary, may be compelled to enter sa- record (i). The statute of 8 & 9 W. 3, was of defendants, and receives a liberal con- has been consequently ruled, that the statute although it enacts, that the plaintiff "may" suggest, &c., yet the word "may" is compul- sory, and the plaintiff must assign or suggest the breaches, proceedings will be erroneous (k). The statute is of actions of debt (l). It does not apply to a judg- ment on a warrant of attorney to confess a judgment in this, though a bond be also given (m). Nor in cases at the suit of the crown (n).

[*Executed.*] The statute of 8 & 9 W. 3, which Before whom Executed.
of inquiry necessary, so far as it requires the
be executed before the justices of assize or
in cases where breaches are *suggested* on the
account for the plaintiff on *demurrer*, or by con-
fession, and perhaps in all cases where no issue is
tried by the recent act 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, s. 16,
to delay, enacts that all writs issued under
all, *unless the court where such action is pend-
ing in one of the said superior courts, shall otherwise
order the sheriff of the county where the action shall
summon a jury to appear before such sheriff,
justices or justice of assize or Nisi Prius of
the county to enquire of the truth of the breaches suggested,
and enjoin that the plaintiff shall have sustained
his claim, command the said sheriff to make return
before court from whence the same shall issue at a
term or in vacation, in such writ to be men-
tioned, proceedings shall be had after the return of
the writ in the said statute in that behalf mentioned,
if such writ had been executed before a jus-
tice Nisi Prius." It seems that this latter act does*

1: *Branquin v. Hardy v. Bern*, 5 T. R. 636: *Roles v. Wilds v. Clarkson*, v. *Rossell*, 1d. 538, 540: *Drage v. Brand*, *Procter*, 2 Marsh. 2 Wils. 377: *Godwin v. Crowle*, 1 Cowp. 359.
Wells v. Warren, 1 359.
Wells v. Church, 2 (l) 1 Saund. 58 b, (n), 5th ed.
(m) *Ante*, 683.
F. R. 637. (n) *R. v. Peto*, 1 Y. & J. 171.

BOOK II.
PART IV.

Service of the rule at a house where the defendant's family still living, though he himself had gone away, has been sufficient, without leave of the court (c); and service by leaving the rule at the chambers of the defendant, and the person resident there stating that he had transmitted it to him, has been held sufficient (d). Where an attorney had been sent with process at chambers, from which he afterwards went away to an unknown residence, a rule to compute was allowed to be served by leaving a copy at those chambers, (they being his last place of abode), and sticking up another in the Queen's Bench Office (e). It may be as well to observe, that no irregularity previous to the judgment can be shewn as cause against the rule; but a cross rule must be obtained to set aside the judgment; and pending which rule, the court will enlarge the rule to refer (f). In cases where a rule nisi only is granted, if no cause be shewn, get counsel to move to make the rule absolute, upon an affidavit of service (g). When you obtain the rule absolute, either in the first instance or after a rule nisi, draw it up with one of the masters, serve a copy of it on the defendant's attorney, or on the defendant, if he have not appeared (h). Also, if the defendant has appeared by himself or his attorney, serve on him a notice of the intended taxation of costs, one day or more before the taxation, as in other cases (i). Then take the rule absolute to one of the masters, who will thereupon compute the sum due to the plaintiff for principal and interest; tax the costs, and sign judgment as usual. You may then sue out execution as usual (k). In the Court of Common Pleas, it is necessary for the plaintiff to give notice to the defendant of the time appointed by the master for computing the principal and interest, in analogy to the practice upon writs of inquiry (l). But no such notice is requisite in the Queen's Bench or Exchequer (m).

How, in vacation.

In vacation the rule may be obtained by application to a judge at chambers. For this purpose take out a summons for the defendant to shew cause why it should not be referred to one of the masters to compute principal and interest, &c. Serve a copy on the defendant's attorney, or on the defendant if he have not appeared; and if no cause be shewn, the judge will grant his fiat to one of the masters, to make out the rule (n). Take the order to the master; draw up the rule, and proceed as is above directed. In some cases the judge might require the usual affidavit of the cause of action, and that interlocutory judgment has been signed.

Judgment, how entered after.

If your roll have been already carried in, one of the masters, or his clerk, will enter the judgment, upon your leaving the rule above mentioned with him for that purpose. Otherwise, you must get a roll, and enter the proceedings upon it (o), and then docket and carry in your roll (p). The declaration frequently contains other counts, besides the count or counts upon the bill

(c) *Pagett v. Hill*, 2 Dowl. 808.
(d) *Carter v. Windsor*, 3 Dowl. 343.
(e) *Brady v. Robertson*, 2 Dowl. 311.
(f) *Pell v. Hovatt*, 1 R. & P. 381; *Mortimer v. Winkfield*, 3 Chit. Rep. 118.
(g) See Chit. Forms, 342.
(h) *Bank of England v. Athole*, 1 Chit. 488.
(i) *Garrett v. Woodford*, 1d. Service of the rule nisi on the master of the defendant at his residence, held sufficient.

(j) *Warren v. Smith*, 2 Dowl. 210.
(k) See post. Book IV. Part I. Ch. 2.
(l) See Chit. Forms, 344.
(m) *Brumby v. Pastoreau*, 4 Tunt. 67.
(n) M. R. R.: *Hockfield v. Smith*, 1 Chit. Rep. 483.
(o) See the form, Chit. Forms, 344.
(p) See also of the entry, Chit. Forms, 345.
(q) See Chit. Forms, 345, 346.

exchange, &c.; and, as damages are assessed upon the roll upon the bill of exchange, &c., alone, a *remittitur damna* the other counts must be entered on the roll, in entering the judgment (*q*). But, where in such a case payment has been made generally on account of the action after declaration delivered, the plaintiff cannot enter a *remittitur damna*, nor can he have a rule to compute unless by consent (*r*). If the roll contained an award of a writ of inquiry, and an assessment of damages by the court, upon a writ being brought for this cause, it was urged, on the authority of *Blackmore v. Fleming* (*s*), that, by the award of writ of inquiry, the plaintiff had made his election to his damages ascertained by a jury, and could not afterwards retract, and have his damages assessed by the court; but, however, affirmed the judgment (*t*).

SECT. 3.

Writ of Inquiry in Debt on Bond.

Cases necessary, 723.	on Demurrer or Nul Tiel
How executed, 725.	Record, 728.
Proceedings on, after Judgment by	Proceedings on, upon Issue
Verdict, 726.	joined, <i>id</i> .
Proceedings on, after Judgment	Scire Facias after, 729.

[*What Cases necessary.*] By stat. 8 & 9 W. 3, c. 11, s. 8, In what Cases necessary. "all actions which shall be commenced or prosecuted in his majesty's courts of record, upon any bond or bonds, for any penal sum, for non-performance of any covenants or conditions in any indenture, deed, or writing contained, whether the covenant, &c., be contained in the same, or in any other deed or writing (*u*); and the statute extends to &c., for the payment of money by instalments (*x*), for payment of an annuity (*y*), for the performance of an act (*z*), or for the performance of any other specific act, including for the payment of a sum of money in gross at a certain time, as *post-obit* bonds (*a*), and excepting other bonds for payment of monies, which are provided for by the 9 A. 2, s. 13 (*b*), and excepting the case of a bail-bond (*c*), a

- (y) *Walcot v. Goulding*, 8 T. R. 126.
 (s) *Welch v. Ireland*, 6 East, 613; 2 Smith, 666, S. C.: *Hanbury v. Guest*, 14 East, 401.
 (a) 2 Camp. 285. n.: *Murray v. Earl of Stair*, 2 B. & C. 82, 89; 3 D. & R. 78, S. C.
 (b) *Cardozo v. Hardy*, 2 Moore, 220: *Smith v. Bond*, 10 Bing. 131; 3 M. & Scott, 528, S. C.
 (c) *Moody v. Pheasant*, 2 B. & P. 446. The reason why a bail-bond and replevin-bond are not within the act is because the courts of law can afford relief to the defendant in actions on them; and there-

- Chit. Forms*, 343: *Fleming v. 1 Str. 538*: *Duperoy v. Johnson*, 73: ante, 710: *Heald v. Johnson*, 44.
as v. Shell, 6 Dowl. 579.
 . R. 446.
id v. Hammeraley, 4 Taunt. 148.
as v. Collins, 2 Burr. 824, 826: *Jennings*, 5 B. & C. 650; 8 D. & . C.
Langley v. Swinton, 6 East, 550; 686, 2 C.: see *Masfen v. Touchet*, 706, 966: *Van Sandau v. —*, 1 214.

BOOK II.
PART IV.

not extend to cases where the plaintiff assigns breaches of the condition of the bond, &c., in his declaration or replication (o).

Proceedings
after Judgment by
Default.

Proceedings after Judgment by Default.] If the writ of inquiry is to be executed before the sheriff, enter the proceedings on the roll, as in the case of a judgment by default in debt, omitting these words in the judgment: "By the court here adjudged and with his assent; and the defendant in mercy," &c. Then, in a new paragraph, suggest the breaches for which you seek damages; and in the same paragraph enter an award of a writ of inquiry (p). This suggestion of breaches, however, would be unnecessary if the breaches have been already assigned in the declaration. Make a copy of the breaches, when thus suggested, and serve it on the defendant or his attorney or agent, if he has employed one in the action. Serve also the notice of inquiry, ante, 714, to inquire of the truth of the breaches suggested, and to assess the damages (q). Then sue out the writ of inquiry (r) as directed ante, 713, to be executed before the sheriff; deliver it to the sheriff, who will thereupon summon the jury, and the writ will be executed before the sheriff or his deputy. It seems that a copy of the writ should, in this case, be delivered to the defendant or his attorney or agent (s). The same practice as to attending by counsel, subpoenaing witnesses, and the mode of executing the writ of inquiry in ordinary cases, as noticed ante, 717 to 719, will apply to this case.

Leave to try
at Sittings or
Assizes.

When
granted.

If you are desirous that the writ shall be executed before the chief justice at the sittings if the venue is laid in Middlesex or London, or before a judge of assize if the venue is laid in any other county, it is necessary that you should obtain leave of the court or a judge for that purpose (t). It is only, however, where some difficult or important question of law or fact is likely to arise in the course of the inquiry, that this indulgence will be granted; and the mere difficulty or importance of the facts will not, it seems, induce the court or a judge to grant it when the venue is laid in Middlesex or London (u); for the under-sheriff of Middlesex, and the secondary in London, are generally men of experience, and fully competent to conduct a business of this kind.

How Ob-
tained and
Acted on.

The application should be made as directed ante, 713, 714. Having obtained and drawn up the rule as there directed, enter the proceedings on the roll, with the suggestion of the breaches, and make and serve a copy of such breaches, and the notice of the inquiry, as directed supra. Then sue out a writ of inquiry, as directed ante, 713, to be executed before the chief justice at the sittings, or the judges of assize at the assizes, according to the county in which the venue was laid (x); deliver it with the rule to the sheriff, who will thereupon summon a jury, and annex the panel to the writ; then deliver the writ and panel to the associate. And, lastly, you must make out a copy of the record on plain paper or parchment, for the chief justice or judges of assize, and leave it with the marshal when you enter the cause. If you desire to have a better sort of common jury, see ante

(o) See Tidd's Supp. 1833, 135.

(p) See the form, Chit. Forms, 344: 2 Saund. 187 b, n. (e), 1 Saund. 58 d.

(q) See Chit. Forms, 349.

(r) See the form, Chit. Forms, 346.

(s) *Gillingham v. Wackett*, 13 Price, 751 M'Clel. 568, S. C.

(t) 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, s. 16.

(u) See 1 Sellon, 344.

(x) See the forms, Chit. Forms, 348.

When the cause is called on, the inquest is taken precisely in the same manner as a cause is tried at *Nisi Prius* (y). to the evidence on executing a writ of inquiry in general, &c., 717, 718. The plaintiff need not prove the breaches if they have been assigned, or any averments contained in the declaration. But he must prove all averments and breaches (y) that have been suggested in the record after judgment (z). In an action on a bond against a surety, it was held that if non-payment by the principal, after notice in writing required by the condition, be averred in the declaration and the defendant suffer judgment by default, it is not necessary to give evidence of the notice, because the allegations of the declaration are not put in issue; though, if the fact be suggested in the record under the statute after judgment, it would be otherwise (a). So, on the execution of the writ of inquiry after judgment on demurrer, the execution of the instrument, which the defendant has stated in setting out the condition of the bond in his plea, need not be proved (b). Where, in debt on bond conditioned for the performance of covenants in an indenture, &c., or of an award, judgment is given to pass by default, and breaches are suggested, the plaintiff must prove the condition of the bond, the award, the indenture, &c., as well as the breaches (c). The defendant cannot offer evidence in excuse for the non-performance of the condition (d).

The Evidence.

Statute 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, s. 18, provides, "that, at the return of such writ of inquiry, or writ for the trial of such issues as aforesaid, costs shall be taxed, judgment signed, and execution issued forthwith, unless the sheriff or his deputy to whom such writ of inquiry may be executed, or such sheriff, deputy, or judge, before whom such trial shall be had, certify under his hand (e), upon such writ, that judgment shall not be signed until the defendant shall have had an opportunity to apply to the court for a new inquiry or trial, or a judge of the said courts shall think fit to order that judgment or execution shall be stayed till a day to be named in such order." If the inquiry was executed before the sheriff, the inquisition on return will be framed (f) and procured as directed ante, 720. You may then proceed to tax your costs, and sign judgment as you would upon a verdict of a jury, after a trial by the sheriff, on a cause of action not exceeding 20l., as directed ante, Vol. I., 333, 334. If it was executed before the chief justice or justices of assize, the associate will prepare the inquisition, and have it sealed with the seal of the chief justice and justices of assize, and annex it to the writ of inquiry. You then proceed to tax your costs, and sign judgment as upon a verdict, as directed ante, Vol. I. 333. The costs may be taxed and judgment signed immediately after the inquest is returned, even on the same day (g).

Final Judgment, when signed, and Execution issued.

How Signed and Costs taxed.

see ante, Vol. I. 264.

see 1 Saund. 58 a, 5th ed.

Barclay v. Russell, 3 C. & P. 608:

Archbishop of Canterbury v. Ro-
bert, 1 C. & M. 690.

Wells v. Rybat, 1 Esp. Rep. 157.

1 Saund. 58 d: see *Hartlett v.*

Ed. 1 B. & Ad. 704.

Archbishop of Canterbury v. Robert-

son, 1 C. & M. 404.

(e) See a form, Chit. Forms, 341: but instead of the words "to set aside the execution of the within writ," say, "for a new writ of inquiry."

(f) See the form, Chit. Forms, 350.

(g) *Nicholls v. Chambers*, 2 Dowl. 693; 1 C., M. & R. 385, 8 C.

BOOK II.
PART IV.

Entry of Proceedings on Roll.

The remaining proceedings are entered upon the roll thus:— after the award of the writ of inquiry, make an entry of the return of it and of the inquisition; then follows the judgment for the debt, damages, and costs, as in the usual form in debt; then an award of a writ of execution against the defendant's goods, lands, or person; and, lastly, if the writ be executed, follows the entry of the sheriff's return to the writ of execution, and of an acknowledgment of satisfaction by the plaintiff as to the amount levied (*h*). The judgment above mentioned includes the costs of the inquiry, but not the damages given by the inquest (*i*).

Form of Execution.

The writ of execution must, of course, pursue the judgment, and be for the penalty, nominal damages, and costs, with interest at £4 per cent. from the day on which judgment was entered, or from the 1st of October, 1838, if judgment was entered before that day (*j*); but it must be indorsed to levy only the damages given by the inquest and costs of increase, and interest on those sums, together with the reasonable charges and expenses of executing the writ (*k*).

Proceedings after Judgment on Demurrer or nul tiel Record.

Proceedings after Judgment on Demurrer or nul tiel Record.] The proceedings are the same as when judgment is allowed to pass by default. The judgment for plaintiff upon demurrer, &c., in debt is entered, omitting the latter words of it, in the same manner as in the judgment by default above mentioned; then follows the suggestion of breaches, if the breaches have not already been assigned in some of the previous pleadings. The remainder of the proceedings are the same as above stated.

Proceedings upon Issue joined.

Proceedings upon Issue joined.] The best way of declaring on a bond, &c., of the description above mentioned, if you expect to obtain a judgment by default, is to set forth in the *declaration* the condition of the bond, and assign the breaches therein: otherwise it is best to declare as upon a common money bond (*l*); in that case the defendant, if he pleads, usually sets forth the condition upon *oyer*, and pleads *performance*; the plaintiff in his replication assigns the breaches; and the defendant in his rejoinder takes one issue on each of them.

The Issue, how made up, and Form of.

The issue is, in the last-mentioned case, made up and delivered, and tried as in ordinary cases (*m*). If the defendant, however, instead of pleading performance, plead any other plea which *cannot lead to an issue upon the breaches*, but upon which the plaintiff, if he recovers, must have judgment *quod recuperet*, (if, for instance, to a declaration as upon a common money bond, he plead *non est factum* (*n*), or *non est factum* and that the bond was obtained by fraud and covin (*o*), or the like), the plaintiff in making up the issue, immediately after entering the pleadings, must *suggest* the breaches, and then

(*h*) See the form of the entry, Chit. Forms, 351: 1 Saund. 58 c; 2 Id. 187.

(*i*) See *Hankin v. Broomhead*, 3 B. & P. 607.

(*j*) See 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 17, and the forms of writ of execution framed by the judges, 5 Bing. N. C. 366.

(*k*) 1 Saund. 58 b, n. 1. See the form,

Chit. Forms, 351.

(*l*) See, as to the advantages of each mode of declaring, 2 Chit. Pl. 6th ed. 286.

(*m*) *Ante*, Vol. I. 199, &c.

(*n*) *Ethersey v. Jackson*, 8 T. R. 255.

(*o*) *Hemfray v. Rigby*, 5 M. & Sel. 69.

the award of the *venire* (*p*). Or if the issue have been already delivered without the suggestion, then take out a summons before a judge, for the defendant to shew cause why suggestion of breaches should not be entered on the record; upon the judge's order being obtained for that purpose, served in the usual way, deliver a fresh issue, including suggestion (*q*).

Where the breaches are assigned in the declaration (*r*) or replication (*s*), the jury may assess damages without a special Form of Verdict.

As to the *evidence*, see, in general, *ante*, Vol. I. 212, &c. The Evidence. Where defendant pleads *non est factum* only, and the plaintiff suggests breaches, defendant cannot at the trial give in evidence anything in excuse for the non-performance (*t*). As to what evidence is, in general, admissible under *non est factum*, Vol. I. 191.

The *verdict* for plaintiff is the same as in ordinary cases; The Verdict. but the jury must also assess damages for the breaches.

The *judgment* for plaintiff is, that he recover the debt, and damages for the detention thereof, together with 40s. costs, and the costs of increase; the latter, of course, including the costs of the trial (*u*). The Judgment.

The writ of *execution* must pursue the judgment; but it must be indorsed to levy only the damages found upon the breaches, the costs of increase, with interest on those sums at 4 per cent. from the day of entering judgment, or from 1st October, 1838, according as judgment was entered before or after that day (*v*), and the expenses of the execution, as mentioned *ante*, 728. Form of Execution.

Scire facias.] If, after the first inquisition or trial, the defendant be guilty of any further breaches, as the statute says, that in such a case the judgment already signed shall remain a security to the plaintiff, the plaintiff, in order to obtain damages, must sue out a *scire facias* on the judgment, and thereupon suggest the further breaches (*x*); and upon the defendant's pleading thereto, or making default, the plaintiff must proceed in the manner above directed. If the plaintiff obtain a judgment by default, he must issue a writ of inquiry (*y*). The judgment will be the common judgment in *scire facias*, namely, an award of execution. The execution will be for the amount of the debt and costs, as above mentioned, but indorsed to levy the damages, and the costs of the *scire facias*, only. See further as to the *scire facias*, *post*, vol. III. Part I. Ch. 3. Scire Facias.

p) *Ethersey v. Jackson*, 8 T. R. 255.

q) *Ethersey v. Jackson*, 8 T. R. 255: and *ante*, Vol. I. 213: *Hanbury v. Guest*, East, 401. See the form of the issue, where the breaches are assigned in the pleadings, Chit. Forms, 48; and of the process thereon, Id. 61; of the issue, where the breaches are not assigned in pleadings, Id. 47; and of the jury verdict thereon, Id. 70; of the *postea*, judgment, and execution for plaintiff, Id. 104, 148; and of the entry upon the

roll, Id. 104.

(*r*) *Quin v. King*, 1 M. & W. 42.

(*s*) *Scott v. Staley*, 4 Bing. N. C. 724; 6 Dowl. 714, S. C.

(*t*) *Ante*, 727: *Archbishop of Canterbury v. Robertson*, 1 C. & M. 600.

(*u*) 1 Saund. 58 b, n. See the form, Chit. Forms, 351.

(*v*) See 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 17.

(*x*) See the forms, Chit. Forms, 354.

(*y*) See a form of writ of inquiry, 3 Chit. Pl. 1198, 6th ed.

BOOK III.

PART I.

PROCEEDINGS IN PARTICULAR ACTIONS.

CHAPTER I.

EJECTMENT.

- SECT. 1.** *Proceedings in Ejectment, in ordinary Cases—*730 to 739.
2. *Proceedings in Ejectment, on a recent Possession—*740 to 742.
3. *Proceedings in Ejectment by Landlord for Non-Payment of Rent—*742 to 743.
4. *Proceedings in Ejectment by Landlord, under stat. 1 G. 4 c. 5.—*743 to 745.
5. *Proceedings in Ejectment by Landlord, under stat. 11 G. 4 c. 10. & c. 74. & c. 75. & c. 76.—*745 to 747.
6. *Issues for recent Possession—*747 to 748.

SECT. 2.

Proceedings in Ejectment in ordinary Cases.

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. <i>Notice of the action.</i> 732 | 2. <i>Service of Declaration—cont.</i> |
| 3. <i>The Declaration.</i> 733 | <i>In case Tenant resides abroad or renders Service.</i> 740. |
| <i>Form of id.</i> | <i>In case of Lunacy.</i> 742. |
| <i>Notice to answer.</i> 734 | <i>In case of Bankruptcy, id.</i> |
| <i>Consequences of Declaration and Answer.</i> 735. | <i>In Term.</i> id. |
| 4. <i>Service of Declaration, &c.</i> | <i>In Failure of a Chapel, id.</i> |
| <i>When made—</i> id. | <i>In a Public Company, id.</i> |
| <i>How made.</i> 737 | 5. <i>The Affidavit of Service.</i> 743. |
| <i>In certain cases.</i> 738 | 6. <i>Judgment against the casual Executor.</i> 745. |
| <i>In certain cases, when no Tenant is at the time.</i> id. | <i>The Return and Rule for, id.</i> |
| <i>In case of Non-residence, and with regard to the Tenant's residence & return for Term.</i> 739. | <i>When and how Coloured, id.</i> |
| | <i>When and how Signed, 746.</i> |
| | <i>When or made.</i> 747. |

and Pleadings, 749.	9. Notice of Trial, 758.
ance and Plea by Te- id.	10. Proceedings at the Trial, <i>id.</i>
ance and Plea by ord, 752.	11. Costs, 760.
, 753.	<i>Who entitled to, id.</i>
ion, &c., 754.	<i>How recovered, 761.</i>
Proceedings, 754.	<i>In case of Death of Parties,</i> 762.
ars of Premises, <i>id.</i>	<i>When ordered to be paid</i> <i>by third persons, id.</i>
for Costs, and stay- proceedings, <i>id.</i>	12. Judgment, 763.
aside Proceedings,	13. Error, 764.
lating Proceedings, <i>id.</i>	14. Execution, 765.
, 756.	15. Restitution, 768.
	16. Scire Facias, 769.

CHAP. I.
SECT. 1.

1. Nature of the Action.

EVER a person entitled to land has a right of entry, the premises be unoccupied and vacant (*a*), in a manner and without using such violence as would a forcible entry, enter and take possession without formality (*b*). In general, however, and especially if of entry be fairly contested, it is best to proceed for its recovery.

1. Nature of the Action.
Right to enter upon Land without Action.

For the right of entry is taken away, the right to an action of ejectment is also gone, and before the 1 & 4 W. 4, c. 27, the effect of discontinuance, de- &c., in taking away the right of entry, made it in some cases for the party entitled to resort to a (*c*). But that statute has abolished all modes of right of entry, (except by lapse of time), and done all real and mixed actions, except writ of right of entry, *quare impedit*, and ejectment, which latter is now the only action for the specific recovery of action for not delivering possession may in some maintained, but damages only (and not the land be recovered in that form of action (*d*)).

Ejectment.
the only Action for the specific Recovery of Land.

The statute fixes the length of time necessary to take right of entry, or, in other words, the period of limitation action of ejectment. Sect. 2 enacts, that, after December, 1833, no person shall make an entry or dis- ing an action to recover any land or rent, but within years next after the time at which the right to make or distress or to bring such action shall have first some person through whom he claims; or if such not have accrued to any person through whom he in within twenty years next after the time at which

Period of Limitation.

Turner v. Gay, 6 C. & P. 284. But tioned, whether in strictness s liable in any civil action, subject to be indicted for . (See *Turner v. Meymott*, Moore, 574, S. C.)
v. Cole, 3 T. R. 296: see

Taunton v. Costar, 7 T. R. 431: *Turner v. Meymott*, *supra*: *Butcher v. Butcher*, 7 B. & C. 399; 1 M. & R. 220, S. C.: *Doe Ruby v. Malsey*, 8 B. & C. 767: *Wildbore v. Rainforth*, *Id.* 4.
(c) *Rosc. on Real Actions*, 91, &c.
(d) See *Cos v. Clay*, 5 Bing. 440.

BOOK III.
PART I.

the right to make such entry or distress or to bring such action shall have first accrued to the person making or bringing the same (*e*). By *sect. 15*, the period is enlarged to five years after the passing of the act in all cases where the possession was not adverse at the time of its passing (*f*); and by *sect. 16*, in cases of disability from infancy, coverture, idiotcy, lunacy, unsoundness of mind, or absence beyond seas, to ten years after the cessation of the disability or the death of the person under the disability, whichever shall have first happened (*g*). But subject to the proviso in *sect. 29*, that lands and rents may be recovered by spiritual and eleemosynary corporations sole, within two incumbencies and six years or (in case that period should not amount to sixty years, within) sixty years, the extreme period under any circumstances is fixed by *sect. 17* at forty years after the right shall have first accrued (*h*).

By a subsequent stat. of 7 *W. 4* & 1 *V. c. 28*, to amend the 3 & 4 *W. 4, c. 27*, it is enacted, "that it shall and may be lawful for any person entitled to or claiming under any mortgage of land, being land within the definition contained in the first section of the said act, to make an entry or bring an action at law or suit in equity to recover such land at any time within twenty years next after the last payment of any part of the principal money or interest secured by such mortgage, although more than twenty years may have elapsed since the time at which the right to make such entry or bring such action or suit in equity shall have first accrued, anything in the said act notwithstanding."

It may be well to notice the effect of the statute in facilitating the gaining a lawful title through actual possession, by annulling the effect of mere entry, continual claim, *possessio fratris*, and other modes of constructive possession (*i*); and requiring a written acknowledgment (*j*) from the party in possession or in receipt of the profits of the land to the person really entitled, or his agent, as the only equivalent to actual possession so as to preserve the right of entry, and prevent the statute from running, in cases where some other than the person really entitled is in possession or in receipt of the profits of the land. It would be exceeding the limits of this Work to refer more particularly to the important provisions of the statute.

Actual Entry,
or Notice,
when neces-
sary, before
Action.

An actual entry upon the premises sought to be recovered, or a claim where an actual entry is impracticable, or a notice given to the tenant to quit at the end of his period of tenancy, or a demand of possession, is in some cases necessary before an action of ejectment is commenced. An actual entry into lands is only necessary to avoid a fine with proclamations (*k*), and

(*e*) See s. 3 as to when the right is deemed to have accrued.

(*f*) *Doe v. Thomson*, 1 Nev. & P. 215. A wrongful continuing in possession, though the original entry was rightful, is an adverse possession. (*Doe v. Gregory*, 4 Nev. & M. 308).

(*g*) No further time is to be allowed in case of a succession of disabilities, s. 18.

(*h*) *Doe v. Brumston*, 3 A. & E. 63; 4 Nev. & M. 664, S. C.

(*i*) Sects. 10, 11, 12, 13. See *Napier v.*

Doe d. Knight, 2 M. & W. 804.

(*j*) Sect. 14. See form, Chit. Forms, 358. This acknowledgment takes effect as the possession of the person really entitled at the date of the acknowledgment. It does not require a stamp. (See *Bury v. Goodman*, 2 M. & W. 768).

(*k*) *Berrington v. Parkhurst*, 2 Stra. 1046; *Doe Compere v. Hicks*, 7 T. R. 433; 1 Saund. 319 b, &c.: Adams on Ejectment, 2nd ed. ch. 6: Rosc. on Evid. 4th ed. 414. Fines have been abolished, and other

the case of vacant possession mentioned in the next section; in all other cases the entry, being confessed according to the terms of the consent-rule, is deemed sufficient. The entry to avoid a fine must be made within five years after the fine has been levied and the proclamations completed, provided the party be not an infant or a married woman, or insane, or beyond sea at the time, and then within five years after the disability ceases (*l*). And by 4 & 5 Anne, c. 16, s. 16, no entry or claim shall be of force to avoid a fine with proclamations (or be sufficient within the

sec. 1, c. 16, the statute which until lately governed the period of limitations in ejectment) unless the action be commenced within one year afterwards. These statutes are never pleaded specially in ejectment, but may be given in evidence under the general issue.

A notice to quit is, in general, necessary in order to determine a tenancy from year to year. The notice must be to quit at the end of the year of the tenancy, and must be given at least half-a-year (182 days) previously, except when the rent is payable on the usual quarterly feast days, in which case notice given, or one to quit, on the next but one is sufficient (*m*). Where the letting is for less than a year, the time of notice must in general be equal to the period of the letting (*n*), such being the general usage. But in the case of an ordinary weekly or monthly tenancy, a week's or month's notice to quit is not implied as part of the contract, unless there be an usage requiring such notice (*o*), and the usage must be proved.

Notice to quit.

In cases of tenancy at will, the will must be determined by either the landlord or tenant before action brought (*p*). This is generally effected by a demand of possession on the part of the landlord, and the demise may be laid immediately after the demand, as the tenant is not *de jure* entitled even to a reasonable time for taking away his goods (*q*).

Determination of Tenancy at Will.

The court will exercise an equitable jurisdiction over the proceedings in an action of ejectment, which, for the purposes of justice and convenience, may be said to be peculiarly its own creature (*r*).

Equitable Jurisdiction in Ejectment.

2. The Declaration.

Form of.] Make out a draft of the declaration, and add a notice to appear at the bottom of it (s). Make as many copies as there are tenants. It should first be premised that the rules of M. T., 3 W. 4, extend only to personal actions, commenced by the process prescribed by the Uniformity of Process Act,

2. The Declaration.
Form of.

notes of assurance substituted for them, by the 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 74; but the necessity of an entry to avoid fines previously commenced must still be kept in mind, especially as no limit of time has been prescribed for completing them.

(*l*) 4 H. 7, c. 24.

(*m*) Rosc. on Evid. 4th ed. 420, 421, &c.: see the forms, Chit. Forms, 357, 358.

(*n*) Doe v. Hazell, 1 Esp. 94: Roe v. French v. Ragden, 6 Esp. 4: but see Hufell v. Arncliffe, 7 C. & P. 56.

(*o*) Hufell v. Arncliffe, 7 C. & P. 56;

per Parke, B.

(*p*) 2 Bl. Com. 146, &c.: see Roe v. Street, 4 Nev. & M. 42.

(*q*) Doe v. M'Kaeg, 10 B. & C. 721. But, *semble*, he may enter to remove them without being subject to an action of trespass, provided he stays no longer than is absolutely necessary for that purpose, and does not disturb the landlord's possession.

(*r*) Per Bayley, J., in Thrustout v. Shenton, 10 B. & C. 111.

(*s*) See the forms, Chit. Forms, 359 to 362.

BOOK III.
PART I.

2 *W. 4*, c. 39, and do not extend to ejectment (*t*). Therefore the declaration in the Exchequer may still commence by stating the plaintiff to be the queen's debtor (*u*), though, indeed, such statement is unnecessary (*v*). The declaration also is usually intitled of the previous term, but it need not be intitled of a term or of a particular day as of a term, and it is sufficient if it be intitled of a particular day (*w*). And the omission of (*x*), or a mistake in, the title of the term is not, in general, material, provided the tenant has sufficient notice given to him to appear (*y*); and if both the day and term be stated correctly, a mistake in the year is of no consequence (*z*). But in a declaration dated merely of the term and year, a mistake in the year (*T.*, 6 *W. 4*, for *T.*, 7 *W. 4*) was held irregular, and rule for judgment refused (*a*). And the same where the declaration was dated of a term not yet arrived, and the notice had no date (*b*). In many cases, however, a similar error has been considered as cured by the date of the notice being correct (*c*). If the ejectment be by a landlord, where the tenancy has expired, or the right of entry accrued, in or after either of the *issuable* terms, and you propose proceeding to trial at the next assizes, under the provisions of the 11 *G. 4* & 1 *W. 4*, c. 70, s. 36, (*post*, 783), then, according to those provisions, the declaration should be intitled of the day next after the day of the demise in such declaration; and this, whether the same be in term or vacation (*d*). The day of the demise may be laid after the title of the declaration. It should be laid on a day subsequent to the accruing of the lessor's title. A mistake in the venue of the margin is immaterial, if it be correctly stated in the body of the declaration (*e*). It is no objection to the declaration that no attorney's name is stated in it (*f*), nor, that the name of the parish is omitted (*g*). The declaration must not recite the original writ, as it formerly used to do, in proceedings by original; and if it does, the recital will not be allowed in costs (*h*).

The Notice to
Appear.
To whom
directed.

The Notice to Appear.] The notice to appear, at the bottom of the declaration, should, if possible, be directed to the tenant by his christian and surname (*i*). And notice directed to the personal representatives of a deceased tenant, without naming them, is bad (*j*). But a rule for judgment has been granted against the casual ejector, although, in consequence of the equivocation of the tenant's wife, the notice did not state the christian name (*k*); and in another case a rule was refused

(*t*) *Doe Gillett v. Roe*, 1 C., M. & R. 20; 2 Dowl. 690, S. C.; *Doe Haines v. Roe*, 2 Moo. & Sc. 619; *Doe Fry v. Roe*, 3 Id. 370; *Doe Evans v. Roe*, 2 A. & E. 11.

(*u*) *Doe Gillett v. Roe*, 1 C., M. & R. 20; 2 Dowl. 690, S. C.

(*v*) *Doe Blaxham v. Roe*, 6 Dowl. 308.

(*w*) *Doe Ashman v. Roe*, 1 Bing. N. C. 253; 1 Scott, 166, S. C.

(*x*) Adams on Eject. 2nd ed. 181; Tidd, 9th ed. 1204.

(*y*) *Doe Gore v. Roe*, 3 Dowl. 5; Goodtitle v. Ranger, 2 Chit. Rep. 172; *Anon.*, Id.: *Anon.*, Id. 173; *Doe v. Roe*, 2 Dowl. 186; *Doe Cronks v. Roe*, 6 Dowl. 181.

(*z*) *Doe Smithers v. Roe*, 4 Dowl. 374.

(*a*) *Doe Gould v. Roe*, 5 Dowl. 273; and see *Doe v. Roe*, M. 1836, B. C.; 3 Jurist, 10.

(*b*) *Doe Giles v. Roe*, 7 Dowl. 579.

(*c*) *Doe Wills v. Roe*, 5 Dowl. 360; *Doe Evans v. Roe*, Id. 508; *Doe Crooks v. Roe*, 6 Dowl. 184.

(*d*) *Doe v. Roe*, 2 C. & J. 123.

(*e*) *Doe Goudwin v. Roe*, 3 Dowl. 323.

(*f*) *Doe Simpson v. Roe*, 6 Dowl. 463.

(*g*) *Doe v. Gunning*, 2 Nev. & P. 260.

(*h*) R. H., 2 *W. 4*, r. 4.

(*i*) *Doe v. Roe*, 1 Chit. Rep. 573 a; *Doe v. Badtittle*, Id. 215 a; Adams on Eject. 2nd ed. 202; *Doe v. Roe*, 1 Moore, 113; *Doe Atkins v. Roe*, 2 Chit. Rep. 179.

(*j*) *Doe St. Margaret v. Roe*, 1 Moore, 113.

(*k*) *Doe Warne v. Roe*, 2 Dowl. 517; see *Doe Parnum v. Roe*, 5 Moore, 73; *Doe v. Roe*, 6 Dowl. 629.

side the service of a declaration in ejectment, on the
l that the notice was addressed to the tenant by a wrong
n name (*l*). For this would be, in effect, allowing a
abatement in ejectment. And the courts will be now
m ever inclined to favour such an objection since the
m of the plea of misnomer in other actions by the 3 & 4
c. 42, s. 11. It is usual, and advisable, to fix the names
the tenants to such notice; but this is not, it seems,
ely requisite, and it may be directed to the individual
who is served (*m*). Service of one of two tenants in
ion with notice directed to the other is not good (*n*).
however, sufficient if each tenant be rightly named in
n notice, and mistakes in the names of the other te-
re immaterial, at least if their identity be sworn to (*o*).
re the declaration was served with two notices annexed, Form of.
quiring the appearance of the defendant, and the other
should enter into recognisances on his appearance, the
was rejected as surplusage (*p*). The omission of the
"wheresoever" &c., in the notice in an ejectment by
l, is not material (*q*). A notice omitting to state the
ence of not appearing, is defective, but a rule *nisi* to
and sign judgment within a week has been granted (*r*).
the notice was subscribed in the name of the plaintiff
l of the casual ejector, the mistake was held immate-
). It is no objection to the notice that it is dated of a
bsequent to the delivery of the declaration (*t*).
he *venue* be laid in London or Middlesex, (and it must,
use, be laid in the county in which the premises lie,
otherwise ordered by the court), the notice should
e the tenant's appearance on the first day of the next
(that is, the first day in full term), or within the first
lays of the next term (*u*). But, if the *venue* be laid in
ther county, the notice should be for the next term gene-
; and this, whether such term be issuable or not (*v*). A
s to appear "in due time" has been held bad (*x*). So
notice to appear "in eight days of St. Hilary," instead
ilary term generally (*y*). But, in another case, where
notice was of a wrong term, the court permitted it to be
ded (*z*). And where the notice was dated the 9th of May,
, and required an appearance "next Easter term," (which
lly meant Easter, 1837), a rule *nisi* for judgment was
ted in Trinity term, 1836 (*a*). If the ejectment be by a
ord against his tenant, where the tenancy has expired, or

At what Time
it should re-
quire appear-
ance.

re *Stanton v. Roe*, 6 M. & Sel. 203:
e *Doe* — v. *Roe*, 1 Chit. Rep.
ere the christian name was abbre-
: *Doe Smith v. Roe*, 6 Dowl. 429,
here were thirteen tenants in posses-
nd the christian names of two of
re omitted in all the notices: *Doe*
Roe, 6 Dowl. 621: *Doe Folkes v.*
Dowl. 567: *Doe Frost v. Roe*, 3 Id.
f there be any doubt as to the
it will be safer to inform the
at the time of service that he is
son meant.
Doe Burton v. Roe, 7 T. R. 477:
son v. *Roe*, 5 Moore, 73: *Doe v.*
C. & J. 670: *Doe Field v. Roe*, 1 H.
46.
re *Smith v. Roe*, 5 Dowl. 254.

(*o*) *Doe Peach v. Roe*, 6 Dowl. 62.
(*p*) *Doe Roberts v. Roe*, 5 Dowl. 508.
(*q*) *Doe Thomas v. Roe*, 2 Chit. 171.
(*r*) *Doe Darwent v. Roe*, 3 Dowl. 336.
(*s*) *Hazlewood v. Thatcher*, 3 T. R. 351:
overruling *Peaceable v. Troublesome*,
Barnes, 173.
(*t*) *Doe Evans v. Roe*, 2 A. & E. 11.
(*u*) *Holdfast v. Freeman*, 2 Str. 1049.
(*v*) See R. E., 2 G. 4.
(*x*) *Doe Forbes v. Roe*, 2 Dowl. 420.
(*y*) *Lackland v. Badland*, 8 Moore, 79.
(*z*) *Doe Bass v. Roe*, 7 T. R. 421: and
see *Anon.*, 2 Chit Rep. 171. The tenant,
however, ought, it seems, to be apprized
in due time of the mistake.
(*a*) *Doe Watts v. Roe*, 5 Dowl. 149.

BOOK III.
PART I.

the right of entry accrued in or after either of the issuable terms, and you purpose proceeding to trial at the next assizes, under the provision of the 11 G. 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 70, s. 36 (b); then, according to those provisions, the notice should require the tenant to appear, and plead within ten days. In an ejectment, not against a tenant within these provisions, where the notice required the tenant to appear and plead within ten days, the court refused to set aside a judgment against the casual ejector for irregularity, the declaration and notice having been served before the term (c).

Amending
Declaration
and Notice.

Amending Declaration and Notice.] If the declaration be defective, the plaintiff may, in general, have leave to amend it, even after plea pleaded (d). Thus, leave has been given to amend the declaration, in the venue (e), in the demise (f), in the term stated in the demise (g), when it is clear the amendment would work no injustice to the opposite party (h), and in the parcels (i); and sometimes in the notice at the foot of it, in the time of appearance (k), in the statement of the consequences of not appearing (l), and in the name subscribed to it (m).

3. Service of Declaration.

3. Service of
Declaration.
When to be
made.

Service, when to be made.] As regards the *Time of the Service*:—It was formerly requisite that the declaration and notice should be served before the *essoign day* of the term (n); but now, by the rule of T. T., 1 W. 4, "declarations in ejectment may be served before the *first day* of any term, and thereupon the plaintiff shall be entitled to judgment against the casual ejector in like manner as upon declarations served before the *essoign* or first general return-day." The service cannot be effected on any of the days between Thursday next before and Wednesday next after Easter-day, that happens to fall within the time appointed for Easter term, such days being directed by 1 W. 4, c. 3, s. 3, to be deemed part of the term (o). When a tenancy has expired, or a right of entry has accrued to a *landlord*, in or after Hilary or Trinity terms, he may, under the 11 G. 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 70, s. 36, at any time within ten days afterwards, serve a declaration in ejectment, specially intitled of the day next after the day of the demise

(b) *Post*, 783.(c) *Anon.*, 1 Dowl. 18. It is not stated in the report of this case where the premises were situate. If not situate in London or Middlesex, it would seem the decision would not apply. And its correctness appears doubtful. (See *Doe Isherwood v. Roe*, 2 Nev. & M. 476).

(d) See cases in Harr. L. & T. 847, 848.

(e) Imp. C. B. 636.

(f) *Doe Hardman v. Pilkington*, 4 Burr. 2447; *Anon.*, 1 Chit. Rep. 536; *Doe Rumford v. Miller*, Id.; Adams on Eject. 199. In *Doe Beaumont v. Armitage*, 1 D. & R. 173; 2 Chit. Rep. 302, S. C., the court allowed the declaration to be amended by inserting a new count on another demise, even after three terms had elapsed, and the roll had been made up and carried in.(g) *Roe Lee v. Ellis*, 2 W. Bl. 940; and see *Vicars v. Hoxdon*, Cowp. 841; *Doe v. Rendell*, 1 Chit. Rep. 535.(h) *Doe Reynell v. Tuckett*, 2 B. & Ald. 773; *Bradney v. Hasseldine*, 1 B. & C. 121; 2 D. & R. 227, S. C.; and see Har. L. & T. 848.(i) Pr. Reg. 16; *Anon.*, 1 Chit. Rep. 537, n.; *Doe Laurie v. Dyball*, 8 B. & C. 70; 1 Moo. & P. 330, S. C., in error.(k) *Doe Bass v. Roe*, 7 T. R. 469. The tenant ought, perhaps, to be apprized in time of the mistake. (*Anon.*, 2 Chit. Rep. 171).(l) *Doe Darcent v. Roe*, 3 Dowl. 336.(m) *Hazlewood v. Thatcher*, 3 T. R. 351; see *Goodtitle v. Nuttle*, 5 B. & Ald. 849.(n) *Doe Bird v. Roe*, Barnes, 172; *Roe Hambrook v. Doe*, 14 East, 441.(o) *Doe Frodsham v. Roe*, 6 Dowl. 541.

now made.] After the draft of the declaration has been made, now
i, engross it on plain paper; make as many copies of made.
ere are tenants in possession of the premises in dis-
d let a copy be served on each tenant before the first day
ext term. In serving the declaration, the notice at
of it should be read over, or at least the purport of it
e signified, and the nature and meaning of the service
d, to the person upon whom it is served, so as to be fully
od by him. It will not, it seems, suffice to read it over
explaining it (r), but it seems enough to explain it
reading it over (s); it would be more prudent to do
Where the tenant was a Welshman, and did not know
, and the person who served him did not know Welsh,
a neighbour to explain to him the nature of the
ion and notice, that was held sufficient (t). Service of
aration before the first day of term, and explanation
will not suffice (u). If the tenant or his wife, &c., re-
listen to the reading or explanation, or prevent it by
out, or refusing to let in, the person endeavouring to
se service, he ought to effect it as well as he can under
umstances; for instance, by reading and explaining the
ondly outside the door, and putting a copy of the de-
n under it, and by posting another copy on some con-
s part of the premises (v). Where a declaration was
d to the tenant's wife in her shop, upon the premises,
person serving it attempted to read to her the notice,
refused to hear it, and left the shop, and the declara-
d notice were thereupon left in the shop; the court
opinion that the notice should have been read aloud in
op, but they granted a rule to shew cause why this
not be deemed good service (x). Where the tenant's
vented the person serving it from giving an explana-
reading it over, the service was held sufficient (y). In
case when the attorney after explaining the contents

BOOK III.
PART I.When there
are several
Tenants.

the notice, she said she could read it herself, and ran her eye over it as if she had read it; this was holden to be sufficient (*s*). And the same, where the tenant himself read it over, and said that he understood it (*a*).

Where different parts of the premises are in possession of different tenants, each of them must be served with a copy of the declaration, in order to obtain a rule for judgment against the casual ejector for the whole (*b*). And where premises were let on lease by A. to B., and B. underlet them to several persons—in ejectment by A. it was held, that the declaration should have been served on all the tenants in possession (*c*); otherwise, only such part of the premises as were occupied by the tenants actually served could be recovered in the action. But a service of the declaration on one of two or more joint-tenants in possession is good service, if the notice to appear be addressed to all the joint-tenants (*d*). Where one of two joint-tenants is dead, and the service is on the survivor, the rule for judgment will be granted as against the survivor only (*e*). Parish officers cannot be considered as joint-tenants, and service on all is necessary (*f*). Where the tenants in possession were three sisters, not joint-tenants, service of declaration on two on the premises, and a copy left for the third, with the usual explanation, was held sufficient for a rule nisi (*g*).

Service in or-
dinary Cases
on the Tenant
or his Wife.

Service in ordinary Cases on the Tenant or his Wife.] The declaration should regularly be served on either the tenant himself or his wife (*h*). On the tenant himself, it may be served anywhere (*i*), even out of the jurisdiction (*k*). On the wife, it may be served either on the premises or at the husband's house (*l*); or, it seems, anywhere else, provided she be living with her husband at the time (*m*); but in all other cases it must be served upon the premises. Service on the wife has been held sufficient, even where the husband had left the kingdom and settled abroad (*n*): It is not necessary to the validity of the service, however, that the tenant or his wife receive the copy of the declaration; it is sufficient if it be tendered to him or her; after which it may be left for them at the place where the tender was made (*o*). Where the person serving

(2) *Goodright d. Waddington v. Thrustout*, 2 W. Bl. 800: and see *Doe Jones v. Roe*, 1 Dowl. 518.

(a) *Doe Jones v. Roe*, 1 Dowl. 518.

(b) *Doe Bromley v. Roe*, 1 Chit. Rep. 141.

(c) *Doe Lord Darlington v. Cock*, 4 B. & C. 259.

(d) *Doe Williams v. Roe*, 10 Moore, 493: and see *Doe Bromley v. Roe*, 1 Chit. Rep. 141: *Doe Bailey v. Roe*, 1 B. & P. 369: *Doe Hutchins v. Roe*, 2 Dowl. 418: *Doe Clahier v. Roe*, 6 Dowl. 291.

(e) *Doe Hewson v. Roe*, 5 Dowl. 404.

(f) *Doe Weeks v. Roe*, 5 Dowl. 405.

(g) *Doe Grimes v. Roe*, 4 Dowl. 86; 1 H. & W. 371, S. C.

(h) *Goodright v. Thrustout*, 2 W. Bl. 800: *Doe Neale v. Roe*, 2 Wils. 263. In *Doe Walker v. Roe*, 4 Moo. & P. 11, the service was on a woman who represented herself to be the tenant's wife, and it was held sufficient. And see *Doe Simmons v. Roe*, 1 Chit. Rep. 228: *Doe Smith v. Roe*,

1 Dowl. 614. See forms of affidavit of service, Chit. Forms, 362.

(i) Tidd, 1210: 2 Sellon, 96.

(k) *Doe Daniel v. Woodroffe*, 7 Dowl. 494.

(l) *Doe Graef v. Roe*, 6 Dowl. 456: *Doe Lord Southampton v. Roe*, 1 Hodges, 24: *Doe Morland v. Baylis*, 6 T. R. 765: *Doe Raddam v. Roe*, 2 B. & P. 55: and see *Right v. Wrang*, 2 D. & R. 84: *Doe Boulcott v. Roe*, 7 Dowl. 463.

(m) *Doe Briggs v. Roe*, 2 C. & J. 202; 1 Dowl. 312, S. C.: *Doe Wingfield v. Roe*, 1 Dowl. 693: *Doe Boulcott v. Roe*, 7 Dowl. 463.

(n) *Doe v. Roe*, 1 D. & R. 514.

(o) *Bagshaw v. Toogood*, Barnes, 183: *Halsall v. Wedgwood*, Id. 174: *Farmer v. Thrustout*, Id. 180: and see *Douglas v. —*, 1 Str. 575: *Sprightly v. Dunch*, 2 Burr. 1116: *Anon.*, 2 Chit. Rep. 185: *Doe Courthorpe v. Roe*, 2 Dowl. 441: *Doe Forbes v. Roe*, 2 Id. 452: *Doe Finger v. Roe*, 2 Id. 449.

a declaration began to read and explain it to the tenant, before he could deliver it, the tenant turned him out of the house, and he then thrust the declaration under the door, it was held sufficient (*p*); but in a similar case, where the clerk, instead of leaving the declaration, brought it away, *Parke, J.*, stated a rule nisi only (*q*).

The modes of service which may be adopted, where regular service on the tenant or his wife cannot be effected, will now be considered in the following order:—

Service on Child, Servant, &c., with Proof that Tenant received before Term.] Service on a child or servant, or other person on the tenant or his wife, will not in general suffice. Even service on the tenant's attorney (*r*), or a receiver appointed by the Court of Chancery, (to manage an estate for an infant), by itself insufficient (*s*). If, however, the tenant or his wife be not at home, and the declaration be served on his child or servant, or, as it seems, on any other person (*t*); and if it afterwards appear, from the acknowledgment of the tenant himself (*u*), or of his attorney (*v*), or from other sufficient evidence, that the tenant received the declaration before the first (*w*) day of the term, the service will be deemed sufficient (*x*). The wife's acknowledgment in such a case will not, in general, suffice (*y*). An acknowledgment made *within* the term of a receipt *before* the term, is sufficient (*z*). But unless it appears from the acknowledgment, or otherwise, that the declaration was received before the term, not even a rule nisi will be granted (*a*). In one case a rule absolute was granted for judgment against the casual ejector, where the service had been made on a person on the premises believed to have been left there by the tenant, who was out of the way, and also on her attorney; and a letter was sent by the two-penny post, according to the attorney's direction, to the tenant's last place of abode (*b*).

Service on Child, Servant, &c., with Proof that Tenant received it before Term.

Also, where service has been effected on a servant, child, &c., on the premises, if only a reasonable probability of its having come to the tenant's hands before the first day of term is made out, a rule nisi for judgment will be granted. Thus, for instance, service of the declaration on a son of the tenant in possession, who said that his father was unable to attend to business, coupled with a subsequent admission by

(*p*) *Doe Frith v. Roe*, 3 Dowl. 589.
(*q*) *Doe Forbes v. Roe*, 2 Dowl. 452.
(*r*) *Doe d. Collins v. Roe*, 1 Dowl. 613.
(*s*) *Goodtitle d. Roberts v. Badtittle*, 1 B. & P. 384.
(*t*) *Doe Harris v. Roe*, 2 Dowl. 607.
(*u*) *Doe Hambrook v. Roe*, 14 East, 441; *Doe Agar v. Roe*, 6 Dowl. 124.
(*v*) *Doe Terrell v. Snee*, 2 D. & R. 5: 400, 2 Chit. Rep. 187; and see *Doe v. Doe*, 2 D. & R. 12; *Jenny d. Mills v. Cutts*, Scott, 52.
(*w*) Before the rule of T. T., 1 W. 4, 104, 735, it was necessary that the acknowledgment should be that he received before the assign day. (See *Doe v. Roe*, B. & C. 704; *Doe Warren v. Roe*, 8 D. & R. 342; *Doe Hambrook v. Roe*, 14 East, 1; *Doe Halary v. Roe*, 1 Chit. Rep. 100).
(*x*) See *Goodtitle v. Thrutout*, Barnes, 3; *Smith v. Hurst*, 1 H. Bl. 644. See also of affidavit of service in such a case,

Chit. Forms, 363.
(*y*) *Goodtitle v. Badtittle*, 1 B. & P. 384; *Doe James v. Staunton*, 1 Chit. Rep. 121; 2 B. & Ald. 371, S. C.; *Doe Briggs v. Roe*, 1 Dowl. 312; *Doe Wilson v. Smith*, 3 Id. 379; but see *Doe v. Roe*, 2 D. & R. 12, which case, however, seems to have been decided on some implied agency of the tenant's daughter; it was cited without effect in *Doe Finch v. Roe*, (5 Dowl. 225), and, as it would be unjust to allow the tenant to be prejudiced, even by the assertions of third persons. It seems absurd to call upon him to rebut their acknowledgments. See also *Doe Tucker v. Roe*, 2 Dowl. 775; 4 Moo. & Sc. 165, S. C.
(*z*) *Doe Smith v. Roe*, 4 Dowl. 265.
(*a*) *Doe Finch v. Roe*, 5 Dowl. 225; *Doe Brittlebank v. Roe*, 4 Moo. & Sc., 512; see *Doe Maradall v. Roe*, 2 A. & E. 588; 4 Nev. & M. 553, S. C.
(*b*) *Ann.*, 2 Chit. Rep. 179.

the wife of the tenant that he had received it, has been sufficient to grant a rule *nisi*, calling on the tenant to cause why it should not be a good service (*d*). So, service on the son of the tenant in possession on the premises, coupled with a statement by the son that his father came home that night and received the declaration (*e*). So, where the service of the declaration was on an attorney, who represented himself to be the agent of the tenants in possession, and who appeared for them, the court granted a rule *nisi* that it should be good service, and directed the rule to be served on the attorney (*f*). So, where the declaration was served on a servant of the tenant on the premises, who promised to deliver it to her master, and it was afterwards, on the same day, in the hands of the tenant's attorney, a rule *nisi* was granted. And the same where the servant made an affidavit that he delivered the declaration to her master (*h*). And service on a servant, she stating her mistress to be too ill to be seen, that she had given the declaration to her mistress, has been held sufficient for a rule *nisi* (*i*). But where the declaration was served on the brother-in-law of the tenant on the premises in S., the tenant being then seriously ill at W., and the next day service was made on a person at the house where the tenant was, and, on the same day, he died; *Coleridge* refused the rule, saying, that it was not likely that any declaration should have been delivered to the tenant in the state in which he then was (*k*). Service on the child of the tenant in possession on the premises is insufficient even for a rule *nisi*, unless it be shewn that he is living with his parent, and poses part of the family (*l*).

Service where
Tenant re-
sides abroad,
or evades Ser-
vice.

Service where Tenant resides Abroad or evades Service.] Where the tenant has absconded to another country (*m*), or resides abroad (*n*), or is clearly keeping out of the way to avoid service (*o*), a copy of the declaration should be delivered, if possible, to his relation or servant, or some other person on the premises, to whom the notice should be read over and explained, and another copy had better be affixed on the door, or some conspicuous part of the premises; and there if it be made appear to the satisfaction of the court, that diligence has been used (*p*), and that the tenant resides abroad or has absconded, or kept out of the way to avoid being served, the court, on an affidavit of the facts, will grant a rule that the service on his relation or servant, or by posting the declaration, shall be deemed good service, and direct in what manner the rule shall be served (*q*). Service on the wife of the tenant

(*d*) *Anon.*, 2 Chit. Rep. 182: *Doe Osbaldeston v. Roe*, 1 Dowl. 456: *Doe Cuckburn v. Roe*, Id. 692: *Doe Wetherell v. Roe*, 2 Id. 441.

(*e*) *Doe Trimmings v. Roe*, 6 Dowl. 765.

(*f*) *Anon.*, 2 Chit. Rep. 181: see *Doe Walker v. Roe*, 2 C. & J. 381: and see *Doe v. Roe*, 1 Dowl. 613, *cont.*

(*g*) *Doe v. Roe*, 2 Dowl. 184: see *Doe Weatherall v. Roe*, 2 Dowl. 441.

(*h*) *Doe v. Roe*, 2 Dowl. 198.

(*i*) *Doe Messer v. Roe*, 5 Dowl. 716: *Doe v. Roe*, 1 Dowl. 692: *Doe v. Roe*, 2 D. & R. 12.

(*k*) *Doe Hartford v. Roe*, 1 Har. & W. 352.

(*l*) *Doe Emerson v. Roe*, 6 Dowl.

(*m*) *Doe Robinson v. Roe*, 3 Dowl.

(*n*) *Doe Treat v. Roe*, 4 Dowl. 2; *v. Roe*, 4 B. & Ald. 653: *Doe F. Roe*, 1 Hodg. 316: *Doe Robinson*, 3 Dowl. 11: *Doe Harrison v. Roe*, 1 30: *Doe Mather v. Roe*, 5 Dowl. 1: *Roe Fenwick v. Doe*, 3 Moore, 57 & R. 514.

(*o*) *Doe Luff v. Roe*, 3 Dowl. 57.

(*p*) *Doe George v. Roe*, 3 Dowl. this case service on the daughter was insufficient for a rule *nisi*, though it appeared that the tenant's wife was out of the way to avoid being served.

(*q*) *Douglass v. —*, 1 Str. 575.

the tenant on the premises was held sufficient where it appeared that the tenant was in America, and that his son managed his business. Where the service was effected at the house of the tenant in possession, by sticking a copy on the door of the house, and by serving another copy on a female there, who equivocated as to the tenant being at home, and, on the matters being explained, said she knew what they were, for that the lessor of the plaintiff had already been endeavouring to effect service, but could not; *Tindal*, C. J., observing, that he was inclined to think there was something like trickery, granted a rule nisi, which was afterwards made absolute on an affidavit that the same female was served with the rule in a yard attached to the tenant's house, and that she was his servant(r). Where the person effecting the service went to the house sought to be recovered, and, being informed that the tenant was at home, he put a ladder against the drawing-room window, and got up to it, and while there, believing that the tenant was in the room, he explained at the window the nature of the proceeding, and stuck a copy upon the door, it being sworn that the tenant was keeping out of the way to avoid being served, *Coleridge*, J., granted a rule nisi to be served personally, if possible, but if not, then in the same way as the copy of the declaration(s). So, where the clerk went to the tenant's house, knocked at the door, and received no answer, but heard some one whom he believed to be the tenant come to the door to listen, and he then read the declaration aloud, and explained it, and put a copy of it through a broken pane near the door, *Patteson*, J., granted a rule nisi(t). So, where several ineffectual attempts had been made to serve the tenant, who was denied by the servant, and the last time the servant stated that his master was in his house, but refused to be seen by any person, unless he sent in his name and message, whereupon the declaration was delivered to the servant, the court granted a rule nisi(u). And, in another case, where the servants refused to call their master or to receive the declaration, saying they had orders to take no papers, it was ordered (on motion) that leaving it at the house should be sufficient(x). So, where the tenant afterwards admitted that he was keeping out of the way to avoid being served, the court granted a rule nisi(y). But where the tenant's wife admitted that she had taken care to keep her husband out of the way, it was held that this admission of the wife could not be received against the husband, and the rule was refused(z). Merely stating the deponent's

9th ed. 1214: *The Mather v. Roe*, 5 Dowl. 552, and cases there cited: *The Chubbington v. Roe*, 1 Id. 456; *The Murpeth v. Roe*, 3 Id. 577; *The Luff v. Roe*, Id. 575. It may be generally stated, that wherever a landlord attempts to effect regular service and is frustrated by the fraud or artifice of the tenant, the court will grant a rule nisi. (See *The Frith v. Roe*, 3 Dowl. 582), and per *Tindal*, C. J., *The Wright v. Roe*, 6 Dowl. 455. Where the tenant went abroad, and resided there to avoid his creditors, and the declaration was delivered to a servant on the premises, who was left in charge of them, and another copy affixed on the outer door of the house, the Court of C. P. deemed it in-

sufficient. (*Roe Fenwick v. Doe*, 3 Moore, 576; see *quere*).

(r) *The Wright v. Roe*, 6 Dowl. 455.

(s) *The Nelson v. Roe*, 6 Dowl. 763; see *The Mortlake v. Roe*, 2 Dowl. 444; *The Turncroft v. Roe*, 1 H. & W. 371.

(t) *The Frost v. Roe*, 3 Dowl. 314; *Doe Wells v. Roe*, 3 Dowl. 582.

(u) *The Hervey v. Roe*, 2 Price, 112; *The Halary v. Roe*, 1 Chlt. Rep. 100, n. (a); see *The Cuckburn v. Roe*, 1 Dowl. 622.

(x) *Douglas v. —*, 1 Str. 575.

(y) *Anon.*, 2 Chlt. 186.

(z) *Doe v. Smith*, 3 Dowl. 379; see *Doe Frazer v. Roe*, 5 Dowl. 720.

BOOK III.
PART I.

belief that the tenant keeps out of the way to avoid service is not sufficient (a).

It should be here observed, that where the premises are unoccupied, and the defendant has *abandoned* the possession, then the ejectment must be proceeded with as on a *vacant* possession; but not so where the tenant has *discontinued* to occupy the premises, and still retains the virtual possession of them (b). Also, if the premises be incapable of occupation, as if they be in an unfinished state, the ejectment must, perhaps, be proceeded with as on a vacant possession (c).

Service in case
of Lunacy.

Service in case of Lunacy.] Where the tenant in possession was a lunatic, and the declaration was served on a person who resided with her, and transacted her business, (no committee being appointed), the court granted a rule to shew cause why this should not be deemed good service (d). But, where the service was on the daughter of a lunatic tenant in possession, who carried on the business for him on the premises, and it appeared that he was confined in a lunatic asylum, *Patterson, J.*, refused a rule, observing, that the service might have been effected on the lunatic himself (e).

Service in case
of Bank-
ruptcy.

Service in case of Bankruptcy.] Where the tenant in possession had become bankrupt, service of the declaration and notice addressed to the assignees upon a person who represented himself to be messenger in possession under the fiat, and on the official assignee, was held sufficient for a rule absolute (f).

Service on
Parish.

Service on Parish.] In ejectment for a house rented by a parish for the purpose of harbouring some of the parish poor, service on the churchwardens and overseers has been deemed sufficient (g). But the overseers cannot be treated as joint-tenants; and, in order to recover property in their possession, they must all be served (h).

Service on
Holders of
Chapel.

Service on Holders of Chapel.] In ejectments to recover possession of a chapel, the tenant in possession having quitted England, and not being likely to return, service having been effected on the clerk, who was intrusted with the keys, on the wife of the tenant, on his gardener, on a person claiming as mortgagee, and by affixing a copy on the notice-board, the court granted a rule absolute for judgment against the casual ejector (i). And in another case, service on the surviving lessees and the sextoness was held sufficient (j). And service on the trustees of a dissenting meeting-house and at the house is sufficient for a rule nisi, and service of that rule on the trustees for a rule absolute (k).

Service on

Service on public Company, &c.] The service of the declaration

(a) *Doe Jones v. Roe*, 1 Chit. 213.
(b) See post, 771.
(c) *Doe Scorell v. Roe*, 3 Dowl. (R) 1; 2 C., M. & R. 42, S. C., nom. *Showell*; and see *Doe v. Roe*, 4 Dowl. 173.
(d) *Doe v. Wright*, Barnes, 190; see *Doe Aylesbury v. Roe*, 2 Chit. Rep. 183; Loft, 401; *Goodtitle v. Barkitt*, 1 B. & P. 385.
(e) *Doe Brown v. Roe*, 6 Dowl. 271.

(f) *Doe Baring v. Roe*, 6 Dowl. 456.
(g) *Tu, per v. Doe*, Barnes, 181.
(h) *Doe Weeks v. Roe*, 5 Dowl. 405.
(i) *Doe Dickens v. Roe*, 7 Dowl. 121.
(j) *Doe Kirschner v. Roe*, 7 Dowl. 97; and see *Anon.*, T. 1830, B. C.; 3 Jurist, 460.
(k) *Doe Gray v. Roe*, 7 Dowl. 701.

k of a public body (the clerk having been directed inted by act of parliament) has been held sufficient rule nisi why it should not be good service (l). And in one case held that service on the bookkeeper of the Great Western Railway Company, on a part of the premises occupied, and where he slept, was sufficient for a writ (m). And where the service was on the clerk of a incorporated company not empowered to sue or be sued on behalf of their clerk, on part of the premises, though he resided there, *Coleridge, J.*, granted a rule nisi (n). Where the service had been made on the clerk of the commissioners and also on the secretary of the Exchequer loan commissioners, who were mortgagees in possession, *Littledale*, granted a rule nisi, observing, that if the commissioners had service on their secretary was sufficient (o).

CHAP. I.
SECT. 1.
public Com-
pany, &c.

4. *Affidavit of Service.*

[*Form of Affidavit of Service.*] After serving the declaration and no-
tice an affidavit of the service on plain paper, and let it
be before a judge in town or a commissioner in the coun-

4. Affidavit of
Service.
Form of, &c.

ty. It may, it seems, be made before the attorney in
fact (q), if he be not the attorney actually on the re-

turn. It may be made either by the person who actually
made the declaration, or by one who was present at the time
of service (s). Where the ejectment is on several demises,
the affidavit must be intitled "*Doe, on the several demises of*
himself, [naming all], v. Roe" (t). But by mistake inverting
the order of the lessors is of no consequence (u). Though the
affidavit describes the lessors in a particular character, as
joint tenants, assignees, &c., they need not be so described in the
affidavit (x); and where the declaration contains
joint and several demises, an affidavit intitled in the
names of all the lessors severally is sufficient (y). It should
be intitled in the names of the real defendants (z). It
may appear from the affidavit, that the declaration has been
served on the "tenant in possession:" merely stating a ser-
vice on the "person" in possession, or upon a person whom
the plaintiff believes to be tenant in possession, would be insuf-
ficient (a); stating that it was served on the tenant "as
such," would not suffice (b); nor would an affidavit that
service was on a tenant in "legal" possession (c); nor on
the occupier, the words "tenant in possession" being in
such cases indispensable (d). But where the premises
were used as a gambling-house, and it was impossible to gain
any information, a rule nisi was granted on an affidavit

2 Chit. 181.
v. Roe, 1 Dowl. 23.
Roe v. Roe, 5 Dowl. 147.
Maryle of Anglessey v. Roe, M.
Dist. 10.
the forms, Chit Forms, 362.
Cropper v. Roe, 2 Y. & J. 284.
L. H., 2 W. 4, r. 6, post: *Doe*
v. Roe, 5 Dowl. 419.
Butt v. Buttle, 2 B. & P. 120.
Cousins v. Roe, 4 M. & W. 68;
L. & C.
v. Butcher, 2 Chit. 174.
Jones v. Roe, 2 Dowl. 55.
Barlow v. Roe, 5 Dowl. 447.
L., 2 Chit. Rep. 181.
L., 1945: *Doe v. Roe*, 1 Chit.

Rep. 574: *Doe v. Buttle*, 1 Chit. Rep.
215; Id. 505: *Doe Okham v. Roe*, 4 Dowl.
714: *Doe Frazer v. Roe*, 5 Dowl. 720.
(b) *Doe v. Roe*, 2 C. & J. 45; 1 Dowl.
295, S. C. But in such cases, if the inter-
est be in fact of a chattel nature, the
affidavit may be in the common form,
describing the executor (not in the repre-
sentative character) as tenant in posses-
sion, notwithstanding he be not in the
actual occupation of the premises. (See
Doe Rigley v. Roe, 4 Dowl. 14).
(c) *Doe Osbaldiston v. Roe*, 30th April,
1832, Q. B.
(d) *Doe Jackson v. Roe*, 4 Dowl. 609;
and see *Doe Jones v. Roe*, 5 Dowl. 226.

which stated service on the tenant in possession, as deponent believes (*e*). The affidavit must also be certain and positive: an affidavit of service on *J. S.* his tenant, or *C.* his wife, was holden bad (*f*): so was an affidavit of service on the wives of *A.* and *B.*, "who, or one of them, are tenants" (*g*); so was an affidavit of the service "on a woman on the premises, who represented herself to be the wife of the tenant in possession," without adding, that the deponent believed her to be his wife (*h*). So an affidavit, stating deponent to have "personally served *J. T.*, *W. E.*, *J. E.*, and *C. T.*, the four tenants in possession, with true copies of the declaration," is not sufficient, but each should be sworn to have been personally served (*i*). But an affidavit of service on the wife, "as she informed deponent, and as he verily believes," has been deemed sufficient (*j*). An affidavit of service on the wife of the tenant, which does not shew that it was made on the premises, must shew that she was living with her husband (*k*). But an affidavit of service on her "near the premises" has been held sufficient to obtain a rule *nisi* (*l*). It must appear from the affidavit, that the notice was read over or explained to the party on whom it was served, or that he understood its import or contents (*m*). If the affidavit states that the tenant has since acknowledged that he understood the meaning and intention of the service, it will suffice, without any statement of the reading or explanation (*n*). Where the service is made upon a servant or other third person, the affidavit must shew that the tenant (*o*) has acknowledged that he has received the declaration, or that he has known of the service thereof, previous to the *first* day of the term (*p*): the affidavit must shew *when* such acknowledgment was made (*q*). Even a rule *nisi* will not be granted, unless the affidavit shew some probable grounds for believing that the tenant has received the declaration before the term (*r*), or that the servant has authority to receive letters and papers for the tenant (*s*). An acknowledgment *within* the term is sufficient, if it appear that declaration was received before the term (*t*). If no one be in the house or premises, and the declaration is stuck up thereon, the affidavit must state the deponent's belief that the tenant absconded to avoid the service (*u*); it must also state that a copy of the declaration was left, as well as affixed on the premises, and that the deponent, or others, had used diligent means to discover the tenant's residence, which is still unknown (*x*); it is not sufficient to state that the lessor of

(*e*) *Doe George v. Roe*, 3 Dowl. 22: see *Doe Hunter v. Roe*, 5 Dowl. 553.

(*f*) *Birbeck v. Hughes*, Barnes, 173.

(*g*) *Harding v. Greenamith*, Barnes, 174.

(*h*) *Doe Simmons v. Roe*, 1 Chit. Rep. 228: *Doe Walker v. Roe*, 4 Moo. & P. 11: *Doe Smith v. Roe*, 1 Dowl. 614.

(*i*) *Doe Levi v. Roe*, 7 Dowl. 102.

(*j*) *Doe Deily v. Roe*, Barnes, 194: see *Doe Jenks v. Roe*, 5 Dowl. 155.

(*k*) *Doe Briggs v. Roe*, 1 Dowl. 312; 2 C. & J. 202, S. C.: *Doe Mingay v. Roe*, 6 Dowl. 182.

(*l*) *Doe Marquis of Bath v. Roe*, 7 Dowl. 692.

(*m*) See *ante*, 737: *Doe v. Roe*, 1 Dowl. 428: *Doe Jones v. Roe*, Id. 518.

(*n*) *Doe Thompson v. Roe*, 2 Chit. Rep. 186: *Anon.*, Id. 184: *Doe Quintin v. Roe*,

Ad. Eject. 215.

(*o*) An acknowledgment by his wife will not do (*ante*, 738).

(*p*) *Doe Wilson v. Roe*, Ad. Eject. 209: *Doe Tindale v. Roe*, 2 Chit. Rep. 180: *Doe Martin v. Roe*, 1 H. & W. 46: *ante*, 738.

(*q*) *Anon.*, 2 Chit. Rep. 187.

(*r*) *Doe Read v. Roe*, 5 Dowl. 85.

(*s*) *Doe Read v. Roe*, 1 M. & W. 633.

(*t*) *Ante*, 738. Therefore, *quære*, why necessary to state the time of acknowledgment?

(*u*) *Doe Laure v. Roe*, 1 Chit. Rep. 805, n.: 2 Chit. Rep. 177; Harrison, L. & T. 833: see *ante*, 740.

(*x*) *Doe Tarluy v. Roe*, 1 Chit. Rep. 506, 505 n.: *Anon.*, 2 Chit. 177: *ante*, 740, 741.

tiff had been unsuccessful in two attempts to find the t at his dwelling-house, and had therefore stuck up ration on the premises (y).

several tenants had been served with copies of the laration, if it is meant but as *one* ejectment, and to ved by one judgment, one affidavit of the service of ficient, annexed to the copy of one declaration, if all es are alike, or to several copies if all are not alike : ctments are made *several*, so as to have several judg- ments of possession, &c., then an affidavit of the ser- t be annexed to separate copies of the declaration (z). e the service is good, but the affidavit defective, the ay, in general, be remedied by a supplemental affi-

CHAP. I.
SECT. 1.

Where there
are several
Tenants in
Possession.

Supplemental
Affidavit.

5. Judgment against the casual Ejector.

[*otion and Rule for.*] If the tenant, upon whom the ion and notice were served, does not take steps to have made a party to the action, (that is, unless he, in due iter into the common consent-rule to confess lease, nster, and possession), the plaintiff becomes entitled nent by default against the casual ejector. The motion judgment should be made some time in the term in he tenant was required by the notice to appear (b); i causes it is usually made at the beginning of the n country causes, usually at the latter end of it (c). n cause, it is in the Queen's Bench and Exchequer e that this motion should be made in the term, and pplied for till a subsequent term, it will be refused, fresh ejectment would have to be served (d). In / causes, in all the courts, and in town causes in the n Pleas (e), it may be made in the subsequent term ; i the Queen's Bench, the rule is absolute in the first e (f); but in the Common Pleas and Exchequer a rule ly will be granted if the motion be not made till the ent term (g). The motion cannot, either in town or y causes, be made after the expiration of two terms ie service of the declaration (h). If the ejectment be by lord, under the provisions of the 11 G. 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 70, ee the directions, *post*, 783. As to the time in which ant or landlord must appear and plead, see *post*, 749. rder to move for judgment against the casual ejector, the above-mentioned affidavit of service to the declaration, dorse on them—" To move for judgment against the casual

5. Judgment
against the
casual Ejector.

The Motion
and Rule for.

When made.

Practical Di-
rections as to
the Motion
and Rule.

arrison, L. & T. 833.

ieff. Pract. 178.

ieffon, 99: Tidd, 1216.

erick's Case, 1 Salk. 275; 2 Ken.

T., 18 C. 2 (a): R. E., 2 G. 4:

ld. 539. By the recent rule of

38, of the Court of C. P., it is

"that on and after the first day

Easter term, every motion for

at against the casual ejector in

st, in London and Middlesex,

made on any day during the

the rule at end of note (b), *supra*:

R. T., 32 Car. 2: *Doe Lancford v. Roe*,

1 Bing. N. C. 161: *Doe Glynn v. Roe*, 2

Dowl. 822.

(d) *Doe Greaves v. Roe*, 4 Dowl. 88, *per*

Coleridge, J.: *Doe Wilson v. Roe*, 4 Dowl.

124.

(e) *Doe Wilson v. Roe*, 4 Dowl. 124.

(f) *Doe Crooms v. Roe*, 6 Dowl. 270:

Doe v. Roe, 2 Dowl. 196: *Doe Wiggs v.*

Roe, 5 Dowl. 662.

(g) *Doe Reeve v. Roe*, 1 Gale, 15: *Right*

d. *Jeffery v. Wrong*, 2 Dowl. 348, Exch.:

Doe Wilson v. Roe, 4 Dowl. 124, C. P.

(h) *Doe v. Roe*, 1 Dowl. 495; 2 Id. 196.

ejector ;" get it signed by counsel. The motion paper requires only counsel's signature, if the declaration have been regular and perfectly served on the tenant or his wife, and should be once taken to the rule office without applying to the court (but if the service were in any other manner, the motion must be made in court, and the particular manner of the service mentioned (k). Take the motion paper to one of the masters, and draw up the rule. This is a rule nisi for judgment unless the tenant shall appear and plead within the time therein mentioned (l). This rule is not served on the tenant in possession nor has he any actual notice thereof; and his attorney, therefore, must search for the rule; and, at all events, appear and plead before the expiration of the time thereby limited, or judgment will be signed against the casual ejector. But we have seen that in some cases the court will grant a rule nisi only in the first instance (m). In such cases the service should be made in the best manner and as long before the term as possible. Then, at the court, upon affidavit of the facts, for a rule to shew why the service in question should not be deemed good service, and that leaving a copy of the rule with some person on the premises, or affixing it upon the outer door, if no person can be found, shall be deemed good service of the rule (n). Draw it with one of the masters, and serve a copy of it in the manner directed by the rule; and if no sufficient cause be afterwards shewn, the court will make the rule absolute upon an affidavit of service and compliance with the terms of the rule (o). The rule nisi need not be directed to any particular person (p). If there be but one ejectment, one rule is sufficient, although there are several tenants, and although the name of each tenant is separately prefixed to the notice served on him, instead of the names of all (q). This rule must be drawn up and taken to the master's office, within two days after the end of the term for which it was moved for; otherwise it shall not be drawn up, entered, nor shall any further proceedings be had in such ejectment (r).

Judgment,
when and
how Signed.

When and how Signed.] At the expiration of the time limited for the tenant's appearance (s), if no plea and consent on the part of the tenant have been delivered, then make an incipitur on plain paper, and a *incipitur* on the roll, and upon producing your rule for judgment, the master will sign judgment. It seems that in the Queen's Bench, by bill, it is necessary to enter a common appearance for the casual ejector, previous to signing this judgment (t); but in the Queen's Bench, original, or in the Common Pleas and Exchequer, it is not necessary, and the costs of it would be disallowed (u). T.

(i) *Doe Welch v. Roe*, 5 Dowl. 271.

(k) See *ante*, 736, 743.

(l) See the form as to the whole of the premises, Chit. Forms, 366; the like as to part, *ib.*; the like where there are several tenants, *ib.*

(m) Chit. Sum. Pract. 219.

(n) See the form of the rule, Chit. Forms, 365.

(o) See form of affidavit, Chit. Forms, 365. See *Douglass v. —*, 1 Str. 575; *Fenn v. Donn*, 2 Burr. 1181; *Methold v. Norright*, 1 W. Bl. 290; *Gullicer v. Wag-*

staff, *Id.* 317; *Fenn v. Roe*, 1 New 203; Ch. Sum. Pr. 220.

(p) *Doe Aylesbury v. Roe*, 2 Chit. 183.

(q) *Doe Burlington v. Roe*, 7 T. R. 183; *Doe Pearson v. Roe*, 5 Moore, 73; 1 Rowe, 2 C. & J. 670; *ante*, 734, 735.

(r) R. M., 31 G. 3, r. 1, K. B.: 1 48 G. 3, C. P.: 4 T. R. 1.

(s) *Vide post*, 749.

(t) Tidd, 9th ed. 1244: R. T., 14 r. 1: R. M., 33 C. 2: 2 Sellon, 100.

(u) *Doe Morgan v. Roe*, 2 M. & W.

no occasion for a rule to plead (*x*), nor for a demand of judgment must not be signed before the afternoon of the day at that when the rule for judgment expired; and if Sunday the last day, the plaintiff must wait till the afternoon of Tuesday (*y*). There is no distinction, in point of effect, between this judgment and a judgment obtained upon a verdict against the tenant or other person claiming title. It should be remembered, that unless a rule for judgment has been duly entered and given, the defendant may appear and plead at any time before judgment has been signed against the casual ejector (*z*).

Execution on.] When judgment against the casual ejector has been signed, *engross a writ of possession on parchment* (*a*), *be the judgment paper and writ to the sealer of the writs* (*b*), *he will seal it. Take the writ to the sheriff's office, and get a warrant on it; and give the warrant to an officer to execute. As to the execution of this writ, vide post, 767.*

Setting aside Judgment by Default, &c.] At any time before the writ of possession is executed, the court or a judge in vacation, upon an affidavit of merits, or that the defendant believes there is a good defence, may set aside or stay the proceedings on payment of costs, and let in the tenant or other person claiming title to defend the action, by obliging the plaintiff to accept a plea (*c*); but the court will not, in general, grant this indulgence to parties, after execution executed (*d*),

(x) Ad. Eject. 2nd ed. 222.

(y) *Doe v. Hedger*, 4 D. & R. 383; and *Hyde v. Thruswell*, 5ay 1, 303.

(z) Chit. Sum. Prac. 221: *ante*, Vol. I. 185.

(a) See the form, Chit. Forms, 368.

(b) It is in contemplation to abolish this office.

(c) *Arden*, 2 Salk. 516; *Dobbs v. Pinner*, 2 Str. 975; *Doe Troughton v. Roe*, 4 Burr. 1966.

(d) *Doe Ledger v. Roe*, 3 Taunt. 506; *Goodale v. Buttrill*, 4 Taunt. 820; *Doe Thompson v. Roe*, 4 Dowl. 115. But in the first of these cases, the landlord was guilty of negligence in not instructing his attorney. In the second there must have been laches, for the lessor of the plaintiff had sold and transferred possession of part of the premises previously to the application: and the principle there acted on—if your tenant has done you wrong, that is only a matter between him and you—appears untenable. (See *per cur.* 4 Burr. 1966, cited *infra*.) The last case (in which the Court of C. P. refused to let in a person claiming to be landlord to defend after judgment and execution, there being no collusion suggested between lessor of the plaintiff and the tenant, was decided on the authority of the two former, and the affidavit on which the person claiming to be landlord applied was weak and inexplicit, not stating how or when he became landlord, nor shewing any of the usual claims to indulgence. Mr. Serjeant Adams says, that, as the situations of claimant and defendant in former are materially different, the courts are liberal in their rules for setting aside judgment against the casual ejector, though regularly signed, and will grant

them even after writ of execution executed, upon affidavit of merits or other circumstances which, in their discretion, they may deem sufficient: and he cites *Dobbs v. Pinner*, 2 Str. 975 (where the court observed, that great inconveniences might arise from changing the possession, timber might be felled, &c.): *Mason v. Hodgson*, Barnes, 250 (in which case the appearance was entered by mistake in a wrong term, and judgment regularly signed, but “as the title had not been tried,” judgment was set aside on payment of costs, entering an appearance as of the proper term, and entering into the common rule by consent): *Doe Grace's Company v. Roe*, 5 Taunt. 205 (which was a case of collusion, and the court said, that there was no general rule which prevented them from setting aside a writ of possession after execution had). And see *Doe Troughton v. Roe*, (4 Burr. 1966), where the court were clearly of opinion, that the possession ought not to be changed by judgment in ejectment where there had been *no trial or opportunity of trying*, although the obtaining the judgment might be owing to the default or treachery of the defendant's own tenant. (See also *Doe Shaw v. Roe*, 13 Price, 260; *Doe Ingram v. Roe*, 11 Id. 507; *Doe Meyrick v. Roe*, 2 C. & J. 682). From these cases it may be collected, that wherever judgment has been signed and writ of execution executed without a trial or an opportunity of trying; either by collusion between the lessor of the plaintiff and the tenant of the applicant; default or evident treachery of the tenant; (4 Burr. 1966; 2 C. & J. 682; but see 4 Taunt. 820); mere slip or accidental laches of applicant's attorney; or error in matter of

or, as it seems, after a trial has been lost (*e*). Where the landlord, after notice to quit, brought an ejectment against the tenant, and obtained a verdict, and the latter still continuing in possession, he distrained on him for rent, which became due after the verdict, and which he paid, it was held, that the execution in the ejectment could not be stayed, as the tenant should have disputed the distress (*f*). The Court of Exchequer, however, set aside a regular judgment and writ of possession executed, on an affidavit by the attorney for the landlord and tenant, that he had received instructions for entering an appearance, but had neglected it, owing to matters personally affecting himself, which had prevented his attending to it (*g*). In another case, a judgment against the casual ejector was set aside after execution executed, on the ground that there had been no notice given to the landlord by the tenant in possession of the premises, and consequently no trial of the merits; and the terms made were, that the landlord should pay costs to the lessor of the plaintiff, and that the possession should be, in the meantime, retained by the latter (*h*). And in cases of collusion, the court will always thus interfere (*i*). If the court or judge will not interfere, then the landlord's or tenant's remedy is to bring an ejectment and try his right (*k*).

When Signed
too soon.

If judgment by default be signed sooner than the practice of the court warrants, it will be set aside on application. Thus where a rule was obtained for judgment against the casual ejector, unless the tenant should appear and plead, and the

form;—(under this head may be classed the cases where judgment signed on account of insufficiency in the consent rule has been set aside for the purpose of amending and obtaining a trial, as in *Doe v. Anderson*, 4 Dowl. 707, where the consent rule was for a certain "tin-bound," setting out the abutments, when it ought to have been for the mine under the "tin-bound");—provided there has been no negligence in instructing counsel, &c., before judgment signed, nor laches after it has been signed, and that the applicant's title is explicitly stated on affidavit, there can be little doubt that the court or a judge will set aside the judgment on the condition of paying costs, &c., in order to obtain a trial on the merits. Indeed, were this not the case, the landlord would suffer all the disadvantage arising from the want of that notice which would be required in a form of action more strictly legal, without deriving any benefit from the equitable discretion which courts of law have always been inclined to exercise in the action of ejectment. A late case (*Doe Stokes v. Roe, cor. Vaughan, J.*, at chambers, 14th December, A. D. 1836, MSS.) will serve as an illustration. On November 1, the declaration was served on the tenant, who neglected to deliver it to his landlord till November 19. The landlord on receiving the declaration sent it by a third person to his attorney, with directions to take the necessary steps. Owing to some mistake in the message, the attorney, being particularly engaged, and expecting further instructions, did not examine the papers for more than a week,

when, to his surprise, finding that an appearance was to be entered in Michaelmas term, then nearly elapsed, he applied to his client, and received instructions to appear for him immediately, but it was too late, judgment having been regularly signed against the casual ejector. On an affidavit by the landlord and the attorney, stating the circumstances, and their belief that the landlord had a good defence, and shewing how and when he became landlord, notwithstanding an affidavit by the lessor of the plaintiff, claiming as heir-at-law of the same person through whom the landlord claimed, (but not explicit judgment and writ of possession executed were set aside, and the landlord let in to defend, on payment of costs. To take advantage of such an order, obtain a rule directing the lessor of the plaintiff to restore possession, and in case of a refusal apply for an attachment for the contempt. 2 Salk. 588, *per Holt, C. J.*; *Darics v. Percy*, 2 W. Bl. 892). As to the mode of proceeding, where the lessor of the plaintiff cannot be served with this rule, see *post*, 768.

(*e*) 2 Sellon, 178.

(*f*) *Doe Holmes v. Darics*, 2 Moore, 581.

(*g*) *Doe Shaw v. Roe*, 13 Price, 260.

(*h*) *Doe Ingram v. Roe*, 11 Price, 377: *post*, 749, 753: see *Doe Meyrick v. Roe*, 2 C. & J. 682: the case was not sufficiently strong to authorize an order for restitution.

(*i*) See *Doe Grocers' Company, v. Roe*, 5 Taunt. 205: *Goodtitle v. Badtitle*, 4 Taunt. 820.

(*k*) See 2 Sellon, 230: *Harr. L. & T.* 768.

did not appear, but a judge's order was obtained for a copy of particulars to defendant, and a further order by which that defendant should have ten days' time to plead after the copy, pleading issuably, rejoining gratis, and taking short notice of trial, and the lessor of the plaintiff, after having taken the copy for a year, delivered particulars, and at the expiration of the copy days signed judgment against the casual ejector, without a term's notice, the judgment was set aside as irregular, without costs, as the defendant was a nominal party (l).

6. The Appearance and Pleadings.

Appearance and Plea by Tenant.] The appearance is entered as delivered, either by the tenant upon whom the declaration and notice was served, or by his landlord, or by both, or by some other person claiming title to the premises. *in town causes*, where the notice requires the tenant to appear on the first day of the term, he is allowed four days, if the rule for judgment already mentioned has been drawn and entered, to appear and plead, provided the rule be taken up and entered before the last four days of the term, or drawn up and entered within the last four days of the term, until two days before the *essoign-day* (m) of the following term allowed him. But if the notice were to appear on any other day of the term, he shall have the entire of the term to appear and plead. In *country causes*, the tenant, &c., had notice until four days exclusive after the issuable term returns to the assizes allowed him for the same purpose (n); now, by *R. E.*, 1821 (o), in the Queen's Bench and Common Pleas, in country ejectments, where the declaration is made before the first (p) day of Michaelmas or Easter term, the time for the appearance of the tenant shall be within four days after the end of such term respectively: and the same, likewise, as to Hilary and Trinity terms. But it seems that in the Exchequer he would still have until four days after the end of the term (q).

It should be remarked, that a tenant is not bound to appear, although his landlord offer to indemnify him (r); nor is the landlord bound to appear and defend the ejectment in the tenant's name, without his consent (s); and if he do, the appearance and plea would be irregular, and the court would order the plea to be withdrawn (t). On the other hand, if the ejectment be brought by the landlord, or any other person claiming under him, the court will not, it is said, let the tenant in to defend the action on any supposed defect of title (u). The landlord, however, may have leave to appear and defend the action in his own name, as shall be stated presently; and for the purpose the tenant, when served with a declaration in ejectment, is bound to give immediate notice thereof to his

6. Appearance and Plea. By Tenant.

Time for Entering.

Tenant not bound to appear.

Tenant should give Landlord Notice of the Ejectment.

See *Vernon v. Roe*, 7 Ad. & E. 14; & P. 237.
Where as to the *essoign day*: see 18.
See *Hyde d. Culliford v. Thrustout*, 3; Barnes, 186; *Mason d. Kendale v.* 1, Id. 286.

(n) 4 B. & Ald. 539; 5 Moore, 637.

(p) R. T., 1 W. 4, ante, 736.

(q) R. H., 30 G. 3, Exch.

(r) *Right v. Wrong*, Barnes, 173.

(s) *Roe Jones v. Doe*, Barnes, 178.

(t) 2 Sellon, 179.

(u) *Driver v. Laurence*, 2 W. Bl. 1259.

BOOK III.
PART I.

landlord, under pain of forfeiting three years' improved rent of the premises (*x*). Where the tenant had not given notice to the landlord of the ejectment, and there was judgment against the casual ejector, the court set aside the judgment, and ordered the tenant to pay all the costs to the lessor of the plaintiff on the landlord's entering into the usual rule to try the title (*y*).

The Appearance, how entered, &c.

The mode of appearing for the tenant is thus:—*Get a blank consent rule, and fill it up*(*z*). *If the ejectment be upon a supposed original, strike out the words "and file common bail," in the printed form of the consent rule, and instead of the word "bill," insert "writ."* *Let the defendant's attorney sign the rule, leaving room above his signature for that of the attorney for the plaintiff.* Then, if the ejectment be by original, *make out a præcipe for the appearance*(*a*); *and take it to one of the masters, who will thereupon enter an appearance for the tenant.* Or if the ejectment be by bill, *get a common bail-piece at the stationer's; fill it up*(*b*), *and take it, together with the consent rule, to one of the masters, who will number*(*c*) *and file the bail-piece for the tenant.* *The master will at the same time mark the consent rule.*

The Plea how delivered.

Next, whether the ejectment be by original or by bill, engross the general issue upon plain paper; annex the rule to it, and deliver both to the plaintiff's attorney or agent(*d*). By rule of H. T., 1 Vict. (Q. B.), after reciting, that "by the practice of this court, in all actions of ejectment, it is necessary that the plea and consent rule should be filed at the chambers of one of the judges of the same court, it is hereby ordered, That from and after the last day of this present term, the said practice be discontinued, and in all such actions, the plea, with the consent rule annexed thereto, be delivered in like manner as pleas in other actions, the defendant's appearance being first entered with the proper officer, as heretofore"(*e*).

Form of the Plea, and Time to plead.

According to the usual terms of the consent rule, the defendant can plead the general issue only; but the court, upon application, may give him leave to plead to the jurisdiction(*f*), such as a plea of ancient demesne or the like(*g*). It is necessary to remark, that the plea of ancient demesne in ejectment must be pleaded within four days, or within the first four days of the term(*h*), although that happen to be before the expiration of the time limited for the tenant's appearance. The court have allowed it to be filed *de bene esse*, within the first four days of the term, pending a rule *nisi* for permission to allow the plea to be pleaded(*i*). A plea *puis darrein continuance*, of a release by one of the lessors of the plaintiff, is bad on

(*z*) 11 G. 2, c. 19, s. 12: see *Buckley v. Buckley*, 1 T. R. 647; *Crocker v. Fothergill*, 2 B. & Ald. 652.

(*y*) *Doe Tringhton v. Roe*, 4 Burr. 1906; *Doe Myrick v. Roe*, 2 C. & J. 682: see *ante*, 740.

(*z*) See the form, Chit. Forms, 369.

(*a*) See the form, Chit. Forms, 367, 371.

(*b*) See the form, Chit. Forms, 371.

(*c*) R. E., 33 G. 3.

(*d*) See the form of plea, Chit. Forms, 371.

(*e*) The rule of H. T., 4 W. 4, r. 1, that no plea, &c., shall be filed, but shall be delivered, was held not to apply to pro-

ceedings in ejectment. (See *Doe Williams v. Williams*, 2 A. & E. 381; 4 Nev. & M. 295, S. C.) In the C. P. it was the practice, before this rule, to file the plea and consent rule at the prothonotary's; and, in the Exchequer, to file them with the clerk of the pleas.

(*f*) *Ante*, 653.

(*g*) See as to the affidavit necessary to support an application for leave to plead this plea of ancient demesne, *Doe Rust v. Roe*, 2 Burr. 1046.

(*h*) *Denn Wroat v. Fenn*, 8 T. R. 474: see *ante*, 653.

(*i*) *Doe Morton v. Roe*, 10 East, 523.

r(k). If the plaintiff after issue, and before trial, on part of the premises, the defendant might plead it as *his darrein continuance*, as in other cases(l). Where the the plaintiff's lessor was inserted in the body of the the person complaining) instead of that of the nominal judgment signed against the casual ejector, under the the plea was null and void, was set aside with costs, lar(m). The defendant may obtain time to plead, as cases(n).

s plaintiff's attorney or agent, when the plea and consent e been delivered, separate the plea from the rule, and sign r, and take it to one of the masters, who will thereupon o the rule(o). When you have got the rule from the make up the issue, as directed post, 756(p); annex f the rule to it, and deliver it to the defendant's attorney

Consent Rule, when and how drawn up.

e plaintiff delay drawing up the rule or replying, the nt may rule him to reply; and if he do not reply the time limited by the rule, which is four days, the nt may sign judgment of *nonpros* (q). The defendant, r, in such a case, where the lessor of the plaintiff er entered into the consent rule, will not be entitled s(q), the plaintiff being a mere nominal adversary. will be entitled to costs on a *nonpros*, for not re- when the lessor of the plaintiff has joined in the con- le.

Nonpros for not drawing up the Consent Rule.

R. M., 1820, Q. B.(r), R. H., 1 & 2 G. 4, C. P.(s), 2 G. 4, Exch.(t), the defendant shall *specify in the consent r what premises he intends to defend*, and shall therein t to confess upon the trial, not only lease, entry, and but that he (if he defend as tenant, or if he defend as d, then that his tenant) was at the time of the service declaration in the possession of such premises; and that n the trial the defendant shall not confess such posses- s well as lease, entry, and ouster, whereby the plaintiff not be able further to prosecute his suit against the ant, then no costs shall be allowed for not further pro- g the same, but the defendant shall pay costs to the ff; in such case to be taxed. Notwithstanding the first f this rule, it appears to be the inveterate practice to he premises for which the tenant (or landlord) means and with the same obscure generality as in the declara-). The consent rule need not set out the christian and ne of the lessor of the plaintiff(v). It must, how- be correctly intitled in the action; and where four ents were brought on the demise of the same lessor, ver thirteen houses, each ejectment being for a portion thirteen, and each declaration was for thirty messuages,

Form of the Consent Rule.

in *Bynes v. Brewer*, 4 M. & Sel. Chit. Rep. 323, S. C. vol. 182 : ante, Vol. I. 300. Sel. 182. e Vol. I. p. 160. e the form, Chit. Forms, 369. e Chit. Forms, 378, 379. *Edright Ward v. Badtittle*, 2 W.

BL 763 : *Doe Vermm v. Roe*, 7 Ad. & EL 14; 2 Nev. & P. 237, S. C. (r) 2 Chit. Rep. 375, 379. (s) 5 Moore, 310. (t) 9 Price, 289. (u) 4 Nev. & M. 45, u. (a) : see *Doe v. Hughes*, 4 Dowl. 412. (c) *Doe Spencer v. Reid*, 3 Moore, 96.

and the landlord entered into a consent rule, treating the four actions as one, but brought on several demises, and for a hundred and twenty messuages, it was held that the consent rule was a nullity, and that a writ of error *coram nobis*, describing the cause in the same manner, was no stay of execution (*s*). Where the ejectment has been brought by one tenant in common against another (*y*), or by one coparcener or joint tenant against another (*z*), the court or a judge, upon application, will let in the tenant, &c., to defend, upon his confessing lease and entry only, so as to put the lessor of the plaintiff to prove at the trial an actual ouster; provided the tenant do not dispute the plaintiff's title as joint tenant, &c. (*a*). If the tenant defend only for part, the plaintiff may, of course, sign judgment against the casual ejector for the residue.

Appearance
and Plea, &c.,
by Landlord,
&c.

Appearance and Plea, &c., by Landlord, &c.] We have already seen, (*ante*, 749), that, although the tenant in possession is not bound to appear and defend the action, yet he is obliged, under a penalty, to give his landlord notice, when a declaration in ejectment has been served on him. And by 11 G. 2, c. 19, s. 13, the court may allow the landlord to make himself defendant by joining with the tenant, if the tenant appear; but if the tenant neglect or refuse to appear, judgment shall be signed against the casual ejector for want of such appearance; yet, if the landlord shall desire to appear by himself, and consent to enter into the like rule the tenant must have entered into had he appeared, the court shall permit him to do so; and shall order a stay of execution upon the judgment against the casual ejector, until they shall make further order therein. A liberal construction has been given to this statute; and the court have let in the heir of the landlord, although he had never been in possession (*b*), a remainder-man under the same title with the original landlord (*c*), a devisee in trust (*d*), and a mortgagee (*e*), severally, to defend the action. And where a lord, claiming by escheat, applied to be admitted a defendant in an action brought by one claiming as heir, the court directed the lord to bring an ejectment, and the heir to be admitted to defend; and said, that if the lord refused, they would discharge his rule to be admitted; or, if the heir refused, they would allow the lord to defend (*f*). But a mortgagee will not be permitted to come in, and defend as landlord, unless he be interested in the result of the suit, and be not put forward merely to further the purposes of the tenant (*g*). Where the tenant came into possession under an agreement with the lessor of plaintiff for a term of years, but afterwards disclaimed the tenancy, the court held that a stranger, claiming a title, should not be

(*x*) *Doe Faithful v. Roe*, 7 Dowl. 718.

(*y*) *Oates v. Brydon*, 3 Burr. 1895. But the tenant of tenant in common is not entitled to this privilege. (*Doe Wills v. Roe*, 4 Dowl. 628).

(*z*) *Doe Ginger v. Roe*, 2 Taunt. 307: and see *Doe White v. Cuff*, 1 Camp. 173: Rosc. on Evid. 2nd ed. 32.

(*a*) *Anon.*, 7 Mod. 39.

(*b*) *Lorelock v. Duncaster*, 4 T. R. 122:

and see 3 T. R. 783, S. C.

(*c*) *Lorelock v. Duncaster*, 3 T. R. 783.

(*d*) 4 Id. 122: see *Roe Leek v. Doe*, Barnes, 193.

(*e*) *Doe Tihard v. Cooper*, 8 T. R. 645: *Doe Tubb v. Roe*, 4 Taunt. 1857.

(*f*) *Fairclain v. Shamtitle*, 3 Burr. 1290.

(*g*) *Doe Pearson v. Roe*, 6 Bing. 613; 4 Moo. & P. 437, S. C.

mitted to defend; or that, if he happened to be admitted, he should not be allowed to impeach the title of the lessor of the plaintiff; or to set up any other defence than that of which the tenant might have availed himself had he appeared (*k*). And where, upon an ejectment against the tenant in possession, who came into possession as tenant of the lessor of the plaintiff, a third person, having an adverse title, entered into a consent rule to defend as landlord, the court discharged such rule, with costs (*i*).

The court have, in some instances, even after judgment against the casual ejector, let the landlord in to defend the action (*l*).

Where a party is landlord of the whole, and tenant of part of the premises, and the tenants are paupers, if he alone be the real party defending, he should appear, and defend as landlord for the premises in the possession of his tenants and as tenant for the residue; or, in default thereof, a rule or order may be obtained for setting aside the appearances and pleas of the tenants, and judgment may be signed against the casual ejector (*l*).

Where the
Tenants are
Paupers.

A party residing abroad may, upon being admitted to defend as landlord, be required to give security for costs (*m*).

Security for
the Costs.

The motion for the landlord to be admitted to defend, either with the tenant, or by himself, is a motion of course, and requires only counsel's signature. *Get the motion paper signed by counsel, take it to one of the masters, and draw up the rule (n); and annex a copy of it to the consent rule and plea, before you deliver them to the plaintiff's attorney or agent.*

The Motion
and Rule so
leave to de-
fend as Land-
lord.

You then proceed as in ordinary cases, where the tenant appears alone (o). If the landlord appear by himself, the rule gives liberty to the plaintiff to sign judgment against the casual ejector, but execution thereon to be stayed until further order (*p*). The plaintiff, thereupon, immediately signs judgment against the casual ejector; and if the landlord does not appear at the trial, the plaintiff, upon producing the *postea* and office copies of the rules, must move for leave to sue out execution, and the court will accordingly grant a rule *nisi* (*q*). But if the landlord does appear, and the cause be tried, and a verdict and judgment be obtained against him, execution may be issued against him without any further order of the court (*r*).

Where the landlord was admitted to defend alone, and died before the termination of the action, having devised all his estates to B., and the Statute of Limitations prevented the lessor of the plaintiff from bringing a fresh ejectment, the court gave him leave to sign judgment against the casual ejector

Effect of
Death, pen-
ing the Suit

k) *Doe Knight v. Smythe*, 4 M. & Sel. 367. And, according to *Doe v. Creel*, (5 Bing. 327), the landlord cannot avail himself of every defence that the tenant could have done had he defended; for it was there considered, that, where a party defends as landlord, and the occupiers have suffered a judgment by default, he cannot object that the occupiers have not received notice to quit from the lessors of the plaintiff. (And see *Doe v. Street*, 4 Nev. & M. 42: *Doe v. Horn*, 3 M. & W. 340).

i) *Doe Horton v. Rhys*, 2 Y. & J. 88.

l) See ante, 747.

l) *Thruston v. Shenton*, 10 B. & C.

111; 5 Man. & R. 543: post, 762, 763.

m) *Doe Hudson v. Jameon*, 4 M. & Ry. 571.

n) See the form, Chit. Forms, 370.

o) See ante, 751.

p) See the form of the rule, Chit. Forms, 370: see *Doe v. Bennett*, 4 B. & C. 897; 7 Dowl. & R. 261.

q) See the form of the rule, Chit. Forms, 385.

r) See *Doe Lucy v. Bennett*, 4 B. & C. 897; 7 D. & R. 261, S. C.: *Doe Roberts v. Gibbs*, 1 Chit. Rep. 47: *Doe Simons v. Masters*, Id. 233: post, 766.

BOOK III.
PART I.

and issue execution thereon, unless B. would appear and defend the action as landlord(s).

Cognovit.

Cognovit(t).] The defendant, after entering into the consent rule, may, if he wish, withdraw his plea and confess the action (u). The plaintiff, in such a case, after a *relicta veritate* entered, may sign judgment in pursuance of the *cognovit*, as directed *ante*, 680(x). This is a final judgment, and has the same effect as a judgment upon verdict. Where the landlord defended the action at his own expense, but in the name of his tenant, the court, upon application, set aside the judgment entered up on a *cognovit* given by the tenant, and let in the landlord to defend the action in his own name (y).

Replication, &c.

Replication, &c.] The plaintiff will reply as in other cases. If he do not, and the defendant wish to compel him to do so, he should pursue the directions pointed out *ante*, 751.

Discontinuance.

A discontinuance is allowed in an ejectment. The court will not, however, give the plaintiff leave to discontinue, after a special verdict has been had, in order to adduce fresh proof in contradiction to the verdict(a).

7. Incidental Proceedings.

7. Incidental Proceedings.

Particulars of Premises, &c.] The defendant, if there be any reasonable doubt as to the lands, &c., for which the ejectment is brought, may take out a summons before a judge, and obtain an order, calling upon the plaintiff to give him a bill of particulars(b). The court or a judge may also, under circumstances, order the defendant to give a particular of the premises for which he defends.

Particulars of Premises, &c.

Of Breaches of Covenant.

Also, where the ejectment is brought for a forfeiture, the court or a judge, upon application, will order the lessor of the plaintiff to give the defendant a particular of the covenants and breaches, &c., on which he means to insist that the defendant has forfeited his term, and that he shall not be allowed to give evidence at the trial of anything not contained in those particulars(c).

Of Lessor's Residence, &c.

Where the lessor of the plaintiff is unknown to the defendant, the latter may call for a particular of his residence or place of abode from the opposite attorney, and if he refuse to give it, or give in a fictitious account of a person who cannot be found, the court or a judge will stay proceedings until security be given for costs(d).

Security for Costs, and staying Proceedings.

Security for Costs(e) and staying Proceedings.] The defendant may move to stay proceedings until a guardian shall be ap-

(s) *Doe Grubb v. Grubb*, 5 B. & C. 457.

(t) See *ante*, 674, as to *cognovits* in general.

(u) See the form of *cognovit*, Chit. Forms, 372.

(v) See the form of *præcipe* for appearance, Chit. Forms, 371; and of the entry on roll, *Id* 372.

(y) *Doe Locke v. Franklin*, 7 Taunt. 9; 1 Chit. 390, n., S. C.: see *Payne v. Rogers*, 1 Doug. 407; 2 H. Bl. 342, S. C.: *Doe v. Dyer*, 3 Dowl. Cl. 6.

(a) *Doe Gray v. Gray*, 2 W. Bl. 815.

(b) *Doe Saunders v. Newcastle, Duke of*, 7 T. R. 332. See form of order and particulars, Chit. Forms, 374, 375.

(c) *Doe Birch v. Phillips*, 6 T. R. 597; *Tenny v. Moody*, 3 Bing. 3; 10 Moore, 252, S. C.: *Sauter v. Hitchcock*, 5 Dowl. 724. See form of particulars, Chit. Forms, 375.

(d) Tidd, 476: and see *ante*, Vol. I. 52.

(e) As to the recognisance for costs in ejectments under 1 G. 4, c. 87, see *post*, 778.

pointed for an infant lessor, to answer costs(*f*); or, where the lessor of plaintiff is abroad or dead, or is unknown, until security be given for costs(*f*).

So the court or a judge will, in general, stay proceedings in a second action, until the costs in the first shall be paid(*g*). And this although the former action was discontinued before consent rule or plea(*h*).

In second
Action.

Where the defendant moved to stay proceedings in an ejectment, upon the ground that the title of the lessor of the plaintiff had determined since the commencement of the action, the court refused the rule, saying, that the plaintiff had a right to proceed for the recovery of his damages and costs(*i*).

Not for Cess-
ment of Title.

In ejectment on a clause of re-entry in a lease for breach of covenant to repair, the court has no power to stay proceedings even on payment of costs, though it appears that the necessary repairs were done before the commencement of the action(*j*).

For Non-
repair.

In ejectment for non-payment of rent, if the tenant or his assignee, or mortgagee(*k*), shall at any time before trial, but not after(*l*), pay or tender to the landlord, his executors, or administrators, or to the attorney in the cause, or pay into court, all rent and arrears, together with the costs, then all further proceedings shall cease and be discontinued(*m*). Where the landlord had obtained possession under an *habere*, the court refused to compel him on motion to pay over to the tenant the value of the crops deducting the rent(*n*).

In Ejectment
for Non-pay-
ment of Rent.

Also in ejectment by a mortgagee, (or in an action on a bond, or a bond for payment of the money secured by the mortgage, or performance of the covenant therein contained), where no suit in equity for foreclosure or redemption is depending, if the person having a right to redeem (provided that such party be a defendant in the action) (*o*) shall, at any time pending the action (*p*), pay to the mortgagee, or, in case of his refusal, pay into court, the principal and interest due on the mortgage, with such costs as have been expended in any suit at law or in equity on such mortgage, (such money for principal, interest, and costs to be ascertained by the court where such action is pending, or by its officer), it shall be deemed and taken to be in full satisfaction of the mortgage, and the court shall discharge the mortgagor of and from the same accordingly, and order a re-conveyance, &c. (*q*). This, however, does not

By Mortgage
against Mort-
gagor.

(*f*) *Post*, Book IV. Part I. Ch. 11. Where the infant lessor was a pauper, the court discharged a rule calling on him to find security, upon the terms that the infant's father should be substituted for the nominal plaintiff. *Doe Roberts v. Roberts*, 6 Dowl. 556.

(*g*) *Post*, Book IV. Part I. Ch. 10: *Doe Thomas v. Sherrinell*, 7 Dowl. 527; *Doe Selby v. Alston*, 1 T. R. 491; *Keene d. Angel v. Angel*, 6 Id. 740; and see *Doe Roe v. Thomas*, 4 D. & R. 145; *Doe Williams v. Winch*, 3 B. & Ald. 602; *Hart. L. & T.* 871.

(*h*) *Doe Langdon v. Langdon*, 5 B. & Ad. 864; 2 Nev. & M. 848.

(*i*) *Thruston v. Grey*, 2 Str. 1056; see *Scurr v. Dodd*, 1 Dowl. 306; 2 C. & J. 157; S. C.

(*j*) *Doe Mayhew v. Ayley*, Q. B., 7. 1830;

3 Jurist, 458.

(*k*) *Doe Whitfield v. Roe*, 3 Taunt. 402.

(*l*) *Roe v. Davies*, 7 East, 363; see *Doe Lambert v. Roe*, 3 Dowl. 557.

(*m*) 4 G. 2, c. 28, s. 4; see *Doe v. Masters*, 2 B. & C. 490; *Doe Horwurt v. Roe*, 4 Taunt. 883; see *post*, Book IV. Part I. Ch. 10. See the forms, *Chit. Forms*, 375, 376.

(*n*) *Doe v. Witherwick*, 10 Moore, 267; 3 Bing. 11, S. C.

(*o*) *Doe Hurst v. Clifton*, 6 Nev. & M. 857; 4 A. & E. 814. The mortgagor sufficiently shews that he has become defendant, by stating in his affidavit that he has entered an appearance, without going on to say that he has signed the consent rule. (*Doe Cor v. Brown*, 6 Dowl. 471).

(*p*) See *Doe Tubb v. Roe*, 4 Taunt. 887.

(*q*) 7 G. 2, c. 20, s. 1.

BOOK III.
PART I.

extend to cases where the right of redemption is controverted, or the money due is not adjusted; nor shall it prejudice any subsequent mortgage (*r*). A first mortgagee brought an action of covenant on the covenant in the mortgage-deed, having received notice from a second mortgagee not to deliver up the deed; the mortgagor applied to the court to compel the plaintiff under the 7 G. 2, c. 20, to re-convey the premises upon payment of the principal, interest, and costs; and the court held it to be a case within the statute, and made the order (*s*). The defendant is entitled to have the proceedings stayed, under this statute, without paying any by-gone interest, or the expense of preparing the mortgage-deed, or any assignment of it (*s*). The costs are taxed in C. P. only as between party and party, and not as between attorney and client (*t*).

Under 11 G.
4 & 1 W. 4,
c. 70.

As to staying the proceedings where the plaintiff is endeavouring to proceed to a trial at the assizes under the provisions of the 11 G. 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 70, s. 36, see *post*, 780, 784.

Striking out
Demises, &c.

Striking out Demises, Setting aside Plea, &c.] Where a demise is inserted in the declaration in the name of a party without his consent, the court or a judge will order it to be struck out (*u*), unless the justice of the case would be defeated, and the party has had an indemnity tendered to him before the ejectment was brought (*x*). The application should be made on behalf of such party, and as speedily as possible after he has knowledge of the proceedings (*y*).

Setting aside
Appearance,
&c.

If the appearance and plea be entered in the name of the tenant, or any other person, against his consent, the court or a judge will order it to be set aside (*z*).

As to setting aside judgment by default, see *ante*, 747.

Consolidating
Proceedings.

Several Ac-
tions.

Consolidating Proceedings.] Where several ejectments are brought for the same premises upon the same demise, the court on motion, (which is for a rule *nisi*), or a judge at chambers, will order them to be consolidated (*a*). The lessor of the plaintiff having brought three ejectments in the King's Bench for the same property, the court stayed the proceedings in two of them, and compelled the plaintiff to confine himself to one, upon certain terms, which rendered it probable that, in the event, he would have to pay the costs; whereupon he brought an ejectment for the same property in the Common Pleas, but the proceedings thereon were stayed by that court (*b*).

Several De-
fences.

Where there are several defendants to whom the plaintiff

(*r*) Id. s. 3: see *Doe Kay v. Soley*, 2 W. Bl. 726; *Bingham d. Redhead v. Oakes*, Barnes, 182; *Felton v. Ash*, Id. 177; *Goodright v. Moore*, Id. 176; *Archer v. Snatt*, 2 Str. 1107; Andr. 341, S. C.; *Anon.*, 1 Str. 413; *Goodtitle v. Pope*, 7 T. R. 185; *Berthen v. Street*, 8 Id. 320.

(*s*) *Dixon v. Wigram*, 2 C. & J. 613.

(*t*) *Doe Blagg v. Steel*, 1 Dowl. 350.

(*u*) *Doe Chypps v. Capps*, 3 Bing. N. C. 764.

(*v*) *Doe Hurst v. Clifton*, 4 Ad. & El. 881.

(*x*) *Adams*, Eject. 185; *Doe Vine v. Fig-*

gins, 3 Taunt. 440; *Doe Hammett v. Corporation of Plymouth*, 2 Chit. Rep. 170: *see quare*, as to the necessity for the tender being before action.

(*y*) See *Doe Shepherd v. Roe*, 2 Chit. Rep. 171; Id. 170: what authority sufficient, *Doe Hurst v. Clifton*, *supra*. See form of affidavit, Chit. Forms, 377.

(*z*) *Anto*, 749.

(*a*) Harr. L. & T. 847: *post*, Book IV. Part I. Ch. 8.

(*b*) *Doe Carthero v. Brenton*, 6 Bing. 469; 4 Moo. & P. 146, S. C.

declarations for the recovery of the same premises, it would probably now, since the 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, gives one of several defendants who is acquitted his on the motion of the plaintiff, join them all in one ion, although they are severally concerned in interest, before that act the court would not do so (c).

8. The Issue and Nisi Prius Record.

As you have got the consent rule from the master, as directed, 751, make a copy of it; make up the issue upon paper, substituting the name of the tenant, &c., for that of the real ejector, Richard Roe, in the declaration. It may be again observed, that the recent rules of H. T., 4 W. 4, giving the form of an issue, &c., do not apply to an ejectment. In actions by *original*, it is more correct to intitle the issue of the same term as the declaration (e); although it is and usually is intitled of the term in or of which it is joined, in the same manner as in actions by bill. In actions by *bill*, the issue should be intitled of the term in which issue is joined (f). In an ejectment by *landlord*, the tenancy has expired, or the right of entry accrued after either of the issuable terms, and the proceedings under the 11 G. 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 70, s. 36 (g), the issue should be intitled of the day on which the declaration is specified. In actions by *original*, the issue, after stating the term, commences at once with an entry of the declaration. In actions by *bill*, the issue commences with a memorandum, prior to the declaration and proceedings. The declaration and pleadings must be correctly copied in the issue (h), each in a separate paragraph. In actions by *bill*, when the issue is of a term subsequent to that in which the issue states it all to have been exhibited, it may, it seems, be necessary notwithstanding the rules of H. T., 4 W. 4, which have been held not to extend to an ejectment) in the issue to continue the issue to the bill by an imparlance, otherwise it would be a continuance: in practice, however, this is now rarely done. In all events, there is no need of continuing the bill down, term to term, to the plea (i), for the course is, if an imparlance be entered at all, at once to enter one to the first day of the term in or of which issue is joined, being the term of which the issue is intitled, without any regard to the times at which the plea and subsequent pleadings have been delivered. There is clearly no need of a continuance at all, if the issue be in the same term the bill is alleged to have been exhibited.

Nor is it necessary to enter an imparlance on the return, &c., though, in fact, delivered of a subsequent term; it is presumed to be of the same term with the preceding proceedings (k). In actions by *original*, it never was necessary to enter any continuances on the plea, &c., in making up the issue; for the declaration, and all the subsequent pleadings, are supposed to be of the same term (l). After the pleadings

8. The Issue
and Nisi Prius
Record.
Form of.

see Run. Eject. 187.

see ante, 733.

see Lee v. Clarke, 2 East, 333.

Good v. Miller, 3 East, 204.

ante, 783, 784.

see Aaron v. Chaundry, 4 D. & R.

41; 2 B. & C. 562, S. C.

(i) Curlew v. Dudley, 2 L. Raym. 872; 1 Salk. 179, S. C.: Fletcher v. Richardson, Hardw. 322.

(k) 5 Co. 75.

(l) 2 Saund. 1 e.

- BOOK III.
PART I.**
- When and how made up.** are all copied in their order, the issue concludes, as in ordinary cases, with an award of the *venire facias*, as a continuance of the last paragraph (*m*). *The practice as to when and by whom the issue is to be made up, is the same as in ordinary cases. ante, Vol. I. 202*). Indorse the notice of trial on the back and annex to it the copy of the consent rule, and deliver it to the defendant's attorney. Then sue out jury process, make up your *Nisi Prius* record, enter the cause for trial, and deliver briefs to counsel, as in other cases (*n*), except that the *Nisi Prius* record is in form somewhat different (*o*).
- Form of Nisi Prius Record.** The record of *Nisi Prius* will, in general, be in the ordinary form; but in an ejectment by a landlord under the authority of 11 G. 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 70, s. 36, when the declaration has been delivered in or after an issuable term specially intitled of a day after the demise, then, in making up the record, the proceedings should be stated accordingly. The court have refused to set aside the verdict, on the ground that there was a discrepancy between the description of the premises in the *Nisi Prius* record and the issue; it not being stated how the premises were described in the declaration delivered (*p*).

9. The Notice of Trial.

- 9. Notice of Trial.** The time for giving notice of trial is the same as in ordinary cases (*q*). But in an ejectment by a landlord, where the tenancy has expired, or a right of entry accrued in or after either of the issuable terms, and the landlord has delivered his declaration in or after the term, within ten days after the right of entry accrued, and with a notice to appear and defend thereto in ten days, then, at least six clear days' notice of trial before the commission day at the assizes must be given to the judge; the judge may, however, postpone the trial to the next following assizes (*r*). By appearing and defending at the trial the defendant cures any defect in the notice (*s*).
- Form of, and when to be given.**
- Costs for not trying pursuant to Notice, &c.** If the plaintiff do not proceed to trial in pursuance of the notice, without having countermanded it in time, the defendant shall have his costs of the day, or judgment as in case of nonsuit, as in other cases (*t*).

10. Proceedings at the Trial.

- 10. Proceedings at the Trial.** *The Trial, &c.*] There being but one plaintiff in ejectment, in case there be several lessors, they cannot be heard separately by counsel, although they are separately interested (*u*). If a landlord and tenant defend by different attorneys, and have different counsel, but it appears that the tenant claims title but what he derives from the landlord, the judge at the trial will only allow one counsel to address the jury on the defence; but the party's counsel, who does not address
- Right of Parties to be heard separately.**

(*m*) See various forms, Chit. Forms, 378, 379.

(*n*) See the forms of the issue and notice of trial, the *Nisi Prius* record, the jury process, &c., Chit. Forms, 378, 379, 380; Chit. Sum. Pract. 374.

(*o*) See the form, Chit. Forms, 379.

(*p*) *Doe Cuttler v. Wyldo*, 2 B. & Ald. 472.

(*q*) See Vol. I. 208, &c.

(*r*) 11 G. 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 70, s. 36.

(*s*) *Doe Antrobus v. Jefferies*, 3 B. & Ald. 402; *ante*, Vol. I. 212.

(*t*) See *post*, Book IV. Part I. 24.

(*u*) *Doe Fox v. Bromley*, 6 D. & W. 121.

y, will be at liberty to cross-examine and also to call as(x).

Evidence.

plaintiff should, in general, be prepared to produce the rule as part of his case: but where there is no doubt as to the identity of the premises sought to be recovered with which the tenant defends, he is not bound to produce Proof of the service of the declaration on the tenant in person is sufficient, without producing the landlord's rule or that the defendant comes in as landlord(z). The tenant may, of course, give any special matter of defence in evidence under the general issue; and he will be entitled to give evidence, and to the reply, as he would in ordinary cases if his special matter of defence were pleaded(a).

If the lessor of plaintiff entered into any part of the matter after issue joined and before trial, the defendant may plead this matter *puis darrein continuance*(b).

Plea puis darrein continuance.

If the defendant do not appear and confess lease, entry, and ouster, and that he or his tenant was in possession of the premises at the time of the service of the declaration, then, after the trial, the defendant, (and his attorney, if he be within the jurisdiction of the plaintiff must be called and nonsuited; and, at the trial of the plaintiff, this fact is entered on the *postea*, and the plaintiff was nonsuit, because the defendant did not appear and confess lease, entry, and ouster, which will oblige him to sign judgment against the casual ejector(c). If there be several defendants, and some of them do not appear and confess lease, entry, and ouster, a verdict must be given for them, but with an indorsement on the *postea* that it is a verdict in their favour because they did not appear and confess(d); and the trial proceeds as to the defendants who have appeared(e).

Proceedings where Defendant does not appear at the Trial.

In all cases of ejectment by *landlord* against *tenant*, if the defendant do not appear at the trial, and confess lease, entry, and ouster, then, upon proof that such defendant or attorney was regularly served with notice of trial, the plaintiff shall not be nonsuit; but the production of the conclusive evidence shall in all such cases be sufficient evidence of the entry, and ouster(f).

The plaintiff is not restricted in his proof to the number of acres, or quantity of estate set forth in his declaration. If he declare for 40 acres, he may recover 20; if he declare for a moiety, he may recover a third(g). If the verdict be special, it should appear upon the face of it that the lessor plaintiff had a right of entry at the time he commenced the ejectment(h).

The Verdict

In ordinary cases of ejectment, the *damages* given are merely nominal; the damages actually sustained by the detention of the property, &c., being usually recovered in an action of

The Damages

See *Hogg v. Tindale*, 3 C. & P. 565.

Salk. 456.

See *Greaves v. Kaly*, 2 B. & Ald.

(c) See the form of *postea* in this case, Chit. Forms, 383.

See *Doyle v. Lambie*, 1 M.

(f) 1 Geo. 4, c. 87, s. 2.

See *Giles v. Warwick*, 5 M. & Sel.

(g) *Denn Burgess v. Purciss*, 1 Burr. 326: *Doe v. Wipple*, 1 Esp. 300.

Vol. I. 269, &c.

(h) See *Taylor v. Horde*, 1 Burr. 60, 74: *Chaman v. Brown*, 3 Burr. 1626: *Broughton v. Langley*, 3 L. Rayn. 154: *Thornby v. Fleetwood*, 1 Str. 318. *Holdfast v. Downing*, 2 Id. 1253.

See, Vol. I. 300: 2 Sel. 192.

See N. P. 98. See the form of this case, Chit. Forms, 311.

See N. P. 98: *Clamure v. Searle*, 7 M. 729: see *Greaves v. Ralls*, 2

trespass for mesne profits (i). But in ejectment by landlord against *tenant*, whether the defendant appear at the trial or not, the plaintiff, after proof of his right to recover possession of the whole or any part of the premises, may proceed to give evidence of the mesne profits thereof, which shall have accrued from the day of the determination of the tenant's interest, down to the time of the verdict, or to some preceding day to be specially mentioned therein; and the jury shall thereupon give their verdict, both as to the recovery of the premises, and as to the amount of the damages to be paid as mesne profits (k). If the plaintiff wish also to recover the mesne profits, from the time of the verdict down to the time possession is delivered to him, he may afterwards proceed for it by action of trespass for mesne profits (k). In an ejectment for the recovery of premises conveyed for the purposes of the 1 & 2 W. 4, c. 38, (the recent act for the building of additional churches), the jury who try the ejectment, or the jury under a writ of inquiry, are to ascertain the value of the premises, &c. (s. 18).

Certificate for
speedy Execution.

After being nonsuit for this cause, or on a verdict for the plaintiff, he could not, before the passing of the 11 G. 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 70, obtain judgment till the ensuing term; but now, (by the 38th section of that act, *post*, 765), if the judge who tried the cause shall think fit to certify (l) that possession should be immediately obtained, a writ shall issue accordingly, and the costs may be taxed, and judgment signed and executed afterwards at the usual time, as if no such writ had issued, altering the form of the writ of execution accordingly, and as directed *post*, 765, 766 (m). The judge will not perhaps grant this certificate on a nonsuit, unless an affidavit, stating the circumstances of the case, be laid before him (n). Supposing you do not obtain this certificate, and proceed according to this act, then the case must take its regular course; and you may, on and after the day in banc (o), or, if the cause be tried in the vacation, on the first day of the ensuing term, and even before the *postea* has been delivered out by the associate (p), sign judgment against the casual ejector, as directed *ante*, 745, 746, in the same manner as if the defendant had never appeared and pleaded, and sue out execution (q). You may also proceed upon the consent rule for your costs (r).

Staying Execution.

As to staying execution in ejectment between landlord and tenant, where the verdict is against evidence or the damages excessive, see *post*, 782.

11. Costs.

11. Costs.

Who entitled to.] The prevailing party is entitled to costs in nearly the same cases as in personal actions. If no person

(i) Vol. I. 322.

(k) 1 G. 4, c. 87, s. 2, *post*, 781.

(l) See form of certificate, Chit. Forms, 381.

(m) The 1 W. 4, c. 7, noticed *ante*, Vol. I. 331, *post*, 763, has other provisions of a similar nature relative to other actions, and they seem cumulative.

(n) *Doe Williamson v. Dawson*, 4 C. & P. 589; *post*, 763; *ante*, Vol. I. 332. And see form of affidavit, Chit. Sum. Pract.

374; Chit. Forms, 381.

(o) *Doe Lord Palmerston v. Copeland*, 2 T. R. 779; *ante*, Vol. I. 371.

(p) *Doe Davis v. Williams*, 2 D. & R. 229; 1 B. & C. 118, S. C.

(q) See Chit. Forms, 390, 391, 392, 393.

(r) *Goodright v. Flee*, Barnes, 182; *Doe Prior v. Salter*, 3 Taunt. 485; see *Thrustout v. Bodwell*, 2 Wils. 7.

Costs.—How Recovered.

appear to the ejectment, and judgment be consequently entered against the casual ejector, the plaintiff has no other remedy for his costs than by his action for mesne profits, noticed hereafter (*s*), in which they are recoverable against the tenant as consequential damages (*t*). If there be several defendants, and the plaintiff have a verdict, each of them is liable for the entire costs, even although they defend severally (*u*). If several defend jointly, and succeed, they shall be entitled to costs; but the plaintiff may pay the costs of which of them he pleases (*x*); if they defend severally, they are entitled to costs if they succeed, in the same manner as in other cases (*y*). So, if the plaintiff be nonsuit on the merits, the defendant is entitled to costs (*z*); but where he is nonsuit because the defendant has not confessed lease, entry, and ouster, we have seen (*ante*, 751, 759) that, so far from being liable to costs, he is entitled to them from the defendant according to the terms of the consent rule. The defendant is entitled to costs on a *nonpross* for the plaintiff's not replying when the lessor of the plaintiff has joined in the consent rule, or for not proceeding to trial according to notice, or on a judgment as in case of a nonsuit (*a*). By rule *H. T.*, 2 *W.* 4, r. 74, the defendant is entitled to costs on those issues on which he succeeds. Where the declaration contained one count only, and the property mentioned in the declaration consisted of three messuages, as to two of which the jury found for the plaintiff, and for the defendant as to the residue, the defendant was held to be entitled to his costs, so far as related to the messuage which the plaintiff failed to recover (*b*). It is entirely for the decision of the master to refer particular costs incurred at the trial to one issue or the other, and it being a mere question of fact, the court will not interfere (*c*).

CHAP.
SEC.
Who c
to.

How recovered.] If the plaintiff have a verdict against the defendant, he recovers his costs by execution, or by action, as in other actions; but if entitled to costs under the consent rule, for not confessing lease, entry, and ouster, the way of recovering them is either by execution founded on the consent rule, under the 1 & 2 *V. c.* 110, s. 18, which, it would seem, authorizes execution in this case (*d*), or by attachment for non-payment, which, before the 1 & 2 *V. c.* 110, s. 18, was the only remedy (*e*). The mode of proceeding by attachment is as follows: Give the defendant the usual one day's notice of taxation, as directed post, Book IV. Part I. Ch. 31, title "Costs;" then take the judgment paper, consent rule, and postea, to one of the masters, and he will tax the costs upon the rule. Then, make a copy of the rule and allocatur; serve it personally

How re
covered.
By Pla

(u) *Post*, 765.

(t) *Marrie v. Barry*, 1 *Wils.* 1; 2 *Str.* 1181, *S. C.*: *Symonds v. Page*, 1 *C. & J.* 29.

(u) *Bul. N. P.* 335, 336.

(v) *Jordan v. Harper*, 1 *Str.* 516; *Duthey v. Tibb*, 2 *Id.* 1213.

(y) 8 & 9 *W.* 3, c. 11, s. 1: see the 3 & 4 *W.* 4, c. 42, s. 32.

(z) 4 *J.* 1, c. 3.

(a) See *Tidd*, *Supp.* 189: *ante*, 751.

(b) *Doe v. Errington*, 4 *Dowl.* 602: see *Doe v. Hughes*, 4 *Dowl.* 412.

(c) *Doe v. Welber*, 4 *Nev. & M.* 381.

See further as to the costs, where there are several issues, *post*, Book IV. Part I. Ch. 31.

(d) See, as to execution upon a rule of court, *post*, Book IV. Part I. Ch. 34, title "Motions and Rules:" and see a form of *fi. fa.* against the defendant on the consent rule for costs after nonsuit for not confessing lease, entry, and ouster, *Chit. forms*, 387: *cu. sa.* for same, *Id.* 388.

(e) See *Runn. Eject.* 415.

BOOK III.
PART I.

How, by De-
fendant.

on the defendant, at the same time shewing him the original rule, make a demand of the costs, and if he do not pay them, move the court, upon an affidavit of the demand and refusal (f), for an attachment against him (g).

If the defendant were entitled to costs, either upon verdict or where the plaintiff was nonprossed or nonsuit, his ordinary remedy, previously to the 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 18, was by attachment; for, the lessor of the plaintiff not being a party to the record, he could not have had a writ of execution against him, but must have proceeded upon the consent rule only. But now, by force of the 18th section of that Act, it would seem that the order for payment of costs in the consent rule may be enforced by execution (h). The mode of proceeding by attachment is as follows: Tax costs upon the postea, as in other cases, make copies of the rule and allocatur, and serve them on the lessor of plaintiff, at the same time shewing him the originals, and demanding the costs; and if he do not pay them, move the court upon an affidavit of the demand and refusal (i), for an attachment against him (k). Suing out a *ca. sa.* against the nominal plaintiff is unnecessary (l); and the defendant may at once proceed on the consent rule, in the manner directed *supra*, as to the plaintiff's proceeding for costs upon a nonsuit (m). The remedy by execution is, however, preferable.

How affected
by Death of
Parties.

How affected by Death of Parties.] Where the lessor of the plaintiff died between the commission day and the trial, and the plaintiff was nonsuit on the merits, it was holden, that the executor of the lessor was not liable for the costs of the nonsuit (n). Where husband and wife were lessors, and the former died after entering into the rule, the wife was, notwithstanding, held liable for the costs; because they were to be paid by the lessor of the plaintiff, and both of them were such (o).

Where or-
dered to be
paid by third
Parties.

When Ordered to be Paid by Third Parties.] The court may, under circumstances, in ejectment, though not in any other action (p), compel the real defendant to pay the costs, though he is no party to the record (q). And where A. was the tenant in possession of part of the premises and landlord of the whole, and B. and C., his tenants of other parts, were mere paupers, and three ejectments were brought against them, to which three appearances were entered, it was considered that the lessor of the plaintiff, to secure his costs of the proceedings against the paupers, might have applied to the court, or a judge at chambers, to set aside, with costs,

(f) See the forms, Chit. Forms, 386.
(g) Formerly, in the Exchequer, a *subpoena* against the casual ejector was necessary, but this is not now requisite. (See *Doe Fry v. Fry*, 2 C. & M. 234).
(h) See as to execution upon a rule of court, *post*, Book IV. Part I. Ch. 33, title "Motions and Rules:" and see a form of *fi. fm.* against the lessor of the plaintiff on the consent rule for costs after a nonsuit on the merits, Chit. Forms, 388; of *ca. sa.* for same, *Id.* 319; of *fi. fm.* for same after verdict for defendant, *Id.* 300; of *ca. sa.* for same after verdict for defendant, *Id.* 30.
(i) See the form, Chit Forms, 386.
(k) *Run. Eject.* 416.
(l) *Doe Fry v. Fry*, 2 Dowl. 265; 2 C. & M. 234, S. C.
(m) *Doe Prior v. Salter*, 3 Taunt. 485.
(n) *Doe Payne v. Grundy*, 2 D. & R. 437; 1 B. & C. 284, S. C.: see *Doe v. Ford*, 2 Smith, 407.
(o) *Harr. L. & T.* 865.
(p) *Hayward v. Giffard*, 6 Dowl. 609; 4 M. & W. 104, S. C.
(q) *Doe Masters v. Grey*, 10 B. & C. 615.

appearances and pleas, and for the lessor to be at liberty to sign judgment against the casual ejectors, unless the landlord would come in and defend as landlord for the premises in session of his tenants, the two paupers; or (as A., the landlord, was one of the parties served with the ejectment); the lessor of the plaintiff might have obtained a consolidation rule, that the ejectments brought against the tenants should have abided the event of the verdict in the action against the landlord; taking care to have incorporated with a rule that A. (the landlord) should pay the costs of those ejectments brought against the tenants, in the event of such verdict being in favour of the lessor of the plaintiff(r). In the same case A., (the landlord of the whole and tenant of part), instead of entering into the landlord's rule, obtained a rule for the consolidation of the three actions, and that the ejectment against his tenant B. should abide the event of the ejectment against his tenant C.; the ejectment was tried, and the lessor of the plaintiff obtained a judgment against C., and took possession of all the premises, and the court compelled (the landlord) to pay the costs of that ejectment; but the lessor of the plaintiff was compelled to pay his own costs of the application(s). And in *Berkeley v. Dimery*(t), Lord Tenterden said, "That in an ejectment the tenant in possession must be defended; and the court will not permit a person to put a mere paper into possession merely to evade the costs." So, on the other hand, if a stranger carry on a suit in the name of another, who has title, and yet is so poor that he cannot pay the costs; in case he fail, the court, on affidavit of the circumstances, will order the person who carried on the suit to pay costs to the defendant(u). And see as to compelling security for costs in general, ante, 754, and post, Book IV. Part I. Ch. 11.

12. The Judgment.

If a verdict have been given, let the prevailing party *get the word of Nisi Prius from the associate, and, in town causes, in-
vade the postea on it, as directed Vol. I. 328 (v). If the ver-
dict be not set aside, or the judgment arrested, within the time
allowed for that purpose (x), then, if the verdict be for plaintiff,
need to tax costs, as directed post, Book IV. Part I. Ch. 31,
le "Costs," and sign final judgment, as directed Vol. I. 333.
If the verdict be for the defendant, or plaintiff be nonsuit, costs
are taxed upon the consent rule, as mentioned ante, 761 (y).*

12. The Judg-
ment.

(t) *Thruston v. Shenton*, 10 B. & C. 1; 5 Man. & Ry. 443.

(u) *Ibid.*

(v) 10 B. & C. 113. n.

(w) *Runn. Eject.* 417.

(x) See the references to the forms, ante.

(y) See Vol. I. 331.

(z) See also, upon this subject, *Warrall* 1 Str. 1535: *Fisher v. Hughes*, 1d. *Morris v. Barry*, 1d. 1180; *Farr v. Burr*, 3 Burr. 312; *Dockrill v. Jenkins*, 1d. 178; *Taylor v. Wilbur*, Cro. El. Ca. Lit. 245; *England v. Slade*, 4 T. R. 31; *Lindsay v. Clarke*, 5 Mod. 285. The form of *postea*, upon a nonsuit, defendant's not confessing lease, entry,

and ouster, Chit. Forms, 381; and of judgment against casual ejector and writ of possession, in such a case, 1d. 367, 368; of *postea* and judgment, &c., upon a nonsuit for any other cause, 1d. 383; of *postea* and judgment, &c., upon verdict for defendant, 1d. 383, 385; of *postea* on verdict for plaintiff, 1d. 382; and judgment thereon, 1d. 384; of *postea* where jury find against one defendant, and for another by reason of his not confessing lease, entry, and ouster, 1d. 383; and judgment thereon, 1d. 385; of *postea* where a moiety only is recovered, 1d. 382; and judgment thereon, 1d. 384; of *postea* where part is found for plaintiff and part for defendant, 1d. 383; and of judgment thereon, 1d. 385.

BOOK III.
PART I.After Certifi-
cate for Im-
mediate Exe-
cution.

It may be observed, that if the judge who tried the certifies only under the 11 G. 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 70, s. 38(*a*), a writ of possession ought to issue immediately, and such be issued accordingly, the costs are taxed, and the judgment is, in general, signed and executed afterwards at the time, as if no such writ issued. The 1 W. 4, c. 7, however (which, by sect. 8, does not destroy the above provision of 11 G. 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 70), allows the judge who tried the case to certify, on the back of the record, before the end of the tings or assizes, that execution ought to issue forthwith, or some future day, and subject or not to any qualification or condition; and if the judge certifies under this act, the costs may be taxed, and judgment signed forthwith; and execution may be issued forthwith or afterwards, according to the certificate, on any day in vacation or term; and the postea, with such certificate as a part thereof, must be entered of record of the day on which the judgment was signed; but the party entitled to such judgment may, if he chooses, postpone signing it(*a*).

13. Error.

13. Error.
Bail in.

The proceedings upon a writ of error on a judgment of ejectment are the same (with one or two exceptions) as in other cases. Bail is required where the defendant brings a writ of error after verdict for the plaintiff(*b*); and the recognisance is taken for the amount of double the yearly value and double the costs of the ejectment(*c*). The recognisance must be given, although the defendant has already put in and justified bail under 1 G. 4, c. 87(*d*). Putting in and perfecting bail in error will discharge the recognisance given under s. 3 (not to commit waste)(*e*). It is not necessary that the plaintiff in error should join in the recognisance; or, if he do, he cannot be examined as to his sufficiency(*f*).

By whom,
and when
brought.

As the casual ejector cannot bring error, being a mere nominal person, that writ can only be brought after the defendant has appeared and confessed lease, entry, and ouster(*g*); even if the landlord be permitted to defend, a writ of error cannot issue in the name of the casual ejector. But if a writ of error, *coram nobis*, is sued out in the name of the casual ejector, it must be taken to be sued out at the instance of a proper party, until it is set aside(*h*). And, as a writ of error from an inferior court, in the name of the casual ejector, the court will not order a *nonpross* to be entered, though his release of errors be shewn, because inferior courts are not competent to proceed by the consent rule(*i*).

What may be

The death of the nominal plaintiff cannot be assigned for

(a) Post, 765.

(b) See Vol. I. 531.

(c) 16 & 17 C. 2, c. 8, s. 3.

(d) *Keene v. Darnley*, 8 East, 220; *Thomas v. Goudille*, 4 Burr. 2205.(e) *Hoe v. Moore*, 7 Bing. 124; 4 Moo. & P. 761.

(f) 1 G. 4, c. 87, s. 3.

(g) *Keene v. Darnley*, 8 East, 220. By the 6 G. 4, c. 26, s. 1, bail in error is now requisite in all personal actions, after judgment by default or on demurrer, aswell as after verdict, unless the court or a judge will by special order dispense with the same; which they will not do upon substantial ground of error be shewn. (*Wadsworth v. Gibson*, 4 Bing. 573; 1 Moo. & P. 301, S. C.) But an ejectment, being a mixed and not a personal action, does not, it seems, come within this enactment. (g) 2 Sellen, 218; *George v. White*, 2 Burr. 757.(h) *Das Fitch v. Roe*, 7 Dowd. 718.

(i) Russ. Eject. 421.

CHAP. 1.
SECT. 1.

assigned for
Error.

Effect of, on Execution.

**Rule not to
commit
Waste.**

Remedy for Mesne Profits and Damages pending Error.

14. *Execution.*

14. Execution.

At what Time Writ of Possession may be issued.

(m) *Jones v. Edwards*, 2 Str. 1241.
 (n) *Kenwick v. Grosvenor*, 1 Salk. 258.
 (o) *Wharod v. Smart*, 3 Burr. 1823.
 (p) *Doe v. Reynolds*, 1 M. & Sel. 247.
 (q) See form. Chit. Forms, 381.
 (r) See form of writ, Chit. Forms, 391.

BOOK III.
PART I.

of the plaintiff be *nonsuited* for want of the defendant's pleading lease, entry, and ouster, the judge will not, if he is of opinion that the plaintiff is entitled to possession, grant a certificate under this statute to give immediate possession, unless an affidavit, stating the circumstances of the case, be laid before him. And, *per Taunton, J.*, "An act of parliament, there does not appear to be any doubt in the judge, as to the time when the possession shall be delivered: we must either grant a certificate to enable the plaintiff to get into *immediate* possession, or the writ must take its regular course" (*s*). This statute, it will be observed, empowers the judge only to certify, that a writ of possession may be issued immediately, but does not allow the judge to issue a certificate as to a writ of execution for the costs or (if any); and unless the judge certifies under the 1 W. 4, s. 2, noticed *ante*, Vol. I. 331, 397, as it seems he may not issue execution ought to issue for them, the party must wait the ordinary and regular time before issuing it. If the judge does not grant his certificate, allowing the issuing of a writ of possession, then it cannot be issued until final judgment in the ordinary course (*t*).

Alias, &c.,
habere facias
possessionem
where Posses-
sion has not
been com-
pletely given
under it.

If the writ of *habere facias possessionem* be not executed upon the return of it, you may sue out an *alias &c.* (if possession be once completely given under it, the plaintiff cannot sue out another writ of possession, although he be ejected in his possession by the same defendant, and although the sheriff have not yet returned the writ; otherwise the plaintiff, by omitting to call on the sheriff to return the writ, might retain the right of suing out a new writ of *habere facias possessionem* as a remedy for any trespass which the defendant might commit within twenty years next after the date of judgment (*x*). In such a case, however, if the defendant took place recently after the possession delivered, it is probable that the court, upon application, would punish the defendant by attachment (*y*).

Execution for
Plaintiff's
Costs.

The plaintiff may have a separate writ of *fi. fa.* or for the costs (*z*); or he may have the *fi. fa.* or *ca. sa.* with the *habere facias possessionem* in the same writ (*a*).

Execution for
Defendant's
Costs.

The defendant, may now, it would seem, have execution upon the consent rule for his costs, if he has been adjudged, or the plaintiff be *nonprossed* or nonsuit; or he may proceed by attachment (*b*).

(*s*) *Doe v. Williamson v. Dawson*, 4 C. & P. 589. Where the judge is of opinion that some time ought to elapse before possession is taken, he will grant the certificate on an undertaking by the lessor of the plaintiff not to enforce it before the expiration of a certain period: (*Doe v. Packer v. Hilliard*, 5 C. & P. 132): *sed quære* if such certificate might not be granted under the 1 W. 4, c. 7, s. 2, *ante*, Vol. I. 331, for the execution, at any time; the provisions of such act being, as it seems, cumulative. But see Rosc. on Evid. 4th ed. 172. See form of certificate, Chit. Forms, 381; and see *Id.* for a form of the affidavit to procure it. See also a form of certificate under the 1 W. 4, c. 7, *Id.* 101.

(*t*) See forms of a writ upon a single demise, Chit. Forms, 300; upon a double demise, *Id.* 300, 301.

(*u*) *Molineux v. Fulgam*, P. 100; *Leasee of Massey v. Ejector*, 1 Exch. 1r. 457; *Leasee of Lincoln v. Batty*, Rep., Q. B. 1r. 453.

(*r*) *Doe v. Pate v. Roe*, 1 Taunt. 100; ruling *Radcliffe v. Tate*, 1 K. 100, *semble*, also overruling *Kings v. 6 Mod. 27*; 1 Salk. 321, *S. 321*, following the doctrine laid down in 9th ed. 1247. (See *Doe v. Dowd*, 200).

(*y*) See *Davies d. Povey v. 892*.

(*z*) See the form, Chit. Fo.

(*a*) See the forms of writ of *fi. fa.* for costs, in same Chit. Forms, 393; the like, with *ca. sa.*

(*b*) *Ante*, 761. See the form of *ca. sa.* for the defendant's consent, Chit. Forms, 387 to 388.

would seem that the 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 67, s. 2, allowing writs to be tested on the day on which the same are issued to be made returnable immediately after the execution thereof, is not applicable to writs of execution in an ejectment, because that act was passed to amend the Writ of Process Act, within which the action of ejectment was not included; the point, however, is not yet settled.

A verdict and judgment, where the landlord defends, may be issued against him without any further order of court: but, when the landlord is admitted to defend, judgment is entered against the casual ejector, with a writ of execution until further order, and the landlord does not appear at the trial, and the judge does not certify under the 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 70, s. 38, or 1 W. 4, c. 7, the lessor, who takes out execution, must move the court for leave to do so, and the rule is not absolute in the first instance (c). In such a case, a writ of error brought by the landlord may be set aside for cause, and will be a sufficient reason against the execution; but, if the landlord omit the opportunity of shewing it for cause, the execution is regular, and cannot be set aside (d).

As to sue out the writ, *engross it on parchment; get it sealed at the time you get the writ sealed, you must produce to the sheriff of the writs the postea and judgment paper (e); and the sheriff's certificate for immediate execution, if any. There is no need of a præcipe for the writ (f), nor need it be tested (g). Leave the writ at the sheriff's office, and get a warrant; give the warrant to the officer, and he will execute the writ, putting the lessor of the plaintiff, or some person on his oath, to possession, upon the premises being shewn to him.*

The fiction of relation to the *teste* of the writ is to be supplied by the maintenance of a writ of *habere facias possessionem*. Therefore, if tested on the last day of the preceding term, it may be sued out, though the lessor of the plaintiff be dead. In an ejectment against a *feme sole*, who dies before trial, and a verdict and judgment were obtained against her by her original name, it was held, that it was not necessary to issue an *habere facias possessionem* and *fi. fa.* against her in the same name, though the *fi. fa.* was inoperative (i).

The officer, if necessary, may break open doors, in order to put the lessor in possession of the premises, if the possession be not given up; or he may take the *posse comitatus* with him, and use force and violence (k). And after he has got admission, he must remove all persons, goods, &c., from off the premises, and then give possession (l). If there be several tenements, and the lessor be in possession of several tenants, the officer must give possession of each separately; the delivery of the possession of one tenement in the name of all, is not sufficient (m); but if all the tenements be in possession of one tenant, and in-

CHAP. I.
SECT. I.

Teste, and
Return of.

Leave of
Court, when
necessary.

Habere Facias, how sued
out.

How exe-
cuted.

Where there
are several
Tenements

153.
Re Roberts v. Gibbs, 1 Chit.
Re Simons v. Masters, Id. 233.
2 W. 4, r. 75: R. H., 2 & 3
Vol. I. 420, 421.
2 W. 4, r. 76.
15.
Reyer v. Roe, 4 Burr. 1070.

Quære as to the effect of the 3 & 4 W. 4,
c. 67, s. 2.
(i) *Doe Taggart v. Butcher*, 3 M. & Sel.
537.
(k) 5 Co. 91 b: Vol. I. 408, 409.
(l) *Upton & Wells' case*, 1 Leon. 145.
(m) 2 Ro. Abr. 180: 2 Sellon, 203.

BOOK III.
PART I.

- cluded in the same action, possession of one in the whole will be sufficient. If he give possession than he ought, the court afterwards, upon application order it to be restored(*n*). Thus, where an ejectment brought by a tenant in common, to recover five-eighths of a cottage, and the sheriff, in execution of the writ of possession, turned the tenant in possession out of the cottage, the court, upon application, granted a rule upon the defendant and the lessor of the plaintiff, requiring them to restore the tenant to the possession of three-eighths of the premises. Where, in ejectment by a landlord against his tenant, the crops upon the lands, when seized by the writ of possession, were more than sufficient to pay the rent of rent, &c.; yet the Court of Common Pleas refused the landlord to pay over the surplus to the tenant(*o*).
- Crops.** It is the practice for the lessor of the plaintiff to give the sheriff security, to indemnify him from the defendant, then for the sheriff to give the lessor execution for the amount he demands(*q*).
- Indemnity to Sheriff.** If the yearly value of the premises do not exceed 100*l.*, the sheriff is entitled to a poundage of 12*d.* in every 100*l.*; if it exceed 100*l.*, then to 6*d.* for every 20*s.* of the sum(*r*).
- Sheriff's Poundage on.** The tenant or tenants in possession, however, save the expense of executing a writ of possession, to the lessor of the plaintiff(*s*). Let this attornment be made upon unstamped paper, and signed by the tenant in the presence of a witness.
- Attornment in lieu of.** It should also be observed, that the plaintiff has no right to recover his term, may, it seems, if he can get out force, enter without suing forth a writ of possession, where the land recovered is certain, the recoverer may get out force, enter at his own peril; and the assistance of the sheriff is only to preserve the peace(*t*).
- Entry without Habere Facias.** A judgment in an action of ejectment in an inferior court is not within the meaning of the 19 *G.* 3, c. 11, and if, therefore, the defendant leaves the jurisdiction, the judgment cannot be removed into a superior court for the purpose of execution(*u*).
- Execution on Judgment of inferior Court.**

15. *Restitution.*

- 15. Restitution.** A writ of restitution may be awarded when the judgment is reversed(*x*).
- Writ of.** A writ of restitution does not lie to obtain re-possession of premises obtained possession of under a writ of *habere possessionem* which has been set aside; but the court may, in such a case will nevertheless award possession.
- Order for.**

(*n*) *Connor v. West*, 5 Burr. 2673: Runn. Forms, 395.
Eject. 432. (*t*) Run. Eject. 424: 2 Sel. 731, 732. But in *Doe Stanger*, Q. B., 25th Nov. 1837, *Patteson* held the correctness of this position.
(*o*) *Doe Saul v. Dawson*, 3 Wils. 49.
(*p*) *Doe Upton v. Witherwick*, 3 Bing. 11; 10 Moore, 267, S. C.
(*q*) Runn. Eject. 434: Harr. L. & T. 256; 2 Nev. & P. 606).
(*r*) 3 G. 1, c. 15, s. 16: see *ante*, Vol. 408.
(*s*) See form of attornment, Chlt. Forms, 125.
(*u*) *Doe Stanger v. Stanger*, 2 Lil. Pr. Reg. 777.

stored(*y*). Where a judgment irregularly obtained was set aside, and the possession that had been given upon the execution ordered to be restored; but from the lessor of the plaintiff, who held the possession, having absconded, the rule became ineffectual—restitution was awarded(*z*).

The order to restore possession on setting aside judgment should be directed in the first instance to the lessor of the plaintiff. In a late case, where the defendant obtained a judge's order, setting aside a judgment irregularly obtained, and commanding the sheriff to restore possession, the court held, that the order should have been on the lessor of the plaintiff and not on the sheriff, and set aside writs of restitution sued out on the order, together with so much of the order as was directed to the sheriff(*a*).

The Order should be directed to the Lessor of the Plaintiff.

16. Scire Facias.

If the plaintiff neglect to sue out his execution for a year and a day after judgment, he must, in general, revive the judgment, as in other cases, else the court will award a restitution *quare erronee emanavit*(*b*); and when the judgment is against the casual ejector the terretenant must be joined in the writ(*c*).

16. Scire Facias.
After a Year and a Day.

If the plaintiff where he is a *real person*,—but this is rarely over the case,—die after judgment, his executors cannot take out execution without a *scire facias*, for they are not parties to the judgment; though if execution has been regularly issued out in the lifetime of the testator, the sheriff may execute it after his death; because the authority is from the court, and not from the party(*d*). If the lessor of the plaintiff die after the *teste* of the writ of *habere*, but before it is actually sued out, it is not necessary to revive the judgment by *scire facias*; and as he is not a party on the record, it seems no *scire facias* would be necessary if he died before the *teste* of the writ, although this appears doubtful(*e*). If a sole defendant dies after judgment and before execution, it has been doubted whether a *scire facias* is necessary, because the execution is of the land only, and no new person is charged(*f*); but the safest course is to sue out a *scire facias*(*g*). The *scire facias* in such a case must be against the terretenants of the land, and not against the executor, without naming him terretenant(*h*). And as a *scire facias* for the land must issue against the terretenants, whoever they may be, it will also be necessary to sue out another *scire facias* for the costs against the personal representative, unless he be himself the terretenant.

After the Death of Parties.

If the judgment be against a *feme sole*, who marries before execution, an *habere facias possessionem* should be sued out in

After Marriage of Parties.

(*y*) *Dee Stevens v. Lord*, 6 Dowl. 256; 1 Nev. & P. 604; 7 A. & E. 610, S. C.

(*z*) 2 Sellon, Pract. 204; Harr. L. & T. 822.

(*a*) *Dee v. Williams*, 2 A. & E. 381; 4 Nev. & M. 250, S. C.: see the notes in 4 Nev. & M. 250.

(*b*) Post, Ch. 3; Harr. L. & T. 868; *Dee v. Lord*, 2 Nev. & P. 604. See the form, Chit. Forms, 124.

(*c*) *Withers v. Harris*, Lord Raym. 806; Ad. Eject. 346.

(*d*) Run. Eject. 429; Harr. L. & T. 2nd ed. 804.

(*e*) *Dee Beyer v. Roe*, 4 Burr. 1970.

(*f*) Per Holt, C. J., in *Withers v. Harris*, Lord Raym. 806: see *vide* *Procter v. Johnson*, 2 Salk. 611; Lord Raym. 669, S. C.

(*g*) Ad. Eject. 346.

(*h*) 2 Sellon, 204.

the maiden name of the defendant for the land, and a *facias* should be issued against the husband and wife for costs (*k*).

SECT. 2.

Proceedings in Ejectment, upon a Vacant Possession.

Entry with-
out Action.

WE have already observed, that where a person has a right of entry, he may, if the premises be unoccupied and vacant in a peaceable manner, and without using such force as would amount to a forcible entry, enter and take possession of the premises without bringing an ejectment; though, in most cases, it is best to proceed by ejectment (*l*).

What a vacant
Possession.

What a vacant Possession.] Where there is no tenant upon the premises, as observed in a recent valuable Work (*m*) a distinction must be taken between cases where the tenant has actually *abandoned* the possession, and where, although he has *discontinued to occupy* the premises, he has still retained the virtual possession of them (*n*). In the former case the landlord *must* proceed in the ejectment as upon a vacant possession (*o*); in the latter case he must proceed in the ordinary way, after having effected the best service of the declaration in his power. Nice distinctions are drawn as to what is a vacant possession. Where the tenant of a house locked it up, and quitted it, it was held, that the landlord should treat it as a vacant possession (*p*). Where the lessee of a public-house took another, and removed his goods and furniture but left beer in the cellar, it was held that a proceeding as upon a vacant possession was incorrect, because the lessee still continued in possession; and a case was mentioned, where leaving hay in a barn was held to be keeping possession: it, however, appeared in the latter case, that the attorney for the plaintiff knew whither the lessee had removed, and might have served him personally, which could not be done on the premises. In the case of land, to which there is no house or barn, but which is rented, if it be known where the tenant lives, he must be served (*q*). Where a servant of the deceased tenant remains in possession, the plaintiff ought to endeavour to get possession; and, if he resists, such servant may be treated as tenant and the declaration may be served on him as such; and, if he does not resist, it seems that the lessor may treat it as a vacant possession (*r*). Service on the executors of the deceased tenant in possession is bad, if it does not appear that they were the tenants in possession (*s*). A labourer who does not pay rent, has been held to be an occupier, on whom service

(*k*) *Doe Taggart v. Butcher*, 3 M. & Selw. 557.

(*l*) *Ante*, 731.

(*m*) Mr. Harrison's edition of Woodfall's Law of Landlord & Tenant, 827.

(*n*) See *Doe Hindle v. Roe*, 6 Dowl. 303; *Doe Burrows v. Roe*, 7 Dowl. 326.

(*o*) See *Doe Schorell v. Roe*, 3 Dowl.

691; 2 C., M. & R. 42, S.C., *non est*. *Shorell v. Roe*; *Doe Norman v. Roe*, 1 Dowl. 319, 420; *Doe v. Roe*, 4 Dowl.

(*p*) *Doe Durlington (Lord) v. Cock*, 1 C. 259; Harr. L. & T. 827.

(*q*) *Savage v. Dent*, 2 Str. 1064.

(*r*) *Doe Atkins v. Roe*, 2 Chit. 173.

(*s*) *Doe Paul v. Hurst*, 1 Chit. 162.

ejectment is good (*t*). Where the premises consisted of a mansion-house, and four small houses in a yard, surrounded by a wall, through which was a door to them, forming the only point of access, in one of which small houses resided a man, who was permitted to live there merely to take care of them in the mansion-house, the rest of the messuages being vacant, he refused a motion to make service on him good, and he succeeded the plaintiff to affix a declaration on the empty premises, and then to move that it should be deemed good (*u*).

A plaintiff in an ejectment on a vacant possession should, generally, be more strict in his proceedings than in a case of possession (*x*).

[*y*, *Lease, Ouster, &c.*] In order to maintain ejectment on a vacant possession (*y*), an actual entry must first be made on some part of the premises in question. This must be done either by the lessor of the plaintiff himself, or by some person authorized by him for that purpose by a letter of attorney (*z*). A subsequent authority, by a letter of attorney, seems to suffice (*a*).

When the lessor, or his agent authorized by power of attorney, goes for the purpose of making the entry, he should be accompanied by two friends; and, having made the entry on the premises, let him there execute a lease of them (previously prepared) to one of his friends, and put him immediately in possession; the other friend is then to enter upon the premises, and thrust the lessee out; whereupon, this seisee, the ejector, is immediately served with a declaration in ejectment, (also previously prepared), in which he is defendant, and the other friend plaintiff. All this must be done before the first day of the term; otherwise, the plaintiff cannot move for judgment during that term (*b*). It may be necessary to mention, that an attorney cannot be lessee in this case (*c*).

When the premises in question be a house merely, and the door be locked, in such a case, getting upon the threshold of the door, and putting his finger into the key-hole, will be a sufficient entry upon the part of the lessor or his attorney, if no better can be made without force. Where there was no door, laying hold of an iron bar attached to the door was sufficient (*d*).

[*judgment.*] In this action of ejectment upon a vacant possession, no person claiming title can be let in to defend, but

Storer v. Smith, 2 Ld. Ken. 511. *Fidd's Prac.* 443. See the above collected in Harr. L. & T. 827. *Ex Anon.* 2 Chit. Rep. 188. In this case, the plaintiff, having obtained judgment, neglected to take away the writ after two days after the term the judgment was obtained; and he refused to assist him in the next term.

to what is, see *ante*. 770. Form of letter of attorney, Chit. 386; and of affidavit of execution, *Id.*

(a) See Co. Lit. 243. a., 258. a.: *Fitchet v. Adams*, 2 Str. 1128, where it was held, that a subsequent assent before the day of the demise was sufficient *without deed or writing* to take advantage of a condition. (*Macleay v. Dunn*, 1 Moo. & P. 770; 4 Bing. 722, S. C.)

(b) See form of lease, Chit. Forms, 386; of declaration and notice to appear, *Id.* 387.

(c) R. M., 1654, s. 1: *Hawkins v. Mag-nell*, 2 Doug. 466; Vol. I. p. 48.

(d) *Doe Frith v. Roe*, 2 Dowl. 451.

BOOK III.
PART I.

he that can first seal a lease upon the pre possession (e); and persons having any clai must have recourse to their action. Conse of the plaintiff may immediately proceed to the defendant. For this purpose, make a entry, lease, and ouster, and of the service of notice (f); annex to it the letter of the attorn copy of the declaration and notice; and let th before a judge or a commissioner. Indorse judgment against the defendant," and get it draw up the rule, and proceed to sign ju ante, 722; then sue out execution (g). If t cuted by power of attorney, there must also b execution of such power (h). In the Common of the entry, lease, and ouster, &c., is unnece court the practice is for the plaintiff, at first plead, as in ordinary cases, and at the expira pleading, if there be no appearance and plea, ment.

See stat. 11 G. 2, c. 19, s. 16, & 57 G. 3, a power to two justices of peace, when pre by a tenant, and no sufficient distress is them to answer the arrears of rent, to give to the landlord (i).



SECT. 3.

Proceedings in Ejectment by Landlord, for 1 payment of Rent (k).

1. Where there is a sufficient Distress upon the Premises, 772.	Declarati 774.
2. Where there is not a sufficient Distress upon the Premises. Statute relating to, 774. Search for Distress, id.	Judgment Ejector Appearan Procee Tender Equity



1. Where there is a sufficient Distress upon the Premises.
1. Where there is a sufficient Distress upon the Premises.
If the tenant forfeit his term by the non- the landlord may proceed to recover posse

(e) Bull. N. P. 95.
(f) See form, Chit Forms, 397.
(g) Id. 398, &c.
(h) See the form, Chit. Forms, 396; see as to the form of rule for judgment against defendant, Id.; of the , recipe for appearance, Id.; of the judgment, and of the writ of possession, Id.
(i) See Ez p. Pitton, 1 B. & Ald. 369; Easton v. Garret, 5 D. & R. 558; 3 B. & C. 612, 641, S. C.; Lister v. Brown, 3 D.

& R. 501; 1 C. & (k) It is unneces larily the cases in is reserved to t tenant is guilty o by not repairing, actual entry is no landlord to take feiture. (Oates v The proceedings nary cases.

ties by ejectment (*l*). The mode of proceeding, however, varies, according as there is or is not a sufficient distress upon the premises to answer the amount of the rent due: if there be not a sufficient distress upon the premises, the proceeding may be under the stat. 4 *G. 2*, c. 28, s. 2; if there be a sufficient distress, the proceeding must be at common law (*m*). The proceeding at common law shall be first considered.

Before you commence the action, and, indeed, before the distress can be incurred, a demand must have been made of the rent (*n*); unless there be an express stipulation or agreement between the parties dispensing with such demand (*o*). There is a great strictness required in this respect; for the common law does not favour forfeitures. The demand must be made, in fact, although no person be present on the part of the tenant to answer (*p*). The landlord must go in person, or execute a formal power to another, who must go in person (*q*). If the lease do not specify where the rent is to be paid, the demand must be made upon the land, and at the most notorious place of it; and, therefore, if there be a dwelling-house upon the land, the demand must be made at the front door of it: but it is not necessary to enter the house. Yet if the tenant were to meet the lessor on or off the land, at any time on the last day given him to pay the rent, and then tender him the rent, it would be sufficient to save the forfeiture (*r*). If the lease, however, specify a place for payment of the rent, the demand must be made at that place, and no other (*s*). Also, the demand must be made precisely on the last day on which it can be paid to save the forfeiture; where the proviso in the lease is, that, if the rent be not paid and unpaid for the space of twenty days, the lessor may re-enter, the demand must be made on the twentieth day, at some convenient time before sunset (*t*); or according to a dictum of *Tenterden*, C. J., at sunset (*u*); a demand at one o'clock in the day will not do (*u*). And, lastly, the demand must be made for the precise sum due, and not a penny more or less (*x*). Where the rent was payable quarterly, and more than one quarter due, it was held, that only a quarter's rent should have been demanded (*y*). If the rent be not paid when thus demanded, the tenant forfeits his term, and the landlord may enter for the forfeiture; that is, he may bring an ejectment to recover the possession of the premises; for an actual entry is not necessary in this case (*z*).

Demand of the Rent, when, where, and how made at Common Law.

The proceedings in this ejectment are the same as in ordinary cases, as described in the preceding two sections, according as the tenant is in possession, or the possession is vacant. Other Proceedings.

He may also, as we have seen *ante*, obtain possession without an entry, if the premises be vacant, &c. See *Forster v. Windlass*, 7 T. R. 117; *Chandless v. Ruban*, 2 C. & P. 613.

See *Abbott v. Demaunde*, pl. 19. See *Harris v. Masters*, 2 B. & C. D. & R. 45, S. C.: *Goodright v. Douglass*, 480. See *Abbott v. Brand*, Plowd. 70 a, b. See *West v. Davis*, 7 East, 363. See *Lit. 201. b.*, 202. a.: *Doe Forster*, 7 T. R. 117; *Duppa v. Mayo*, 1 Saund. 287.

(*s*) Co. Lit. 202. a.
(*t*) Co. Lit. 202. a., and note 3: *Hill v. Grange*, Plowd. 172 b: *Duppa v. Mayo*, 1 Saund. 287.
(*u*) *Doe Wheeldon v. Paul*, 3 C. & P. 613.
(*x*) *Fabian & Windsor's case*, 1 Leon. 306: *Fabian v. Winston*, Cro. El. 209: *Doe Wheeldon v. Paul*, 3 C. & P. 613.
(*y*) *Doe Wheeldon v. Paul*, 3 C. & P. 613.
(*z*) *Anon.*, 1 Vent. 248: *Little v. Heaton*, 2 L. Raym. 750; 1 Salk. 250, S. C.: *Clerke v. Pywell*, 1 Saund. 319: *Duppa v. Mayo*, 1d. 287.

BOOK III.
PART I.Difficulties of
proceeding at
Common Law.

This mode of proceeding upon a forfeiture for non-payment of rent, when there is a sufficient distress upon the premises, is seldom, however, adopted in practice: first, on account of the great nicety to be observed in the previous demand of the rent; and secondly, because the tenant, by filing a bill in equity, may obtain an injunction, and stay the proceedings, upon payment of the rent in arrear.

2. *Where there is not a sufficient Distress upon the Premises.*2. Where there
is not a suffi-
cient Distress
upon the
Premises.
Statute as to.

Statute as to.] If a term be forfeited by the non-payment of rent, and there be not a sufficient distress upon the premises (a), the proceedings in an ejectment by the landlord for the recovery of the possession in such a case are regulated by stat. 4 G. 2, c. 28; by which it is enacted, that in all cases between landlord and tenant, as often as it shall happen that one half-year's rent shall be in arrear, and the landlord or lessor, to whom the same is due, hath right by law to re-enter for the non-payment thereof, (i. e. where, by the express terms of the lease, a right of re-entry has been reserved (b)), such landlord or lessor shall and may, without any formal demand or re-entry, serve a declaration in ejectment for the recovery of the demised premises, and recover therein, provided no sufficient distress was to be found on the premises to countervail the arrears of rent; and unless the tenant pay the rent and costs within six calendar months, he is to be deprived of all relief at law or equity, and the tenancy is absolutely determined (s. 2). Although the lease expressly requires a lawful demand, no demand is necessary to proceed under this act; the service of the declaration is substituted for such demand (c).

Search for
Distress.

Search for Distress.] Before proceeding under this act you must make diligent search over the premises, after the expiration of the time limited for payment of the rent, to ascertain the insufficiency of the property there to answer distress, and you will have to prove such search at the trial (d). But if the tenant prevented the search, that would supersede the necessity for it (e).

Declaration,
and Service
of.

Declaration, and Service of.] The declaration is the same as in ordinary cases. The demise must be laid on a day when the forfeiture was complete, and on or after a day when it is certain there was not sufficient property to distrain upon (f). If the possession be vacant, the notice is signed by the plaintiff's attorney, and directed to the tenant late in possession (g). If the tenant be in the occupation of the premises, the declaration and notice are served in the same manner as directed

(a) *Doe Forster v. Wandlass*, 7 T. R. 117; *Doe Chandless v. Robson*, 2 C. & P. 245.

(b) Woodf. L. & T. 2nd ed. 523: Chit. Col. Stat. 673, n. (k).

(c) *Doe Schusfield v. Alexander*, 2 M. & Sel. 525; *Doe Lawrence v. Shawcross*, 3 B. & C. 752; 5 D. & R. 711, S. C.

(d) See *Doe Forster v. Wandlass*, 7 T. R. 117; *Rees v. King*, Forrest, Rep. 19.

(e) *Doe Chippendale v. Dyson*, 1 M. &

M. 77.

(f) *Doe v. Fuchau*, 15 East, 286; *Doe Lawrence v. Shawcross*, 3 B. & C. 752; 5 D. & R. 711, S. C.

(g) See form of declaration and notice where the premises are tenanted, Chit. Forms. 300; and of affidavit of service thereof, Id.: of declaration and notice upon a vacant possession, Id. 309; and of affidavit of service thereof, Id.

-743. But if "the same cannot be legally served (A), not be in actual possession of the premises, then the declaration shall be affixed upon the door of any demised messuage; such ejectment shall not be for the recovery of any tenement upon some notorious place of the lands, tenements or hereditaments comprised in such declaration in which service, or affixing such declaration, shall be place and stead of a demand and re-entry" (i). The plaintiff must be well satisfied that there is no probability that the tenant can be personally served before they will be affixing, to be legal service (k).

[*As against casual Ejector.*] If the tenant take no part in the suit, the plaintiff may make a party to the suit, the plaintiff may proceed to obtain judgment against the casual ejector in ordinary cases. In order to this—Let an affidavit be made of the service or affixing of the declaration and also stating that "half-a-year's rent was due before the declaration was served, and that no sufficient distress was to be found on the demised premises, countervailing the arrears and that the lessor or lessors had power to re-enter" (l). The affidavit must be positive as to there being no sufficient distress. Annex this affidavit to the declaration, move upon it for judgment against the casual ejector, draw up the rule, and judgment, as directed ante, 745, 746. Which judgment will have the same effect, and the plaintiff may thereon sue for the same in the same manner, "as if the rent had been demanded, and a re-entry made" (n).

Judgment
against casual
Ejector.

[*Appearance and Subsequent Proceedings.*] The appearance, and other proceedings to trial, &c., are the same as mentioned in the first section. At the trial, however, the plaintiff, in addition to what in other cases he would have in evidence, must prove "that half-a-year's rent was due before the declaration was served, and that no sufficient distress was to be found on the demised premises countervailing the arrears then due, and that the lessor had power to re-enter" (o).

Appearance
and subsequent
Proceedings.

It has been seen that, at the trial, the plaintiff, on proving the profits, may recover them as damages (p).

Measure Pro-
fits.

[*Tender of Rent—Bill in Equity, &c.*] If the tenant or his landlord, his executors, &c., or pay into court, all the arrears, together with costs, all further proceedings cease (r). The mortgagee of the term is an assignee of the act (s). The application may be to the court in

Tender of
Rent—Bill in
Equity, &c.

¹ *Page v. Roe*, 1 Scott, 464; 1 S. & C.

² 2, c. 28, s. 2.

³ The act requires this affidavit. If the plaintiff obtains judgment and execution it will be presumed that the affidavit was made. *See v. Lewis*, 1 Burr. 614. It may be made by a third party. (*Doe Charles v. Doe*, 4 D. & R. 751; 2 Dowl. 752,

(m) *Doe v. Roe*, 2 Dowl. 413.

(n) 4 G. 2, c. 28, s. 2.

(o) *Id.*: see *Doe v. Lewis*, 1 Burr. 614.

(p) *Ante*, 750; *post*, 742.

(q) See *Roe West v. Davis*, 7 East, 63; *Goodtitle v. Holdfast*, 2 Str. 500; *Doe Harris v. Masters*, 4 D. & R. 45, 2 B. & C. 480, S. C.

(r) 4 G. 2, c. 28, s. 4.

(s) *Doe Whitfield v. Roe*, 3 Taunt. 402; *Ad. Eject. 214*, 3 C.; *ante*, 752.

. 2, c. 28, s. 2.

term time, or to a judge in vacation(*t*). By consent, court or a judge will, even after execution executed, stay proceedings, &c., on payment of the rent and costs(*u*). rent to be paid must, it seems, be calculated only to the rent-day, and not to the day of computing(*v*). The application may be made though the ejectment be not wholly brought under the act; and in such case the court will grant it serving, however, to the plaintiff the liberty of proceeding on any other title(*x*). Where the lessors of the plaintiff both devisees and executors, and in each capacity rent due to them; and the defendant moved to stay the proceedings, on payment of the rent due to the lessors of the plaintiff as devisees, they not being entitled to bring an ejectment as executors; and there appearing to be a mutual debt due to the defendant by simple contract, the defendant offered to put into the whole account, taking in demands both as devisees and executors, saving just allowances; which the lessors the plaintiff refused: the rule was made absolute to stay proceedings, on payment of the rent due to the lessors as devisees, and costs(*y*). Where the rent was tendered before notice of the action, the proceedings were set aside for irregularity; and the landlord having given directions respecting the matter to his attorney, it was held to amount to nothing.

Or the defendant may apply to a court of equity for relief either before or after trial.

Consequence
of not tender-
ing Rent or
Filing Bill
in time.

But in case the lessee, his assignee, or other person claiming under the said lease, shall suffer judgment to be recovered on such ejectment, and execution to be executed thereon, without paying the rent in arrear, together with costs, and without filing any bill for relief in equity within six calendar months after such execution executed; then in such case the said lessee, &c., shall be barred or foreclosed from all relief in law and equity, (other than by writ of error if the judgment be erroneous), and the landlord or lessor thenceforth hold the said demised premises discharged from such lease(*a*).

(*t*) Ca. Pr. C. B. 6: 2 Sellen, 127.

(*u*) Harr. L. & T. 844. See *Doe Lambert v. Roe*, (3 Dowl. 557), where *Williams, J.*, refused to set aside the proceedings after execution executed, where there were other grounds of forfeiture besides the non-payment of rent.

(*v*) *Doe Harcourt v. Roe*, 4 Taunt. 883.

(*x*) Bull. N. P. 97.

(*y*) 2 Sel. Prac. 211: *Duckworth v. Tunstall*, Barnes, 184.

(*z*) *Goodright Stevenson v. Norright*, Bl. 747.

(*a*) 4 G. 2, c. 28, s. 2: see *Doe H v. Lewis*, 1 Burr. 614; 2 Ld. Kez. S. C.

SECT. 4.

*Things in Ejectment by Landlord, under Stat. 1 G. 4, c. 87,
upon the Determination of a Tenancy (a).*

*at Cases the Statute ap-
plies, 777.
of Possession, 778.
tion and Notice, id.
L
nt against Casual Ejector,*

780.
*Appearance and Ples, 781.
Issue, &c., id.
Trial, &c., id.
Staying Execution, &c., 782.*

What Cases the Statute applies.] THE mode of proceeding by the stat. 1 G. 4, c. 87 may be adopted in all cases where the term or interest of any tenant, holding, under a written agreement in writing, any lands, tenements, or hereditaments, for any term or number of years certain, or from year to year, shall have expired, or been determined, either by the landlord or tenant by regular notice to quit (b); and where the tenant, or any one holding or claiming by or under him, refuses to deliver up possession accordingly, after lawful demand in writing made thereof." A tenant in common may proceed under the act for the recovery of his undivided share (c). The act does not, it seems, extend to cases where a tenant *bonâ fide* disputes the landlord's title; as if the tenant claims the premises as heir-at-law, or the like (d). A lease for three months certain is a tenancy for a term within the meaning of the act (e). So is a mere agreement in writing for a lease for a term certain, and a holding over beyond that term (f). In the case of lessee and under-lessee, the lessor is a landlord within the statute (g). But a tenancy determinable on lives is not (h). And the statute applies where there was a term certain, and the lease expired by effluxion of time, or a tenancy from year to year determined by regular notice to quit, and not to the case of a term for fourteen years, determinable by notice at the end of the first seven, and determined by such notice accordingly (i). The holding must have been under a written agreement in writing; and therefore, where a tenant holds from year to year, under a letting by parol, it was held not to be within the act (j). When a landlord allows a tenant to hold over above a year, without taking any steps to recover possession, a new tenancy from year to year is created, he is not entitled to the benefit of this act (k). A landlord, however, is not confined to the mode of proceeding given by this statute; but he may adopt it, or have recourse to the ordinary mode of proceeding laid down in the provisions of this Chapter, *ante*, 733, &c., at his option (l).

To what Cases
the Statute
applies.

*as to obtaining possession by
proceedings before justices,
if the term does not exceed seven
years, the rent 20s.; and no fine has
been paid, 1 & 2 V. c. 74.
Cardigan v. Roe, 1 D. & R. 540.
v. Rotherham, 3 Dowl. 680.
Seenders v. Roe, 1 Dowl. 4.
Phillips v. Roe, 5 B. & Ald. 786;
433, & C.
De Marquis of Anglesey v. Roe,
283.*

(g) *Doe Watts v. Roe*, 5 Dowl. 513.
(h) *Doe Pemberton v. Roe*, 7 B. & C. 2.
(i) *Doe Cardigan v. Roe*, 1 D. & R. 540;
Doe Tindal v. Roe, 1 Dowl. 143; 2 B. &
Ad. 922, S. C.
(j) *Doe Bradford v. Roe*, 5 B. & Ald. 770;
Roe v. Thurstout, M'Clel. 412; *Doe Thomas*
v. Field, 2 Dowl. 542. See form of
notice to quit, Chit. Forms, 357.
(k) *Doe Thomas v. Field*, 2 Dowl. 542.
(l) See 1 G. 4, c. 87, s. 7.

And the landlord should be cautious in proceeding under act, and requiring bail; for if he fail in the action, he have to pay *double costs*(*m*).

Demand of Possession.] This demand must be in writing and "made and signed by the landlord or his agent, served personally upon, or left at the dwelling-house or place of abode of such tenant, or person" holding or claiming by or under him(*n*). And if such tenant or person there refuse to deliver up possession, the landlord may commence his ejectment(*o*).

Declaration and Notice.] The declaration is in the form(*p*); but at the foot thereof the landlord is to add notice to such tenant or person, (*vide supra*), requiring him to appear in the court in which the action shall have been commenced, on the first day of the term(*q*) then next following [or if the action shall be brought in the counties palatine of Lancaster or Durham respectively, then on the first day of the next session or assizes, or at the court day or other period for appearance, then next following, as the case may be], there to be made defendant, and to enter into a recognizance by himself and two sufficient sureties, in such sum to the court shall seem reasonable, conditioned to pay the costs and damages which shall be recovered in the action, the court shall so order(*r*). It must be signed by the landlord or his agent, and not in the name of Richard Roe, the notice in ordinary cases(*s*): A notice, signed by an agent for the plaintiff, instead of lessor of the plaintiff, calling upon the tenant to appear and be made defendant, and to find such bail, &c.; "and for such purposes as are specified in the act of parliament," without detailing them, is sufficient. A notice according to the statute "to appear in Trinity next following" is bad; it should require an appearance on the first day of term(*u*). In practice, it is usually sufficient after the notice by the casual ejector; but there seems no necessity for both notices, as this notice comprises the whole of the substance of the other.

The declaration is served in the manner directed *ante*, 743.

Bail.] "Upon the appearance of the party at the day so described, or, in case of non-appearance, on making the usual affidavit of the service of the declaration and notice(*r*), it shall be lawful for the landlord (producing the lease or agreement, or some counterpart or duplicate thereof, and proving the truth of the same by affidavit, and upon affidavit that the premises have been actually enjoyed under such lease or agreement, and that the interest of the tenant has expired, or

(*m*) 1 G. 4, c. 87, s. 6. *post*, 712.

(*n*) *Id.* s. 1: see *The Marquis of Anglesea v. Roe*, 2 D. & R. 565. See form where tenant held under a lease, Chit. Forms, 400; the like where he held from year to year, *Id.*

(*o*) See 1 G. 4, c. 87, s. 1.

(*p*) See *ante*, 733. See the forms, Chit. Forms, 400.

(*q*) See *The Holder v. Rushworth*, 4 M. & W. 74; 6 Dowl. 712, S. C.

(*r*) 1 G. 4, c. 87, s. 1. See notice, Chit. Forms, 400.

(*s*) *Ante*, 1 D. & R. 435; *Go Nottle*, 5 B. & Ald. 849; 6 Moo. See a form, Tidd's Forms, 623.

(*t*) *The Beard v. Roe*, 1 M. & See form, Chit. Forms, 400.

(*u*) *The Holder v. Rushworth*, W. 74; 6 Dowl. 712, S. C.

(*v*) *Ante*, 743.

determined by regular notice to quit, as the case may be, and that possession has been lawfully demanded in manner aforesaid) to move the court for a rule for such tenant or person to shew cause, within a time to be fixed by the court on a consideration of the situation of the premises, why such tenant or person, upon being admitted defendant, besides entering into the common rule, and giving the common undertaking, should not undertake, in case a verdict shall pass for the plaintiff, to give the plaintiff a judgment, to be entered up against the real defendant, of the term next preceding the time of trial, [or if the action shall be brought in the counties palatine respectively, then of the session, assizes, or court lay, as the case may be, at which the trial shall be had], and also why he should not enter into a recognisance, by himself and two sufficient sureties, in a reasonable sum, conditioned to pay the costs and damages which shall be recovered by the plaintiff in the action; and it shall be lawful for the court, upon cause shewn, or upon affidavit of the service of the rule in case no cause shall be shewn, to make the rule absolute in whole or in part, and to order such tenant or person, within a time to be fixed, upon a consideration of all the circumstances, to give such undertakings, and find such bail, with such conditions, and in such manner, as shall be specified in the said rule, or such part of the same so made absolute; and in case the party shall neglect or refuse so to do, and shall lay no ground to induce the court to enlarge the time for obeying the same, then upon affidavit of the service of such order, an absolute rule shall be made for entering up judgment for the plaintiff" (x).

In order to proceed under this act, make a motion to the court for a rule to shew cause why the tenant should not give the undertaking and enter into the recognisance above mentioned, and why in default thereof the plaintiff should not be at liberty to sign judgment against the casual ejector (y). The motion must be made on production of the original or a counterpart or duplicate of the lease or agreement properly stamped; and it is not sufficient to move on a copy, or an instrument unstamped at the time of the motion, though it be stamped after the rule nisi and before cause shewn (z). It may be made by one of several tenants in common (a).

The Motion,
by whom and
how made

The motion should be founded upon the affidavit described by the act (b). It is advisable that the affidavit should state the annual value of the premises, so that the court may be enabled to fix the sum for which the security should be given (c). It should shew that the tenancy, if from year to year, has been determined by a "regular" notice to quit (d). It seems not to be necessary that the attesting witness should depose to the execution of the lease if it be sufficiently proved by other witnesses (e). Where an attorney who was the attesting witness to the counterpart afterwards became the

The Affidavit.

(x) 1 G. 4, c. 17, s. 1.
(y) Chit. Sum. Pract. 230.
(z) See *The v. Roe*, 2 Dowl. 180.
(a) *The Cranfield v. Roe*, 3 Bing. N. C. 37, 5 Dowl. 315, S. C.; see *The Hilder v. Rotherworth*, 4 M. & W. 74. but see *The v. Roe*, 1 D. & R. 133, *constr.*
(b) *The Murray v. Rotherham*, 3 Dowl.
(c) *The Gouchind v. Roe*, 6 Dowl. 35; see

vide per Williams, J., in The Avery v. Roe, 6 Dowl. 521.

(c) See form of affidavit, where the tenant held under a lease, Chit. Forms, 401; the like, where he held from year to year, *Id.* 402, and of rule nisi thereon, *Id.* 403. And see Chapman's Pract. 210.

(d) *The Tipping v. Brant*, 7 Dowl. 407.

(e) Chit. Sum. Pract. 230.

BOOK III.
PART I.

attorney of the tenant, the court, notwithstanding, comp him to prove the execution of the counterpart in support application; on the ground that, if a person becomes will a party to the execution of an instrument, he ought not cause he subsequently becomes the partisan of another being his attorney, or because he is out of humour, allowed to frustrate the remedy which a third person in the instrument(f).

The Rule.

It is not necessary to express in the rule the amount o security required(g). *Draw up the rule, and serve a copy upon the tenant in possession, either personally, or by leaving him at his most usual place of abode. On the day appoint shewing cause, move to make the rule absolute on an affidavit service(h). The time within which the undertaking is given, and the recognisance entered into, as required b act, is fixed by the court in this rule absolute(i). In on the Court of Common Pleas, on making a rule absolut cause being shewn) for the tenant's undertaking to giv plaintiff judgment, to be entered up against the real de ant, and to enter into a recognisance in a reasonable sum ditioned to pay the costs and damages which should b covered by the plaintiff in the action, ordered the ten appear in the next succeeding term to find such bail as specified in the former rule; and on no cause being she that order, they directed the rule for entering up judge the plaintiff to be made absolute(k). Usually bail i quired for a sum sufficient to cover a year's value and for costs(l). *Draw up the rule, and serve it in the same m as the rule nisi.**

Bail, how put in.

Bail is put in, and the recognisance taken, in nearl same manner as in ordinary cases(m); except that the t himself must join in the recognisance(n); but he cann examined as to his sufficiency(o). The recognisance a be entitled in the cause against the real defendant(p). officer of the court with whom the recognisance is filed, file it on payment of 2s. 6d. It must be put in suit months after the landlord has obtained possession(q).

Undertaking.

The undertaking mentioned in the statute is included i consent rule(r).

Judgment against the casual Ejec- tor.

Judgment against the casual Ejector.] If the tenant i bail, except to them or not(s), as in ordinary cases, in to compel a justification(t). And if he fail to justify his or if no bail be put in, or the defendant have not entered the consent rule, with the undertaking above mentioned, w the time given by the court for that purpose, then, *if i part of the rule for the required bail, that, in default of*

(f) *Doe Avery v. Roe*, 6 Dowl. 518.(g) *Doe Phillips v. Roe*, 5 B. & Ald. 766; 1 D. & R. 433, S. C.; *Doe Gouland v. Roe*, 6 Dowl. 35.

(h) See form of the affidavit of service, Chit. Forms, 404; and see the form of the rule absolute, Id. 404.

(i) See *Doe Anglessa v. Brown*, 2 D. & R. 688; 3 D. & R. 236, S. C.(k) *Doe Samson v. Roe*, 6 Moore, 54.(l) *Quarre*, as to mesne profits. (See Id.)

(m) See 1 G. 4, c. 87, s. 4.

(n) Id. s. 1.

(o) *Semb.*: see *Keane v. Dearden*, 298. See the forms, Chit. Form see also the form of the notice o the recognisance, Id. 407.(p) *Doe Durant v. Moore*, 6 Bl. 4 Moo. & P. 531, S. C.

(q) 1 G. 4, c. 87, s. 7.

(r) See form, Chit. Forms, 408.

(s) See form of notice of exc Chit. Forms, 407.

(t) *Ante*, Vol. I. 585, &c.

Appearance and Plea.—Issue.—Trial.

ing put in, &c., the plaintiff might sign judgment, &c., sign (u)
accordingly, and sue out the writ of possession as usual, and (v)
directed ante, 747; or if the rule does not give the plaintiff
in liberty to sign judgment, then upon affidavit of that fact,
and of the service of the rule absolute above mentioned, you may
move for judgment against the casual ejector; and the rule
granted in such a case is a rule absolute in the first instance (u).
His judgment is then signed, and the writ of possession sued
out and executed, as directed ante, 747.

Appearance and Plea.] Putting in and perfecting bail are App
not in this case, as in ordinary cases, an appearance of the and I
tenant, but the tenant must also enter an appearance, and
enter into the consent rule, as in ordinary cases. For this pur-
pose—*Get a blank consent rule containing the undertaking above*
mentioned, at the rule office, and fill it up (x); and let the tenant's
attorney sign the rule, leaving room above his signature for that of
attorney for the plaintiff. Take this rule to one of the masters,
and enter an appearance for the tenant, as directed ante, 749,
and the master will thereupon mark the consent rule (y). Next
draw the general issue upon plain paper (z), annex the rule to
it, and deliver both to the opposite attorney (a). All this should
be done before the expiration of the time limited for that pur-
pose by the court. So, care must be taken to put in bail
and such bail seemingly as are required in error, see Vol. I.
15) within the same time; and if excepted to, they must be
affirmed within the time limited for that purpose by the prac-
ice of the court, unless the court grant a further time to
affirm; otherwise the appearance and plea may be treated as
nullity, and the plaintiff may move for judgment against the
casual ejector, as above directed.

Issue, &c.] When the time given to the tenant to put in Issue
bail, &c., has expired—*Let the plaintiff's attorney, after sepa-*
rating the plea from the rule, sign the latter, and take it to one
of the masters, who will thereupon draw up the rule. Then, make
up the issue on plain paper, as directed ante, 756 (b); indorse
upon it the notice of trial (c); annex a copy of the consent rule
to it, and deliver it to the defendant's attorney.

Make up your Nisi Prius record (d); issue out jury pro-
cess (d), enter your cause for trial, and deliver your briefs to
yourself, as directed ante, 757.

Trial, &c.] If the defendant do not appear at the trial, and Trial
confess lease, entry, and ouster, the plaintiff is not to be non-
sued, as in ordinary cases of ejectment. But, by statute 1 G. 4, Who
c. 87, s. 2, “wherever hereafter it shall appear on the trial of fend
any ejectment at the suit of a landlord against a tenant, that not
such tenant, or his attorney, hath been served with due notice
of trial, the plaintiff shall not be nonsuited for default of the
defendant's appearance, or of lease, entry, and ouster, but the

(u) See the form of the affidavit and
rule, Chit. Forms, 404.

(v) See the form, Chit. Forms, 404.

(x) See as to the form of process for
appearance, Chit. Forms, 371.

(z) See form, Chit. Forms, 371.

(a) R. G. H. 1874, Q. B.

(b) See forms, Chit. Forms, 404.

(c) See Chit. Forms, 378, 379.

(d) See form, Chit. Forms, 404.

production of the consent rule and undertaking of the defendant shall, in all such cases, be sufficient evidence of lease, entry, and ouster."

Nor are the damages in this case, as in the ordinary cases of ejectment, merely nominal; for, by 1 G. 4, c. 87, s. 2, "the judge, before whom such cause shall come on to be tried, shall; whether the defendant shall appear upon such trial or not, permit the plaintiff, on the trial, after proof of his right to recover possession of the whole or of any part of the premises mentioned in the declaration, to go into evidence of the mesne profits thereof, which shall or might have accrued from the day of the expiration or determination of the tenant's interest in the same, down to the time of the verdict given in the cause, or to some preceding day to be specially mentioned therein; and the jury on the trial finding for the plaintiff, shall, in such case, give their verdict upon the whole matter, both as to the recovery of the whole or any part of the premises, and also as to the amount of the damages to be paid for such mesne profits; provided always, that nothing hereinbefore contained shall be construed to bar any such landlord from bringing an action of trespass for the mesne profits which shall accrue from the verdict, or the day so specified therein, down to the day of the delivery of possession of the premises recovered in the ejectment."

On the other hand, by sect. 6, "in cases wherein the landlord shall elect to proceed in ejectment, under the provisions hereinbefore contained, and the tenant shall have found bail, as ordered by the court; then, if the landlord, upon the trial of the cause, shall be nonsuited, or a verdict pass against him upon the merits of the case; there shall be judgment against him, with double costs."

Staying Execution, &c.] By 1 G. 4, c. 87, s. 3, "in all cases in which such undertaking shall have been given, and security found as aforesaid, if, upon the trial, a verdict shall pass for the plaintiff, but it shall appear to the judge before whom the same shall have been had, that the finding of the jury was contrary to the evidence, or that the damages given were excessive, it shall be lawful for the judge to order the execution of the judgment to be stayed absolutely till the fifth day of the term then next following, or till the next session, assizes, or court day (as the case may be); which order the judge shall in all other cases make, upon the requisition of the defendant, in case he shall forthwith undertake (e) to find, and on condition that within four days from the day of the trial he shall actually find security, by the recognisance of himself and two sufficient sureties, in such reasonable sum as the judge shall direct, conditioned not to commit any waste, or act in the nature of waste, or other wilful damage, and not to sell or carry off any standing crops, hay, straw, or manure produced or made (if any) upon the premises, and which may happen to be thereupon, from the day on which the verdict shall have been given, to the day on which execution shall finally be made upon the judgment, or the same be set aside,

(e) See form of recognisance, Chit. Forms, 407.

case may be." Under this section the defendant must so *additional* sureties on bringing a writ of error, al-
a he has already given the two sureties on his appear-
under the first section of the act (f). But, in such case,
is in and perfecting bail in error will discharge the
mediate recognisance (not to commit waste) given under
an 3.

CHAP. I
SECT. 5

SECT. 5.

*Proceedings in Ejectment by Landlord, under 11 G. 4 & 1
W. 4, c. 70, ss. 36, 37.*

The Statute and Cases within it.] THE 11 G. 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 70, The Statute
and Cases
within it.
after reciting that "*landlords*, to whom a right of entry
into or upon any lands or hereditaments may accrue during or
immediately after Hilary and Trinity terms respectively, are
at present unable to prosecute ejectments against their tenants
so as to try the same at the assizes immediately ensuing, where-
by much delay is occasioned in the recovery of the possession
of lands and tenements wrongfully withheld by tenants against
their landlords;" enacts, that "in all actions of ejectment
hereafter to be brought in any of his majesty's courts at West-
minster, by any *landlord* against his tenant, or against any
person claiming through or under such tenant, for the re-
covery of any lands or hereditaments where the tenancy shall
expire, or the right of entry into or upon such lands or here-
ditaments shall accrue to such landlord in or after Hilary or
Trinity terms respectively, it shall be lawful for the lessor of
the plaintiff in any such action, at any time within ten days
after such tenancy shall expire or right of entry accrue as afore-
said, to serve a declaration in ejectment, intituled of the day next
after the day of the demise in such declaration, whether the same
shall be in term or vacation, with a notice thereunto sub-
scribed, requiring the tenant or tenants in possession to appear
and plead thereto within ten days in the court in which such
action may be brought; and proceedings shall be had on such
declaration, and rules to plead (g) entered and given, in such
and the same manner, as nearly as may be, as if such declaration
had been duly served before the preceding term; provided al-
ways, that no judgment shall be signed against the casual
ejector until default of appearance and plea within such ten
days, and that at least six clear days' notice of trial shall be
given to the defendant before the commission day of the assizes
at which such ejectment is intended to be tried; provided also,
that any defendant in such action may, at any time before the
trial thereof, apply to a judge of either of his majesty's superior
courts at Westminster, by summons in the usual manner, for

(f) *De Durant v. Moore*, 6 Bing. 656;
4 Moo. & P. 531; 7 Bing. 124; 4 Moo. &
P. 761 1 Dowl. 213, S. C.

(g) It seems a rule to plead is not ne-
cessary. (See 2 Adams, Eject. 2nd ed.
222: ante, 746).

BOOK III.
PART I.

time to plead, or for staying or setting aside the proceedings, or for postponing the trial until the next assizes; and that it shall be lawful for the judge, in his discretion, to make such order in the said cause as to him shall seem expedient."

It will be observed, that this statute is applicable only to ejectments by *landlords*; also that the tenancy must expire, or the right of entry accrue, in or after *Hilary* or *Trinity* (*h*) terms; and therefore, if the tenancy expired, or the right of entry accrued, before the first day of either of those terms, the case would not fall within the statute (*i*). It also extends only to country ejectments triable at the *assizes*, and not to those of Middlesex or London (*k*).

Declaration
and Notice.

Declaration and Notice.] The declaration is in the usual form (*l*), except that it must be *intituled* of the day next after the day of the demise laid in such declaration, and this whether in term or vacation (*m*). At the foot of the declaration a notice should be added, requiring the defendant, within *ten* days, to appear and plead to it (*m*). In other respects this notice is the same as the usual notice, *ante*, 734.

How served.

The declaration is served in the manner directed *ante*, 736 to 743, except that the service must take place *within ten days* after the tenancy expired, or the right of entry accrued. (*See the statute, supra*).

Objection to
Service too
late at Trial.

An objection to the service, on account of its not having taken place within these ten days, cannot be taken at the trial (*n*); it is merely matter of irregularity.

Judgment
against the
Casual Ejector.

Judgment against the Casual Ejector.] If the tenant makes default in not appearing and pleading within ten days after the service of the declaration, (one day inclusive and the other exclusive), the plaintiff will be entitled to judgment against the casual ejector, and he should proceed in nearly the same manner as in other cases noticed *ante*, 736, &c. The practice as to the mode of obtaining such judgment is there noticed. The only main difference appears to be as regards the affidavit for that purpose, which is the same as usual, except that it states when the tenancy expired or right of entry accrued, and when the declaration was served, in order that the court may see that the proceedings are in accordance with the act (*o*).

Appearance
and Plea, &c.

Appearance and Plea, &c.] The practice as to these is the same as in ordinary cases, *mutatis mutandis* (*p*). A judge may grant an order for time to appear or plead as in other cases.

Other Pro-
ceedings.

Other Proceedings.] The plaintiff must give to the defendant six clear days' notice of trial (exclusive of the day it is given and the commission day) before the commission day of the assizes. It is not necessary to prove at the trial that this notice

(*h*) *Doe v. Roe*, 2 C. & J. 123; 1 Dowl. 304, S. C.

(*i*) *Doe Somerville v. Roe*, 4 Moo. & Sc. 747.

(*k*) *Doe Norris v. Roe*, 1 Dowl. 547.

(*l*) See *ante*, 733.

(*m*) 11 G. 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 70, s. 37. See the form, Chit. Forms, 410.

(*n*) *Roe Rankin v. Brindley*, 4 B. & Ad. 84; 1 Nev. & M. 1, S. C.

(*o*) See a form, Chit. Forms, 411.

(*p*) See *ante*, 749.

as given (*q*); and if not duly given, the defendant, by demanding the action at the trial, will cure any objection on account of it (*q*).

CHAP. I
SECT. 6

Notice of
Trial.
Staying Proceedings.

The court or a judge, on summons, may, in their discretion, stay or set aside the proceedings, or postpone the trial until the next assizes. In support of the application, an affidavit of facts should be produced to induce the court or judge to grant it.

In making up the record of the proceedings, the declaration may be intitled specially of the day next after the day of the demise laid in the declaration, and the judgment will not be avoided or reversed by reason only of such special title (*r*).

Declaration
may be intitled
specially
in Record.

The rest of the proceedings are the same as in ordinary cases, *mutatis mutandis* (*s*).

SECT. 6.

Action for Mesne Profits.

THE action of trespass for mesne profits may be brought for the amount of the profits derived by the defendant from the premises recovered in ejectment; that is, for the amount of the yearly value of the premises, whilst he held them against the lessor's title.

Action for
mesne Pro

The action may be brought in the name either of the nominal plaintiff in the ejectment or of his lessor (*t*). A tenant in common, who has recovered in ejectment, may maintain an action for mesne profits against his companion (*u*). A joint action for mesne profits may be maintained by several lessors of the plaintiff in ejectment after recovery therein, although the declaration in ejectment contained only a separate demise by each (*x*).

By whom
may be
brought.

The action ought, in general, to be brought against the person against whom the judgment in ejectment is given (*y*). It has, it seems, been doubted, whether a tenant, whose under-tenant holds over after the expiration of his term, is liable for mesne profits; but, in practice, the former is often joined in the action with his under-tenant; and he appears to be liable, at all events, if he has expressly recognised the acts of his under-tenant, and has received rent from him for the period during which possession was improperly detained (*z*). And, in general, any person found in possession, after a recovery in ejectment, is liable to the action; and it is no defence that he was on the premises as the agent, and under the license of the defendant

Against
whom.

(q) *Doe Antrobus v. Jephson*, 3 B. & Ad. 462. *Doe Rankin v. Roe*, 1 Nev. & M. 1: 4 B. & Ad. 84, S. C.

(r) See the statute. *ante*, 783.

(s) See *ante*, 731 to 742.

(t) *Astin v. Perkins*, 2 Burr. 665: 1 Smith's Leading Cases, 264, S. C.

(u) *Goodtitle v. Tumbles*, 3 Wils. 118: *Cut-*

ting v. Derby, 2 Bl. Rep. 1077.

(v) *Chamier v. Clingo*, 5 M. & Sel. 64; 2 Chit. Rep. 410, S. C.

(y) 1 Chit. Pl. 6th ed. 195.

(z) *Id.*: and see *per Mansfield, C. J.* in *Burne v. Richardson*, 5 Taunt. 720: *Roe v. Wiggs*, 2 New Rep. 330: *Illes v. Richardson*, 1 Per. & D. 618.

in ejectment, for no man can license another to do an illegal act (*a*). The defendant, however, in such a case, will only be liable for the mesne profits for the time he was in possession (*b*). The action cannot be maintained against executors or administrators for the profits during the lifetime of the testator or intestate, and received by him (*c*); except, indeed, for the profits received within six calendar months before the death of the testator or intestate, and then only if the action is brought against the executor or administrator within six months after they have taken upon themselves the administration of his estate (*d*).

Arrest for.

Previously to 1 & 2 V. c. 110, the defendant might have been holden to bail on a judge's order, which was seldom refused. But, since that act, he cannot be holden to bail, unless it be shewn to the satisfaction of the judge that the mesne profits amount to twenty pounds or upwards, and that there is probable cause for believing that the defendant is about to quit England (*e*).

What a Defence.

The defendant may plead the Statute of Limitations as to all the profits, excepting those which may have accrued within the last six years (*f*). But he cannot, it seems, plead a discharge under the Insolvent Act (*g*). Nor his bankruptcy, the demand being for unliquidated damages, which could not be proved under the commission (*h*). He was not, previously to the 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, s. 21, allowed to pay money into court (*i*). If he were defendant also in the ejectment, he cannot dispute the title of the lessor of the plaintiff; from the day of the demise laid in the declaration (*j*). But where he is not concluded by the record in ejectment, he may controvert the plaintiff's title (*k*). And in a late case, where, in an action for mesne profits in the name of the casual ejector, the defendant pleaded that the premises were not the plaintiff's, it was held that he might give evidence of title in himself, though he had suffered judgment by default in the ejectment; for the estoppel, to be conclusive, should have appeared on the record (*l*). The defendant cannot, under the general issue, give in evidence an acceptance by the plaintiff of the rent, and an agreement to waive the costs of the ejectment (*m*).

Security for Costs.

If the action be brought in the name of the nominal plaintiff, the court, upon application, will stay the proceedings until security be given for costs (*n*).

Amount of Damages.

The jury are not, in estimating the damages, confined to give the mere rent or annual value of the premises; but may give such extra damages as they may think fit, as a compensation for plaintiff's trouble, &c. (*o*). So, where the plaintiff has had

a) 1 Chit. Pl. 6th ed. 195.
b) 1 Woodf. L. & T. 7th ed. 419: Ad. Eject. 331: *Aslin v. Parkin*, 2 Burr. 638: Smith's Leading Cases, 264, S. C.: *Doe James v. Staunton*, 1 Chit. Rep. 121; 2 B. & Ald. 373.
c) See 1 Chit. Pl. 6th ed. 195.
d) 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, s. 2.
e) 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 3: *Hunt v. Hudson*, Barnes, 85. See Vol. I. 497.
f) Bull. N. P. 188.
g) *Lloyd v. Peel*, 3 B. & Ald. 407.
h) *Goodtitle v. North*, 2 Doug. 581.

i) *Holdfast v. Morris*, 2 Wils. 115. See as to payment of money into court generally, *post*, Book IV. Part I. Ch. 9.
j) See Adams on Eject. 313: *Charfield v. Parker*, 8 B. & C. 551, n. (a).
k) Rosc. on Evid. 493.
l) *Doe v. Huddart*, 2 C., M. & R. 16: and see *Fought v. Winch*, 2 B. & Ald. 612.
m) *Doe v. Leo*, 4 Taunt. 459.
n) Bull. N. P. 189: *Pike v. Corbin*, Say. 78.
o) *Goodtitle v. Tumble*, 3 Wils. 131: *Doe v. Hare*, 2 C. & M. 145; 4 Tyr. 29, S. C.

gment against the casual ejector, he may recover his costs in this action, although not taxed, against the tenant or person in possession (p); but if the ejectment were defended, the taxed costs paid, the extra costs would not be recoverable (q). The plaintiff may recover, by way of damages, the sum incurred by him in a court of error, by reversing the judgment in ejectment erroneously obtained by the defendant (r). If the plaintiff is not restricted to the time stated in his writ in the declaration in ejectment, but may also recover profits which accrued previously, if he had title to the premises at the time, and the defendant were in possession (s). The jury, however, are to give damages only for the time the defendant is proved to have been in actual possession (t), since the plaintiff's title accrued. And where an actual entry has been made to avoid a fine, as above mentioned, the jury can give damages only as to the profits accruing since the date of the entry (u).

Ground-rent necessarily paid by the defendant while in possession should be deducted by the jury from the damages (x). And where an action for mesne profits was brought against a party who had a cross claim against the plaintiff at law for money expended on the land, the court of Equity Exchequer granted an injunction to stay the proceedings at law (y).

Mitigation of Damages.

If the action is brought pending a writ of error on the judgment in ejectment, the plaintiff may proceed to judgment; but the court will stay execution until the writ of error is determined (z).

Action pending Error.

If the plaintiff recover less than 40s., he shall, in general, sue no more costs than damages, unless the judge certifies (a).

Costs.

In all other respects, the proceedings in this action are the same as in ordinary cases.

Other Proceedings.

(p) *Gallier v. Drinkwater*, 2 T. R. 51; *Doe v. Davis*, 1 Esp. 338; 6 T. R. 59, S. C.; *Doe v. Huddart*, 2 C., M. & R. 15; *Spencer v. Page*, 1 C. & J. 29; *Gardiner v. Totter*, 3 Will. 121; Bull. N.P. 88, S. C. and see *Hunter v. Britts*, 3 Camp. 154.
(q) *Gallier v. Drinkwater*, 2 T. R. 261; *Doe v. Davis*, 1 Esp. 338; *Brooks v. Bridges*, 7 Moore, 471; *Doe v. Hare*, 2 Dowl. 245. Quare, if the extra costs would be recoverable in any form of action. See 1 Camp. 151; 4 Taunt. 7; 4 Bing. 161.
(r) *Niell v. Roake*, 7 B. & C. 404; 1

M. & R. 170, S. C.
(s) Bull. N. P. 87.
(t) *Stannymought v. Curine*, Barnes, 456; ante, 786.
(u) See *Comptere v. Hicks*, 7 T. R. 727; and see *Berrington v. Parkhurst*, 2 Str. 1046. 4 Brown, P. C. 353.
(x) *Doe v. Hare*, 2 C. & M. 145; 4 Tyr. 29. S. C.
(y) *Earl Caudor v. Lewis*, 1 Y. & Col. 427.
(z) Ca. Pr. C. II. 46.
(a) *Doe v. Davis*, 1 Esp. 338; 6 T. R. 59, S. C.

CHAPTER II.

REPLEVIN.

SECT. 1. *The Distress*—788 to 792.2. *Replevin*—792 to 814.

SECT. 1.

*The Distress.**How made, &c., 788.**Inventory and Notice, 789.**Removal of the Goods, 789.**Appraisalment and Sale, 790.*BOOK III.
PART I.

How made.

How made.] A DISTRESS for rent (to which these observations shall be confined) is made by entering upon the premises (a) and seizing any piece of furniture or chattel distrainable, saying, at the same time, that you seize that in the name of all the chattels upon the premises, to the value of the rent distrained for (b), and stating the cause of the distress particularly; and if the distress be made by virtue of any particular authority, let it be mentioned. A landlord, however, may distrain not only upon the premises demised, but also the cattle or stock of his tenant depasturing on any common appendant or appurtenant, or any ways belonging to the same (c). The distress must not be made on a highway (d). It is made either by the landlord in person, or by some person deputed by him by warrant (e). The landlord cannot break open the outer door of a house to make a distress (f); nor can he break open or throw down gates or inclosures for that purpose (g). But if he have entered the house, he may, if necessary, break open an inner door, &c. (h).

At what Time made.

This distress must be made in the day-time (i). It may be made at any time during the term for which the premises are demised, or within six months after the determination thereof, provided the landlord's title and the tenant's possession continue at the time of the distress (j).

(a) See 52 H. 3, c. 21; 2 Inst. 131; Mir. c. 2, s. 26.

(b) *Dud v. Monger*, 6 Mod. 215; *Steeze v. Earl Falmouth*, 8 B. & C. 456; *Wood v. Nunn*, 5 Bing. 10; 2 Moo. & P. 27, S. C.

(c) 11 G. 2, c. 12, s. 8; see *Furness v. Fotherby*, 4 Camp. 136.

(d) 52 Hen. 3, c. 51; *Buzzard v. Capel*, 8 B. & C. 141; 3 Y. & J. 344; 3 Moo. & P. 480, S. C.

(e) It need not be in writing, though it usually is so. See the form of the warrant, Chit. Forms, 413. The stat. Westm. 2, c. 37, which requires distresses to be made by brokers sworn and known, does

not extend to distress for rent. (*Child v. Chamberlain*, 6 C. & P. 213).

(f) Co. Lit. 161; Comb. 17; 9 Vin. Abr. 128; see *Gould v. Bradstock*, 4 Taunt. 562.

(g) Co. Lit. 161.

(h) Id.; Comb. 17; *Browning v. Dunn*, Hardw. 168; Bull. N. P. 81.

(i) Mirror, c. 2, s. 26; *Aldenburgh v. Peaple*, 6 C. & P. 212.

(j) 8 A. c. 14, ss. 6, 7; 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, ss. 37, 38; see *Burns v. Richardson*, 4 Taunt. 720; *Nuttall v. Staunton*, 6 D. & Ry. 155; 4 B. & C. 51, S. C.; Chit. Col. Stat. 665.

and Notice.] After seizure, an inventory should be made of the distrainable goods upon the premises (*k*); I write at the foot of the copy a notice stating of the distress, and that unless the rent be paid in so many days, the goods shall be appraised and sold (*l*); this copy "at the chief mansion-house, or other convenient place on the premises" (*m*), or serve it upon the tenant (*n*). If you remove the goods, state in writing the place to which you have removed them.

CHAP. II.
SECT. I.
Inventory and Notice.

of the Goods.] The landlord may either remove the goods immediately, or he may allow them to remain on the premises for five days inclusive of the day of the seizure, and a reasonable time afterwards, leaving a person there in the possession of them, to prevent them from being clandestinely removed. He cannot, however, leave them on the premises an unreasonable time longer than the time above mentioned, otherwise he will render himself liable to an action of trespass (*o*); unless he have the tenant's consent to do so. Tenants usually request this as an indulgence, in order that they may be enabled in the meantime to raise money for the payment of the rent, or have an opportunity to levy the distress (*p*). Get the tenant to give you a written memorandum of his consent to your continuing in possession (*q*). By 2 W. & M. sess. 1, c. 5, s. 3, however, corn, &c., when distrained, may be impounded on the premises, until appraised and sold. And by the 11 G. 2, c. 19, when corn, grass, &c., growing is distrained, it may be kept up in barns or other proper places on the premises, and need not be appraised or sold until it shall have been cut, threshed, cured, and made (*r*); if sold before that time, the sale is void, and the property in the corn is not thereby divided out of the tenant, or passed to the vendee (*s*). And, by the 11 G. 2, c. 19, s. 10, any goods, when distrained, may be impounded on the premises, and may there be appraised and sold, in like manner as the distrainer might have done before off the premises.

Removal of the Goods.

If you remove the goods distrained, if they be household furniture or other dead chattels, you must place them in a pound covert; that is, in some covered place of safety, where they will not be exposed to injury from the weather (*t*). But where cattle are distrained, they may be placed either in a pound overt or pound covert, at the option of the distrainer: if he place them in a pound covert, as in a stable or the like, he must feed and sustain them; but if in a pound overt, common or special, the owner must attend at his peril; and for that

How and where impounded

See the form, Chit. Forms, 413.

Ib.

2 W. & M. sess. 1, c. 5, s. 2.

Walter v. Rumball, 1 Salk. 247; 1 L. R. 23, & C.

Winterbourne v. Morgan, 11 East, 374; Griffin v. Scott, 1 Str. 717; 3 L. R. 1434, S. C.; Pitt v. Shew, 4 B. & Ald. 288; see Wallers v. King, 1 H. Bl. 1; Eberton v. Puppelwell, 1 East, 159; 2, c. 19, s. 19.

See Washburn v. Black, 11 East, 215; Fisher v. Alger, 2 C. & P.

374.

(q) See the form, Chit. Forms, 414.

(r) See Peacock v. Purvis, 2 B. & B. 362; 5 Moore, 79, S. C.; Clark v. Gaskarth, 8 Taunt. 431; 2 Moore, 491, S. C.; Wright v. Deuces, 3 Nev. & M. 790; 1 A. & E. 641, S. C.

(s) Owen v. Legh, 3 B. & Ald. 470; see Proudlove v. Turemhow, 1 C. & M. 326; Notts v. Curtis, 2 C. & J. 364, n.; Biggins v. Goods, Id.

(t) Co. Lit. 47.

BOOK III.
PART I.

purpose, if the distress be impounded in a s overt, notice thereof must be given to the ow distrainer is bound to see that the pound is in a state to receive the distress; and is liable to mak owner any damage sustained by the cattle in co its unfitness(*u*). By 52 *Hen.* 3, c. 4, a distress driven out of the county where it is taken(*v*); *P. & M.* c. 12, s. 1, a distress of cattle shall not of the hundred, rape, wapentake, or lathe, whe unless to a pound overt within the same shire, three miles distant from the place where such taken(*w*).

Appraisement
and Sale.

Appraisement and Sale.] By the 2 *W. & M.* s if the owner of the goods distrained shall not, wi next after such distress taken, and notice ther chief mansion-house, or other most notorious premises, replevy the same; in such case the p ing shall, with the sheriff or under-sheriff of t with the constable of the hundred, parish, or p such distress shall be taken, cause the goods, &c. to be appraised by two sworn appraisers (whon under-sheriff, or constable shall swear to app truly, according to the best of their underst after such appraisement, shall sell the same for that can be gotten for them, for satisfaction of charges of distress, appraisement, and sale; lea plus (if any) with the sheriff, under-sheriff, or the owner's use. Previous to this statute, a dis rent, could not be sold. There must be two a where the rent is under 20*l.* (*y*). But the tenant necessity for the appraisement by two brokers i

When and
how.

Upon the sixth day, (inclusive of that on whi was made(*a*)), and after the lapse of five time hours from the time of the original seizure(*b*), reasonable time afterwards(*c*), *search at the sheri goods have been replevied; if not (d), send for the hundred, parish, or place(e), where the distress a also two sworn appraisers(f); the constable will ter the usual oath to the appraisers(g), and indor*

(*u*) Co. Lit. 47. But now, by 5 & 6 W. 4, c. 59, s. 4, (Cruelty to Animals Act), the distrainer is required to provide the animals impounded daily with good and sufficient food and nourishment, so long as they remain impounded, and is empowered to recover from the owners not exceeding double the value of the nourishment supplied, by proceeding before a justice of the peace, or by sale after seven days, in the manner pointed out by the act. And the 6th section inflicts a penalty of 3*s.* for every day's neglect.

(*u*) *Wilder v. Speer*, 3 Nev. & P. 536.

(*v*) See 2 Inst. 106.

(*w*) *Gimhart v. Pelah*, 2 Str. 1272.

(*x*) See *Arenell v. Craker*, 1 M. & M. 172; *Walter v. Rumball*, 1 L. Raym. 58; 1 Salk. 247, S. C.; *Wallace v. King*, 1 H. Bl. 14.

(*y*) *Allen v. Hicker*, Q. B., 22nd June, 1838, on demurrer. See the previous

cases of *Fletcher v. S* 747; *Bishop v. Bryant*

(*z*) *Bishop v. Bryant*

(*a*) *Wallace v. King*,

(*b*) *Harper v. Taurer*

(*c*) *Pitt v. Sheer*, 4 B

(*d*) If they have be cannot sell them, thou had after the five days Marsh, 135; 5 Taunt.

(*e*) He must not be another parish. (*Aren & M.* 172; *Wallace v.* and see *Walter v. Rumb* 1 Salk 247, S. C.)

(*f*) Not the person *Wentwood v. Osborne*, 1; *Weldon*, 2 Bing. 337; 9 unless the tenant come *v. Bryant*, 6 C. & P. 41

(*g*) The constable n praisers before the app

upon the inventory (h). The appraisers, being sworn, to appraise the goods, and having done so, write their val. also upon the inventory (h). The constable must ~~be~~ ^{be} during the appraisement (i). The appraisement ~~it~~ ^{it} must be stamped (k). The goods are usually sold to the tenant, or a third person, for the sum at which they were appraised; and a receipt for the sum paid for them is entered in the inventory, and witnessed by the constable (l). By the 2 W. & M. sess. 1, c. 5, s. 2, the dist. must sell for the best price that can be obtained for the goods, and an action lies if he does not. The price at which the goods were appraised will be presumed to be the best price, unless the contrary is proved (m). It appears that there is no law required by law to be observed in the sale of the goods. If there be a surplus, after payment of the rent and charges, let it be given to the constable to keep for the tenant. If goods to the amount of the rent and charges be distrained, or if the distress die in the pound, or be destroyed by the act of God (p), the landlord may sue again (q). As to the costs of distraining, &c., the rent in arrear does not exceed 20*l.*, see the 5*th* G. 3.

distress shall be made for rent justly due, and any
shall afterwards be committed by the party dis-
his agent, the distress shall not be deemed unlaw-
distrainer a trespasser *ab initio*, but the party
may recover satisfaction for the special damage in
trespass or on the case; and if he recover, he shall
costs (r). But he shall not recover in such an
order of amends have been made before action

If, however, the first entry be illegal and un-
lawful, or if no rent whatever be due (1), or if the distress
be (2), or if the party distraining break open the
door (3), or the like, none of his proceedings would be pro-
tected (4).

ated thus, concisely of the manner of making a
er this head of *replevin*, because the action of
ally originates in a distress. But it is a mistake
at *replevin* lies only in the case of a wrongful dis-
ough, in practice it is usually confined to that
action, in fact, in general lies in all cases where

Action for improving Dietary

M. 57. It seems, that if
all by the landlord which
is taken under the dis-
closed in the inventory, be-
not discovered at the
may maintain trover for
it. *Boydell, 8 C. & P. 484*.
It. *Furber, 414*.
m. *Chit. Forms, 415*.
May, 2 M. & M. 56.
184.

194. This subject, generally,
re and Replein; Wool-
Harrison.

Frühjahr, 4. März 1900:

F. Yelland, 6 Price, 5;

comp. 1, c. 5, & 2, ante,

Subarea, 1 Salt. 248: 1

2. *Raym. 716, S. C.*
 (a) See *Bradby*, 130; 1 *Burn, J.*, 22d
 ed., 1122. *United v. Ragsdale*, 2 B. & B.
 602; 1 *Moore*, 549. S. C.

(r) 11 G. 2, c. 17, s. 19.

(1) 11 G. 2, c. 12, s. 20, see *Winterbourne v. Morgan*, 11 East, 205. *Griffin v. Scott*, 2 Str 717, 2 L. Raym. 1424, 5 C. Wallace v. King, 1 H Bl. 13. *Etherton v. Poplewell*, 1 East, 139. *Drumcombe v. Bridgman*, 1 H. & C. 140; 2 D. & R. 256, &c.

(1) See *Wright v Smith*, 5 C. & P. 270.

(1) *San Anselmo v. Fowler*, 1 M. & M.

173. See, as to damages, *Prindivore v.*

Turner, 1 C, & M. 325; *Nott v. Curtis*, 9 A. 1. 284, n.; *Bingham v. Good*, Id.

The act is not confined to distresses (as

good. (1 C. & M. 399).

mere personal chattels have been wrongfully taken and detained from a person without a lawful authority (w).

SECT. 2.

Replevin (x).

- | | |
|---|--|
| <p>1. <i>Proceedings to obtain the Replevin.</i>
 <i>Replevin, when and how obtained, and Bond given, 792.</i>
 <i>Capias in Withernam, 794.</i></p> <p>2. <i>Proceedings in the Inferior Court, id.</i></p> <p>3. <i>Removal of the Plaintiff to a Superior Court.</i>
 <i>In what Cases removed, 794.</i>
 <i>How removed, 795.</i></p> <p>4. <i>Proceedings in the Superior Court.</i>
 <i>Appearance, 796.</i>
 <i>Declaration, 798.</i>
 <i>Nonpros, for want of, 799.</i>
 <i>Avowry, 801.</i>
 <i>Imprisonment, 802.</i>
 <i>Plea in Bar, 804.</i>
 <i>Nonpros, for want of, 805.</i>
 <i>Issue, id.</i></p> | <p>4. <i>Proceedings, &c., on Proceedings on De</i>
 805.
 <i>Staying Proceedings</i>
 <i>Payment into Court</i>
 806.
 <i>Discontinuing—W</i>
 <i>ing Plea in Bar</i>
 <i>Trial, &c., 807.</i>
 <i>New Trial, 808.</i>
 <i>Costs, id.</i>
 <i>Execution, 809.</i></p> <p>5. <i>Proceedings against Sureties on the Bond.</i>
 <i>Replevin Bond, when how Forfeited, &</i>
 <i>Assignment of, and on the Bond, 81</i></p> <p>6. <i>Proceedings against Sheriff, 813.</i></p> |
|---|--|

1. *Proceedings to obtain the Replevin.*

Replevin,
when and how
obtained, and
Bond given.

Replevin, when and how obtained, and Bond given proceeding by original writ of *replegiari facias* out of Chancery, which was formerly necessary, being extremely tedious and the cattle or other goods being, in the meantime detained from the owner to his great loss and damage directed and enacted by the statute of Marlbridge (y) the sheriff (z), without any writ being sued out of Chancery shall proceed to replevy the goods, immediately upon complaint being made to him; and by the 1 & 2 P. & M. c. 10 the sheriff of every county shall appoint four deputies a dwelling not above twelve miles distant from each other for the purpose of making replevies.

Replevin
Bond.

Before the sheriff or his deputy, however, can replevy must take pledges from the plaintiff, not only to prosecute his suit, but also to return the cattle or goods, if a judgment should be adjudged; and, if he take pledges in any other manner, he shall be answerable to the defendant for the

(w) See 1 Chit. Pl. 6th ed. 162, 164.

(x) The proceedings in replevin or suits removed from inferior courts are not affected by the 2 W. 4, c. 39.

(y) 52 H. 3, c. 21.

(z) Where the lord of the franchise has

the prescriptive right to grant in the same manner as the sheriff before the statute of Marlbridge the sheriff has no concurrent jurisdiction. (*Mounsey v. Dawson*, 1 N. 763).

r value of the cattle or goods replevied. The security taken by the sheriff, in pursuance of this act, is usually a bond, conditioned as is above mentioned (a). Also, by the 11 G. 2, c. 19, s. 23, in every replevin of a distress for rent, the sheriff or his deputy shall take from the plaintiff, and two responsible persons as sureties, a bond in double the value of the goods distrained (to be ascertained on the oath of one witness), conditioned for prosecuting the suit with effect and without delay, and for a return of the goods, if a return should be awarded (b). A distress for a rent-charge is within the act (c). The bond should pursue the terms of the statute. It has been held, however, that a bond conditioned to prosecute the action with effect, and to indemnify the sheriff, is good; and may be assigned and proceeded on in the name of the assignee, under the statute, although it do not require, by the condition, that the suit shall be prosecuted without delay (d). And although the statute directs the bond to be taken with two sureties, yet a bond by one surety only is not void (e). As to the proceedings on this bond, and the liability of the sheriff and sureties, see *post*, 811, 812. Where one of the sureties was a material witness for the plaintiff, the Court of Common Pleas allowed another to be substituted for him (f).

The mode of proceeding is thus:—*Let the party intending to replevy give the names of two sufficient housekeepers of the city or county where the distress was made, to the sheriff's officer, who (after satisfying himself as to their sufficiency) will give him a certificate to the sheriff to that effect. Take this certificate to the office of the sheriff of that city or county, or to the office of his deputy, or replevin clerk; and upon the sheriff or his deputy being satisfied with the sufficiency of the sureties, and the bond being filled up, let it be executed by the plaintiff and his two sureties. A precept or warrant is then made out, commanding one of the sheriff's officers to replevy the goods, and deliver them to the plaintiff; and also to summon the defendant to appear at the next county court, to answer the plaintiff for the taking, &c. (g). Upon this precept, the officer will replevy the goods, if found within the county, &c., the plaintiff, or some person in his behalf, accompanying him in order to identify them; and in doing this, the officer may use force if the distrainer make resistance, and may break open even the outer door of his dwelling-house, if the goods be there, having first signified the cause of his coming, and desired admittance (h). Care should be taken, in cases of distress for rent, to replevy before the expiration of five days inclusive after the distress made; otherwise the distrainer may sell the goods: though, indeed, they may be replevied at any time before they have been actually sold; and this, although after the five days (i). In all other cases*

Practical Directions how to obtain the Replevin.

(a) *Blackett v. Crisp*, 1 L. Raym. 278.

(b) See form of this bond, Chit. Forms, 413.

(c) *Short v. Hubbard*, 2 Bing. 349; 9 Moore, 657, S. C.

(d) *Dunbury v. Dun*, 10 Price, 54; and see *Short v. Hubbard*, 2 Bing. 349; 9 Moore, 657, S. C.

(e) *Austen v. Howard*, 7 Taunt. 28; and see *Id.* 327; 1 Moore, 68; 2 Marsh, 352, S. C.; and see *Hacker v. Gordon*, 1 C. &

M. 58.

(f) *Bulley v. Bailey*, 1 Bing. 92; 7 Moore, 431, S. C.

(g) See the form of this warrant, Chit. Forms, 416; and of the summons thereon, *Id.* 417.

(h) 2 Inst. 193, 140; see 2 Ro. Abr. 552: 20 H. 6, 28.

(i) See *ante*, 790: *Jacob v. King*, 1 Marsh, 135; 5 Taunt. 451, S. C.

BOOK III.
PART I.

of distress at common law, no time is limited for replevying because the distrainer cannot sell the distress.

Capias in
Withernam.

Capias in Withernam.] If the goods have been eloigned, that the sheriff cannot replevy them, then, upon plaint being levied in the county court by the plaintiff, the sheriff may issue a precept in the nature of a *capias in withernam*, commanding his officer to take goods or cattle of the defendant, to the value of those taken by him, and deliver them to the plaintiff; the plaintiff having first given him a bond with sureties, similar to that above mentioned, conditioned to prosecute his suit, and to return the goods so to be delivered to him, if a return of them should be afterwards adjudged. ...

2. Proceedings in the Inferior Court.

2. Proceedings
in the Inferior
Court.

After the goods have been replevied and delivered to the plaintiff, he must, according to the terms of the bond, levy his plaint at the next county court, and prosecute his suit with effect and without delay. On the plaint being levied, the defendant is summoned; and if the cause be not removed, the action proceeds to issue and trial in the ordinary way, in the inferior court. In the great majority of instances, the plaint is levied by lodging it at the office of the under-sheriff (*l*). If he do not levy his plaint at the next county court, or if he make default in any subsequent part of the proceedings, or if he not prosecute the suit with success, either in the county court or in this court, after the removal of the cause (*m*), the defendant may take an assignment of the replevin-bond, and may proceed thereon against the plaintiff and his pledges, in the same manner as a plaintiff proceeds upon a bail-bond (*n*). As to what will be a forfeiture of the replevin-bond, see post, 810. Until the plaint is entered, there is no commencement of the suit, of which a superior court can take notice (*o*). The entering of the plaint is the act of the party, and if no plaint be entered, the bond is forfeited (*p*). The act of the sheriff or his deputy, in entering the plaint in replevin, is merely ministerial; it has, therefore, been held, that although a sheriff or his deputy neglects to enter a plaint in replevin, the Court of Queen's Bench will not compel him to do so on motion; yet, perhaps, they would grant a *mandamus* to enter the plaint (*q*).

3. Removal of the Plaint to a superior Court.

3. Removal
of the Plaint.
In what Cases
removed.

In what Cases removed.] The suit may be prosecuted in the county court, however considerable the value of the goods may be (*r*). But if any right of freehold come in question, in the course of the proceedings in the county court, or ancient

(k) See form, Chit. Forms, 417: *Guthrie v. Holbrook*, 1 B. & P. 410.

(l) See form of plaint, Chit. Forms, 417.

(m) *Turner v. Turner*, 2 B. & B. 112; 4 Moore, 616, S. C.

(n) See ante, 793; and Vol. I. 540. See the form of the plaint, Chit. Forms, 417.

(o) *Tesserman v. Gildart*, 1 New Rep. 292.

(p) *Ex p. Boyle*, 2 D. & R. 13.

(q) *Ex p. Boyle*, 2 D. & R. 13: and see Harr. L. & T. 732.

(r) 25 H. 3, c. 21: 2 H. 7, 5 b: 2 Inst. 130.

demesne be pleaded (s); or, if the queen be a party, or the taking be in right of the crown (t), the sheriff cannot proceed in the cause. So if the defendant claim property in the goods, and on a writ *de proprietate probandâ* (u) they be found to be his, the sheriff can proceed no further, but must return the proceedings to the Queen's Bench or Common Pleas, to be there, if thought advisable, finally determined (x). So that it is usual in practice, in all cases, to remove the plaint as soon as it is levied, and before any proceedings are taken on it into one of the courts at Westminster, in the first instance.

Plaint how removed.] The plaint may be removed by writ *pone*, *recordari facias loquelam*, or *accedas ad curiam*, according to circumstances. It may be removed either by the plaintiff or defendant: by the plaintiff, at pleasure; by the defendant, upon reasonable cause (y). This assignment of cause by the defendant, however, is at present but matter of form; it is assigned in the writ of *recordari*, &c., and cannot be denied or traversed by the sheriff or plaintiff (z). Where an action of replevin is commenced in a court baron, cause must be assigned for removing it, whether removed by the plaintiff or defendant (a).

Plaint how removed.

If the goods have been replevied, by virtue of a *replegiariis*, (which is now rarely, if ever, the case), the plaint in a county court is removed by writ of *pone* (b). This is an original writ, obtained from the cursitor, bearing *teste* after the entry of the plaint in the county court, and returnable at a general return day in term, wheresoever &c.; but, if it happen to bear *teste* before the entry of the plaint, it is not material (c). The writ of *pone* is also the proper writ to remove all suits, which are before the sheriff by writ of *justicies*. In other respects, it does not differ from the writ of *recordari facias loquelam* (d).

By Writ of Pone.

If the goods have been replevied upon mere application to the sheriff, (as is now usually the case), without writ, the plaint is removed by writ of *recordari facias loquelam*. This is also an original writ, to be obtained from the cursitor, tested and returnable like the *pone* (e).

By Re. Fa. L.

If the plaint be levied in a court baron, it is removed by writ of *accedas ad curiam*. This is also an original writ, in every respect the same as the *recordari*, excepting that it directs the sheriff to go to the lord's court, and there cause the plaint to be recorded, and so to return it to the court above. This writ must, it seems, bear *teste* after the entry of the plaint, otherwise it will be bad (f).

By Accedas ad Curiam.

In no instance, where the plaint is in an inferior court, not of record, should the plaint be removed by *certiorari*; for, if so removed, as the plaintiff, in such case, is not bound to fol-

In Certiorari

(s) Finch, L. 317: 4 H. 6, 30: 2 H. 7, 6: Co. Lit. 145.

(t) Bro. Abr., Replevin, 3.

(u) See form, Chit. Forms, 417.

(v) See Harr. L. & T. 739: Bac. Abr., Replevin, (E 4).

(w) F. N. B. 60 M., 70 B.

(x) Talbot v. Blount, 8 Bing. 71: 1 M. & Scott, 148, & C.: Purves v. Ranton, 3 B. & Ad. 105. See form, Chit. Forms, 419.

(a) Reg. 85 b: F. N. B. 70 A; 2 Inst. 339: 27 H. 6, 3 b, 4 a: Doct. Pl. 356.

(b) F. N. B. 60 M.

(c) F. N. B. 71 D.

(d) Greenw. 22: Wats. Sheriff, 293. See form, Chit. Forms, 419.

(e) See the form, Chit. Forms, 419.

(f) F. N. B. 71 D: see also Gilb. Rep. 150. See the form, Chit. Forms, 424.

BOOK III.
PART I.

low the suit, the defendant could never *nonpross* his superior court for not declaring (*g*). But, where the suit is in an inferior court of record, it is remove *tiorari* (*h*). Where a defendant has erroneously su writ of *certiorari* instead of *pone* or *re. fa. lo.*, and l no steps upon it, the rule to quash it on his applicati solute in the first instance (*i*).

Writ, how
sued out and
returned.

In order to sue out any of these writs, *make ou cipe* (*j*); *take it to the cursitor, and upon telling him next county court will be holden, he will make out the wr take it to the office of the under-sheriff of the county, &c., allow and return it* (*k*). If the sheriff return the w the party may sue out an *alias*, &c. (*l*). If, to *accedas ad curiam*, the sheriff return that the lord r hold his court, a *distringas* then issues, comman sheriff to distrain the lord to hold his court; and aft *sicut alias*, &c. (*m*). If the sheriff do not return the &c., so as to enable you to file it, you should rul return it in the manner directed, *Vol. I. 549* (*n*).

When re-
turned and
filed.

When you have got the writ returned, file it with masters. The writ should be returned and filed wi terms at least after the writ is returnable; otherwis posite party may obtain a certificate from one of th that no such writ and return are filed, and the cur thereupon issue a writ of *procedendo*, by which the c be removed back to and proceeded in, in the inferior Or if either party, having sued out a *re. fa. lo.*, negl it, the other party, for the sake of expedition, may waiting till the end of the second term, sue out anc of the same nature, and get it returned and filed, f ing the proceedings into the court above (*p*). If th however, be filed, a writ of *procedendo* cannot, it see wards be had, unless, perhaps, where the cause was from a court of ancient demesne (*q*). If not filed t turn day, a notice of its being filed should be given t posite party (*r*).

Procedendo.

Effect of the
Writ.

The delivery of the writ to the sheriff stays al proceedings in the suit in the county court, even altl livered after interlocutory, provided it be before fir ment (*s*). If the sheriff proceed further, such s proceedings are void, and the sheriff is liable to a ment (*t*). The writ, however, removes the plaint not any of the subsequent proceedings; and the pla moved by it, although the plea in the court below been discontinued (*u*).

4. Proceedings
in the Super-
rior Court.
Appearance.

4. Proceedings in the Superior Court.

Appearance.] The defendant must enter his a

(*g*) *Clerk v. Mayor of Berwick*, 4 B. & C. 104; 7 D. & R. 104, S. C.; *Edwards v. Bowen*, 5 B. & C. 206; 7 D. & R. 709, S. C.

(*h*) *Wilk. Replevin*, 26.

(*i*) *Ruffman v. Thornwell*, 7 Dowl. 613.

(*j*) See the form, *Chit. Forms*, 418.

(*k*) See the form of the return, *Chit. Forms*, 420; and of the schedule to be annexed to the writ and return, *Id.*

(*l*) F. N. B. 70 B.

(*m*) F. N. B. 18 E.

(*n*) See *Bevan v. Prothe* 1151.

(*o*) 2 Sellon, 162; 1 Tidd, 4 of *procedendo*, *Chit. Forms*,

(*p*) *Id.*

(*q*) F. N. B. 69 M (a). *GI* 10, 11; *see quare*.

(*r*) See form, *Chit. Forms*,

(*s*) *Bevan v. Prothe*, 2 Bu

(*t*) F. N. B. 4 E.

(*u*) F. N. B. 71 A.

h one of the masters, before the plaintiff can declare in superior court, or the defendant rule him to do so. This shaly should be done on or before the *quarto die post* of return of the *recordari*, &c. *Make out a præcipe for the writ(s), and take it to one of the masters, who will there- enter the appearance.*

f the plaintiff wish to expedite the cause, and for that ppe to procure the defendant's appearance, *he should, me, obtain a rule to appear from one of the masters(x); r it with him, and serve a copy of it upon the defend-*

Appearance,
how com-
pelled.

This is usually done where the plaintiff's attorney the *re. fa. lo.* *This rule expires in four days; and is defendant have not entered his appearance within that then, if the plaint have been removed by the plaintiff by or recordari, sue out a pone per vadios with one of the rs(y), and get it sealed; upon which the sheriff will sum- the defendant(z). On the quarto die post of the return f the pone, search with the masters if the defendant have d an appearance; and if not, one of the masters will out a distringas(a), upon your furnishing him with a e; get the distringas sealed. This is a judicial writ, com- ng the sheriff to distrain the defendant by all his goods attela, so that he be before the queen on a general return heresoever &c., or in the Common Pleas, before the justices at Westminster, to answer the plaintiff of a &c.(b). It must bear *teste* in term time, be returnable neral return day, in the same or in the next term, and teen days between the *teste* and return. Care must be hat the defendant be correctly named in the writ, se the sheriff will not be justified in executing it(c). his writ to the sheriff's office, and obtain a warrant : give the same to your officer, who will thereupon lery of 40s.(d). If the defendant have not entered an nce on the *quarto die post* of the return of the *distrin-* the writ returned, and sue out an *alias*, and after that ; or if the sheriff return *nulla bona*, you may sue out m *distringas* into a different county(e). Upon suing *alias*, move the court to increase the issues, who will n grant a rule absolute in the first instance; draw up with one of the masters(f), annex a copy of it to the tringas, and leave it with the sheriff to be executed as rected. If, upon the *quarto die post* of the return of t, the defendant have not entered an appearance, sue uries *distringas*, and again move the court to increase s, which they will now order to the amount of the debt s; draw up the rule, and get the writ executed, as above . If, on the *quarto die post* of this writ, the defend- e not appeared, then, in pursuance of stat. 10 G. 3, c. e for a rule to shew cause "why the issues returned upon al writs of *distringas* should not be sold, and the monies*

orm of the *præcipe* for appear-
Forms, 423.

i, 9th ed., 416: Pr. Reg. 371:
if there be any such rule? (See
ns, 422).

the form, Chit. Forms, 423.
the form of the summons, Chit.
3.

(a) See the form, Chit. Forms, 423.

(b) Ib.

(c) *Cole v. Hindson*, 6 T. R. 234.

(d) See *Blowam v. Surtees*, 4 East, 162.

(e) Id. See the form of the *alias* and
pluries, Chit. Forms, 424; and of the *tes-*
tatum, Arch. Forms, 451.

(f) See the form, Arch. Forms, 451.

BOOK III.
PART I.

arising from the sale thereof should not be forthwith brought into court; and why it should not be referred to one of the masters to tax the plaintiff his costs, occasioned by his issuing out the said several writs; and why such costs, when taxed, should not be paid out of the monies so brought into court; and why the surplus of the said monies, after payment of the said costs, should not be retained in court, until the purpose of the said writs is answered" (g). Move this upon an affidavit, stating the issuing and returns of the writs of distringas, and that the defendant has not appeared (h). Draw up the rule, and serve a copy of it upon the sheriff. Afterwards, move to make the rule absolute; draw up the rule and serve a copy on the sheriff, at the same time shewing the original; and if he do not pay the money into court, move for an attachment. If the money be paid in, make out a bill of costs, and get it taxed; then take the rule and allocatur to one of the masters, and he will pay you the amount of the costs (i). You may afterwards proceed by distringas thus ad infinitum, applying from time to time to sell the issues for payment of costs, until the defendant appear (k); but if nulla bona be returned to the distringas, then sue out a capias, and so proceed to outlawry (l). If the plaint, however, have been removed by the defendant (m), or by the plaintiff by writ of accedas ad curiam (n), the first process after the rule to appear is the distringas, omitting the pone per vadios.

The Declaration.

The Declaration.] If the defendant come in upon any of these writs, and enter his appearance, the plaintiff may then deliver or file his declaration, as in ordinary cases (o).

Rule to Declare.

If the defendant wish to expedite the cause, then, on or after the quarto die post of the return of the recordari, having first entered an appearance with the masters, get a rule to declare from one of the masters (p), and serve a copy of it upon the plaintiff, or upon his attorney or agent, if the cause were removed by him. Such rule may be given within four days after the end of the term in which the writ is returned, or afterwards (q).

Rule for Time to Declare.

The plaintiff may, as in other cases, obtain a rule for time to declare (r), and the court will not, it seems, set the rule aside, and compel the plaintiff to declare sooner in this form of action than in another (s).

Form of Declaration.

As to the mode of framing the declaration in general, see 2 Chit. Pl. 6th ed. 602; 1 Id. 262. The declaration in replevin, where the suit is removed by *re. fa. lo.*, must, before the rule of H. T., 4 W. 4, r. 1, ante, 145, have been intitled, either of the term in which the writ was returnable, or of that in which it was delivered. If it was intitled of an intermediate term,

(g) See as to the form of the rule, Arch. Forms, 452.

(h) See the form, Arch. Forms, 451.

(i) See *Martin v. Townsend*, 5 Burr. 2725.

(k) See form of *alias* and *pluries*, Chit. Forms, 424.

(l) F. N. B. 70 A (a). See form of *capias*, Chit. Forms, 424.

(m) F. N. B. 70 A (a).

(n) *Thomson v. Jurkan*, 2 B. & P. 137.

(o) See form of declaration, Chit. Forms, 434; see *Topping v. Fuge*, 5 Taunt. 771; 1 Marsh. 341. & C.

(p) By R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 38, "it shall

not be necessary for a defendant, in any case, to give a rule to declare, except upon removals from inferior courts." (See the form of rule, Chit. Forms, 425).

(q) By R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 37, "where a cause has been removed from an inferior court, the rule to declare may be given within four days after the end of the term in which the writ is returned." Formerly, the rule might have been given in fourteen days after term. (*Edwards v. Dunch*, 11 East, 183).

(r) See ante, Vol. I. 138.

(s) *Craven v. Farasour*, 5 Taunt. 35.

Declaration.—Nonpros, &c.

it for want of a plea might, it seems, have been set. Since that rule, however, it is usual, in practice, to declare on the day it is filed or delivered, and this seems to be correct; but it has not been decided whether applicable to proceedings in replevin.

CH/
SEC

married woman whose husband lived abroad, renting in her own name, not stating whether she was married, having paid rent to A., of whom she took the goods, under a threat of distress, she was distrained upon for not paying the rent. She claimed to be the landlord, for the same rent. She brought a writ of replevin, and the defendant (the broker) pleaded that she was a married woman, and that the goods were her husband's. A judge at chambers made an order, at the plaintiff's request, that the proceedings should be amended by inserting the husband's name in the declaration, unless the defendant withdrew his pleas, and avow; in which case the plaintiff's writ should not be set up; it was held, that such an order could not be made without the defendant's consent, and in a case of obvious oppression, and the order was set aside.

Amend
of.

Writ for Want of Declaration, and subsequent Proceedings

In order to obtain a judgment of *nonpros* for not declaring, in addition to the above rule to declare, a written declaration should be served on the plaintiff or his agent (as the case may be) (x); and in four days after service of such rule and the making of such demand, if the plaintiff has not declared, the court may sign judgment of *nonpros* (y). It may be that the plaintiff is bound, as of course, to declare a year next after the return day of the *re. fa. lo.*, or within which the replevin suit is removed, otherwise he will be set out of court (z). Where a replevin suit was removed from an inferior jurisdiction into the Queen's Bench by writ, and the defendant signed judgment of *nonpros* for not declaring, the court held the judgment irregular, and refused to refer it to the master to tax the defendant his costs on the ground of the distinction between a *re. fa. lo.* and a writ, the former giving a day to the parties to appear, the latter none (a). *Sign the judgment of nonpros, and post, Book IV. Part I. Ch. 17.*

Nonpro
Want c
claratic
subsequ
Procees
thereon

Judgment of *nonpros* at common law is, that the defendant shall have a return of the goods replevied, and his writ of second writ.

Writ of
cond E
ance.

The plaintiff, however, is not prevented by this writ from proceeding, for he may sue out a writ of second writ (c); in execution of which, the sheriff must again deliver the goods from the defendant, and deliver them to the

q v. Fuge, 5 Taunt. 771. See
eration, Chit. Forms, 434.
ake v. Owen, 5 A. & E. 298.
T., 1 W. 4, r. 4, "no judg-
ment shall be signed for want
of declaration until four days next after
return of the writ shall have been made,
upon the plaintiff, his attor-
ney, or the case may be."
3. 70 A.: R. T., 1 W. 4: Ed-
ward, 11 East, 183.

(z) See ante, Vol. I. 137: *Norrish v. Richards*, 5 Nev. & M. 268; 1 H. & W. 437, S. C.

(a) *Clerk v. Mayor of Berwick*, 4 B. & C. 649.

(b) See the form, Chit. Forms, 426; and see form of writ *de retorno habendo* thereon, Id.; and of *fi. fa.* or *ca. au.* for the costs, Id. 427.

(c) 2 Inst. 340: Stat. Westm. 2, c. 2.

BOOK XII.
PART I.

plaintiff; or the writ will operate in the case of *sequestrations* of the writ *de retorno habendo*, if have not as yet been executed (d). If the plaintiff proceed thus, let an award of the writ of *sequestrations* entered upon the roll after the judgment of nonpross; sue out the writ with the cursor (f). The process in this writ are the same as in ordinary cases of *sequestrations*; the action is commenced by writ, as already said; if the defendant have judgment, either upon *verdict* or of *nonpross*, it is for a return irreplevinable; have a writ *de retorno habendo* (g); which being obtained, the plaintiff do not sue out a writ of *sequestrations* to the writ *de retorno habendo* the sheriff seize the goods, &c., are *sequestrated* (h), the defendant a *captias in withernam* (i), and after that an *assize* until it is executed (k). The *captias in withernam* in this case, is but *mesne process*, to compel the defendant to declare (l); and as soon as he has declared, he obtain a restitution of the goods taken under the writ (m).

Suggestion and Writ of Inquiry under 17 C. 2, s. 7, s. 2, after Nonpross for Want of Declaration.

But in cases where the replevin is of good rent, if the plaintiff be *nonprossed*, the defendant, after judgment for a return, (and which entered, although it is never executed) (n), n. c. 7, s. 2, make a suggestion on the roll, in *avowry* or *conusance*, and pray a writ of inquiry to the sheriff of the county where the distress inquired of the rent in arrear at the time of seizure also of the value of the distress. Then follow award of the writ of inquiry (of the execution days' notice must be given to the plaintiff) (o); then the sheriff's return of the quest; and, lastly, follows an entry of the inquest find the value of the distress more than the amount of the arrears of judgment shall be, that the defendant replevy such arrears, and full costs of suit; but if less than the arrears, then the judgment shall be for the value of the distress valued by the inquest, with costs of suit (p). The defendant may have judgment by *fiari facias*, *ca. sa.*, or *sc. facias*; or in course, have a writ *de retorno habendo* to take away or alter the judgment; which gives a further remedy to the avowant or election of the defendant, whether he

(d) *Arden*, Latch. 79; *Argoll v. Cheney*, Palm. 403; *Pratt v. Rastides*, 1 Salk. 96.

(e) See form of it, Chit. Forms, 498.

(f) See form, Chit. Forms, 497; and return thereto, *Id.* 422.

(g) 2 Inst. 341.

(h) See form, Chit. Forms, 497.

(i) *Id.* 422.

(k) See the form, Chit. Forms, 494.

(l) *Moor v. Watts*, 1 L. Raym. 614; 12 Mod. 493, 8 C.

(m) *Webb v. Hinde*, Noy, 50; and see *De la Bastide v. Raynes*, Comb. 301; *Moor v. Watts*, 2 Salk. 562.

(n) 117; 1

Cart.

(o)

Hind

(p)

eng

For

of

the

of

Nonpros.—Avowry.

ite or not (*s*). This mode of proceeding by writ of C₁
S
 ury under the statute is in many cases advisable, as a writ
 and deliverance after it would be inoperative, the goods
 remaining with the plaintiff (*t*). The court, in some
 under particular circumstances, will set aside this judg-
 of *nonpros*, &c., and let in the plaintiff to declare upon
 at of costs (*u*).

instead of executing a writ of inquiry, under this sta- Pro
on t
plev
 e defendant, having signed judgment of *nonpros*, in
 ere the replevin is of a distress for rent, may take an
 nt of the replevin-bond, and proceed upon it against
 tiff and his pledges (*x*).

.] When the plaintiff has delivered or filed his de- Avo
 let him give notice to the defendant to avow, in the
 rected, Vol. I. 152, as to the notice to plead; also let
 rule to avow with the masters in the manner directed,
 7, as to the rule to plead (*y*). Then demand an Hov
pella
 the same manner you demand a plea (*z*); and if the
 to not deliver his avowry or cognizance, &c., within the
 for that purpose, sign judgment by default, and ex-
 of inquiry, as directed ante, 702 (*a*). It is doubtful
 e enactment of 2 W. 4, c. 39, s. 11, as to pleading
 e 10th August and 24th October, and the rule of
 7. 4, r. 12, framed to meet it (*b*), or the rules of
 7. 4, as to pleadings, &c., in general, except the rules
 several avowries of the same kind being pleaded (*c*),
 replevin suit. It is the practice to treat them as

efendant plead, avow, or make cognizance, let the Hov
and
 ury, &c., if it conclude with a verification, be signed
 and delivered to the plaintiff's attorney or agent. If
 avow double, first obtain a judge's order for that pur-
 rected, Vol. I. 179. The pleading a double plea,
 conusance, without a rule for that purpose, would
 plaintiff to sign judgment (*d*). The plea of *cepit in*
 not a plea in abatement, but a plea in bar; and
 affidavit to verify it is not required (*e*).

Is the time for avowing or making a cognizance, &c., Tim
 must be to avow, &c., within four days, if the venue
 ndon or Middlesex, and the defendant reside within
 s of London; or within eight days, if the venue be
 othor county, or the defendant reside above twenty
 London (*f*); and in default of avowry, &c., the
 y sign judgment (*g*). In some cases, however, the
 s entitled to what is termed an *imparlance*, or, in
 , to a further time to plead, and which shall be
 l.

rgan, 3 T. R. 350.

. Sheering, 1 Vent. 64;
 i: *Comper v. Sherbrooke*,
 Villa, 116, S. C.

. Sheering, 1 Vent. 64.

Forms, 416: and see Tur-

B. & B. 107; 4 Moore,

ide 2 Tidd, 1056.

Forms, 434.

L. 158; and see Chit.

Forms, 39.

(a) See as to the forms of the judg-
 ment, &c., Chit. Forms, 434, 435.

(b) *Ante*, Vol. I. 153.

(c) *Ante*, Vol. I. 179.

(d) R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 1, s. 34, *ante*, Vol. I.

179.

(e) *Bullythorpe v. Turner*, Willes, 475.

(f) *Ante*, Vol. I. 153.

(g) R. T., 5 & 6 G. 2.

Imparlanee.] An imparlanee, or *licentia loquendi*, is a leave given by the court to the defendant to speak with the plaintiff, to see if they can end the matter in dispute amicably, without suit, if possible (*h*). But, in effect, it is time given to the defendant to plead. It is doubtful whether an imparlanee is now abolished in replevin as well as other suits; it would seem that it is, and at least it is the practice to consider it so. In this uncertainty, however, it is deemed proper to state the law upon the subject of imparlanees.

As regards the time given by his imparlanee, before the rules of *T. T.*, 1 *W. 4*, and *H. T.*, 2 *W. 4*, r. 3, if the process were returnable on or after the last general return of the term (*i*), or if the plaintiff had neglected to deliver his declaration, or to file it and give notice thereof, four days exclusive before the end of the term in which the process was returnable (*k*), the defendant was entitled to an imparlanee over to the next term after that in which the declaration was delivered or filed, and must have pleaded within the first four days thereof (*l*). But now, by the rule of *T. T.*, 1 *W. 4*, it is ordered, that upon every declaration delivered or filed on or before the *last day* of the term (*m*), the defendant, whether in or out of any prison, shall be compellable to plead as of such term without being entitled to any imparlanee. And by the more recent rule of *H. T.*, 2 *W. 4*, r. 3, in Hilary and Trinity terms, the plaintiff in a *country* cause may declare *de bene esse* within four days after the end of the term, as of such term. If not delivered or filed within this time, then it must be delivered or filed before the *first day* (*n*) of the subsequent term, or the defendant will be allowed to imparl to the third or subsequent term, and shall plead within the first four days thereof (*o*). But where the defendant does not appear on or before the last day of a term, he is not entitled to an imparlanee over to the third term, although the plaintiff do not declare before the first day of the second term; for the plaintiff cannot in replevin, nor is he obliged in any case to declare until the defendant is fully in court, and no *laches* can consequently be imputed to him for not declaring until after that time (*p*). For the same reason, if a joint writ issue against two defendants, and they appear in different terms, neither can claim an imparlanee upon the ground of the plaintiff's not having declared in the term in which the first defendant appeared; for he could not, in fact, have declared until both the defendants were before the court (*q*). Also, for the same reason, where the plaintiff is

(*h*) 3 Bl. Com. 298. According to the decision of Taunton, J., (in *Fream v. Chaplin*, 2 Dowl. 523), it would seem that the right to an imparlanee still exists in all cases, and is not confined to an action of replevin or suits removed from inferior courts, &c.; but that decision was overruled in *Wigley v. Thomas*, 3 Dowl. 8.

(*i*) See R. T., 5 & 6 G. 2: R. T., 22 G. 3.

(*k*) See R. T., 5 & 6 G. 2 b: R. T., 22 G. 3.

(*l*) 2 Saund. 2.

(*m*) See *Edenaur v. Hoffman*, 2 C. & J. 140.

(*n*) Before the 11 G. 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 70, it must have been so filed or delivered

before the *essoign* day; but that act, it seems, has done away with that day for all purposes, as part of the term. (See *Price v. Hughes*, 1 Dowl. 448).

(*o*) 2 Saund. 2: see *Whalley v. Barnet*, 1 Dowl. 607.

(*p*) *Smith v. James*, 6 T. R. 752: *Wood v. Wenman*, 1 Wils. 154: *Winter v. Barnes*, 9 D. & R. 18: *Rollston v. Scott*, 5 T. R. 362: *Cook v. Allen*, 1 C. & M. 350; and see *Bailey v. Huntley*, 2 B. & P. 126: and vide *Thompson v. Jordan*, 2 B. & P. 137.

(*q*) Tidd, 9th ed. 467: *R. v. Stiff of London*, in *Day v. Morley*, 1 Chit. Rep. 359: see *Smith v. Muller*, 3 T. R. 626, 627.

from declaring the same term the writ is returnable, being obliged to proceed to outlawry against some defendants, the others who have been served or arrested entitled to an impar lance (r). So, if by any other defendant's, the plaintiff is prevented from declaring the defendant shall not be entitled to an impar-

defendant plead without *impar lance*, and his plea be so that the plaintiff signs judgment for want of a court will not set aside the judgment for being signed for, by pleading, the defendant waived his right to *impar lance* (r). Taking out a summons for time to plead, which the plaintiff indorses his consent, or obtaining an *arrestion*, is a waiver of the right to an *impar lance* (u). *Impar lance* thus obtained is a *general* impar lance; but defendant may have a *special* impar lance, when necessary, of the court, obtained by a side-bar rule for that purpose or a *general special* impar lance, under particular circumstances, by moving the court for it within the first four of the next term (z).

Waived by Pleading.

General impar lance is a leave to impar lance generally to the term, without any saving of exceptions; and may be obtained as of course, in all cases where the defendant is entitled to it (a). After a general impar lance, the defendant is not only in bar, and not in abatement (b); or to the motion, or any other dilatory plea; nor can he claim a return or demand *oyer* (c). Formerly, it was holden that a defendant could not plead a tender, although latterly the law has been considered to be otherwise (d); still, however, it seems, that a court or a judge's order must be obtained for leave to plead as of the preceding term (e); so that, upon the face of the declaration, it may not appear to be pleaded after an impar lance. A declaration be filed or delivered so late that the defendant is not bound to plead until the next term, the defendant may plead as of the preceding term, within the first four of the next term, any plea to the jurisdiction or in bar, or a tender, or any other similar plea (f).

General Impar lance, what, and Effect of.

Special impar lance contains a saving of all exceptions to the bill, or count (g); and is necessary where the defendant intends to plead in abatement, of a term subsequent to the declaration (h). It cannot be had without leave of the court, obtained by a side-bar rule for that purpose (k). In a special impar lance the defendant cannot plead to the jurisdiction, or a plea of privilege; but he must obtain a *special impar lance* to enable him to do so (l).

Special Impar lance, what, and Effect of.

v. *Bredley*, E., 18 G. 3: *Codman*, M. 1797; MS., B. 1211.
r. *Fogel*, 2 B. & Ald 300; 1 S. C.: *Rooke v. Leicester* 16.
v. *Mackreth*, 5 T. R. 681.
v. *Hoffman*, 2 C. & J. 5 A.: 2 Saund. 2 a.
d. 2 a, b: Tidd, 9th ed. 467.
e form of entering it, Arch.
r. *Williams*, 2 M. & Sel. 484:
v. *Wren*, 6 T. R. 369.
d. 2.

(d) 1 Saund 33 b: 2 Saund. 2.
(e) *King v. Nichols*, Barnes, 343, 347, 349: and see *Kilwick v. Maidman*, 1 Burr. 50.
(f) R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 45: 1 Salk. 347.
(g) See the form of entering it, Arch. Forms, 288, 289.
(h) 2 Saund. 2: *Doughty v. Lascelles*, 4 T. R. 520: *Blackmore v. Flemmyng*, 7 Id. 477, n.
(i) R. E., 5 A.
(k) See the form of the rule, Arch. Forms, 287.
(l) 2 Saund. 2 b: *Godfrey v. Jay*, 6 Bling. 616; 1 Moo. & P. 440, S. C. The

BOOK III.
PART I.General Special Impar-
lance.

The *general special imparlance* contains a saving of all advantages and exceptions whatsoever. The entry of it is the same as that of the special imparlance, excepting that, instead of the saving in the latter, you insert the words "saving to himself all advantages and exceptions whatsoever." This kind of imparlance is necessary, where the defendant intends to plead to the jurisdiction(*m*), or to plead his privilege(*n*) of a term subsequent to the declaration. It is obtained by application to the court, within the first four days of the next term. It is in the discretion of the court, however, governed by the particular circumstances of the case, to grant it or not(*o*); they will not grant it, for instance, if the defendant have appeared by attorney(*p*), because a plea to the jurisdiction must be pleaded in person.

What may be
Pleaded after.

If the defendant plead in abatement after the general imparlance, or to the jurisdiction after a special imparlance, plaintiff may either sign judgment(*q*), (except in a questionable case(*r*)), or apply to the court to set aside the plea, or may demur generally(*t*), or may allege the imparlance in his replication, by way of *estoppel*(*u*); but if, instead of doing so, the plaintiff reply to the plea, the fault is cured(*s*). Or, if the imparlance be obtained irregularly,—as, if a special imparlance be entered without a side-bar rule first obtained for that purpose, or a general special imparlance without leave first obtained of the court upon motion,—it should seem that the plaintiff may sign judgment(*y*).

Plea in Bar.

Plea in Bar.] As soon as the defendant has avowed, &c., he may rule the plaintiff to plead, in the same manner as directed *ante*, Vol. I. 195, as to the rule to reply; and if the plaintiff do not plead within the time limited by the rule, and four days after demand of plea, the defendant may sign judgment of *nonpros*. It is doubtful whether the enactment of the 2 W. 4, c. 39, s. 11, as to pleading between the 10th August and 24th October, and the rule of M. T., 3 W. 4, r. 12, framed to meet it(*z*), or the rules of H. T., 4 W. 4, as to pleading &c. in general, apply to a replevin suit: it is the practice to treat them as so applicable, and such practice seems to be correct.

The Plea,
how framed
and delivered.

If the plaintiff plead to the avowry or conusance, *let the plea, if it conclude with a verification, be signed by counsel; engross it on plain paper, and deliver it to the opposite attorney or agent(a)*. If the plaintiff plead double, (which he may do, 4 A. c. 16, s. 4), a judge's order must first be obtained for that purpose, as directed, Vol. I. 179; and, if he pleaded double without a rule for that purpose, defendant might sign judgment of *nonpros*(*b*).

court, in the last case, thought the plaintiff ought to have demurred, and not signed judgment for want of a plea.

(*m*) 2 Saund. 2 b.

(*n*) Hardr. 365: 1 Lutw. 46: 12 Mod. 529: Gilb. C. B. 210, 211.

(*o*) 2 Saund. 2 b.

(*p*) *Grant v. Soudes*, 2 W. Bl. 1094: 2 Saund. 2 B

(*q*) *Doughty v. Lascelles*, 4 T. R. 520: *Blackmore v. Fleming*, 7 T. R. 447, n.

(*r*) *Codefray v. Jay*, 4 Moo. & P. 440; 6 Bing. 616, S. C.

(*s*) 2 Saund. 3: *Buddle v. Wilson*, 6 T. R. 373.

(*t*) *Lloyd v. Williams*, 2 M. & Sel. 484: *Buddle v. Wilson*, 6 T. R. 369.

(*u*) Clift. 18, pl. 46: 19, pl. 50: 20, pl. 53, 54: *Bartlett v. Burton*, 1 Lutw. 23.

(*x*) *Ducres v. Duncomb*, 1 Vent. 236.

(*y*) See 2 Saund. 2 b.

(*z*) *Ante*. Vol. I. 196.

(*a*) See form. Chit. Forms, 443.

(*b*) *Id.* R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 1, s. 31, *ante*, Vol. I. 179.

Plea in Bar.—Nonpros.—Issue, &c.

If the grantee of a rent-charge avow upon several under-
 tenants for the same rent, the court will, upon a tender
 made by the under-tenants, make an order, that the pay-
 ment of the rent into court in one action shall serve for all (c).
 Although a party cannot proceed for damages on a plea of
 tender, after taking the money out of court, yet, on a plea of
 tender to an avowry for rent, the plaintiff need not bring the
 money into court (d).

CHAP
SECT
Tender.

Nonpros for Want of Plea in Bar.] The judgment of *nonpros*
 in this case, at common law, is, that the defendant shall have
 a return of the goods (e). But, if the distress were for rent,
 customs, services, or damage *feasant*, the defendant shall have
 judgment for his damages (f); and, consequently, after the
 entry of the judgment of *nonpros* on the roll, follow the award
 of a writ of inquiry to ascertain the damages, (in the same
 manner as in ordinary cases upon a judgment by default), the
 sheriff's return of the inquest, and final judgment (g). In this
 case, also, the plaintiff may sue out a writ of second deliver-
 ance (h); but it will be a *supersedeas* of the writ *de retorno*
habendo only, and not of the writ of inquiry (i).

Nonpros
Want of

But, if the replevin were of a distress for rent, the defendant
 may enter his judgment, and execute a writ of inquiry, under
 17 C. 2, c. 7, s. 2, in the manner directed *ante*, 800, for
 that of a declaration, excepting that the entry of a sug-
 gion, in nature of an avowry, must, of course, be omitted:
 you therefore enter the prayer for the writ of inquiry,
 immediately after the judgment for a return (k).

Suggesti
and Inq

Or the defendant, instead of executing a writ of inquiry,
 after signing judgment of *nonpros*, take an assignment
 of the replevin-bond, and proceed thereon (l).

Procedi
on Bond

Issue.] Let the issue be drawn up and delivered as directed,
 I. 199, &c.; but let the form of it be as directed *ante*,
 757, with reference to proceedings by original, in eject-
 ment, &c. (m). Either plaintiff or defendant may make it up,
 and enter it when necessary, both parties in replevin being
 equal actors (n).

Issue.

Proceedings on Demurrer.] The proceedings upon demurrer
 in replevin are the same as in ordinary cases; the only thing
 necessary to be mentioned here is the judgment. At common
 law the judgment for the defendant is, that he have a return
 of the goods irreplevisable (o). But, if the distress were for

Procedi
on Dem

see, L. Raym. 429.
 all N. P. 60: Woodf. L. & T. by
 n, 769.

see the form, Chit. Forms, 438;
 writ *de retorno habendo* thereon, Id.
 of *fi. fa.* or *ca. sa.* for costs, Id.

1 H. 8, c. 19.

see the form, Chit. Forms, 439; of
 inquiry thereon, Id. 440; of no-
 inquiry, Id.; of inquisition, Id.;
 y thereof upon the roll, Id.; of
retorno habendo thereon, Id.; and
 t. or *ca. sa.* for damages and costs,

see form, Chit. Forms, 437.

(i) Anon., Latch, 72: *Argoll v. Cheney*,
 Palm. 403: *Pratt v. Rutledge*, 1 Salk. 95.

(k) See the form of the entry, Chit.
 Forms, 442; and of writ of inquiry, Id.;
 of notice of inquiry, Id. 443; of the inqui-
 sition, Id.; of entry thereof upon the roll,
 Id.; and of *fi. fa.* or *ca. sa.* thereon, Id.
 And see *Turner v. Turner*, 2 B. & B.
 107; 4 Moore, 606, S. C.

(l) *Waterman v. Yea*, 2 Wils. 41: *Turner v. Turner*, 2 B. & B. 107; 4 Moore,
 606, S. C.: *ante*, 801.

(m) See as to the form, Chit. Forms,
 448.

(n) Vol. I. 203.

(o) 14 H. 7, 9 b: 2 Inst. 340: Co. Ent.

BOOK III.
PART I.

Inquiry as to
Arrears of
Rent after
Judgment for
Defendant.

Judgment for
Plaintiff.

Staying Pro-
ceedings on
Payment into
Court, &c.
By Plaintiff.

By Defen-
dant.

Discontinuing
—Withdraw-
ing Plea in
Bar, &c.

rent, customs, services, or damage *feasant* (p), an inquiry of damages and costs is awarded (q). The defendant thereupon sues out a *retorno habendo*, and an inquiry of damages, either in the same writ (r), or in separate writs (s); and upon the return of the writ of inquiry, final judgment is entered to recover, as well the damages and costs assessed by the jury as the costs assessed by the court (t). No writ of second deliverance lies after judgment upon demurrer.

Or, if the distress were for rent, then, after judgment given for the avowant, or person making conuassance, the court may award a writ of inquiry, to inquire of the value of the distress (of the execution of which writ of inquiry fifteen days' notice must be given to the plaintiff's attorney or agent (u)); and upon the return thereof, if the value of the distress be greater than the amount of the rent in arrear, the defendant shall have judgment to recover the arrears, and full costs; but, if the value of the distress be less than the arrears, then he shall have judgment to recover the value of the distress, and full costs (x). The stat. 17 C. 2, c. 7, s. 3, does not require, in this case, that the inquiry shall be as to the arrears of rent (y). In this case, no writ *de retorno habendo* issues.

The judgment for plaintiff, on demurrer, is the same as in the action of trespass (z).

Staying Proceedings on Payment into Court, &c.] If the defendant avow or make conuassance for rent, the court, upon application by the plaintiff, will stay the proceedings, upon the rent and all the costs up to that time being paid into court (a). But they will not do so, where the damages are unliquidated,—as, where the defendant avows &c. for damage *feasant* (b).

Upon the application of the defendant, also, even before the 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, s. 21, the court have stayed the proceedings, upon payment of the costs of the action and of the costs of replevying, and upon giving up the replevin-bond, where no special damage was laid in the declaration (c); and since that act money may be paid into court as in other cases (d).

Discontinuing—Withdrawing Plea in Bar, &c.] The defendant cannot have a rule to discontinue, &c., for though he be an actor in the suit, yet still it is the plaintiff's suit (e). The court will not, after issue joined upon a plea in bar, suffer the plea to be withdrawn, and the avowry confessed,

591 a. And see the form, Chit. Forms, 444.

(p) 21 H. B. c. 12.

(q) See as to the form, Chit. Forms, 444.

(r) See the form, Thes. Brev. 220.

(s) See the forms, Lil. Ent. 600; Chit. Forms, 444, 445.

(t) 1 Saund. 196, n.

(u) *Burton v. Hickey*, 1 Marsh. 444; 6 Taunt. 57, S. C.

(x) 17 C. 2, c. 7, s. 3. See the forms, Chit. Forms, 445, 446. And see 1 Saund. 196; 2 Id. 200.

(y) See 2 Saund. 286.

(z) See ante, 665.

(a) *Fornes v. Wynne*, 1 H. Bl. 26; *Hopkins v. Shrode*, 1 B. & P. 322; and see *Doris v. Prince*, Barnes, 429. In two recent instances judges at chambers have allowed the payment into court of part of the rent distrained for, making an order that defendant proceed at the peril of costs if he does not prove a greater sum due.

(b) *Anon.*, 8 Mod. 378.

(c) *Banks v. Broad*, 3 M. & Sel. 281; see *Hodgkinson v. Gibson*, 3 B. & P. 605, *confr.*

(d) See post, Book IV. Part I. Ch. 2.

(e) *Long v. Duckridge*, 1 Str. 112.

not consent, for the avowant would lose his costs(*f*). CHAP. II.
SECT. 2.
either as to discontinuing, *post*, Book IV. Part I. Ch. 19.

[*et*, &c.] After giving notice of trial, the plaintiff (or, if he Trial, &c.
to do it, the defendant) may make up the *Nisi Prius*
as directed ante, 758, with reference to proceedings in
it by original, and sue out jury process, enter the cause
al, and proceed to verdict or nonsuit, as in ordinary
l). Inasmuch as both parties in replevin are deemed
when the record is carried down for trial by the de-
; it is not necessary to have the proviso in the *distrin-*
in cases of trial by proviso(*h*), although, in practice, it
ly inserted(*i*). For the same reason, the defendant,
vin, cannot have judgment as in case of a nonsuit(*k*);
either plaintiff or defendant give notice of trial, and
do not proceed to try the cause, or countermand
tice in time, the opposite party will be entitled to
in ordinary cases(*l*).

rdict be found for the plaintiff, the jury assess the The Verdict.
(*m*), as in a verdict for plaintiff in trespass, &c.(*n*).
found for the defendant, the jury, at common law,
ssues specially for the defendant, and the judgment
e have a return of the goods irreplevisable(*o*). But,
stress were for rent, customs, services, or damage
hen the jury may assess damages for the defend-
and the judgment then is, not only for a return of
, but for the damages and costs also(*q*). Or, if the
ere for rent, and the defendant wish that the finding
according to the 17 C. 2, c. 7, s. 2, the jury find the
f the rent in arrear, and the value of the things dis-
and the defendant shall have judgment for such
r so much thereof as the value of the goods or cattle
amount to together with full costs, and shall
xution thereupon(*r*). If the jury, in finding a
enerally for defendant, omit to assess damages ac-
the statutes of H. 8, the omission may be supplied
of inquiry(*s*). But, if the jury find according to
. 2, c. 7, s. 2, an omission in their verdict cannot be
by such writ(*t*); although, in such a case, the court
obably allow the defendant to enter his judgment for
at common law, or allow him to amend it, if already

Dig., Pleader, 3 K. 20.

to the form of *Nisi Prius*

. Forms, 448; and of jury

. Banks, 2 Salk. 652.

. Concannon, 3 T. R. 661:

mart, 1 W. Bl. 375.

v. Concannon, 3 T. R. 601:

Hiern, 5 T. R. 400: Eggle-

1 W. Bl. 375.

ok IV. Part I. Ch. 23, 24.

ly, no more than the costs

in-bond (about (4l. 4s.) are

ages. But special damages,

the declaration, may, it

be recovered.

m of the *postea*, Chit. Forms,

judgment and execution, Id.

forms of *postea*, &c., on this

. Forms, 450, 451.

c. 4, s. 3: 21 H. 8, c. 19, s. 3.

(*q*) See form of *postea*, Chit. Forms,
450; judgment, Id.; writ *de retorno ha-*
bendo, Id.; *fl. fm.* or *ca. aa.* for damages
and costs, Id. 453.

(*r*) See form of *postea*, Chit. Forms,
453; judgment, Id.; and execution, Id.
See *Turner v. Turner*, 2 B. & B. 107; 4
Moore, 6 W. Bl. S. C.

(*s*) *Herbert v. Waters*, Carth. 362; 1
Salk. 205, S. C.; *Pratt v. Rutledge*, Id.
95; *Harcourt v. Weeks*, 5 Mod. 77; *De-
vill v. Marshall*, 2 W. Bl. 921; 3 Wils. 442,
S. C.

(*t*) *Sheape v. Culpeper*, 1 Lev. 255; 1
Sid. 380; T. Raym. 170; 1 Vent. 40, S. C.;
Herbert v. Waters, 1 Salk. 205; 2 L.
Raym. 59, S. C.; *Kinaston v. Mayor of
Shrewsbury*, Hardw. 297, 298; 2 Str.
1052, S. C.; *Ross v. Morgan*, 3 T. R. 349;
see *Freeman v. Atcher*, 2 W. Bl. 763.

BOOK III.
PART I.

entered(*u*); or, if the jury have assessed damages, by the amount of the rent, the defendant may have leave to enter his judgment, as a judgment under stat. 21 H. 19(*x*).

Judgment for
Defendant on
Nonsuit.

If the plaintiff be nonsuit, the defendant, at common law, has judgment to have a return of the goods(*y*). But, if the distress were for rent, customs, services, or damage *feasant*, then the jury may inquire of the defendant's damages, and the judgment is then not only for a return of the goods, but for the damages and costs also(*a*). Or, if the distress were taken for rent, then, at the prayer of the defendant, the jury shall inquire of the amount of the arrears, and the value of the distress(*b*), in the same manner as where a verdict is given for the defendant; and he shall have judgment to cover the arrears and his costs, if the value of the distress be found to equal or exceed such arrears; but, if the value of such distress do not equal the arrears, then he shall have judgment to recover the value of the distress and his costs.

Second Deliv-
erance after.

As the judgment at common law in this case is no return of the goods irreplevisable, the plaintiff may sue for a writ of second deliverance, and proceed upon it, as meretricious, ante, 799. This writ will be a *supersedeas* of the writ of *retorno habendo*; but the defendant is not precluded from levying the damages and costs awarded to him by the judgment.

New Trial.

New Trial.] In replevin, where the verdict is for the plaintiff, the court will not, in general, grant a new trial, even on payment of costs, without very clear ground that the landlord has other remedies for his rent, and a new trial would renew the liability of the sureties, and the plaintiff run the risk of paying double costs(*c*). See further as to new trials, *post*, Book IV. Part I. Ch. 27.

Costs.

Costs.] If the plaintiff have a verdict, he is entitled to a judgment of increase, by the stat. of Gloucester, (6 Edw. 1, c. 1, s. 1) in the same manner as in all other actions in which a plaintiff recovers damages(*d*).

So, if the defendant, in replevin, or second deliverance, making avowry, cognizance, or justification for rent, customs, or services, or for damage *feasant*, have a verdict, and the plaintiff be nonsuit or otherwise barred, he is entitled to a judgment by 7 H. 8, c. 4, s. 3, & 21 H. 8, c. 19, s. 3(*e*). And by C. 2, c. 7, s. 2, in replevin of a distress for rent, if the defendant have judgment upon this act, he shall have full costs. And, lastly, where the distress is for rent, relief,

(*u*) *Rees v. Morgan*, 3 T. R. 349: *Herbert v. Waters*, Carth. 362: *Sheape v. Culpeper*, ante, 807, n. (f).

(*x*) *Gamon v. Jones*, 4 T. R. 509.

(*y*) See form of the *postea*, judgment, and writ *de retorno habendo*, Chit. Forms, 454.

(*z*) 21 H. 1, c. 19, s. 3.

(*a*) See form of *postea*, Chit. Forms, 454; judgment, *Id.* 455; and execution, *Id.*; and see *Turner v. Turner*, 2 B. & B. 107; 4 Moore, 606, 616, S. C.

(*b*) 17 C. 2, c. 7, s. 2.

(*c*) *Parry v. Duncan*, 7 Bing. Moo. & P. 19, S. C.

(*d*) See *Butterton v. Farber*, 1 B. & B. 517; 4 Moore, 296, S. C.

(*e*) See *Turner v. Galhies*, Ha. 122, S. C.: *Smith v. Walker*, 2 L. Raym. 71, 122, S. C.: *Hasslop v. Chaplin*, 330: *Samuel v. Hoder*, Cro. J. 330: *Porter v. Wray*, Cro. El. 301: *James*, 1 T. R. 371: *Butterton v. Farber*, 1 B. & B. 517.

her service, (not a rent-charge) (*f*), the defendant avow-
ing making cognizance, in replevin, shall have *double* costs
if, if the plaintiff be nonsuit, discontinue his action, or
judgment given against him (*g*). Such double costs are
paid by giving the defendant, first, the whole of his single
including expenses of witnesses, counsel, fees, &c., and
half of that amount (*h*). No suggestion is, it seems,
made to entitle the defendant to these (*i*).

As to costs generally, see *post*, Book IV. Part I. Ch. 30. It
does not appear that there is any difference as to the mode of
proceeding between a replevin and any other suit (*k*).

[*Execution.*] The execution for the plaintiff is the same as Execution.
in ordinary cases, where a plaintiff has a judgment for damages For Plaintiff.
costs, namely, by *fieri facias*, *ca. sa.*, or *elegit* (*l*). It may
be questionable, however, whether these writs can be made
returnable *immediately after the execution* thereof, as the Uni-
versity of Process Act, and the statute of 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 67,
passed to amend it, do not, perhaps, extend to a replevin

The practice is to make them so returnable.
If the defendant have judgment under stat. 17 C. 2, c. 7, For Defen-
over the arrears of rent, or value of the distress, he shall dant.
have execution by *fieri facias*, *ca. sa.*, or *elegit* (*m*).

When the defendant has judgment at common law, he Writ de Re-
may have execution by a writ *de retorno habendo*, to have a torno Ha-
return of the things distrained, and a *fieri facias* or *ca. sa.* for bendo.
costs (*n*). Or, if the defendant have judgment, under
stat. 1 H. 8, c. 19, he shall have a writ *de retorno habendo*
return of the goods; and also a *fi. fa.* or *ca. sa.* for his
costs or costs (*o*). It seems the writ *de retorno habendo*,
fi. fa. or *ca. sa.* for the damages and costs, may be in-
cluded in one writ. The sheriff is not bound to execute a
de retorno habendo, unless some person attend on behalf
of the defendant, to shew him the goods; and, it will be a
return to the writ, to say, that no person did attend (*p*).
The practical directions as to the mode of suing out and
returning these writs of *fieri facias*, *ca. sa.*, or *elegit*, ante,
p. 419, 420, 440, 449.

As to the *retorno habendo*, the sheriff return that the goods, Proceedings
are eloigned (that is, conveyed to places unknown to on Return of
so that he cannot execute the writ), the defendant may Elongata.
sue out a *capias in withernam* (*q*), requiring the sheriff to
seize other cattle &c. of the plaintiff, to the value of the cattle
eloigned, and deliver them to the defendant, to be kept by
him until the plaintiff should deliver to him the cattle &c.

Leominster Canal Company v. M. & R. 128, S. C.
1 B. & P. 213; 7 T. R. 500, S. C. (k) See *Spencer v. Hamerton*, 4 A. & E.
G. 2, c. 19, s. 29: see *Lloyd v.* 413.
Barnes, 148; 2 Wils. 28, S. C.: (l) See the form, Chit. Forms, 148 to
v. Collins, Willes, 429; *Gurney v.* 200. See generally, Vol. 1. 395 to 456.
1 B. & Ald. 670; *Johnson v. Law-* (m) See the forms, Chit. Forms, 433.
ling, 341; 9 Moore, 642, S. C.: (n) See the forms, Chit. Forms, 427,
as to costs upon double pleadings, see 438, 452, 454.
Jedreth, 2 T. R. 235: and see (o) See the form, Chit. Forms, 427,
Part I. Ch. 30. 450, 451, 452.
Milnes v. Ludlam, 4 B. & C. 809; (p) 2 Saund. 74 b, c.
1 A. 484, S. C. (q) *Anon.*, 2 Leon. 174. See the form,
Wells v. Ody, 3 Dowl. 800; 2 C., Chit. Forms, 428, 441.

BOOK III.
PART I.

originally replevied. If this writ be returned *nihil*, the defendant may sue out an *alias*, and after that a *pluries*; and the *pluries* be returned *nihil*, the defendant may then sue a *scire facias* against the plaintiff's pledges, to shew cause the price of the cattle &c. eloigned should not be made their lands and goods, and rendered to the defendant. If cause be shewn to this *scire facias*, a writ issues to take cattle &c. of the pledges. But if they have none, and the sheriff return *nihil* to the writ, the defendant may then sue a *scire facias* against the sheriff himself, requiring him to shew cause why he shall not render to the defendant &c. to the value of those eloigned (*r*). Or the defendant may, if it should seem, proceed against the pledges by default, by the *scire facias* above mentioned. Or, which is much the easier and least circuitous method, if the sheriff have not taken the pledges, or the pledges be insufficient, the defendant, on the return of the *elongata*, may bring an action on the writ against the sheriff, and recover damages, whether a *scire facias* have issued against the pledges or not (*s*).

5. *Proceedings against the Sureties in the Replevin-bond.*

5. Proceedings against the Sureties in the Replevin-bond.

We have seen, *ante*, 792, that the sheriff in every case for a distress for rent is bound to take from the party distraining, a bond, with sureties, to prosecute the replevin with effect and without delay, and for returning the goods so distrained, if a return be awarded. We shall now proceed to consider how that bond may be forfeited, and what proceedings may be taken thereon, against the sureties, by the plaintiff in the replevin suit.

Replevin-bond, when and how forfeited.

Replevin-bond, when and how forfeited.] The replevin bond is forfeited by not prosecuting the replevin suit with effect, as well as by making default in the prosecuting of it. If the plaintiff, after taking the bond, does not prosecute the replevin, you may sue the pledges on their bond, or the sheriff, for not taking pledges or not taking sufficient pledges, by suing out a *retorno habendo* (*t*); unless in the case of a *damage feasant* (*u*). The plaintiff in replevin, by appearing in the county court immediately succeeding the execution of the replevin-bond, and then entering his plea there, creates a forfeiture of the bond (*x*). So the bond may be forfeited if the plaintiff delay, or does not use due diligence in prosecuting the suit; as, if he delay proceeding more than a year (*y*), or even for a less time, and though the suit be determined (*z*). The bond may be forfeited notwithstanding the removal of the cause into the superior court (*a*). The replevin bond is not forfeited by the plaintiff's not declaring in the county court, if the defendant has not appeared there.

(*r*) *Trevars v. Michelborne*, Hut. 77; 1 Saund. 195, n. (3).

(*s*) 16 Vin. Abr. 399, 400: *Richards v. Acton*, 2 W. Bl. 1220: *Tesseyman v. Gildart*, 1 New Rep. 292: *Page v. Farmer*, 1 B. & P. 378: and see *Turner v. Turner*, 2 B. & P. 107; 4 Moore, 606, 616, S. C.

(*t*) *Porreau v. Bevan*, 8 D. & Ry. 72: *Morgan v. Griffith*, 7 Mod. 381.

(*u*) *Hucker v. Gordon*, 1 C. & D.

(*x*) *Dias v. Freeman*, 5 T. R.

(*y*) *Axford v. Perrett*, 1 Moo. 4 Bing. 586, S. C.: and see *Diamond v. Mann*, 5 T. R. 195.

(*z*) *Harrison v. Wardle*, 5 B. & C. 146.

(*a*) *Gwillim v. Hollbrook*, 1 B. & C. 41: *Waterman v. Yea*, 2 Wils. 41.

ons(b). And if the plaintiff enter his plaint, and be restrained by injunction till his death, whereby abates, the bond will not be forfeited (c). So, if he dies before the termination of the suit, it will not be forfeited (c).

CHAP. II.
SECT. 2.

ent of, and Action on the Bond.] The sheriff is by the statute 11 G. 2, c. 19, s. 23, to assign the avowant, or person making cognizance (d), in the manner as a bail-bond is assigned; and the party afterwards bring an action on the bond, if forfeited, in his own name; and the court may, by rule, give such relief to him as may be agreeable to justice and reason. The defendant is liable to an action on the case if he refuse to assign, and this liability extends to a bond in a replevin of a damage feasant(e). The bond may be assigned exclusive after the time limited therein for the defendant to prosecute his suit (f).

Assignment of, and Action on the Bond.

Assignment, how and when made.

It may be assigned to both the avowant and the defendant making cognizance, and they may sue upon it jointly, where the avowant was the person really interested, or the defendant making cognizance a mere man of straw, the bond may be assigned to the avowant only(h). Where no avowant, the bond may be assigned to the defendant making cognizance(i). Where the plaintiff neglects to prosecute his suit in the court below, the defendant is entitled to an assignment of the bond, though he has not avowed cognizance(k).

To whom.

An action may be commenced by the assignee immediately on the assignment and forfeiture of the bond. This remedy is provided by the 17 C. 2, c. 7, notwithstanding defendant's plea under that act(l). The action may be brought in the superior courts of law, though the replevin suit commenced further than in the county court(m). And by *re. fa. lo.*, it may (notwithstanding an old plea to the contrary) be brought in any of the superior courts, though it is not confined to the court in which the replevin is returnable(n).

Action, when and in what Court brought.

Or a judge will order the proceedings in an action on the bond to be stayed on payment into court of the value of the goods distrained and costs(o). Or if the value of the goods exceed the amount of the rent due at the time of the distress, then it would seem on payment of the rent due

Staying Proceedings on Payment of Value of Goods or Rent due, &c.

Price, 3 Price, 17.
Price v. Brierly, Carth. 155, S. C.
Freeman, 5 T. R. 195: *Price*, 4 Camp. 36: *Price*, P. 378. See the form of *Price*, Forms, 416. And see *Price*, J., in *Perreau v. Brackenbury*, 12 East, 585, in commenting on *Price*, Temp. Hardw. 352. *Price*, 3 M. & Sel. 180. *Price*, 1 B. & P. 381, n.

(i) See *Page v. Eamer*, 1 B. & P. 378.
(k) See *Dias v. Freeman*, 5 T. R. 195: *Middleton v. Langford*, 4 Camp. 36.
(l) *Gilb. Replevin*, 225: *Waterman v. Yea*, 2 Wils. 41: *Turnor v. Turner*, 2 B. & B. 107; 4 Moore, 606, S. C.: *Perreau v. Beran*, 8 D. & Ry. 72.
(m) *Dias v. Freeman*, 5 T. R. 195: *Brackenbury v. Pell*, 12 East, 585.
(n) *Nelson (or Wilson) v. Hartley*, 7 Dowl. 461.
(o) *Gingell v. Turnbull*, 3 Bing. N. C. 881. The value was, in that case, ordered to be ascertained by the prothonotary.

BOOK III.
PART I.

and costs (*p*). And if in such a case the amount be disputed, then the court or a judge would pay into court the sum admitted by them to order that the plaintiff should proceed at the peril he do not prove a greater sum due. If separate proceedings brought against the sureties, the court would pay the sum recovered with costs in one action (*r*).

Setting aside
irregular Pro-
ceedings.

The proceedings may, if irregular or defective, on application to the court or a judge, as in other *post*, *Book IV. Part I. Chap. 17*). The court seems, set the proceedings aside, because they commenced before the forfeiture of the bond, for pleaded (*s*). Nor will they set aside an execution upon an objection which might have been taken at the time (*t*).

Sureties, how
far liable.

The plaintiff may, in general, recover to the full penalty. Where separate actions were brought of the pledges, it was holden that the plaintiff could recover from both, damages only to the amount of the sum recovered from each the costs in the separate action against each individually (*u*). If the distress were for rent, the defendant either jointly or separately, liable beyond the amount of the rent in arrear at the time of the distress, and if they are only liable for the value of the goods, the plaintiff may recover double costs; and if that exceeds the amount of the rent, they will be liable only for the rent (*y*). Where a replevin-bond with one surety only, and was sufficient pledges, in which action the plaintiff recovered damages and costs, it was held that the sheriff was not liable to cover against the surety the costs of defending nor more than a moiety of the damages awarded, being deprived of calling on a co-surety for contribution.

How dis-
charged.

It may be necessary here to mention, that a replevin cannot be *pleaded* to an action on the replevin bond; they are discharged by a reference to arbitration, time having been given to the plaintiff in replevin, though they cannot so *plead*, nevertheless the court will, on application by *motion* in such cases, relieve them from the plaintiff and defendant in replevin, without the need of the pledges, agreed to refer the cause to arbitration. If the replevin-bond should stand as a security for the amount of the award, the court relieved the pledges, if the pledges are not discharged by the defendant's tal-

(*p*) See *Hunt v. Round*, 2 Dowl. 558.
(*q*) See *Gower v. Elkins*, 6 Dowl. 335; *Parsons v. Pitcher*, 6 Dowl. 432.
(*r*) See *Key v. Hill*, 2 B. & A. 598; *Hefford v. Alger*, 1 Taunt. 218.
(*s*) *Anon.*, 5 Taunt. 776.
(*t*) *Short v. Hubbard*, 10 Moore, 107; 2 Bing. 445, S. C.
(*u*) *Hefford v. Alger*, 1 Taunt. 218.
(*x*) *Ward v. Henley*, 1 Y. & J. 235.
(*y*) *Hunt v. Round*, 2 Dowl. 558.
(*z*) *Austen v. Howard*, 1 Moore, 68; 7 Taunt. 28, S. C.; *Id.* 327; 2 Marsh. 352,

S. C.

(*a*) *Moore v. Bouma*, 7 Price, 223; 2 Marsh. 352; *v. Harper*, 10 Bing. 124; 518, S. C.; and see *Stephen*, 2 D. & Ry. 34.
(*b*) *Moore v. Bouma*, 7 Price, 223; 2 Marsh. 352; *v. Harper*, 10 Bing. 124; 518, S. C.; and see *Stephen*, 2 D. & Ry. 34.
(*c*) *Archer v. Hale*, 4 Bing. 464, S. C.; *Id.* *Harper*, 10 Bing. 124; S. C.; *Bank of Ireland*, Dow. 238; *Donnelly v. D*

CHAP. II.
SECT. 2.

6. Proceedings against the Sheriff.

(n) *Jeffery v. Bastard*, 4 A. & E. 823.
(o) *Scott v. Waithman*, 3 Stark. 168.
(p) 1 Saund. 195 g. (n.): *Hindle v. Blades*, 5 Taunt. 225; 1 Marsh. 27, S. C.

**BOOK III.
PART I.**

Taking an assignment of the replevin-bond is not a wa
your remedy against the sheriff; and therefore, if, aft
ceeding against the pledges, you find them insufficien
may still bring your action against the sheriff for taking
ficient pledges (*q*).

(*q*) 1 Saund. 195 c: and see *Baker v. Garratt*, 3 Bing. 56; 10 Moore, 3

CHAPTER III.

SCIRE FACIAS.

SECT. 1. *What, and in what Cases requisite, 815 to 829.*

2. *Proceedings upon, 829 to 837.*

SECT. 1.

What, and in what Cases requisite.

CH
SI

SCIRE FACIAS is a judicial writ, founded upon some record, and requiring the person against whom it is brought to show cause why the party bringing it should not have advantage of such record, or (as in the case of a *scire facias* to repeal letters-patent) why the record should not be annulled and voided. It is considered in law, however, as an action, and of the nature of a new original (*a*); and, when brought to repeal letters-patent, may in fact be an original writ, returnable in Chancery (*b*), or a judicial writ returnable in the superior court (*c*). The *scire facias* against bail on their recognizances, against pledges in replevin, to repeal letters-patent, the like, is in fact an *original proceeding*; but when brought to revive a judgment after a year and a day, or upon death, marriage, or bankruptcy, &c., of parties, or when brought on a judgment in debt on bond, or on a judgment in assumpsit &c., against an executor, it is but a *continuation* of the original action (*d*). In some cases it is merely an *interlocutory proceeding*, and in the nature of process, as in the case of a *scire facias quare executionem non*, and *scire facias ad audiendum errores*, when those writs were in force; sometimes a *proceeding* after the action has terminated, as in the case of a *scire facias quare restitutionem non*, and *scire facias ad reha- bilitandam terram*.

It is a general rule that where a new person, who was not a party to a judgment or recognisance, derives a benefit by, becomes chargeable to the execution, there must be a *scire facias* to make him a party to the judgment or recognisance (*e*). In some cases, however, a *scire facias* is not neces-

Where
Stranger
be affe
the Ju
ment.

Woodpeck v. Graham, Skin. 682; debt, see *Att. Gen. v. Sewell*, 4 M. & W. 465, 8 C.; *Winter v. Kretzman*, 77; 6 Dowl. 673; 8 C. & P. 376, 8 C. R. 46; *Fenner v. Evans*, 1 Id. 267. (c) 3 H. 4, 6, 29.
See the form, Tidd's Forms, 426; (d) See *Executors of Wright v. Nutt*, 1 T. R. 388.
a form of *scire facias* for the Queen's bond, and declaration, Id. 424. As (e) 2 Saund. 6, n. 1; *Penoyer v. Brace*, 1 Salk. 319; 1 L. Raym. 245.
has her majesty must proceed by *scire facias*, and not by information of

BOOK III.
PART I.within the
Year.Nor where
Writ of Er-
ror brought.Nor where
dispensed
with.Nor where
Execution
issued within
the Year.Nor on Judg-
ment under 1
& 2 V. c. 110,
s. 87.After Seven
Years, leave
of Court ne-
cessary.

or by agreement (u), the year and day do not begin until the writ of error is determined, the injunction dis or the time for which the execution was stayed have e respectively.

And it has even been determined, that if a writ of a brought after the year, and the judgment be affirmed the plaintiff be nonsuit, or the writ of error be discontin the party may sue out execution, without a *scire facias*, time within a year and a day from such determination writ of error; because the other party, by bringing en revived the judgment.

Also, by agreement, the parties may dispense wi necessity for reviving a judgment by *scire facias*, and s to this effect is frequently inserted in *cognovits* and w of attorney (z).

Also, according to a recent decision in the Court of quer (a), if a writ of execution has been sued out wit year, it may be executed at any time after its date, ev the expiration of the year, provided it be before the day; and a writ of execution returnable immediately a ecution will continue in force until executed without returned and filed within the year, or continued down sequent process, at least in actions commenced by the prescribed by the 2 W. 4, c. 39. It was formerly hold if the plaintiff, after the year and day, enter an awa *elegit* on the roll, as of the same term with the judgi might, when continuances were requisite, continue by *ricecomes non misit breve*, and sue out an *elegit*, reviving the judgment by *scire facias* (b); and this a he warranted by the precedents (c). It was, however, in a subsequent case, that a *scire facias* is necessary t the judgment after a year and a day before an *elegit* sued out (d). It may be necessary here to remark ence between entering mesne process on the roll, a of execution: in the former case, the writs must be a same species, in the latter not; thus, if a *fi. fa.* be within the year, it will warrant a *ca. sa.* or *elegit* afterwards (e).

Also, no *scire facias* is necessary to revive a j against an insolvent, on the warrant of attorney giv adjudication, under the 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 87, and execu at all times be issued thereon by order of the insolver

If the judgment is under seven years old, the sci issues of course upon a *præcipe* without rule or m above seven, and under ten, a side-bar or treasury obtained. If the judgment be more than ten yea

(u) See Tidd, 9th ed. 1104: 2 Smith, 66: 2 B. & C. 242: 3 D. & R. 603, S. C.: ante, 675, (22).

(z) Ro. Abr. 891: and see Fish v. Wiseman, Palm 449; Latch. 183, S. C.

(y) Bellaria v. Hanford, Cro. Jac. 364: and see 1 Ro. Rep. 104, S. C.: Dennis v. Drake, Lane, 20: Howard v. Pitt, 1 Show. 412, 413.

(2) See ante, 675, 683, 690.

(a) Simpson v. Heath, 7 Dowl. 832,semble overruling Broune v. Pearce, M. T. 1833, K. B., MS.: see the former practice, 1 H. Bl. 257: Welden v. Greg, 1 Sid.

39: Atres v. Hardress, 1 Str. Reort, Barnes, 210: Blay v Wils. 82: Co. Lit. 290, b.: Sci. Fa. C.: R. E., 5 G. 2, r. p. 396. The continuances

been entered at any time. T abolished (ante, Vol. I. 200)

(b) Seymour v. Greenhill, Cooke v. Rathurst, 2 Show. 236

(c) Clift. 874, 883.

(d) Putland v. Newman, 6 B

(e) Atres v. Hardress, 1 : Saund. 68 d: Vol. I. p. 396.

is to revive it cannot be issued without a motion for
pose in term, or a judge's order in vacation, nor, if
in fifteen years, without a rule to shew cause (*h*).
e proceedings upon this writ, and the mode of ap-
r leave to issue it after seven years, see *post*, 829 to

as that a writ of error does not prevent the plaintiff
ceding by *sci. fa.* on the judgment (*i*): and it has been
decided that on a *sci. fa.* to revive a judgment
n executor it is not a good plea that a writ of error
(*k*).

Writ of Error
no Bar to.

s where a *scire facias* is requisite, if execution be
out it, such execution is, it seems, not void, but
only upon writ of error (*l*), or it might be set aside
ication to the court or a judge (*m*).

Consequence
of Omission.

2. Scire Facias, upon the Death of Parties.

r final Judgment and
Execution, 819.
een Verdict and Judg-
821.

Death between interlocutory
and final Judgment, 823.
Death of one of several Plaintiffs
or Defendants, 824.

after final Judgment and before Execution.] If the
ie after final judgment, his executors, &c., must
scire facias against the defendant, before they can
ation; or, if the defendant die after final judgment,
ias must be sued out against his executors, or against
nd terretenants (*n*). But if the plaintiff die after
ias sued out, inasmuch as the sheriff derives autho-
the writ, it may be executed notwithstanding, and
or or administrator shall have the money (*o*); or if
iff have made no executor, or administration be not
he money must be brought into court, and there
ed, until &c. (*p*). So, if the defendant have died
year after the judgment, we have seen (*Vol. I.* 397)
t of execution may be sued out against his goods in
of his executor, without a *scire facias*, provided
it of execution bear *teste* before his death (*q*). So,
after a *fi. fa.* sued out, but before it has been exe-
re is no necessity for a *scire facias*, but the writ
xecuted upon the goods in the hands of the exe-
.(*r*). If a judgment be revived by *scire facias*, and
dant die before execution, the plaintiff must sue out
i. fa. against his executors, &c., before he can exe-

2. Scire Fa-
cias, upon
the Death of
Parties.

Death after
Final Judg-
ment and be-
fore Execu-
tion.

W. 4, r. 79, *post*, 831.
v. Williams, 3 Dowl. 655.
r. Mattock, 5 A. & E. 239:
ed. 530: Corn. Dig., Pleader
colley v. Raphson, Skin. 560:
mon, 3 T. R. 643: Bac. Abr.,
D. 5).
v. Johnson, 3 Lev. 404: Shir-
t, 1 Salk. 273; 2 L. Raym.
st, according to the recent
war v. Piggett, (2 Dowl. 615),
s and proceedings would, in
absolutely void and a mere
above cases, however, were

not cited.

(*m*) See Putland v. Newman, 6 M. &
Sel. 179.

(*n*) Fitz. Execution, 243: 1 Saund. 219,
e, f: 2 Saund. 6, 72 u.

(*o*) Cleve v. Beer, Cro. Car. 459: Har-
rison v. Horden, 1 Sid. 29: Clerk v. Wi-
thers, 2 L. Raym. 1073; 1 Salk. 322, S. C.:
Tidd, 9th ed. 1000.

(*p*) Thoroughgood's case, Noy, 73: Clerk
v. Withers, 2 L. Raym. 1073.

(*q*) See 2 Bac. Abr., Execution, G. 2:
2 Williams, Exors. 1227, 1228.

(*r*) 6 Bac. Abr., Sci. Fa. C. 1.

BOOK III.
PART I.

By and
against whom
to be issued.
Against per-
sonal Repre-
sentative.

cute the judgment (*s*). In all these cases, if any of the executors be a *feme covert*, her husband must be made a party to the *scire facias* (*t*). But if any of the executors be bankrupt, he may notwithstanding proceed or be proceeded against by *scire facias*; for his bankruptcy does not affect his representative character (*t*). It may be added, that on a *sci. fa.* to revive judgment against an executor it is not a good plea that a writ of error is depending on the judgment (*u*).

The *scire facias* must be brought by or against the person or persons who represent the deceased. If the plaintiff in a personal action die, the *sci. fa.* must be brought by his executor or administrator; in a real action, by his heir (*x*); in a mixed action, it is said, if the lands to be recovered be fee-simple, the heir and executor shall join in the *scire facias*, and the heir have execution as to the lands, and the executor execution as to the damages (*y*). On the death of a plaintiff the *scire facias* may be in the names of all the executors, though one only has proved the will (*z*). If the defendant die, the *scire facias* must be brought against his executor, or his heir and terretenants, as shall be mentioned presently.

A *sci. fa.* may be sued out by or against the executor of an executor, who has proved the will; but not by or against the administrator of an executor, or the executor or administrator of an administrator, because they do not represent the deceased (*a*). In these latter cases, administration *de bonis non* must be sued out, and then the administrator *de bonis non* may, by 17 C. 2, c. 8, s. 2, sue out a *scire facias*, and have execution of the judgment; or he may perfect an execution already begun (*b*). This statute, however, does not extend to allow an administrator *de bonis non* to proceed upon a judgment in *scire facias* (*quod habeat executionem*) already obtained by the executor in his lifetime, but he must sue out a *scire facias* to revive the original judgment (*c*); nor does it extend to judgments by default, but to judgments after verdict only (*d*). Also, if a judgment be recovered against an executor who dies intestate, it may be revived as against the administrator *de bonis non*, at common law, and execution had upon the judgment (*e*). If an administrator *durant minori ætate* bring an action, and recover, and the executor then come of age, the latter may have a *scire facias* upon the judgment (*f*).

Against Heir.

If *nihil* be returned to a *scire facias* against the executor, the plaintiff may have another *sci. fa.* against the heir and terretenants, in order to have execution of any lands of which the defendant was seised at the time of the judgment, or

(s) *Hardisty v. Barry*, 2 Salk. 598.

(t) 2 Saund. 72 a.

(u) *Snook v. Mattock*, 5 A. & E. 239.

(x) 6 Bac. Abr., Sci. Fa. C. 5.

(y) 19 E. 4, 5 b; 43 E. 3, 2; Ro. Abr. 881.

(z) *Scott v. Briant*, 6 Nev. & M. 381; 2 H. & W. 54, S. C.

(a) 5 Co. 9 b.

(b) *Clerk v. Withers*, 1 Salk. 323; 2 L. Raym. 1072; 6 Mod. 290; 11 Id. 34, S. C.

(c) *Treviban v. Lawrence*, 2 L. Raym. 1049.

(d) *Clerk v. Withers*, 1 Salk. 322; 2 L.

Raym. 1072; 6 Mod. 290; 11 Id. 34, S. C.

(e) 2 Saund. 72 o; *Snape v. Norgate*, Cro. Car. 167; 1 Ro. Abr. 898, T. pl. 3; *Norgate v. Snape*, W. Jon. 214.

(f) Ro. Abr. 888; *Bowman v. Long*, Cro. Car. 227; 2 Brownl. 83; *Godth. Met Hatten v. Muscul*, 1 Lev. 181. See forms of *scire facias* for or against an executor or administrator to revive a judgment obtained by or against the testator or intestate, Chit. Forms, 474, 476; Ca. Ent. 617 a; 618 b; Lil. Ent. 630 to 639; and see *Morbot v. Chisore*, 1 Str. 631; 2 L. Raym. 1304, S. C.

against him(*h*). This is necessary even in the case of
t, notwithstanding an old case to the contrary(*i*). And
 it is the rule in this respect, that a plaintiff cannot sue
in. sa. after the year, even for the purpose of proceeding
 the bail, without having first revived the judgment
 the principal by *scire facias*(*j*). At common law, a
 judgment in a personal action could not be revived, after a
 day and a night, by *scire facias*, but the only remedy the
 plaintiff had was to bring an action of debt on the judg-
 ment(*k*); in real actions(*l*), as also in mixed actions(*m*), it
 was otherwise. By stat. *Westm.* 2, (13 *Ed.* 1), c. 45, how-
 ever, all matters enrolled, to which the court can give
 judgment, shall have such force, that it shall no longer be neces-
 sary to implead upon them; but if the plaintiff come into
 court within a year, he shall have execution forthwith; or if
 he come after the year, a *scire facias* shall issue to warn the
 defendant to appear and shew cause why the said matters
 should not be executed; and if he shew no cause, or
 do not appear, then the sheriff shall be commanded to
 execute the said matter enrolled to be executed. This statute
 is now holden to extend to judgments in ejectment(*n*), as
 well as in personal actions; and indeed, from the general
 words in which it is worded, it should seem to include judg-
 ments in every species of action, real, personal, and mixed.
 As mentioned in the statute must be computed, not by
 calendar months(*o*), and from the time of the
 judgment of the judgment(*p*).
scire facias, however, is not necessary to revive a judg-
 ment for the queen(*q*).

Not necessary
 for Queen.

if the plaintiff have been prevented from suing out
 execution, by a writ of error(*r*), or injunction(*s*), or by
 a judgment with a *cesset executio* for a certain time(*t*),

Nor where
 Plaintiff un-
 able to issue
 Execution

BOOK III.
PART I.Before Assizes
or Sittings.When en-
tered, and
Leave to enter
it nunc pro
tunc.

have survived to the representatives of the deceased, as for a libel, &c. (t). It does not extend to a nonsuit (u).

The death of either party before the assizes or sittings is not remedied by this statute (x); but if the party die after the assizes begin (y), or after the first day of the sittings (z), though before the trial, it is within the remedy of the statute; for the assizes or sittings are but one day in law.

It is not necessary that the judgment should be actually entered upon the roll within two terms after the verdict; if it be signed within that time, it will be sufficient (a); and it seems even the signing of the judgment within that time will be unnecessary, if prevented by any application to the court delaying it (b), or by any act of the court, as in the case of a special verdict or special case (c). And at common law, before the rule of *H. T.*, 4 *W.* 4, r. 3 (d), (ordering the judgment to be entered of the day of the month and year when signed), if either party died after special verdict and pending the time for argument, &c., thereon, or on demurrer or motion in arrest of judgment, or for a new trial, judgment might be entered after the death as of the term in which the *postea* was returnable, or in which judgment would otherwise have been given, *nunc pro tunc* (e). So, in actions against executors, &c. if the motion were made within a reasonable time, the court would have given the plaintiff leave to enter up judgment as of a preceding term when it might have been signed *nunc pro tunc* (e). But generally the court had no power to allow judgment to be entered *nunc pro tunc*; and, at all events, they would not so allow it where the delay was wholly attributable to the laches of the party applying (f). The above rule of *H. T.*, 4 *W.* 4, contains a proviso empowering the court or judge to order a judgment to be entered up *nunc pro tunc* and the court or judge may still therefore, as formerly, order the judgment to be entered up *nunc pro tunc*; but that proviso does not give them greater powers than they before had (g). And in a recent case, where the defendant gave *cognovit*, and died on 15th Jan. 1837, before judgment was signed, and the plaintiff in Easter term applied to the court for leave to enter up judgment *nunc pro tunc*, alleging that he was not aware till recently that the defendant had died, and who his executors were, the court refused the application, as the plaintiff might have applied in the preceding term or vacation, and as the delay was not the act of the court, but

taking as security for costs; the defendant obtained a verdict and died, and judgment was entered up in his name within two terms: it was held, that the attorney for such deceased party, having a claim against his estate in respect of the costs, might enforce the security to satisfy such claims without any *scire facias* having been sued out by the personal representatives.

(t) *Palmer v. Cohen*, 2 B. & Ald. 968.

(u) *Doubbiggin v. Harrison*, 10 B. & C. 491.

(x) *Taylor v. Harris*, 3 B. & P. 549.

(y) *Anon.*, 1 Salk. 8: *Plomer v. Webb*, 2 L. Raym. 1415: *Anon.*, 7 T. R. 32, n.

(z) *Jacobs v. Minicuni*, 7 T. R. 31. Each sittings in term is considered independent of the others. (*Johnson v. Budge*, 3 Dowl. 207: see *Johnson v. Hamilton*, 4

Dowl. 762).

(a) *Helie v. Baker*, 1 Sid. 385: *Webb v. Spurrell*, Barnes, 261: 2 Saund. 72m: *Duke of Norfolk's case*, 1 Salk. 401.

(b) *Bridges v. Smyth*, 8 Bing. 29; 11 & Scott, 93, S. C.

(c) *Laurence v. Hodgson*, 1 Y. & J. 36 and the cases there cited.

(d) *Anto*, Vol. I. 341.

(e) *Mara v. Quin*, 6 T. R. 6.

(f) *Copley v. Day*, 4 Taunt. 70: *Rhodes v. Haigh*, 3 D. & R. 608: *Frost v. Whadcock*, Barnes, 262: *Laurence v. Hodgson*, 1 Y. & J. 368.

(g) *Lawman v. Lord Audley*, 2 M. & W. 535: *Vaughan v. Wilson*, 4 Bing. N. C. 116; 6 Dowl. 210; 5 Scott, 408, S. C.: *D. Taylor v. Crisp*, 7 Dowl. 584: *Lambert v. Barrington*, 2 Bing. N. C. 149.

Scire Facias—upon the Death of Parties.

that of the plaintiff(*h*). And where a verdict was given, subject to a special case, which was not set down for argument until after the death of the party against whom judgment was ultimately given, the court refused to allow judgment to be entered *nunc pro tunc*, at the instance of the successful party, as the delay in setting down the special case could not be considered as that of the court(*i*).

The judgment is entered for or against the deceased party, as if he were living(*j*). CHA
SR
Form
Judgt

But although the judgment in this case is entered as if the party were alive, yet it must be revived by *scire facias* before execution can be sued out upon it(*k*). And as the *scire facias* must pursue the judgment, it must recite it as if it had been entered in the party's lifetime(*l*); that is, the *sci. fa.* must be in the form in which the writ is usually conceived, when brought by or against the personal representatives of a person who had died after judgment(*m*). Must
vived
Fa. be
Execi

Death between interlocutory and final Judgment.] If either plaintiff or defendant, in actions in courts of record, happen to die after interlocutory and before final judgment, the action shall not abate, if it be such as might originally be prosecuted by or against the executor, &c., of the party dying; but the plaintiff, or his executors or administrators, shall have a *scire facias* against the defendant or his executors, &c., to shew cause why damages should not be assessed and recovered by him or them; and upon *scire feci* returned, or upon *nihil* returned and eight days elapsed from its return, and leave of the court or a judge obtained(*n*), and default made, or no cause shewn, a writ of inquiry shall be awarded, executed, and returned, and final judgment thereupon given(*o*). Death
between
cutor
final.
ment.

If the death happen before the writ of inquiry is executed, it must be to shew cause why the damages should not be assessed against the defendant, or his executors, &c., as the case may be(*p*). But if the death happen after the execution of a writ of inquiry, the *scire facias* must be to shew cause why the damages assessed by the jury should not be recovered; otherwise it will be quashed(*q*). Form
Sci. f
this c

The final judgment in this case is, of course, for or against the executor, &c., and not for or against the testator himself, as upon the statute 17 C. 2, above mentioned(*r*). Form
Judgt

Also, in case of the death of a defendant, besides the *scire facias* here mentioned, sued out before final judgment, another *scire facias* must be sued out after final judgment, in order to give the executors an opportunity of pleading the want of assets, &c.; for it would be unreasonable that the executor Scire
on th
Judgt
fore
tion.

(*h*) *Lawman v. Lord Audley*, 2 M. & W. 15: see *Faughan v. Wilson*, 4 Bing. N. C. 16: 6 Dowl. 210; 5 Scott, 401, S. C.

(*i*) *The Taylor v. Cripp*, 7 Dowl. 584.

(*j*) *Watson v. James*, 1 Salk. 42: *Colebeck v. Peck*, 2 L. Raym. 1281.

(*k*) *Karl v. Bruern*, 1 Wils. 312.

(*l*) *Colebeck v. Peck*, 2 L. Raym. 1281: and see *Barnett v. Hadden*, 1 Lev. 277; 2 Dowl. 72 m.

(*m*) See ante, 820. See forms of the *scire facias*, &c., Chit. Forms, 487.

(*n*) R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 81, post, 833.

(*o*) 8 & 9 W. 3, c. 11, s. 6: see *Wallop*

v. Irwin, 1 Wils. 315: *Fort v. Oliver*, 1 M. & Sel. 242: *Borger v. Green*, Id. 220.

(*p*) *Smith v. Harmon*, 1 Salk. 315: Lil. Ent. 647. And see the form, where the death happened before the issuing of the writ of inquiry, Chit. Forms, 488; and when it happened after the issuing, and before execution of the writ, Id. Clift. 680: Lil. Ent. 647.

(*q*) *Goldencroft v. Southcott*, 1 Wils. 243: *Executors of Wright v. Nutt*, 1 T. R. 388. See the form, Chit. Forms, 489.

(*r*) *Watson v. James*, 1 Salk. 42.

BOOK III.
PART I.

should be in a worse situation when the defendant dies before final judgment, than when he dies after it(s). In a case where the defendant died intestate after interlocutory judgment, and a writ of inquest of damages executed, but before it was returned the plaintiff declared in *scire facias* against the administrator, who pleaded *plene administravit*, as set forth in his pleas divers specialties due and owing to the intestate, and charging the estate; the plaintiff replied, admitting the truth of the pleas, and praying judgment and execution of the goods of the intestate *quod decernent*, entered up final judgment to have execution against the defendant as administrator according to the force, and effect of the *said recovery*, no recovery having been stated in any part of the proceedings on the record, final judgment having been given in the original action, no provision being made by the judgment for the payment of the specialty debts; and it was held that the judgment was erroneous, and it was reversed with costs(t).

Death of one of several Plaintiffs or Defendants.

Death of one of several Plaintiffs or Defendants.] If there are two or more plaintiffs or defendants, and after judgment, execution by *fieri facias* or *ca. sa.* is sued out as in other cases without any *scire facias* (u) the execution must be in the joint names of all the plaintiffs or defendants, as the case may be, and must in other cases pursue the judgment(v); but it should be executed against the survivors only (x). If the plaintiff, however, has sued out an *elegit* against the lands of a deceased defendant, as against the survivor, he may have a *scire facias* against such survivor and the heir and terretenants of the deceased to have execution against the lands and goods of the former (y).

3. *Scire Facias, upon the Marriage of a Feme Plaintiff or Defendant.*

Marriage of a Feme Plaintiff.] If a *feme sole* obtain judgment, and marry before execution, a *scire facias* may be brought by husband and wife, in order to have execution of the judgment(z); and if, after execution awarded against the *feme*, a *scire facias* be brought, but, before execution, the wife die, the husband alone may have execution upon the judgment, without taking out administration(a). So, if the husband obtain judgment for a debt due to the wife *dum a feme*, the husband may have a *scire facias* to execute the judgment or he may, it seems, sue out execution in the names of

(s) 2 Saund. 72, n: *Tomkins v. Gratton*, 206; 2 Williams, Exors. 1232. See form of the *sci. fa.* upon the first judgment, Chit. Forms, 490; and of the *sci. fa.* on the final judgment, Id.
(t) *Poulett v. Wightman*, 1 Bligh, N. S., 138.
(u) 6 Bac. Abr., Sci. Fa. C. 4: *Withers v. Harris*, 7 Mod. 68; 2 L. Raym. 808, S. C.: *Brace v. Pennoyer*, 5 Mod. 330; *Pennoyer v. Brace*, Carth. 404; *Howard v. Pitt*, 1 Show. 402.
(v) See Vol. I. 455.
(x) *Pennoyer v. Brace*, 1 L. Raym. 244; Comb. 541; 1 Salk. 319, S. C.: see *Withers v. Harris*, 2 L. Raym. 808; 7 Mod. 68.
(y) *Panton v. Terretenants*, Carth. 107; 2 Saund. 72 p; and Abr., Sci. Fa. C. 5; 2 Id. Exec. see Vol. I. 444. See forms, Chit. 492.
(z) 2 Saund. 72 k. See Chit. Forms, 493; Thea. Brev. Clift. 681.
(a) 6 Bac. Abr., Sci. Fa. C. 6 *v. Gresham*, 1 Salk. 116; C. Carth. 415; Skin. 612, S. C.
(b) *Eyres v. Osceard*, 1 Sid. 3 *v. Dett*, Cro. El. 844; *O'Brien*, Mod. 158; 6 Bac. Abr., Sci. Fa.

3. *Scire Facias, upon Marriage. Of a Feme Plaintiff.*

Scire Facias—in case of Bankruptcy.

th, without a *scire facias*. Where the wife recovers a judgment while single, and dies during coverture, and the husband has not been made a party to the judgment by *scire facias* in her lifetime, it would seem that the husband must be brought into administration to the wife before bringing *scire facias* and where in such a case the husband died without administration, and his administrator brought *scire facias* in demurrer, judgment was given for the defendant (c). If the husband and wife have judgment for a debt due to the wife as executrix, and the wife die before execution, leaving an executor or administrator *de bonis non*, and not an administrator, she and he, shall have the *scire facias* (d).

CNA
S24

[*Age of Feme Defendant.*] If judgment be recovered against a *feme sole*, and she marry before execution, a *scire facias* must be brought against the husband and wife, before judgment can be executed (e); and if, after execution upon this *scire facias*, but before execution, the wife and husband shall be liable to the execution (f). How in a case where a *feme sole* defendant married after inter-judgment, the court held that the plaintiff might sue out final judgment and execution by *ca. sa.* against the defendant without suing out a *scire facias* to make the husband a party. And in a more recent case, where a *feme sole* defendant in ejectment married before trial, and the plaintiff obtained judgment, and sued out an *habere facias* and a writ against her by her maiden name, without a *scire facias*, the court held that there was no pretence for setting aside the writ on that account; for the writ of possession could not be against the husband or his property, the verdict proving the wife had no interest in the term; and as to the *fi. fa.* being merely inoperative, as the wife could have no separate interest in the goods upon which such a writ might be executed.

Marri
Feme
dant.

If a *feme covert*, sued as a *feme sole*, had judgment on a writ of execution, and execution was sued out in the names of her husband, the court held it to be clearly irregular; and should not have been sued out in the name of the husband until he had first been made a party to the judgment by *scire facias*; but, in this case, the wife might have been sued out in execution in her own name, because the plaintiff, in suing against her as a *feme sole*, was concluded from doing so (i).

Scire Facias, in case of Bankruptcy or Insolvency.

[*Bankruptcy, &c., of Plaintiff.*] If a party obtain inter-judgment, and before final judgment become bankrupt, his assignees may proceed to final judgment in his name, and sue out a *scire facias* to make themselves parties, in order to have execution (j); and even where execution was

4. Sc
cias
rupte
solven
or Pl

Kington, 2 B. & Ad. 938.
and v. Long, Cro. Car. 208,
248, S. C.; 6 Bac. Abr., Sel.
d. 72 k. See the form, Chit.
Thos. Esq. 247, 251.
Abr., Sel. Fa. C. 6: *O'Brien*
116: *Ward v. Graham*,

Id.; Carth. 30, 415.
(g) *Cooper v. Hunchin*, 4 East, 521.
(h) *Doe Taggart v. Butcher*, 3 M. & Sel.
557.
(i) *Wortley v. Rayner*, 2 Doug. 637.
(j) *Hewitt v. Mantell*, 2 Wils. 372. See
the form, Chit. Forms, 495.

BOOK III.
PART I.

taken out in the name of the bankrupt, without a *scire facias* being sued out by the assignees, the court refused to set aside the proceedings (k). If a party have final judgment, upon which the defendant brings a writ of error, and pending that writ of error the plaintiff become bankrupt, his action ought to proceed to an affirmance of the judgment in the bankrupt's name, and then sue out a *scire facias* in order to have execution (l). The court have allowed the *sci. fa.* to be amended, even after issue joined, by inserting the name of the official assignee (m).

The practice, it should seem, is the same, where the plaintiff takes the benefit of an insolvent act.

Bankruptcy,
Act, of De-
fendant.

Bankruptcy, &c., of Defendant.] If a party have been a bankrupt, or have taken the benefit of an insolvent act, or have compounded with his creditors, and afterwards become a bankrupt, and obtained his certificate, his person only shall be thereby protected; but his future estate and effects, (with the exception of his "tools of trade, necessary household furniture, and the wearing apparel of himself, his wife and children"), unless his estate pay 1*l*s. in the pound under the act, will vest in the assignees under the first fiat, who may seize them in the same way as they may seize property possessed by the bankrupt at the issuing of the fiat (n). Since this enactment, therefore, the property being vested in the assignees, the judgment-creditor in these cases has not, as such creditor, any right of seizing such future effects, as he formerly had. The former practice was, that if the creditor in such a case obtained a judgment, which was signed after the defendant had obtained his certificate under the second commission, it might have been special against his future estate and effects, with the exception of his tools of trade, &c.; but where the judgment was had before the defendant had obtained his certificate, it must have been a general judgment (o), and the plaintiff could not thereupon sue out a special execution against the defendant's future effects (p), but must have proceeded by *scire facias* (q).

Under Lords'
Act.

By the Lords' Act (r), the future effects of insolvents, discharged under that act, are rendered liable to their debts, with the exception of the necessary wearing apparel and bedding of the insolvent and his family, and the necessary tools for the use of his trade or occupation, not exceeding 10*l*. in value in the whole. If a general judgment be had against a person before his discharge under this act, a special execution cannot afterwards be sued out upon it, without first suing out a *scire facias* (s).

Not necessary. No *sci. fa.*, on account of lapse of time, is necessary to re-

(k) *Wough v. Austin*, 3 T. R. 437; and see *Plummer v. Lee*, 3 Mod. 98; *Winter v. Kitchman*, 3 T. R. 45. There does not appear to be any sound reason for allowing this, and at the present day it would be safest to make the assignees parties to the judgment by a *scire facias*.

(l) *Kitchman v. Agar*, 1 T. R. 683, 684; *Winter v. Kitchman*, 3 T. R. 45; *Munk v. Morris*, 1 Mod. 93, 1 Vent. 183, 3 C.; *Heard v. Mansell*, 3 Wils. 371; *Stebbins v. Mansell*, 1d. 376.

(m) *Holland v. Phillips*, Q. B., T. 1800; 3 Jurist, 776.

(n) 6 G. 4, c. 16, s. 137. See the effect of this section discussed, *Young v. Ashworth*, 3 Nev. & P. 588.

(o) 3 Saund. 72 g. h.

(p) *Burton v. Mordin*, 1 T. R. 82.

(q) See 3 Saund. 78 h; 3 Id. 388 n; *On v. Scrivens*, 7 T. R. 57; *Johnson v. Farber*, 3 B. & P. 165; and the *forms*, Tidd's Forms, 469, 471.

(r) 36 G. 3, c. 38, s. 20, rendered inoperative for the future by the 1 & 2 V. c. 118.

(s) *Burton v. Mordin*, 1 T. R. 82; see also *Stebbins v. Mansell*, 3 T. R. 383; and a *form*, Tidd's Forms, 471.

ment on the warrant of attorney, executed by an
adjudication, pursuant to the 87th section of
110, (the late insolvent act), and execution may
be thereon, by virtue of the order of the insol-

CHAP. III.
SECT. I.

in case of
Judgment
under 1 & 2
Vict. c. 110,
s. 87.

Facias, on a Judgment in Debt on Bond.

and or other instrument in a penal sum, condi-
performance of covenants, or for the doing of
the act, although the judgment is entered up
penalty, yet execution is sued out for the amount
as only as the jury assess upon the breaches as-
sted, as has been already mentioned (*ante*, 723,
Judgment, however, still remains as a security to
such damages as he may sustain by any fur-
and in case of any such further breaches, the
have a *scire facias* upon the judgment, against
his heirs, terretenants, or executors or adminis-
ing such other breaches, and summoning him
to show cause why execution should not be awarded
Judgment, upon which there shall be the like pro-
in the action of debt upon the bond for assess-
on trial of issues, joined upon such breaches or
upon a writ to be awarded for that purpose (*t*).
ante, 683, that this *scire facias* is not necessary
upon a warrant of attorney; and that though
insert in such warrant a clause dispensing with
it is unnecessary.

5 Scire Fa-
cias, on a
Judgment c
Bond.

facias in this case should recite the whole proceed-
mer action, or at least so much of them as to
that the judgment is warranted by the statute;
and suggest the further breaches (*u*). Or, if the
original action has set forth only some of the
he now wish to recover damages for breaches
could seem that he may now state these latter
the *scire facias*, and assign breaches on them (*x*).
things upon this *scire facias* are the same as in the
(*y*); but it is not necessary that there should
Judgment than the usual one in *scire facias*,
word of execution (*z*).

Form of.

Proceedings
on.

was always entitled to costs on this *scire facias*,
s. 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, s. 34, whether the defend-
it or not, notwithstanding sect. 3 of the 8 & 9
ve costs in suits upon writs of *scire facias* gener-
cases where the plaintiff obtained an award of
plea pleaded or demurrer joined (*a*).

Costs on.

Facias, on a Judgment quando &c. against an Executor.

of *plene administravit* in an action against an
administrator, or on the plea of *riens per descent*

6. On a Jud
ment quanc

11, s. 8: see as to the
ante, 723, 728: see
g: 187 b.
See the form, Chit.

(x) 2 Saund. 187 b.

(y) See ante, 723.

(z) 1 Saund. 58 e.

(a) Id.: *Brooke v. Booth*, 11 East, 567.

BOOK III.
PART I.Sc. against an
Executor.That Defects
must be sub-
sequent to the
Judgment.Recovery of
Part.

The Inquiry.

in an action against an heir, the plaintiff, instead of taking sue on the plea, take judgment of assets *quando acciderint*; this case, if assets afterwards come to the hands of the executor or heir, the plaintiff must first sue out a *scire facias* against such executor or heir, before he can have execution.

As the judgment *quando acciderint* is that the plaintiff recover his debt, to be levied of the goods, &c., of the testator, which shall *thereafter* come to the hands of the executor, &c., it is necessary that the *scire facias* should state that the assets came to the executor's hands after the judgment; otherwise it would be bad (b). And in debt or *scire facias* on this judgment, proof of the executor's receiving assets is always, at the trial, confined to a period subsequent to the judgment (c).

If upon this *scire facias* assets be found for part, the plaintiff may have judgment to recover so much immediately, and the residue of the assets *in futuro* (d).

As to the *scire fieri* inquiry, see *post*, Part II. Ch. V. Sect. 2.

7. Scire Facias, in other Cases.

7. Scire Fa-
cias, in other
Cases.

Against Bail.

Against
Pledges in
Replevin.For Restitu-
tion after
Reversal in
Error.To recover
Land extend-
ed under
Elegit.

When special bail become fixed, by the recognisance being forfeited, one of the modes of proceeding against them, we have seen, is by *scire facias* on the recognisance. See upon this subject Vol. I. 639 (e). The *scire facias* in this case is an original proceeding.

If, to the *pluries capias in withernam* in replevin, the sheriff return *nihil*, a *scire facias* issues against the pledges (f); and if no cause be shewn, another *capias in withernam* issues against the cattle of the pledges; and if *nihil* be returned to that writ, a *scire facias* issues against the sheriff himself (g). But this *scire facias* against the pledges and the sheriff is obsolete, it being the practice to proceed upon the replevin bond against the former, and by action on the case against the latter, for taking insufficient pledges, or no pledges, without bringing any *scire facias*.

After judgment in error, reversing the judgment of the court below, if the amount of the damages awarded by the former judgment had been previously levied, but not paid over, the plaintiff in error must now sue out a *scire facias quare restitutionem non*, suggesting the matter of fact, namely, the sum levied, &c., before he can have a writ of restitution (h).

Where a plaintiff in an action has execution by *elegit*, and is put into possession of the rents and profits of the defendant's lands, if the defendant tender the debt, &c., to the plaintiff, and it be refused, or if the plaintiff have been satisfied his debt from any casual profit of the land, the defendant may have a *scire facias ad rehabendam terram*; or if the plaintiff have been satisfied his debt from the extended value of the

(b) 2 Saund. 219 a: *Mars v. Quin*, 6 T. R. 1: 2 Williams on Exors. 1221. See the form, Chit. Forms.

(c) *Taylor v. Hollman*, B. N. P. 169; 2 Williams, 1221. *Quere* if the judgment might not be taken of assets *quando acciderint* after plea pleaded? and see a form to that effect in Mr. Archbold's collection of Practical Forms, 501, ed. 1825.

(d) See *Noell v. Nelson*, 1 Sid. 448: 1

Saund. 336 b.

(e) 2 Saund. 72 a, b, d. And see forms of writ. Chit. Forms, index, title "*Scire Facias*."

(f) *Ante*, 810: *Dorington v. Ethels*, Comb. 1.

(g) *Ante*, 810: see *Trevers v. Michell*, Hut. 77.

(h) Vol. I. 380. See the form of it, Chit. Forms, 124.

the defendant may either have this *scire facias*, or he may sue upon the land, and recover actual possession by writ (i). But, as has been already observed, (*ante*, 147), a preferable mode of proceeding, to either the writ or ejectment, is by summary application to the court of which the *elegit* issued.

CHAP. III
SECT. I.

A *scire facias* is the only means of repealing letters patent. A *scire facias*, in this case, may be brought either on behalf of the patentee, or, where the patent has been granted to the king or another, by the injured party at the queen's suit. It may be sued out either in the petty-bag-office in Chancery or in the Court of Queen's Bench (l).

To repeal
Letters Patent.

When an outlawry is pardoned by the queen, the defendant may sue out a *scire facias*, requiring the plaintiff to prosecute his suit against him, and he must have the writ summoned thereon (m). There are no further proceedings upon this writ.

On Pardon
Outlawry.

When a bill of exceptions be sealed by a judge, and he die, a *scire facias* may be sued out against his executors or administrators to certify the bill (n).

To certify
Bill of Exceptions.

When a sheriff, after returning to a *fi. fa.* that he has levied the money in his hands, a *scire facias* may be sued out to compel him to pay it over to the party (o). Or, if the sheriff return that he seized goods and sold part of them, and that the remainder were rescued, a *sci. fa.* lies against him, to have execution for the entire sum returned (p). If the sheriff return merely that the goods remain in his hands for want of buyers, in such case a *scire facias* does not lie. See *Conditioni exponas* or a *distringas nuper vicecomitem*, noted Vol. I. 436, 437 (q).

Against a
Sheriff.

The writ of *scire facias quare executionem non*, in the case of error, is abolished (r); so is the writ of *scire facias ad damnum errores*, except in the case of a change of law (s).

On Error.

SECT. 2.

Proceedings upon a Scire Facias.

Summons, &c., 829.
Return, 835.
Judgment, *id.*

Judgment, 836.
Costs, *id.*
Execution, 837.
Quashing Scire Facias, *id.*
Amendment of, *id.*
Second Scire Facias, *id.*

[Writ, Summons, &c.] A *scire facias* upon a recognizance, must, in the Queen's Bench, have been always

The Writ,
Summons,
&c.

Abr., Sci. Fa. C. 2: 2 Saund. post, Book IV. Part I. Ch. 2.

88: 2 Saund. 73 q.

6, 29. See as to this *scire facias* 73 p, q: 6 Bac. Abr., Sci. Fa. the form, Tidd's Forms, 243.

134, 154: see *Ellis v. Pipin*, 1 Sid. 231: and *Ellis v. Percell*, 1 Sid. 231: and

(n) 2 Inst. 438.

(o) *Smith v. Linsey*, Hut. 32: *Sly v. Finch*, Cro. Jac. 514, and 247: Godb. 276.

(p) *Sly v. Finch*, Cro. Jac. 514: 2 Saund. 243.

(q) See note (p), and 2 Saund. 71 b, c.

(r) Vol. I. p. 363.

(s) *Id.* 367.

BOOK III.
PART I.

To whom directed.

On a Recognisance.

On a Judgment.

Tests of the Writ.

directed to the sheriff of Middlesex, where the record although the venue in the original action was laid in that county (u), for recognisances in that court are taken by the caption as in the Common Pleas, but entered of record. But on a recognisance of bail on error, if it were entered as taken at judges' hall in Serjeant's Inn, the *scire facias* might have been taken in London (x), and in the Common Pleas upon a recognisance taken in Serjeants' Inn or before a commissioner in the country and recorded at Westminster, the *scire facias* have been brought in London, or in the county where the recognisance was taken, or in Middlesex (y). But in all the courts of H. T., 2 W. 4, r. 1, s. 80, a recognisance taken in Serjeants' Inn, or before a commissioner in the country, and recorded at Westminster, may be brought in Middlesex only; and the form of the writ shall not express where it was taken.

A *scire facias* founded upon a judgment must be taken against the sheriff of the county in which the defendant being supposed to reside in that county indeed, on a return of *nil* to the writ against the presentatives, the plaintiff, upon a *testatur*, may *scire facias* against the heir and terretenants into that county (a).

As regards the tests of a *scire facias*.—A *scire facias* recognisance against bail may be tested on or after the day of the *ca. sa.* against the principal (b), and the *alias* (if issued, but which is now rarely the case) may be tested upon the return day of the *sci. fa.* if the original action were commenced by writ of *capias* or detainer (c) against the principal were by original, (which is the case except in ejectment or replevin, and in actions removed from inferior courts), the *alias* (if issued) should be tested on the *quarto die post* of the *sci. fa.* (d).

A *scire facias* upon a judgment may, it seems, be taken any day of the term in which the judgment is made, or in any subsequent term; and the *alias*, (if issued) may be tested on the return day of the *quarto die post* of it, according to the original action was commenced by writ of *capias*, or detainer, or by original. A *scire facias* tested in vacation, not being within sect. 12 of the Act of Process Act (f).

gment in an action commenced by a writ of summons, or detainer, or upon a recognisance of bail in an action commenced by *capias* or detainer, must be made returnable certain in term (*g*). If the original action were commenced by original, (as it may be, or is supposed to be, in an action of replevin, and in some other actions removed from the original writs), the *scire facias* must be made returnable on a certain return day in term (*g*); and in all other cases it may be made returnable on a general return day (*h*). If returnable on a general return day, (as is now always the case where the original action is commenced by summons, *capias*, or detainer), and the writ is to be sued out, it is, it seems, sufficient if there be *seven days exclusive* between the *teste* and return (*i*). The writ must be returnable on a *general return day*, and there must be *fifteen days* between the *teste* and return (*k*). It is now ended to sue out two writs, (which, as we shall presently see, is now in general unnecessary), there must be *seven days inclusive* (*l*) between the return of the second and the first writ (*m*); the number of days, however, between the *teste* and return of each writ is immaterial (*n*).

It must be taken that the *scire facias* strictly pursue the judgment, recognisance, or other record, upon which the judgment is founded. Upon a judgment against two you may sue out a *scire facias* against one (*o*); although upon a judgment against several it is otherwise, because it is joint and several (*p*). *Scire facias* for the non-performance of a certain promise making, (in the singular number), where the judgment is upon several promises, was holden bad upon an original writ record of the judgment (*q*). So where, upon a writ of assets *quando acciderint*, a *scire facias* was sued out for execution of assets generally, instead of such assets as had come to the hands of the executor since the judgment, the court held that it could not be sup-

It must pursue the Judgment, &c.

ported to be in all cases be sued out of and returnable in the term in which the record is supposed to remain (*s*).

From what Court issued.

In the case of a *scire facias* to revive a judgment, it is necessary to obtain the leave of the court, or a writ to sue it out. At any time before *seven* years from the date of the judgment, it may be sued out as a matter of course before *seven* years, and under *ten*, there must be a rule of the treasury, obtained from one of the masters; *H., 2 W. 4, r. 79*, "a *scire facias* to revive a judgment *than ten years old* shall not be allowed without a rule to that purpose in term, or a judge's order in vacation, if more than *fifteen*, without a rule to shew cause." The court will grant an order without a summons in cases

Leave of Court, when necessary, and how obtained.

E., 5 G. 2, r. 3 a: Eden v. Byston, Cro. El. 738: Combe v. Cuttill, 1417; 2 Str. 694, S. C. 10 Moore, 535.
G. 2, r. 3 a. (n) Elliott v. Smith, 2 Str. 1139.
Johnson, 4 T. R. 663. (o) Panten v. Hall, 2 Salk. 598.
G. 2, r. 3 a: T., 8 W. 3, r. 468: 2 Saund. 72 b, c: Sainsbury v. Pringle, 10 B. & C. 751.
Jones, 2 Str. 765: Goodwin v. Bayes v. Forrest, 2 Str. 803.
W. 3, r. 1 a: E., 5 G. 2, r. (r) Mara v. Quin, 6 T. R. 1.
v. Baskin, Carth. 468; 12 (s) See Gullam v. Hardley, 3 Salk.
2, Anon., 7 Mod. 40: Le- 320; 1 L. Raym. 216, S. C.: 2 Saund.
ter, T. Jon. 228, 221: Alton 72 n.

BOOK III.
PART I.

where the judgment is more than ten years and under fifteen years old. After fifteen years, a judge at chambers will not interfere. The affidavit in support of the application should state the existence of the debt, and that the judgment remains unsatisfied, and that the defendant is living (or as the case may be)(*u*). The affidavit, if not made by the plaintiff, should be made by the person who was his attorney when the judgment was obtained(*x*). The validity of the judgment cannot be impeached for the purpose of opposing a motion for the *scire facias*; but a separate application may be made to set aside the judgment(*y*). On a *scire facias* against executors(*y*), the rule should be served on each who has proved the will. Where a rule is served by leaving a copy with a servant, inquiry should be subsequently made of the servant whether the master has received the copy(*z*). It may be here observed, that *scire facias* on a recognisance, to revive a judgment, cannot be sued out after twenty years(*a*).

How sued out.

In order to sue out the writ, if the proceedings in the original action were by summons, *capias*, or detainer, *make out a præcipe for the writ(b)*, and the writ, and take them to one of the masters, who will sign the writ; get it sealed. If *alias* (if issued, but which, as we shall presently see, is rarely the case) is sued out in the same manner. If the proceedings in the original action were by original, *prepare a præcipe for the writ, and take it to one of the masters, who will make out the writ; get it sealed. Or, if expedition be required, you may engross the writ on a plain piece of parchment, and have it signed by one of the masters, and sealed.*

When left at Sheriff's Office.

Having thus sued out the writ, *take it to and leave it at the sheriff's office, at least four clear searching days before the turn of it, exclusive of the day of leaving it at the office, and the day on which it is returnable(c)*. The four days need not be in term time(*d*). Sunday, or any other day on which search could not be made at the sheriff's office, must not be reckoned as one of the four days(*e*). Whit-Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday, may be reckoned among the four days, the sheriff's office being open on those days for the purpose of searching for writs of *scire facias* in the same manner as on other days in the year(*f*). The sheriff must indorse on every *scire facias* the day of the month on which it was taken with him(*g*). If there is an objection to the proceeding *sci. fa.*, on the ground that the writ had not lain a sufficient number of days in the office, the defendant should not attempt to set aside the writ itself, but only the proceedings thereon.

Necessary, in

The next step to be taken is, if possible, *to give the day*

(*u*) *Hardisty v. Barny*, 2 Salk. 598; *Lowe v. Robins*, 1 B. & B. 381; 3 Moore, 757, S. C.; Tidd, 9th ed. 1105; 2 Sell. Pr. 196. See the forms of affidavit and rule, Chit. Forms, 457, 458.

(*x*) *Duke of Norfolk v. Leicester*, 1 M. & W. 204; 4 Dowl. 746; 1 T. & G. 249, S. C.

(*y*) *Thomas v. Williams*, 3 Dowl. 654.

(*z*) *Panter v. Seaman*, 5 Nev. & M. 679.

(*a*) *Ante*, 815.

(*b*) See the forms, Chit. Forms, 458.

(*c*) R. E., 5 G. 2, r. 3; *Forty v. Harmer*,

4 T. R. 583; *Miller v. Yerrancey*, 3 1723; *Anon.*, 1 Dowl. 142; *Frederick v. Miller*, Id. 141; *Furnell v. Smith*, 1 C. 693; *Goodwin v. Lager*, 6 M. 133; 2 Chit. Rep. 192, S. C.; 3 Larkin, 7 Bing. 109; 4 Moo. & P. Dowl. 202, S. C.

(*d*) *Sandland v. Claridge*, 1 C. 672.

(*e*) *Supra*, n. (*c*).

(*f*) *Armitage v. Rigby*, 5 A. & E.

(*g*) R. E., 5 G. 2, r. 3.

(*h*) *Williams v. Brown*, 2 Dowl.

of the *scire facias*, by summons, if he reside in the county into which the *sci. fa.* issues, or by notice, if he reside elsewhere. Formerly, it was the constant practice, where you intended to summon the defendant, or, in other words, where you knew of the *scire facias* having been sued out, or where you could not summon him, to have issued a writ of *scire facias*; and, having procured the sheriff's return of *nil* to that writ also, upon which return, if the defendant did not appear, have obtained a judgment against him, two *nils* being deemed equivalent to a writ of *scire facias* or garnishment(*i*). Now, however, by rule of the Court, V. 4, r. 81, "*no judgment shall be signed for non-appearance to a scire facias without leave of the court or a judge, if the defendant has been summoned; but such judgment may be signed, after eight days from the return of one scire facias*." This rule applies to proceedings by *scire facias* to revive the judgment, as well as to proceedings on their recognisance(*k*). The object of this rule is to make it in general the plaintiff's duty to give the defendant a *scire facias* to the defendant as above mentioned, by summons, if the defendant reside in the county into which the *scire facias* issues, or by notice, if he reside elsewhere. If neither of these things can be done, the plaintiff may swear by affidavit that he has attempted to summon the defendant, or give him notice, and shew what endeavours he has made for that purpose(*l*). Where several attempts have been made to summon a defendant on a *scire facias*, and on the 28th day of April, and eight days had elapsed from the return of the writ, an application, on the 5th of May, to sign judgment, was holden to be too late, for summoning the defendant again(*m*). Under particular circumstances, as of the defendant's being about to depart from the country, this may be dispensed with; and where the defendant was out of the country, but notice had been given to the plaintiff of his place of abode, and several efforts made to serve the writ in effect, the court granted a rule for judgment against the defendant(*n*).

The defendant can be summoned—Get a warrant on the *sci. fa.* from the sheriff's office, and give the warrant to the sheriff, who will thereupon summon the defendant(*o*). It may be served upon the defendant at any time before the return of the *scire facias*; or even upon the return day, provided it be before the rising of the court(*p*).

If the defendant cannot be summoned by reason of his being out of the county into which the *scire facias* issues,

CHAP. III.
SECT. 2.

general, to
summon or
give Notice to
Defendant.

Leave to sign
Judgment
without sum-
moning.

Defendant,
how Sum-
moned.

Notice, where
Defendant

Wale, Yelv. 88: *Bromley v. Clarke*, 112: *Clarke v. Bradshaw*, 112: *Elem.* 1 Dowl. 515. *Elem. v. Elem.*, 1 Dowl. 515: 1 C. & M. 466; 1 Tyr. the cases as to bail, ante, *Meekley*, 1 Dowl. 513. *v. Selman*, 7 Leg. Obs. and *v. Landless*. 3 Scott, 9, S. C.: and see *Armitage & E.* 76.

(o) See form of warrant, Chit. Forms, 462; and of summons, Chit. Forms, 462. (p) *Clarke v. Bradshaw*, 1 East, 86: recognised in *Lewis v. Pyne*, 1 C. & M. 771: 2 Dowl. 133, S. C.: *Webb v. Harvey*, 2 T. R. 757: *Obrian v. Frazier*, 1 Str. 644: see *Wright v. Page*, 2 W. Bl. 837: *Harr v. Satchwell*, 2 Str. 813. In a late case, the court held the sheriff liable to an action for damages, for not summoning a party, when he might have done so. (MS. 1831).

BOOK III.
PART I.cannot be
Summoned.

or otherwise—*Prepare a notice (q), stating the issuing of scire facias, and when it was left at the sheriff's office, on purpose for which it was left. Serve it, or use your best efforts to serve it, and, generally speaking, as long as is possible before the return day.*

Judgment for
Non-appearance.

Judgment for Non-appearance.] Call at the sheriff's at the return of the writ for the return, and if the defendant has returned scire feci (r), then, on or after the return if the original proceedings were by summons, capias, tainer, or the quarto die post, if they were by original, make out a memorandum for a rule to appear upon paper (t), and enter it with the master. This is a four-day rule (u); and if at the expiration of it no appearance has been made or entered, then enter the proceedings upon a return scire facias and return with the masters, and sue out execution.

Where Defendant has
not been
Summoned.

Or, if the defendant has not been summoned, call at the sheriff's office for the writ on or after the return day, and get the writ and the sheriff's return of nihil (y). Then make out a memorandum for a rule to appear on plain paper, and enter it with one of the masters. This is a four-day rule. If no appearance has been made or entered in eight days from the return day, prepare an affidavit (a) of the plaintiff of the scire facias and the sheriff's return thereto, and of the service of the notice on defendant, or of the due endeavours to serve it (b). At the expiration of the eight days after the return of the writ, or in a reasonable (c) time afterwards, apply to the court or apply to a judge on this affidavit for leave to move for judgment, and the court will grant the rule, or the judge will grant his order for the rule for judgment accordingly. The summons for the judge's order is requisite or usual. The rule is absolute in the first instance. Then enter the proceedings upon a roll (d). Take the judge's order or rule of court, and enter it with one of the masters, who will sign judgment. Then file the proceedings upon a scire facias and return with one of the masters, and sue out execution (e). If the defendant was not summoned, it seems that he may, notwithstanding judgment against him, still move for the advantage of any matter he might have pleaded to the scire facias, either on an auditâ querelâ (f), or by motion to the court (g), or even by application to a judge at chambers or vacation. When a judge's order has been made, empower the plaintiff to sign judgment on the scire facias, on an application for a rule to set aside the judgment, the court will inquire into the sufficiency of the notice, or whether the defendant or party against whom the scire facias is issued have or

(q) See the form, Chit. Forms, 463.

(r) Id. 463.

(s) *Sharp v. Clark*, 13 East, 391.

(t) See the form, Chit. Forms, 277.

(u) See *Wathen v. Beaumont*, 11 East, 272.

(x) See the form, Chit. Forms, 465.

(y) Id. 463.

(z) See *Wathen v. Beaumont*, 11 East, 272.

(a) See form, Chit. Forms, 464.

(b) See *Wimall v. Cook*, 2 Dowl. 173.(c) *Wood v. Mossley*, 1 Dowl. 513.

(d) See form, Chit. Forms, 465.

(e) See the former mode of proceeding by two writs of scire facias, which did not intend that the defendant should be summoned.

(f) *Lampton v. Collingwood*, 62; 1 L. Raym. 27, S. C.(g) *Ludlow v. Leonard*, 2 L. 1295; *Anon.*, 1 Salk. 93; see *Beckman*, 1 L. Raym. 445; *W. Richardson*, 2 Str. 1075; *Holt v. M. & Sel.* 199.

not been summoned: if the bail or party against whom the *scire facias* is issued intend to avail themselves of any objection on such grounds, they should apply to set aside the judge's order (*h*). Such order, therefore, if acquiesced in, is conclusive to the sufficiency of the notice or summons (*i*).

Upon a *scire facias* to have execution of a judgment against two, if one be returned summoned, and *nihil* be returned as to the other, or that he is dead, and the one summoned make default, the plaintiff may have judgment against the party summoned for the entirety (*k*). Against one of several.

Appearance.] Let the defendant's attorney give a written notice to the attorney or agent of the plaintiff, that he appears for the defendant. This will be now sufficient in all cases in proceeding by *scire facias*, and no appearance is filed (*l*). Appearance.

Declaration.] As soon as the defendant has appeared, you may declare against him. Engross your declaration on plain paper, indorse upon it the notice to plead, and deliver it to the defendant's attorney or agent (*m*). It should seem, that the 2 *W.* 4, c. 39, and the rules of *M. T.*, 3 *W.* 4, which were framed to meet the enactments of that statute, apply to proceedings upon writs of *scire facias* when the *scire facias* is a continuation of a suit within those enactments and rules (*n*): but that they do not apply to proceedings upon other writs of *scire facias*, and consequently in the latter case the rules as to declaring and giving the notice to plead, which existed before that statute and rules, must be still regarded. In the latter case the declaration should be intitled of the term in which the writ of *scire facias* was returnable, or of the term of which defendant appeared; and the rules as to the time for pleading, and as to when the defendant will be entitled to an imparlance, are, perhaps, the same as those noticed *ante*, 802, in a replevin suit. Declaration.

Where there are two defendants, it seems that the plaintiff cannot declare in *sci. fa.* against either until both are before the court (*o*).

Plea.] As soon as you have declared, rule the defendant to plead, and demand a plea, in the same manner as in ordinary cases (*p*), excepting that, in *scire facias* against bail, Sunday or a *dies non* is not reckoned as one of the four days given by the rule to plead, even when it is not the last day of the four (*q*). It should seem, as just observed, that the enactments of the 2 *W.* 4, c. 39, s. 11, as to pleading between the 10th August and 24th October, and the rule of *M. T.*, 3 *W.* 4, r. 12, framed to meet it (*r*), apply to proceedings in *scire facias* when the *scire facias* is a continuation of a suit within Plea.

(*h*) *Ladbroke v. Hecitt*, 1 Dowl. 489.

(*i*) See Bagley's Pract. 325.

(*k*) 1 Ro. Abr. 890, S. pl. 1, 2.

(*l*) R. H., 2 *W.* 4, r. 82. See forms of notice, Chit. Forms, 463. By that rule, a notice in writing to the plaintiff, his attorney or agent, shall be a sufficient appearance by the bail or defendant on a *scire facias*.

(*m*) See forms of declaration, Chit. Forms, 468, 469: and see 2 *Saund.* 721:

Ward v. Gansell, 3 Wils. 154: and of notice to plead, Chit. Forms, 471.

(*n*) *Ante*, 816.

(*o*) *Panton v. Hall*, 2 Salk. 598: *Sainsbury v. Pringle*, 10 B. & C. 751.

(*p*) See Chit. Forms, 471.

(*q*) *Wathen v. Beaumont*, 11 East, 271: *Anon.*, 1 Dowl. 142: *Praver v. Miller*, Id. 141.

(*r*) *Ante*, Vol. I. 153.

BOOK III.
PART I.

that statute and rule, otherwise not; and they do not to proceedings in *scire facias* when it is an original pleading (*s*). *Engross your plea on plain paper, and deliver the plaintiff's attorney or agent (t)*. If the defendant been summoned, and he neglect to appear and plead, for ever after barred from availing himself of any which he might have pleaded (*u*); although, if not summoned we have seen that he would be relieved either by *querelâ*, or upon motion (*x*).

Issue. *Issue.*] The issue is in all cases made up by the attorney. If it be an issue of fact, indorse on it the notice of trial in ordinary cases.

Trial. *Trial.*] Proceed to trial as in ordinary cases (*s*). They find the affirmative or negative of the issue; but they give damages for the delay of execution (*a*). The issue may be nonsuit, as in other cases (*b*).

Judgment. *Judgment.*] Get the Nisi Prius record from the associate, indorse the postea upon it, if it be not already indorsed by the associate (*c*), and sign judgment and proceed to execution in ordinary cases (*d*).

Costs. *Costs.*] Before the recent act, 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, the plaintiff was not entitled to costs on a *scire facias*, until he had pleaded (*e*). But now by the 34th section of that act, "in all writs of *scire facias*, the plaintiff obtaining judgment or an award of execution shall recover his costs of suit, whether judgment by default, as well as upon a judgment actually pleaded or demurrer joined." By the 8 & 9 W. 3, c. 2, "if the plaintiff be nonsuit, or discontinue, or if a verdict be given against him, the defendant shall be entitled to costs." By the R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 78, "a plaintiff shall not be allowed to quash his own writ of *scire facias*, after a defence has appeared, except on payment of costs" (*f*). The statutes do not, it seems, extend to a *scire facias* to repeal a patent (*g*). If costs be given where they should not, judgment may be reversed as to that, and affirmed as to the residue (*h*). Where a *sci. fa.* was unnecessarily sued for, the defendant's attorney on his behalf proposed terms, which were for a time acted on, *Patteson*, that the defendant could not afterwards object to the terms or to payment of the costs (*i*).

(*s*) *Ante*, 815.

(*t*) R. H., 4 W. 4, r. 1, *ante*, Vol. I. 170. See as to pleas in *scire facias*, 6 Bac. Abr., Sci. Fa. E.: 2 Saund 72 t, u, 12, n. (19); 6, 7 a; 9 a, b, 10, 11: and forms of pleas and replications, Chit. Forms, 472, 485.

(*u*) *Cooke v. Berry*, 1 Wils. 98.

(*x*) *Ante*, 834. See form of entry of judgment by default, Chit. Forms, 471; and of execution thereon, *Id.* 472.

(*y*) R. T., 12 W. 3 a: R. H., 4 W. 4, r. 5, *ante*, Vol. I. 903. See as to the form, Chit. Forms, 473, 485.

(*c*) See Chit. Forms, 473, 485.

(*a*) *Henriques v. Dutch East India Company*, 2 L. Raym. 1532; 2 Str. 807, S. C.:

Knox v. Costello, 3 Burr. 1791; 4 W. 4, c. 42, ss. 28, 29, 30, &c. to proceedings by *scire facias*.

(*b*) *O'Meara v. Wilson*, 1 Can.

(*c*) See as to the forms, C. 544: 9 Went. 552.

(*d*) See Vol. I. 330, &c. See form of entry of judgment on Forms, 473, &c.

(*e*) *Pocklington v. Peck*, 1 Saund. 72 u: 8 & 9 W. 3, c. 1.

(*f*) See 1 B. & Ald. 486: Pract. 895.

(*g*) *R. v. Miles*, 7 T. R. 367.

(*h*) *Bellou v. Apthorpe*, 1 Str.

(*i*) *Breaster v. Menke*, 2 D.

tion.] The execution is the same, and nearly in the form, as in ordinary cases(*l*). In the case of a *scire facias* to revive a judgment, the writ of execution must be issued on the judgment in the *scire facias*, even in cases where *scire facias* may have been unnecessary(*m*). And so, of course, in all other cases. As to execution on a *scire facias*, see *Vol. I.* 639, (*n*); and as to the order in which the execution must pursue the judgment, see *Vol. I.* 400, 401, 402. Upon a *scire facias* against a party who may have one writ of execution against both, or against both writs against each; for the recognisance is joint and several(*p*).

CHAP. III.
SECT. 2.

Execution.

[*Quashing Scire Facias.*] If there be any irregularity in the proceedings, the party who sued it out may apply to have it quashed, and the application will be granted on payment of the costs of the proceedings on the *sci. fa.* only(*q*). The Court of Common Pleas will not, after appearance, make a rule for this writ absolute in the first instance(*q*).

Quashing
Scire Facias

[*Amendment of Scire Facias.*] As to the amendment of a *scire facias*, see *Part IV. Chap. 28.*

Amendment
of.

[*Second Scire Facias.*] After reviving a judgment by *scire facias*, a year and a day pass before execution, the judgment may be again revived by *scire facias*, before the execution can be issued(*r*). And the same in the cases of death, marriage, &c.; after *scire facias* sued out, the judgment must be revived before execution(*s*).

Second Scire
Facias.

8 & 9 W. 3, c. 11, s. 3. See *Forms*, 466.
b. or ex. su. after *scire facias*
judgment, Chit. *Forms*, 481;
executor or administrator, on
claim by plaintiff deceased,
su. against executor or admini-
strator, judgment obtained against
deceased, Id. 487; of execution
against executor or administrator,
if or defendant died between
first and final judgment, Id.
v. Norton, 1 Bing. 133.
Form of *su.* or ex. su., Chit.

(o) 1 Ro. Abr. 888: see *Sainsbury v. Pringle*, 10 B. & C. 751: *ante*, 831.
(p) R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 78: *Oliveron v. Latour*, 7 Dowl. 605: see *Pickman v. Robson*, 1 R. & A. 496.
(q) *Ade v. Stubbs*, 4 Dowl. 282; 1 H. & W. 520, S. C.: *Oliveron v. Latour*, 7 Dowl. 605.
(r) 2 Sellon, 189. See the form, Chit. *Forms*, 461.
(s) *Hardisty v. Baring*, 2 Salk. 598: 2 Sellon, 196.

BOOK III.

PART II.

PROCEEDINGS IN ACTIONS BY AND AGAINST PARTICULAR PERSONS.

CHAPTER I.

PROCEEDINGS AGAINST PEERS AND MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT.

- SECT. 1. *Proceedings against, in ordinary Cases*—838, 839.
 2. *Proceedings against Members subject to the Ban Laws*—839, 840.

SECT. 1.

BOOK III. PART II.

Proceedings against, in ordinary Cases.

Peers, &c.,
privileged
from Arrest.

PEERS, pecesses, and members of the House of Commons we have seen, cannot be holden to bail (*a*); nor can they be taken in execution on a *capias ad satisfaciendum* (*b*). Consequently, if they be sued, they must not be held to bail. Judgment against them must be executed by *fieri facias*. An unprivileged person in custody in execution becomes a peer or member of parliament, is entitled to discharge on motion (*c*).

The Process
against.

The process to enforce the appearance in a personal action of a person entitled to privilege of peerage or of parliament is the same as in ordinary cases (which has been already noticed, *ante*, Vol. I. Book I. Part II. Chap. 1, 2). There is no occasion to state in the process that the defendant is entitled to privilege of peerage or of parliament (*d*). It is to

(a) Vol. I. p. 164.

(b) Vol. I. p. 449.

(c) *Phillips v. Wellesley*, 1 Dowl. 9: *Es p. Burton*, Id. 14.

(d) It is no ground for plea in abate-

ment, that a defendant sued as also described as having privilege of parliament. (*Centurell v. Earl Stirling* & Scott, 297; 8 Bing. 174, S. C.)

ered, however, that he is to be still privileged from
holden to bail(e).

The remaining proceedings in the cause are the same as are
stated, *Vol. I.* 134 to 460, excepting that the execu-
must be by *fieri facias* or *elegit*, and not by *ca. sa.* A
t formerly existed, whether, if a person were taken in
tion, and set at liberty by privilege of either house of
liament, the party at whose suit such execution was pur-
d was for ever barred and disabled from suing forth a new
it of execution; but by 2 *Jac.* 1, c. 13, s. 2, the plaintiff
y sue forth and execute a new writ of execution, as if the
mer execution had not taken place(f).

As to when an attachment will be granted against a peer,
member of the House of Commons, see *post*, *Book IV.*
art III. title "*Attachment.*"

SECT. 2.

*Proceedings against Members of Parliament subject to the
Bankrupt Laws.*

By stat. 6 *G.* 4, c. 16, ss. 9 & 10, if any creditor or creditors
of a trader having privilege of parliament, to such amount as
is declared requisite to support a commission, shall file an
affidavit or affidavits in any court of record at Westminster,
that such debt or debts is or are justly due to him or them
respectively, and that such debtor, as he or they verily believe,
is such trader as aforesaid, and shall sue out of the same court
a [summons (2 *W.* 4, c. 39, s. 9)(g)], against such trader, and
serve him with a copy of such summons—if such trader shall
not, within one calendar month after personal service of such
summons, pay, secure, or compound for such debt or debts to
the satisfaction of such creditor or creditors, or enter into a
bond in such sum, and with two sufficient sureties, as any of
the judges of the court out of which such summons shall issue
shall approve of, to pay such sum as shall be recovered in such
action or actions, together with such costs as shall be given in
the same(h); and, within *one calendar month next after per-
sonal service* of such summons, *cause an appearance* or appear-
ances *to be entered* to such action or actions in the proper court
or courts in which the same shall have been brought, every
such trader shall be deemed to have committed an act of
bankruptcy from the time of the service of such summons,
and any creditor or creditors of such trader to such amount as
aforesaid, may sue out a commission against him, and proceed
thereon in like manner as against other bankrupts; but such
person shall not be subject to be arrested or imprisoned during
the time of such privilege, except in cases thereby made
felony(i).

Mode of com-
pelling Ap-
pearance, and
Security for
Debt and
Costs.

(e) *Ants.* Vol. I. 464, 465.

(f) See *Phillips v. Wollsey*, 1 Dowl. 9:
Ex p. Burton, Id. 14.

(g) And see the form prescribed by the
act, Chit. Forms, 496.

(h) *Hunter v. Campbell*, 3 B. & Ald.

273; 1 Chit. Rep. 731, S. C.: *Jameson v.*
Campbell, 5 B. & Ald. 250; 1 Bing. 320,
S. C., in error.

(i) See Arch. Bkt. L. 299: and see as
to the form of the affidavit, Chit. Forms,
496.

**BOOK III.
PART II.****The Process
against.**

It is optional, of course, with the plaintiff in this case to adopt the remedy here given, or to proceed as directed in the first Section of this Chapter.

The 2 *W. 4, c. 39, s. 9*, in the schedule No. 6, prescribes the form of this summons. It is issued and indorsed in the manner as the ordinary writ. Where, in proceedings against a member of parliament, it appeared that the action was brought in 1823, against the defendant, who was then a member but had since ceased to be so; the action was commenced by writ of *summons* thereon, and the writ was returned *est inventus*, and entered of record, but no further step afterwards been taken, as the defendant had been taken out of the country; and the plaintiff being desirous of continuing the proceedings in order to save the Statute of Limitations, the court held that a writ of *distringas* ought to issue, and be the proper continuance of the suit (*k*).

(*k*) *Taylor v. Duncombe*, 2 Dowl. 401: & R. 241; 4 Tyr. 450, S. C. and see *Dickenson v. Teague*, 1 C., M.

CHAPTER II.

PROCEEDINGS BY AND AGAINST CORPORATIONS AND
HUNDREDOES.

SECT. 1.

*Proceedings by and against Corporations.*CHAP. II.
SECT. 1.

CORPORATIONS aggregate (to which alone this section in reference) cannot sue or defend otherwise than by attorney, which attorney must be appointed under their common seal (a).

Must sue, or
defend by at-
torney.

In actions *by* corporations, they may hold to bail and proceed in the same manner as individuals (b). Even in ejectment they may now proceed in the ordinary way, without executing a power of attorney authorizing a third person to enter and make a lease on the land, as used to be the practice (c). They cannot, however, sue as a common informer (d). It may be as well observed, that a corporation may be plaintiffs in *assumpsit*, at least upon an *executed* consideration, as for use and occupation, where the tenant has held the premises under them and paid rent (e); and it has been held that the London Gas Company might sue in *assumpsit* for gas supplied, although there was no contract by deed under their seal (f); and in a late case, it was held, that a trading corporation might sue in *assumpsit* on an *executory* contract for the supply of goods for the manufacture of which the company was incorporated (g). A corporation must be described in all legal proceedings by their corporate name (h). Frequently, acts of parliament enable corporate or incorporate bodies to sue, and others to sue them, in the names of their clerks, treasurers, &c., for the time being.

Proceedings
by.

Proceedings *against* corporations aggregate must, formerly, have been by original, summons, or attachment, and *distress*; and the mode of proceeding was the same as it formerly was in actions against peers, excepting that the plaintiff was not authorized by any statute to enter an appearance for the defendants, but he must have proceeded to compel an appear-

Proceedings
against.

(a) Co. Lit. 68. b. : Vol. I. 49.

(b) See Chit. Forms, 468.

(c) Nua. Eject. 159 : Ros. 225.

(d) *Wentworth Company v. Forrest*, 2 Str. 1241.(e) *Barber Surgeons of London v. Peison*, 3 Lev. 252 : *Dann of Rochester v. Pierce*, 1 Camp. 485 : *Mayer of Stafford v. Tull*, 12

Moore, 260 ; 4 Bing. 75, 8 C.

(f) *London Gas Light Company v. Nicholls*, 2 C. & P. 355 : see also *London Water Works Company v. Bailey*, 4 Bing. 283 ; 12 Moore, 552, 8 C.(g) *Church v. Imperial Gas Light and Coke Company*, In Error, 3 Nev. & P. 85.

(h) 1 Leach, 4th ed., 263.

ance by levying on the lands and goods which consist of the common stock of the corporation issues on successive *distringas*, moving to increase them, and from time to time to sell them, as directed *ante*, 797, 798. Now, however, by 2 W. 4, c. 39, ss. 21, 1, 3, the process against corporations is made the same as in ordinary cases, by writ of summons, or by writ of *distringas*. The corporate name must be inserted in the writ. The service of the writ may be "on the mayor, or other head officer, or on the town-clerk, clerk, treasurer, or secretary, of such corporation" (*k*). The corporate members of it cannot be holden to bail (*l*). It was formerly thought that *assumpsit* could not be supported against a corporation, which cannot, in general, contract by parol receipt in the case of bills or notes, where the power of contracting and accepting them is recognised by statute; and contracts sanctioned by particular legislative enactments. But it has been lately decided that *assumpsit* is maintainable against a corporation aggregate (even without a parol receipt) in an executed parol contract (*o*). And *indebitatus* is maintainable against a corporation (*p*). Trover is sustainable against a corporation so is case for a false return (*q*). They are also liable in tort for the wrongful act of their agent, and though the agent be not appointed by seal, if such act be in the ordinary service (*r*). A corporation aggregate, not being a natural person, cannot appear by attorney, but only by a specially appointed under their common seal (*s*). The proceedings are the same as in ordinary cases.

SECT. 2.

*Proceedings against Hundredors under the 7 & 8 G.*Liability of
Hundredors.

THE statute now in force, by which hundredors are liable for damages done by rioters, is the 7 & 8 G. 4, c. 31. The 7 & 8 G. 4, c. 27, repeals all the prior statutes relating to the liability of hundredors. Hundredors are now no longer, as formerly, liable for cases of robbery, arson, killing or maiming cattle, cutting down or destroying trees, destroying turnpikes, or works on bridges or rivers, cutting hop bines, destroying corn to prevent its being exported, destroying corn going to market, or horses or carriages so conveying it, and wounding officers; and hundredors, in short, are now only

(*k*) 2 W. 4, c. 39, s. 13.(*l*) Vol. I. 473.(*m*) 1 Rol. Rep. 82: *London Water Works Company v. Bailey*, 4 Bing. 283; 12 Moore, 532: *Mayor of Stafford v. Till*, 4 Bing. 77; 12 Moore, 260, S. C.(*n*) *Murray v. East India Company*, 5 B. & Ald. 204: *Broughton v. Company of Manchester Water Works*, 3 B. & Ald. 1. 6 Vin. Ab. 317(*o*) *Beverley v. Lincoln Gas &c. Company*, 3 Nev. & P. 283; 6 A. & Ellis, 829, S. C.: and see *Clarke v. Imperial Gas &c. Com-**pany*, 3 Nev. & P. 35: *East India Company*, 5 Bing. N.(*p*) *De Grave v. The Mayor of London*, 1 P. 111: and see *Tilson v. Company*, 4 B. & C. 982.(*q*) *Yarborough v. Bank of England*, 6 East, 6.(*r*) *Smith v. Birmingham Gas Company*, 1 A. & M. 771, S. C.(*s*) Bro. Abr., tit. Corp. 66. a. b.: 10 Co. 30 b.

done by rioters acting *feloniously*(*t*). The proceedings must be taken previous to the action, and those in themselves, will now be considered in the following

CHAP. II.
SECT. 2.

things before Action brought.] Previously to the commencement of the action, there are, by the 3rd section of the Statute, certain acts required of the party injured, such as for his servant having the care of the property injured, to appear within seven days after the commission of the offence, before some near resident(*u*) justice, and state on his oath the names of the offenders, and submit to an examination, and enter into a recognisance to prosecute. The examination of the party must take place within seven days exclusive of the day on which the offence was committed(*x*). Persons who were present at the offence, or all the servants who had the care of the property damaged, and have any knowledge of such facts, should go before the justice to be examined(*y*). It is necessary that both the person injured and servant be examined(*z*); if the former has no knowledge of the circumstances of the offence, being such a knowledge as is available to him, then the servant or servants who had the care of the property should be examined(*a*). Where the reversioner is bound by the Black Act, his own oath was held sufficient, without examining the tenant or his servant(*b*). The party is not, in his examination bound to state his *suspicion* respecting the offender(*b*). It has been held that the swearing of a justice to a deposition *previously* prepared, is a sufficient substitution to examination within the meaning of the Statute; the justice require nothing further(*c*). The examination should not be taken down in writing(*d*), though it is better should be so.

Proceedings
before Action
brought.

Limitation of Action.] The action must be brought within twelve calendar months after the offence committed(*e*). The period of twelve months would, it seems, be reckoned exclusive(*f*). The action may be brought by a termor upon this statute for an injury done to his house within three calendar months from the time the offence was committed, and that action abates by the death of the termor, after the three months have expired, his executor may bring a fresh action(*g*). And it is a matter of doubt whether an executor of a termor can, in *any* case, bring an action on this statute for an injury sustained in the lifetime of the termor.

Limitation of
Action.

Cur's J., 28th ed., tit. "Hundredors," § 1. N. P. 186.
Pellew v. Hundred Wonford, 9 B. & C. 134.

People of Somerset v. Hundred of Glastonbury, 6 D. & R. 247, 8 C. 167; 1 B. & Ald. 146: *People of Somerset v. Hundred of Glastonbury*, 1 B. & Ald. 146: *People of Somerset v. Hundred of Glastonbury*, 1 B. & Ald. 146.

People of Somerset v. Hundred of Glastonbury, 1 B. & Ald. 146: *People of Somerset v. Hundred of Glastonbury*, 1 B. & Ald. 146: *People of Somerset v. Hundred of Glastonbury*, 1 B. & Ald. 146.

(b) *Pellew v. Hundred Wonford*, 9 B. & C. 134.

(c) *Loose v. Inhabitants of Broxtowe*, 3 B. & Ad. 550.

(d) *Graham v. Hundred Beantree*, B. N. P. 186. See several forms in Chit. Gen. Prac. of the Law, 1st ed., 580, 581.

(e) See the 3rd section.

(f) See *Pellew v. Hundred Wonford*, 9 B. & C. 135: *Norris v. The Hundred of Glastonbury*, Hob. 139: 2 Rel. Abr. 520 a, pl. 8: 1 Brownl. 156: ante, Vol. I. 93.

(g) *Adam v. Inhabitants of Bristol*, 4 Nev. & M. 144; 2 A. & E. 389, S. C.

BOOK III.
PART II.Process to
compel Ap-
pearance.

Process to compel Appearance.] Formerly, the mode of proceeding to compel an appearance in this action was by original attachment and *distringas*, in the same manner as it used to be against corporations (*h*). Now, however, by the 2 W. 4, c. 39, ss. 21, 1, 3, the process against hundredors to enforce their appearance is the same as in ordinary cases, *viz.* by summons, or summons and *distringas*. The writ must be against "the men inhabiting within the hundred of —, in the county of —," or other like district generally, and not against any of them by name; otherwise, if the mistake be carried into the declaration, it would be bad even in arrest of judgment (*i*). Where the word "hundred" was inserted in the writ and proceedings instead of "borough," the court allowed an amendment by substituting the one for the other (*k*). But it seems this cannot be done since 2 W. 4, c. 39 (*l*).

The writ must be served upon the high constable, or one of the high constables of the hundred or like district (*m*) in which the offence happened; who should, within seven days after such service, give notice thereof to two justices residing in and acting for the hundred, &c. (*n*). If the writ be against the inhabitants of a county of a city or town, or the inhabitants of a franchise, liberty, city, town, or place not being part of a hundred or other like district, it may be served on any post officer thereof (*o*).

Appearance.

Appearance.] The high constable, upon being served with the summons, must enter an appearance, and defend the action for and on behalf of the inhabitants of the hundred or other like district, &c., as he may be advised (*p*). If he do not, however, the plaintiff may proceed as in other cases, and enter it for them. This appearance must be entered with one of the masters on or before the expiration of eight days after the service of the writ, inclusive of such service, as directed Vol. 121.

Declaration.

Declaration.] As to the form of the declaration, see 2 Saund. 376, 376 *b*, *e*, *f*, 377 *f*, 379; 2 Chit. Pleading, 827 *a*. The plaintiff cannot declare until the defendants have appeared, and then of course it is absolutely; the declaration is then delivered or filed as in ordinary cases.

Plea, &c.

Plea, &c.] The constable may allow judgment to go by default, with the consent and approbation of the two justices (*q*).

The defendant might formerly plead "not guilty," and give all defences in evidence (*r*); but now, by the recent rules (H. T., 4 W. 4, such defences must be pleaded specially, as in other cases.

Amendment.

Amendment.] As this is not a penal action, it is within the

(*h*) See *ante*, 841.

(*i*) See 2 Saund. 376 *f*; *Id.* 375: *Johnson v. Jackson*, 2 D. & R. 439; 1 B. & C. 304. See the form, Chit. Forms, 498.

(*k*) *Horton v. Inhabitants of Stamford*, 2 Dowl. 96; 1 C. & M. 773; 3 Tyr. 809, S. C.

(*l*) *Roberts v. Bate*, 6 Ad. & El. 778.

(*m*) 2 W. 4, c. 39, s. 13, *ante*, Vol. I. 11

(*n*) 7 & 8 G. 4, c. 31, s. 4.

(*o*) 2 W. 4, c. 39, s. 13, *ante*, Vol. 115.

(*p*) 7 & 8 G. 4, c. 31, s. 4.

(*q*) 7 & 8 G. 4, c. 31, s. 4.

(*r*) See *Vld. Ent.* 211: *Lil. Ent.* 29 *Hans. Ent.* 4: 1 And. 158.

statutes of jeofails, and is also amendable even after issue joined, in the same manner as any other civil action(s).

CHAP. II.
SECT. 2.

Evidence.] Hundredors are made competent witnesses by Evidence. s 7 & 8 G. 4, c. 31, s. 5.

Damages.] The plaintiff cannot proceed by action, unless Damages. loss exceed 30l. ; for a loss amounting to that sum or under, remedy is by summary proceedings before justices at a local petty session(t).

As to the mode of assessing damages, &c., see *Duke of Newcastle v. Hundred of Broxtowe*, 4 B. & Ad. 273.

Costs.] The plaintiff in this action is entitled to costs if he Costs. recover(u). So the hundred will, it seems, be entitled to costs the plaintiff be nonsuit, &c., as in other cases(x).

Execution.] The execution is by *fiery facias* against the Execution. inhabitants of the hundred, &c., generally, directed to the sheriff of the county in which such hundred, &c., is situate, and indorsed thus: "The within damages are to be levied according to the statute 7 & 8 G. 4, c. 31," adding the attorney's name and residence, and the day of the month and year(y). The 13th section of the act makes provision for executing writs in certain places. When this writ is delivered to the sheriff, instead of levying the amount on any of the inhabitants of the hundred, &c., he must proceed as directed by the 6th section of the act. The 7th section of the act points out the mode of reimbursing the high constable or his expenses in defending the action. The 14th and 15th sections point out the mode of reimbursements in towns, &c., not in a hundred, but contributing to the county rate, and *vice versa*.

(u) *Barecroft v. Hundreds of Burnham and Stone*, 3 Lev. 347; *Merrick v. Hundred of Ousaston*, Hardw. 409; Andr. 115, R. C.

Cowp. 485: *Witham v. HM*, 2 Wils. 91.

(x) *Gretham v. Hundred of Theale*, 3 Burr. 1723.

(y) See the form of writ, Chit. Forma, 499.

(t) See the 7 & 8 G. 4, c. 31, ss. 8, 9.

(u) 1 Saund. 378 b: *Ratcliffe v. Eden*,

CHAPTER III.

ACTIONS BY AND AGAINST ATTORNIES AND OFFICERS OF THE COURT, AND AGAINST THE MARSHAL OR WARDEN.

SECT. 1. *Actions by Attornies and Officers*, 846, 847.2. *Actions against Attornies and Officers*, 847 to 849.3. *Actions against the Marshal or Warden for Escape, &c.*, 849, 850.

SECT. 1.

*Actions by Attornies and Officers.*BOOK III.
PART II.Process in
Actions by.

FORMERLY, an attorney or officer of the Courts of Queen's Bench or Common Pleas had in most cases the right of suing in the court of which he was an attorney or officer by *attachment of privilege*; and, having brought the defendant before the court by that writ, he might have declared against him, and proceeded in the action as in ordinary cases. Now, however, the right of suing by this attachment of privilege is abolished by the 2 W. 4, c. 39, ss. 21, 1, 3, 4, and an attorney must, in all cases, sue in the same way as any other person must.

Privileges not
abolished by
2 W. 4, c. 39.

Inasmuch as this statute thus abolishes the writ of attachment of privilege, so as to leave an attorney no option as to whether he will sue by it or not, his other privileges are not in anywise affected by the statute, and those privileges still exist to the same extent as they did before the statute, when he sued by attachment of privilege (a). As to what privileges an attorney plaintiff has, and how they may be lost or waived, see *ante*, Vol. I. 47 to 49.

Delivery of
Bill.

Where the action is for costs for business done in a court of law or equity, a bill must, in general, be furnished to the client a month previously to the writ being sued out, as fully pointed out, Vol. I. 69; and a duplicate of the bill should be kept, in order to be given in evidence at the trial (b).

Venue.

The plaintiff, in transitory actions, suing by himself as an attorney (c), may lay the venue in Middlesex; and it cannot afterwards be changed upon the usual application by the de-

(a) *Meggison v. Cole*, MS., K. B., 11th June, 1833: *Lewis v. Kerr*, 2 M. & W. 226; 5 Dowl. 447, S. C.: and see *Dyer v. Long*, 4 Dowl. 630.

(b) Vol. I. 85.

(c) *Harrington v. Page*, 2 Dowl. 164: *Lowless v. Timms*, 3 Dow. 707.

ant, as in ordinary cases (d); and this although he has entered his certificate (e). The other proceedings are, in real, the same as in proceedings against ordinary persons.

CHAP. 111
SECT. 1.

SECT. 2.

Actions against Attornies and Officers.

GENERALLY, an attorney or officer of the Courts of Queen's Bench or Common Pleas must have been sued in the court of which he was an attorney or officer, by *bill*. Now, however, the privilege of being sued by bill is abolished by the 2 W. 4, c. 21, s. 1, 3, 4, and an attorney must in all cases be sued by other person.

Although this enactment abolishes the former mode of proceeding in an action against an attorney, his other privileges continue. Therefore, when a sole defendant, and not *ex autre droit* (f), he must as formerly be sued in the court of which he is an attorney; and if sued in another court, might plead his privilege in abatement (g). As to the privileges of attornies when defendants, see *ante*, Vol. I. 47.

Attornies, unless expressly mentioned, are not affected by the acts of Conscience, either as plaintiffs (h) or defendants (i); in some instances, however, attornies, as defendants, are subject to the jurisdiction of courts of conscience, by express provision of the statutes regulating such courts; as in Westminster, (6 & 7 W. 4, c. xxxvii. s. 49; see 24 G. 2, c. 42, s. 1) (j), London, (5 & 6 W. 4, c. 22; and see 39 & 40 G. 3, c. civ. s. 10), the Tower of London, (19 G. 3, c. 68, s. 24), Southwark, (4 G. 4, c. ii. s. 7), and the eastern half of the hundred of Brixton (k), when they reside within such jurisdictions respectively. Therefore, for debts within the cognizance of these courts, attornies residing within their jurisdiction must be sued there, and not in the superior courts. But in an action against an attorney, where there is a verdict for less than damages, the judge at *Nisi Prius* may, it seems, as in several cases, certify under the 43 Eliz. c. 6, to prevent the plaintiff from recovering his costs (l).

Being sued
Courts of
Conscience.

An attorney or officer of the court, as we have already seen, (L. I. 468), cannot in general be holden to bail; but in some

Discharge
from and
Remedy to

Partridge v. Woodcock, 2 Dowl. 540; *see v. Oak*, MS., K. B., 11th June, 1797; *Pope v. Radburne*, 4 Burr. 3087; *by v. Doe*, 3 T. R. 873; see *Leach v. 7 Trust*, 145; *Mounsey v. Wat- B. & C. 683*.
Partridge v. Woodcock, 2 Dowl. 550.
Newman v. Roseland, 1 Ld. Raym. 544, 2, 3, C.
Leach v. Kerr, 3 M. & W. 226; 3 447, 3, C.; *Percival v. Cook*, 7 310; and see *Dyer v. Levy*, 4 620; *Davidson v. Chisham*, 1 King. 597; 1 Scott, 117, 3, C. Before the 1, s. 20, in all cases where an attorney not expressly made subject to jurisdiction of a court of conscience,

he must have been sued in the court of which he was an officer, and not elsewhere, however trifling the cause of action were. (See *Wiltshire v. Lloyd*, 1 Doug. 381; *Gardner v. Jessop*, 2 Wils. 42).
(h) *Johnson v. Bray*, 2 B. & B. 606; *Board v. Parker*, 7 East, 46.
(i) *Wiltshire v. Lloyd*, Doug. 381, 382; *Hodding v. Farrand*, 7 East, 50.
(j) He is not subject to the jurisdiction of the county court of Middlesex. (23 G. 2, c. 33; *Gardner v. Jessop*, 2 Wils. 43; *Wiltshire v. Lloyd*, 1 Doug. 381; but see *20th v. Bennett*, 3 Burr. 1683; *Parker v. Vaughan*, 3 B. & P. 30).
(k) *Wright v. Norton*, 10 B. & C. 402; 3 M. & Ry. 454, 3, C.

BOOK III.
PART II.Arrest on
Mesne Pro-
cess.

cases already pointed out (*ante*, 847, 848) he loses this privilege. If he be improperly arrested upon mesne process issuing out of the court of which he is an attorney the court, or a judge at chambers, will discharge him, upon entering a common appearance; but, if he be an attorney or officer of another court, his only remedy is by suing out a writ of privilege, and pleading it in abatement (*l*). Trespass is not maintainable for holding an attorney to bail, notwithstanding his privilege (*m*); the only form of remedy would be by action in the case, and then it would lie only where the arrest was with knowledge of the defendant's being an attorney (*n*). The application for the discharge should be made without delay (*o*). As to the mode of suing out a writ of privilege, and obtaining a *supersedeas* thereon, where the arrest is under process from an inferior court, see *Vol. I.* 469.

Appearance.

An appearance is entered, &c., as in ordinary cases. (*Vol. I.* 121).

Declaration.

The time for declaring and mode of declaring are the same as in ordinary cases (*p*). An attorney or officer, when a defendant, has not the privilege of changing the *venue* to Westminster, when laid in any other county (*q*), unless upon the usual affidavit, as in ordinary cases (*r*).

Plea, &c.

Formerly, when the proceedings in the Queen's Bench were by bill, if the copy of the bill were delivered on or before the last day of the term, the defendant must have pleaded within the four days, whatever might be the distance of his residence from London (*s*), or wherever the *venue* was laid (*t*); but if the copy was not delivered within that time, the defendant might plead at any time within the four first days of the following term (*t*); and the notice must have been indorsed on the copy of the bill accordingly. In accordance with this practice, it should seem, that, notwithstanding the new mode of proceeding against an attorney introduced by the 2 *W. 4*, c. 39, he must plead to the declaration within *four* days, whatever may be his distance from London, or wherever the *venue* is laid (*u*), and this in either of the courts.

All the remaining proceedings in the action are the same as in ordinary cases.

(*l*) *Vol. I.* 468: see *Hopkins v. Smith*, 1 L. Raym. 712; *Thomas v. Lloyd*, Id. 376; 1 Salk. 194, S. C.; *Dillon v. Harper*, Id. 328; 2 Salk. 545; 2 L. Raym. 898, S. C.; *Barber v. Palmer*, 6 T. R. 524.

(*m*) *Noel v. Isaac*, 1 C., M. & R. 753.

(*n*) *Whalley v. Pepper*, 7 C. & P. 516.

(*o*) *Bernard v. Winnington*, 1 Chit. Rep. 188; *Paul v. Garry*, 6 B. & C. 77 b.

(*p*) See *Vol. I.* 134, 140.

(*q*) *Yearlley v. Roe*, 3 T. R. 573; *Pope*

v. Relfearne, 4 Burr. 2027; *Pye v. Leigh*, 2 Bl. Rep. 1165.

(*r*) See Book IV. Part I. Ch. 6: see *Wigley v. Morgan*, 2 Str. 1042.

(*s*) *Mann v. Fletcher*, 5 T. R. 389; *Parmore v. Gurdwin*, 2 Salk. 517.

(*t*) R. E., 5 A. r. 3 a.

(*u*) See *Laurier v. Laurier*, 5 Dowl. 681; *Brenton v. Lawrence*, 5 Dowl. 516; and *Mann v. Fletcher*, 5 T. R. 389.

SECT. 3.

Actions against the Marshal or Warden for an Escape, &c.

CHAP. III.
SECT. 2.

Process.] THE process for the commencement of any personal **Process.**
against the marshal or warden is now, since the 2 *W.* 4,
c. 21, 1, 3, the same as against any other person, viz. by
writs, or summons and *distringas*, and is fully noticed *ante*,
102 to 133. The marshal must be sued in the Queen's
Court, and the warden must be sued in the Common Pleas,
or in the officers of the court, otherwise they might plead
privilege in abatement(*x*).

The escape be *voluntary*, (that is, if it be with the consent, **When to be**
or knowledge of the marshal or warden) (*y*), the writ **issued.**
is issued at any time; but if it be *negligent* only, then
it is issued during the escape and before the party is
re-taken or returned into the marshal's or warden's custody,
the marshal or warden may plead such retaking or re-
tention. You should always, therefore, when issuing the
writ have witnesses who can speak to its being issued whilst
the party is out of custody.

The Marshal of the Queen's Bench prison, or warden of the **Marshal or**
being an officer of the court, cannot, in general, be **Warden can-**
taken to bail(*x*). The mode of proceeding, where he is im- **not be held**
properly arrested as a common person, will be nearly the same **Bail.**
as is pointed out *ante*, 848, as to attornies.

Declaration.] The time for declaring and mode of declaring **Declaration.**
is the same as in ordinary cases(*a*). The *venue* is transitory.
Amendment of the declaration may, in general, be allowed
in other cases(*b*). The defendant is entitled to a particu-
lar of the escape for which the plaintiff sues; and the judge's
order for the particulars may require the precise day of the
escape to be stated, and which the plaintiff must state in his
declaration if it is within his knowledge(*c*).

Plea.] The time, &c., for pleading, is the same as in ordi- **Plea.**
nary cases, and if the defendant do not plead within the li-
censed time, the plaintiff may sign judgment and proceed to
obtain a writ of inquiry, unless the action be in debt for an
account on final process, in which case the judgment is final.
If he plead, then the issue is made up, and proceedings in
the action are as in ordinary cases. By stat. 8 & 9 *W.* 3, c. 27,
no retaking on fresh pursuit shall be given in evidence on
trial of any issue in an action of escape, unless the same
be specially pleaded; nor shall any special plea be allowed
without an oath by the defendant that the prisoner escaped.

Pro. Abr., Bille, pl. 29: 1 Doug. 213.
See as to attornies, *ante*, 848.
Wynne v. Walker, 2 T. R. 131.
Escape from the rules, without the
prisoner's knowledge, is not a voluntary
escape *ante*, Vol. I. 134, 140.

(*b*) *Braster v. Jones*, 6 B. & C. 196:
Barnes v. Eyles, 2 Moore, 561; 8 Taunt.
512, 8 C.

(*c*) *Davis v. Chapman*, 1 Nev. & P. 600:
Webster v. Jones, 7 D. & R. 744: and see
post, Book IV. Part I. Ch. 15, "Particu-
lars of Demand."

BOOK III.
PART II.

without his consent, privity, or knowledge(*d*). And as to the plea, *ante*, Vol. I. 152, *et seq.*

Inspection of
Habeas Cor-
pus.

Inspection of Habeas Corpus.] In this action against the marshal for an escape, the court will compel him or his officers to permit the plaintiff's attorney to inspect the writ of *habeas corpus* and return, and the *committitur* indorsed thereon.

Shewing and
giving Infor-
mation as to
Prisoners, &c.

Shewing and giving Information as to Prisoners, &c. stat. 8 & 9 W. 3, c. 27, s. 8, if the marshal of the Court Bench, or warden of the Fleet, or their respective deputies, or other keeper or keepers of any other prisons, shall, after one day's notice in writing given for that purpose, refuse to shew any prisoner committed in execution to the creditor at whose suit such prisoner was committed, or to his attorney, every such refusal shall be deemed an escape in law. And by section 9, if any person or persons desiring to charge any person with any action or execution shall desire to be informed by the said marshal or warden, or their respective deputy or deputies, or by any other keeper of any other prisons, whether such person be a prisoner in custody or not, the said marshal or warden, or such other keeper of any other prison, shall give a true note in writing thereof to the person so requesting the same, or to his attorney, upon demand at his office for that purpose. In default thereof shall forfeit the sum of 50*l.*; and if such person shall, &c., shall give a note in writing that such person is an actual prisoner, or in his or their custody, every such note shall be accepted and taken as a sufficient evidence that such person was at that time a prisoner in actual custody.

Notice of
Escape.

Where a defendant escapes from the custody of the marshal, the latter, if served with the common side-bar rule, to bring the defendant into court, &c., must give notice of the escape to the plaintiff's attorney within the time limited by the rule(*f*).

Execution.

Execution.] The execution is the same as in ordinary

(*d*) See 1 Saund. 35 n. See forms of writs of *habeas corpus* & R. 570, S. C. (f) *White v. Stratton*, 1 Dowd. 101.
(*e*) *For v. Jones*, 7 B. & C. 732; 1 M.

CHAPTER IV.

PROCEEDINGS BY AND AGAINST PRISONERS.

SECT. 1. *Against Prisoners who have been held to Bail, 851 to 860.*

2. *By Prisoners generally, 860 to 873.*

SECT. 1.

Proceedings against Prisoners who have been held to Bail.

It should be premised, that, in actions against prisoners, in which they have not been holden to bail, the proceedings are the same as in ordinary cases in actions against persons who are not prisoners. The present section will contain only the notice as to the process for detaining and holding to bail a prisoner already in custody, and proceedings against a defendant while he remains a prisoner in an action in which he has been held to bail. These will be considered under the following heads: *via*.—

CHAP. IV.
SECT. 1.

Process, 851.

Bail, 852.

Declaration, *id*.

Verdict, 853.

Proceeding to Trial or Final

Judgment, 855.

Issue, &c., 856.

Execution, 857.

Other Proceedings, 859.

Process.] The process by which a prisoner is detained and held to bail in an action, in respect of which he is not in custody, is the same as that by which defendants at large are arrested, *viz.* the writ of *capias* prescribed by the 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 3, which has been already treated of in the 1st Vol. p. 506. And though the act does not expressly refer to the case of a defendant in custody, yet writs of *capias* have, in many cases since its enactment, been issued by permission of a judge at chambers against prisoners who, though in actual custody, were yet, by collusion with their detaining creditor, or otherwise, about to obtain their discharge, and forthwith quit England. In cases, however, where the prisoner is in custody of the marshal or other officer other than the sheriff to whom the writ of *capias* is to be directed; these, inasmuch as the writ cannot be directed to any officer except a sheriff, or one who acts in the capacity of sheriff(*a*), the only mode of making it effectual appears to be by obtaining a warrant from the sheriff to the marshal, or warden, &c., making him a bailiff *pro hac vice*. Should the marshal or warden, &c.,

(a) *Per Parke B., Edwards v. Robertson, 7 Dowl. 850.*

refuse to receive such warrant, the court cannot compel him to do so; and the only way left is to get the marshal, &c., to give the bailiff notice when the debtor is likely to be discharged, and watch the opportunity of retaking him when he comes out (a).

Bail.

Bail.] As to the mode of putting in and justifying special bail, see *Vol. I.* 612.

Declaration,
&c.Time for de-
claring.

Declaration, &c.] As regards the time within which the plaintiff *must* declare against a prisoner at his suit, it was enacted by the 2 *W. 4, c. 39, s. 4*, that, "if a defendant be taken or charged in custody of the *sheriff*, upon the writ of *capias*, and imprisoned for want of sureties for his appearance thereto, the plaintiff in such process may, before the end of the next term after the detainer or arrest of such defendant, declare against such defendant, and proceed thereon, in the manner and according to the directions of the statute 4 & 5 *W. & M. c. 21*." And in the notice or *warning* to be written under or indorsed on the writ of *capias* prescribed by that act, it was stated, that if a defendant, being in custody, should be detained on that writ, or if a defendant, being arrested thereon, should go to prison for want of bail, the plaintiff might declare against any such defendant, before the end of the term next after such detainer or arrest, and proceed thereon to judgment and execution. There was also a notice of a similar effect introduced into the writ of detainer. Also, the rule of Hilary term, 3 *W. 4*, ordered, "that in all cases in which a defendant shall have been, or shall be, detained in prison on any writ of *capias* or detainer, under the statute 2 *W. 4, c. 39*, or, being arrested thereon, shall go to prison for want of bail, and in all cases in which he shall have been or shall be rendered to prison before declaration on any such process, *the plaintiff in such process shall declare against such defendant before the end of the next term after such arrest or detainer, or render and notice thereof*, otherwise such defendant shall be entitled to be discharged from such arrest or detainer, upon entering an appearance according to the form set forth in the aforesaid statute 2 *W. 4, c. 39, sched. No. 2*, unless further time to declare shall have been given to such plaintiff by rule of court, or order of a judge." But none of these regulations will, it seems, apply to the case of a prisoner arrested under the present writ of *capias*, in which nothing is said as to when the plaintiff is to declare, and such being the case, the time for declaring will, it is apprehended, be the same as in a non-bailable case, though the point is open to some doubt (b); and being so, it would, until it is settled, be safest to declare before the end of the term next after the arrest or render and notice (c). It may be here observed, that with

(a) *Edwards v. Robertson*, 7 Dowl. 821.

(b) See Mr. Lush's Treatise on the 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, pp. 5, 6, in which he ably maintains a contrary position.

(c) In consequence of this doubt, it may be well here to state the following points as to the former practice: viz. if

the defendant escaped, and were retaken the retaking would have been deemed a render within the meaning of the above rule, and the plaintiff would have had until the end of the term next after it to declare. (See *Mahon v. Butler*, Barnes 382; and see R. T., 6 A: *Grimes v. Joseph* 2 B. & B. 35; 4 Moore, 380, S. C.) If the

insolvents detained under the 85th section of the 110, it is clear that plaintiff need not declare within, and it is doubtful whether he need declare at

CHAP. IV.
SECT. 1.

Mode of declaring against a prisoner in custody of the or in the prison of the court from which the *capias* is thus:—*Engross two (e) copies of your declaration on per. Indorse on each the notice to plead, as directed 152 (f). You may also indorse on them the usual of plea; and as to which, see Id. 158. Deliver one copies to the defendant, or (which is more usual) leave at the office of the marshal or warden, if the defendant is in the custody of that officer; or with the gaoler or the sheriff's prison or gaol, if he be in the sheriff's gaol. Then make an affidavit of service, and annex the copy of the declaration to it; swear it before one of the judges of the court, file the copy and affidavit with him, and get an office copy of the affidavit, with a rule to appear and indorsed on it. Serve a copy of the rule on the prisoner or on the office of the marshal or warden, &c., in the same manner as a writ of *habeas corpus*. If a defendant in custody employ an attorney for the purpose of putting in bail, the delivery of a copy of the declaration to such attorney is not sufficient (h); and it seems in no case a delivery to an attorney would be a good*

Mode of declaring against.

were removed by *habeas* from the custody of the sheriff, and committed to the custody of the marshal, the plaintiff would have had time to declare the same time as if the defendant continued in the sheriff's custody, before the end of the term next following the arrest. (R. H., 26 G. 3.) If a defendant be removed from the custody of the sheriff, it would be deemed but a change of the same imprisonment, and the time limited for declaring would be reckoned from the original commitment. (R. H., 1 Burr. 439.)

Where the defendant was in custody on joint process against him, and the other has not been served, the plaintiff cannot declare against the defendant has been brought before the court, he may obtain time to declare for that purpose, upon applying to the court, or to a judge at chambers, upon shewing that he is using due diligence in proceeding to compel the defendant to appear, or to outlaw the defendant at large; (Morton v. Grey, 9 B. & C. 401, 346; 2 Sellon, 30; Parker, 2 W. Bl. 759); but in no case would the court grant a rule to declare where the defendant is in custody. Where the defendant is in custody of the marshal on a criminal charge, he could not have been charged with a civil action without leave of the court; and until that leave was granted, he would not be entitled to be served with a rule to declare against him. (Altrough v. Lunn, 9 B. & C. 401, 346; 2 Sellon, 30; Parker, 2 W. Bl. 759); but in no case would the court grant a rule to declare where the defendant is in custody.

Mazard v. Boufield, 7 Dowl. R. 368.

It was formerly necessary, in the case of a prisoner, where the defendant was in custody of the sheriff, &c., to make a copy of the declaration: one to be served on the defendant, or left for him at the gaoler or turnkey; another

to be annexed to the original affidavit of service, and filed with the clerk of the court; and a third to be annexed to an office copy of such affidavit; on which latter copy a rule was given, with the clerk of the court, for the defendant to appear and plead; and in default thereof, judgment might have been signed. (R. E., 5 W. & M., reg. 3, s. 2, (b), Q. B.; and see Tidd, Pract., 9th ed., 344, 5; Tidd, New Pract. 184.) In the Common Pleas, the production of the copy of the affidavit to the prothonotary being dispensed with, (Imp. C. P., 7th ed., 606, 672; Tidd, New Pract. 184), it was only necessary to have the two copies of the declaration; one to be delivered to the defendant, or left for him with the gaoler or turnkey, and the other to be annexed to an affidavit of such delivery; upon which latter copy, the secondary would have given a rule for the defendant to appear and plead. And now, by a general rule of all the courts (R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 1, s. 36; 3 B. & Ad. 379; 8 Bing. 293; 2 C. & J. 178) of H. T., 2 W. 4, r. 1, s. 36, "When the plaintiff declares against a prisoner, it shall not be necessary to make more than two copies of the declaration, of which one shall be served, and another filed, with an affidavit of service; (Append. to Tidd's Sup. 1833, 289, 290); upon the office copy of which affidavit a rule to plead may be given."

(f) According to *Clementson v. Williamson*, (1 Bing. N. C. 356; 1 Scott, 267, S. C.), where a prisoner has been served with a rule to plead, the notice to plead is not requisite. The want of a rule to plead is waived by the defendant's taking out a summons for time to plead. (*Nugee v. M'Donell*, 3 Dowl. 579).

(g) See 4 & 5 W. & M. c. 21; 1 T. R. 191. The gaoler or keeper must forthwith deliver the copy to his prisoner, under pain of an attachment. (R. E., 5 W. & M. r. 3, s. 7).

(h) *Dent v. Halliday*, 1 Taunt. 493.

BOOK III.
PART II.Habeas where
Defendant in
Prison of an
other Court.Form of De-
claration.When in Cri-
minal Cas-
tody.

service on a prisoner, unless, perhaps, under some agreement (6).

If the defendant be a prisoner in the custody of the writ on process issuing out of the Queen's Bench, or in the custody of the marshal on process issuing out of the Common Pleas Exchequer, it is, it seems, unnecessary to bring him up *ad habeas corpus*, in order to charge him with a declaration; nor is it necessary where he is in the custody of the sheriff. The charging the defendant with a declaration with *ad habeas corpus* where it is necessary does not render the writ a nullity, and it is an irregularity only, which may be cured by pleading thereto or the like (m).

As to the form of the declaration, it is the same as in ordinary cases against a defendant who is not a prisoner (n).

If the defendant be in custody on a criminal account, of the court or of a judge must first be obtained, before he be charged with a declaration or in execution on a action (o) (which rule includes prisoners for contempt but not persons in custody under attachments for the payment of costs (p), or the like); though, if he accept declaration, and suffer judgment to go against him without pleading, he has waived the advantage which he might have taken of the irregularity, and shall be bound by it (r). In a recent case, where one of two defendants was in custody of the marshal on a criminal charge, the Court of Queen's Bench allowed him to be brought up on a *habeas corpus* ad *audiam*, to be charged with a declaration (s). This is

(6) See *per Patterson, J.*, in *Spencer v. Newman*, 1 Nev. & P. 307. It was there held, that appearing as an attorney before a judge for a prisoner in custody on a writ of *habeas corpus*, does not constitute him attorney in the suit so as to entitle the plaintiff to leave the declaration at his office. In *Price's Excheq. Pract.* p. 224, it is said, that if the defendant has appeared by attorney, the demand of plea should be made on the attorney.

(m) *Barrett v. Harris*, *per Tenterden, J.*, 3 Dowl. 186; *Milford v. Milman*, 3 M. & Scott, 63; 3 Dowl. 723, 2 C. but see *Williams v. Macgregor*, *per Alderson, B.*, at chambers, 2d December, 1836. The learned Baron gave the following written judgment in the case, after having conferred with several other judges:—"In this case it appears that the defendant was arrested by capias from the Exchequer in the last vacation, and has removed himself also, in the course of the vacation, by *habeas corpus* into the custody of the marshal of K. B. In the course of the term, the plaintiff issued a declaration to be delivered, by leaving it with the officer of the K. B. Instead of bringing the defendant up by *habeas corpus* into the Exchequer, and there charging him with a declaration. The defendant now applies to be discharged, on the ground that the plaintiff has not declared against him in due time, and contends that a declaration so delivered is no declaration at all and, after considering the case, I am of opinion, that, according to the practice of the court, the declaration ought to have been delivered to him when brought up by *habeas corpus* for that purpose. The 3 W. 4, c. 39, s. 8, does not apply to cases like the present, in which a defendant is in cus-

tody of another court, and out of which process issues. But defendant has pleaded to the declaration, after that, he cannot be to treat the declaration as a nullity, therefore, on this latter ground, the writ stands." See the prior *Shannon v. Hughes*, 3 T. R. 35; *Allen*, 3 Ser. 1183: see also the investigation by Mr. Lush, in his on the New Imprisonment Act, and from which it may be that there is no reason for its *habeas*.

(n) 4 & 5 W. & M. c. 91. But out the *habeas* was necessary in G.

(o) See *Williams v. Macgregor*.

(p) See the former *Pract.* W. 4, r. 15 and see 4 & 5 W. 4.

(q) *Orsmond v. Thomas*, 1 S. R. 100; *Hammond v. Macdonald*, 1 Will. W. 31, 32; *see, Romney v. M'Donoghue*, 3 L. Raym. 541; 3 T. R. 35; *Goodman v. —* 180; *Adams v. Lenn*, 3 B. & Ald. 343, 344. According to our case of *Orsmond v. Thomas*, 440. 1 Murphy & H. 39, 2 C., necessary to obtain the leave of a judge to charge the defendant with a writ of capias, issued 1 3 W. 4, c. 39.

(r) *Federal v. Turley*, Penn.

Alford v. Howard, Can. Pr. C. 1.

(s) *Booth v. Booth*, 3 T. R.

(t) *Pepper v. Dunlop*, Can. Pr. and see *Rees v. Chertoff*, 1 T. Williams v. Bradshaw, 1 Chit. 1 Tidd, Pract., 9th ed., 343.

(u) See *per Williams v. Smith* Rep. 209; 1 Dowl. 708, 2 C.

Plea.—Proceeding to Trial, &c.

given, if it be not inconsistent with the terms of a Cm.
82
 pardon already granted to the prisoner (*u*), or the
 particularly where the party is in prison for safe
 only, and not for punishment. If the prisoner be in
 on a criminal account in any other than the prison of
 or sheriff, he cannot be charged with a civil action
 and, therefore, a prisoner under criminal process in
 or the house of correction, or other such gaols, can-
 nought up by *habeas corpus* for the purpose of being
 in the custody of the marshal in a civil action, and
 ted to his former custody so charged (*x*). If the de-
 a prisoner in custody on a criminal account, in any
 dy save that of the sheriff or warden, the Court of
 leas or Exchequer have no authority to have him
 to charge him with a civil action, for it cannot
 custody, and then commit the defendant again
 nal matter, like the Court of Queen's Bench, which
 f criminal jurisdiction (*y*). And the Court of Ex-
 a late case refused an *habeas corpus* to charge in
 defendant in custody under an order of the lords
 iralty (*z*).

be here added, that when the defendant is in Seri
Notk
 l papers, notices, &c., which do not ordinarily
 sonal service, may be delivered for him to the
 the prison (*a*). As to the delivery to his attorney,
 3.

by *R. T.*, 3 *W.* 4, "in all actions against prisoners in Plea.
 of the marshal or warden, or of the sheriff, *the de-*
all plead to the declaration at the same time, in the
er, and under the same rules, as in actions against
who are not in custody." And see *the practice*, Vol. I.

As to the service of the rule to plead, see *ante*,

ing to Trial or final Judgment.] By a general rule Proc
Trial
Judg
 orts of *H. T.*, 2 *W.* 4, reg. 1, s. 85 (*b*), "the plain-
 oceed to trial, or final judgment, against a prisoner
 e terms inclusive after declaration, and shall cause
 nt to be charged in execution within two terms
 ter such trial or judgment; of which the term in
 ich the trial was had shall be reckoned one." Also
 ere the defendant is not a prisoner at the time of
 f he afterward be rendered in discharge of his bail,
 laintiff must proceed to trial or final judgment
 i within three terms after such render and notice
 en, the term of the notice and render being deemed
 wise the defendant shall be discharged by *super-*

claration be delivered or the render made in *vaca-*

: *Forsworthy's case*, 2 L. c. 81, S. C.
 Mod. 153; 2 Salk. 500, (2) *Jones v. Danvers*, 7 Dowl. 394; 5 M.
 & W. 234, S. C.
r. Ford, 4 D. & R. 271: (a) *Whitehead v. Barber*, 1 Str. 248.
 ing *Marland v. Weston*, 3 Id. (b) See the former practice, Tidd, New
London v. Davis, 9 East, Pract. 189.
 (c) *R. H.*, 26 G. 3: T., 2 G. 1, Q. B.:
Davies, 9 New Rep. 245: see 4 T. R. 654, Q. B.: R. E., 8 G. 1, C. P.
son, 1 Bing. 221; 8 Moore,

tion, the preceding term would not, it is apprehended as one of the three terms(e). If the plaintiff having proceeded in the time above limited have the default of the court, as by the court's deferring trial on a demurrer(f), or from the default of the plaintiff by his neglecting to plead in time or the like—on the assizes at which the cause was to be tried not occurring within the time limited for the plaintiff's proceeding to trial, and the like cases the delay may be excused, and a *sedes* will not be granted. So, if the plaintiff gives notice of trial, and sets down his cause in the third term in his declaration, he has complied sufficiently with the rule; the delay would be the act of the court, and not of the plaintiff(g); but it would be otherwise, perhaps, if in the plaintiff countermanded the notice of trial, and the cause were not tried until after the term. So, if the defendant demurs to plaintiff's pleadings, and there are issues, the plaintiff will not be bound to proceed within the time limited by the rule(h).

'Final Judgment,' what.

The term "final judgment," mentioned in the rule, is a final judgment without a trial, as a judgment by demurrer or on an issue upon *nul tiel* record, and a judgment after verdict(i). And where two prisoners are charged jointly, and one of them pleaded to issue, and the plaintiff allowed judgment to go by default, and the jury was sworn to issue against the one assessed the damages against the other, the court held it sufficient that the plaintiff had proceeded to trial against the one who pleaded to issue within the time limited, although he had not proceeded to finish the trial against the other within that time(k). So, where a defendant after being charged with a declaration in Trinity term absconded in the long vacation, and did not return to court until Hilary term, 1820, the Court of Common Pleas refused to discharge him, although the plaintiff proceeded to judgment against him within Hilary term, the court saying, that the object of the practice as to this is, to prevent defendant from being imprisoned long, and necessary to enable the plaintiff to proceed in the meantime, here the defendant could not complain of the delay, as the plaintiff whilst he was not actually in custody(l).

The plaintiff may also be excused from proceeding within the time above limited by the defendant's entering into a recognizance with him, or by the defendant's estate being assigned in the provisional assignee of the Insolvent Court, or by a writ of habeas corpus for his discharge under the Insolvent Act, *post*, 864.

issue, &c.

Issue, &c.] The issue, notice of trial, or inquiry as in ordinary cases, and delivered to the turnkey, or to the defendant himself, if he have no other person(m).

(e) See *Thorn v. Leslie*, 3 Nev. & P. 305; *Gillman v. Hall*, 5 Dowl. 534; *Watson v. Dore*, 2 M. & W. 306; see *Heaton v. Whitaker*, 4 East, 349.

(f) *Huggins v. Bamberidge*, Barnes, 383.

(g) *Myers v. Cooper*, 2 Dowl. 423.

(h) *Ferguson v. D'Arcy Mahon*, 2 Jurist, 820, Q. B., Bail Court.

(i) See *Heaton v. W.* 349.

(k) *Wriglesworth v. I.* 167.

(l) *Grimes v. Joseph*, Moore, 380, S. C.

(m) *Whitehead v. Barb*

Execution against Prisoners.

Execution against.] By rule of all the courts of *H. T.*, 2 *W.* 4, 285, after ordering that the plaintiff shall proceed to trial or final judgment against a prisoner within three terms after detention, as above mentioned, it is ordered that he "shall cause the defendant to be charged in execution within two terms inclusive after such trial or judgment, of which the term in or after which the trial was had shall be reckoned one." A final judgment is complete at the time of signing it, without staying in the roll (n). If the judgment be signed in term, the plaintiff has only the following term to charge the defendant in execution; but if in vacation, he has the two following terms allowed him for that purpose, the doctrine of relation to the preceding term being now put an end to by the rule of *L. T.*, 4 *W.* 4 (o). The same principle would, perhaps, be held applicable as to the charging the defendant in execution after a final judgment. Bringing an action on the judgment within the two terms is equivalent to charging the defendant in execution (p).

The above rule of *H. T.*, 4 *W.* 4, does not, it will be seen, apply its terms to the case of a defendant becoming a prisoner by a render after trial or final judgment; but by rules of court additions to that rule, in case of a surrender in discharge of a judgment after trial had or final judgment, the plaintiff shall cause the defendant to be charged in execution within two terms next to such surrender, and the notice thereof, of which two is the term wherein such surrender shall be made shall be taken to be one(*q*). A render in *vacation* after trial had or judgment signed in *term*, is, it seems, to be considered as a render of the preceding term; but if the trial were had or judgment signed in *vacation*, and the render made in the same *vacation*, then it would be a render as of the succeeding term(*r*). The fact of the defendant being in the meantime removed by writ to the prison of another court in a civil suit makes no difference(*s*).

the defendant hinder the plaintiff from proceeding by bringing a writ of error or obtaining an injunction, the plaintiff will not be entitled to charge him in execution within the above limited, and consequently the defendant will not be entitled to a *supersedeas*, if the plaintiff proceed in due time after the writ of error has been determined, or the injunction dissolved (t). Or if one of several defendants bring a writ of error, the plaintiff is not bound to proceed against the others until the time limited after the writ of error has been determined (u). So, where the assignees of a bankrupt were prevented from charging the defendant in execution by a plea entered in his name to their *scire facias*, the court refused a *super-*

Colburn v. Hall, 5 Dowl. 534.
Colburn v. Hall, 5 Dowl. 534: and
Cotnam v. Dure, 2 M. & W. 303.
Childs v. Prince, Willes, 531; *Barnes*,
 1 C. : *Mauld v. Branthornite*, 2 Str.
Piermon v. Gushwin, 1 B. & P. 361.
 R. H., 26 G. 3. Q. B. ; R. E., 8 G. 1,
 and R. T., 26 & 27 G. 2, Exch. :
 & W. 416.
Thorn v. Leslie, 3 Nev. & P. 305 :
 & *v. Hall*, 5 Dowl. 534: *Smith v.*
W., 6 T. R. 776: *Neill v. Loeless*, 3
 & 8; 8 Taunt. 674, S. C. The case
W. v. Baker, (2 Dowl. 688; 1 A. &

Fl. 861, S. C.), is an authority against the position in the text; but that case may be said to have been overruled by that of *Thorn v. Leslie*. *Harter v. Bailey*, (3 M. & W. 415); *Faulkes v. Burgess*, (6 Dowl. 102), decided by the Exchequer, are also against the position; but those decisions were founded on that of *Burr v. Burr*.

(8) *Morris v. Magrath*, 3 B. & B. 301.

(f) See *Garrett v. Mantell*, 2 Wils. 390 :
Laroche v. Washburn, 2 T. R. 737 :
Maitland v. Macarredo, 6 M. & Sel. 130 :
Stonchurst v. Ramsden, 1 B. & Ald. 676.
 (u) *Laroche v. Washburn*, 2 T. R. 737.

BOOK III.
PART II.Where De-
fendant takes
Benefit of
Insolvent Act.How charged
in Execution
when in Custody of the
Sheriff.When in Custody at Suit
of Plaintiff.

sedes(v). Where the defendant, after rendering in of his bail in an action in the Common Pleas, was to criminal custody for a misdemeanour and so that court refused a *supersedeas* for not charging him in due time, as they had no jurisdiction to release him by *habeas* from the criminal custody in which he the

The plaintiff may also be excused from proceeding within the time above limited by the defendant entering into some negotiation with him, or by the estate being vested in the provisional assignee of the Court, on his application to be discharged under the Act, as noticed *post*, 864.

When the defendant is in custody of the sheriff, the charging the defendant in execution is by lodging a *ca. sa.* *the sheriff of the county in whose custody the defendant is, and obtaining a warrant thereon directed to the gaoler or officer who has him (y) in custody.* The charging is then complete; and although the defendant afterwards removed into the custody of the marshal it is not necessary for the plaintiff to take any other charge the marshal or warden with his custody (z). If the defendant be in custody in the country, it will suffice to deliver the *ca. sa.* to the sheriff's agent in town; and in a case where it was so delivered within the two terms, although the *ca. sa.* did not actually reach the gaoler, in whose custody the defendant was until after that time, it was held that the defendant was properly charged in execution (a). Where the defendant was in the county gaol, and a *ca. sa.* against him was issued by suit of the sheriff, directed to the coroner, was delivered to the coroner to the gaoler, this was held to be a sufficient charging of the defendant in execution (b). The defendant might remove the defendant from the sheriff's custody to the custody of the marshal, by a writ of *habeas satisfaciendum*, and there charge him in execution; but this, however, wholly in the discretion of the court to grant the writ, and in most cases it would be refused as unjust and oppressive (c).

When the defendant is in the custody of the marshal, by suit of the same plaintiff (d), the mode of charging the defendant in execution is thus:—*Get a side-bar rule from one of the judges requiring the marshal to acknowledge the defendant in custody (e); take the rule to the marshal's office, and h*

(v) *Bibbins v. Mantell*, 2 Wils. 378.(x) *Froeman v. Weston*, 1 Bing. 221; and see *Altruffe v. Lunn*, 9 B. & Cres. 395; see *Bonafous v. Schoole*, 4 T. R. 316; shewing that a defendant in custody on an attachment for non-payment of costs may be charged in execution.(y) From the case of *Poole v. Cook*, (Barnes, 389), it would seem, that, to render the charging in execution complete, a warrant should also be obtained, and lodged with the gaoler of the prison in which the defendant is detained in custody; (and see *Astley v. Goodyer*, 2 Dowl. 619); but, according to Tidd, 9th ed., 363; 2 Lee, Dict. 1075; *Owen v. Owen*, 2 B. & Ad. 806; 1 Dowl. 385, S. C.; *Leach v. Johnson*, Id. 384; it seems the delivery of the *ca. sa.* to the sheriff in whose cus-

tody the defendant is, is sufficient (quære).

(z) *Searl v. Johnson*, 1 Deemer v. Brooker, 3 Dowl. W. 206, S. C.(a) *Williams v. Waring*, 354; 4 Dowl. 200; 1 Gale,(b) *Bastard or Burston v. & E.* 451; 5 Nev. & M. 10; 1 H. & W. 321, S. C.(c) See *Williams v. Jones*(d) "The proceeding by writ does not operate to charge the defendant in execution, unless he be at the time in custody in the particular suit." *Denman, C. J.*, in *Furniss v. Nev. & M.* 60).

(e) See the form, Chit. F

judgment on it; pay him his fee. Next make out a writ piece on a plain piece of parchment (*f*), and file it with the masters who acts as clerk of the judgments. And though not essentially necessary (*g*), enter the command in the marshal's book, which is kept in the judgment roll; you will see the form of the entry there. The marshal's judgment must be of the same term the defendant is in execution, and not of a preceding term, otherwise the defendant will be entitled to a *supersedeas* (*h*). If the writ be erroneous, the plaintiff must give the defendant his having abandoned it, before he can enter a second, for the mistake (*i*). Formerly, in order to charge the writ in execution, in the Queen's Bench, it was necessary to have the proceedings of record, and to docket and file the writ on the roll: but by the rule of *H. T.*, 2 *W.* 4, r. 95, "in order to charge a defendant in execution, it shall not be necessary that the proceedings be entered of record" (*k*).

If the defendant is in custody of the marshal at the suit of a third person, and not of the plaintiff, the mode of charging him in execution is, to sue out a writ of habeas corpus ad subjungendum, as directed post, *Book IV. Part I. Ch. 3*, and to charge the defendant into open court thereon, in order to charge him in execution; and the defendant being brought into court in custody of the tipstaff, will be charged in execution (*l*). It is usual to give a brief to counsel to move that the defendant may be committed. A plaintiff in prison at the suit of a third person charged in execution by this proceeding, by *habeas*, for the writ of a nonsuit, and the defendant is not compelled to answer an action to recover them (*m*).

How when
in Custody at
Suit of third
Person.

If the defendant is in custody of the marshal, charged in execution in the Common Pleas or Exchequer, the mode of charging him in execution is by means of this writ of *habeas corpus*, by which he should be brought up to the court in which the action is pending, and there be charged in execution.

When in Custody of Marshal, and charged in Execution in C. P. or Exchequer.

If the defendant is in custody of the warden, the plaintiff may, in the same manner as noticed in the preceding section, have him brought up by *habeas*, to have him charged in execution.

When in Custody of Warden.

If the defendant is in custody on a criminal account, the court or a judge is necessary before he can be charged in execution in a civil action. (*See ante*, 854).

In Criminal Custody.

A writ of *fi fieri facias* against a prisoner, see *ante*, *Vol. I. Fl. Fa.*

The effect of the death of a prisoner in execution, see *ante*, *Vol. I. p. 454*.

Death of Prisoner.

Proceedings against Prisoners.] As to an attachment Other Pro-

the form, *Chit. Forms*, 502.

East, 1819.

v. Stanhope, 1 *T. R.* 464.

W. v. Ryan, 1 *T. R.* 237: *Camden*, 10 *East*, 46.

W. v. Breaker, 3 *Dowl.* 576;

506, *S. C.*: *Tidd*, *New Pract.*

E. B., 10th ed., 619: *Tidd*, 9th

Pardon v. Brockridge, 2 *B. &*

Cres. 342: *Pottrel v. Philby*, 3 *Burr.* 1841.

See the form of the entry of the commitment on the roll, *Chit. Forms*, 502.

(*l*) See *Tidd*, 9th ed., 364: and *per Lord Denman*, *C. J.*, in *Smith v. Sandys*, 5 *Nev.* & *M.* 60; 1 *H. & W.* 377, *S. C.*

(*m*) *Furnival v. Stringer*, 3 *Bing. N. C.* 96; 5 *Dowl.* 195, *S. C.*

BOOK III.
PART II.ceedings
against Pri-
soners.

against, see *post*, *Book IV. Part III.*; as to a cognovit or warrant of attorney, see *ante*, 676, 683; as to when they take advantage of an irregularity, see *post*, *Book IV. Part III. Ch. 17.*

SECT. 2.

Proceedings, &c., by Prisoners generally.

- | | |
|--|--|
| <p>1. <i>Rules and Regulations of the Prison.</i>
 <i>The Rules generally</i>, 860.
 <i>Day Rules</i>, 862.
 <i>Subsistence and Treatment of Prisoners</i>, <i>id.</i></p> <p>2. <i>Discharge of a Prisoner by Supersedeas.</i>
 <i>In what cases</i>, 864.
 <i>List of Prisoners supersedeable, &c.</i>, 865.
 <i>How Supersedeas obtained, &c.</i>, 866.</p> | <p><i>The effect of it</i>, 868.</p> <p>3. <i>Discharge of a Prisoner the Insolvent Acts.</i>
 <i>Proceedings under stat 3, c. 123, s. 1</i>, 868.
 <i>Proceedings under the Act</i>, 871.
 <i>Subsequent Proceedings against Insolvents died under the Lord</i> <i>id.</i></p> <p>4. <i>Discharge of Prisoners means, id.</i></p> |
|--|--|

1. *Rules and Regulations of the Prison.*The Rules
generally.

The Rules generally.] It may, perhaps, be necessary to premise, that in the prison of the Queen's Bench, which is immediately the prison of the Court of Queen's Bench, also in the prison of the Fleet, which is more immediately the prison of the Court of Common Pleas and of the Court of Exchequer, prisoners charged with civil actions may have the benefit of the rules of the prison, upon entering into a bond with two sufficient sureties, as a security to the marshal or warden against escape, and upon paying to the marshal or warden a certain per-centage upon the amount of their debts for which they are detained^(o). These rules are limited to the limits beyond the walls of the prison, within which prisoners who have found sureties, &c., as above mentioned, may have leave to reside. A prisoner in custody for a contempt, generally speaking, entitled to the benefit of them^(p).

Extent of
Rules of Q. B.

The rules of the Queen's Bench extend from *Great Court*, in the parish of *St. George the Martyr*, in the county of *Surrey*, along the north side of *Great Suffolk-street*, to the *Star Brewhouse*; and from thence along the north side of *Gilbert's-lane* to the *Blackfriars-road*, and across said road along the north-west side of *Webber-street*, to the *Half-way House*; and from thence along the western side of *Barron's-buildings*, and *St. George's-row* to the *Westgate-road*; and then across the said road, and along the north side of *St. George's Mall*, and from the pastry-cook's west end thereof, directly across to the lamp-post on the

(n) See *Jones's case*, 2 Str. 817: *R. v. Buckland*, 1 Id. 413: *R. v. Bailey*, 9 B. & C. 67.

(o) See *R. H.*, 2 & 3 G. 4, r. Ald. 560.

(p) *Hall v. Arnold*, 2 D. & R.

th near the watch-house facing the *Dog and Duck*, and along said foot-path from the said lamp-post, to another lamp-post on the eastern side of the said road facing *Hay's Nursery*; then along the whole of the said road leading by *Prospect* to the *Elephant and Castle*; and from thence along the eastern side of *Newington Causeway* to *Great Cumber-court* said (q). The following places, however, within the limits, are excepted; namely, "all taverns, victuallings, alehouses, wine vaults, houses or places licensed to sell or other spirituous liquors, and all places licensed for the entertainment. (*Ibid.*) By a subsequent rule of R. T., 3, the parish church of *St. George the Martyr*, within the parish of Southwark, and the adjoining church-yard, are to be deemed within the rules. And by the above rule, E., 35, it is also ordered, that the rules shall include "the house of correction for the county of *Surrey*, the new gaol, *South*; and the gaol then building for the county of *Surrey*, and highways (exclusive of the houses on each side thereof) running from the King's Bench prison to the said gaols respectively."

The rules of the Fleet are comprised within the following limits: that is to say, from the gate of the said prison in *Farringdon-street*, southwards along the east side of that street and *Goose-street* to the end of *Chatham-place*; then crossing the street returning northward along *Chatham-place* to *William-street*; and westward along *William-street*, northward along *Goose-street*, and westward along *Crown-court*; northward along *Dorset-street*, along *Salisbury-square* and *Salisbury-court*, *Fleet-street*; along *Fleet-street*, from *Salisbury-court* and *Fleet-lane* to the end of *Ludgate-hill* and *Bridge-street*, including both sides of the way in each of the said streets, places, lanes, and squares, except *Farringdon-street*; and from the gate northward, along the east side of *Farringdon-street* and *Fleet-lane*; up *Fleet-lane* eastward to the *Old Bailey*; along *Old Bailey* southward to *Ludgate-hill*; up *Ludgate-hill*, *Ludgate-street*, to the eastward to *St. Paul's Church-yard*, from thence westward, down *Ludgate-street* and *Ludgate-lane* to the corner of *Bridge-street*, including both sides of the way along the streets, lanes, and places last mentioned, including the two churches of *St. Bride*, *Fleet-street*, and *Martin*, *Ludgate*, and the several houses in the streets, lanes, courts, alleys, and places, and boundaries before described, except *Ave Maria-lane*, *Creed-lane*, and *Blackfriars* way on *Ludgate-hill*, which said houses and gateway shall be deemed any part of the said rules (r).

Extent of
Rules of the
Fleet.

These rules, both of the Queen's Bench prison and of the Fleet, are considered to all intents as the prison itself; and if a prisoner break them, that is, if he go beyond the limits here described, the marshal or warden is answerable to the plaintiff as for an escape, in precisely the same manner as if a defendant had escaped from the prison; and the prisoner is hereby not only deprived of the privilege of residing within the rules in future, (unless the court upon application shall

Rules consi-
dered as Part
of the Prison.

(q) R. E., 35 G. 3.

(r) R. E., 5 G. 4.

BOOK III.
PART II.

otherwise order) (*r*), but also, it seems, from a case tried at the Surrey assizes, is liable to an indictment as a breach of prison (*s*). See as to escape, where the prisoner is in custody upon mesne process, *Vol. I.* 543; and where prisoner is in custody in execution, *Vol. I.* 452. See as to action against the marshal, *ante*, 849.

Day Rules.

Day Rules.] Besides the liberty of residing within the above mentioned, the prisoner may in term time have a day (that is, a permission from the court to go out of the prison or beyond the rules of the prison, for the purpose of transacting his business), upon application to the marshal or warden according to whose custody the prisoner is in, and sign a petition to the court (*t*) for that purpose (*u*), and upon paying some trifling fee to the clerk of the day rules. The petition is afterwards read in court, and the prayer of it granted in due course; but the rule (that is, a certificate of the court's order being granted the prisoner a day rule, and which serves as a protection to him from arrest, &c.) is in fact given to the prisoner in the morning, and probably before the petition is presented; for it has been holden, that where the court grants the prayer of the petition, it has a retrospective effect so that warrants the day rules given under it, at whatever time the morning they may have been granted (*v*). By 1 & 2 *G. 3*, and *R. E.*, 30 *G. 3*, that every prisoner having a day rule shall return within the walls or rules of the prison at or before 9 o'clock of the evening of the day for which such rule shall be granted (*x*). Formerly a prisoner had only three day rules in each term (*y*); but at present the number is not limited (*z*).

Subsistence
and Treatment of Prisoners.

Subsistence and Treatment of Prisoners.] Allowances may be made out of the county rates for the subsistence of prisoners (*a*). Justices may order parochial relief to prisoners in custody on mesne process in other than county gaols (*b*). By the 53 *G. 3*, c. 21, and 7 & 8 *G. 4*, c. 53, s. 113, the commissioners of the customs are to make allowances for the subsistence of prisoners confined under Exchequer process. By the 1 & 2 *V. c.* 110, s. 43, the Insolvent Court may order an allowance to a prisoner during his confinement &c.

Only Five in a Room.

By *R. M.*, 7 *G. 4* (*c*), not more than five prisoners shall lodge in one room in the prison, until the whole number of prisoners in the prison exceed 900.

Seniority.

By *R. H.*, 6 & 7 *G. 4*, *C. P.* (*d*), no prisoners shall be admitted to any room in the prison of the Fleet by reason of seniority, except from the time of his being charged with an action, in which he is not supersedeable (*e*).

Officers not to sell to or

By *R. H.*, 7 & 8 *G. 4*, (*e*), no officer or person employed

(*r*) *R. H.*, 57 *G. 3*, r. 1.

(*s*) See Burn's J., title "Escape."

(*t*) See the forms, *Chit. Forms*, 503, 504.

(*u*) See *Anon.*, 1 *Str.* 503: *R. M.*, 28 *C. 2*.

(*v*) *Field v. Jones*, 9 *East*, 151: see *Daniel v. Morewood*, 2 *L. Raym.* 92, *contra*.

(*x*) 6 *East*, 2: 3 *T. R.* 584.

(*y*) *R. E.*, 30 *G. 3*.

(*z*) *R. H.*, 45 *G. 3*.

(*a*) See 14 *Eliz. c.* 5, s. 37: 43 *ss.* 14, 15: and 53 *G. 3*, c. 113.

(*b*) 53 *G. 3*, c. 160.

(*c*) 6 *B. & C.* 123.

(*d*) 3 *Bing.* 442.

(*e*) 6 *B. & C.* 267.

management or superintendence of the prison or prisoners be concerned in selling any article to, or doing any work for any prisoner, on pain of being dismissed from his place by the marshal, who must remove him.

CHAP. IV.
SECT. 1.

work for Prisoners.

The rule of *T. T.*, 21 *G.* 3, directs, that the marshal of the Chancery of the Court of Queen's Bench shall permit no persons to enter into the prison without their being first searched, to see whether they have any spirituous liquors with them; and that he do not suffer the wives or children of any of the prisoners to lodge in the prison under any pretence whatever; and that the marshal do prescribe in what manner, and for how long, visitors shall be allowed to see any of the prisoners, according to the circumstances of each case, in his discretion. Attornies are entitled to be admitted to the interior of the Queen's Bench prison, when they have occasion to go there for the benefit of clients confined in prison, or when they are sent for by such clients. But the court will not make a general order upon the marshal to admit an attorney to go into the interior at all times to visit his clients (f).

Visits to Prisoners, how regulated.

The rule of *M. T.*, 3 *G.* 2, directs, that "the turnkeys of the said prison do diligently attend at the gate or door of the prison, as the duty of their office requires, and do admit such persons to have access to any of the prisoners as by law are entitled thereto."

R. E., 8 *G.* 4, C. P. (g), "it is ordered, that the warden cause the gates of the said prison to be closed at the hour of the clock at night until Michaelmas, and at the hour of the clock at night between Michaelmas and Lady-day, and at the said hour of ten from Lady-day to Michaelmas next, and that no person be admitted into the said prison during the last hour preceding that at which the gates are so closed, unless it be a new prisoner or in case of an emergency under the sanction of the warden or his deputy."

stat. 32 *G.* 2, c. 28, s. 11, all prisoners in custody of the marshal, warden, sheriff, &c., may, in *term time*, petition the court out of which the process under which they are imprisoned issued, or under whose jurisdiction the prison in which they are confined is, or in *vacation* may petition one of the judges of such court, or a judge of assize, complaining of extortion or extortion by any gaoler or other person employed in the keeping, &c., of the prison in which they are confined, or of any other abuse whatsoever committed or done by them in their respective offices; and the court or judge shall hear and determine the same in a summary way, and make such order for redressing the abuses complained of, and punishing the officer, &c., and for making reparation to parties injured, as they shall think just, together with the costs of such complaint; and such order may be enforced by writ of mandamus or otherwise, as other orders of the court (h). The court will not interfere under this act to relieve a debtor from imprisonment on account of debt or extortion, unless a *prima facie* case of extortion is shown (i).

Extortion against Prisoners, how punished.

Re James & Mattheis, 1 Nev. & M. B. & Ad. 865, S. C. 1 Bing. 247.

(h) See *R. H.*, 59 *G.* 3.

(i) *Ex p. Tighe*, 2 Dowl. 148.

BOOK III.
PART II.Modes of
Discharge
from Impri-
sonment.

The remainder of this section shall be confined to the consideration of the different modes by which a prisoner may be discharged from his imprisonment; and they shall be taken in the following order:—

2. *Discharge of a Prisoner by Supersedeas.*2. Discharge
of a Prisoner
by Supersedeas.
In what
Cases, &c.

In what Cases, &c.] Before the recent Imprisonment Act (1 & 2 V. c. 110) came into operation, if a declaration were not delivered, and an affidavit thereof duly made and returned in due time, (as to which, see *ante*, 851, 852), by the plaintiff at whose suit he was in custody, the defendant might be discharged out of custody by writ of *supersedeas* or otherwise upon entering a common appearance (*j*). It is, however, as we have seen, (*ante*, 852), questionable whether this would be a ground for the discharge of a prisoner arrested under the provisions of that act, and for the reason stated in *Vol. I.* it would seem not to be a ground of discharge. If the defendant plead to a declaration which was not delivered or returned in time to prevent his being supersedeable, he waives his right to the *supersedeas* (*k*).

For Plaintiff's
not proceeding
to Trial,
&c., in Time.

If the plaintiff do not *proceed to trial*, or (in case of a judgment by default, demurrer, or issue upon *nul tiel* record) *final judgment*, in due time, (as to which, see *ante*, 851), the defendant may be discharged by writ of *supersedeas*, or otherwise, upon entering a common appearance (*l*).

For Plaintiff's
not charging
him in Execu-
tion in Time.

If the plaintiff do not *charge the defendant in execution*, (as to which, see *ante*, 857), the latter may be discharged out of custody by writ of *supersedeas* or otherwise, upon entering a common appearance (*m*).

Cases where
Laches no
Supersedeas.

There are many cases in which, by the act of the plaintiff or of the defendant himself, the plaintiff may be excused for laches in not proceeding within the time otherwise prescribed for that purpose against the defendant, and in which the defendant will not therefore be entitled to a *supersedeas*. These cases have, for the greater part, been already noticed (*ante*, 852), and in these it may be added, that if at any time pending the proceedings or before the defendant is charged in execution, there be a treaty or agreement for a settlement or compromise of the matters in dispute, no laches shall be imputed to the plaintiff, nor shall the defendant be entitled to his discharge out of custody of prosecution pending such treaty, &c. (*n*); provided the treaty or agreement be in writing, signed by the defendant or his attorney, or some other person duly authorized to do so, and it be therein expressed that proceedings are stayed upon the *defendant's request* (*o*). Also, by the recent act 1 & 2 V. c. 41, "no prisoner whose estate shall by an order under the act have been vested in the said provisional assignee shall be discharged out of custody by the making of such order, he discharged out of custody by any action, suit, or process for or concerning any delin-

(j) R. T., 3 W. 4: R. H., 26 G. 3.

(k) *Williams v. Macgregor*, *ante*, 854, n. (k): *Pearson v. Rawlings*, 1 East, 77: and see *Williams v. Scudamore*, 1 Chit. Rep. 396.(l) See the rules of court and practice, *ante*, 855.

(m) See the rules of court and practice

ante, 857.(n) *Walter v. Stewart*, 3 Wils. Bl. 918, S. C.: *Pitt v. Yalden*, 2063.(o) R. H., 6 G. 3, Q. B.: R. H. C. P. See *Molton v. Hewitt*, 2 L. C. & M. 579, S. C.

7, damages, or claim, with respect to which an adjudication, under the provisions of this act, be made by or by any *supersedeas*, judgment of *nonpross*, or judgment case of a nonsuit, for want of the plaintiff or plaintiffs action, suit, or process, proceeding therein" (*p*).

reason of a writ of error, order, agreement, or other matter, the prisoner be not entitled to a *supersedeas*, he would otherwise be entitled to for not proceeding prescribed time, the plaintiff must give a *written notice* writ of error, &c., to the marshal or warden, otherwise prisoner will be entitled to the *supersedeas* (*infra*).

general maxim, that a prisoner once supersedeable is so, unless he has waived the right to a *supersedeas*; for instance, he be supersedeable because a declaration not been delivered to him in due time, the delivery of action afterwards will not prevent him from being bound on account of the previous default (*q*). So, if supersedeable for want of proceeding to trial or final judgment, he cannot prevent his discharge by afterwards proceeding to trial or final judgment: or if he be supersedeable of being charged in execution, if the plaintiff afterwards charge him in execution he will be entitled to his discharge. Nor can the defendant be taken on a *ca. sa.* on the judgment where he was supersedeable for want of being in execution (*s*). And the only remedy the plaintiff in such a case is by action on the judgment (*t*); and plaintiff judgment in that action, might take him on a *ca. sa.*, to keep him in execution (*u*). There is one exception, however, to this rule; namely, that if the defendant be once in execution, he cannot afterwards take advantage of default of the plaintiff, other than a default in charging in execution, provided he had an opportunity, previously being charged in execution, of applying for his *supersedeas*. Also, if the defendant be in custody in two actions, *supersedeas* in one does not affect the right to proceed against the other (*y*).

Once supersedeable, always supersedeable.

It may be necessary to add, that defendant cannot again be taken to bail for the same cause of action (*z*).

[*f Prisoners supersedeable, &c.*] By general rule of all the Courts of H. T., 2 W. 4, r. 86, "the marshal of the King's Prison, and the warden of the Fleet, shall present to the Judges of the Courts of King's Bench, Common Pleas, and Exchequer, in their respective chambers at Westminster, on the first four days of every term, a list of all such pri-

List of Prisoners supersedeable, &c.

the prior statute, 7 G. 4, c. 57, the rule of H. T., 3 G. 4: 5 789; 1 D. & R. 472; 2 Chit. 1 D. & R. 472: and see *Freeborn*, 1 Bing. 251; 8 Moore, 81, 1 D. & R. 216, 347: *Holmes v. Bing.* 431; 8 Moore, 529, S. C.: *v. Brown*, 2 Dowl. 84; 1 C. & C. 308; *Bay v. Buss*, Barnes, 308: *Jewett*, 2 Dowl. 71: *Colbron v. Tidd*, 9th ed., 357.

(*r*) *Molton v. Hewitt*, 2 Dowl. 71.
(*s*) *Line v. Lowe*, 7 East, 330.
(*t*) See *Topping v. Ryan*, 1 T. R. 275.
(*u*) *Blandford v. Foot*, Cowp. 72: *Ismay v. Dewin*, 2 W. Bla. 962.
(*x*) *Ross v. Christfield*, 1 T. R. 591: see *Morris v. Magrath*, 3 B. & B. 301; 7 Moore, 154, S. C.: *Line v. Long*, 7 East, 230.
(*y*) *Phy v. Percy*, 1 T. R. 592.
(*z*) Vol. I. 476. See *Hutchins v. Kenrick*, 2 Burr. 1048: but see *Gehegan v. Harper*, 1 H. Bl. 251.

BOOK III.
PART II.Notice to
Marshal of
Cause pre-
venting Su-
persedeas.

soners as are supersedeable; shewing as to what acti
what account they are so, and as to what actions (if
still remain not supersedeable (a).

By *R. H.*, 2 *W.* 4, r. 87, "if by reason of any w
special order of the court, agreement of parties, or
cial matter, any person detained in the *actual* cust
marshal of the King's Bench prison, or warden o
be not entitled to a *supersedeas* or discharge, to
prisoner would, according to the general rules and
the court, be otherwise entitled, for want of decl
ceeding to trial or judgment, or charging in execu
the times prescribed by such general rules and pr
and in every such case, the plaintiff or plaintiffs at
such prisoner shall be so detained in custody, sh
*convenient speed, give notice in writing (b) of such a
special order, agreement, or other special matter, to
or warden, upon pain of losing the right to detain s
in custody, by reason of such special matter. And
or warden shall forthwith, after the receipt of
cause the matter thereof to be entered in the b
prison; and shall also present to the judges of th
courts, from time to time, a list of the prisoners to
special matter shall relate, shewing such special m
ther with the list of the prisoners supersedeable"*

(the objects of requiring this notice to the marsha
is, that he may be better enabled to prepare the li
by the rule *supra*. The rule extends only to
actual custody within the walls (d). Where the
plaintiff's not proceeding arises from a demurrer,
case contemplated by the rule, and plaintiff ne
notice thereof (e).

Discharge of
supersedeable
Prisoners.

Also, by *R. H.*, 2 *W.* 4, r. 88, "all prisoner
been or shall be in the custody of the marshal o
the space of one calendar month after they are su
although not superseded, shall be forthwith disch
the King's Bench or Fleet prison, as to all suc
which they have been or shall be supersedeable'
rule extends, however, only to prisoners in act
within the walls (g). And the marshal or ward
compelled to judge when a prisoner is supersede
to discharge him under this rule, but the prisoner
to the court or a judge (h).

How Super-
sedeas ob-
tained, &c.

How Supersedeas obtained, &c.] The rules of *T*
H., 26 *G.* 3, state, that the defendant shall be d
the several cases above mentioned, by *supersede*

(a) See the former rules in Q. B. of T. T., 56 *G.* 3: and M. T., 57 *G.* 3: and 5 B. & Ad. 457.

(b) See form, Chit. Forms, 501.

(c) See the former rules in Q. B., T. T. & M. T. 1816: 5 B. & Ad. 457: 5 M. & Sel. 522.

(d) *Siggers v. Brett*, 5 B. & Ad. 445.

(e) *Ferguson v. D'Arcy Mahon*, 2 Jurist, 820.

(f) By former rules of the Queen's

Bench and Common Pleas custody for six months supersedeable, although were to be forthwith discharged. (R. T., 19 *G.* 3, 4, C. P.)

(g) *Siggers v. Brett*, 5 B.

(h) *Robinson v. Cross* 410: and see *Smith v. Eg* P. 143.

according to the course of the court, upon entering a return appearance, unless, upon notice given to the plaintiff's attorney, good cause be shewn to the contrary. The mode therefore, of procuring the defendant's discharge in the cases above mentioned, is as follows:—

If the defendant be in the custody of the marshal, get a certificate from the clerk of the papers at the prison; then, get a summons requiring the plaintiff's attorney to attend, within the expiration of two days or more after the taking it out, before the judge, to shew cause why the defendant should not be discharged, &c. (i); and serve it upon the plaintiff's attorney or his clerk, within two days or more before it is returnable. One summons, if served, is sufficient (k). If the plaintiff's attorney consent to the discharge, get the consent indorsed on the summons, and the judge will make an order accordingly; or, if the plaintiff's attorney do not consent, but the cause be not deemed sufficient, the judge will make an order; or, if the attorney do not attend, then, after the expiration of half-an-hour, make an affidavit of the service of the summons, and of your attendance (l), and the judge will make the order. In town causes, this order is absolute, in the first instance; but, in country causes, it is usually but an order nisi, unless cause be shewn within four days, or such other time as the judge shall think reasonable, and which will be made absolute, if no cause be shewn (n). After the order being made, serve a copy of it upon the plaintiff's attorney, enter a common appearance, as directed Vol. I. Then, get a certificate from one of the masters of your having served the order. Then, take this certificate and order to the marshal's office, and the prisoner will thereupon be discharged without a return, upon payment of his fees.

If in Custody of Marshal.

If the defendant be in custody of the sheriff, &c., get a certificate from the gaoler of the causes the defendant is charged with, and make an affidavit of the gaoler's having signed the certificate (p). Then, take out a summons, and obtain and serve it, and enter an appearance, as is above directed (q). Then, get a præcipe for the supersedeas on plain paper, and the supersedeas on a plain piece of parchment (r); and get the certificate of the master above mentioned, to be signed by the masters, who will sign the supersedeas; get it sealed. Then, leave the writ with the gaoler of the prison, who will discharge the defendant, upon payment of his fees (s).

Of Sheriff.

Effect of it.] We have already considered the effect of a writ of habeas corpus, ante, 865.

The Effect of it.

The writ nisi for the supersedeas is no stay of the proceed-

form, Chit. Forms, 504.

2 W. 4, r. 89. By that rule it is provided, that "the order of a judge charging a prisoner on the plaintiff's neglect to declare, or trial or final judgment or return, due time, may be obtained within two days or more after the summons served two days or more before it is returnable; such order being absolute; and, in country causes, unless cause shall be shewn within four days, or within such time as the judge shall direct." See practice, Tidd, New Pract.

101.

(i) See the form of affidavit, Chit. Forms, 505.

(m) Id. 505.

(n) R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 89, *supra*, n. (k).

(o) See the form, Chit. Forms, 505.

(p) Id. 505.

(q) Id. 504.

(r) See the form of a supersedeas for not declaring, Chit. Forms, 506; for not proceeding to trial or final judgment, Id. 507; the like for not charging the defendant in execution, Id. 508.

(s) See *Jones v. Lander*, 6 T. R. 754.

ings, so as to prevent the plaintiff from proceeding to cl
the defendant in execution (t).

3. Discharge of Prisoners under Insolvent Acts.

Proceedings
under stat. 48
G. 3. c. 123,
s. 1.

Proceedings under Stat. 48 G.3, c. 123, s. 1.] "All pe
in execution upon any judgment (u), in whatsoever cou
same may have been obtained, and whether such court
be not a court of record, for *any debt* or *damages* not ex
the sum of 20*l.* *exclusive* of the costs recovered by such
ment, and who shall have lain in prison thereupon f
space of twelve successive calendar months next befo
time of their application to be discharged as hereinafte
tioned, shall and may, upon his, her, or their applicat
that purpose in term time, made to some one of his m
superior courts of record at Westminster, to the satis
of such court, be forthwith discharged out of custod
such execution by the rule or order of such court."

In what cases
Defendant en-
titled to his
Discharge.

The statute extends only to persons in actual custo
a defendant who has merely had the rules of the priso
within it(v). And the imprisonment, to entitle the
his discharge, must be immediately previous to the
cation(x). The twelve months are reckoned inclu
the day the party was charged in execution(y). Ther
defendant charged in execution on the 27th Novembe
apply on the 26th of November in the following y
The statute is not confined to parties in custody for a
extends to a party in custody in execution for dam
covered in an action of trover(a), or for an assault (b
crim. con.(c), or in an ejectment, though the damages
minal(d). It applies only to persons in execution up
ments in civil actions(e): it does not extend to a part
ecution under a writ *de contumace capiendo*(f), or on an
ment(g). It seems doubtful whether it extends to p
in execution(h). The defendant is entitled to be dis
though the debt amounts to 20*l.* precisely(i). And a
the sum for which a defendant has remained twelve
in execution exceeds 20*l.* by the *one shilling* damages
action of *debt*, he is entitled to his discharge; the
beyond that sum being considered only as cons
costs(k). Where a defendant had given a warrant o
ney for debt and costs to an amount exceeding 20*l.*, a
the original claim was less, and had remained in execu

(t) *Robinson v. Cresswell*, 2 M. & W. 410.

(u) See *R. v. Dunne*, 2 M. & Sel. 201; *Roylance v. Hewling*, 3 Id. 282.

(v) *Barnard v. Symonds*, 5 Dowl. 520; *Sumption v. Monzani*, Id.; 2 M. & W. 311, S. C.; *Gilbert v. Pope*, 5 Dowl. 449; 2 M. & W. 311, S. C.; *sed vide Boughey v. Webb*, 4 Dowl. 320, where the defendant occasionally had day rules.

(x) *Stubbing v. McGrath*, 7 Dowl. 328.

(y) *Anon.*, 1 Dowl. 150.

(z) *Parkers v. Wilkins*, 7 Dowl. 152.

(a) *Smith v. Preston*, 1 H. & W. 93.

(b) *Winter v. Elliott*, 3 Nev. & M. 315; 1 A. & F. 24, S. C.

(c) *Goodfellow v. Robings*, 3 Bing. N. C. 1; 5 Dowl. 198, S. C.

(d) *Doe v. Sinclair*, 3 Bing. N. C. 778;

5 Dowl. 615, S. C.; *Doe v. —*

(e) *Doe v. Ward*, 2 M. & W. *Smith v. Payton*, 7 Dowl. 671; *a v. Reynolds*, 10 B. & C. 484.

(f) *R. v. Hubbard*, 10 East, 4 *v. Moreland*, 2 B. & Ald. 61; *R. v. Clifford*, 2 M. & Sel. 201; *R. v. Clifford*, 58.

(g) *Ex p. Kaye*, 1 B. & Ad. 1

(h) *Doe Upton v. Brinson*, 1 *R. v. Hubbard*, 10 East, 408 *Evans*, 3 Dowl. 649.

(i) See *Tinmouth v. Taylor* C. 114; 5 M. & R. 44, S. C.; *an lance v. Hewling*, 5 M. & Sel. 2 *Ly v. Webb*, 7 Dowl. 588.

(j) *Thomson v. King*, 4 Dowl.

(k) *Fogarty v. Smith*, 4 Dowl. & W. 644, S. C.

amount twelve successive months, he was held not entitled to his discharge under the act(l); nor is a prisoner entitled to his discharge under the act if the debt exceeds although the excess consists of interest only, which has been after action brought(m). Though the judgment is in favour of 100%, yet, if the execution against the defendant is less than 20%, he may be discharged out of custody under the act, without reducing the judgment(n). It is no objection for refusing a party his discharge under this act, that he has been brought up under the compulsory clauses of the act, and has refused to deliver in his schedule(o). The statute contemplates cases where there might be process against the property of the debtor(p). On an application for a prisoner's discharge under this act, it was objected that, within the twelve months, he had several times broken the rules of the Queen's Bench prison; the court referred it to the master of the Crown Office to inquire into the matter, and if he found the prisoner had been out without a licence, he was not to be discharged(q). Where the prisoner is lunatic, the application may be made by his wife(r). An application to be discharged under this act is not affected by the Statute c. 110, s. 41(s).

An application for discharge must be made to the superior court out of which the process issues(t), and cannot be obtained before a judge at chambers(u). If the action is in an inferior court, the application may be made to any of the judges at Westminster in term time(v).

To what Court he should apply.

Mode of proceeding, as pointed out by Mr. Chapman(w), is thus:—*Obtain from the keeper of the prison in which the defendant is confined a certificate of his commitment, with a copy of the causes. Serve a ten days' notice(x) on the plaintiff or agent of the defendant's intention to apply to the court for discharge. The signature to the gaoler's certificate must be supported by affidavit. Make also an affidavit of service of the notice on the plaintiff; the defendant must also make an affidavit that the debt or damages for which he is confined in the prison do not exceed 20l., exclusive of the costs; and that he has been confined in prison thereon for the space of twelve calendar months. Give the gaoler's certificate and the affidavits, with a copy of the notice, to counsel to move for the defendant's discharge, and the court will be absolute in the first instance(a). In the Exche-*

Application, how made.

l. v. *White*, 1 Dowl. 19; Stat. 330: *Robinson v. Landell*, 7. The reason, however, for this seems doubtful, and see *cognovit*, *Rathbone v. Fewell*, 81.
m. v. *Ellis*, 2 Dowl. 749; 3 Moo. 7, 8. C.
n. v. *Parker*, 3 Dowl. 451.
o. *White*, 1 Dowl. 66; *Davis v. King*, N. C. 259; 5 Dowl. 344; *Warr v. Oremham*, 6 Dowl. 706; *Warr*, 6 Nev. & M. 814.
p. *Kaye*, 1 B. & Ad. 653.
q. *Thomas*, Mich. 1836: Chap. v. *Bowler*, 6 Nev. & M. 814.
r. *Lye*, 7 Dowl. 465.
s. *Evans*, 3 Dowl. 649.

(u) *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Dowl. 546.
(v) *Short v. Williams*, 4 Dowl. 357.
(w) Chap. Pract. 327.
(x) See the forms, Chit. Forms, 509.
(y) *Post*, 870.
(z) See the form, Chit. Forms, 509.
(a) R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 90. By that rule, "a rule or order for the discharge of a debtor who has been detained in execution a year for a debt under 20l., may be made absolute in the first instance, on an affidavit of notice given ten days before the intended application, which notice may be given before the year expires." (See *Davis v. Rogers*, 2 B. & C. 804; 4 D. & R. 361. S. C.) It was formerly only a rule nisi in the Common Pleas. (7 Taunt. 37, 467).

BOOK III.
PART II.By perfecting
Bail.
On favourable
Termination
or Comprom-
ise of the
Action.

A prisoner shall be discharged upon putting in and perfecting bail at any time before judgment (y).

A prisoner shall also be discharged when the action is abated, discontinued, or decided in his favour. So, if the prisoner settle or compromise the debt with the plaintiff, the plaintiff (or more properly his attorney) shall give the defendant a discharge in writing; and upon this being lodged with the marshal or gaoler, the prisoner shall be discharged (z). Or, if, after judgment, he pay the amount due to the plaintiff or his attorney, they are bound at the peril to discharge him; and where a defendant in execution tendered the amount of the judgment to the plaintiff and his attorney, and required them to sign his discharge, which they refused to do, unless he would also satisfy a demand then had on him for costs on another account, the court held that the defendant might maintain an action on the case against them for his subsequent detention (a). As the attorney, by strictness, has a lien on the judgment for the amount of costs (b), the discharge, more properly, should be given by him as above mentioned; but a discharge by either will be sufficient. And where a plaintiff, having his debtor in execution for 500*l.*, entered up satisfaction on the roll by a different attorney from that he had employed in the cause, upon the defendant's agreeing to pay him 120*l.* at a future time; upon motion to discharge the defendant, which was opposed by the plaintiff's attorney, on the ground of his *lien*, the court held that, although there appeared to be a fraudulent collusion between the plaintiff and the defendant, they had no power to detain the defendant in prison after satisfaction was entered on the record (c). If the prisoner be in execution at the time of his discharge, his discharge amounts to a satisfaction of the debt, even although he was discharged upon giving a security which, on account of an informality, afterwards became unavailable (d); but otherwise if he were in custody upon mere process merely (e).

In case of
Bankruptcy.

If a prisoner become bankrupt, and obtain his certificate, if the debt for which he is in custody be provable under the commission, he shall be discharged out of custody upon application to a judge at chambers (f). Even before he obtains his certificate, if the plaintiff elect to prove under the commission, he must first discharge the defendant out of custody before he will be permitted to prove (g).

After Death
of the Plain-
tiff.

Also, in a case where the wife of a prisoner became administratrix to the plaintiff, the court ordered the defendant to be discharged (h); and the Court of Common Pleas have gone so far as to discharge a prisoner in execution, after the plaintiff's death, upon service of a rule *nisi* upon the next of kin, and

(y) See Vol. I. 612, 613.

(z) See Vol. I. 543: see *Butt v. Conant*, 3 B. & B. 3; 6 Moore, 65, S. C.(a) *Crozer v. Pilling*, 6 D. & R. 129; 4 B. & C. 26, S. C.

(b) See Vol. I. 86, 87.

(c) *Marr v. Smith*, 4 B. & Ald. 466: *ante*, Vol. I. 87, 88.(d) *Ante*, Vol. I. 455: *Jaques v. Withy*,

1 T. R. 557.

(e) MS., H. 1822: *ante*, Vol. I. 47.(f) 6 G. 4, c. 16, s. 126. See Bkt. L. 210, 281, 4th ed.: *ante*, V. 470.

(g) 6 G. 4, c. 16, s. 59. See Arch. L. 108A.

(h) *Pyne v. Ellis*, 8 T. R. 407.

shewn, it appearing that the next of kin did not intend to administer (*i*). But that court refused to discharge a debt out of custody in execution at the plaintiff's suit, although the application was not made until eighteen months after the death of the latter, it appearing that he had appointed executors who were still alive, and had not assented to the administration (*k*). And where administration had been taken out, the court refused, without the authority of the administratrix, to discharge the defendant out of execution after the death of the plaintiff, although his administratrix and assignees disclaimed all interest in the action (*l*).

Wheat v. Horlock, 2 New Rep. 336. (*k*) *Dunford v. Gouldsmith*, 8 Moore, 145.
Wheat v. Martin, 1 B. & P. 176: 145.
Wheat v. Davis, Id. 336: but see (*l*) *Fothergill v. Walton*, 4 Bing. 711; 1
Farwell, 1 Bing. 431; 8 Moore, 743, S. C.

quer, where a defendant is in custody of any other officer, the warden of the Fleet, a copy of the causes, certified by the gaoler or verified by affidavit, must be produced on the application (b). *Draw up a rule, serve a copy on the plaintiff and deliver the original rule to the sheriff or keeper of the prison in which the defendant is confined, to warrant the defendant.* A notice of the application should be served on the defendant personally (d) and not his attorney, whose authority is not good when the judgment was signed (e), unless indeed the defendant cannot be found (f), or unless the attorney still continues his agent (g). Service on one of two lessors of the plaintiff is sufficient where the other had no interest, and could not be found (h). But it is not absolutely necessary to give the notice, though it is a great saving of expense to the prisoner, if no notice be given, it is only a rule nisi (i) in the first instance. The name of the cause stated in the notice must correspond with the name of that in which he is in execution (j). The rule must be served on the plaintiff, (or his agent, if he has any, and the plaintiff cannot be found), an affidavit must be made of service made, and a brief given to counsel "to move the court to make the within rule absolute;" if no sufficient cause be shown, the rule will be made absolute of course, and must then be served up and served as above (k). Where a defendant had been in custody more than twelve months on two judgments, 10% each, at the suit of the same plaintiff, it was held that there must be a separate motion in each case (l). A prisoner is entitled to his discharge as a matter of right, if the court are satisfied as to the fact of his imprisonment for twelve months, &c. (m). Where the rule is made nisi, the court have no power to order cause to be shown at chambers (n). If notice of the application for discharge was given, and the application be successfully made in the first instance, no costs are allowed to the plaintiff or creditor (o).

If the prisoner's discharge be unduly or fraudulently obtained by a statement to the court, which, if true, would entitle him to be discharged under the act, he is liable to be again taken in execution, and remanded by rule of court to the sheriff or keeper of the prison who may have discharged him under a rule so obtained, is not to be liable to

Proceedings
where Dis-
charge Impro-
perly ob-
tained.

(b) *Short v. Williams*, 4 Dowl. 357.
(c) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579.
(d) *George v. Fry*, 4 Dowl. 273: see *Biddulph v. Gray*, 5 Dowl. 406.
(e) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579: *Gordon v. Turine*, 4 Id. 580: *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Id. 546.
(f) See *Bradley v. Webb*, 7 Dowl. 588.
(g) *Granger v. Wilkes*, 14 Leg. Obs. 116: *Shilcock v. Passman*, 7 C. & P. 289: *Wilson v. Mokler*, 1 Dowl. 549: *George v. Fry*, 4 Id. 273. If not served on the plaintiff, when it might have been, the defendant may still obtain a rule nisi for his discharge, to be served on the plaintiff. (*Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579). Where the plaintiff is dead it is necessary to shew that there is no personal representative, before service of notice on the plaintiff's attorney will be deemed sufficient. (*Ex p. Richer*, 4 Dowl. 275; 1 H. & W. 518, S. C.) Where it appeared that

the plaintiff had died intestate, no administration had been granted, but that his wife was living, the rule was granted to be served on the attorney in the cause, who afterwards made absolute. (Id.)
(h) *Doe Smith v. Payton*, 1 Dowl. 546.
(i) See *Ex p. Neilson*, 7 Dowl. 588: *Magnay v. Wilkes*, Id. 467: *Addams*, 2 Dowl. 111; 1 C. & P. 204, S. C.: *Moore v. Clark*, 1 Dowl. 549.
(j) *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Id. 546.
(k) *Cowley v. Russell*, 4 Dowl. 588: *Mence v. Graves*, Id. 854: *Nelson*, 6 Id. 493: *Baker v. Spink*, 1 Id. 546.
(l) *Anon.*, 3 Leg. Obs. 76.
(m) *Stacey v. Fieldsend*, 1 Dowl. 588.
(n) *Jones v. Fitz Addams*, 1 C. & M. 855: 3 Tyr. 934, S. C.
(o) *Anon.*, 1 Dowl. 148.

an escape in consequence of such discharge (*p*). If, therefore, a prisoner obtain his discharge fraudulently, an application must be made to the court for "liberty to sue out a new writ against the defendant;" this must be supported by an affidavit of facts, to shew in what manner the discharge was improperly obtained; give a brief to counsel, with the affidavit in support of the rule; it is a rule *nisi*, and must be served on the defendant, but does not require personal service; make an affidavit of service, and give a brief to counsel to move to make the rule absolute; if the rule be made absolute, then issue the *capias ad satisfaciendum* in the usual way (*q*).

Proceedings under the Lords' Act.] The act of 1 & 2 V. c. 119, enacts, that from and after the passing of that act no prisoner for debt shall petition any court for his discharge under the Lords' Act, 32 G. 2, c. 28 (*r*). And the same section enacts, that no creditor of any prisoner shall sue in any court for the exercise of the compulsory powers against debtors under the provisions of the Lords' Act. The 36th section of the same act, however, empowers the detaining creditors of prisoners in execution, to apply by petition, to the *insolvent* court, for an order to sequestrate the defendant's estate in the provisional assignees of that court.

Proceedings
under the
Lords' Act.

Subsequent Proceedings against Insolvents discharged under the Lords' Act.] By a discharge under the Lords' Act, (which has been seen cannot take place since 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 119), a debtor's person is for ever freed from arrest for the same debt; even if he subsequently promise payment, it has no legal effect; he is considered he cannot be holden to bail on such subsequent promise (*u*). The judgment, however, remains in force, and execution may at any time be sued out against the debtor's "lands, tenements, rents or hereditaments, goods or chattels," other than and except his wearing apparel, tools, and the amount of 10*l.*, as before mentioned (*v*). As to the mode of proceeding in such a case, see *ante*, 826.

Subsequent
Proceedings
against Insol-
vents dis-
charged under
the Lords'
Act.

4. Discharge of Prisoners by other Means.

A prisoner will be entitled to his discharge, if the attorney, whose name is indorsed on the writ, declares that it was not obtained by him, or with his authority or privity (*x*). As to what defects in an affidavit to hold to bail, or in a writ of *capias*, will entitle the prisoner to his discharge, see *ante*, 484, 500, 520.

Where an
Attorney dis-
claims the
Writ.
Defect in Writ,
&c.

3. 3, c. 123, s. 1.
span. Pract. 330.
to the law and practice, when
uses of the Lords' Act were in
force, the reader is referred to Tidd's
and Archbold's Pract., 2nd ed.
35 to 140.
to the law and practice when
visions of the Lords' Act were in
force, see the 6th edition of this

Work, Vol. II. p. 917.
(*r*) See *Workman v. Leake*, Cowp. 22,
32, n.: *Pagett v. Wheate*, 2 Doug. 669.
(*u*) MS., M. 1814: *Wilson v. Kemp*, 3
M. & Sel. 595; Vol. I. 471. But this
seems questionable. And see *Horton v.*
Moggridge, 6 Taunt. 563, n.: *Hatt v.*
Verdier, 2 W. Bl. 724.
(*v*) 32 G. 2, c. 28, s. 20.
(*x*) Vol. I. 51, 52.

quer, where a defendant is in custody of any other the warden of the Fleet, a copy of the causes, certified gaoler or verified by affidavit, must be produced on application (b). *Draw up a rule, serve a copy on the plaintiff and deliver the original rule to the sheriff or keeper of the prison in which the defendant is confined, to warrant the rule.* A notice of the application should be served on the defendant personally (d) and not his attorney, whose authority expires when the judgment was signed (e), unless indeed the attorney cannot be found (f), or unless the attorney still continues his agent (g). Service on one of two lessors of the plaintiff is sufficient where the other had no interest, and cannot be found (h). But it is not absolutely necessary to give notice though it is a great saving of expense to the plaintiff. If no notice be given, it is only a rule nisi (i) in the first instance. The name of the cause stated in the notice must correspond with the name of that in which he is in execution. The rule must be served on the plaintiff, (or his agent if he cannot be found), an affidavit of service made, and a brief given to counsel "to make the rule within rule absolute;" if no sufficient cause be shown, the rule will be made absolute of course, and must then be served up and served as above (k). Where a defendant has been in custody more than twelve months on two judgments, 10l. each, at the suit of the same plaintiff, it was held that there must be a separate motion in each case (l). A prisoner is entitled to his discharge as a matter of course if the court are satisfied as to the fact of his imprisonment for twelve months, &c. (m). Where the rule is nisi, the court have no power to order cause to be shown at chambers (n). If notice of the application for discharge was given, and the application be successful in the first instance, no costs are allowed to the plaintiff or creditor (o).

Proceedings where Discharge Improperly obtained.

If the prisoner's discharge be unduly or fraudulently obtained by a statement to the court, which, if true, would entitle him to be discharged under the act, he is liable to be again taken in execution, and remanded by rule of court. The sheriff or keeper of the prison who may have remanded him under a rule so obtained, is not to be liable

(b) *Short v. Williams*, 4 Dowl. 357.
(c) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579.
(d) *George v. Fry*, 4 Dowl. 273: see *Biddulph v. Gray*, 5 Dowl. 406.
(e) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579: *Gordon v. Twine*, 4 Id. 580: *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Id. 546.
(f) See *Bradley v. Webb*, 7 Dowl. 588.
(g) *Granger v. Wilkes*, 14 Leg. Obs. 116: *Shilcock v. Passman*, 7 C. & P. 289: *Wilson v. Mokler*, 1 Dowl. 549: *George v. Fry*, 4 Id. 273. If not served on the plaintiff, when it might have been, the defendant may still obtain a rule nisi for his discharge, to be served on the plaintiff. (*Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579). Where the plaintiff is dead it is necessary to shew that there is no personal representative, before service of notice on the plaintiff's attorney will be deemed sufficient. (*Ex p. Richer*, 4 Dowl. 275; 1 H. & W. 518, S. C.) Where it appeared that

the plaintiff had died intestate, and no administration had been granted, but that his wife was living, the rule was granted to be served on the attorney in the cause, and afterwards made absolute.

(h) *Doe Smith v. Payton*.

(i) See *Ex p. Neilson*. *Magnay v. Wilkes*, Id. 46. *Addams*, 2 Dowl. 111; 1 C. & P. 904, S. C.: *Moore v.*

(j) *Kelly v. Dickenson*.

(k) *Cowley v. Bussell*. *Mence v. Graves*, Id. 854: *Johnson*, 6 Id. 493: *Baker v. S.*

(l) *Anon.*, 3 Leg. Obs.

(m) *Stacey v. Fieldsend* ante, 868.

(n) *Jones v. Fitz Addams*, 1 C. & M. 855: 3 Tyr. 43.

(o) *Anon.*, 1 Dowl. 148.

an escape in consequence of such discharge (*p*). If, therefore, a prisoner obtain his discharge fraudulently, an application must be made to the court for "liberty to sue out a new writ against the defendant;" this must be supported by an affidavit of facts, to shew in what manner the discharge was improperly obtained; give a brief to counsel, with the affidavit in support for the rule; it is a rule *nisi*, and must be served on the defendant, but does not require personal service; make an affidavit of service, and give a brief to counsel to move to make the rule absolute; if the rule be made absolute, then issue the *capias ad satisfaciendum* in the usual way (*q*).

Proceedings under the Lords' Act.] The act of 1 & 2 V. c. 119, enacts, that from and after the passing of that act no prisoner for debt shall petition any court for his discharge under the Lords' Act, 32 G. 2, c. 28 (*r*). And the same section enacts, that no creditor of any prisoner shall sue in any court for the exercise of the compulsory powers against debtors under the provisions of the Lords' Act. The 36th section of the same act, however, empowers the detaining creditors of prisoners in execution, to apply by petition, to the insolvent court, for an order to sequestrate the defendant's estate in the provisional assignees of that court.

Proceedings
under the
Lords' Act.

Subsequent Proceedings against Insolvents discharged under the Lords' Act.] By a discharge under the Lords' Act, (which discharge has been seen cannot take place since 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 119), the debtor's person is for ever freed from arrest for the same debt (*s*); even if he subsequently promise payment, it has no effect; he is considered he cannot be holden to bail on such subsequent promise (*u*). The judgment, however, remains in full force, and execution may at any time be sued out against the debtor's "lands, tenements, rents or hereditaments, goods or chattels," other than and except his wearing apparel, tools, and the amount of 10*l.*, as before mentioned (*v*). As to the mode of proceeding in such a case, see *ante*, 826.

Subsequent
Proceedings
against Insol-
vents dis-
charged under
the Lords'
Act.

4. Discharge of Prisoners by other Means.

A prisoner will be entitled to his discharge, if the attorney, whose name is indorsed on the writ, declares that it was not obtained by him, or with his authority or privity (*x*). As to what defects in an affidavit to hold to bail, or in a writ of *capias*, will entitle the prisoner to his discharge, see *ante*, 484, 500, 520.

Where an
Attorney dis-
claims the
Writ.
Defect in Writ,
&c.

3. 3, c. 123, s. 1.
span. Pract. 330.
to the law and practice, when
acts of the Lords' Act were in
force, the reader is referred to Tidd's
and Archbold's Pract., 2nd ed.
25 to 140.
to the law and practice when
visions of the Lords' Act were in
force, see the 6th edition of this

Work, Vol. II. p. 917.
(*r*) See *Workman v. Leake*, Cowp. 22,
32, n.: *Pagett v. Wheate*, 2 Doug. 669.
(*u*) MS., M. 1814: *Wilson v. Kemp*, 3
M. & Sel. 595; Vol. I. 471. But this
seems questionable. And see *Horton v.*
Moggridge, 6 Taunt. 563, n.: *Hatt v.*
Verdier, 2 W. Bl. 724.
(*v*) 32 G. 2, c. 28, s. 20.
(*x*) Vol. I. 51, 52.

If the prisoner's discharge be unduly or fraudulently obtained by a statement to the court, which, if true, entitles him to be discharged under the act, he is liable to be again taken in execution, and remanded by rule of court; and the sheriff or keeper of the prison who may have received him under a rule so obtained, is not to be liable to

(b) *Short v. Williams*, 4 Dowl. 357.
(c) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579.
(d) *George v. Fry*, 4 Dowl. 273: see *Biddulph v. Gray*, 5 Dowl. 406.
(e) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579: *Gordon v. Twine*, 4 Id. 580: *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Id. 546.
(f) See *Bradley v. Webb*, 7 Dowl. 588.
(g) *Granger v. Wilkes*, 14 Leg. Obs. 116: *Shilcock v. Passman*, 7 C. & P. 289: *Wilson v. Mokler*, 1 Dowl. 549: *George v. Fry*, 4 Id. 273. If not served on the plaintiff, when it might have been, the defendant may still obtain a rule nisi for his discharge, to be served on the plaintiff. (*Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579). Where the plaintiff is dead it is necessary to shew that there is no personal representative, before service of notice on the plaintiff's attorney will be deemed sufficient. (*Ex p. Richer*, 4 Dowl. 275; 1 H. & W. 518, S. C.) Where it appeared that

the plaintiff had died intestate no administration had been granted to be served on the attorney in the cause, & afterwards made absolute. (I)

(h) *Doe Smith v. Payton*,
(i) See *Ex p. Neilson*,
Magnay v. Wilkes. Id. 467:
Addams, 2 Dowl. 111; 1 C.
Tyr. 904, S. C.: *Moore v. G.*
(j) *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1
(k) *Cowley v. Bussell*, 4
Mence v. Graves, Id. 854: *N*
son, 6 Id. 493: *Baker v. Syd*
(l) *Anon.*, 3 Leg. Obs. 76.
(m) *Stacey v. Fieldland*, 1
ante, 868.

(n) *Jones v. Fitt Addams*,
1 C. & M. 855: 3 Tyr. 434,
(o) *Anon.*, 1 Dowl. 142.

an escape in consequence of such discharge (*p*). If, therefore, a prisoner obtain his discharge fraudulently, an application must be made to the court for "liberty to sue out a new writ against the defendant;" this must be supported by an affidavit of facts, to shew in what manner the discharge was improperly obtained; give a brief to counsel, with the affidavit in support for the rule; it is a rule *nisi*, and must be served on the defendant, but does not require personal service; make an affidavit of service, and give a brief to counsel to move to make the rule absolute; if the rule be made absolute, then issue the *capias ad satisfaciendum* in the usual way (*q*).

Proceedings under the Lords' Act.] The act of 1 & 2 V. c. 119, enacts, that from and after the passing of that act, no prisoner for debt shall petition any court for his discharge under the Lords' Act, 32 G. 2, c. 28 (*r*). And the same section enacts, that no creditor of any prisoner shall sue in any court for the exercise of the compulsory powers against debtors under the provisions of the Lords' Act. The 36th section of the same act, however, empowers the detaining creditors of prisoners in execution, to apply by petition, to the *insolvent* court, for an order to sequestrate the defendant's estate in the provisional assignees of that court.

Proceedings under the Lords' Act

Subsequent Proceedings against Insolvents discharged under the Lords' Act.] By a discharge under the Lords' Act, (which discharge cannot take place since 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 119), a debtor's person is for ever freed from arrest for the same debt; even if he subsequently promise payment, it has no legal effect; he cannot be holden to bail on such subsequent promise (*u*). The judgment, however, remains in force, and execution may at any time be sued out against the debtor's "lands, tenements, rents or hereditaments, goods or chattels," other than and except his wearing apparel, tools, &c. to the amount of 10*l.*, as before mentioned (*v*). As to the mode of proceeding in such a case, see *ante*, 826.

Subsequent Proceedings against Insolvents discharged under the Lords' Act.

4. Discharge of Prisoners by other Means.

A prisoner will be entitled to his discharge, if the attorney, whose name is indorsed on the writ, declares that it was not obtained by him, or with his authority or privity (*x*). As to what defects in an affidavit to hold to bail, or in a writ of *capias*, will entitle the prisoner to his discharge, see *ante*, 484, 500, 520.

Where an Attorney claims the Writ.

Defect in V. &c.

1. 3, c. 123, s. 1.
see *Pract.* 330.
As to the law and practice, when the provisions of the Lords' Act were in force, the reader is referred to Tidd's and Archbold's *Pract.*, 2nd ed. 26 to 140.
As to the law and practice when the provisions of the Lords' Act were in force, see the 6th edition of this

Work, Vol. II. p. 917.
(*r*) See *Workman v. Leake*, Cowp. 22, 32, n.: *Pagett v. Wheate*, 2 Doug. 669.
(*u*) MS., M. 1814: *Wilson v. Kemp*, 3 M. & Sel. 595; Vol. I. 471. But this seems questionable. And see *Horton v. Moggridge*, 6 Taunt. 563, n.: *Hatt v. Verdier*, 2 W. Bl. 724.
(*v*) 32 G. 2, c. 28, s. 20.
(*x*) Vol. I. 51, 52.

quer, where a defendant is in custody of any other officer, the warden of the Fleet, a copy of the causes, certificate of gaoler or verified by affidavit, must be produced on application (b). *Draw up a rule, serve a copy on the plaintiff and deliver the original rule to the sheriff or keeper of the prison in which the defendant is confined, to warrant the court.* A notice of the application should be served on the defendant personally (d) and not his attorney, whose authority must be shown when the judgment was signed (e), unless indeed the attorney cannot be found (f), or unless the attorney still continues his agent (g). Service on one of two lessors of the plaintiff is sufficient where the other had no interest, and could not be found (h). But it is not absolutely necessary to give notice to both, though it is a great saving of expense to the plaintiff. If no notice be given, it is only a rule nisi (i) in the first instance. The name of the cause stated in the notice must coincide with the name of that in which he is in execution (j). The rule must be served on the plaintiff, (or his agent, if any, and the plaintiff cannot be found), an affidavit of service made, and a brief given to counsel "to move the court within rule absolute;" if no sufficient cause be shown, the rule will be made absolute of course, and must then be taken up and served as above (k). Where a defendant had been in custody more than twelve months on two judgments of 10*l.* each, at the suit of the same plaintiff, it was held that there must be a separate motion in each case (l). A prisoner is entitled to his discharge as a matter of right if the court are satisfied as to the fact of his imprisonment in custody for twelve months, &c. (m). Where the rule is nisi, the court have no power to order cause to be taken at chambers (n). If notice of the application for discharge was given, and the application be successful in the first instance, no costs are allowed to the plaintiff or creditor (o).

If the prisoner's discharge be unduly or fraudulently obtained by a statement to the court, which, if true, would entitle him to be discharged under the act, he is liable to be again taken in execution, and remanded by rule of court to the sheriff or keeper of the prison who may have committed him under a rule so obtained, is not to be liable to

(b) *Short v. Williams*, 4 Dowl. 357.
(c) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579.
(d) *George v. Fry*, 4 Dowl. 273: see *Biddulph v. Gray*, 5 Dowl. 406.
(e) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579: *Gordon v. Twine*, 4 Id. 580: *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Id. 546.
(f) See *Bradley v. Webb*, 7 Dowl. 588.
(g) *Granger v. Wilkes*, 14 Leg. Obs. 116: *Shillock v. Passman*, 7 C. & P. 289: *Wilson v. Mokler*, 1 Dowl. 549: *George v. Fry*, 4 Id. 273. If not served on the plaintiff, when it might have been, the defendant may still obtain a rule nisi for his discharge, to be served on the plaintiff. (*Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579). Where the plaintiff is dead it is necessary to shew that there is no personal representative, before service of notice on the plaintiff's attorney will be deemed sufficient. (*Ex p. Richer*, 4 Dowl. 275; 1 H. & W. 518, S. C.) Where it appeared that

the plaintiff had died intestate, and no administration had been made, but that his wife was living, a rule nisi was granted to be served on the attorney in the cause, and afterwards made absolute. (l) (*Doe Smith v. Payton*, 1 Dowl. 546).
(i) See *Ex p. Neilson*, 1 Dowl. 546: *Magnay v. Wilkes*, Id. 467: *Addams*, 2 Dowl. 111; 1 C. & P. 904, S. C.: *Moore v. C. & P.*
(j) *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Dowl. 546.
(k) *Cowley v. Russell*, 4 Dowl. 546: *Mence v. Graves*, Id. 854: *Neilson*, 6 Id. 493: *Baker v. Spalding*, 1 Id. 546.
(l) *Anon.*, 3 Leg. Obs. 76.
(m) *Stacey v. Fieldsend*, 1 Dowl. 546.
(n) *Jones v. Fitz Addams*, 1 C. & M. 855: 3 Tyr. 134, S. C.
(o) *Anon.*, 1 Dowl. 142.

an escape in consequence of such discharge (*p*). If, therefore, a prisoner obtain his discharge fraudulently, an application must be made to the court for "liberty to sue out a new writ against the defendant;" this must be supported by an affidavit of facts, to shew in what manner the discharge was fraudulently obtained; give a brief to counsel, with the affidavit in support of the rule; it is a rule *nisi*, and must be served on the defendant, but does not require personal service; make an affidavit of service, and give a brief to counsel to move to make the rule absolute; if the rule be made absolute, then issue the *capias ad satisfaciendum* in the usual way (*q*).

Proceedings under the Lords' Act.] The act of 1 & 2 V. c. 119, enacts, that from and after the passing of that act, no prisoner for debt shall petition any court for his discharge under the Lords' Act, 32 G. 2, c. 28 (*r*). And the same section enacts, that no creditor of any prisoner shall sue in any court for the exercise of the compulsory powers against debtors under the provisions of the Lords' Act. The 36th section of the same act, however, empowers the detaining creditors of prisoners in execution, to apply by petition, to the *insolvent* court, for an order to sequestrate the defendant's estate in the provisional assignees of that court.

Proceedings
under the
Lords' Act.

Subsequent Proceedings against Insolvents discharged under the Lords' Act.] By a discharge under the Lords' Act, (which discharge cannot take place since 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 119), the debtor's person is for ever freed from arrest for the same debt; even if he subsequently promise payment, it has no legal effect; he cannot be holden to bail on such subsequent promise (*u*). The judgment, however, remains in full force, and execution may at any time be sued out against the debtor's "lands, tenements, rents or hereditaments, goods or chattels," other than and except his wearing apparel, tools, and the amount of 10*l.*, as before mentioned (*v*). As to the mode of proceeding in such a case, see *ante*, 826.

Subsequent
Proceedings
against Insol-
vents dis-
charged under
the Lords'
Act.

4. Discharge of Prisoners by other Means.

A prisoner will be entitled to his discharge, if the attorney, whose name is indorsed on the writ, declares that it was not obtained by him, or with his authority or privity (*x*). As to what defects in an affidavit to hold to bail, or in a writ of *capias*, will entitle the prisoner to his discharge, see *ante*, 484, 500, 520.

Where an
Attorney dis-
claims the
Writ.

Defect in Writ
&c.

3. 3, c. 123, s. 1.
supra. Pract. 330.
to the law and practice, when
the provisions of the Lords' Act were in
force, the reader is referred to Tidd's
and Archbold's Pract., 2nd ed.
26 to 140.
to the law and practice when
the provisions of the Lords' Act were in
force, see the 6th edition of this

Work, Vol. II. p. 917.
(*r*) See *Workman v. Leake*, Cowp. 22,
32, n.: *Pagett v. Wheate*, 2 Doug. 669.
(*u*) MS., M. 1814: *Wilson v. Kemp*, 3
M. & Sel. 595; Vol. I. 471. But this
seems questionable. And see *Horton v.*
Moggridge, 6 Taunt. 563, n.: *Hatt v.*
Verdier, 2 W. Bl. 724.
(*v*) 32 G. 2, c. 28, s. 20.
(*x*) Vol. I. 51, 52.

quer, where a defendant is in custody of any other officer, the warden of the Fleet, a copy of the causes, certified gaoler or verified by affidavit, must be produced on the application (b). *Draw up a rule, serve a copy on the plaintiff and deliver the original rule to the sheriff or keeper of the prison in which the defendant is confined, to warrant the defendant.* A notice of the application should be served on the defendant personally (d) and not his attorney, whose authority is required when the judgment was signed (e), unless indeed the defendant cannot be found (f), or unless the attorney still continues his agent (g). Service on one of two lessors of the plaintiff is sufficient where the other had no interest, and could not be found (h). But it is not absolutely necessary to give the notice, though it is a great saving of expense to the plaintiff, if no notice be given, it is only a rule nisi (i) in the first instance. The name of the cause stated in the notice must correspond with the name of that in which he is in execution (j). The rule must be served on the plaintiff, (or his agent, if he cannot be found), an affidavit of service made, and a brief given to counsel "to move the court within rule absolute;" if no sufficient cause be shewn, the rule will be made absolute of course, and must then be served up and served as above (k). Where a defendant had been in custody more than twelve months on two judgments of 10*l.* each, at the suit of the same plaintiff, it was held that there must be a separate motion in each case (l). A prisoner is entitled to his discharge as a matter of right if the court are satisfied as to the fact of his imprisonment and custody for twelve months, &c. (m). Where the rule is made nisi, the court have no power to order cause to be shown at chambers (n). If notice of the application for discharge was given, and the application be successfully made in the first instance, no costs are allowed to the plaintiff or creditor (o).

If the prisoner's discharge be unduly or fraudulently obtained by a statement to the court, which, if true, would entitle him to be discharged under the act, he is liable to be again taken in execution, and remanded by rule of court to the sheriff or keeper of the prison who may have discharged him under a rule so obtained, is not to be liable to

(b) *Short v. Williams*, 4 Dowl. 357.

(c) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579.

(d) *George v. Fry*, 4 Dowl. 273: see *Biddulph v. Gray*, 5 Dowl. 406.

(e) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579: *Gordon v. Turine*, 4 Id. 580: *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Id. 546.

(f) See *Bradley v. Webb*, 7 Dowl. 588.

(g) *Granger v. Wilkes*, 14 Leg. Obs. 116: *Shillock v. Passman*, 7 C. & P. 289: *Wilson v. Makler*, 1 Dowl. 549: *George v. Fry*, 4 Id. 273. If not served on the plaintiff, when it might have been, the defendant may still obtain a rule nisi for his discharge, to be served on the plaintiff. (*Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579). Where the plaintiff is dead it is necessary to shew that there is no personal representative, before service of notice on the plaintiff's attorney will be deemed sufficient. (*Ex p. Richer*, 4 Dowl. 275; 1 H. & W. 518, S. C.) Where it appeared that

the plaintiff had died intestate no administration had been granted but that his wife was living and was granted to be served on the attorney in the cause, who afterwards made absolute. (Id.)

(h) *Doe Smith v. Payton*, 7

(i) See *Ex p. Neilson*, 7 Dowl. 467: *Magnay v. Wilkes*, Id. 467: *Addams*, 2 Dowl. 111; 1 C. & P. 904, S. C.: *Moore v. Chappell*, 1 C. & P. 904.

(j) *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Id.

(k) *Cowley v. Russell*, 4 Dowl. 111: *Mence v. Graves*, Id. 854: *Nicholson*, 6 Id. 493. *Baker v. Spalding*, 1 Id. 493.

(l) *Anon.*, 3 Leg. Obs. 78.

(m) *Stacey v. Fieldsend*, 1 Dowl. 868.

(n) *Jones v. Fitz Addams*, 1 C. & M. 855: 3 Tyr. 434, S. C.

(o) *Anon.*, 1 Dowl. 142.

an escape in consequence of such discharge (*p*). If, therefore, a prisoner obtain his discharge fraudulently, an application must be made to the court for "liberty to sue out a new writ against the defendant;" this must be supported by an affidavit of facts, to shew in what manner the discharge was improperly obtained; give a brief to counsel, with the affidavit on file for the rule; it is a rule *nisi*, and must be served on the defendant, but does not require personal service; make an affidavit of service, and give a brief to counsel to move to make the rule absolute; if the rule be made absolute, then issue the *capias ad satisfaciendum* in the usual way (*q*).

Proceedings under the Lords' Act.] The act of 1 & 2 V. c. 119, enacts, that from and after the passing of that act no prisoner for debt shall petition any court for his discharge under the Lords' Act, 32 G. 2, c. 28 (*r*). And the same section enacts, that no creditor of any prisoner shall sue in any court for the exercise of the compulsory powers against debtors under the provisions of the Lords' Act. The 36th section of the same act, however, empowers the detaining creditors of prisoners in execution, to apply by petition, to the *insolvent* court, for an order to sequestrate the defendant's estate in the provisional assignees of that court.

Proceedings
under the
Lords' Act.

Subsequent Proceedings against Insolvents discharged under the Lords' Act.] By a discharge under the Lords' Act, (which has been seen cannot take place since 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 119), a debtor's person is for ever freed from arrest for the same debt; even if he subsequently promise payment, it has no effect; he is considered he cannot be holden to bail on such subsequent promise (*u*). The judgment, however, remains in force, and execution may at any time be sued out against the debtor's "lands, tenements, rents or hereditaments, goods or chattels," other than and except his wearing apparel, tools, &c. to the amount of 10*l.*, as before mentioned (*v*). As to the mode of proceeding in such a case, see *ante*, 826.

Subsequent
Proceedings
against Inso-
lvents dis-
charged und-
er the Lords'
Act.

4. Discharge of Prisoners by other Means.

A prisoner will be entitled to his discharge, if the attorney, whose name is indorsed on the writ, declares that it was not obtained by him, or with his authority or privity (*x*). As to what defects in an affidavit to hold to bail, or in a writ of *capias*, will entitle the prisoner to his discharge, see *ante*, 484, 500, 520.

Where an
Attorney dis-
claims the
Writ.
Defect in Writ
&c.

3. 3, c. 123, s. 1.
span. Pract. 330.
to the law and practice, when
visions of the Lords' Act were in
force, the reader is referred to Tidd's
and Archbold's Pract., 2nd ed.
35 to 140.
to the law and practice when
visions of the Lords' Act were in
force, see the 6th edition of this

Work, Vol. II. p. 917.
(*r*) See *Workman v. Leake*, Cowp. 22,
32, n.: *Pagett v. Wheats*, 2 Doug. 669.
(*u*) MS., M. 1814: *Wilson v. Kemp*, 3
M. & Sel. 595; Vol. I. 471. But this
seems questionable. And see *Horton v.*
Moggridge, 6 Taunt. 563, n.: *Hatt v.*
Verdier, 2 W. Bl. 724.
(*v*) 32 G. 2, c. 28, s. 20.
(*x*) Vol. I. 51, 52.

If the prisoner's discharge be unduly or fraudulently obtained by a statement to the court, which, if true, entitles him to be discharged under the act, he is liable to be again taken in execution, and remanded by rule of court; and the sheriff or keeper of the prison who may have committed him under a rule so obtained, is not to be liable to

(b) *Short v. Williams*, 4 Dowl. 357.
(c) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579.
(d) *George v. Fry*, 4 Dowl. 273: see *Biddulph v. Gray*, 5 Dowl. 406.
(e) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579: *Gordon v. Turne*, 4 Id. 580: *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Id. 546.
(f) See *Bradley v. Webb*, 7 Dowl. 588.
(g) *Granger v. Wilkes*, 14 L. & C. 116: *Shilcock v. Passman*, 7 C. & P. 289: *Wilson v. Makler*, 1 Dowl. 549: *George v. Fry*, 4 Id. 273. If not served on the plaintiff, when it might have been, the defendant may still obtain a rule nisi for his discharge, to be served on the plaintiff. (*Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579). Where the plaintiff is dead it is necessary to shew that there is no personal representative, before service of notice on the plaintiff's attorney will be deemed sufficient. (*Ex p. Richer*, 4 Dowl. 275; 1 H. & W. 518, 8 C.) Where it appeared that

the plaintiff had died intestate no administration had been made but that his wife was living and was granted to be served on the attorney in the cause, whereafterwards made absolute.

(h) *Doe Smith v. Payton*, 1 C. & M. 868.
(i) See *Ex p. Neilson*, 7 Magray v. Wilkes. Id. 467: Addams, 2 Dowl. 111; 1 C. & M. Tyr. 904, S. C.: *Moor v. Chas. Kelly*.
(j) *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 C. & M. 868.
(k) *Cowley v. Russell*, 4 Mence v. Graves, Id. 854: *Nelson*, 6 Id. 493: *Baker v. Spalding*.
(l) *Anon.*, 3 Leg. Obs. 78.
(m) *Stacey v. Fieldland*, 1 Ante, 868.
(n) *Jones v. Fitz Addams*, 1 C. & M. 855: 3 Tyr. 834, 1 C. & M.
(o) *Anon.*, 1 Dowl. 142.

an escape in consequence of such discharge (p). If, therefore, a prisoner obtain his discharge fraudulently, an application must be made to the court for "liberty to sue out a new writ against the defendant;" this must be supported by an affidavit of facts, to shew in what manner the discharge was improperly obtained; give a brief to counsel, with the affidavit in support for the rule; it is a rule *nisi*, and must be served on the defendant, but does not require personal service; make an affidavit of service, and give a brief to counsel to move to make the rule absolute; if the rule be made absolute, then issue the *capias ad satisfaciendum* in the usual way (q).

Proceedings under the Lords' Act.] The act of 1 & 2 V. c. 119, enacts, that from and after the passing of that act, no prisoner for debt shall petition any court for his discharge under the Lords' Act, 32 G. 2, c. 28 (r). And the same section enacts, that no creditor of any prisoner shall sue in any court for the exercise of the compulsory powers against debtors under the provisions of the Lords' Act. The 36th section of the same act, however, empowers the detaining creditors of prisoners in execution, to apply by petition, to the *insolvent* court, for an order to sequestrate the defendant's estate in the provisional assignees of that court.

Proceeding
under the
Lords' Act

Subsequent Proceedings against Insolvents discharged under the Lords' Act.] By a discharge under the Lords' Act, (which has been seen cannot take place since 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 119), the debtor's person is for ever freed from arrest for the same debt; even if he subsequently promise payment, it has no effect; he is considered he cannot be holden to bail on such subsequent promise (u). The judgment, however, remains in force, and execution may at any time be sued out against the debtor's "lands, tenements, rents or hereditaments, goods or chattels," other than and except his wearing apparel, tools, &c. to the amount of 10*l.*, as before mentioned (v). As to the mode of proceeding in such a case, see *ante*, 826.

Subsequent
Proceeding
against Ins-
olvents dis-
charged un-
der the Lords'
Act.

4. Discharge of Prisoners by other Means.

A prisoner will be entitled to his discharge, if the attorney, whose name is indorsed on the writ, declares that it was not obtained by him, or with his authority or privity (x). As to what defects in an affidavit to hold to bail, or in a writ of *capias*, will entitle the prisoner to his discharge, see *ante*, 484, 500, 520.

Where an
Attorney
claims the
Writ.
Defect in W
&c.

1. 3, c. 123, s. 1.
span. Pract. 330.
to the law and practice, when
visions of the Lords' Act were in
force, the reader is referred to Tidd's
and Archbold's Pract., 2nd ed.
35 to 140.
to the law and practice when
visions of the Lords' Act were in
force, see the 6th edition of this

Work, Vol. II. p. 917.
(r) See *Workman v. Leake*, Cowp. 22,
32, n.: *Pagett v. Wheate*, 2 Doug. 669.
(u) MS., M. 1814: *Wilson v. Kemp*, 3
M. & Sel. 595; Vol. I. 471. But this
seems questionable. And see *Horton v.*
Moggridge, 6 Taunt. 563, n.: *Hatt v.*
Verdier, 2 W. Bl. 724.
(v) 32 G. 2, c. 28, s. 20.
(x) Vol. I. 51, 52.

quer, where a defendant is in custody of any other officer, the warden of the Fleet, a copy of the causes, certified by the gaoler or verified by affidavit, must be produced on application (b). *Draw up a rule, serve a copy on the plaintiff and deliver the original rule to the sheriff or keeper of the prison in which the defendant is confined, to warrant the defendant.* A notice of the application should be served on the plaintiff personally (d) and not his attorney, whose authority is required when the judgment was signed (e), unless indeed the plaintiff cannot be found (f), or unless the attorney still continues his agent (g). Service on one of two lessors of the plaintiff is sufficient where the other had no interest, and could not be found (h). But it is not absolutely necessary to give the plaintiff notice, though it is a great saving of expense to the prisoner. If no notice be given, it is only a rule nisi (i) in the first instance. The name of the cause stated in the notice must correspond with the name of that in which he is in execution (j). The rule must be served on the plaintiff, (or his agent, if he can be found, or any, and the plaintiff cannot be found), an affidavit of service made, and a brief given to counsel "to move the court to make the rule absolute;" if no sufficient cause be shown, the rule will be made absolute of course, and must then be served up and served as above (k). Where a defendant had been in custody more than twelve months on two judgments, at the suit of the same plaintiff, it was held that there must be a separate motion in each case (l). A prisoner is entitled to his discharge as a matter of right if the court are satisfied as to the fact of his imprisonment and custody for twelve months, &c. (m). Where the rule is made nisi, the court have no power to order cause to be shown at chambers (n). If notice of the application for discharge was given, and the application be successful in the first instance, no costs are allowed to the plaintiff or creditor (o).

Proceedings where Discharge improperly obtained.

If the prisoner's discharge be unduly or fraudulently obtained by a statement to the court, which, if true, would entitle him to be discharged under the act, he is liable to be again taken in execution, and remanded by rule of court. The sheriff or keeper of the prison who may have committed him under a rule so obtained, is not to be liable to

(b) *Short v. Williams*, 4 Dowl. 357.
(c) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579.
(d) *George v. Fry*, 4 Dowl. 273: see *Biddulph v. Gray*, 5 Dowl. 406.
(e) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579: *Gordon v. Twine*, 4 Id. 580: *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Id. 546.
(f) See *Bradley v. Webb*, 7 Dowl. 588.
(g) *Granger v. Wilkes*, 14 Leg. Obs. 116: *Shilcock v. Passman*, 7 C. & P. 289: *Wilson v. Mokler*, 1 Dowl. 549: *George v. Fry*, 4 Id. 273. If not served on the plaintiff, when it might have been, the defendant may still obtain a rule nisi for his discharge, to be served on the plaintiff. (*Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579). Where the plaintiff is dead it is necessary to shew that there is no personal representative, before service of notice on the plaintiff's attorney will be deemed sufficient. (*Ex p. Richer*, 4 Dowl. 275; 1 H. & W. 518, & C.) Where it appeared that

the plaintiff had died intestate no administration had been granted but that his wife was living, the rule was granted to be served on the attorney in the cause, who afterwards made absolute. (l)
(h) *Doe Smith v. Payton*, 1 Dowl. 588.
(i) See *Ex p. Neilson*, 7 Dowl. 588: *Magnay v. Wilkes*, Id. 467: *Addams*, 2 Dowl. 111; 1 C. & M. 111: *Tyr. 904*, S. C.: *Muore v. Chas. Tyr.*
(j) *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Id. 546.
(k) *Cowley v. Bussell*, 4 Dowl. 588: *Mence v. Graves*, Id. 854: *Nelson*, 6 Id. 493. *Baker v. Spence*, 1 Id. 546.
(l) *Anon.*, 3 Leg. Obs. 78.
(m) *Stacey v. Fieldsend*, 1 Dowl. 588.
(n) *Jones v. Fitz Addams*, 1 C. & M. 855: 3 Tyr. 834, & C.
(o) *Anon.*, 1 Dowl. 142.

escape in consequence of such discharge (*p*). If, therefore, a prisoner obtain his discharge fraudulently, an application must be made to the court for "liberty to sue out a new writ against the defendant;" this must be supported by an affidavit of facts, to shew in what manner the discharge was improperly obtained; give a brief to counsel, with the affidavit to move for the rule; it is a rule *nisi*, and must be served on the defendant, but does not require personal service; make an affidavit of service, and give a brief to counsel to move to make the rule absolute; if the rule be made absolute, then issue out the *capias ad satisfaciendum* in the usual way (*q*).

[*Proceedings under the Lords' Act.*] The act of 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 119, enacts, that from and after the passing of that act, no prisoner for debt shall petition any court for his or her discharge under the Lords' Act, 32 G. 2, c. 28 (*r*). And the same section enacts, that no creditor of any prisoner shall petition any court for the exercise of the compulsory powers given against debtors under the provisions of the Lords' Act (*s*). The 36th section of the same act, however, empowers the detaining creditors of prisoners in execution, to apply, by petition, to the insolvent court, for an order to sequestrate the defendant's estate in the provisional assignees of that court.

Proceedings
under the
Lords' Act.

[*Subsequent Proceedings against Insolvents discharged under the Lords' Act.*] By a discharge under the Lords' Act, (which we have seen cannot take place since 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 119), the debtor's person is for ever freed from arrest for the same debt (*t*); even if he subsequently promise payment, it has not been considered he cannot be holden to bail on such subsequent promise (*u*). The judgment, however, remains in force; and execution may at any time be sued out against the debtor's "lands, tenements, rents or hereditaments, goods or chattels," other than and except his wearing apparel, tools, &c., to the amount of 10*l.*, as before mentioned (*v*). As to the mode of proceeding in such a case, see *ante*, 826.

Subsequent
Proceedings
against Insol-
vents dis-
charged under
the Lords'
Act.

4. Discharge of Prisoners by other Means.

A prisoner will be entitled to his discharge, if the attorney, whose name is indorsed on the writ, declares that it was not obtained by him, or with his authority or privity (*x*).

Where an
Attorney dis-
claims the
Writ.

As to what defects in an affidavit to hold to bail, or in a writ of *capias*, will entitle the prisoner to his discharge, see *et. I.* 484, 500, 520.

Defect in Writ,
&c.

(p) 48 G. 3, c. 123, s. 1.

(q) Chapm. Pract. 330.

(r) As to the law and practice, when the clauses of the Lords' Act were in operation, the reader is referred to Tidd's Practice, and Archbold's Pract., 2nd ed. vol. II. 135 to 140.

(s) As to the law and practice when the provisions of the Lords' Act were in operation, see the 6th edition of this

Work, Vol. II. p. 917.

(t) See *Workman v. Leake*, Cowp. 22, 32, n.: *Pagett v. Wheate*, 2 Doug. 660.

(u) MS., M. 1814: *Wilson v. Kemp*, 3 M. & Sel. 595; Vol. I. 471. But this seems questionable. And see *Horton v. Hoggridge*, 6 Taunt. 563, n.: *Hatt v. Verdier*, 2 W. Bl. 724.

(v) 32 G. 2, c. 28, s. 20.

(x) Vol. I. 51, 52.

quer, where a defendant is in custody of any other of the warden of the Fleet, a copy of the causes, certified gaoler or verified by affidavit, must be produced on application (b). *Draw up a rule, serve a copy on the plaintiff and deliver the original rule to the sheriff or keeper of the prison in which the defendant is confined, to warrant the defendant.* A notice of the application should be served on the defendant personally (d) and not his attorney, whose authority must be shown when the judgment was signed (e), unless indeed the defendant cannot be found (f), or unless the attorney still continues his agent (g). Service on one of two lessors of the plaintiff is sufficient where the other had no interest, and cannot be found (h). But it is not absolutely necessary to give notice to the defendant though it is a great saving of expense to the prisoner. If no notice be given, it is only a rule nisi (i) in the first instance. The name of the cause stated in the notice must correspond with the name of that in which he is in execution (j). The rule must be served on the plaintiff, (or his agent, if he cannot be found, any, and the plaintiff cannot be found), an affidavit of service made, and a brief given to counsel "to move for the rule to be made absolute;" if no sufficient cause be shown, the rule will be made absolute of course, and must then be served up and served as above (k). Where a defendant had been in custody more than twelve months on two judgments of 10*l.* each, at the suit of the same plaintiff, it was held that there must be a separate motion in each case (l). A prisoner is entitled to his discharge as a matter of right if the court are satisfied as to the fact of his imprisonment in custody for twelve months, &c. (m). Where the rule is made nisi, the court have no power to order cause to be shown at chambers (n). If notice of the application for discharge was given, and the application be successful in the first instance, no costs are allowed to the plaintiff or the creditor (o).

If the prisoner's discharge be unduly or fraudulently obtained by a statement to the court, which, if true, would entitle him to be discharged under the act, he is liable to be again taken in execution, and remanded by rule of court to the sheriff or keeper of the prison who may have taken him under a rule so obtained, is not to be liable to

(b) *Short v. Williams*, 4 Dowl. 357.

(c) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579.

(d) *George v. Fry*, 4 Dowl. 273: see *Biddulph v. Gray*, 5 Dowl. 406.

(e) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579: *Gordon v. Twine*, 4 Id. 580: *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Id. 546.

(f) See *Bradley v. Webb*, 7 Dowl. 588.

(g) *Granger v. Wilkes*, 14 Leg. Obs. 116: *Shilcock v. Passman*, 7 C. & P. 289: *Wilson v. Mokler*, 1 Dowl. 549: *George v. Fry*, 4 Id. 273. If not served on the plaintiff, when it might have been, the defendant may still obtain a rule nisi for his discharge, to be served on the plaintiff. (*Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579). Where the plaintiff is dead it is necessary to shew that there is no personal representative, before service of notice on the plaintiff's attorney will be deemed sufficient. (*Ex p. Richer*, 4 Dowl. 275; 1 H. & W. 518, S. C.) Where it appeared that

the plaintiff had died intestate, no administration had been granted, but that his wife was living, a rule nisi was granted to be served on the attorney in the cause, and afterwards made absolute. (l)

(h) *Doe Smith v. Payton*,

(i) See *Ex p. Neilson*, *Magnay v. Wilkes*, Id. 467: *Addams*, 2 Dowl. 111; 1 C. & P. 904, S. C.: *Muore v. Cl*

(j) *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1

(k) *Curley v. Bussell*, 4 *Mence v. Graves*, Id. 854: *Nelson v. Baker*, 6 Id. 493: *Baker v. Sp*

(l) *Anon.*, 3 Leg. Obs. 78.

(m) *Stacey v. Fieldsend*, 1 Leg. Obs. 868.

(n) *Jones v. Fitz Addams*, 1 C. & M. 855: 3 Tyr. 134,

(o) *Anon.*, 1 Dowl. 142.

or an escape in consequence of such discharge (p). If, therefore, a prisoner obtain his discharge fraudulently, an application must be made to the court for "liberty to sue out a new writ against the defendant;" this must be supported by an affidavit of facts, to shew in what manner the discharge was improperly obtained; give a brief to counsel, with the affidavit to move for the rule; it is a rule nisi, and must be served on the defendant, but does not require personal service; make an affidavit of service, and give a brief to counsel to move to make the rule absolute; if the rule be made absolute, then issue out the *capias ad satisfaciendum* in the usual way (q).

[*Proceedings under the Lords' Act.*] The act of 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 119, enacts, that from and after the passing of that act, no prisoner for debt shall petition any court for his or her discharge under the Lords' Act, 32 G. 2, c. 28 (r). And the same section enacts, that no creditor of any prisoner shall petition any court for the exercise of the compulsory powers given against debtors under the provisions of the Lords' Act (s). The 36th section of the same act, however, empowers the detaining creditors of prisoners in execution, to apply, by petition, to the insolvent court, for an order to sequestrate the defendant's estate in the provisional assignees of that court.

Proceedings
under the
Lords' Act.

[*Subsequent Proceedings against Insolvents discharged under the Lords' Act.*] By a discharge under the Lords' Act, (which we have seen cannot take place since 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 119), the debtor's person is for ever freed from arrest for the same debt (t); even if he subsequently promise payment, it has never been considered he cannot be holden to bail on such subsequent promise (u). The judgment, however, remains in force; and execution may at any time be sued out against the debtor's "lands, tenements, rents or hereditaments, goods or chattels," other than and except his wearing apparel, tools, &c., to the amount of 10l., as before mentioned (v). As to the mode of proceeding in such a case, see *ante*, 826.

Subsequent
Proceedings
against Insol-
vents dis-
charged under
the Lords'
Act.

4. Discharge of Prisoners by other Means.

A prisoner will be entitled to his discharge, if the attorney, whose name is indorsed on the writ, declares that it was not obtained by him, or with his authority or privity (x).

Where an
Attorney dis-
claims the
Writ.

As to what defects in an affidavit to hold to bail, or in a writ of *capias*, will entitle the prisoner to his discharge, see *vol. I.* 484, 500, 520.

Defect in Writ,
&c.

(p) 48 G. 3, c. 123, s. 1.

(q) Chapm. Pract. 330.

(r) As to the law and practice, when these clauses of the Lords' Act were in operation, the reader is referred to Tidd's Practice, and Archbold's Pract., 2nd ed. vol. II. 135 to 140.

(s) As to the law and practice when these provisions of the Lords' Act were in operation, see the 6th edition of this

Work, Vol. II. p. 917.

(t) See *Workman v. Leake*, Cowp. 22, 32, n.: *Pagett v. Wheats*, 2 Doug. 669.

(u) MS., M. 1814: *Wilson v. Kemp*, 3 M. & Sel. 595; Vol. I. 471. But this seems questionable. And see *Horton v. Moggridge*, 6 Taunt. 563, n.: *Hatt v. Verdier*, 2 W. Bl. 724.

(v) 32 G. 2, c. 28, s. 20.

(x) Vol. I. 51, 52.

quer, where a defendant is in custody of any other officer, the warden of the Fleet, a copy of the causes, certified by the gaoler or verified by affidavit, must be produced on application (b). *Draw up a rule, serve a copy on the plaintiff and deliver the original rule to the sheriff or keeper of the prison in which the defendant is confined, to warrant the defendant.* A notice of the application should be served on the plaintiff personally (d) and not his attorney, whose authority is required when the judgment was signed (e), unless indeed the plaintiff cannot be found (f), or unless the attorney still continues his agent (g). Service on one of two lessors of the plaintiff is sufficient where the other had no interest, and could not be found (h). But it is not absolutely necessary to give the plaintiff notice, though it is a great saving of expense to the prisoner, if no notice be given, it is only a rule nisi (i) in the first instance. The name of the cause stated in the notice must correspond with the name of that in which he is in execution (j). The rule must be served on the plaintiff, (or his agent, if any, and the plaintiff cannot be found), an affidavit must be made of service made, and a brief given to counsel "to move the court to make the within rule absolute;" if no sufficient cause be shown, the rule will be made absolute of course, and must then be served up and served as above (k). Where a defendant had been in custody more than twelve months on two judgments, at the suit of the same plaintiff, it was held that there must be a separate motion in each case (l). A prisoner is entitled to his discharge as a matter of right if the court are satisfied as to the fact of his imprisonment for twelve months, &c. (m). Where the rule is made nisi, the court have no power to order cause to be shown at chambers (n). If notice of the application for discharge was given, and the application be successful in the first instance, no costs are allowed to the plaintiff or creditor (o).

Proceedings where Discharge Improperly obtained.

If the prisoner's discharge be unduly or fraudulently obtained by a statement to the court, which, if true, would entitle him to be discharged under the act, he is liable to be again taken in execution, and remanded by rule of court to the sheriff or keeper of the prison who may have discharged him under a rule so obtained, is not to be liable to

(b) *Short v. Williams*, 4 Dowl. 357.
(c) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579.
(d) *George v. Fry*, 4 Dowl. 273: see *Biddulph v. Gray*, 5 Dowl. 406.
(e) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579: *Gordon v. Twine*, 4 Id. 580: *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Id. 546.
(f) See *Bradley v. Webb*, 7 Dowl. 588.
(g) *Granger v. Wilkes*, 14 Leg. Obs. 116: *Shilcock v. Passman*, 7 C. & P. 289: *Wilson v. Mokler*, 1 Dowl. 549: *George v. Fry*, 4 Id. 273. If not served on the plaintiff, when it might have been, the defendant may still obtain a rule nisi for his discharge, to be served on the plaintiff. (*Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579). Where the plaintiff is dead it is necessary to shew that there is no personal representative, before service of notice on the plaintiff's attorney will be deemed sufficient. (*Ex p. Richer*, 4 Dowl. 275; 1 H. & W. 518, S. C.) Where it appeared that

the plaintiff had died intestate, no administration had been granted, but that his wife was living, the rule was granted to be served on the attorney in the cause, who afterwards made absolute. (Id.)
(h) *Doe Smith v. Payton*, 7 Dowl. 588.
(i) See *Ex p. Neilson*, 7 Dowl. 588: *Magnay v. Wilkes*, Id. 467: *Addams*, 2 Dowl. 111; 1 C. & P. 904, S. C.: *Moore v. Clarke*, 1 Dowl. 549.
(j) *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Id. 546.
(k) *Cowley v. Bussell*, 4 Dowl. 588: *Mence v. Graves*, Id. 854: *Nelson*, 6 Id. 493: *Baker v. Spalding*, 1 Id. 546.
(l) *Anon.*, 3 Leg. Obs. 76.
(m) *Stacey v. Fieldsend*, 1 Dowl. 588.
(n) *Jones v. Fitz Addams*, 1 C. & M. 855: 3 Tyr. 134, S. C.
(o) *Anon.*, 1 Dowl. 148.

an escape in consequence of such discharge (*p*). If, therefore, a prisoner obtain his discharge fraudulently, an application must be made to the court for "liberty to sue out a new writ against the defendant;" this must be supported by an affidavit of facts, to shew in what manner the discharge was improperly obtained; give a brief to counsel, with the affidavit move for the rule; it is a rule *nisi*, and must be served on the defendant, but does not require personal service; make an affidavit of service, and give a brief to counsel to move to make the rule absolute; if the rule be made absolute, then issue the *capias ad satisfaciendum* in the usual way (*q*).

[*Proceedings under the Lords' Act.*] The act of 1 & 2 V., s. 119, enacts, that from and after the passing of that act no prisoner for debt shall petition any court for his or her discharge under the Lords' Act, 32 G. 2, c. 28 (*r*). And the same section enacts, that no creditor of any prisoner shall sue in any court for the exercise of the compulsory powers against debtors under the provisions of the Lords' Act. The 36th section of the same act, however, empowers the detaining creditors of prisoners in execution, to move by petition, to the *insolvent* court, for an order to assign the defendant's estate in the provisional assignees of that court.

Proceedings
under the
Lords' Act.

[*Subsequent Proceedings against Insolvents discharged under the Lords' Act.*] By a discharge under the Lords' Act, (which we have seen cannot take place since 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 119), the debtor's person is for ever freed from arrest for the same debt; even if he subsequently promise payment, it has no effect; he is considered he cannot be holden to bail on such subsequent promise (*u*). The judgment, however, remains in force, and execution may at any time be sued out against the debtor's "lands, tenements, rents or hereditaments, goods or chattels," other than and except his wearing apparel, tools, and the amount of 10*l.*, as before mentioned (*v*). As to the mode of proceeding in such a case, see *ante*, 826.

Subsequent
Proceedings
against Insol-
vents dis-
charged under
the Lords'
Act.

4. Discharge of Prisoners by other Means.

A prisoner will be entitled to his discharge, if the attorney, whose name is indorsed on the writ, declares that it was not obtained by him, or with his authority or privity (*x*). As to what defects in an affidavit to hold to bail, or in a writ of *capias*, will entitle the prisoner to his discharge, see *ante*, 484, 500, 520.

Where an
Attorney dis-
claims the
Writ.

Defect in Writ
&c.

G. 3, c. 123, s. 1.
supra. Pract. 330.

As to the law and practice, when the provisions of the Lords' Act were in force, the reader is referred to Tidd's and Archbold's Pract., 2nd ed. 136 to 140.

As to the law and practice when the provisions of the Lords' Act were in force, see the 6th edition of this

Work, Vol. II. p. 917.

(*t*) See *Workman v. Leake*, Cowp. 22, 32, n.: *Payott v. Wheate*, 2 Doug. 669.

(*u*) MS., M. 1814: *Wilson v. Kemp*, 3 M. & Sel. 595; Vol. I. 471. But this seems questionable. And see *Horton v. Moggridge*, 6 Taunt. 563, n.: *Hatt v. Verdier*, 2 W. Bl. 724.

(*v*) 32 G. 2, c. 28, s. 20.

(*x*) Vol. I. 51, 52.

quer, where a defendant is in custody of any other officer than the warden of the Fleet, a copy of the causes, certified by the gaoler or verified by affidavit, must be produced on the application (b). *Draw up a rule, serve a copy on the plaintiff, and deliver the original rule to the sheriff or keeper of the prison in which the defendant is confined, to warrant the discharge.* A notice of the application should be served on the plaintiff personally (d) and not his attorney, whose authority ends when the judgment was signed (e), unless indeed the plaintiff cannot be found (f), or unless the attorney still continues his agent (g). Service on one of two lessors of the plaintiff was held sufficient where the other had no interest, and could not be found (h). But it is not absolutely necessary to give this notice, though it is a great saving of expense to the prisoner, for if no notice be given, it is only a rule nisi (i) in the first instance. The name of the cause stated in the notice must correspond with the name of that in which he is in execution (j). The rule must be served on the plaintiff, (or his agent, if he has any, and the plaintiff cannot be found), an affidavit of the service made, and a brief given to counsel "to move to make the within rule absolute;" if no sufficient cause be shewn, the rule will be made absolute of course, and must then be drawn up and served as above (k). Where a defendant had remained in custody more than twelve months on two judgments of 10*l.* each, at the suit of the same plaintiff, it was holden that there must be a separate motion in each case (l). The prisoner is entitled to his discharge as a matter of right, if the court are satisfied as to the fact of his imprisonment in actual custody for twelve months, &c. (m). Where the rule is only rule nisi, the court have no power to order cause to be shewn at chambers (n). If notice of the application for the discharge was given, and the application be successfully opposed in the first instance, no costs are allowed to the opposing creditor (o).

Proceedings where Discharge Improperly obtained.

If the prisoner's discharge be unduly or fraudulently obtained by a statement to the court, which, if true, would entitle him to be discharged under the act, he is liable to be again taken in execution, and remanded by rule of court; but the sheriff or keeper of the prison who may have discharged him under a rule so obtained, is not to be liable to an action

(b) *Short v. Williams*, 4 Dowl. 357.

(c) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579.

(d) *George v. Fry*, 4 Dowl. 273: see *Biddulph v. Gray*, 5 Dowl. 406.

(e) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579: *Gordon v. Twine*, 4 Id. 580: *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Id. 546.

(f) See *Bradley v. Webb*, 7 Dowl. 588.

(g) *Granger v. Wilkes*, 14 Leg. Obs. 116; *Shilcock v. Passman*, 7 C. & P. 289: *Wilson v. Mokler*, 1 Dowl. 549: *George v. Fry*, 4 Id. 273. If not served on the plaintiff, when it might have been, the defendant may still obtain a rule nisi for his discharge, to be served on the plaintiff. (*Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579).

Where the plaintiff is dead it is necessary to shew that there is no personal representative, before service of notice on the plaintiff's attorney will be deemed sufficient. (*Ex p. Richer*, 4 Dowl. 275; 1 H. & W. 518, S. C.) Where it appeared that

the plaintiff had died intestate, and no administration had been taken out, but that his wife was living, a rule nisi was granted to be served on the wife by the attorney in the cause, which was afterwards made absolute. (Id.)

(h) *Doe Smith v. Payton*, 7 Dowl. 67.

(i) See *Ex p. Neilson*, 7 Taunt. 1. *Magnay v. Wilkes*, Id. 467; *Jones v. Addams*, 2 Dowl. 111; 1 C. & M. 855 Tyr. 904, S. C.; *Murre v. Clay*, 4 Dowl.

(j) *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Dowl. 537.

(k) *Churley v. Russell*, 4 Taunt. 4. *Mence v. Graves*, Id. 854; *Nicholls v. Nelson*, 6 Id. 493; *Baker v. Spies*, 7 Id. 17.

(l) *Anon.*, 3 Leg. Obs. 76.

(m) *Stacey v. Fieldsend*, 1 Dowl. 70 ante, 868.

(n) *Jones v. Fitz Addams*, 2 Dowl. 11 1 C. & M. 855; 3 Tyr. 934, S. C.

(o) *Anon.*, 1 Dowl. 148.

escape in consequence of such discharge (*p*). If, therefore, a prisoner obtain his discharge fraudulently, an application must be made to the court for "liberty to sue out a new writ against the defendant;" this must be supported by an affidavit of facts, to shew in what manner the discharge was improperly obtained; give a brief to counsel, with the affidavit move for the rule; it is a rule *nisi*, and must be served on the defendant, but does not require personal service; make an affidavit of service, and give a brief to counsel to move to make the rule absolute; if the rule be made absolute, then issue the *capias ad satisfaciendum* in the usual way (*q*).

Proceedings under the Lords' Act.] The act of 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 119, enacts, that from and after the passing of that act, no prisoner for debt shall petition any court for his or her discharge under the Lords' Act, 32 G. 2, c. 28 (*r*). And the same section enacts, that no creditor of any prisoner shall petition any court for the exercise of the compulsory powers given against debtors under the provisions of the Lords' Act (*s*). The 36th section of the same act, however, empowers the detaining creditors of prisoners in execution, to apply, by petition, to the insolvent court, for an order to sequestrate the defendant's estate in the provisional assignees of that court.

Proceedings
under the
Lords' Act.

Subsequent Proceedings against Insolvents discharged under the Lords' Act.] By a discharge under the Lords' Act, (which we have seen cannot take place since 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 119), the debtor's person is for ever freed from arrest for the same debt (*t*); even if he subsequently promise payment, it has been considered he cannot be holden to bail on such subsequent promise (*u*). The judgment, however, remains in force; and execution may at any time be sued out against the debtor's "lands, tenements, rents or hereditaments, goods or chattels," other than and except his wearing apparel, tools, &c., to the amount of 10*l.*, as before mentioned (*v*). As to the mode of proceeding in such a case, see *ante*, 826.

Subsequent
Proceedings
against Insol-
vents dis-
charged under
the Lords'
Act.

4. Discharge of Prisoners by other Means.

A prisoner will be entitled to his discharge, if the attorney, whose name is indorsed on the writ, declares that it was not issued by him, or with his authority or privity (*x*).

Where an
Attorney
claims the
Writ.

As to what defects in an affidavit to hold to bail, or in a writ of *capias*, will entitle the prisoner to his discharge, see Vol. I. 484, 500, 520.

Defect in
&c.

(p) 48 G. 3, c. 123, s. 1.

(q) Chapm. Pract. 330.

(r) As to the law and practice, when these clauses of the Lords' Act were in operation, the reader is referred to Tidd's Practice, and Archbold's Pract., 2nd ed. 140.

— practice when

Work, Vol. II. p. 917.

(t) See *Workman v. Leake*, Cowp. 22, 32, n.: *Payett v. Wheate*, 2 Doug. 619.

(u) MS., M. 1814: *Wilson v. Kemp*, 3 M. & Sel. 585; Vol. I. 471. But this seems questionable. And see *Horton v. Maggidge*, 6 Taunt. 563, n.: *Hatt v. Verdier*, 2 W. Bl. 724.

(v) 32 G. 2, c. 28, s. 20.

— 51, 52.

quer, where a defendant is in custody of any other officer, the warden of the Fleet, a copy of the causes, certificate or verified by affidavit, must be produced on application (b). *Draw up a rule, serve a copy on the plaintiff and deliver the original rule to the sheriff or keeper of the prison in which the defendant is confined, to warrant the court.* A notice of the application should be served on the defendant personally (d) and not his attorney, whose authority when the judgment was signed (e), unless indeed the attorney cannot be found (f), or unless the attorney still continues agent (g). Service on one of two lessors of the plaintiff is sufficient where the other had no interest, and could not be found (h). But it is not absolutely necessary to give notice though it is a great saving of expense to the prisoner. If no notice be given, it is only a rule nisi (i) in the first instance. The name of the cause stated in the notice must coincide with the name of that in which he is in execution. The rule must be served on the plaintiff, (or his agent, if any, and the plaintiff cannot be found), an affidavit of service made, and a brief given to counsel "to move the court within rule absolute;" if no sufficient cause be shown, the rule will be made absolute of course, and must then be served up and served as above (k). Where a defendant had been in custody more than twelve months on two judgments of 10*l.* each, at the suit of the same plaintiff, it was held that there must be a separate motion in each case (l). A prisoner is entitled to his discharge as a matter of right if the court are satisfied as to the fact of his imprisonment in custody for twelve months, &c. (m). Where the rule is nisi, the court have no power to order cause to be shown at chambers (n). If notice of the application for discharge was given, and the application be successful in the first instance, no costs are allowed to the creditor (o).

If the prisoner's discharge be unduly or fraudulently obtained by a statement to the court, which, if true, would entitle him to be discharged under the act, he is liable to be again taken in execution, and remanded by rule of court. The sheriff or keeper of the prison who may have committed him under a rule so obtained, is not to be liable to

(b) *Short v. Williams*, 4 Dowl. 357.

(c) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579.

(d) *George v. Fry*, 4 Dowl. 273: see *Biddulph v. Gray*, 5 Dowl. 406.

(e) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579: *Gordon v. Twine*, 4 Id. 580: *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Id. 546.

(f) See *Bradley v. Webb*, 7 Dowl. 588.

(g) *Granger v. Wilkes*, 14 Leg. Obs. 116: *Shilcock v. Passman*, 7 C. & P. 289: *Wilson v. Makler*, 1 Dowl. 549: *George v. Fry*, 4 Id. 273. If not served on the plaintiff, when it might have been, the defendant may still obtain a rule nisi for his discharge, to be served on the plaintiff. (*Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579). Where the plaintiff is dead it is necessary to shew that there is no personal representative, before service of notice on the plaintiff's attorney will be deemed sufficient. (*Ex p. Richer*, 4 Dowl. 275; 1 H. & W. 518, S. C.) Where it appeared that

the plaintiff had died intestate, no administration had been granted, but that his wife was living, a rule nisi was granted to be served on the attorney in the cause, and afterwards made absolute. (*Ex p. Smith v. Payton*, 1 Dowl. 546.)

(h) See *Ex p. Neilson*, 1 Dowl. 546: *Magnay v. Wilkes*, Id. 467: *Addams*, 2 Dowl. 111; 1 C. & P. 904, S. C.: *Moure v. Chas.*

(i) *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Dowl. 546.

(k) *Curley v. Bussell*, 4 Dowl. 546: *Mence v. Graves*, Id. 854: *Neilson*, 6 Id. 493: *Baker v. Spalding*, 1 Id. 493.

(l) *Anon.*, 3 Leg. Obs. 76.

(m) *Stacey v. Fieldland*, 1 Dowl. 546.

(n) *Jones v. Fitz Addams*, 1 C. & M. 855: 3 Tyr. 134, 1 Dowl. 546.

(o) *Anon.*, 1 Dowl. 148.

an escape in consequence of such discharge (*p*). If, therefore, a prisoner obtain his discharge fraudulently, an application must be made to the court for "liberty to sue out a new writ against the defendant;" this must be supported by an affidavit of facts, to shew in what manner the discharge was improperly obtained; give a brief to counsel, with the affidavit move for the rule; it is a rule *nisi*, and must be served on the defendant, but does not require personal service; make an affidavit of service, and give a brief to counsel to move to make the rule absolute; if the rule be made absolute, then issue the *capias ad satisfaciendum* in the usual way (*q*).

Proceedings under the Lords' Act.] The act of 1 & 2 V. c. 119, enacts, that from and after the passing of that act no prisoner for debt shall petition any court for his or her discharge under the Lords' Act, 32 G. 2, c. 28 (*r*). And the same section enacts, that no creditor of any prisoner shall sue in any court for the exercise of the compulsory powers against debtors under the provisions of the Lords' Act. The 36th section of the same act, however, empowers the detaining creditors of prisoners in execution, to move by petition, to the insolvent court, for an order to assign the defendant's estate in the provisional assignees of that court.

Proceedings
under the
Lords' Act.

Subsequent Proceedings against Insolvents discharged under the Lords' Act.] By a discharge under the Lords' Act, (which discharge cannot take place since 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 119), the debtor's person is for ever freed from arrest for the same debt; even if he subsequently promise payment, it has no legal effect; he cannot be holden to bail on such subsequent promise (*u*). The judgment, however, remains in full force, and execution may at any time be sued out against the debtor's "lands, tenements, rents or hereditaments, goods or chattels," other than and except his wearing apparel, tools, &c. to the amount of 10*l.*, as before mentioned (*v*). As to the mode of proceeding in such a case, see *ante*, 826.

Subsequent
Proceedings
against Insol-
vents dis-
charged under
the Lords'
Act.

4. Discharge of Prisoners by other Means.

A prisoner will be entitled to his discharge, if the attorney, whose name is indorsed on the writ, declares that it was not obtained by him, or with his authority or privity (*x*). Where an Attorney disclaims the Writ. As to what defects in an affidavit to hold to bail, or in a writ of *capias*, will entitle the prisoner to his discharge, see *ante*, 484, 500, 520. Defect in Writ &c.

G. 3, c. 123, s. 1.
supra. Pract. 330.

As to the law and practice, when the provisions of the Lords' Act were in force, the reader is referred to Tidd's and Archbold's Pract., 2nd ed. 135 to 140.

As to the law and practice when the provisions of the Lords' Act were in force, see the 6th edition of this

Work, Vol. II. p. 917.

(*r*) See *Workman v. Leake*, Cowp. 22, 32, n.: *Pagett v. Wheate*, 2 Doug. 669.

(*u*) MS., M. 1814: *Wilson v. Kemp*, 3 M. & Sel. 595; Vol. I. 471. But this seems questionable. And see *Horton v. Meggidge*, 6 Taunt. 563, n.: *Hatt v. Verdier*, 2 W. Bl. 724.

(*v*) 32 G. 2, c. 28, s. 20.

(*x*) Vol. I. 51, 52.

quer, where a defendant is in custody of any other officer, the warden of the Fleet, a copy of the causes, certified by the gaoler or verified by affidavit, must be produced on the application (b). *Draw up a rule, serve a copy on the plaintiff and deliver the original rule to the sheriff or keeper of the prison in which the defendant is confined, to warrant the defendant.* A notice of the application should be served on the defendant personally (d) and not his attorney, whose authority is required when the judgment was signed (e), unless indeed the defendant cannot be found (f), or unless the attorney still continues his agent (g). Service on one of two lessors of the plaintiff is sufficient where the other had no interest, and could not be found (h). But it is not absolutely necessary to give the notice, though it is a great saving of expense to the prisoner, if no notice be given, it is only a rule nisi (i) in the first instance. The name of the cause stated in the notice must correspond with the name of that in which he is in execution (j). The rule must be served on the plaintiff, (or his agent, if he has any, and the plaintiff cannot be found), an affidavit must be made of service made, and a brief given to counsel "to move the court to make the within rule absolute;" if no sufficient cause be shown, the rule will be made absolute of course, and must then be served up and served as above (k). Where a defendant had been in custody more than twelve months on two judgments, 10*l.* each, at the suit of the same plaintiff, it was held that there must be a separate motion in each case (l). A prisoner is entitled to his discharge as a matter of right if the court are satisfied as to the fact of his imprisonment for twelve months, &c. (m). Where the rule is made nisi, the court have no power to order cause to be shown at chambers (n). If notice of the application for discharge was given, and the application be successful in the first instance, no costs are allowed to the plaintiff or creditor (o).

Proceedings where Discharge Improperly obtained.

If the prisoner's discharge be unduly or fraudulently obtained by a statement to the court, which, if true, would entitle him to be discharged under the act, he is liable to be again taken in execution, and remanded by rule of court. The sheriff or keeper of the prison who may have committed him under a rule so obtained, is not to be liable to

(b) *Short v. Williams*, 4 Dowl. 357.
(c) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579.
(d) *George v. Fry*, 4 Dowl. 273: see *Biddulph v. Gray*, 5 Dowl. 406.
(e) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579: *Gordon v. Twine*, 4 Id. 580: *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Id. 546.
(f) See *Bradley v. Webb*, 7 Dowl. 588.
(g) *Granger v. Wilkes*, 14 Leg. Obs. 116: *Shilcock v. Passman*, 7 C. & P. 289: *Wilson v. Makler*, 1 Dowl. 549: *George v. Fry*, 4 Id. 273. If not served on the plaintiff, when it might have been, the defendant may still obtain a rule nisi for his discharge, to be served on the plaintiff. (*Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579). Where the plaintiff is dead it is necessary to shew that there is no personal representative, before service of notice on the plaintiff's attorney will be deemed sufficient. (*Ex p. Richer*, 4 Dowl. 273; 1 H. & W. 518, S. C.) Where it appeared that

the plaintiff had died intestate no administration had been granted but that his wife was living, the rule was granted to be served on the attorney in the cause, who afterwards made absolute. (l) (*Doe Smith v. Payton*, 1 Dowl. 546).
(i) See *Ex p. Neilson*, 7 Dowl. 588: *Magnay v. Wilkes*, Id. 467: *Addams*, 2 Dowl. 111; 1 C. & P. 904, S. C.: *Muore v. Chas. Tyr.* 904, S. C.: *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Id. 546.
(j) *Charley v. Bussell*, 4 Dowl. 588: *Mence v. Graves*, Id. 854: *Nelson*, 6 Id. 493: *Baker v. Spalding*, 1 Id. 546.
(k) *Anon.*, 3 Leg. Obs. 75.
(l) *Stacey v. Fieldsend*, 1 Dowl. 588.
(m) *Jones v. Fitz Addams*, 1 C. & M. 855: 3 Tyr. 434, 3 Dowl. 518.
(n) *Anon.*, 1 Dowl. 148.
(o) *Anon.*, 1 Dowl. 148.

an escape in consequence of such discharge (p). If, therefore, a prisoner obtain his discharge fraudulently, an application must be made to the court for "liberty to sue out a new writ against the defendant;" this must be supported by an affidavit of facts, to shew in what manner the discharge was improperly obtained; give a brief to counsel, with the affidavit to move for the rule; it is a rule *nisi*, and must be served on the defendant, but does not require personal service; make an affidavit of service, and give a brief to counsel to move to make the rule absolute; if the rule be made absolute, then sue out the *capias ad satisfaciendum* in the usual way (q).

[*Proceedings under the Lords' Act.*] The act of 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 119, enacts, that from and after the passing of that act, no prisoner for debt shall petition any court for his or her discharge under the Lords' Act, 32 G. 2, c. 28 (r). And the same section enacts, that no creditor of any prisoner shall petition any court for the exercise of the compulsory powers given against debtors under the provisions of the Lords' Act (s). The 36th section of the same act, however, empowers the detaining creditors of prisoners in execution, to apply, by petition, to the insolvent court, for an order to sequestrate the defendant's estate in the provisional assignees of that court.

Proceedings
under the
Lords' Act.

[*Subsequent Proceedings against Insolvents discharged under the Lords' Act.*] By a discharge under the Lords' Act, (which we have seen cannot take place since 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 119), the debtor's person is for ever freed from arrest for the same debt (t); even if he subsequently promise payment, it has never been considered he cannot be holden to bail on such subsequent promise (u). The judgment, however, remains in force; and execution may at any time be sued out against the debtor's "lands, tenements, rents or hereditaments, goods or chattels," other than and except his wearing apparel, tools, &c., to the amount of 10*l.*, as before mentioned (v). As to the mode of proceeding in such a case, see *ante*, 826.

Subsequent
Proceedings
against Insol-
vents dis-
charged under
the Lords'
Act.

4. Discharge of Prisoners by other Means.

A prisoner will be entitled to his discharge, if the attorney, whose name is indorsed on the writ, declares that it was not sued by him, or with his authority or privity (x).

Where an
Attorney dis-
claims the
Writ.

As to what defects in an affidavit to hold to bail, or in a writ of *capias*, will entitle the prisoner to his discharge, see *vol. I.* 484, 500, 520.

Defect in Writ
&c.

(p) 48 G. 3, c. 123, s. 1.

(q) Chapm. Pract. 330.

(r) As to the law and practice, when the clauses of the Lords' Act were in operation, the reader is referred to Tidd's Practice, and Archbold's Pract., 2nd ed. *vol. II.* 135 to 140.

(s) As to the law and practice when the provisions of the Lords' Act were in operation, see the 6th edition of this

Work, Vol. II. p. 917.

(t) See *Workman v. Leake*, Cowp. 22, 32, n.: *Pagett v. Wheate*, 2 Doug. 669.

(u) MS., M. 1814: *Wilson v. Kemp*, 3 M. & Sel. 595; Vol. I. 471. But this seems questionable. And see *Horton v. Moggridge*, 6 Taunt. 563, n.: *Hatt v. Ferrier*, 2 W. Bl. 724.

(v) 32 G. 2, c. 28, s. 20.

(x) Vol. I. 51, 52.

quer, where a defendant is in custody of any other officer, the warden of the Fleet, a copy of the causes, certified by the gaoler or verified by affidavit, must be produced on application (b). *Draw up a rule, serve a copy on the plaintiff and deliver the original rule to the sheriff or keeper of the prison in which the defendant is confined, to warrant the defendant.* A notice of the application should be served on the defendant personally (d) and not his attorney, whose authority is required when the judgment was signed (e), unless indeed the defendant cannot be found (f), or unless the attorney still continues his agent (g). Service on one of two lessors of the plaintiff is sufficient where the other had no interest, and could not be found (h). But it is not absolutely necessary to give the notice, though it is a great saving of expense to the prisoner, if no notice be given, it is only a rule nisi (i) in the first instance. The name of the cause stated in the notice must correspond with the name of that in which he is in execution (j). The rule must be served on the plaintiff, (or his agent, if any, and the plaintiff cannot be found), an affidavit of service made, and a brief given to counsel "to move the court to make the within rule absolute;" if no sufficient cause be shown, the rule will be made absolute of course, and must then be served up and served as above (k). Where a defendant had been in custody more than twelve months on two judgments, 10% each, at the suit of the same plaintiff, it was held that there must be a separate motion in each case (l). A prisoner is entitled to his discharge as a matter of right if the court are satisfied as to the fact of his imprisonment for twelve months, &c. (m). Where the rule is made nisi, the court have no power to order cause to be shown at chambers (n). If notice of the application for discharge was given, and the application be successful in the first instance, no costs are allowed to the plaintiff or creditor (o).

Proceedings where Discharge Improperly obtained.

If the prisoner's discharge be unduly or fraudulently obtained by a statement to the court, which, if true, would entitle him to be discharged under the act, he is liable to be again taken in execution, and remanded by rule of court. The sheriff or keeper of the prison who may have committed him under a rule so obtained, is not to be liable to

(b) *Short v. Williams*, 4 Dowl. 357.
(c) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579.
(d) *George v. Fry*, 4 Dowl. 273; see *Biddulph v. Gray*, 5 Dowl. 406.
(e) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579; *Gordon v. Twine*, 4 Id. 580; *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Id. 546.
(f) See *Bradley v. Webb*, 7 Dowl. 588.
(g) *Granger v. Wilkes*, 14 Leg. Obs. 116; *Shilcock v. Passman*, 7 C. & P. 289; *Wilson v. Mokler*, 1 Dowl. 549; *George v. Fry*, 4 Id. 273. If not served on the plaintiff, when it might have been, the defendant may still obtain a rule nisi for his discharge, to be served on the plaintiff. (*Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579). Where the plaintiff is dead it is necessary to shew that there is no personal representative, before service of notice on the plaintiff's attorney will be deemed sufficient. (*Ex p. Richer*, 4 Dowl. 275; 1 H. & W. 518, S. C.) Where it appeared that

the plaintiff had died intestate, no administration had been granted, but that his wife was living, the rule was granted to be served on the attorney in the cause, who afterwards made absolute. (b)
(h) *Doe Smith v. Payton*, 1 Dowl. 546.
(i) See *Ex p. Neilson*, 7 Dowl. 588; *Magnay v. Wilkes*, Id. 467; *Addams*, 2 Dowl. 111; 1 C. & M. 855; 3 Tyr. 904, S. C.; *Moure v. Chas. Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Dowl. 546.
(j) *Charley v. Buswell*, 4 Dowl. 588.
(k) *Mence v. Graves*, Id. 854; *Neilson*, 6 Id. 493; *Baker v. Spalding*, 1 Id. 546.
(l) *Anon.*, 3 Leg. Obs. 75.
(m) *Stacey v. Fieldland*, 1 Dowl. 588.
(n) *Jones v. Fitz Addams*, 1 C. & M. 855; 3 Tyr. 934, S. C.
(o) *Anon.*, 1 Dowl. 148.

an escape in consequence of such discharge (*p*). If, therefore, a prisoner obtain his discharge fraudulently, an application must be made to the court for "liberty to sue out a new writ against the defendant;" this must be supported by an affidavit of facts, to shew in what manner the discharge was improperly obtained; give a brief to counsel, with the affidavit move for the rule; it is a rule *nisi*, and must be served on the defendant, but does not require personal service; make an affidavit of service, and give a brief to counsel to move to make the rule absolute; if the rule be made absolute, then set at the *capias ad satisfaciendum* in the usual way (*q*).

Proceedings under the Lords' Act.] The act of 1 & 2 V. c. 119, enacts, that from and after the passing of that act no prisoner for debt shall petition any court for his or her discharge under the Lords' Act, 32 G. 2, c. 28 (*r*). And the same section enacts, that no creditor of any prisoner shall sue in any court for the exercise of the compulsory powers against debtors under the provisions of the Lords' Act. The 36th section of the same act, however, empowers the detaining creditors of prisoners in execution, to apply by petition, to the insolvent court, for an order to assign the defendant's estate in the provisional assignees of that court.

Proceedings
under the
Lords' Act.

Subsequent Proceedings against Insolvents discharged under the Lords' Act.] By a discharge under the Lords' Act, (which has been seen cannot take place since 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 119), the debtor's person is for ever freed from arrest for the same debt; even if he subsequently promise payment, it has no effect; he is considered he cannot be holden to bail on such subsequent promise (*u*). The judgment, however, remains in force, and execution may at any time be sued out against the debtor's "lands, tenements, rents or hereditaments, goods or chattels," other than and except his wearing apparel, tools, or the amount of 10*l.*, as before mentioned (*v*). As to the mode of proceeding in such a case, see *ante*, 826.

Subsequent
Proceedings
against Insol-
vents dis-
charged under
the Lords'
Act.

4. Discharge of Prisoners by other Means.

A prisoner will be entitled to his discharge, if the attorney, whose name is indorsed on the writ, declares that it was not obtained by him, or with his authority or privity (*x*). As to what defects in an affidavit to hold to bail, or in a writ of *capias*, will entitle the prisoner to his discharge, see *ante*, 484, 500, 520.

Where an
Attorney dis-
claims the
Writ.

Defect in Writ
&c.

G. 3, c. 123, s. 1.
Napier's Pract. 330.

As to the law and practice, when the provisions of the Lords' Act were in force, the reader is referred to Tidd's Law, and Archbold's Pract., 2nd ed. 136 to 140.

As to the law and practice when the provisions of the Lords' Act were in force, see the 6th edition of this

Work, Vol. II. p. 917.

(*r*) See *Workman v. Leake*, Cowp. 22, 32, n.: *Payott v. Wheate*, 2 Doug. 669.

(*u*) MS., M. 1814: *Wilson v. Kemp*, 3 M. & Sel. 595; Vol. I. 471. But this seems questionable. And see *Horton v. Moggridge*, 6 Taunt. 563, n.: *Hatt v. Verdier*, 2 W. Bl. 724.

(*v*) 32 G. 2, c. 28, s. 20.

(*x*) Vol. I. 51, 52.

quer, where a defendant is in custody of any other officer than the warden of the Fleet, a copy of the causes, certified by the gaoler or verified by affidavit, must be produced on the application (b). *Draw up a rule, serve a copy on the plaintiff, and deliver the original rule to the sheriff or keeper of the prison in which the defendant is confined, to warrant the discharge.* A notice of the application should be served on the plaintiff personally (d) and not his attorney, whose authority ended when the judgment was signed (e), unless indeed the plaintiff cannot be found (f), or unless the attorney still continues his agent (g). Service on one of two lessors of the plaintiff was held sufficient where the other had no interest, and could not be found (h). But it is not absolutely necessary to give this notice though it is a great saving of expense to the prisoner, for no notice be given, it is only a rule nisi (i) in the first instance. The name of the cause stated in the notice must correspond with the name of that in which he is in execution (j). The rule must be served on the plaintiff, (or his agent, if he has any, and the plaintiff cannot be found), an affidavit of service made, and a brief given to counsel "to move to make the within rule absolute;" if no sufficient cause be shewn, the rule will be made absolute of course, and must then be drawn up and served as above (k). Where a defendant had remained in custody more than twelve months on two judgments 10*l.* each, at the suit of the same plaintiff, it was holden there must be a separate motion in each case (l). The prisoner is entitled to his discharge as a matter of right, if the court are satisfied as to the fact of his imprisonment in actual custody for twelve months, &c. (m). Where the rule is only a rule nisi, the court have no power to order cause to be set at chambers (n). If notice of the application for the discharge was given, and the application be successfully opposed in the first instance, no costs are allowed to the opposite creditor (o).

If the prisoner's discharge be unduly or fraudulently obtained by a statement to the court, which, if true, would entitle him to be discharged under the act, he is liable to be again taken in execution, and remanded by rule of court; the sheriff or keeper of the prison who may have discharged him under a rule so obtained, is not to be liable to an action

(b) *Short v. Williams*, 4 Dowl. 357.

(c) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579.

(d) *George v. Fry*, 4 Dowl. 273: see *Biddulph v. Gray*, 5 Dowl. 406.

(e) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579: *Gordon v. Twine*, 4 Id. 580: *Kelly v. Dickensam*, 1 Id. 546.

(f) See *Bradley v. Webb*, 7 Dowl. 588.

(g) *Granger v. Wilkes*, 14 Leg. Obs. 116: *Shilcock v. Passman*, 7 C. & P. 289: *Wilson v. Makler*, 1 Dowl. 549: *George v. Fry*, 4 Id. 273. If not served on the plaintiff, when it might have been, the defendant may still obtain a rule nisi for his discharge, to be served on the plaintiff. (*Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579). Where the plaintiff is dead it is necessary to shew that there is no personal representative, before service of notice on the plaintiff's attorney will be deemed sufficient. (*Ex p. Richer*, 4 Dowl. 275; 1 H. & W. 518, S. C.) Where it appeared that

the plaintiff had died intestate, and no administration had been taken, but that his wife was living, a rule was granted to be served on the wife by the attorney in the cause, which afterwards made absolute. (Id.)

(h) *Doe Smith v. Payton*, 7 Dowl.

(i) See *Ex p. Neilson*, 7 Taunt. *Magnay v. Wilkes*, Id. 467: *Jones v. Addams*, 2 Dowl. 111; 1 C. & M. 1 Tyr. 804, S. C.: *Moure v. Clay*, 4 Dowl.

(j) *Kelly v. Dickensam*, 1 Dowl. 546.

(k) *Churley v. Russell*, 4 Taunt. *Mence v. Graves*, Id. 854: *Nicholls v. son*, 6 Id. 483: *Baker v. Spies*, 7 Id.

(l) *Anon.*, 3 Leg. Obs. 78.

(m) *Stacey v. Fieldsend*, 1 Dowl. ante, 808.

(n) *Jones v. Fitz Addams*, 2 Dowl. 1 C. & M. 855; 3 Tyr. 934, S. C.

(o) *Anon.*, 1 Dowl. 148.

an escape in consequence of such discharge (*p*). If, therefore, a prisoner obtain his discharge fraudulently, an application must be made to the court for "liberty to sue out a new writ against the defendant;" this must be supported by an affidavit of facts, to shew in what manner the discharge was improperly obtained; give a brief to counsel, with the affidavit move for the rule; it is a rule *nisi*, and must be served on the defendant, but does not require personal service; make an affidavit of service, and give a brief to counsel to move to make the rule absolute; if the rule be made absolute, then arrest the *capias ad satisfaciendum* in the usual way (*q*).

Proceedings under the Lords' Act.] The act of 1 & 2 V. c. 110, enacts, that from and after the passing of that act no prisoner for debt shall petition any court for his or her discharge under the Lords' Act, 32 G. 2, c. 28 (*r*). And the same section enacts, that no creditor of any prisoner shall sue in any court for the exercise of the compulsory powers against debtors under the provisions of the Lords' Act. The 36th section of the same act, however, empowers the detaining creditors of prisoners in execution, to move by petition, to the insolvent court, for an order to attach the defendant's estate in the provisional assignees of that

Proceedings
under the
Lords' Act.

Subsequent Proceedings against Insolvents discharged under the Lords' Act.] By a discharge under the Lords' Act, (which has been seen cannot take place since 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 119), the debtor's person is for ever freed from arrest for the same debt; even if he subsequently promise payment, it has no effect; considered he cannot be holden to bail on such subsequent promise (*u*). The judgment, however, remains in full force, and execution may at any time be sued out against the debtor's "lands, tenements, rents or hereditaments, goods or chattels," other than and except his wearing apparel, tools, &c. to the amount of 10*l.*, as before mentioned (*v*). As to the mode of proceeding in such a case, see *ante*, 826.

Subsequent
Proceedings
against Insol-
vents dis-
charged under
the Lords'
Act.

4. Discharge of Prisoners by other Means.

A prisoner will be entitled to his discharge, if the attorney, whose name is indorsed on the writ, declares that it was not obtained by him, or with his authority or privity (*x*). As to what defects in an affidavit to hold to bail, or in a *capias*, will entitle the prisoner to his discharge, see *ante*, 484, 500, 520.

Where an
Attorney dis-
claims the
Writ.

Defect in Writ
&c.

G. 3, c. 123, s. 1.
supra. Pract. 330.

As to the law and practice, when the provisions of the Lords' Act were in force, the reader is referred to Tidd's Pract., and Archbold's Pract., 2nd ed. 136 to 140.

As to the law and practice when the provisions of the Lords' Act were in force, see the 6th edition of this

Work, Vol. II. p. 917.

(*r*) See *Workman v. Leake*, Cowp. 22, 32, n.: *Payett v. Wheate*, 2 Doug. 669.

(*u*) MS., M. 1814: *Wilson v. Kemp*, 3 M. & Sel. 595; Vol. I. 471. But this seems questionable. And see *Horton v. Meggidge*, 6 Taunt. 563, n.: *Hatt v. Verdier*, 2 W. Bl. 724.

(*v*) 32 G. 2, c. 28, s. 20.

(*x*) Vol. I. 51, 52.

quer, where a defendant is in custody of any other officer, the warden of the Fleet, a copy of the causes, certificate or verified by affidavit, must be produced on the application (b). *Draw up a rule, serve a copy on the plaintiff and deliver the original rule to the sheriff or keeper of the prison in which the defendant is confined, to warrant the defendant.* A notice of the application should be served on the defendant personally (d) and not his attorney, whose authority is required when the judgment was signed (e), unless indeed the defendant cannot be found (f), or unless the attorney still continues his agent (g). Service on one of two lessors of the plaintiff is sufficient where the other had no interest, and could not be found (h). But it is not absolutely necessary to give the notice, though it is a great saving of expense to the prisoner, if no notice be given, it is only a rule nisi (i) in the first instance. The name of the cause stated in the notice must correspond with the name of that in which he is in execution (j). The rule must be served on the plaintiff, (or his agent, if he can be found, or any, and the plaintiff cannot be found), an affidavit of service made, and a brief given to counsel "to move the court to make the within rule absolute;" if no sufficient cause be shown, the rule will be made absolute of course, and must then be served up and served as above (k). Where a defendant had been in custody more than twelve months on two judgments of 10*l.* each, at the suit of the same plaintiff, it was held that there must be a separate motion in each case (l). A prisoner is entitled to his discharge as a matter of right if the court are satisfied as to the fact of his imprisonment for twelve months, &c. (m). Where the rule is made nisi, the court have no power to order cause to be shown at chambers (n). If notice of the application for discharge was given, and the application be successful in the first instance, no costs are allowed to the plaintiff or creditor (o).

If the prisoner's discharge be unduly or fraudulently obtained by a statement to the court, which, if true, would entitle him to be discharged under the act, he is liable to be again taken in execution, and remanded by rule of court. The sheriff or keeper of the prison who may have committed him under a rule so obtained, is not to be liable to

(b) *Short v. Williams*, 4 Dowl. 357.

(c) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579.

(d) *George v. Fry*, 4 Dowl. 273: see *Biddulph v. Gray*, 5 Dowl. 406.

(e) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579: *Gordon v. Tirine*, 4 Id. 580: *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Id. 546.

(f) See *Bradley v. Webb*, 7 Dowl. 588.

(g) *Granger v. Wilkes*, 14 Leg. Obs. 116: *Shillock v. Passman*, 7 C. & P. 289: *Wilson v. Makler*, 1 Dowl. 549: *George v. Fry*, 4 Id. 273. If not served on the plaintiff, when it might have been, the defendant may still obtain a rule nisi for his discharge, to be served on the plaintiff. (*Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579). Where the plaintiff is dead it is necessary to shew that there is no personal representative, before service of notice on the plaintiff's attorney will be deemed sufficient. (*Ex p. Richer*, 4 Dowl. 273; 1 H. & W. 518, S. C.) Where it appeared that

the plaintiff had died intestate no administration had been granted but that his wife was living, the rule was granted to be served on the attorney in the cause, and afterwards made absolute. (*Id.*)

(h) *Doe Smith v. Payton*,

(i) See *Ex p. Neilson*, 7 Dowl. 467: *Magnay v. Wilkes*, Id. 467: *Addams*, 2 Dowl. 111; 1 C. & P. 904, S. C.: *Muore v. Chas.*

(j) *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Id.

(k) *Charley v. Buswell*, 4 Dowl. 406: *Mence v. Graves*, Id. 854: *Neilson*, 6 Id. 493: *Baker v. Spalding*,

(l) *Anon.*, 3 Leg. Obs. 76.

(m) *Stacey v. Fieldland*, 1 Dowl. 808.

(n) *Jones v. Fitz Addams*, 1 C. & M. 855: 3 Tyr. 434, S. C.

(o) *Anon.*, 1 Dowl. 148.

escape in consequence of such discharge (*p*). If, therefore, a prisoner obtain his discharge fraudulently, an application must be made to the court for "liberty to sue out a new writ against the defendant;" this must be supported by an affidavit of facts, to shew in what manner the discharge was improperly obtained; give a brief to counsel, with the affidavit move for the rule; it is a rule *nisi*, and must be served on the defendant, but does not require personal service; make an affidavit of service, and give a brief to counsel to move to make the rule absolute; if the rule be made absolute, then arrest at the *capias ad satisfaciendum* in the usual way (*q*).

Proceedings under the Lords' Act.] The act of 1 & 2 V. c. 110, enacts, that from and after the passing of that act no prisoner for debt shall petition any court for his or her discharge under the Lords' Act, 32 G. 2, c. 28 (*r*). And the same section enacts, that no creditor of any prisoner shall sue in any court for the exercise of the compulsory powers against debtors under the provisions of the Lords' Act. The 36th section of the same act, however, empowers the detaining creditors of prisoners in execution, to move by petition, to the insolvent court, for an order to assign the defendant's estate in the provisional assignees of that court.

Proceedings
under the
Lords' Act.

Subsequent Proceedings against Insolvents discharged under the Lords' Act.] By a discharge under the Lords' Act, (which discharge cannot take place since 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 119), the debtor's person is for ever freed from arrest for the same debt; even if he subsequently promise payment, it has no legal effect; he is considered he cannot be holden to bail on such subsequent promise (*u*). The judgment, however, remains in force, and execution may at any time be sued out against the debtor's "lands, tenements, rents or hereditaments, goods or chattels," other than and except his wearing apparel, tools, &c. to the amount of 10*l.*, as before mentioned (*v*). As to the mode of proceeding in such a case, see *ante*, 826.

Subsequent
Proceedings
against Insol-
vents dis-
charged under
the Lords'
Act.

4. Discharge of Prisoners by other Means.

A prisoner will be entitled to his discharge, if the attorney, whose name is indorsed on the writ, declares that it was not obtained by him, or with his authority or privity (*x*). Where an Attorney disclaims the Writ. As to what defects in an affidavit to hold to bail, or in a writ of *capias*, will entitle the prisoner to his discharge, see *ante*, 484, 500, 520. Defect in Writ &c.

G. 3, c. 123, s. 1.
supra. Pract. 330.

As to the law and practice, when the provisions of the Lords' Act were in force, the reader is referred to Tidd's and Archbold's Pract., 2nd ed. 136 to 140.

As to the law and practice when the provisions of the Lords' Act were in force, see the 6th edition of this

Work, Vol. II. p. 917.

(*r*) See *Workman v. Leake*, Cowp. 22, 32, n.: *Pagett v. Whoste*, 2 Doug. 669.

(*u*) MS., M. 1814: *Wilson v. Kemp*, 3 M. & Sel. 595; Vol. I. 471. But this seems questionable. And see *Horton v. Moggridge*, 6 Taunt. 563, n.: *Hatt v. Verdier*, 2 W. Bl. 724.

(*v*) 32 G. 2, c. 28, s. 20.

(*x*) Vol. I. 51, 52.

BOOK III.
PART II.

quer, where a defendant is in custody of any other officer than the warden of the Fleet, a copy of the causes, certified by the gaoler or verified by affidavit, must be produced on the application (b). *Draw up a rule, serve a copy on the plaintiff, and deliver the original rule to the sheriff or keeper of the prison in which the defendant is confined, to warrant the discharge.* A notice of the application should be served on the plaintiff personally (d) and not his attorney, whose authority ceases when the judgment was signed (e), unless indeed the plaintiff cannot be found (f), or unless the attorney still continues his agent (g). Service on one of two lessors of the plaintiff was held sufficient where the other had no interest, and could not be found (h). But it is not absolutely necessary to give this notice, though it is a great saving of expense to the prisoner, for if no notice be given, it is only a rule nisi (i) in the first instance. The name of the cause stated in the notice must correspond with the name of that in which he is in execution (j). The rule must be served on the plaintiff, (or his agent, if he be found, any, and the plaintiff cannot be found), an affidavit of service made, and a brief given to counsel "to move to make the within rule absolute;" if no sufficient cause be shewn, the rule will be made absolute of course, and must then be drawn up and served as above (k). Where a defendant had remained in custody more than twelve months on two judgments of 10*l.* each, at the suit of the same plaintiff, it was holden that there must be a separate motion in each case (l). The prisoner is entitled to his discharge as a matter of right, if the court are satisfied as to the fact of his imprisonment in actual custody for twelve months, &c. (m). Where the rule is only rule nisi, the court have no power to order cause to be shewn at chambers (n). If notice of the application for the discharge was given, and the application be successfully opposed in the first instance, no costs are allowed to the opposite creditor (o).

Proceedings where Discharge Improperly obtained.

If the prisoner's discharge be unduly or fraudulently obtained by a statement to the court, which, if true, would entitle him to be discharged under the act, he is liable to be again taken in execution, and remanded by rule of court; but the sheriff or keeper of the prison who may have discharged him under a rule so obtained, is not to be liable to an action

(b) *Short v. Williams*, 4 Dowl. 357.

(c) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579.

(d) *George v. Fry*, 4 Dowl. 273: see *Biddulph v. Gray*, 5 Dowl. 406.

(e) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579: *Gordon v. Turine*, 4 Id. 580: *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Id. 546.

(f) See *Bradley v. Webb*, 7 Dowl. 588.

(g) *Granger v. Wilkes*, 14 Leg. Obs. 116; *Shillock v. Passman*, 7 C. & P. 289; *Wilson v. Mokler*, 1 Dowl. 549; *George v. Fry*, 4 Id. 273. If not served on the plaintiff, when it might have been, the defendant may still obtain a rule nisi for his discharge, to be served on the plaintiff. (*Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579). Where the plaintiff is dead it is necessary to shew that there is no personal representative, before service of notice on the plaintiff's attorney will be deemed sufficient. (*Ex p. Richer*, 4 Dowl. 275; 1 H. & W. 518, 8 C.) Where it appeared that

the plaintiff had died intestate, and no administration had been taken out, but that his wife was living, a rule was granted to be served on the wife, the attorney in the cause, which was afterwards made absolute. (Id.)

(h) *Doe Smith v. Payton*, 7 Dowl. 6.

(i) See *Ex p. Neilson*, 7 Taunt. *Magnay v. Wilkes*, Id. 467; *Jones v. Addams*, 2 Dowl. 111; 1 C. & M. 853; *Tyr. 904*, S. C.; *Moore v. Clay*, 4 Dowl.

(j) *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Dowl. 537.

(k) *Cowley v. Russell*, 4 Taunt. 4; *Mence v. Graves*, Id. 854; *Nicholls v. Ason*, 6 Id. 493. *Baker v. Spidee*, 7 Id. 1.

(l) *Anon.*, 3 Leg. Obs. 78.

(m) *Stacey v. Fieldsend*, 1 Dowl. 7 ante, 868.

(n) *Jones v. Fitz Addams*, 2 Dowl. 1; 1 C. & M. 855; 3 Tyr. 434, S. C.

(o) *Anon.*, 1 Dowl. 148.

pe in consequence of such discharge (p). If, there-
 oner obtain his discharge fraudulently, an applica-
 be made to the court for "liberty to sue out a new
 against the defendant;" this must be supported by an
 of facts, to shew in what manner the discharge was
 ly obtained; give a brief to counsel, with the affidavit
 for the rule; it is a rule nisi, and must be served on
 adant, but does not require personal service; make an
 of service, and give a brief to counsel to move to
 he rule absolute; if the rule be made absolute, then
 the *capias ad satisfaciendum* in the usual way (q).

ceedings under the Lords' Act.] The act of 1 & 2 V. Proceedings
 , s. 119, enacts, that from and after the passing of that under the
 to prisoner for debt shall petition any court for his or Lords' Act.
 ischarge under the Lords' Act, 32 G. 2, c. 28 (r). And
 ame section enacts, that no creditor of any prisoner shall
 ion any court for the exercise of the compulsory powers
 a against debtors under the provisions of the Lords'
 (s). The 36th section of the same act, however, em-
 ers the detaining creditors of prisoners in execution, to
 ly, by petition, to the insolvent court, for an order to
 the defendant's estate in the provisional assignees of that
 rt.

Subsequent Proceedings against Insolvents discharged under Subsequent
 Lords' Act.] By a discharge under the Lords' Act, (which Proceedings
 e have seen cannot take place since 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 119), against Insol-
 the debtor's person is for ever freed from arrest for the same vents dis-
 ebt (t); even if he subsequently promise payment, it has charged undr
 een considered he cannot be holden to bail on such sub- the Lords'
 quent promise (u). The judgment, however, remains Act.
 orce; and execution may at any time be sued out against the
 ebtor's "lands, tenements, rents or hereditaments, goods or
 attels," other than and except his wearing apparel, tools,
 e., to the amount of 10l., as before mentioned (v). As to
 be mode of proceeding in such a case, see *ante*, 826.

4. Discharge of Prisoners by other Means.

A prisoner will be entitled to his discharge, if the attorney, Where
 whose name is indorsed on the writ, declares that it was not Attorn
 sued by him, or with his authority or privity (x). claims
 Writ.

As to what defects in an affidavit to hold to bail, or in a Defec
 writ of *capias*, will entitle the prisoner to his discharge, see &c.
Vol. I. 484, 500, 520.

(p) 48 G. 3, c. 123, s. 1.

(q) Chapen. Pract. 330.

(r) As to the law and practice, when
 these clauses of the Lords' Act were in
 operation, the reader is referred to Tidd's
 Practice, and Archbold's Pract., 2nd ed.
Vol. II. 135 to 140.

(s) As to the law and practice when
 these provisions of the Lords' Act were in
 operation, see the 6th edition of this

Work, Vol. II. p. 917.

(t) See *Workman v. Leake*, Cowp. 22,
 32, n.: *Payett v. Wheate*, 2 Doug. 669.

(u) MS., M. 1814: *Wilson v. Kemp*, 3
 M. & Sel. 595; Vol. I. 471. But this
 seems questionable. And see *Horton v.*
Moggridge, 6 Taunt. 563, n.: *Hatt v.*
Verdier, 2 W. Bl. 724.

(v) 32 G. 2, c. 28, s. 20.

(s) Vol. I. 51, 52.

quer, where a defendant is in custody of any other officer, the warden of the Fleet, a copy of the causes, certified by the gaoler or verified by affidavit, must be produced on application (b). *Draw up a rule, serve a copy on the plaintiff and deliver the original rule to the sheriff or keeper of the prison in which the defendant is confined, to warrant the court to grant a rule nisi.* A notice of the application should be served on the defendant personally (d) and not his attorney, whose authority is required when the judgment was signed (e), unless indeed the defendant cannot be found (f), or unless the attorney still continues his agent (g). Service on one of two lessors of the plaintiff is sufficient where the other had no interest, and could not be found (h). But it is not absolutely necessary to give notice to both, though it is a great saving of expense to the prisoner. If no notice be given, it is only a rule nisi (i) in the first instance. The name of the cause stated in the notice must correspond with the name of that in which he is in execution. The rule must be served on the plaintiff, (or his agent, if any, and the plaintiff cannot be found), an affidavit of service made, and a brief given to counsel "to move for the rule within rule absolute;" if no sufficient cause be shown, the rule will be made absolute of course, and must then be served up and served as above (k). Where a defendant had been in custody more than twelve months on two judgments, 10*l.* each, at the suit of the same plaintiff, it was held that there must be a separate motion in each case (l). Where a prisoner is entitled to his discharge as a matter of right, if the court are satisfied as to the fact of his imprisonment in custody for twelve months, &c. (m). Where the rule is granted nisi, the court have no power to order cause to be shown at chambers (n). If notice of the application for discharge was given, and the application be successful in the first instance, no costs are allowed to the plaintiff or the creditor (o).

Proceedings where Discharge improperly obtained.

If the prisoner's discharge be unduly or fraudulently obtained by a statement to the court, which, if true, would entitle him to be discharged under the act, he is liable to be again taken in execution, and remanded by rule of court to the sheriff or keeper of the prison who may have committed him under a rule so obtained, is not to be liable to

(b) *Short v. Williams*, 4 Dowl. 357.
(c) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579.
(d) *George v. Fry*, 4 Dowl. 273: see *Biddulph v. Gray*, 5 Dowl. 406.
(e) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579: *Gordon v. Twine*, 4 Id. 580: *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Id. 546.
(f) See *Bradley v. Webb*, 7 Dowl. 588.
(g) *Granger v. Wilkes*, 14 Leg. Obs. 116: *Shilcock v. Passman*, 7 C. & P. 289: *Wilson v. Mokler*, 1 Dowl. 549: *George v. Fry*, 4 Id. 273. If not served on the plaintiff, when it might have been, the defendant may still obtain a rule nisi for his discharge, to be served on the plaintiff. (*Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579). Where the plaintiff is dead it is necessary to shew that there is no personal representative, before service of notice on the plaintiff's attorney will be deemed sufficient. (*Ex p. Richer*, 4 Dowl. 275; 1 H. & W. 518, S. C.) Where it appeared that

the plaintiff had died intestate, and no administration had been granted, but that his wife was living, the rule was granted to be served on the attorney in the cause, and afterwards made absolute. (i) *One Smith v. Payton*, 1 Dowl. 546.
(j) See *Ex p. Neilson*, 1 Dowl. 546: *Magnay v. Wilkes*, Id. 467: *Addams*, 2 Dowl. 111; 1 C. & M. 855: 3 Tyr. 904, S. C.: *Moore v. Cresswell*, 1 C. & M. 855.
(k) *Cowley v. Bussell*, 4 Dowl. 546: *Mence v. Graves*, Id. 854: *Neilson*, 6 Id. 493. *Baker v. Spence*, 1 Id. 546.
(l) *Anon.*, 3 Leg. Obs. 78.
(m) *Stacey v. Fieldsend*, 1 Dowl. 546.
(n) *Jones v. Fitz Addams*, 1 C. & M. 855: 3 Tyr. 934, S. C.
(o) *Anon.*, 1 Dowl. 148.

escape in consequence of such discharge (p). If, therefore, a prisoner obtain his discharge fraudulently, an application must be made to the court for "liberty to sue out a new writ against the defendant;" this must be supported by an affidavit of facts, to shew in what manner the discharge was improperly obtained; give a brief to counsel, with the affidavit move for the rule; it is a rule nisi, and must be served on the defendant, but does not require personal service; make an affidavit of service, and give a brief to counsel to move to make the rule absolute; if the rule be made absolute, then issue the *capias ad satisfaciendum* in the usual way (q).

Proceedings under the Lords' Act.] The act of 1 & 2 V. c. 119, enacts, that from and after the passing of that act no prisoner for debt shall petition any court for his or her discharge under the Lords' Act, 32 G. 2, c. 28 (r). And the same section enacts, that no creditor of any prisoner shall sue in any court for the exercise of the compulsory powers against debtors under the provisions of the Lords' Act. The 36th section of the same act, however, empowers the detaining creditors of prisoners in execution, to sue, by petition, to the insolvent court, for an order to assign the defendant's estate in the provisional assignees of that court.

Proceedings
under the
Lords' Act.

Subsequent Proceedings against Insolvents discharged under the Lords' Act.] By a discharge under the Lords' Act, (which we have seen cannot take place since 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 119), the debtor's person is for ever freed from arrest for the same debt; even if he subsequently promise payment, it has no legal effect; he cannot be holden to bail on such subsequent promise (u). The judgment, however, remains in full force, and execution may at any time be sued out against the debtor's "lands, tenements, rents or hereditaments, goods or chattels," other than and except his wearing apparel, tools, or the amount of 10*l.*, as before mentioned (v). As to the mode of proceeding in such a case, see *ante*, 826.

Subsequent
Proceedings
against Insol-
vents dis-
charged under
the Lords'
Act.

4. Discharge of Prisoners by other Means.

A prisoner will be entitled to his discharge, if the attorney, whose name is indorsed on the writ, declares that it was not obtained by him, or with his authority or privity (x). As to what defects in an affidavit to hold to bail, or in a writ of *capias*, will entitle the prisoner to his discharge, see *ante*, 484, 500, 520.

Where an
Attorney dis-
claims the
Writ.
Defect in Writ
&c.

G. 3, c. 123, s. 1.
supra. Pract. 330.

As to the law and practice, when cases of the Lords' Act were in force, the reader is referred to Tidd's and Archbold's Pract., 2nd ed. 135 to 140.

As to the law and practice when the provisions of the Lords' Act were in force, see the 6th edition of this

Work, Vol. II. p. 917.

(r) See *Workman v. Leake*, Cowp. 22, 32, n.: *Pagett v. Wheate*, 2 Doug. 669.

(u) MS., M. 1814: *Wilson v. Kemp*, 3 M. & Sel. 595; Vol. I. 471. But this seems questionable. And see *Horton v. Moggridge*, 6 Taunt. 563, n.: *Hatt v. Verdier*, 2 W. Bl. 724.

(v) 32 G. 2, c. 28, s. 20.

(x) Vol. I. 51, 52.

quer, where a defendant is in custody of any other officer than the warden of the Fleet, a copy of the causes, certified gaoler or verified by affidavit, must be produced on the application (b). *Draw up a rule, serve a copy on the plaintiff and deliver the original rule to the sheriff or keeper of the prison in which the defendant is confined, to warrant the discharge.* A notice of the application should be served on the plaintiff personally (d) and not his attorney, whose authority was not good when the judgment was signed (e), unless indeed the plaintiff cannot be found (f), or unless the attorney still continues agent (g). Service on one of two lessors of the plaintiff is sufficient where the other had no interest, and could not be found (h). But it is not absolutely necessary to give this notice though it is a great saving of expense to the prisoner. If no notice be given, it is only a rule nisi (i) in the first instance. The name of the cause stated in the notice must correspond with the name of that in which he is in execution (j). The rule must be served on the plaintiff, (or his agent, if any, and the plaintiff cannot be found), an affidavit of service made, and a brief given to counsel "to move the court to make the within rule absolute;" if no sufficient cause be shewn, the rule will be made absolute of course, and must then be taken up and served as above (k). Where a defendant had been in custody more than twelve months on two judgments of 10% each, at the suit of the same plaintiff, it was held that there must be a separate motion in each case (l). A prisoner is entitled to his discharge as a matter of right if the court are satisfied as to the fact of his imprisonment for twelve months, &c. (m). Where the rule is nisi, the court have no power to order cause to be taken at chambers (n). If notice of the application for discharge was given, and the application be successfully made in the first instance, no costs are allowed to the plaintiff or creditor (o).

Proceedings where Discharge improperly obtained.

If the prisoner's discharge be unduly or fraudulently obtained by a statement to the court, which, if true, would entitle him to be discharged under the act, he is liable to be again taken in execution, and remanded by rule of court. The sheriff or keeper of the prison who may have discharged him under a rule so obtained, is not to be liable to

(b) *Short v. Williams*, 4 Dowl. 357.
(c) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579.
(d) *George v. Fry*, 4 Dowl. 273: see *Biddulph v. Gray*, 5 Dowl. 406.
(e) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579: *Gordon v. Twine*, 4 Id. 580: *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Id. 546.
(f) See *Bradley v. Webb*, 7 Dowl. 588.
(g) *Granger v. Wilkes*, 14 Leg. Obs. 116: *Shilcock v. Passman*, 7 C. & P. 289: *Wilson v. Makler*, 1 Dowl. 549: *George v. Fry*, 4 Id. 273. If not served on the plaintiff, when it might have been, the defendant may still obtain a rule nisi for his discharge, to be served on the plaintiff. (*Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579). Where the plaintiff is dead it is necessary to shew that there is no personal representative, before service of notice on the plaintiff's attorney will be deemed sufficient. (*Er p. Richer*, 4 Dowl. 275; 1 H. & W. 518, S. C.) Where it appeared that

the plaintiff had died intestate no administration had been taken but that his wife was living, the rule was granted to be served on the attorney in the cause, who afterwards made absolute. (Id.)
(h) *Doe Smith v. Payton*, 7 Dowl. 588.
(i) See *Er p. Nelson*, 7 Dowl. 588: *Magnay v. Wilkes*, Id. 467: *Adams v. Addams*, 2 Dowl. 111: 1 C. & Tyr. 904, S. C.: *Moore v. Clay*, 1 Dowl. 549.
(j) *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Dowl. 546.
(k) *Cowley v. Bussell*, 4 Dowl. 588: *Mence v. Graves*, Id. 854: *Nicholson v. Baker*, 6 Id. 493. *Baker v. Spence*, 1 Id. 588.
(l) *Anon.*, 3 Leg. Obs. 76.
(m) *Stacey v. Fieldsend*, 1 Dowl. 588.
(n) *Jones v. Fitz Addams*, 2 Dowl. 588: 1 C. & M. 855: 3 Tyr. 834, S. C.
(o) *Anon.*, 1 Dowl. 142.

pe in consequence of such discharge (p). If, there-
oner obtain his discharge fraudulently, an applica-
be made to the court for "liberty to sue out a new
inst the defendant;" this must be supported by an
of facts, to shew in what manner the discharge was
ly obtained; give a brief to counsel, with the affidavit
for the rule; it is a rule nisi, and must be served on
ndant, but does not require personal service; make an
of service, and give a brief to counsel to move to
e rule absolute; if the rule be made absolute, then
the *capias ad satisfaciendum* in the usual way (q).

Proceedings under the Lords' Act.] The act of 1 & 2 V. Proceedings
s. 119, enacts, that from and after the passing of that under the
o prisoner for debt shall petition any court for his or Lords' Act.
ischarge under the Lords' Act, 32 G. 2, c. 28 (r). And
me section enacts, that no creditor of any prisoner shall
on any court for the exercise of the compulsory powers
against debtors under the provisions of the Lords'
(s). The 38th section of the same act, however, em-
ars the detaining creditors of prisoners in execution, to
y, by petition, to the *insolvent* court, for an order to
the defendant's estate in the provisional assignees of that
rt.

Subsequent Proceedings against Insolvents discharged under Subsequent
Lords' Act.] By a discharge under the Lords' Act, (which Proceedings
have seen cannot take place since 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 119), against Insol-
e debtor's person is for ever freed from arrest for the same vents dis-
bt (t); even if he subsequently promise payment, it has charged unde-
en considered he cannot be holden to bail on such sub- the Lords'
quent promise (u). The judgment, however, remains in Act.
ce; and execution may at any time be sued out against the
btor's "lands, tenements, rents or hereditaments, goods or
attels," other than and except his wearing apparel, tools,
c., to the amount of 10%, as before mentioned (v). As to
is mode of proceeding in such a case, see *ante*, 826.

4. Discharge of Prisoners by other Means.

A prisoner will be entitled to his discharge, if the attorney, Where
hose name is indorsed on the writ, declares that it was not Attorn
sued by him, or with his authority or privity (x). claims
Writ.

As to what defects in an affidavit to hold to bail, or in a Defect
rit of *capias*, will entitle the prisoner to his discharge, see &c.
Vol. I. 484, 500, 520.

(p) 48 G. 3, c. 123, s. 1.

(q) Chapm. Pract. 330.

(r) As to the law and practice, when
the clauses of the Lords' Act were in
operation, the reader is referred to Tidd's
Practice, and Archbold's Pract., 2nd ed.
vol. II. 135 to 140.

(s) As to the law and practice when
the provisions of the Lords' Act were in
operation, see the 6th edition of this

Work, Vol. II. p. 917.

(t) See *Workman v. Leake*, Cowp. 22,
32, n.: *Pagett v. Wheate*, 2 Doug. 669.

(u) MS., M. 1814: *Wilson v. Kemp*, 3
M. & Sel. 585; Vol. I. 471. But this
seems questionable. And see *Horton v.*
Moggridge, 6 Taunt. 563, n.: *Hatt v.*
Verdier, 2 W. Bl. 724.

(v) 32 G. 2, c. 28, s. 20.

(x) Vol. I. 51, 52.

quer, where a defendant is in custody of any other of the warden of the Fleet, a copy of the causes, certified gaoler or verified by affidavit, must be produced on application (b). *Draw up a rule, serve a copy on the plaintiff and deliver the original rule to the sheriff or keeper of the prison in which the defendant is confined, to warrant the court to make a rule nisi.* A notice of the application should be served on the defendant personally (d) and not his attorney, whose authority is required when the judgment was signed (e), unless indeed the defendant cannot be found (f), or unless the attorney still continues his agent (g). Service on one of two lessors of the plaintiff is sufficient where the other had no interest, and cannot be found (h). But it is not absolutely necessary to give notice to the defendant though it is a great saving of expense to the prisoner. If no notice be given, it is only a rule nisi (i) in the first instance. The name of the cause stated in the notice must correspond with the name of that in which he is in execution (j). The rule must be served on the plaintiff, (or his agent, if any, and the plaintiff cannot be found), an affidavit of service made, and a brief given to counsel "to move the court to make the rule absolute;" if no sufficient cause be shown, the rule will be made absolute of course, and must then be served up and served as above (k). Where a defendant had been in custody more than twelve months on two judgments, 10% each, at the suit of the same plaintiff, it was held that there must be a separate motion in each case (l). A prisoner is entitled to his discharge as a matter of right if the court are satisfied as to the fact of his imprisonment for twelve months, &c. (m). Where the rule is made nisi, the court have no power to order cause to be shown at chambers (n). If notice of the application for discharge was given, and the application be successful in the first instance, no costs are allowed to the plaintiff or creditor (o).

If the prisoner's discharge be unduly or fraudulently obtained by a statement to the court, which, if true, would entitle him to be discharged under the act, he is liable to be again taken in execution, and remanded by rule of court to the sheriff or keeper of the prison who may have taken him under a rule so obtained, is not to be liable to

(b) *Short v. Williams*, 4 Dowl. 357.

(c) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579.

(d) *George v. Fry*, 4 Dowl. 273: see *Biddulph v. Gray*, 5 Dowl. 406.

(e) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579: *Gordon v. Twine*, 4 Id. 580: *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Id. 546.

(f) See *Bradley v. Webb*, 7 Dowl. 588.

(g) *Granger v. Wilkes*, 14 Leg. Obs. 116: *Shilcock v. Passman*, 7 C. & P. 289: *Wilson v. Makler*, 1 Dowl. 549: *George v. Fry*, 4 Id. 273. If not served on the plaintiff, when it might have been, the defendant may still obtain a rule nisi for his discharge, to be served on the plaintiff. (*Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579). Where the plaintiff is dead it is necessary to shew that there is no personal representative, before service of notice on the plaintiff's attorney will be deemed sufficient. (*Ex p. Richer*, 4 Dowl. 275; 1 H. & W. 518, S. C.) Where it appeared that

the plaintiff had died intestate, no administration had been granted, but that his wife was living, a rule nisi was granted to be served on the attorney in the cause, and afterwards made absolute. (l)

(h) *Doe Smith v. Payton*,

(i) See *Ex p. Neilson*, *Magnay v. Wilkes*, Id. 467: *Addams*, 2 Dowl. 111; 1 C. & M. 111; 1 C. & M. Tyr. 904, S. C.: *Moore v. C.*

(j) *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1

(k) *Cowley v. Russell*, 4 *Mence v. Graves*, Id. 854: *Neilson*, 6 Id. 493. *Baker v. Sp.*

(l) *Anon.*, 3 Leg. Obs. 78.

(m) *Stacey v. Fieldsend*, 1 *ante*, 868.

(n) *Jones v. Fitz Addams*, 1 C. & M. 855: 3 Tyr. 434, 1

(o) *Anon.*, 1 Dowl. 148.

escape in consequence of such discharge (p). If, therefore, a prisoner obtain his discharge fraudulently, an application must be made to the court for "liberty to sue out a new writ against the defendant;" this must be supported by an affidavit of facts, to shew in what manner the discharge was improperly obtained; give a brief to counsel, with the affidavit to move for the rule; it is a rule nisi, and must be served on the defendant, but does not require personal service; make an affidavit of service, and give a brief to counsel to move to make the rule absolute; if the rule be made absolute, then issue out the *capias ad satisfaciendum* in the usual way (q).

[*Proceedings under the Lords' Act.*] The act of 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 119, enacts, that from and after the passing of that act, no prisoner for debt shall petition any court for his or her discharge under the Lords' Act, 32 G. 2, c. 28 (r). And the same section enacts, that no creditor of any prisoner shall petition any court for the exercise of the compulsory powers given against debtors under the provisions of the Lords' Act (s). The 36th section of the same act, however, empowers the detaining creditors of prisoners in execution, to apply, by petition, to the insolvent court, for an order to attach the defendant's estate in the provisional assignees of that court.

[*Subsequent Proceedings against Insolvents discharged under the Lords' Act.*] By a discharge under the Lords' Act, (which we have seen cannot take place since 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 119), the debtor's person is for ever freed from arrest for the same debt (t); even if he subsequently promise payment, it has not been considered he cannot be holden to bail on such subsequent promise (u). The judgment, however, remains in force; and execution may at any time be sued out against the debtor's "lands, tenements, rents or hereditaments, goods or chattels," other than and except his wearing apparel, tools, &c., to the amount of 10*l.*, as before mentioned (v). As to the mode of proceeding in such a case, see *ante*, 826.

4. Discharge of Prisoners by other Means.

A prisoner will be entitled to his discharge, if the attorney, whose name is indorsed on the writ, declares that it was not sued by him, or with his authority or privity (x). As to what defects in an affidavit to hold to bail, or in a writ of *capias*, will entitle the prisoner to his discharge, see *l. I.* 484, 500, 520.

(p) 48 G. 3, c. 123, s. 1.

(q) Chapm. Pract. 330.

(r) As to the law and practice, when the clauses of the Lords' Act were in operation, the reader is referred to Tidd's Practice, and Archbold's Pract., 2nd ed. s. 11, 135 to 140.

(s) As to the law and practice when the provisions of the Lords' Act were in operation, see the 6th edition of this

Work, Vol. II. p. 917.

(t) See *Workman v. Leake*, Cowp. 22, 32, n.: *Pagett v. Wheate*, 2 Doug. 669.

(u) MS., M. 1814: *Wilson v. Kemp*, 3 M. & Sel. 595; Vol. I. 471. But this seems questionable. And see *Horton v. Moggridge*, 6 Taunt. 563, n.: *Hatt v. Verdier*, 2 W. Bl. 724.

(v) 32 G. 2, c. 28, s. 20.

(x) Vol. I. 51, 52.

Where an Attorney declares the Writ.

Defect in Writ &c.

Subsequent Proceedings against Insolvents discharged under the Lords' Act.

quer, where a defendant is in custody of any other office the warden of the Fleet, a copy of the causes, certified gaoler or verified by affidavit, must be produced on the cation (b). *Draw up a rule, serve a copy on the plaintiff and deliver the original rule to the sheriff or keeper of the in which the defendant is confined, to warrant the discharge.* A notice of the application should be served on the plaintiff personally (d) and not his attorney, whose authority when the judgment was signed (e), unless indeed the plaintiff cannot be found (f), or unless the attorney still continues agent (g). Service on one of two lessors of the plaintiff is sufficient where the other had no interest, and could not be found (h). But it is not absolutely necessary to give this notice though it is a great saving of expense to the prisoner. If no notice be given, it is only a rule nisi (i) in the first instance. The name of the cause stated in the notice must correspond with the name of that in which he is in execution (j). The rule must be served on the plaintiff, (or his agent, if any, and the plaintiff cannot be found), an affidavit of service made, and a brief given to counsel "to move the court within rule absolute;" if no sufficient cause be shewn, the rule will be made absolute of course, and must then be served up and served as above (k). Where a defendant had been in custody more than twelve months on two judgments of 10% each, at the suit of the same plaintiff, it was held that there must be a separate motion in each case (l). A prisoner is entitled to his discharge as a matter of right if the court are satisfied as to the fact of his imprisonment in custody for twelve months, &c. (m). Where the rule is nisi, the court have no power to order cause to be set at chambers (n). If notice of the application for discharge was given, and the application be successfully made in the first instance, no costs are allowed to the plaintiff or creditor (o).

If the prisoner's discharge be unduly or fraudulently obtained by a statement to the court, which, if true, would entitle him to be discharged under the act, he is liable to be again taken in execution, and remanded by rule of court. The sheriff or keeper of the prison who may have discharged him under a rule so obtained, is not to be liable to the plaintiff.

(b) *Short v. Williams*, 4 Dowl. 357.

(c) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579.

(d) *George v. Fry*, 4 Dowl. 273: see *Biddulph v. Gray*, 5 Dowl. 406.

(e) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579: *Gordon v. Twine*, 4 Id. 580: *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Id. 546.

(f) See *Bradley v. Webb*, 7 Dowl. 588.

(g) *Granger v. Wilkes*, 14 Leg. Obs. 116: *Shilcock v. Passman*, 7 C. & P. 289: *Wilson v. Makler*, 1 Dowl. 549: *George v. Fry*, 4 Id. 273. If not served on the plaintiff, when it might have been, the defendant may still obtain a rule nisi for his discharge, to be served on the plaintiff. (*Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579). Where the plaintiff is dead it is necessary to shew that there is no personal representative, before service of notice on the plaintiff's attorney will be deemed sufficient. (*Ex p. Richer*, 4 Dowl. 275; 1 H. & W. 518, S. C.) Where it appeared that

the plaintiff had died intestate no administration had been granted but that his wife was living, the rule was granted to be served on the attorney in the cause, who afterwards made absolute. (Id.)

(h) *Doe Smith v. Payton*, 7

(i) See *Ex p. Neilson*, 7 *Magnay v. Wilkes*, Id. 467: *J. Addams*, 2 Dowl. 111; 1 C. & Tyr. 904, S. C.: *Monroe v. Clay*

(j) *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 D.

(k) *Cowley v. Russell*, 4 7 *Mence v. Graves*, Id. 854: *Nicholson*, 6 Id. 493. *Baker v. Spence*

(l) *Anon.*, 3 Leg. Obs. 76.

(m) *Stacey v. Fieldsend*, 1 1 *ento*, 868.

(n) *Jones v. Fitz Addams*, 2 1 C. & M. 855: 3 Tyr. 434, S.

(o) *Anon.*, 1 Dowl. 142.

pe in consequence of such discharge (p). If, there-
 oner obtain his discharge fraudulently, an applica-
 be made to the court for "liberty to sue out a new
 ainst the defendant;" this must be supported by an
 of facts, to shew in what manner the discharge was
 ly obtained; give a brief to counsel, with the affidavit
 for the rule; it is a rule *nisi*, and must be served on
 ndant, but does not require personal service; make an
 of service, and give a brief to counsel to move to
 he rule absolute; if the rule be made absolute, then
 the *capias ad satisfaciendum* in the usual way (q).

ceedings under the Lords' Act.] The act of 1 & 2 V. Proceedings
 , s. 119, enacts, that from and after the passing of that under the
 o prisoner for debt shall petition any court for his or Lords' Act.
 discharge under the Lords' Act, 32 G. 2, c. 28 (r). And
 ame section enacts, that no creditor of any prisoner shall
 ion any court for the exercise of the compulsory powers
 a against debtors under the provisions of the Lords'
 (s). The 36th section of the same act, however, em-
 ers the detaining creditors of prisoners in execution, to
 ly, by petition, to the *insolvent* court, for an order to
 the defendant's estate in the provisional assignees of that
 rt.

Subsequent Proceedings against Insolvents discharged under
Lords' Act.] By a discharge under the Lords' Act, (which Subsequent
 e have seen cannot take place since 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 119), Proceedings
 e debtor's person is for ever freed from arrest for the same against Insol-
 bt (t); even if he subsequently promise payment, it has vents dis-
 en considered he cannot be holden to bail on such sub- charged unde
 quent promise (u). The judgment, however, remains in the Lords'
 rce; and execution may at any time be sued out against the Act.
 btor's "lands, tenements, rents or hereditaments, goods or
 attels," other than and except his wearing apparel, tools,
 e., to the amount of 10*l.*, as before mentioned (v). As to
 e mode of proceeding in such a case, see *ante*, 826.

4. Discharge of Prisoners by other Means.

A prisoner will be entitled to his discharge, if the attorney, Where
 hose name is indorsed on the writ, declares that it was not Attorri
 sued by him, or with his authority or privity (x). claims
 Writ.

As to what defects in an affidavit to hold to bail, or in a Defec
 rit of *capias*, will entitle the prisoner to his discharge, see &c.
Vol. I. 484, 500, 520.

(p) 48 G. 3, c. 123, s. 1.

(q) Chapm. Pract. 330.

(r) As to the law and practice, when
 use clauses of the Lords' Act were in
 peration, the reader is referred to Tidd's
 Practice, and Archbold's Pract., 2nd ed.
Vol. II. 135 to 140.

(s) As to the law and practice when
 use provisions of the Lords' Act were in
 peration, see the 6th edition of this

Work, Vol. II. p. 917.

(t) See *Workman v. Leake*, Cowp. 22,
 32, n.: *Pagett v. Wheate*, 2 Doug. 669.

(u) M.S., M. 1814: *Wilson v. Kemp*, 3
 M. & Sel. 595; Vol. I. 471. But this
 seems questionable. And see *Horton v.*
Moggridge, 6 Taunt. 563, n.: *Hatt v.*
Verdier, 2 W. Bl. 724.

(v) 32 G. 2, c. 28, s. 20.

(x) Vol. I. 51, 52.

quer, where a defendant is in custody of any other officer, the warden of the Fleet, a copy of the causes, certified by the gaoler or verified by affidavit, must be produced on application (b). *Draw up a rule, serve a copy on the plaintiff and deliver the original rule to the sheriff or keeper of the prison in which the defendant is confined, to warrant the court to make a rule nisi.* A notice of the application should be served on the defendant personally (d) and not his attorney, whose authority is not good when the judgment was signed (e), unless indeed the attorney cannot be found (f), or unless the attorney still continues his agent (g). Service on one of two lessors of the plaintiff is sufficient where the other had no interest, and could not be found (h). But it is not absolutely necessary to give notice to both, though it is a great saving of expense to the prisoner. If no notice be given, it is only a rule nisi (i) in the first instance. The name of the cause stated in the notice must coincide with the name of that in which he is in execution (j). The rule must be served on the plaintiff, (or his agent, if any, and the plaintiff cannot be found), an affidavit of service made, and a brief given to counsel "to move the court within rule absolute;" if no sufficient cause be shown, the rule will be made absolute of course, and must then be served up and served as above (k). Where a defendant had been in custody more than twelve months on two judgments, 10% each, at the suit of the same plaintiff, it was held that there must be a separate motion in each case (l). A prisoner is entitled to his discharge as a matter of right if the court are satisfied as to the fact of his imprisonment for twelve months, &c. (m). Where the rule is made nisi, the court have no power to order cause to be shown at chambers (n). If notice of the application for discharge was given, and the application be successful in the first instance, no costs are allowed to the plaintiff or creditor (o).

If the prisoner's discharge be unduly or fraudulently obtained by a statement to the court, which, if true, would entitle him to be discharged under the act, he is liable to be again taken in execution, and remanded by rule of court. The sheriff or keeper of the prison who may have committed him under a rule so obtained, is not to be liable to

(b) *Short v. Williams*, 4 Dowl. 357.

(c) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579.

(d) *George v. Fry*, 4 Dowl. 273: see *Biddulph v. Gray*, 5 Dowl. 406.

(e) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579: *Gordon v. Twine*, 4 Id. 580: *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Id. 546.

(f) See *Bradley v. Webb*, 7 Dowl. 588.

(g) *Granger v. Wilkes*, 14 Leg. Obs. 116: *Shilcock v. Passman*, 7 C. & P. 289: *Wilson v. Mokler*, 1 Dowl. 549: *George v. Fry*, 4 Id. 273. If not served on the plaintiff, when it might have been, the defendant may still obtain a rule nisi for his discharge, to be served on the plaintiff. (*Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579). Where the plaintiff is dead it is necessary to shew that there is no personal representative, before service of notice on the plaintiff's attorney will be deemed sufficient. (*Ex p. Richer*, 4 Dowl. 273; 1 H. & W. 518, S. C.) Where it appeared that

the plaintiff had died intestate, no administration had been granted, but that his wife was living, a rule nisi was granted to be served on the attorney in the cause, and afterwards made absolute. (l)

(h) *Doe Smith v. Payton*, 1 Dowl. 588.

(i) See *Ex p. Neilson*, 1 Dowl. 588: *Magnay v. Wilkes*, Id. 467: *Addams*, 2 Dowl. 111; 1 C. & P. 904, S. C.: *Monre v. Chas.*

(j) *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Dowl. 546.

(k) *Cowley v. Buswell*, 4 Dowl. 588: *Mence v. Graves*, Id. 854: *Nelson*, 6 Id. 493. *Baker v. Spence*, 1 Dowl. 588.

(l) *Anon.*, 3 Leg. Obs. 78.

(m) *Stacey v. Fieldsend*, 1 Dowl. 588.

(n) *Jones v. Fitz Addams*, 1 C. & M. 855: 3 Tyr. 434, 1 Dowl. 588.

(o) *Anon.*, 1 Dowl. 142.

escape in consequence of such discharge (p). If, therefore, a prisoner obtain his discharge fraudulently, an application must be made to the court for "liberty to sue out a new writ against the defendant;" this must be supported by an affidavit of facts, to shew in what manner the discharge was improperly obtained; give a brief to counsel, with the affidavit to move for the rule; it is a rule nisi, and must be served on the defendant, but does not require personal service; make an affidavit of service, and give a brief to counsel to move to make the rule absolute; if the rule be made absolute, then sue out the *capias ad satisfaciendum* in the usual way (q).

Proceedings under the Lords' Act.] The act of 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 119, enacts, that from and after the passing of that act, no prisoner for debt shall petition any court for his or her discharge under the Lords' Act, 32 G. 2, c. 28 (r). And the same section enacts, that no creditor of any prisoner shall petition any court for the exercise of the compulsory powers given against debtors under the provisions of the Lords' Act (s). The 36th section of the same act, however, empowers the detaining creditors of prisoners in execution, to apply, by petition, to the insolvent court, for an order to vest the defendant's estate in the provisional assignees of that court.

Proceedings
under the
Lords' Act.

Subsequent Proceedings against Insolvents discharged under the Lords' Act.] By a discharge under the Lords' Act, (which we have seen cannot take place since 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 119), the debtor's person is for ever freed from arrest for the same debt (t); even if he subsequently promise payment, it has been considered he cannot be holden to bail on such subsequent promise (u). The judgment, however, remains in force; and execution may at any time be sued out against the debtor's "lands, tenements, rents or hereditaments, goods or chattels," other than and except his wearing apparel, tools, &c., to the amount of 10*l.*, as before mentioned (v). As to the mode of proceeding in such a case, see *ante*, 826.

Subsequent
Proceedings
against Insol-
vents dis-
charged under
the Lords'
Act.

4. Discharge of Prisoners by other Means.

A prisoner will be entitled to his discharge, if the attorney, whose name is indorsed on the writ, declares that it was not sued by him, or with his authority or privity (x).

Where an
Attorney dis-
claims the
Writ.

As to what defects in an affidavit to hold to bail, or in a writ of *capias*, will entitle the prisoner to his discharge, see *vol. I.* 484, 500, 520.

Defect in Writ
&c.

(p) 48 G. 3, c. 123, s. 1.

(q) Chapm. Pract. 330.

(r) As to the law and practice, when the clauses of the Lords' Act were in operation, the reader is referred to Tidd's practice, and Archbold's Pract., 2nd ed. s. II. 135 to 140.

(s) As to the law and practice when the provisions of the Lords' Act were in operation, see the 6th edition of this

Work, Vol. II. p. 917.

(t) See *Workman v. Leake*, Cowp. 22, 32, n.: *Pagett v. Wheate*, 2 Doug. 619.

(u) MS., M. 1814: *Wilson v. Kemp*, 3 M. & Sel. 595; Vol. I. 471. But this seems questionable. And see *Horton v. Moggridge*, 6 Taunt. 563, n.: *Hatt v. Verdier*, 2 W. Bl. 724.

(v) 32 G. 2, c. 28, s. 20.

(x) Vol. I. 51, 52.

If the prisoner's discharge be unduly or fraudulently obtained by a statement to the court, which, if true, entitles him to be discharged under the act, he is liable to be again taken in execution, and remanded by rule of court to the sheriff or keeper of the prison who may have taken him under a rule so obtained. is not to be liable to

(b) *Short v. Williams*, 4 Dowl. 357.
(c) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579.
(d) *George v. Fry*, 4 Dowl. 273: see *Biddulph v. Gray*, 5 Dowl. 406.
(e) *Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579: *Gordon v. Twine*, 4 Id. 580: *Kelly v. Dickenson*, 1 Id. 546.
(f) See *Bradley v. Webb*, 7 Dowl. 588.
(g) *Granger v. Wilkes*, 14 L. & C. 116: *Shirkock v. Passman*, 7 C. & P. 289: *Wilson v. Mokler*, 1 Dowl. 549: *George v. Fry*, 4 Id. 273. If not served on the plaintiff, when it might have been, the defendant may still obtain a rule nisi for his discharge, to be served on the plaintiff. (*Johnson v. Routledge*, 5 Dowl. 579). Where the plaintiff is dead it is necessary to shew that there is no personal representative, before service of notice on the plaintiff's attorney will be deemed sufficient. (*Ex p. Richer*, 4 Dowl. 275; 1 H. & W. 518, & C.) Where it appeared that

the plaintiff had died inter no administration had been but that his wife was living was granted to be served on the attorney in the cause, & afterwards made absolute. (I)

(h) *Doe Smith v. Payton*,
(i) See *Ex p. Neilson*,
Magnay v. Wilkes, Id. 467:
Addams, 2 Dowl. 111; 1 C.
Tyr. 904, S. C.: *Moorn v. C*
(j) *Kelly v. Dickensson*, 1
(k) *Cowley v. Russell*, 4
Mence v. Graves, Id. 854: *N*
son, 6 Id. 493. *Baker v. Syd*
(l) *Anon.*, 3 Leg. Obs. 76.
(m) *Stacey v. Fieldland*, 1
ante, 868.

(n) *Jones v. Fitz Addams*,
1 C. & M. 855; 3 Tyr. 434, 1
(o) *Anon.*, 1 Dowl. 142.

escape in consequence of such discharge (p). If, therefore, a prisoner obtain his discharge fraudulently, an application must be made to the court for "liberty to sue out a new writ against the defendant;" this must be supported by an affidavit of facts, to shew in what manner the discharge was improperly obtained; give a brief to counsel, with the affidavit to move for the rule; it is a rule nisi, and must be served on the defendant, but does not require personal service; make an affidavit of service, and give a brief to counsel to move to make the rule absolute; if the rule be made absolute, then issue out the *capias ad satisfaciendum* in the usual way (q).

[*Proceedings under the Lords' Act.*] The act of 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 119, enacts, that from and after the passing of that act, no prisoner for debt shall petition any court for his or her discharge under the Lords' Act, 32 G. 2, c. 28 (r). And the same section enacts, that no creditor of any prisoner shall petition any court for the exercise of the compulsory powers given against debtors under the provisions of the Lords' Act (s). The 36th section of the same act, however, empowers the detaining creditors of prisoners in execution, to apply, by petition, to the insolvent court, for an order to set the defendant's estate in the provisional assignees of that court.

Proceedings
under the
Lords' Act.

[*Subsequent Proceedings against Insolvents discharged under the Lords' Act.*] By a discharge under the Lords' Act, (which we have seen cannot take place since 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 119), the debtor's person is for ever freed from arrest for the same debt (t); even if he subsequently promise payment, it has not been considered he cannot be holden to bail on such subsequent promise (u). The judgment, however, remains in force; and execution may at any time be sued out against the debtor's "lands, tenements, rents or hereditaments, goods or chattels," other than and except his wearing apparel, tools, &c., to the amount of 10*l.*, as before mentioned (v). As to the mode of proceeding in such a case, see *ante*, 826.

Subsequent
Proceedings
against Insol-
vents dis-
charged under
the Lords'
Act.

4. Discharge of Prisoners by other Means.

A prisoner will be entitled to his discharge, if the attorney, whose name is indorsed on the writ, declares that it was not sued by him, or with his authority or privity (x). As to what defects in an affidavit to hold to bail, or in a writ of *capias*, will entitle the prisoner to his discharge, see *infra*, 484, 500, 520.

Where an
Attorney dis-
claims the
Writ.

Defect in Writ
&c.

p) 48 G. 3, c. 123, s. 1.

q) Chapm. Pract. 330.

r) As to the law and practice, when the clauses of the Lords' Act were in relation, the reader is referred to Tidd's Practice, and Archbold's Pract., 2nd ed. l. II. 135 to 140.

s) As to the law and practice when the provisions of the Lords' Act were in relation, see the 6th edition of this

Work, Vol. II. p. 917.

(t) See *Workman v. Leake*, Cowp. 22, 32, n.: *Payett v. Whoste*, 2 Doug. 689.

(u) MS., M. 1814: *Wilson v. Kemp*, 3 M. & Sel. 595; Vol. I. 471. But this seems questionable. And see *Horton v. Moggridge*, 6 Taunt. 563, n.: *Hatt v. Verdier*, 2 W. Bl. 724.

(v) 32 G. 2, c. 28, s. 20.

(x) Vol. I. 51, 52.

BOOK III.
PART II.By perfecting
Bail.
On favourable
Termination
or Comprom-
ise of the
Action.

A prisoner shall be discharged upon putting in and perfecting bail at any time before judgment (y).

A prisoner shall also be discharged when the action is abated, discontinued, or decided in his favour. So, if the prisoner settle or compromise the debt with the plaintiff, the plaintiff (or more properly his attorney) shall give the defendant a discharge in writing; and upon this being lodged with the marshal or gaoler, the prisoner shall be discharged (z). Or, if, after judgment, he pay the amount of it to the plaintiff or his attorney, they are bound at their peril to discharge him; and where a defendant in execution tendered the amount of the judgment to the plaintiff and to his attorney, and required them to sign his discharge, which they refused to do, unless he would also satisfy a demand they had on him for costs on another account, the court held that the defendant might maintain an action on the case against them for his subsequent detention (a). As the attorney, in strictness, has a lien on the judgment for the amount of his costs (b), the discharge, more properly, should be given by him, as above mentioned; but a discharge by either will be sufficient. And where a plaintiff, having his debtor in execution for 500*l.*, entered up satisfaction on the roll by a different attorney from that he had employed in the cause, upon the defendant's agreeing to pay him 120*l.* at a future time; upon a motion to discharge the defendant, which was opposed by the plaintiff's attorney, on the ground of his *lien*, the court held that, although there appeared to be a fraudulent collusion between the plaintiff and the defendant, they had no power to detain the defendant in prison after satisfaction was entered up on the record (c). If the prisoner be in execution at the time of his discharge, his discharge amounts to a satisfaction of the debt, even although he was discharged upon giving a security, which, on account of an informality, afterwards became unavailable (d); but otherwise if he were in custody upon *mesne* process merely (e).

In case of
Bankruptcy.

If a prisoner become bankrupt, and obtain his certificate, if the debt for which he is in custody be provable under his commission, he shall be discharged out of custody upon application to a judge at chambers (f). Even before he obtains his certificate, if the plaintiff elect to prove under the commission, he must first discharge the defendant out of custody, before he will be permitted to prove (g).

After Death
of the Plain-
tiff.

Also, in a case where the wife of a prisoner became administratrix to the plaintiff, the court ordered the defendant to be discharged (h); and the Court of Common Pleas have gone so far as to discharge a prisoner in execution, after the plaintiff's death, upon service of a rule *nisi* upon the next of kin, and no

(y) See Vol. I. 612, 613.

(z) See Vol. I. 543: see *Butt v. Conant*, 3 B. & B. 3; 6 Moore, 65, S. C.(a) *Crocer v. Pilling*, 6 D. & R. 129; 4 B. & C. 26, S. C.

(b) See Vol. I. 86, 87.

(c) *Marr v. Smith*, 4 B. & Ald. 468: ante, Vol. I. 87, 88.(d) *Ante*, Vol. I. 455: *Jaques v. Withy*,

1 T. R. 557.

(e) MS., H. 1822: ante, Vol. I. 477.

(f) 6 G. 4, c. 16, s. 125. See Arch. Bkt. L. 210, 281, 4th ed.: ante, Vol. I. 470.

(g) 6 G. 4, c. 16, s. 59. See Arch. Bkt. L. 109.

(h) *Pyne v. Ellis*, 3 T. R. 407.

is shewn, it appearing that the next of kin did not intend to administer (*i*). But that court refused to discharge a defendant out of custody in execution at the plaintiff's suit, although the application was not made until eighteen months after the death of the latter, it appearing that he had appointed executors who were still alive, and had not assented to the discharge (*k*). And where administration had been taken out, the court refused, without the authority of the administratrix, to discharge the defendant out of execution after the death of the plaintiff, although his administratrix and assignees disclaimed all interest in the action (*l*).

Whitman v. Harlock, 2 New Rep. 145. (*k*) *Dunford v. Gouldsmith*, 8 Moore, 145.
Whitman v. Martin, 1 B. & P. 178: 145.
as *R. v. Davis*, Id. 336: but see (*l*) *Fothergill v. Walton*, 4 Bing. 711; 1
v. Murcott, 1 Bing. 431; 8 Moore, Moo. & P. 743, S. C.
C.

CHAPTER V.

ACTIONS BY AND AGAINST EXECUTORS OR ADMINISTRATORS.

SECT. 1.

BOOK III.
PART II.*Actions by Executors or Administrators.*Limitation of
Actions by.

Limitations of Actions by.] If the time limited by the statute have not expired before the death of the testator or intestate, the executor or administrator may bring the action at any time within a year after the death (*a*); or, if the time limited have not expired within the year after the death, at any time before the expiration of such limited time. And if the executor bring an action, and die before judgment, his executor may bring a fresh action within a reasonable time afterwards (*b*). In an action by an administrator upon a bill of exchange payable to the intestate, but accepted *after his death*, it was holden that the statute began to run from the grant of the letters of administration, and not from the time the bill became due, there being no cause of action while there is no party capable of suing (*c*). But that case would have received a different decision, had the bill been due in the lifetime of the testator (*d*). By the recent act 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, s. 2, executors and administrators may bring an action for an injury to the real estate of the testator or intestate, provided the injury was committed within six months before the death of the testator or intestate, and provided the action be brought within a year after his death (*e*).

Process, &c.

Process, &c.] Though the plaintiff sue as executor or administrator, the process need not describe him as such; but the practice in the Common Pleas, in bailable cases, before the 2 W. 4, c. 39, was different (*f*): and to avoid any doubt on the question, it is best in that court to describe him in the process as executor or administrator. An executor or administrator may swear to the debt according to his belief; he is not obliged to swear positively to it, as he would be if he were not suing *in autre droit* (*g*). Executors who have holden a

(a) Bull. N. P. 150.

(b) Id.: see *Knight v. Bate*, Cowp. 738; 11 Mod. 455, S. C.(c) *Murray v. East India Company*, 5 B. & Ald. 204; and see *Douglas v. Forrest*, 1 Moo. & P. 663; 4 Bing. 686, S. C.: *post*, 877.(d) See *Rhodes v. Smethurst*, 4 M. & W.63: and see in equity, *Freake v. Crane*, 3 M. & Cr. 499: *post*, 877.(e) See *Powell v. Ross*, 7 Ad. & E. 426; 2 Nev. & P. 571, S. C.

(f) See Vol. I. 513.

(g) *Ante*, Vol. I. 487. See form of affidavit to hold to bail, by executor or administrator, Chit. Forms, 211.

party to bail without reasonable or probable cause, for a debt alleged to be due to their testator, are within the 43 G. 3, c. 58, s. 3 (k). If the plaintiff in an action, after having arrested the defendant, die, such arrest is no bar to a fresh arrest in an action by the executors (i).

It is not necessary for the executor or administrator of an attorney, before the commencement of an action, to deliver a bill of costs for business done by his testator or intestate (k).

Declaration and subsequent Proceedings.] We have already seen how far the declaration should correspond with the process or affidavit to hold to bail in bailable cases (l). The declaration is filed or delivered in the same manner as in ordinary cases. Declaration and subsequent Proceedings.

By general rule of all the courts of R. H., 4 W. 4, r. 21, Plea. "In all actions by and against assignees of a bankrupt or insolvent, or executors, administrators, or persons authorized by act of parliament to sue or be sued as nominal parties, the character in which the plaintiff or defendant is stated on the record to sue or be sued, shall not in any case be considered in issue, unless specially denied."

The defendant may bring money into court (m).

If the plaintiff reside abroad, he may be compelled to give security for costs as in other cases (n). Security for Costs.

The subsequent proceedings, together with the verdict, notes, judgment, and execution, are also the same as in ordinary cases (o). As to *scire facias* by an executor, &c., to revive judgment obtained by his testator, &c., see *ante*, 819. Other Proceedings.

Costs.] If the verdict be for the plaintiff, he is of course entitled to costs, as in ordinary cases. Previously to the statute, 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, s. 31, if the verdict were given for the defendant, the plaintiff in such case was not liable to costs (p), unless the cause of action accrued after the testator's intestate's death (q), and the plaintiff might have brought the action in his own right (r). Also, previously to that act, the plaintiff was not liable to the costs of a nonsuit, unless the action were such that he might have brought it in his own Costs.

A) *Post*, tit. "Costs:" *Feeley v. Reed*, 5 B. & Ald. 515 a.; *Drumfield v. Archer*, 1 D. & R. 67, S. C.

B) *Mellin v. Evans*, 1 C. & J. 82; *ante*, vol. I, 478.

C) *Ante*, Vol. I. 72. As to taxing the bill, see *Id.* 77.

D) Vol. I. 143, 144.

E) *Crutchfield v. Scott*, 2 Str. 796.

F) *Chancellor v. Flannis*, 3 Moore, 602; 1 B. & R. 277, S. C.: *post*, Book IV. Part I. c. 12.

G) See Chit. Forms, 510.

H) *Nicholas v. Killgrear*, 1 L. Raym. 5; *Martin v. Norfolk*, H. Bl. 528; *Willis v. Hamilton*, 1 R. & P. 445. The reason of their not being liable was on account of the form of the statute which it gave the defendant costs not having included executors. (See *per* Tindal, C. J., in *Wilkinson v. Edwards*, 1 Ott. 174; 3 Dowl. 130; and in *Southey v. Crossley*, 1 Scott, 378).

I) *Bollard v. Spencer*, 7 T. R. 358; *Hollis v. Smith*, 10 East, 203; *Goldthwaite v. Petrie*, 5 T. R. 234.

J) *Goldthwaite v. Petrie*, 5 T. R. 234; *Cockerill v. Kynaston*, 4 T. R. 277; *Cooke v. Lucas*, 2 East, 305. As in trover for a conversion after the testator's death. (*Grimstead v. Shirley*, 2 Taunt. 116). Even if the declaration in an action by an executor or administrator contained a count on an account stated with the plaintiff as executor or administrator, and promise to him as such, he would, if he were nonsuited, or defendant obtained a verdict, be liable to the costs even before the above act. (*Dowbiggin v. Harrison*, 9 B. & C. 666; *Johnson v. Forster*, 1 B. & Ad. 6; *Slater v. Lawson*, *Id.* 803). But, in such case, as far as the pleadings were concerned, the defendant would be entitled to the costs of that count only. (*Id.*; and R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 74).

right (*s*); nor to costs on judgment as in case of a nonsuit (*t*). He was always, even before that act, liable to the costs of a *nonpros* (*u*); and to costs upon a discontinuance (*x*), or for not proceeding to trial according to notice (*y*), if he had knowingly brought a wrong action, or been guilty of a wilful default (*z*); otherwise not (*a*). And now, by that act, "in every action brought by an executor or administrator in right of the testator or intestate, such executor or administrator shall (unless the court in which such action is brought, or a judge of any of the said superior courts, shall otherwise order) be liable to pay costs to the defendant in case of being nonsuited, or a verdict passing against the plaintiff, and in all other cases in which he would be liable if such plaintiff were suing in his own right upon a cause of action accruing to himself; and the defendant shall have judgment for such costs, and they shall be recovered in like manner." As a general rule, since this statute, executors plaintiffs are liable to costs where they do not succeed; and it is incumbent on them to shew some facts, which may satisfy the court that they should be exempt in the particular case, and it is not enough to shew hardship in the case of the plaintiff, unless it be shewn that it was occasioned by the misconduct of the defendant; for the act being made for the benefit of defendants, the court will not take away that benefit unless they see clearly that the defendant has forfeited his claim to it (*b*). The fact that the plaintiffs were advised by counsel that a point of law, which was ultimately decided against them, was in their favour, or, at all events, that there was sufficient doubt to make it proper for the plaintiffs to take the opinion of a court of law upon it, is not sufficient (*c*). The conduct of the defendant in the course of the action, as, that there was greater prolixity of pleading than necessary, &c., will not be considered by the court in exercising their discretion as to relieving the executors from costs (*d*). But *mala fides* or misconduct on the part of the defendant in general will be considered (*e*). The discretion as to costs in actions by executors, given to the court or a judge by the above enactment, applies to those cases only where an executor, before the act, was exempt from costs (*f*); and therefore, in *assumpsit* on promises to an executor, the defendant on a nonsuit is entitled to his costs as of course under the 23 H. 8, c. 15 (*f*). The 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, is retro-

(q) See the instances mentioned in note (q), *supra*: *Hollis v. Smith*, 10 East, 293; *Cockerill v. Kynaston*, 4 T. R. 277; *Barnard v. Higdon*, 3 B. & Ald. 213; 2 L. Raym. 865.

(t) *Pickup v. Wharton*, 2 Dowl. 388; 2 C. & M. 401, S. C.; *Booth v. Holt*, 2 H. Bl. 277; *Bennett v. Coker*, 4 Burr. 1928.

(u) *Higgs v. Warry*, 6 T. R. 654; *Haues v. Saunders*, 3 Burr. 1584.

(x) *Melluish v. Maunder*, 2 New Rep. 72; 1 Chit. Rep. 629 n.

(y) *Nunez v. Modigliani*, 1 H. Bl. 217; 3 Burr. 1385.

(z) *Harris v. Jones*, 1 W. Bl. 451; 3 Burr. 1451, S. C.

(a) *Bennett v. Coker*, 4 Burr. 1927.1

(b) *Gudson v. Freeman*, 2 C., M. & R. 585; 4 Dowl. 543; 1 Tyr. & Gr. 35; 1 Gale, 329, S. C.; *Farley v. Bryant*, 6 Law, J., N. S. 87; 3 Ad. & El. 839, S. C.

Brown v. Crowley, 3 Dowl. 386; *Southgate v. Crowley*, 1 Hodges. 1; 1 Bing. N. C. 318; 1 Scott, 374, S. C.; *Wilkinson v. Edwards*, 3 Dowl. 137; 1 Bing. N. C. 301, S. C.; *Lakin v. Maise*, 4 Dowl. 239; 1 Gale, 279, S. C.; *Engler v. Tursten*, 2 Bing. N. C. 263; 4 Dowl. 330; *Prude v. Wiggins*, 3 Bing. N. C. 235.

(c) *Farley v. Bryant*, 3 Ad. & El. 839.

(d) *Id.*: *supra*, n. (a).

(e) See *Southgate v. Crowley*; *Brown v. Crowley*; *Gudson v. Freeman*, *supra*.

(f) *Ashton v. Puynter*, 1 C., M. & R. 738; 3 Dowl. 465; 1 Gale, 57, S. C. The decision in *Lyons v. Barrac*, 4 Moo. & Sc. 463; 10 Bing. 503, S. C., cannot, it seems, be supported. See 3 Dowl. 471; 1 C., M. & R. 740, *per Parke, B.*; *Spencer v. Albert*, 4 Nev. & M. 385; 2 A. & E. 725; 1 H. & W. 7, S. C.; *Woodley v. Storer*, 3 Moo. & Sc. 248; 2 Dowl. 208, S. C.

spective in its operation (*g*). The application by the executor to be relieved from costs should be made before the taxation; otherwise, if granted, it will only be on payment of the costs of the application (*h*). The decision of a single judge as to the costs may be reviewed by the court (*i*).

CHAP. V.
SECT. 2.

SECT. 2.

Actions against Executors or Administrators.

<i>In what Court they may be sued,</i>	<i>ings, 878.</i>
877.	<i>Judgment, 880.</i>
<i>Limitation of Action, id.</i>	<i>Costs, 881.</i>
<i>Process and Declaration, 878.</i>	<i>Execution. Devastavit, &c., 882.</i>
<i>Fees and subsequent Proceed-</i>	<i>Other Proceedings, 883.</i>

In what Court they may be Sued.] EXECUTORS and administrators are not, unless expressly named, within the statutes by which courts of conscience have been established (*k*); and consequently, they may be sued in the superior court, however trifling the cause of action may be. Also, it may be necessary to remark, if the defendant be an attorney, or officer of the court, yet he is not entitled to his usual privileges when sued as an executor, &c. (*l*).

In what Court they may be sued.

Limitation of Actions against.] An action cannot be maintained against an executor, until he has taken upon himself to act as such, or proved the will. Therefore, where a testator died abroad more than six years before the commencement of the suit, but his executors in this country had not proved the will, nor in any manner acted as executors, until within six years, the Court of Common Pleas held that the Statute of Limitations was no bar (*m*). But when the debtor died *after the statute had begun to run*, and (in consequence of litigation as to the right to probate) an executor of his will was not appointed until after the expiration of the six years, the Court of Exchequer held that the debt was barred, and that the creditor was not entitled to a reasonable time after grant of probate within which to bring his action (*n*).

Limitation of Actions against.

By the 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, s. 2, actions of tort may be brought against executors or administrators for any wrong done by the testator or intestate within six calendar months of his death to

(*g*) *Frasman v. Moyes*, 3 Nev. & M. 883; 1 A. & E. 378, S. C.; *Littledale, J., diss.*: *Pickup v. Wharton*, 2 C. & M. 406; *Grant v. Kemp*, *Id.* 636; and see *Lakin v. Maudslayi*, 4 Dowl. 239; 1 Gale, 270, S. C.: *Prosser v. Wiggins*, 3 Bing. N. C. 235.
(*h*) *Ashton v. Poynter*, 1 Gale, 57; 1 C. M. & R. 738; 5 Tyr. 322; 3 Dowl. 465, & C.
(*i*) *Lakin v. Maudslayi*, 4 Dowl. 239; 1 Gale, 270, S. C.: overruling *Maddox v. Phillips*, 1 H. & W. 281; 5 Nev. & M. 370; 3 A. & E. 198, S. C.
(*k*) *Albany v. Burrows*, Doug. 263; *Webb v. Brown*, 5 T. R. 535.
(*l*) *Newton v. Rowland*, 1 Salk. 2; 1 Ld. Raym. 533, S. C.
(*m*) *Douglas v. Forrest*, 1 Moo. & P. 663; 4 Bing. 686, S. C.: and see *Murray v. East India Company*, 5 B. & Ald. 204; *ante*, 874.
(*n*) *Rhodes v. Smethurst*, 4 M. & W. 63; and see in Equity, *Freaks v. Crane*, 3 Myl. & Cr. 409.

BOOK III.
PART II.

the property, real or personal, of another; provided the action be brought within six calendar months after they have taken upon themselves the administration of his estate, and the damages recovered are to rank as simple contract debts. When an action *ex contractu* will lie, it may still be brought. Therefore, where the testator had wrongfully taken coal from the plaintiff's land and sold it and received the proceeds, though no direct evidence was given of the sum received, but merely of the fact of the sale, it was held that the plaintiff might bring money had and received for so much as was raised before the six months, and trespass under the above act for so much as was raised within the six months, the acts being distinct, and the two actions therefore not incompatible, although the plaintiff might have recovered for all in the action of money had and received (o).

Process and
Declaration.

Process and Declaration.] The executor or administrator need not be described as such in the process (p). Executors or administrators cannot be holden to bail, unless in cases where they have promised in writing to pay the debt of the testator or intestate, or (under a judge's order) when they have been guilty of a *devastavit* (q); and not even then, unless the debt be over 20*l.*, and they be about to quit England (r).

The declaration is filed or delivered as in ordinary cases.

Plea and subsequent
Proceedings.

Plea and subsequent Proceedings.] If the defendant allow judgment to go by default, or expressly confess the action, this is deemed a confession of assets, and he will be estopped from denying it afterwards in an action on the judgment suggesting a *devastavit* (s). He should therefore take care to plead regularly to the action, unless he wish to acknowledge assets. If the defendant dispute his being executor or administrator, he should plead it specially (t). The plea is delivered as in ordinary cases. The plea of *plene administravit*, or *ne unques* executor, need not, in the Courts of Queen's Bench or Exchequer, be signed by counsel (u). On account of costs, it is not advisable to plead any false plea (x).

Proceedings
upon plene
administravit
pleaded alone.

If the defendant plead *plene administravit* or *plene administravit præter*, alone, the plaintiff in his replication may either deny it, or he may confess it, and pray judgment of assets *in futuro*, upon the former plea (y); or, upon the latter, take judgment presently of the assets acknowledged to be in the hands of the defendant, and of assets *in futuro* for the residue. In the latter case the plaintiff may sign judgment of assets *quando acciderint*, &c. (z), after executing a writ of inquiry

(o) *Powell v. Rees*, 7 A. & E. 426; 2 Nev. & P. 571, S. C.

(p) *Ante*. Vol. I. 513.

(q) Vol. I. 473.

(r) See the 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 3.

(s) *Skelton v. Hawling*, 1 Wils. 258: but see *Bird v. Culmer*, Hob. 178.

(t) R. H., 4 W. 4, r. 21, *ante*, 875.

(u) Vol. I. 171.

(x) See *post*, 881. Before the 2 W. 4, c. 39, and the rule of M. T., 3 W. 4, r. 15, *ante*, Vol. I. 145, if the declaration was intitled generally of the term, although not filed, &c., or the action commenced until after the first day of it, and the de-

fendant wished, under the plea of *plene administravit*, to give in evidence an administration of assets upon the first other day of the term previous to the commencement of the action, he should have moved the court that the plaintiff be obliged to intitle his declaration specially of the day when filed or delivered (*Southouse v. Allen*, Hardw. 141); or might, as he now may, give in proof, at the trial, the time at which the action was really commenced. (*Mars v. Adams*, 1 Sid. 432).

(y) See a form, Chit. Forms, 511.

(z) See *Mars v. Quin*, 6 T. R. 1.

when necessary (a): and when assets afterwards come to the hands of the executor, he may proceed against him by *scire facias*, as directed *ante*, 827, 828.

But if the defendant plead either of the pleas above mentioned, and also the general issue or other plea, and the plaintiff deny *both* in his replication, the issue is then made up, and the parties proceed in the ordinary way; or if the plaintiff add the *similiter* to the general issue, and confess the plea of *plene administravit* &c., and pray judgment of assets *in futuro*, &c., as above mentioned, then, after entering the replication in the issue, enter an award of the *venire* in this form: "*But because it is uncertain whether the defendant will be convicted upon the said issue above joined between the parties aforesaid, therefore let judgment be thereupon stayed until the trial and determination of the said issue; and in order to try the said issue, the sheriff is commanded*" &c., as in ordinary cases (b). In this latter case, if the plaintiff have a verdict, judgment is signed, and he proceeds as in ordinary cases against an executor who has pleaded a false plea; so that, if such plea be false within his own knowledge, (as a plea of *ne unques* executor, or the like), he would be personally liable, not only for the costs, but also, it seems, for the debt, and judgment and execution might be issued against him accordingly (c); or if not false within his own knowledge, (as a plea that the testator did not promise, or the like), he would be personally liable for the costs, and the judgment signed against him would be of assets *quando* &c., upon which the plaintiff might afterwards, when assets came to defendant's hands, have a *scire facias*, as is above mentioned, for the debt, and immediately have a *fi. fa.* or *ca. sa.* for the costs *de bonis testatoris, et si non, de bonis propriis* (d).

Proceedings
on other
Pleas.

It is well settled, that if an action be commenced against an executor or administrator for any specific debt, it must be preferred by him in payment to others of the same class: and in that case, the executor or administrator would not be warranted in making any voluntary payment of such other debts to defeat the party of his remedy (e). Yet, although one creditor commence an action, if another creditor, in equal degree, commence a subsequent action, and first recover judgment, he must be first satisfied. Hence an executor or administrator has it in his election to give a preference, by confessing judgment in the action of the one, and pleading such judgment to the action of the other (f). In case, therefore, a hostile creditor bring an action, and there be not sufficient assets to divide amongst the creditors, and the executor be desirous of making an equal division, or favouring any particular creditor or creditors of the same class, in preference to the hostile plaintiff, the course adopted is, to get one or more of the friendly creditors, whose debt, or joint debts, will fully cover the assets in hand, immediately to bring a friendly action or actions, and declare therein in the common form of debt, and

Confessing
Judgment so
as to prefer
a Creditor.

(a) See *ante*, 709, 723.

(b) See form, Chit. Forms, 515.

(c) See *post*, 881.

(d) See *Marshall v. Wilder*, 9 B. & C. 35; 1 Saund. 336 b, n. (10); and Chit.

Forms, 518.

(e) 11 Vin. Abr. 296: Com. Dig., Admin. c. 2: Toller, 288, 289.

(f) See note (e), *supra*, 931: Off. Ex. 145.

BOOK III.
PART II.

let defendant suffer judgment against him by default; and, this being effected, then to plead the judgment to the deduction of the hostile creditor. If such judgment be recovered after pleading to the action by the hostile creditor, and before trial, he may plead it within eight days after such judgment recovered (g).

Warrant of Attorney by one, bad.

If a warrant of attorney be given by one of several executors, to confess a judgment against all, the court will order it to be delivered up, &c. (h).

Verdict.

The verdict is in the affirmative or negative of the issue, as in ordinary cases (i).

Judgment in general.

Judgment.] The judgment on demurrer, on issue of *nil in* record, by confession or *nil dicit*, is interlocutory or final, as in other cases. If interlocutory, it is the same as in ordinary cases; after which follow the award of the inquiry, return and final judgment, as stated *ante*, 720. The final judgment after a writ of inquiry, or on a verdict against an executor or administrator, when he pleads a plea admitting his representative character, and the plaintiff takes issue on it or replies, that the debt, damages, and costs, or the damages and costs, shall be levied of the goods of the testator in the hands of the defendant, if he have so much thereof in his hands to be administered; and if not, then the costs to be levied of his own goods (k). Even where *non assumpsit* and *plene administravit* were pleaded, and the plaintiff confessed the plea of *plene administravit*, and took judgment of assets *quod acciderint* on that plea, and joined issue and obtained a verdict on *non assumpsit*, he was held entitled to judgment for his damages and costs, to be levied of the goods of the testator if sufficient, and if not, then the costs to be levied of the defendant's own goods (l).

On false Plea.

If the defendant plead a plea which is false within his own knowledge, (as *ne unques* executor or administrator, or the like), and it be found against him, the judgment is *de bonis testatoris si*, &c., *et si non*, &c., *de bonis propriis*, or perhaps unconditionally *de bonis propriis* (m).

On Plea of Judgments outstanding.

If an executor plead judgments obtained against himself and any one or more of them be avoided by the plaintiff pleading, the plaintiff shall have judgment against the executor *de bonis propriis* (n). But if he had pleaded judgments obtained against the testator, and that he had not sufficient to satisfy them or any of them; if any one or more of the judgments be avoided, still there ought not to be a general judgment against the executor, or at least not until so many of the judgments are avoided as to leave assets in the executor's hands (o).

In Action sug-

In an action against an executor or administrator, suggesting

(g) *Lyttleton v. Cross*, 5 D. & R. 175; 3 B. & C. 317, S. C.: *Prince v. Nicholson*, 5 Taunt. 265; 1 Marsh. 280, S. C.: *Kitchen v. Bartsch*, 7 East, 53.

(h) *Fluwell v. Quash*, 1 Str. 20; *ante*, 691.

(i) See form of *postea*, for plaintiff, Chit. Forms, 516: for defendant, *Id.*

(k) 1 Saund. 336: and see *Rouse v.*

Etherington, 1 Salk. 312; 2 L. Ray 870, S. C. See forms, Chit. Forms, 512.

(l) *Marshall v. Wilder*, 9 B. & C. 655.

(m) Bro. Executors, 34: *Bull v. Wheel* Cro. Jac. 647: 1 Saund. 336 b.

(n) 1 Saund. 337 a, n: see *Marshall Wilder*, 9 B. & C. 655.

(o) *Id.*: but see several cases cited to the contrary.

devastavit, the judgment against the defendant shall be *de bonis propriis* (*p*). But where the action is brought against an executor of an executor, suggesting a *devastavit* by the executor, the judgment against the defendant will be *bonis testatoris* (*q*).

CHAP. 1
SECT. 2
Suggesting Devastavit.

Where an executor or administrator is charged and made liable as assignee, the judgment is of course *de bonis propriis* (*r*).

Against Executor as Assignee.

As to the judgment of assets *quando* &c., it has already been sufficiently treated of, *ante*, 878 (*s*).

[*Costs.*] If there be a verdict for the defendant, he is entitled to costs as in ordinary cases. And the statutes by which costs are recoverable by a defendant in replevin, extend to writs by an executor (*t*). So, if the defendant plead several pleas, and issue be taken on any one of them which is a total bar to the action, (as *plene administravit*, or the like), the verdict thereon be found for the defendant, he will, in other cases, be entitled to the general costs of the action (*u*).

Costs.
For Executor Defendant.

When the defendant pleads *plene administravit*, or judgment outstanding, and *plene administravit præter*, and the plaintiff, admitting the truth of the plea, takes judgment of costs *in futuro*, the defendant is not liable to costs (*x*). Nor does he seem liable thereto when he pleads *plene administravit præter*, and the plaintiff admitting the truth of the plea, takes judgment of the assets admitted in part and for the residue of assets *in futuro* (*y*). It was formerly the practice in these cases not to allow the plaintiff his costs, even out of the funds of assets; but, in a modern case, the court held that the plaintiff was entitled to them out of such assets, and that judgment might be entered for them accordingly (*z*).

Against Executors on Judgment of Assets *in futuro*.

If an executor or administrator plead a plea which is false in his own knowledge, (as *ne unques* executor or administrator, or a release to himself, or a judgment recovered against himself, or the like), he is liable to costs to be levied *de bonis propriis* absolutely; or if he plead a plea which is true, but not so within his own knowledge, (as that the testator or intestate did not promise, or a judgment recovered against the testator, or the like), he is liable to costs to be levied *de bonis propriis* conditionally, provided there be not assets of the testator sufficient to satisfy them (*a*). Where

On pleading a false Plea.

1 Saund. 336 c, n. (1).

1 Saund. 219 e, n. (1).

Tilley v. Norris, 1 Salk. 309; 1 L. R. 553, S. C. See as to rent, *Rubery v. Jones*, 4 B. & Ad. 241; and as to rent, *Tremore v. Morison*, 1 Bing. N. C.

See forms of entry of judgment of *quando* &c., where *plene administravit* is pleaded and confessed, Chit. Rep. 511, 512; the like where the plea is denied, and verdict upon it for defendant, 516; and see form of *actio faciem* judgment *quando*, *Id.*

Barnell v. Kelghtley, 2 Rol. Rep. 111, 112, 976.

Jenkinson v. Teram, 2 Dowl. 277; *Id. v. Bethel*, 1 B. & Ald. 254; *Huxy*

v. Wells, 8 Taunt. 129; *Marshall v. Wilder*, 2 B. & C. 657; *Hogg v. Graham*, 4 Taunt. 135; and see *Hart v. Cuthush*, 2 Dowl. 456; *Probert v. Phillips*, 5 Dowl. 473; 2 M. & W. 40, S. C.

(*x*) Tidd, 9th ed. 980: *Hindley v. Russell*, 12 East, 232; *Car v. Peacock*, 4 Dowl. 134.

(*y*) *Id.*: Rast. Ent. 323; 8 Co. 134; 2 Saund. 226.

(*z*) *De Tastet v. Andrade*, 1 Chit. Rep. 629, 630, n.: Williams on Exec. 1222; *Hutt v. Deschamps*, Tidd, 980; *Car v. Peacock*, 4 Dowl. 134.

(*a*) *Ante*, 879; *Howard v. Jenmett*, 3 Burr. 1390; 1 W. Bl. 400, S. C.: 2 Williams' Executors, 1412.

BOOK III.
PART II.

the defendant pleads a false plea and *plene administravit*, if the plaintiff take judgment of assets *in futuro* upon the last plea, and go to trial upon the other plea, he will be entitled to costs if he obtain a verdict; and therefore in such case it is usual for the defendant to apply to a judge, to withdraw his false plea, which he will be permitted to do on payment of costs (b).

Execution,
Devastavit,
&c.

[*Execution, Devastavit, &c.*] On an ordinary judgment against an executor or administrator, (that is, a judgment that the plaintiff do recover the debt and costs to be levied out of the assets of the testator if the defendant have so much, but not, then the costs out of the defendant's own goods), the usual writ of execution against him, for the recovery of the debt, is a *fieri facias de bonis testatoris* (c); but if the sheriff return to this writ *nulla bona testatoris nec propria* and a *devastavit* (d), the plaintiff may immediately sue out a *fieri facias de bonis propriis* (e), or an *elegit* (f), or a writ *ad satisfaciendum* (g), against the property or person of the executor or administrator, in as full a manner as in an action against him in his own right (h). You cannot, however, issue these writs of execution against the property or person of the executor or administrator, upon a judgment *de bonis testatoris*, (which is the only one here intended), unless the sheriff have returned a *devastavit* (i). Therefore, if the sheriff return *nulla bona* merely, the plaintiff, if he can prove the *devastavit*, may either proceed by action of debt upon the judgment, suggesting a *devastavit*; and if the plaintiff succeed in that action, he may have execution against the defendant personally as in ordinary cases (k); or he may sue out a *fieri* inquiry (l), commanding the sheriff, that in case there shall be no goods of the testator remaining in the hands of the executor, he shall summon a jury to inquire if the defendant have wasted the goods of the testator; and if a *devastavit* be found (m), that he shall warn the defendant that he be in court upon a day mentioned, to shew cause why the plaintiff should not have a *fieri facias de bonis propriis* against him. The same notice must be given of executing a *scire fieri* inquiry as in the case of a common writ of inquiry (n). Formerly no costs were recoverable in this proceeding by *scire fieri* inquiry, unless the executor appeared and pleaded to it, it is seldom adopted; but the usual remedy was by action of debt on the judgment, suggesting a *devastavit*, as above mentioned (p). But now, since, by the 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, such costs are recoverable, whether the executor appear

(b) *Dearne v. Grimp*, 2 Bl. Rep. 1275: & M. 532, S. C.
Marshall v. Wilder, 9 B. & C. 655.

(c) See the form, Chit. Forms, 518.

(d) See the form of such returns, Chit. Forms, 518, 519; and of entry thereof upon the roll, with award of *fi. fa.* or *ca. sa.* *Id.* 519, 520.

(e) Doct. Plac. 169. And see forms, Chit. Forms, 519.

(f) 1 Crom. 346: 3 Bl. Crom. 414.

(g) 2 H. 6, c. 12: Bro. Executors, 12. See the form, Chit. Forms, 521.

(h) See Rast. 323 b, 326 a, pl. 6.

(i) *Ward v. Thomas*, 2 Dowl. 87; 1 C.

(k) 1 Saund. 219 a.

(l) See form, Chit. Forms, 521.

(m) See form of return and inquiry, Chit. Forms, 522.

(n) See 1 Saund. 219, n. (8), 303: *Foot v. Chirers*, 1 Str. 631; 2 L. 1395, S. C.: *Ward v. Thomas*, 2 Dowl. 87.

(o) *Biron v. Phillips*, 1 Str. 235: *v. Lateward*, *Id.* 623; 2 L. Raym. S. C.

(p) 2 Saund. 219 a.

ed to the *scire facias* or not, the remedy by *scire facias* become more usual(*q*). See more particularly as to these modes of proceeding, and what shall be evidence of a default, 2 *Saund.* 219, n. (8); 2 *Williams' Executors*, 2nd ed. 7, 1419.

In a judgment against an executor or administrator that plaintiff do recover both the *debt* and *costs* in the first instance *de bonis testatoris si &c.*, and *si non &c.*, *de bonis propriis*, (and which judgments usually given where the defendant pleads a plea which is false in his own knowledge, *ante*, 881), the execution pursuing the terms of the judgment is a *fi. fa.* both as to debt and costs, *de bonis testatoris si non de bonis propriis*; and on a return of *nulla bona nec testatoris nec propria*, then it seems a *ca. sa.* may be issued; if the judgment be unconditionally *de bonis propriis*, then it would seem the execution might, following the judgment, be unconditionally *de bonis propriis*. (See *ante*, 879, 880). The usual writ of execution against an executor for costs on judgment for the debt *de bonis testatoris*, is a *fi. fa. de bonis testatoris si &c.*, *et si non &c.*, *de bonis propriis*(*r*), or on a return of *nulla bona nec testatoris nec propria*, then a *ca. sa.* may be issued. The execution for the debt and costs is usually included in one writ.

If an executor or administrator be charged and made liable in his own name, the execution would be against him *de bonis propriis* (*s*).

Other Proceedings by or against Executors, &c.] The proceedings upon a writ of error by or against executors, will be found under the title "*Error*," in the first Volume. As to *scire facias* to revive a judgment against an executor or administrator, see *ante*, 819 to 824; and as to *scire facias* upon a judgment of assets *quando &c.*, see *ante*, 827(*t*).

Other Proceedings by or against Executors, &c.

See *Palmer v. Fletcher*, 5 Dowl. 315.
See the form, Chit. Forms, 518.

(*q*) *Ante*, 880.
(*r*) See the form, Chit. Forms, 520.

CHAPTER VI.

ACTIONS AGAINST AN HEIR OR DEVISEE ON THE BOND,
OF ANCESTOR.

SECT. 1.

*Actions against Heirs.*BOOK III.
PART II.Liability of
Heirs.

Liability of.] AN heir is compellable to pay the judgment and specialty debts(*a*) of his ancestor, to the extent of the assets which have come to him by descent(*b*). Even if he alien the property which has descended to him, if an action brought, he is still liable to the extent of the value of the property so descended(*c*). The debt is so far considered the debt of the heir, that he is sued in the *debit* and *detinet* and not in the *detinet* only, though the omission of the *debit* would be aided by verdict(*d*). For simple contract debts and debts by specialty, in which the heirs are not expressly bound, heirs or devisees are not liable *at law*; but, by the *W. 4, c. 104*, all real estate of the debtor not charged with devised subject to, the payment of his debts, is made to be administered in equity for payment of such debts. Payment of debts by specialty in which the heirs are bound

Process.

Process.] If there be no devisee, the action is against the heir only. If there be a devisee and heir, the action is against them jointly(*e*). If there be no heir, then the action is against the devisee only(*f*). There is no occasion to describe the defendant as heir or devisee in the process(*g*). The defendant cannot be holden to bail(*h*).

The Declaration.

Declaration.] As to the declaration, see 2 *Saund.* 2 *Chit. Pl. 6th ed.* 304, 384. It is filed or delivered as in ordinary cases(*i*).

(a) It does not seem from the wording of the 11 G. 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 47, s. 6, that that act gives any remedy against the heir or devisee, for breaches of covenant, where the damages are *unliquidated*, and the breach is subsequent to the death of the covenantor. (See *Farley v. Briant*, 3 Ad. & El. 839).

(b) As to what are to be considered assets by descent, see 2 *Saund.* 8 d, &c.

(c) 11 G. 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 47, s. 6, (Sir E. Sugden's Act), which act repeals the 3 &

4 W. & M. c. 14; 6 & 7 W. 3, c. 47 G. 3, c. 74.

(d) *Com. Dig., Pleader*, 2 E. 2; *Bague*, 3 East, 2.

(e) 11 G. 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 47, *Saund.* 7, n. (4).

(f) *Id.* s. 4: and see *Wilson v. Ley*, 7 East, 128, 133.

(g) *Ante*, Vol. I. 513.

(h) See Vol. I. 473.

(i) See Vol. I. 134.

Besides the defences which the ancestor might up to the action, the defendant may plead that he is ; or that he has paid other bond or judgment to the full extent of the value of the lands before the commencement of the action(*m*); or that s, in order to pay judgment debts; or that he retains his own bond or judgment debt; or that he has by descent; or that he has nothing by descent ex-reversion expectant on the life of another, in which plaintiff may take judgment of assets *quando accide*-and afterwards proceed by *scire facias* when the s come into possession, as directed *ante*, 827; but if sion were expectant on an estate for years, the de-should confess assets in possession to the amount of e of the reversion(*o*). The defendant cannot plead e is an executor, who has assets; for the obligee his election, sue either the heir or executor(*p*). an he plead that he has laid out money beyond the f the rents in the repairs of the premises descended(*q*). of *riens per descent* need not, in the Court of Queen's Exchequer, be signed by counsel(*r*).

CHAP. VI.
SECT. I.

The Plea.

What may be
pleaded by a
Heir.

defendant do not plead *riens per descent*, or some plea the plaintiff's cause of action, he must confess the d shew the certainty of the assets(*s*), for, by the law, if issue be taken on the quantity of assets, and d that the heir has other lands by descent(*t*), or if dant plead a fact which he knows to be false, and it against him, (as, when he pleads *riens per descent*, found that he has received something, however small ient, to discharge the debt(*u*)), the plaintiff(if he have ed and taken issue according to the statute(*x*)), will d to a general judgment and execution at common law ebt, damages, and costs against the defendant, in the mer as if it were for his own debt. And the law is , where the heir pleads payment by a co-obligor(*y*), a bad plea(*z*). But in such cases, if the plea be d fair, and the defect arise merely from misleading, will allow the defendant to amend it(*a*). The plea *factum*, however, is an exception to the above rule; t be found false, still the judgment shall be of s descended only(*b*). Formerly, if the defendant ler age at the time of the action, instead of pleading, t pray that the parol might demur until he should age(*c*). But now, by the 11 G. 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 47,

Consequence
of false Plea

Parol Demu
rer abolishd

Key v. Nightingale, 1 Str. 665.
Dy. 373 b: *Smith v. Angell*,
784.

ed. 7 c.
br., Assets per Descent, 33:
ppe, Plowd. 430 b: 1 P. Wms.

North v. Nevills, 1 T. R. 454.
ol. I. 171.

l. 440: 2 Ro. Abr. 71: *Buck-*
ingale, 1 Str. 665.

v. Angell, 7 Mod. 44.

v. Pepps, Plowd. 440: *Hind*
Leon. 11: 2 Ro. Abr. 70, C.

pl. 2.

(x) 11 G. 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 47, s. 7: *Brown*
v. Shaker, 10 Law Journ. 82; 2 C. & J.
311, S. C.

(y) *Brandlin v. Millbank*, Carth. 93;
Comb. 162, S. C.

(z) *Smith v. Angell*, 2 L. Raym. 783;
1 Salk. 364, S. C.

(a) 2 Saund. 72 b.

(b) *Clothworthy v. Clothworthy*, Cro.
Car. 437. *Sed quære*, if it be an exception
to the rule, for such plea is not false
within the defendant's own knowledge.

(c) Rast. Ent. 360 b, 362.

BOOK III.
PART II.

s.10(d), the parol is prohibited demurring, and, consequently, the defendant must plead.

Replication.

Replication.] If the defendant plead *riens per descent* at the time of the writ brought, the plaintiff may by statute reply that the defendant had lands &c. from his ancestor before the writ brought; and if issue be thereon joined, and found for the plaintiff, the jury shall then inquire of the value of the lands, &c., so descended, and the plaintiff shall have judgment of them(e); in which case the execution must, both for the debt and costs, be confined to the value of the lands descended(f). But if the plaintiff have judgment by confession, (without confessing the assets), or on demurrer or *nil dicit*, it shall be for the debt and damages, without inquiry of the value of the lands descended(g). Or, instead of replying in this manner, the plaintiff may take issue on the plea of *riens per descent*, and if he have a verdict, he may have a general judgment and execution at common law, as above mentioned(h). Or it seems that, instead of replying, the plaintiff may confess the truth of the plea, and take judgment of assets *quando acciderint*.

Issue, &c.

Issue, &c.] The issue is made up, and the subsequent proceedings to judgment are the same as in ordinary cases. On an issue as to the value of the lands, the jury should in course find such value(i).

Judgment.
In general.

Judgment.] If the defendant have pleaded *non est factum*, or have confessed the action and shewn with certainty the assets descended, the judgment is *special*, that the plaintiff recover his debt, damages, and costs, to be levied of the lands descended(k); but, if he have pleaded *riens per descent*, and the plaintiff have taken issue thereon at common law, and if he be found against defendant, or judgment be given against defendant on demurrer, or by default, *nil dicit*, or by confession, (without shewing the assets descended), or upon any other matter or ground whatsoever, the judgment may be *general*, in the same manner as if the action had been brought against the defendant for his own debt(l); or it may be *special*, as above mentioned, at the option of the plaintiff, if he think it more advantageous than the general judgment(m). Also, if the plaintiff shew that the heir has already received profits from the estate to the amount of the debt, and the defendant do not deny it, he may have a general judgment and execution presently(n).

When the
Heir has
aliened before
Action.

If the heir have aliened the lands previously to the suing out of the writ, he is expressly rendered liable for the specialty

(d) As to the construction of this section, see *Price v. Carter*, 3 Myl. & Cr. 157; *Remond v. Cook*, 1 Drury & W. 250.

(e) 11 G. 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 47, s. 7.

(f) *Brown v. Shaker*, 10 Law Journ. 82; 2 C. & J. 311, S. C.

(g) *Id.*: and see *Redshaw v. Heather*, Carth. 354; Comb. 344, S. C.: 2 Saund, 8 a: and see the form of the replication, *Id.*

(h) *Matthoe v. Lee*, Barnes, 444.

(i) *Brown v. Shaker*, 1 C. & J. 313; 1 Tyr. 400; 1 Price, N. R. 1, S. C.

(k) 2 Saund. 7 a, c. (n.): see the form, Chit. Forms, 523.

(l) 2 Saund. 7 a, b, (n.): *Brown v. Shaker*, 10 Law Journ. 82; 2 C. & J. 311, S. C.: Tidd, New Pract. 546.

(m) 2 Saund. 7 c.

(n) *Henningham's case*, Dy. 344 b.

[his ancestor, to the amount of the lands aliened, by G. 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 47, s. 6. If in such a case he plead *per descent* at the time of the writ brought, and the reply assets before the writ brought, the jury shall value of the lands, and the plaintiff can have judgment execution for debt and costs only to that extent(o), as a general judgment against the heir, as at common law; or the plaintiff, instead of replying according to the writ, may take issue on the plea of *riens per descent*, and, if for him, may have judgment either general or special, as mentioned(q). But, although the defendant have aliened the lands, the plaintiff may, if he wish, reply according to the statute, and have judgment accordingly(r); indeed, this would be an indiscreet mode of proceeding, as the value of the lands would not amount to the debt &c.

[*Execution.*] We have just seen that the judgment for execution is general or special. If it be general, the plaintiff must obtain a *fiery facias*, *elegit*, or *ca. sa.*, as in ordinary cases, as if the action were against the defendant in his own right(s). But if the judgment be special, that the debt is of the lands descended, and he not on a verdict upon the jury (as they must have done) have already found the value of the lands descended, the plaintiff in such a case must obtain a special writ, in nature of an extent, commanding the sheriff to inquire by a jury of the lands descended, and deliver them to the plaintiff, to hold until the debt, or the value thereof fully levied(t). It seems, also, that the plaintiff, upon a general judgment, may have this special writ, if he adds it to the general writs of execution, upon suggesting that the heir has particular lands by descent, and praying execution of the whole of them(u).

[*Scire Facias on Judgment against the Ancestor, &c.*] What has been stated, has, of course, reference only to actions against the heir; if the action were against the ancestor, and the judgment revived by *scire facias* against the heir and his heirs, the execution is by *elegit*(x); and, consequently, by the statute 1 & 2 V. c. 110, a moiety only of the value of the freehold could have been taken against the heir, although he had pleaded a false plea(y); but now, by the Statute of 1808, which has been already fully noticed in the preceding chapter, of execution by *elegit*, (*Vol. I.* 440), the execution is to all the land, and to many other descriptions of real estate, not liable before that act, except, indeed, in certain cases already noticed, as against purchasers, mortgagees, and &c. As to *scire facias* to revive a judgment against an

Scire Facias
on Judgment
against the
Ancestor, &c.

4 & 1 W. 4, c. 47, s. 7.
See *v. Shaker*, 3 C. & J. 311; 2
6 Law Journ. 82, S. C.: *Rad-*
cliff v. Carth. 354: 2 Saund.
House v. Lee, Barnes, 444:
nd. 8 n.

(s) See the form, Chit. Forms, 523.
(t) See 2 Saund. 8 n: 3 Bac. Abr. 25.
(u) *Bowyer v. Rivett*, W. Jon. 87: 2 Ro.
Abr. 71, 72, D. pl. 3.
(x) See Vol. I. 440.
(y) See *Anon.*, Dyer, 271 a.: 3 Bac.
Abr. 25.

BOOK III.
PART II.

heir and terretenants, see *ante*, 820; and as to *scire facie* a judgment of assets *quando &c.*, see *ante*, 827.



SECT. 2.

Actions against Devisees.

AN action is maintainable against a devisee, and is prosecuted in, in the same manner and under the same circumstances as an action against an heir(*s*).

The act 11 G. 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 47, s. 2, (Sir Edward Sugden's Act), renders wills in fraud of creditors void.

(s) See 11 G. 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 47, ss. 3, 4, 8.

CHAPTER VII.

ACTIONS BY AND AGAINST INFANTS.

SECT. 1.

*Actions by Infants.*CHAP. VII.
SECT. 1.

Process.] THE process is to be sued out in the name of the infant, and not at the suit of the *prochein amy* or guardian. It is the same as in ordinary cases. It may be sued out before any *prochein amy* or guardian is appointed(*a*). The Process.

Prochein Amy, how Appointed or Removed, &c.] An infant cannot prosecute an action either in person or by attorney; and therefore it is that he cannot sue as an informer on a penal statute(*b*); for, an informer must exhibit his suit in proper person, and prosecute it either in person or by attorney(*c*). But he may sue either by *prochein amy*(*d*), or by guardian(*e*); usually the former. If he sue by attorney, although this cannot now be assigned as error(*f*), yet the defendant may plead it in abatement(*g*); or if he sue in person, perhaps it would be error. There is one exception, however, to this, namely, where several executors are plaintiffs, and one of them is an infant; in such a case, all the plaintiffs may sue by attorney, and those who are of age may appoint the attorney for themselves and for the infant(*h*). Prochein Amy, how appointed or removed, &c.

If an infant sue by guardian, the guardian, it seems, must have a *warrant*; if by *prochein amy*, a *warrant* is unnecessary; but both guardian and *prochein amy* must be admitted by the court, before the plaintiff can proceed in the action(*i*). Let the person intended as *prochein amy* or guardian (being some friend of the infant, who is willing to prosecute the action for him(*k*)) attend with the infant before a judge at chambers, who will grant his fiat for one of the masters to draw up the

(a) See Chit. Forms, 525.

(b) *Ames*, Say. 51.

(c) 18 EL. c. 5: B. N. P. 166.

(d) Stat. Westm. 1, c. 48: Westm. 2, c. 12.

(e) 2 Inst. 261.

(f) 21 J. 1, c. 13. s. 2: 4 & 5 A. c. 16, s. 2: *Foley v. Jourle*, 13 East, 6.

(g) 2 Saund. 213, n. (5).

(h) 1 Ro. Abr. 288, pl. 3: *Rutland v. Rutland*, Cro. EL. 378: 2 Saund. 213, n.

(i) (6).

(d) F. N. B., 63, J.: 2 Inst. 261: *Young v. Young*, Cro. Car. 86.(k) The infant's father is usually appointed; but the court, on motion, or perhaps a judge at chambers, will appoint some other person to be the infant's guardian, with the concurrence of the father. (*Claridge v. Crawford*, 1 D. & R. 13).

rule(l), (or, in the Common Pleas he will at once grant the admission). In the Court of Queen's Bench and Exchequer draw up the rule with one of the masters(m), (or, in the Common Pleas, take the admission to the master's office, and get it entered on the remembrance roll; and leave the admission there). Annex a copy of the rule (or, in the Common Pleas, of the admission) to your declaration before you deliver it. It was formerly considered by the Queen's Bench, that a special admission of a guardian for an infant to appear in one cause would serve for others(n). But by rule of H. T., 2 W. 4, r. 2, "a special admission of *prochein amy* or guardian to prosecute or defend for an infant, shall not be deemed an authority to prosecute or defend in any but the particular action or actions specified"(o). If the *prochein amy* or guardian and infant cannot attend, write out a petition to be signed by the infant, praying to be admitted to prosecute &c. by A. B.(p); and at the foot of it write a consent, to be signed by the *prochein amy*, &c.(p); and, lastly, make an affidavit of the signing of the petition and consent on plain paper(q). Let these be presented to the judge at chambers, who will thereupon grant his fiat, (or, in the Common Pleas, the admission), and you proceed to draw up the rule &c., as is above directed.

Change of
Prochein Amy
by Infant.

The infant cannot afterwards, without leave of the court or a judge, remove his guardian, nor can he disavow the action of his *prochein amy*(r); but he may have a writ out of Chancery to remove him, or (which is more usual) he may make an application to the court for that purpose(s). If the guardian or *prochein amy* be removed pending the suit, an entry thereof, it seems, should be made upon the roll(t).

Change of by
Defendant.

If the defendant wish to know the place of residence of the *prochein amy* or guardian, he may oblige the plaintiff to give him notice of it, by application to the court, or to a judge at chambers, for that purpose(u); and if the *prochein amy* or guardian be not a responsible person, the court would probably order the appointment of some other in his stead(v), and this was ordered in a late case before a judge at chambers; but they will not make the infant give security for costs on that account(x).

Declaration
and subse-
quent Pro-
ceedings.

Declaration and subsequent Proceedings.] In the commencement of the declaration it is stated that the plaintiff is an infant, and that he sues by A. B., who is admitted by the court to prosecute for him as his next friend, &c.(y). If it do not state that the *prochein amy* is admitted by the court, it is error(z); but, if it be stated in the declaration, the want of an entry of it on the roll will not be error(a); and the court, if in fact there be such an admission, will allow it to be en-

(l) See the form, Chit. Forms, 526.

(m) See form of rule, Chit. Forms, 526.

(n) *Archer v. Froude*, 1 Str. 305.

(o) See form of admission, Chit. Forms, 527.

(p) See form, Chit. Forms, 526.

(q) *Id.* 527.

(r) F. N., B. 63 K.

(s) *Id.*: *Goudwin v. Moore*, Cro. Car. 161.

(t) *Davies v. Locket*, 4 Taunt. 765.

(u) *Tomlin v. Brookes*, 1 Wils. 246.

(v) See *Turner v. Turner*, 2 Str. 708.

(x) *Yarworth v. Mitchell*, 2 D. & R. 423: *Anon.*, 1 Marsh. 4: *Anon.*, 2 Ch. Rep. 359.

(y) See the form, Chit. Forms, 527.

(z) *Cumbers v. Watton*, 1 Lev. 224: see *Bird v. Pegg*, 5 B. & Ald. 418.

(a) 1 Co. 53 b: *Id.* 54 a: *Strijt v. Nott*, 1 Sid. 173.

tered on the record at any time (*b*). The declaration in other respects is the same, and is delivered or filed as in ordinary cases. *A copy of the rule of admission is delivered with it as above directed*; for, until the rule be served, the defendant is not compellable to plead (*c*).

By the 11 G. 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 47, s. 10, the parol can no longer, as formerly, *demur* in actions by or against infants (*d*). Parol cannot demur.

The guardian (*e*), or *prochein amy* (*f*), cannot be a witness. And it has been held, in an action for slander, by an infant suing by guardian, that declarations made by the guardian on the subject are not admissible in evidence against the defendant (*g*). Evidence of Guardian, &c.

The other proceedings in the cause are the same as in ordinary cases. Other Proceedings.

Security for Costs.] In ejectment, if the lessor of plaintiff be an infant, the defendant, after pleading, may move to stay proceedings, until a guardian be appointed for the infant, in order to answer costs (*i*), provided the plaintiff be not a real and substantial person (*k*). But in other actions an infant plaintiff cannot be compelled to give security for costs, even though the *prochein amy* is sworn to be insolvent (*l*). Where, however, an infant sued by guardian, the court required such security to be given, or that his appointment should be revoked, it being sworn that he was in insolvent circumstances (*m*). Security for Costs.

Costs.] If the defendant be entitled to costs, he may proceed for them by attachment against the *prochein amy* or guardian (*n*); or, it seems, he may sue out execution, even a *ce. sa.*, against the infant himself, whether he have sued by *prochein amy* (*o*) or not (*p*); though it seems otherwise if he sue by guardian (*q*). Costs.

The *prochein amy*, or guardian, who appears to be such on record, is *prima facie* liable to the payment of the attorney's bill, though he did not interfere in the conduct of the action, nor was in any way interested in the event (*r*).

(b) *Young v. Young*, Cro. Car. 86; *Young v. Young*, Hutton, 92; *Combers v. Watson*, 1 Lev. 224.

(c) 2 Sellen, 68.

(d) *Ant.*, 3845.

(e) *Cutterback v. Lord Huntingtower*, 1 Str. 246.

(f) *Hopkins v. Neal*, 2 Id. 1026.

(g) *Corling v. Ely*, 2 Stark. 366.

(h) *Nake v. Windham*, 1 Str. 604; *Thurston v. Smith*, 2 Id. 103; *Thurston v. Percival*, Barnes, 193; and see *Madden d. Baker v. White*, 2 T. R. 169. See a form, *Chit. Forms*, 376.

(i) *Ant.*, 1 Cowp. 128. Where the infant lessor was a pauper, the court discharged a rule calling on him to find security on the terms that the infant's

father should be substituted for the nominal plaintiff. (*See Roberts v. Roberts*, 6 Dowl. 551).

(l) *Anon.*, 1 Marsh. 4; *Yurworth v. Mitchell*, 2 D. & R. 423.

(m) *Mann v. Burthen*, 4 Moo. & P. 215.

(n) *James v. Hatfield*, 1 Str. 548; *Slaughter v. Tallot*, Barnes, 128; *Ca. Pr.*, C. B. 32.

(o) *Gardiner v. Holt*, 2 Str. 1217; *Dun v. Clark*, 1 C. & M. 860; 2 Dowl. 302, & C.

(p) *Finlay v. Joule*, 13 East, 6.

(q) *Graves v. Graves*, Cro. Eliz. 33; *Turner v. Turner*, 2 P. Wms. 296; 1 Str. 718, S. C.

(r) *Murnell v. Pickmore*, 2 Esp. 473.

SECT. 2.

Actions against Infants.

BOOK III.
PART II.

Process, &c.

Process, &c.] THE process against an infant is the same as in ordinary cases. An infant should not be holden to bail for any debt or other matter, where the plea of infancy would be a legal bar to the action. If holden to bail, however, the court or a judge, it should seem, would not discharge him on entering a common appearance, but would put him to plead his infancy(s).

Outlawry of.

An infant may be outlawed, if above the age of twelve years(t): or even under that age, if a female.

The Declaration.

The Declaration.] The declaration is the same, and is filed or delivered in the same manner as in other cases.

The Appearance.
By Guardian.

The Appearance.] An infant, even when sued *en outre droit*(u), can appear and defend by guardian only, and not in person or by attorney(x). If he appear by attorney, excepting in ejectment(y), and judgment be given against him, it is error(z); and the same where several defendants appear by attorney, and one of them is an infant(a), even although they be sued as executors(b). But where judgment is given for the infant, it cannot be reversed for error on the ground of his having appeared by attorney(c). If an attorney have undertaken to appear for an infant, he must appear for him by guardian(d).

Guardian,
how appointed.

The guardian (usually his father(e), or else some friend of the infant, willing to defend the action for him) is appointed in the same manner as is mentioned in the last section(f). (*As to the removal of the guardian, see ante, 690*). The admission, if special, will only authorize the defence of the particular action specified in it(g).

Setting aside
Appearance
by Attorney,
&c.

If the defendant appear by attorney, the court or a judge will order the appearance to be set aside as irregular, and that the defendant appear by guardian(h). And this, it seems, may be done at any time before judgment(i). Before making

(s) *Madox v. Eden*, 1 B. & P. 480: Vol. I. 473.

(t) Co. Lit. 128. a.

(u) See *Hindmarsh v. Chandler*, 7 Taunt. 488; 1 Moore, 250, S. C.

(x) Co. Lit. 135. b.: *Frescobaldi v. Kynaston*, 2 Str. 784.

(y) *Goodright v. Wright*, 1 Str. 33.

(z) Vol. I. 350: 1 Ro. Abr. 287, pl. 1, 2; 747, pl. 13: 8 Co. 58 b: 9 Co. 30 b: *Beren v. Cheshire*, 3 Dowl. 70.

(a) *Bird v. Orms*, Cro. Jac. 269: *King v. Marlborough*, Id. 303: 1 Ro. Abr. 776, pl. 9: *Our v. Louther*, 1 L. Raym. 600.

(b) *Frescobaldi v. Kynaston*, 2 Str. 783.

(c) *Bird v. Pegg*, 5 B. & Ald. 418: see Lil. Ent. 555, &c.

(d) See Vol. I. 49: *Power v. Jones*, 1

Str. 445: *Stratton v. Burgess*, Id. 114.

(e) See *ante*, 889, n. (k): *Claridge v. Crauford*, 1 D. & R. 13.

(f) See the form of the petition, Chit. Forms, 526; and of the guardian's consent thereto, Id. 527; of the affidavit of signing the same, Id.; of the judge's fiat and rule, Id.

(g) R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 2, *ante*, 890.

(h) *Hindmarsh v. Chandler*, 7 Taunt. 488; 1 Moore, 250, S. C.: *Gladman v. Bateman*, Barnes, 418; and see *Hope v. Edmeads*, 2 Chit. Rep. 22: *Paget v. Thompson*, 3 Bing. 609; 11 Moore, 304, S. C.: *Beren v. Cheshire*, 3 Dowl. 7.

(i) See *Nunn v. Curtis*, 4 Dowl. 729; 1 T. & G. 500, S. C.: *Shi man v. Streat*, 2 Wils. 50: *Kerry v. Cude*, Barnes, 413.

the application, however, the plaintiff had better request the defendant to name a guardian and appear by him (*k*).

CHAP. VII.
SECT. 2.

A common appearance cannot be entered for the defendant the plaintiff (*l*); and therefore, when the defendant neglects to enter an appearance, a judge, upon application and without summons, will make an order, "that unless the infant appear within six days after personal service of the order, the plaintiff may assign John Doe for his guardian, and enter common appearance for the defendant;" and upon affidavit of the service of this order, and shewing the original, the judge will make the order absolute. An admission is then sworn up, &c., and a common appearance entered as in ordinary cases (*m*).

Appearance,
how entered
by Plaintiff

The Plea and subsequent Proceedings.] If the defendant intends availing himself of his infancy as a defence, he must now plead it specially in bar (*n*). We have now seen, (*ante*, 4), that by the 11 G. 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 47, s. 10, in an action by an infant against an infant on the bond of his ancestor, he can no longer, as formerly, pray that the parol may demur until he shall be of age. Even before this statute, if judgment were given that the parol demur, and error were brought on that judgment, the defendant could not plead his nonage in the court of error, and again pray the parol to demur (*o*). In an action against several persons, the defence of infancy, being personal, should be pleaded separately (*p*). Infancy may be pleaded with *non assumpsit* or *nunquam indebitatus*, or, generally speaking, with any other plea (*q*). After setting aside a regular judgment, the court have allowed defendant to plead infancy (*r*). The plea requires counsel's signature (*s*). It is within the rule of *T. T.*, 1 W. 4, *ante*, Vol. I. 178, as to pleas to plead double. Before you deliver the plea, annex a copy of the rule for the admission (or, in the Common Pleas, a copy of the admission) of the guardian to it (*t*).

The Plea and
subsequent
Proceedings.

The payment of money into court with a plea of infancy is not an admission of the plaintiff's right of action beyond the sum paid in (*u*).

Payment into
Court by.

Where the plaintiff declares on a joint contract against two defendants, and one of them pleads infancy, the plaintiff cannot enter a *nolle prosequi* as to him and proceed against the other defendant in that action, but must commence a fresh action against the adult only (*x*). Where the defendant in *assumpsit* pleads infancy to a declaration, consisting of several

Replication,
&c., where
Defendant is
an Infant.

(k) *Shipman v. Stevens*, 2 Wils. 50.
(l) Tidd, 9th ed. (2): *Roberts v. Spurr*, Dowl. 555; and in *Nunn v. Curtis*, 4 Dowl. 729, where the plaintiff entered an appearance for an infant defendant, the court set it and all subsequent proceedings aside, even after a writ of inquiry had been executed and final judgment signed, but without costs. The plaintiff, instead of making a summary application for that purpose, might bring a writ of error.

(m) 2 Selw. (2d): see *Stone v. Attwoll*, 2 Str. 1076, 2 Saund. 117 f; *Gladman v. Barman*, Barrow, 418.

(n) *Ante*, Vol. I. 185, &c.

(o) *Alund v. Maw n*, 2 Str. 861.

(p) 1 Chit. Pl. 598, 5th ed. See in ejectment after error brought, *Goodright v. Wright*, 1 Str. 33; but see *contra*, in other actions, *Power v. Jones*, 1 Str. 445.

(q) See Tidd, 9th ed. 656.

(r) *Delafield v. Tanner*, 5 Taunt. 856; 1 Marsh. 391, S. C.

(s) Vol. I. 171.

(t) See the form of the plea, Chit. Forms, 41.

(u) *Hitchcock v. Tyeon*, 2 Esp. 482, n.: *post*, Book IV. Part I. Ch. 9.

(x) *Chandler v. Purkes*, 3 Esp. 76; *Jaffray v. Frebain*, 5 Id. 47; *Noke v. Ingham*, 1 Wils. 89.

**BOOK III.
PART II.**

counts or demands, the plaintiff may reply as to part of his demand, that it was for necessities; to other part, that the defendant was at full age at the time of the contract; and to the other part, that he confirmed it after he came of age.

The other proceedings are the same as in ordinary cases.

**Warrant of
Attorney and
Cognovit.**

Warrant of Attorney and Cognovit.] An infant cannot bind himself by a warrant of attorney or *cognovit*(*y*). And if a warrant of attorney or *cognovit* be obtained from one, the court, or perhaps a judge at chambers, will order it to be given up and cancelled, or judgment thereon to be set aside (*ante*, 681, 690).

Costs.

Costs.] An infant defendant is liable for costs, although a guardian have been appointed(*z*). As to the guardian's liability to the attorney for costs, see *ante*, 891.

Execution.

Execution.] The infant may be arrested on a *ca. sa.*(*a*). The execution in this and other respects is the same as in ordinary cases. The Court of Common Pleas refused to charge an infant in an action of slander from execution of damages and costs, although the Insolvent Court had refused to relieve him, because, on account of his infancy, he was unable to make an assignment of property required by 7 G. 4. c. 57 (*b*).

Error.

Error.] Upon error brought by or against an infant, he should have a *prochein amy* or guardian appointed, as above directed.

(*y*) *Oliver v. Woodroffe*, 7 Dowl. 176.

(*z*) *Anderson v. Ward*, Dyer, 104; *Gardiner v. Holt*, 2 Str. 1217; *Dew v. Clark*, 1 C. & M. 860; 2 Dowl. 302, S. C.

(*a*) Vol. I. 448.

(*b*) *Defries v. Davis*, 3 Dowl. 689; 1 Hodges, 103; 1 Scott, 394; 1 Bing. N.C. 692, S. C.

CHAPTER VIII.

ACTIONS BY AND AGAINST HUSBAND AND WIFE.

SECT. 1.

*Actions by Husband and Wife.*CHAP. VIII.
SECT. 1.

HERE are but few peculiarities in actions by husband and wife, and these have been already incidentally noticed in the course of the Work. In general, wherever the cause of action would survive to the wife, she and her husband ought to be joined in the action(*a*). Where, however, the cause of action arises during coverture, the husband is frequently allowed to bring the action in his own name, or in the joint names of himself and his wife(*a*). If a wife sue alone, the defendant may plead the coverture in abatement; or the coverture may be assigned by the husband for error upon a writ of error *coram nobis*(*b*). And if she marry after writ, and before plea, her coverture must be pleaded in abatement(*c*). If she marry after plea, the coverture should be pleaded *puisne in continuanee*(*d*). If she sue alone, without having any legal interest whatever, she would be nonsuited(*e*). If she sue jointly with her husband, when she ought not to have done so, the defendant may demur(*f*), or arrest the judgment(*g*), or bring error(*h*), if the defect appear on the pleadings, or, it should seem, nonsuit the plaintiffs at the trial if it do not. If the husband sue alone, when the wife ought to be joined, the defendant may demur, move in arrest of judgment, or bring error if the defect appear on the pleadings(*i*), or plead the defence specially, if it does not(*k*). In a late case where the plaintiff declared for an injury to a reversionary interest, and it appeared that he held the premises under a lease made to himself and wife, and had underlet them, it was objected that the wife ought to have been joined in the action; but the Court of Exchequer held that there was no ground for the objection, and that even were it valid, it should have been taken by plea in abatement(*l*).

(*a*) *Dunston v. Burwell*, 1 Wils. 224; *Allen v. Vincent*, 2 Id. 257; 1 Chit. Pl. 6th ed. 28, 72. See form of affidavit to hold to bail by *feme*, in an action brought by *baron and feme*, Chit. Forms, 210.
(*b*) *Milner v. Milner*, 3 T. R. 631: *ante*, 2d. l. 302.
(*c*) *Morgan v. Painter*, 6 T. R. 265: 1 Chit. Pl. 6th ed. 33: see *Hollis v. Freer*, 2 Bing. N. C. 719.
(*d*) Tidd, 8th ed. 848.
(*e*) *Candell v. Shaw*, 4 T. R. 361; 1

Chit. Pl. 6th ed. 33.

(*f*) *Buckley v. Oulter*, 1 Salk. 114: *Ross v. Bowler*, 1 H. Bl. 108.

(*g*) *Abbott v. Blifield*, Cro. Jac. 644.

(*h*) *Bidgood v. Way*, 2 Bl. Rep. 1236.

(*i*) *Alsbury v. Welby*, 1 Str. 229: *Abbott v. Blifield*, Cro. Jac. 644.

(*k*) *Ante*, Vol. I. 185, 186: *Anon.*, 1 Salk. 282: *Rumsey v. George*, 1 M. & Sel. 280.

(*l*) *Wallis v. Harrison*, 7 Dowl. 595.

BOOK III.
PART II.

Where the plaintiff had hired a house of the defendant, representing herself at the time to be a *feme covert*, and upon the faith of the like representation had obtained goods from various tradesmen, the court held that her assertion, that she was a *feme covert*, estopped her from suing as a *feme sole*, in respect of a trespass committed by the defendant, under colour of a distress for rent (*m*).

Setting aside
Release by
Husband.

Where a wife, living apart from her husband under a deed of separation, brought an action as executrix in the joint names of her husband and herself, and the husband released the debt; the defendant having pleaded this release *puis darrein continuance*, the court ordered the plea to be taken off the record, and the release to be given up to be cancelled (*n*).

Judgment.

The proceedings to judgment are the same as in other cases.

Suing in Husband's Name.

As to staying proceedings where the action is brought in the husband's name without authority, see *post*, Book IV. Part I. Ch. 10.

Sci. fa., Warrant of Attorney, &c.

As to *scire facias* upon the death of a *feme covert* plaintiff, or upon the marriage of *feme sole* plaintiff, see *ante*, 824, and *post*, Book IV. Part I. Ch. 33; and as to warrants of attorney given to a *feme sole*, who marries before judgment, see *ante*, 691.

Execution.

The execution against the defendant is the same as in ordinary cases. As to the execution against husband and wife, see *post*, 897.

SECT. 2.

Actions against Husband and Wife.

Where to be
sued jointly
or not.

IN bringing actions against husband and wife, the general rule is, that, whenever the cause of action would survive against the wife, they ought to be sued jointly (*o*). Care should be taken not to bring an action against the wife without making the husband also a party, otherwise she may plead her coverture in abatement or bar, according to circumstances; or the coverture may be assigned by the husband for error (*p*), upon a writ of error *coram nobis* or *robis* (*q*). This is the only course to be adopted where the wife is sued alone (*r*). But if the action be brought against her on her supposed contract during coverture, she may plead the coverture in bar (*s*). If the action be brought against a woman while sole, and she marry pending the suit, the suit will not be abated, and the plaintiff may proceed to execution without noticing the husband (*t*). If the husband be improperly sued alone, or the husband and wife be improperly joined, the defendant may, if the defect appear on the pleadings, demur,

(*m*) *Langford v. Foot*, 2 Moo. & Scott, 349; and see *Mare v. Caddell*, Cowp. 232.

(*n*) *Innell v. Newman*, 4 B. & Ald. 419.

(*o*) *Dunstan v. Burwell*, 1 Wils. 224; *Swithen v. Vincent*, 2 Id. 227; 1 Chit. Pl. 6th ed. 57.

(*p*) See forms, Chit. Forms, 146.

(*q*) See Vol. I. 392.

(*r*) *Milner v. Milnes*, 3 T. R. 631.

(*s*) *Ante*, Vol. I. 185; *James v. Forbes*, 12 Mod. 101; *Lynch v. Hooker*, 1 Salk. 7; 1 Chit. Pl. 6th ed. 59.

(*t*) *King v. Jones*, 2 Str. 811; *Cooper v. Hunchin*, 4 East, 521; *post*, 897.

ove in arrest of judgment, or bring error(*u*); if it do not so appear, he may plead the matter specially. CHAP. VIII.
SECT. 2.

Process, &c.] In what cases a wife may be arrested upon Process, &c. process against her solely, or against her and her husband jointly, see *Vol. I.* 471. In an action against husband and wife, when the husband alone has been arrested, special bail may justify for him only, on his entering a common appearance for his wife(*x*). Where husband and wife were arrested, and the wife discharged out of custody upon entering a common appearance, and the plaintiff then declared against the husband alone, it was holden irregular(*y*). As to the service of non-bailable process upon husband and wife, see *Vol. I.* 115. In an action against the husband and wife, the husband may be outlawed and the wife waived(*z*). An attachment for non-payment of costs will not be granted against the wife, though both are parties to the suit(*a*).

Appearance, &c.] If a *feme covert* be sued alone, she must appear in person; for she cannot appoint an attorney(*b*). But if the husband and wife be sued jointly, they may appear by attorney; for the husband is capable of appointing an attorney for both(*c*). Appearance, &c.

The other proceedings to judgment are the same as in ordinary cases.

Execution.] As to the cases in which property belonging to the wife may or may not be taken in execution for the debt of the husband, see *Vol. I.* 430. Execution.

If a *ca. sa.* be sued out against husband and wife, the wife may be taken on it, and the court will not discharge her(*d*); unless where she has no separate property out of which the demand can be satisfied(*e*), or there appears to be collusion between the husband and the plaintiff to keep her in custody(*f*); the general rule being, that the wife shall be discharged, if in custody, before execution, but not after it(*g*). Also, if the husband die before execution, and the action survive against the wife, she may be taken in execution, in the same manner as if the action were originally brought against her alone as a *feme sole*(*h*). Where a woman who was married at the time the action was brought was sued as a *feme sole*, and taken on a *ca. sa.*, the court refused to relieve her on motion, and left her to her remedy by writ of error(*i*). Where Wife may be taken on Ca. Sa. against Both

If an action be brought against a *feme sole*, and pending it she marry, it seems she may be taken on a *ca. sa.*, and the Where feme Defendant

(u) *Mitchinson v. Hewson*, 7 T. R. 348.
(x) *Coulson v. Scott*, 1 Chit. Rep. 75.
(y) *Cutler v. Player*, 3 D. & R. 247.
see *Vol. I.* 514.
(z) See Tidd's Supplement, 63, and practice there stated, citing *Smith v. Ashe*, 10 Car. 58: Bac. Abr., Outlawry, C. 3.
(a) *Dee Atkinson v. Causfield & Wife*, Dowl. 323.
(b) Co. Lit. 135: *Oulds v. Sanson*, 3 Bunt. 381.
(c) 2 Saund. 213: *Vol. I.* 49.
(d) *Roberts v. Andrews*, 3 Wils. 124; 2 F. Bl. 720, S. C.: *Finch v. Duddin*, 2 Str.

1237: *Langstaff v. Rain*, 1 Wils. 149: *Berriman v. Gilman*, Barnes, 203: ante, *Vol. I.* 448.
(e) *Haad v. Matthews*, 2 Dowl. 149: *Evans v. Chester*, 2 M. & W. 847.
(f) *Sparkes v. Bell*, 8 B. & C. 1; 2 M. & R. 124, S. C.: *Pitts v. Meller*, 2 Str. 1167: Tidd, 9th ed.: ante, *Vol. I.* 448.
(g) *Roberts v. Andrews*, 3 Wils. 124; 2 W. Bl. 720, S. C.
(h) 1 Ro. Abr. 890: 2 Bac. Abr., Execution, G. 4.
(i) *Moses v. Richardson*, 8 B. & C. 421.

BOOK III.
PART II.
marries pend-
ing Action.

court will not discharge her, unless perhaps where she has separate property (*j*). The more regular mode of proceeding however, in such a case is, to sue out a *scire facias*, in order to make the husband a party, and then to issue execution against both (*k*). Moreover, on a *scire facias* against the wife who married pending the action, it is irregular to take goods of the husband (*l*).

Other Pro-
ceedings.

Other Proceedings.] As to a writ of error by *feme covert* see Vol. I. 348, 350; and as to the abatement of a writ of error, by the marriage of a *feme sole*, plaintiff or defendant see Vol. I. 355. As to *scire facias* upon the marriage of a *feme sole* defendant, see *ante*, 824; *post*, Book IV. Part I. 33. And as to warrants of attorney by a *feme covert*, or a *feme sole* who marries before judgment, see *ante*, 691.

(*j*) *Evans v. Chester*, 2 M. & W. 847; 6 Dowl. 140, S. C.; *Dodley v. White*, Cro. Jac. 323; *Cooper v. Hinchin*, 4 East, 521; *King v. Jones*, 2 Str. 811.

(*k*) In *Evans v. Chester*, 2 M. & W. 847, 6 Dowl. 140, S. C., Parke, B., is reported to have intimated, that the husband may bring a writ of error on a judgment obtained under such circumstances against the wife.—It is submitted, however, that this observation of the learned baron applies only to cases where the defendant was married at the time of commencing the action, and not to cases where she marries pending the action; for, in the

latter class of cases, the only way in which the plaintiff can have execution against the husband seems to be by proceeding to judgment against the wife, and then suing out a *scire facias* execution against the husband and wife (*ante*, 824); if the judgment be erroneous, he may be released of execution against either, by a voluntary act of the defendant in dying pending the suit, which would be manifestly unjust. (See *per Curiam*, *v. Jones*, 2 Str. 811: and see 2 Saund.

(*l*) *Doe Taggart v. Butcher*, 3 M. & W. 557, 559.

CHAPTER IX.

ACTIONS BY AND AGAINST BANKRUPTS OR THEIR ASSIGNEES.

SECT. 1.

Actions by Bankrupts or their Assignees.

whose Name to be brought, 899. cess, &c. 900.	Declaration and subsequent Pro- ceedings, 901. Costs, &c., 902.
---	---

CHAP. IX.
SECT. 1.

[In whose Name to be brought.] FOR any debt due to the bankrupt, and for injuries to his property, previous to his bankruptcy (*m*), the action must, of course, be commenced in the names of his assignees, that is, in the case of a town fiat the official assignee and the assignee chosen by the creditors, the latter in the case of a county fiat. But if the bankrupt at the time of his bankruptcy had no beneficial interest in the contract or property injured,—as, if he had assigned all his interest in the contract or property to a third person,—then the action should be in the bankrupt's name (*n*). The consent of the creditors is not necessary to enable the assignees to bring such action (*o*). All the assignees should sue, otherwise the defendant may plead in abatement, or perhaps nonsuit the plaintiff (*p*) in actions *ex contractu*, though in actions *ex dicto* he could plead in abatement only (*q*). When one of several partners becomes bankrupt, the action must be in the name of the solvent partner and the assignees of the bankrupt (*r*); and, upon petition, the assignees may be authorized to use the name of the solvent partner without his consent, provided such partner, if no benefit be claimed by him by virtue of the proceedings, be indemnified against costs; and upon petition it may be ordered that he shall receive his share of the proceeds of the action (*s*). Before assignees have been appointed by the creditors, it should seem the official assignee may sue (*t*). When a new appointment of assignees has been ordered, the new assignees are to sue (*u*). When an assignee

In whose
Name to be
brought.

m) See *Hancock v. Caffin*, 8 Bing. 358; *Loe & Scott*, 521, S. C.: *Wright v. Fairbairn*, 3 B. & Ad. 727: Arch. Bkt. L. 253.
n) *Winch v. Keeley*, 1 T. R. 619: *Carter v. Marnell*, 3 B. & P. 40: *Dangerfield v. Thomas*, 1 Per. & D. 287: see *reg v. Baker*, 3 M. & W. 195: *Tibbitts v. George*, 6 Nev. & M. 804.
o) *Bocan v. Williams*, 2 Y. & J. 475.
p) *Snellgrove v. Hunt*, 2 Stark. 424; 1 Chit. Rep. 71, S. C.: *Aldritt v. Kittridge*, 1 Moore, 560: Arch. Bkt. L. 256.

q) 1 Chit. Pl. 6th ed. 66: Arch. Bkt. L. 256.

r) *Thomason v. Frere*, 10 East, 418: *Eckhardt v. Wilson*, 8 T. R. 140: *Anon.*, 12 Mod. 446.

s) See 6 G. 4, c. 16, s. 89.

t) See *Page v. Baner*, 4 B. & Ald. 345.

u) 6 G. 4, c. 16, s. 66. See *Bloxam v. Hubbard*, 5 East, 407: 6 Moore, 569: *Snellgrove v. Hunt*, 1 Chit. Rep. 71: *De Cosson v. Vaughan*, 10 East, 61.

dies, or a new assignee is chosen, the action will not be thereby abated; but the court may, upon the suggestion of such death or removal and new choice, allow the name of the survivor or new assignee to be substituted in the place of the former, and the action may be prosecuted in the name of the said living or new assignee, in the same manner as if he originally commenced it (*x*). Where an action has been commenced by a party who afterwards becomes bankrupt, the defendant may defeat the action by pleading specially bankruptcy, fiat, and assignee's appointment, and the assignees may be compelled to proceed *de novo* in their own names. If they are thus allowed to continue the action already brought, they must proceed in the bankrupt's name to judgment; when, and not before, they can make themselves parties to the record, by *scire facias*, as mentioned *ante*, 825. If an error be brought by or against a trader who afterwards becomes bankrupt pending the writ, the assignees must proceed in the name to judgment (*z*). The assignees, however, should issue out a *scire facias* to revive the judgment, and make themselves parties to the record, before they issue execution (*a*). Where the assignees thus continue the suit, they may be compelled to give security for all the costs (*b*); and in a recent case, where the assignees refused to interfere, and the defendant obtained a rule for judgment as in case of a nonsuit, the court refused to discharge it on a peremptory undertaking, and the plaintiff also gave security for costs (*c*). Where, in an action of debt on an Irish judgment, the defendant pleads that the judgment was entered up on a warrant of attorney given to the plaintiff to secure payment on a bond; that, the bond and warrant of attorney were given, and before judgment was entered up, the plaintiff became bankrupt, the debt in question was vested in his assignee, who brought an action on the judgment before that commencement by the plaintiff, and that the same was still depending; it is held that the plaintiff was the person by whom the judgment ought to have been entered up, though after his bankruptcy; that, in so doing, and in bringing the action, he might be considered as a trustee for the creditors; and the pendency of another action, as here pleaded, was no defence (*d*).

Process, &c.

[*Process, &c.*] The process, &c., is the same as in ordinary cases. It need not, at least in the Queen's Bench, or Exchequer, describe the plaintiffs as assignees (*e*). As to the

(*x*) Where the assignee of a bankrupt estate has died, and another assignee has been appointed in his stead, the rule to enter a suggestion of such death upon the record is absolute in the first instance: (*Westall v. Sturges*, 4 Moo. & P. 217); and when he has entered the suggestion on the record, he may recover a penalty as well as his predecessor. *Per Tindal, C. J.*: "Nothing can be more clear or comprehensive than the language of this section: it conveys every right to the new assignee, for he is to sue in the same manner as if he had originally commenced the action." (*Bates v. Sturges*, 7 Bing. 585; 5 Moo. & P. 568, S. C.)

(*y*) *Biggs v. Cox*, 4 B. & C. 920; 7 D. &

R. 460, S. C.: *Kinnear v. Tarrant*, 622. In the second edition of this MS. case of *Smith v. Hirst*, (T.) is cited as having decided generally, if the action be already commenced by a bankrupt before his bankruptcy, the assignees in that case may either prosecute that action, or commence a new one; this, as a general position, is, according to the above cases, incorrect.

(*z*) *Ante*, Vol. I. 355.

(*a*) *Ante*, 826, 827. See the forms, 495.

(*b*) See *post*, Book IV., Part I. (c)

(*c*) *Taylor v. Montague*, 2 M. &

(*d*) *Guinness v. Carroll*, 1 B. & L.

(*e*) *Ante*, Vol. I. 106, 513.

t to hold to bail by assignees of a bankrupt, see *Vol. I.*
 7 (f).

CHAP. IX.
 SECT. 1.

Declaration, and subsequent Proceedings.] The declaration and other pleadings in the cause are filed or delivered as in ordinary cases (g).

Declaration and subsequent Proceedings.

By *R. H.*, 4 *W.* 4, r. 21, *ante*, 875, if the plaintiffs sue as assignees, and defendant intends disputing their being such, he must plead the defence specially. A plea, denying that the plaintiffs are assignees of the estate and effects of the bankruptcy, puts in issue not merely the plaintiffs' appointment as assignees, but also the petitioning creditor's debt, act of bankruptcy, &c. (h).

What must be pleaded specially.

In actions by assignees, no proof shall be required at the trial of the petitioning creditor's debt, and of the trading and act of bankruptcy, unless the defendant, "at or before pleading," shall give notice to such assignee that he intends to dispute some and which of such matters (i). This notice must be given notwithstanding defendant pleads a plea expressly disputing the bankruptcy, &c. (k). It must specify which of the three matters, trading, petitioning creditor's debt, and act of bankruptcy, is intended to be disputed. Notice to dispute "the bankruptcy" is too general (l). The notice does not require personal service. Serving it on the attorney of the assignees is sufficient; but a delivery of it to a maid-servant at the house of the assignee is not (m). It has, however, been held sufficient that the notice was served on the clerk of the assignee at his counting-house (n). It must be served either at the time of pleading, or before it; if he plead without giving the notice, he cannot afterwards, even before his time for pleading has expired, again plead with notice, until he have first obtained leave to withdraw his former plea (o). And where the clerk of the defendant's attorney delivered a plea of the general issue, but without notice to dispute the bankruptcy, and on the same day obtained back the plea under the pretence of correcting a mistake, and delivered another plea with the notice attached, it was held insufficient; the defendant ought to have moved to withdraw his plea (p). The court sitting at *Nisi Prius* will not, it seems, enter into the question, whether the plaintiff's attorney has or has not undertaken to accept of notice after plea pleaded, if the fact is disputed (q). It is not considered as a part of defendant's case at a trial, but he may prove the service of it, as soon as the assignees attempt to make out a *prima facie* case, by producing the fiat, &c. (r). If the notice be of an intention to dispute the act of bankruptcy only, and depositions are read to prove the trading and petitioning creditor's

Notice of disputing Bankruptcy, &c.

(f) See the form, *Chit. Forms*, 210.
 (g) See *Arch. Bkt. L.* 257. See the form, *Chit. Forms*, 529.
 (h) *Butter v. Hubson*, 4 *Bing. N. C.* 210; *Buckton v. Frost*, 1 *Per. & D.* 102.
 (i) 6 *G.* 4, c. 16, s. 90. See the form, *Chit. Forms*, 529.
 (k) *Mum v. Raphael*, 7 *C. & P.* 115.
 (l) *Trimley v. Unwin*, 6 *B. & C.* 537.
 (m) *Howard v. Ramsbottom*, 3 *Taunt.* 126.
 (n) *Widger v. Browning*, 2 *C. & P.* 523;

1 *M. & M.* 27, *S. C.*
 (o) *Pode v. Bell*, 1 *Stark.* 320; *Radmore v. Gould*, 1 *Wightwick*, 80; *Gardner v. Slack*, 6 *Moore*, 489.
 (p) *Laurence v. Crudder*, 3 *C. & P.* 229; 1 *McC. & P.* 511, *S. C.*; and see *Pode v. Bell*, 1 *Stark.* 320; 6 *B. & C.* 537, n.: *post*, 903.
 (q) *Fulke v. Scudder*, 3 *C. & P.* 232.
 (r) *De Charme v. Waine*, 2 *Camp.* 324.

BOOK VII.
PART II.

debt, this does not put the whole file of proceedings in evidence; but if the opposite party wish to inspect other depositions, or have them read, he must call for them, as part of his case (s).

Payment into Court where Commission is disputed.

Where a separate commission of bankrupt was sued out before the 1 & 2 W. 4, c. 57, came into operation, against B. and a joint commission against A. and B.; and the assignee of A. brought an action against C., and recovered: the court ordered the money to be paid into court, until a petition then pending before the Lord Chancellor, to supersede the separate commission, should be decided (t).

Costs, &c.

Costs, &c.] The costs are the same as in ordinary cases (u), excepting that by 6 G. 4, c. 16, s. 90, if the notice above mentioned be served, and the matter so disputed be proved or admitted at the trial, the judge may, if he see fit, grant a certificate thereof; and the assignee shall thereupon be entitled to such costs (to be taxed) as were occasioned by such notice, to be added to his own costs if he succeed, or to be deducted from the costs, &c., of the other party, should he obtain a verdict (v). If a cause be referred by order of *Nisi Prius*, the judge or court cannot certify under this statute (x). As to double costs, see *post*, 906.

Judgment, &c.

Judgment, &c.] The judgment and execution are the same as in ordinary cases.

SECT. 2.

Actions against Bankrupts or their Assignees.

Assignees, when liable and how sued, 902.

Process, 903.

The Declaration, *id.*

The Plea, *id.*

Proof of Debt, how far a discon-

tinuance of Action, 903.

Staying Proceedings, 905.

Costs, 906.

The Judgment, *id.*

Execution, &c., *id.*

Other Points as to, 907.

Assignees, when liable and how sued.

Assignees, when liable and how sued.] ASSIGNEES cannot be sued as such at law; but they may be sued in their individual capacity for any cause of action arising to others from their acts, which they cannot justify under the fiat and their appointment. They cannot, however, be sued by action for the amount of dividends; the proper remedy is by petition (y). Where the bankrupt held his assignee to bail in an action for money had and received, instituted with a view to try the

(s) *Black v. Thorn*, 4 Camp. 191.

(t) *Hodgkinson v. Travers*, 2 D. & R. 409; 1 B. & C. 257, S. C.

(u) See Arch. Bkt. L. 270: *Andrews v. Sealey*, 8 Price, 212.

(v) See *Atkins v. Seaward*, 1 B. & B.

275; 3 Moore, 601, S. C.: *Ward v. Ahrens*, 1 B. & Ald. 367; Arch. Bkt. L. 270.

(x) *Barthrop v. Anderton*, 1 M. & Scott, 361; 8 Bing. 268, S. C.

(y) Arch. Bkt. L. 214: 6 G. 4, c. 16, s. 111.

validity of the fiat, the court discharged the assignee upon a common appearance(*e*).

CHAP. IX.
SECT. 2.

Process, &c.] As to the cases in which a bankrupt can be taken to bail, and under what circumstances he is privileged from arrest, see *ante*, Vol. I. 470, 527, 528. If bail have been put in for a defendant, and he afterwards become a bankrupt, and obtain his certificate before the bail are fixed, the bail shall be thereby discharged; and an *exoneretur* may be entered on the bail-piece, upon application to the court in term, or to a judge in vacation(*a*). And see, as to how far the bankruptcy of the defendant will discharge the sheriff or the bail from liability, *ante*, Vol. I. 568.

Process, &c.

The Declaration.] The declaration is filed or delivered as in ordinary cases.

The Declaration.

The Plea, &c.] The general plea of bankruptcy need not be pleaded by counsel(*b*); but a special plea of bankruptcy and certificate must(*c*).

The Plea, &c.

If one of the several defendants plead bankruptcy, the plaintiff may enter a *nolle prosequi* as to him, and proceed against the others(*d*), whether the action be upon contract or tort; upon which *nolle prosequi* the plaintiff will be liable for the costs of that defendant(*e*). Such defendant need not have been joined in the action, if his certificate was obtained before action brought. (*See ante*, 651).

Where one of several pleads Bankruptcy.

In actions against assignees, they may plead the general issue, and give the special matter in evidence(*f*). The words "by statute" should be inserted in the margin of the plea, otherwise it will have only the same effect as in ordinary cases(*g*).

General Issue by Assignees.

In actions against assignees, if the plaintiff intend to dispute the petitioning creditor's debt, the trading, or act of bankruptcy, he must "*before issue joined*" give notice to the defendants of his intention to dispute some and which of such matters, otherwise no proof shall be required at the trial of the facts above mentioned(*h*). Service of this notice, at the time of delivering the issue, will not be sufficient(*i*); and the court, sitting at *Nisi Prius*, will not enter into the question, whether the defendant's attorney has or has not undertaken to accept of notice after issue joined, if the fact be disputed(*k*). Other points as to this notice have been already stated (*ante*, 901).

Notice of disputing Bankruptcy, &c.

The issue is made up, and the other proceedings to judgment are the same, as in ordinary cases.

Proof of Debt how far a Discontinuance of Action, &c.] By statute 6 G. 4, c. 16, s. 59, no creditor who has brought an

Proof of Debt how far a Dis-

Chambers v. Bernasconi, 4 Moo. & P. 6 Bing. 498, S. C.
Ante, Vol. I. 620,
Vol. I. 171.
See Arch. Bkt. L. 281, 282.
Neske v. Ingham, 1 Wills. 89.
3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, s. 32.
6 G. 4, c. 16, s. 44: Arch. Bkt. L.
See *Worth v. Bubbs*, 2 B. & Ad. 177;

1 Dowl. 328, S. C.: *Carruthers v. Payne*, 5 Bing. 270; 2 Moo. & P. 429, S. C.: *Edge v. Parker*, 8 B. & C. 607.
(*g*) R. T. 1878.
(*h*) 6 G. 4, c. 16, s. 90. See the form, Chit. Forms, 529.
(*i*) *Richmond v. Hoagy*, 4 Camp. 207.
(*k*) *Folke v. Scudder*, 3 C. & P. 232.

BOOK III.
PART II.
—
continuance
of Action, &c.

action against a bankrupt for a debt provable under the commission, shall prove a debt, or have any claim entered upon the proceedings under such commission, without relinquishing such action; and if the bankrupt shall be in prison or custody at the suit of or detained by such creditor, he shall not prove or claim without giving a sufficient authority in writing for the discharge of such bankrupt; and the proving or claiming a debt under a commission by a creditor shall be deemed an election by such creditor to take the benefit of such commission with respect to the debt so proved or claimed; provided that *such creditor shall not be liable to the payment to the bankrupt or his assignees, of the costs of such action* so relinquished by him; and that, where a creditor shall have brought an action against such bankrupt and another person, his relinquishing such action against the bankrupt shall not affect such action against such other person: provided also, that a creditor who has so elected to prove or claim, if the commission be afterwards superseded, may proceed in the action as if he had not so elected; and in bailable actions shall be at liberty to arrest the defendant *de novo*, if he has not put in bail below, or perfected bail above; or, if the defendant has put in bail, or perfected such bail, to have recourse against such bail, by requiring the bail below to put in and perfect bail above within the first eight days in term, after notice in the *London Gazette* of the superseding such commission, and by suing the bail upon their recognisance, if the condition is broken (*l*). There is no need of a formal discontinuance of the action before the plaintiff proves his debt, or has his claim entered on the proceedings under the fiat, for the proof or entry itself operates as a discontinuance of it (*m*). But the plaintiff, to bring himself within the act, must either so prove his debt, or have his claim so entered (*n*). And the defendant is, it seems, entitled to have a suggestion of the fact of the plaintiff having proved or made his claim entered upon the record in the action: before which the action is not legally terminated, so as to render further proceedings in it by either party irregular (*o*). If a creditor however, who has proved his debt, were afterwards to bring an action for it, or proceed in an action already brought although his election could not be pleaded in bar, yet the court in which such action was brought would, upon application stay the proceedings in it, or an application might be made to the Court of Bankruptcy to expunge the proof (*p*): or if the bankrupt were in custody at the suit of the creditor, the Court of Bankruptcy, upon petition, would order him to be discharged, and probably make the creditor pay the costs (*q*). In strictness, perhaps, nothing but actual proof, or claim of the debt, ought to be deemed a relinquishment of an action already brought, or of the creditor's right to commence

(*l*) See the prior repealed act, 49 G. 3, c. 121, s. 14.

(*m*) *Adams v. Bridger*, 8 Bing. 314; 1 M. & Scott, 438, S. C.: *Ex p. Woolley*, 1 Rose, 394: *Ex p. Glover*, 1 Glyn & J. 271: *Ex p. Frith*, *Id.* 165.

(*n*) *Augarde v. Thompson*, 2 M. & W. 617.

(*o*) *Kemp v. Potter*, 6 Taunt. 349: Arch Bkt. L. 110.

(*p*) *Harley v. Greenwood*, 5 B. & Ald. 91. As to bringing an action in a foreign country, see *Ex p. Cotnourth*, 1 Desc. 3 Chit. 281.

(*q*) Arch Bkt. L. 110.

ng Proceedings.] Under the 6 G. 4, c. 16, s. 120, *Staying Pro-*
 authorizes the discharge of a certificated bankrupt *ceedings.*
 n execution for a debt provable under his commission,

Harley v. Greenwood, 5 Id. 95 : *Daly v. Walferston*, 3 D. & R. 271 : *Ex p. Botterill*, 1 Atk. 109 : *Ex p. Matthews*, 3 Atk. 817.
(a) *Bridget v. Mills*, 12 Moore, 92.
(b) *Ex p. Glover*, 1 Glyn & J. 271 : *Ex p. Edwards*, 1 Mon. & M'A. 129 : *Ex p. Sly*, 2 Glyn & J. 173 : *Ex p. Edwards*, 1 Mon. & M'A. 116 : *Ex p. Schlesinger*, 2 Glyn & J. 392.
(c) *Eicke v. Nokes*, 4 M. & Scott, 568 ; 1 Bing. N. C. 69 ; 2 Dowl. 820, S. C.

BOOK III.
PART II.

the court has incidentally the power of staying, before judgment, the proceedings against such a bankrupt for such a debt(*d*).

Costs.

Costs.] By 6 G. 4, c. 16, s. 44, in every action brought against any person for anything done in pursuance of the act, if there be a verdict for the defendant, or if the plaintiff be nonsuit, or discontinue his action after appearance, or upon *demurrer* judgment be given against the plaintiff, the defendant shall recover double costs. This provision does not apply to the case of *assignees* defendants, or those acting under their authority(*e*).

The Judgment.

The Judgment.] The judgment is the same as in ordinary cases.

Execution, &c.

Execution, &c.] As to a *ca. sa.* against a bankrupt, and his privilege from arrest, see *Vol. I.* 450, 470, 528. As to *fi. fa.* see *Vol. I.* 432. And as to an *elegit*, it is clear that a judgment obtained even before the bankruptcy of a defendant cannot be executed after it, upon lands in his *seisin* at the time of the bankruptcy(*f*). But if he had sold the lands previously to his bankruptcy, and after signing of the judgment, the plaintiff might still extend them under an *elegit*(*g*).

Liability of future Estate and Effects.

Formerly, if the defendant had been twice a bankrupt, and had not paid 15s. in the pound under his second commission, if the plaintiff knew of any effects or lands belonging to him, he might have seized them under a *fi. fa.* or *elegit*, and sold or extended them in satisfaction of his judgment. But by the 6 G. 4, c. 16, s. 127, if a person who has before been a bankrupt, and has obtained his certificate, or has compounded with his creditors, or has been discharged by an insolvent act, becomes bankrupt, and obtains his certificate, unless his estate produces (after all charges) sufficient to pay every creditor under the commission 15s. in the pound, such certificate will only protect his *person*(*h*) from arrest and imprisonment; but his *future* estate and effects (except his tools of trade, and necessary household furniture, and the wearing apparel of himself, his wife, and children) will rest in the *assignees* under the commission, who will be entitled to seize the same in like manner as they might have seized property of which such bankrupt was possessed at the issuing of the commission(*i*). And this although the former commission have been superseded(*k*); or although all the former creditors did not come in under the deed of composition(*l*); or although the party

(*d*) *Sadler v. Clearer*, 7 Bing. 709; 5 Moo. & P. 706, S. C.

(*e*) *Worth v. Bubh*, 2 B. & Ad. 177; 1 Dowl. 328, S. C.: *Carruthers v. Payne*, 5 Bing. 270; 2 Moo. & P. 429, S. C. See *Edge v. Parker*, 8 B. & C. 607, as to what cases are not within the first part of the 44th section of 6 G. 4, c. 16, as to the limitation of actions.

(*f*) See *Tidd*, 936: 1 P. Wms. 730.

(*g*) *Tidd*, 936.

(*h*) See *Carew v. Edwards*, 2 Dowl. 613.

(*i*) See *Ex p. Hodgkinson*, 19 Ves. 281. This section vests the bankrupt's estate in his assignees absolutely, and does not leave him even a right of action, subject to their interference. (*Young v. Richardson*, 3 Nev. & P. 585). It seems this enactment extends to cases where the former bankruptcy and certificate were anterior to the statute. (See *Robertson v. Scors*, 3 B. & Ad. 338).

(*k*) *Thornton v. Dallas*, 1 Doug. 46 a.

(*l*) *Slaughter v. Cheyne*, 1 M. & Sel. 182.

the advantage of this insufficiency of the second certificate himself signed it(*m*). But a composition with a certain of creditors, as for instance with joint creditors only(*n*), or composition with all his creditors generally, if he have already paid them 20s. in the pound before his bankruptcy(*o*), will not deprive a bankrupt of the benefit of his estate. This section is similar to sect. 9 of the repealed 5 G. 2, c. 30, except in the concluding words. By the repealed statute, the future estate of the bankrupt who obtained his certificate under circumstances mentioned in this section but whose estate had not paid 15s. in the pound, was available to creditors in the same manner as before the passing of that act; but, by the present statute, all the future property *vested in the assignees* under the commission, and they prevent a vested interest in such future property from the bankrupt by the assignment(*p*). And it has been held, that, as the commission protects the person of the bankrupt, and vests property in the assignees under the commission, no action lies against the bankrupt for a debt due prior to his commission, although he had compounded with his creditors before he became bankrupt, and his estate had not paid 15s. in the pound under the commission(*q*). If the bankrupt has not obtained his certificate under the first commission, a certificate obtained under the second is absolutely void at law(*r*). A commission against a bankrupt, whose effects have not been paid in the pound, is also void(*s*).

A bankrupt may be in custody in execution for a debt proved against him before the commission, and obtain his certificate, he may be discharged upon application to any judge of the court if judgment was obtained(*t*). For this purpose, *take summons before a judge, and after that (if not attended to) summons; and upon producing the certificate, which has been duly enrolled(u), and an affidavit that the debt is due before the bankruptcy, and that the certificate had been obtained without fraud, the judge will make an order for the bankrupt's discharge.* The bankrupt will be entitled to his discharge, though he has neglected to plead his certificate, and is bound to give a *cognovit*(*x*). Also, before the bankrupt obtains his certificate, a creditor at whose suit he is in execution shall not be allowed to prove his debt under the commission until he have first given a sufficient authority in writing for the discharge of such bankrupt(*y*).

Discharge on obtaining Certificate.

Points as to.] As to proceedings against members of

Other Points as to.

v. Corden, 5 T. R. 287.

v. Shakespeare, 15 East,

Secretary, 3 M. & Sel. 78.

Robinson, 1 Mon. & M'A.

Nelson, 1 M. & M. 303: and

v. Score, 3 B. & Ad. 338.

Wilson, 1 M. & R. 580: 7 B.

C.: *Fowler v. Coater*, 10 B. &

Woods v. Cherrill, 8 Bing. 316;

452, S. C.

v. Coater, 10 B. & C. 427: see

once, 6 Dowl. 139.

(*t*) 6 G. 4, c. 16, s. 126. See Vol. I. 605, 117, and the cases there cited: and Arch. Bkt. L. 210, 211. It seems that this section does not protect the goods of the bankrupt. (See *Hanson v. Blakey*, 1 Moo. & P. 261; 4 Bing. 493, S. C.: but see *Lister v. Mandell*, 1 B. & P. 427).

(*u*) See *Jacobs v. Phillips*, 2 Dowl. 716.

(*x*) *Oscald v. Williams*, 5 Dowl. 159. See fully as to his discharge, Vol. I. 117.

(*y*) 6 G. 4, c. 16, s. 50. See Arch. Bkt. L. 109, 231: *ante*, 903.

**BOOK III.
PART II.**

parliament, subject to the bankrupt laws, see *ante*, against traders subject to the bankrupt laws, *post*, 921; as to the time limited for bringing actions against assignees, see *Arch. Bkt. L.* 301. And as to actions against the commissioners or messengers, see *Arch. Bkt. L.* 13. By statute *W. 4, c. 42, s. 9, ante*, 651, in the case of a joint debt where one of the parties has become bankrupt and obtained his certificate, there is no occasion to join him in the action as a defendant.

CHAPTER X.

ACTIONS BY AND AGAINST IDIOTS AND LUNATICS.

CHAP. X.

IDIOTS and lunatics may be holden to bail, and arrested, Arrest of.
 in the same manner and under the same circumstances as
 other persons; and the court will not discharge them out of
 bail on account of their insanity (*a*), even although the
 facts of their insanity have been established by a commission
 of lunacy previously to the arrest (*b*). Nor will the court
 grant an *exoneretur* to be entered on the bail-piece, merely on
 account of the insanity of the principal (*c*); but the bail must
 answer for him in their discharge (*d*).

An idiot plaintiff must appear in person, and then any one Proceedings,
&c., by Idiot.
 who prays to be admitted as his friend may sue for him (*e*);
 if defendant, he must also appear in person, and any one
 who can make a better defence shall be allowed to defend for
 him (*e*). Where a plaintiff had been delirious, and, on appa-
 rently recovering, he brought an action against his bankers to
 recover money belonging to him in their hands, the court
 would not oblige him to give an indemnity to the bankers, on
 account of their demand by them to him of the sum for which the action was
 brought (*f*).

A lunatic sues and defends in the same manner as other By Lunatic.
 persons: if of age, either in person or by attorney; if under
 age, he must sue by *prochein amy* or guardian, and defend by
 guardian, as mentioned *ante*, 889, 890. When the defendant
 is a lunatic, and a *distringas* issued to which there was a
 return of *nulla bona* and *non est inventus*; an affidavit having
 been produced that it was known where the lunatic was
 kept, but that his keeper refused to allow him to be seen so
 that he might be served, the court notwithstanding refused to
 grant an appearance to be entered for him, and suggested that
 proceedings should be taken against the keeper (*g*).

The wife of a lunatic who has no committee, has a suffi- Right to sue
in Lunatic's
Name.
 cient implied authority to sue in his name for debts due to
 him (*h*); or to apply for his discharge under the Small
 Debtors' Act (*i*).

As to the limitation of a writ of error, see *ante*, Vol. I. Error.
 347.

As to the service of an ejectment in case of lunacy, see Ejectment.
 742.

Nutt v. Ferney, 4 T. R. 121: *Ker-
Norman*, 2 Id. 390.

Ante, Vol. I. 473: *Steel v. Alan*, 2
P. 302.

Ante, Vol. I. 635: *Ibotson v. Lord*
May, 6 T. R. 133.

Ante, Vol. I. 623.

(*e*) *Beverley's Case*, 4 Co. 124: see Co.
Lit. 135: and *ante*, Vol. I. 49.

(*f*) *Hops v. Watson*, 2 Leg. Obs. 413,
per Patterson, J.: Tidd, New Prac. 265.

(*g*) *Starkie v. Skilbeck*, 6 Dowl. 54.

(*h*) *Rock v. Slade*, 7 Dowl. 22.

(*i*) *Clay v. Bowler*, 6 Nev. & M. 814.

CHAPTER XI.

BOOK III.
PART II.

ACTIONS AGAINST JUSTICES OF PEACE, CONSTABLES, &c.

Limitation of
Action.Against Jus-
tices, Consta-
bles, &c.

Limitation of Action.] ACTIONS against justices of peace (*a*) for anything done by them in the execution of their office (*b*), or against constables, headboroughs, or other persons acting by their orders or in their aid, must be commenced within six calendar months after the cause of action has accrued (*c*). The six months are to be reckoned exclusive of the day of committing the act (*d*); for instance, if the imprisonment or cause of action ends on the 14th of December, it is a sufficient commencement of the action if the writ is issued on the 14th of June (*e*). In case of a continuing imprisonment, a justice is liable to answer for such part of it sustained under his warrant as was within six calendar months before the action commenced (*f*). In case of an action for a distress for church-rate, the three months limited for bringing an action are to be reckoned from the time at which the distress was sold (*g*). If the writ upon which the plaintiff declares has not been sued out within the six months, and the writ does not, as it usually does and ought to do, state the date of the issuing of the first writ, proof must be given at the trial that it was regularly continued down from a writ sued out within that time (*h*).

Against Offi-
cers of Excise
and Customs.

ACTIONS brought against officers of the customs, &c., for anything done by them in the execution of their duty, must be commenced within six lunar (*i*) months (*j*); and as against officers of the excise, &c., within three calendar months (*k*), after the cause of action has accrued (*l*).

Notice of
Action, &c.
Against Jus-
tices.

Notice of Action, Demand of Warrant, &c.] Before an action can be commenced against a justice of peace for anything done (*n*) by him in the execution of his duty, the attorney or agent for the plaintiff (*p*) must, one calendar month at least (*q*) previously to his suing out any writ against any such justice, or causing him to be served with pro-

(a) See fully, Burn's J., 28th ed., tit. "Justices," "Constables."

(b) See cases cited in note (*n*), *infra*.

(c) 24 G. 2, c. 44, s. 8; 7 & 8 G. 4, c. 29, s. 75; 7 & 8 G. 4, c. 30, s. 41. See the statute and cases cited in 3 Burn's J., 28th ed. 495; 1 Id. 805.

(d) *Clarke v. Davey*, 4 Moore, 465; *Hardy v. Ryle*, 4 M. & R. 295.

(e) *Hardy v. Ryle*, 9 B. & C. 603.

(f) *Massey v. Johnson*, 12 East, 67.

(g) *Collins v. Ross*, 5 M. & W. 194.

(h) *Weston v. Fournier*, 14 East, 491. See the mode of entering and continuing the writ, *post*, 924.

(i) *Croker v. Mactarish*, 1 Bing. 307; 8 Moore, 265, S. C.

(j) 6 G. 4, c. 108, s. 97.

(k) 7 & 8 G. 4, c. 53, s. 115.

(l) See *Saunders v. Saunders*, 2 East, 254; *Godin v. Ferris*, 2 H. Bl. 14.

(m) See the 24 G. 2, c. 44. As to who is a justice within it, see *Williams*, 3 B. & C. 762; 5 D. & S. C.; *Morgan v. Palmer*, 9 B. & Briggs v. Evelyn, 2 H. Bl. 114; *v. Carrington*, 2 Wils. 275; 3 Burn. 28, 587.

(n) *Wright v. Horton*, Holt, C. 458; see *Fletcher v. Greenwood*, 34; *Charlworth v. Rudgard*, 1 G.

(o) What acts entitle a justice to notice, see 3 Burn's J., 28th ed. 1. Cases there collected: *Rosc.* 473; *v. Sides*, 9 B. & C. 809; *Purton v. Williams*, 3 B. & Ald. 330; *Wedge v. I* 1 Nev. & P. 665.

(p) As to notice of action by attorney for an infant, see *De Gou Lewis*, 2 Per. & D. 283.

(q) See *Castle v. Burdett*, 3 T. 1

deliver to him a notice in writing of such intended writ, &c., or leave such notice at his usual place of abode; in which notice the cause of action shall be clearly and explicitly stated (*r*), and the name of such attorney or agent, and his place of abode, shall be indorsed thereon (*s*); and the attorney or agent shall be entitled to the fee of 20s. for preparing and serving such notice, and no more. And if the plaintiff fail to prove such notice at the trial, the justice shall recover a verdict and costs (*t*), and the plaintiff shall not give evidence of any cause of action except that mentioned in the notice (*u*). It has been universally held, that where a magistrate *bonâ fide* believes or supposes he is acting in the execution of his duty as such, he is within the protection of this clause (*x*). But where the act in question has not been done in the capacity of a justice, and cannot be referred to that character, notice is not required (*y*). Thus it is not required in an action against a justice for not being duly qualified (*z*). And a party making wrongful distress for two causes, as to one of which he is entitled to notice of action, is nevertheless liable in trespass, as to the other (*a*). The statute extends only to actions of trespass (*b*). The month begins with, and includes, the day on which the notice was served (*c*).

Before an action can be commenced against an officer of the excise or customs, or any person acting by his orders or in his aid (*d*), for anything done by him in the execution of his duty (*e*), the attorney or agent for the plaintiff must, one calendar month, at least, previously to his suing out any writ or process against such officer, deliver to him, or leave for him at his usual place of abode, a notice in writing, stating clearly and explicitly the cause of action (*f*), and the names and places of abode (*g*) of the plaintiff and of the attorney or agent respectively, and the plaintiff shall not give evidence of any cause of action not contained in the notice (*h*). The notice of action must be proved in the first instance before any other evidence is given (*i*).

Against Officers of Excise or Customs.

Before any action can be brought against the constable or other officer or person for anything done in pursuance of the 7 & 8 G. 4, c. 29, s. 30, notice in writing, and of the cause whereof, must be given to the defendant one calendar month at least before the commencement of the action; and the officer, &c., may tender amends, &c. (*k*).

Against Constable, &c., under 7 & 8 G. 4, c. 29.

Also, when an action is intended to be brought against a

Demand of Warrant.

(*r*) As to the form of such notice, see 3 Burn's J., 28th ed. 548, and cases there collected: Chit. Forms, 531.

(*s*) As to the indorsements, &c., see 3 Burn's J., 28th ed. 548.

(*t*) 24 G. 2, c. 44, s. 3.

(*u*) Id. 55.

(*x*) *Wedge v. Herkeley*, 1 Nev. & P. 665.

(*y*) *James v. Saunders*, 10 Bing. 429; 4 Cox & Scott, 316, S. C.: *Morgan v. Silver*, 2 B. & C. 729: *Liver v. Reid*, 10 M. & W. 35.

(*z*) *Wright v. Horton*, Holt, 458.

(*a*) *Lamont v. Southall*, 7 Dowl. 469.

(*b*) B. N. P. 24.

(*c*) 24 G. 2, c. 44, s. 1: *Castle v. Burditt*, T. R. 623. See the form of the notice, Chit. Forms, 531.

(*d*) See *Clements v. Keen*, 2 Smith,

220: *Irving v. Wilson*, 4 T. R. 485: *Greenway v. Harl*, Id. 553: *Wallace v. Smith*, 5 East, 122: *Williams v. Burgess*, 3 Taunt. 127.

(*e*) See *Daniel v. Wilson*, 5 T. R. 1: *Rex v. Brady*, 1 B. & P. 187: *Norton v. Miller*, 2 Chit. Rep. 140: *Rosc.* 461.

(*f*) See note (*r*), *supra*.

(*g*) It will be observed that the statute requires the statement of the plaintiff's place of abode, and not of his business. (See *Johnson v. Lord*, 1 M. & M. 444).

(*h*) 6 G. 4, c. 104, ss. 93, 94: 7 & 8 G. 4, c. 53, s. 114. See note (*r*), *supra*. See the form of the notice, Chit. Forms, 532.

(*i*) *Johnson v. Lord*, 1 M. & M. 444.

(*k*) 7 & 8 G. 4, c. 29, s. 75: 7 & 8 G. 4, c. 30, s. 41.

constable or other officer (*l*), or any person acting in or order or in his aid, for anything done by him in obedience to a warrant under the hand and seal of a justice of peace demanding in writing of the perusal and copy of such warrant signed by the party demanding the same, (or by his attorney) (*n*), must be made, or left at the usual place of a such constable or officer (*o*), by the plaintiff or his solicitor or agent; and if the perusal and copy of the warrant be granted within six days after being thus demanded, (or if the action has been commenced) (*p*), the plaintiff may maintain his action against the constable or other officer alone; and if such perusal and copy be granted, then, if the plaintiff sue the constable, &c., without making the justice also a defendant, upon proof of the warrant at the trial, the jury shall return a verdict for the defendant, notwithstanding any defect of jurisdiction in the justice who made the warrant. If the action be brought jointly against the justice and such constable, &c., then, upon proof of the warrant, the jury shall find a verdict for such constable; but if they find a verdict also against the justice, he shall pay to the plaintiff his costs in the action, as also such costs as the plaintiff have been obliged to pay to the other defendant (*q*). It may be as well to mention, that this relates to actions of trespass and on the case only (*r*), and not to *assumpsit* (*s*), reply or the like.

Declaration.
venue.

Declaration.] The *venue* must be laid in the county in which the facts complained of were committed, in all cases of trespass or on the case, against justices of peace, magistrates, bailiffs of cities or towns corporate, headboroughs, porters, constables, tithing-men, churchwardens, &c., or other persons acting in their aid or by their command (*u*), and in all cases against officers of the customs (*x*) or excise (*y*), or other persons acting in their aid, for anything done in execution of their respective offices. And the same in actions against persons holding a public employment, civil or military, out of this kingdom, having thereby authority to carry out safe custody; or if the fact be committed out of the kingdom, the plaintiff may lay the matter as having been done at any place in the kingdom, or in the county in which the defendant shall reside (*z*). The declaration is in form the same as in ordinary actions.

and other

Plea and other Proceedings, &c.] By several statutes

(*l*) See the statutes and cases in 1 Burn's J., 28th ed. 829; *Harper v. Carr*, 7 T. R. 270; Bul. N. P. 24; *Entick v. Carrington*, 2 Wils. 275. It extends to gaolers, 1 Gow, Rep. 97.

(*m*) See 1 Burn's J., 28th ed. 830; *Sturch v. Clarke*, 4 B. & Ad. 113. *Price v. Messenger*, 2 B. & P. 158; 3 Esp. 96; S. C.: *Postellacite v. Gilman*, 3 Esp. 226; *Mearns v. Lerch*, 3 Burr. 1742; *Milton v. Green*, 5 East. 233; *Couper v. Henley*, 2 Esp. 542, n.; *Adam*, 1 Str. 446. *Bell v. Gabley*, 2 M. & Sel. 259; *Theobald v. Crickmore*, 1 B. & Ald. 227; *Parton v. Williams*, 3 Id. 330.

(*n*) 1 Burn's J., 28th ed. 843; *Jury v. Orchard*, 2 B. & P. 42. See the form,

Chit. Forms. 532.

(*o*) See *Clarke v. Darcy*, 4 M. 1 Burn's J. 28th ed. 842.

(*p*) *Jones v. Vaughan*, 5 East.

(*q*) 24 G. 2, c. 44, s. 6.

(*r*) *Leons v. Golding*, 3 C. & P.

(*s*) Bul. N. P. 24.

(*t*) *Fletcher v. Wilkins*, 6 F. Waterhouse v. Keene, 4 B. & C. & R. 257, S. C.

(*u*) 21 J. 1, c. 12, s. 5; 7 & 8 C. s. 75; c. 30, s. 41. See *Holton v. cited per cur.*, 5 Bing. 380.

(*v*) 6 G. 4, c. 108, s. 97.

(*w*) 7 & 8 G. 4, c. 53, s. 115.

(*z*) 42 G. 3, c. 85, s. 6; and s. 108, s. 97.

against justices of peace, constables, &c., officers of
and customs, &c., and all other persons holding public
yments, and having authority to commit to safe custody,
ve mentioned, for anything done by them in execution
ir respective offices, the defendants are not bound to
ny matter of justification, &c., specially, but may give
vidence under the *general issue* (b). The words "by
" should be inserted in the margin of the plea, other-
; will have only the same effect as in ordinary cases (c).
s a person is not an officer within the meaning of these
ents, though he may have supposed he was so, he is
thin the protection given by them (d).

CHAP. XI.
Proceedings,
&c.

ices of peace (e), and officers of the customs and ex-
, and constables and other officers and persons acting
the 7 & 8 G. 4, c. 29, or 7 & 8 G. 4, c. 30 (g), may
amends before action brought, and plead such tender,
er with the general issue or other plea, with the leave
court; or, if they have neglected to tender amends, or
nder be insufficient, they may pay money into court
after issue joined and notice of trial given) (h); and
proceedings are thereupon to be had as in ordinary
i).

Tender of
Amends and
Payment into
Court.

plaintiff is bound, by the statutes above mentioned, to
at the trial the service of the notice, otherwise the de-
nt shall be entitled to a verdict; and he is restricted in
oof by this notice, in the same manner as he is by a bill
ticulars (k).

Proof of No-
tice.

to damages in actions against justices of peace (l), and
tions against officers of the excise or customs, see *ante*,
l. 326.

Damages.

ts.] If the plaintiff obtain a verdict, still, in actions
st officers of the customs or excise, he shall not be
ed to costs, if the judge certify that there was probable
for the seizure, &c. (m). And by the 43 G. 3, c. 141, s. 1,
l actions against any justice of the peace, on account of
conviction made by him under any act of parliament,
r any act done by him for levying any penalty, or
shending any party, or for carrying such conviction into
, in case such conviction shall have been quashed, the
tiff, besides the value and amount of the penalty levied
him, (if any), shall not recover any greater damages
twopence, nor any costs, unless it be expressly alleged
e declaration in the action, (which action shall be on the
only), that the acts complained of were done maliciously

Costs.

For the Plain-
tiff.

11 J. 1, c. 12, s. 5: 7 & 8 G. 4, c. 29,
c. 30, s. 41: 42 G. 3, c. 85, s. 6: 6
c. 108, s. 97: 7 & 8 G. 4, c. 53, s.
See 1 Burn's J., 28th ed. 844; 3 Id.

R. T. 1878.

Opdon v. Powell, 8 Moore, 400; 1
39, S. C.: *Jones v. Williams*, 3 B.

782: 5 D. & R. 654, S. C.
21 G. 2, c. 44, ss. 2, 4: 7 & 8 G. 4, c.
75: 7 & 8 G. 4, c. 30, s. 41. See 3

11 J., 28th ed. 593.
6 G. 4, c. 108, ss. 95, 96: 7 & 8 G. 4,
s. 116, 117.

(g) 7 & 8 G. 4, c. 29, s. 75: 7 & 8 G. 4,
c. 30, s. 41.

(h) *Nestor v. Newcomb*, 3 B. & C. 159;
and see *Deraynes v. Boys*, 5 Taunt. 33; 2
Marsh. 326, S. C.

(i) See *Cudworth v. Ball*, 2 W. Bl. 859;
Stringer v. Martyr, 6 Esp. 134; *Collins v.*
Morgan, 1 H. Bl. 244.

(k) See *Stringer v. Martyr*, 6 Esp. 134.

(l) See *Masey v. Johnson*, 12 East, 67.

(m) 2 G. 4, c. 108, s. 92: 7 & 8 G. 4, c.
29, s. 75; c. 30, s. 41: 7 & 8 G. 4, c. 53, s.
119. See *Laugher v. Bampf*, 5 B. & Ald.
762; 1 D. & R. 417, S. C.

and without reasonable or probable cause. And by secti the plaintiff is not to recover any penalty or damages or whatsoever, in case the justice shall prove at the trial the plaintiff was guilty of the offence for which he was victed, and that he has undergone no greater punishment was assigned by law for such offence (*n*). This statute protects the magistrate where there has been a conviction quashed. But an informal one is enough, as where the rant of commitment falsely recited an information by which was, in fact, laid by T. O. (*o*). But if, in an action against justices, constables, &c., the judge certify the injury was wilfully and maliciously committed, it seems plaintiff is entitled to double costs (*p*).

For the Defendant.

The defendant, if he have a verdict, or if the plaintiff nonsuit or discontinue the action, is entitled to double in actions against justices, constables, &c. (*q*); to treble in actions against officers of customs or excise (*r*); to double costs in actions against other persons holding employment, civil or military, in or out of the kingdom having power to commit to safe custody (*s*). Where an action against magistrates for an act done in the performance of their duty as such, the plaintiff obtained a rule of court to remove the action to a county different from that in which it was brought, he undertaking by the rule to pay the defendants' costs of the removal, the defendants obtained a verdict, it was held that the defendants' costs of the removal were not to be doubled under the 7 Jac. 1, c. 5, and 21 c. 12 (*t*). In order to entitle an officer to double or treble costs under these statutes, he must obtain a certificate from the judge, at or after the trial, that the action was brought against him as such officer, for something done by him in the execution of his duty (*u*). And it has been lately held, that a certificate that the defendant was churchwarden, and acting in virtue of his office, to entitle him to double costs under the statute 7 Jac. 1, c. 5, need not be granted immediately after the trial of the cause; and where the plaintiff was non-suited it was considered that the judge before whom the cause was tried, might, after an interval of four years, upon an application, grant a certificate to entitle him to double costs (*x*).

(*n*) 43 G. 3, c. 141: see 7 & 8 G. 4, c. 29, s. 75: see 7 & 8 G. 4, c. 30, s. 41: *ante*, Vol. I. 326. See *Rogers v. Jones*, 3 B. & C. 409; 5 D. & R. 268, S. C.: *Gray v. Cunkson*, 16 East, 13: and *Burley v. Boshune*, 5 Taunt. 84.

(*o*) *Massey v. Johnson*, 12 East, 67.

(*p*) 24 G. 2, c. 44, s. 7.

(*q*) 7 J. 1, c. 5: 21 J. 1, c. 12: and see 7 & 8 G. 4, c. 29, s. 75: 7 & 8 G. 4, c. 30, s. 41: *Blanchard v. Bramble*, 3 M. & Sel. 131: *Mackey v. Goodlen*, 1 Dowl. 463. See 1 Burn's J., 28th ed. 845: 3 Id. 595.

(*r*) 6 G. 4, c. 108, s. 97: 7 & 8 G. 4, c.

53, s. 115.

(*s*) 42 G. 3, c. 85, s. 6.

(*t*) *Thomas v. Saunders*, 1 A. 552.

(*u*) *Penney v. Slade*, 7 Dowl. 4; *pur v. Carr*, 7 T. R. 448: *Grindall v. Louay*, 1 Doug. 317, 318, n.: *De Mertins*, 2 Str. 974: *Johnson v. B. & C.* 621; 4 D. & R. 156, 8; see *Atkins v. Bamwell*, 3 East, 98; *Ord*, 3 Dowl. P. C. 799; 2 C., M. & S. C.

(*x*) *Norman v. Danger*, 3 Y. &

CHAPTER XII.

ACTIONS AGAINST CLERGYMEN.

CHAP. XII.

CLERGYMEN are, as has been already noticed, privileged arrest while performing divine service, and while going to or from that purpose, and returning thence (*a*). The only peculiarity in the mode of proceeding against clergymen is execution, and which is as follows:—

Arrest of.

1. The sheriff, to a common *fieri facias*, returns *nulla* and that the defendant is a beneficed clerk, not having a freehold fee (*b*), the plaintiff may sue out a *fieri facias de bonis ecclesiasticis*, directed to the bishop of the diocese, or to the bishop, (during the vacancy of the bishop's see), commanding him to make of the ecclesiastical goods and chattels belonging to the defendant, within his diocese, the sum therein demanded (*c*). It is tested and returnable, and must be sealed and served, in the same manner as a common *fieri facias* (*d*). A writ is issued to the register of the diocese, who will thereupon issue a sequestration (*e*), (which is in the nature of a writ), directed to the churchwardens, requiring them to satisfy the debt of the tithes and other profits of the defendant's benefice. This sequestration must be published, by reading it in the parish church during divine service; and afterwards at the church door, and fixing a copy thereon, provided that be the usual mode of publication in the diocese where the said benefice is situated (*f*); and, as the writ does not operate and has priority only from the time of this writ being issued (*g*), it should be done without delay. It has been recently held, that a sequestration obtained by the assignees of an insolvent incumbent, operates only from the date of publication, and does not entitle the assignees to a writ of composition for tithes due before publication (*h*). As to the property as against the defendant is, it seems, bound from the time when the sequestrator is appointed, and the writ is only necessary in order to give security against the churchwardens' rights (*i*). Instead of directing this sequestration to the churchwardens, the plaintiff, upon giving security to the sheriff, may have it directed to persons of his nomination (*k*).

Fieri Facias de Bonis Ecclesiasticis.

If the entire debt be not levied in one diocese, the plaintiff, on the return of the writ, may have a *testatum fi. fa. de bonis*

Testatum. alias, &c.

d. 3, c. 5: 1 R. 2, c. 16: see *Harris*, 7 Bing. 320; 5 Moo. & C. 2: and see 9 G. 4, c. 31, s. 23.

Richard v. Paction, 1 Sid. 276: And see the form of this return, Forms, 175.

Bac. Abr., Execution, G. 6: *Asbury*, 2 Mod. 258. And see the writ, Chit. Forms, 533.

Vol. I. 419, 435, &c.

James, Tidd's Forms, 380.

Wett v. Apperley, 6 B. & C.

(g) *Wait v. Bishop*, 3 Dowl. P. C. 234; 1 C., M. & R. 507, S. C.: 1 Cromp. 359: Tidd, 9th ed. 1024.

(h) *Waite v. Bishop*, 1 C., M. & R. 507; 3 Dowl. P. C. 234, S. C. Lodging the writ with the registrar of the bishop of the diocese does not bind the property of the incumbent from the time of such lodging. (Id.: see post).

(i) *Per Bayley, J., Bennett v. Apperley*, 6 B. & C. 630.

(k) 3 Burn, Eccl. Law, 317: Tidd, 9th ed. 1023.

BOOK III.
PART II.Sequestrari
Facias.

ecclesiasticis into another diocese, for the residue (*l*); or he may have an *alias* into the same diocese.

Or, instead of a *fieri facias de bonis ecclesiasticis*, the plaintiff may sue out a writ of *sequestrari facias*, directed, tested, and returnable, &c., as the *fieri facias*, commanding the bishop to enter into the rectory and parish church, and to take and sequester the same, and hold them, until of the rents, tithes, and profits thereof, and of the other ecclesiastical goods of the defendant, he have levied the plaintiff's debt (*m*). It is necessary, even for the purpose of proving the issuing of the writ, that there should be an award of it on the roll (*n*). It is in the nature of a *levari facias*; the writ above mentioned is in the nature of a *fieri facias*. The sequestration issued in *sequestrari facias*, is a charge upon all the rents and profits, including the glebe-lands of the benefice, except the parsonage house in which the incumbent is bound to reside, so as to disqualify him under the 18 G. 2, c. 20 (*n*).

After Out-
lawry.

If, to a special *capias utlagatum*, the sheriff returns an inquisition, finding that the defendant had benefices but no *benefice*, the court will award a writ of sequestration on reading the transcript of the outlawry and inquisition (*o*). But not unless the benefice be specified in the return (*p*).

Execution
and Return
of.

Either of these writs is a continuing execution, that is continuing until all that has been commanded to be levied is levied; and if the sequestration issue before the writ is returnable, it is sufficient though it be not published till afterwards (*q*). And the plaintiff is entitled to the growing profits from time to time, though long after it is returnable, until he is satisfied the sum indorsed on the writ (*r*). If, however, it be actually returned, the bishop's authority is determined (*r*). A sequestration, as above observed, is in the nature of a *levari facias* at common law, and the party sequestering has neither *jus in rem vel in re*; the legal estate of the premises remaining in every respect as before (*s*). It seems, that a return merely setting out the debtor and creditor account of the sequestrator is insufficient, but that it should be verified (*t*).

The defendant has no right to have the writ returned, though he may have a return of the amount of the profits received by the sequestrator (*u*).

Rule to Re-
turn, &c.

The bishop, with reference to these writs, stands in the same situation precisely as the sheriff with reference to writs in ordinary cases, and may be ruled, and is bound to obey the orders of the court, as to their execution, &c., in the same manner as the sheriff (*r*).

Setting aside
Sequestration.

On an application to set aside the sequestration of a benefice issued by the bishop, it is perhaps requisite that the bishop be made a party to the rule (*x*).

(*l*) See the form, Chit. Forms, 534.

(*m*) See the form, Chit. Forms, 534: and see *Marsh v. Faucett*, 2 H. Bl. 582.

(*n*) *Pack v. Turley*, 1 Per. & D. 474.

(*o*) *Rex v. Hind*, 1 Dowl. 286; 1 C. & J. 229; 1 Tyr. 3-7, S. C.; and see *Re: v. Armstrong*, 3 Dowl. 764.

(*p*) *R. v. Powell*, 1 M. & W. 3-1.

(*q*) *Bennett v. Apperley*, 6 B. & C. 630; see *Colebrook v. Layton*, 1 Nev. & M. 334; *Cottle v. Warrington*, 5 B. & Ad. 447.

(*r*) *Marsh v. Faucett*, 2 H. Bl. 582; see *Phillips v. Berkely*, 5 Dowl. 272.

(*s*) 1 P. Wms 307.

(*t*) *Elchin v. Hopkins*, 7 Dowl. 146.

(*u*) *Hart v. Fellans*, 1 Dowl. 484.

(*v*) See *Rex v. Bishop of London*, 1 D. & R. 486; *Bennett v. Apperley*, 6 B. & C. 630; 9 D. & R. 673, S. C.; see *Phillips v. Berkely*, 5 Dowl. 279.

(*x*) *Bishop v. Hatch*, 1 Ad. & El. 171.

The 55th section of the 1 & 2 V. c. 110, enacts, that the assignees of a clergyman shall not be entitled, as such, to the profits of his benefice or curacy for the purposes of that act, but provides that they may apply for and obtain a sequestration of the profits of his benefice for payment of his debts, and that the order for their appointment in pursuance of the act shall be a sufficient warrant for granting such sequestration without any other proceedings, and that the sequestration shall be issued, as it might have been issued upon any writ of *habeas corpus* on a judgment against the prisoner. Under the corresponding section in the former act, the title of the assignees to a sequestration commenced with the *order of adjudication*, and not with the *order of appointment*, as will be the case under the present act. Under the former act it was held (y), that a creditor who had commenced his action after the imprisonment of the insolvent and obtained judgment, and procured sequestration before adjudication, was entitled to priority over the assignees, who were considered to stand merely as judgment-creditors from the time of adjudication. And this, substituting appointment for adjudication, is still the case, so that a creditor who takes care to procure sequestration before the assignees will secure the payment of his debt, so far as the profits of the benefice extend, to the prejudice of the other creditors.

(y) *Bishop v. Hatch*, 1 Ad. & El. 171: see *Waite v. Bishop*, 1 C., M. & R. 507. 1

CHAPTER XIII.

Book III.
PART II.

ACTIONS BY PAUPERS.

Who admitted
to sue in
Formâ Pau-
peris, and in
what Cases.

Who admitted to sue in Formâ Pauperis, and in what Cases. EVERY poor person, who may have cause of action, have writs according to the nature of his case, without fee for the sealing or writing the same; and the justices assign him counsel and attornies, who, together with officers of the court, shall act *gratis* (a). The party applicant must swear that he is not worth 5*l.*, excepting his wife and apparel, and the matter in question in the cause (b). It is discretionary with the court or chief justice to grant indulgence of suing thus *in formâ pauperis*. They will not grant it in any vexatious action. And it will not be granted in a second ejectment, where the costs of a prior ejectment for the same cause are unpaid (c).

It is confined to plaintiffs, and cannot be granted to a defendant in a civil action in a court of law (d). A pauper may be admitted to sue *in formâ pauperis* by *prochein amicus*, and the application for this purpose may form the subject of a motion (e).

When ad-
mitted.

When admitted.] It has been the practice to grant leave for admission to sue *in formâ pauperis* either at the commencement of the suit, or at any subsequent period of time. But the Court of Exchequer, in a recent case, held that an order, made after the commencement of the suit, was irregular; and that the plaintiff should either submit to be dispaupered, or find security for costs (g). The point, however, can hardly be considered as finally settled.

How admit-
ted.

How admitted.] The party may be admitted, either by motion in court (h), or (which is the mode usually adopted) upon petition to the chief justice or chief baron of the court. *Write an affidavit to the effect above mentioned, on plain paper, and have it sworn by the pauper, before a judge or common law justice.* *Write out a petition also on plain paper, and sign it the pauper, stating the cause of action, and praying to be admitted to sue in formâ pauperis, and that counsel and attorney (or attorneys) may be assigned to him (i); and at the foot of the petition counsel to subscribe his opinion shortly, that the plaintiff has a good cause of action (i).* Annex the affidavit to the petition.

(a) 11 H. 7, c. 12: and see 23 H. 8, c. 15, s. 2.

(b) R. H., 3 & 4 J. 2, r. 1, a: Lil. Pr. Reg. 633: Tidd, 9th ed. 98.

(c) *Goodtitle v. Mayo*, Tidd, 9th ed. 98: see *Weston v. Withers*, 2 T. R. 511.

(d) *Anon.*, Barnes, 328: 16 Vin. Abr. 259, pl. 4. The Court of Exchequer may, upon an information on the excise laws, allow a party to defend as pauper. (See *Attorney-General v. Dummia*, 2 C. & M. 393: 4 Tyr. 284, S. C.: *R. v. Wright*, Hard. 211, 253). So on an indictment he

may be allowed to defend as pauper. (See *R. v. Page*, 1 Dowl. 507: *kins*, Id. 536).

(e) *Bryant v. Wagner*, 7 Dowl.

(f) See *Blood v. Lee*, 3 Wilmot, C. J.: *Jones v. Peers*, 1 Y. 582: *Morgan v. Eastwood*, 543.

(g) *Lovevick v. Curtis*, 5 M. & W. 203, S. C. see *Foss v. Racine*, 4 M. & W. 203, S. C.

(h) See R. H., 3 & 4 J. 2, r. 1.

(i) See the form, Chit. Form

the chief justice's chambers, and his clerk will there-
at the order(k); if moved for in court, annex the
opinion to the brief: and, afterwards, draw up the
of the masters. The rule need not be drawn up on
el's certificate, as that instrument is only for the
of the court(l). Take this rule or order to the
s through which you pass the proceedings, in order
demand for fees; and annex a copy of it to the
or to the next proceedings after obtaining the or-
dination), before you deliver or file it. There is a
exchequer(m) that no person shall be admitted
peris, unless the attorney to be assigned, or his
a baron with a petition for his admission, and
sel shall be assigned unless such counsel only
tified the cause of such action and petition. In
on an application for leave to sue *in formâ pau-*
t obtaining the certificate of a barrister, it was
e action intended to be brought was a second
he counsel who signed upon the former occasion
wn, and that the applicant apprehended counsel
willing to sign his certificate: the court refused
n, thinking it prematurely made(n).

[*Admission.*] The order for admission extends only Effect of Ad-
ular cause in which it is granted(o); and, if mission.
lente lite, it has, in general, no retrospective

sion to sue *in formâ pauperis*, the plaintiff shall No Fees, &c.
to carry on all the proceedings without paying payable by
icers of the court, or to his counsel or attorney. Pauper.
erwards have judgment in the action for more
e counsel, attorney, and officers are, it seems,
eir fees, at least to such fees as shall be allowed
er in taxing the costs(q); for, although they
several duties for the pauper gratuitously, his
ould not be allowed to derive any advantage or
that circumstance.

s entitled to costs in all cases in which other Costs as
entitled to them; but in no case (except where against De-
roceed to trial pursuant to notice, or an under- fendant.
oticed *infra*) is he obliged to pay costs to the

And a pauper is entitled to his costs from the
nt of the action, although admitted to sue in
r in the progress of it; and, therefore, the defend-
ay proceedings on payment of the debt only(s).
t is not even entitled to have costs of issues, on

1, Chit. Forms, 537.
igner, 7 Dowl. 676.

1.
Hazard, 1 Jurist, 355.
633: and see Gibson v.
Hl.

re, 1 M'Clel. & Y. 282;
laches of the defendant
rospective effect. (See
ila. 24).

Harris, 7 C. & P. 257, it
Blams, J., that if the

pauper obtain a verdict for more than 5l.
the officers should be paid their court fees,
and for passing the record, &c.; but
Parke, B., in *Gougenheim v. Lane*, (4
Dowl. 482), expressed a doubt whether
they were so entitled, though 5l. were re-
covered.

(r) See 23 H. 8, c. 15, s. 2: *Rice v.*
Brown, 1 B. & P. 30: *Blood v. Lee*, 3
Wils. 24.

(s) *Morgan v. Eastwick*, 7 Dowl. 543.

BOOK III.
PART II.Costs between
Attorney and
Client.

which he or his co-defendant succeeds, set off or deducted from the plaintiff's costs(*t*).

It may be added, that if a pauper be admitted to defend a suit in Chancery in *forma pauperis*, his solicitor can only recover of him money actually paid out of pocket for the defence of the suit(*u*).

Proceedings
in the Cause.

Proceedings in the Cause.] The proceedings in the cause are the same as in ordinary cases.

In what Cases
dispaupered
or compelled
to pay Costs.

In what Cases dispaupered or compelled to pay Costs.] Though an order has been made for admitting a party to sue in *forma pauperis*, yet, if it appear that the plaintiff has no meritorious cause of action, or that he has acted vexatiously or improperly in the conduct of the suit, the court will discharge the order, though a judge's order for that purpose must be made a rule of court before the court will entertain a motion to discharge it(*x*). Also, by 23 H. 8, c. 15, s. 2, the pauper shall not pay costs, but shall suffer such other punishment as the court shall deem reasonable. The only punishment, however, which the court ever inflict, and this only in cases where the pauper has been guilty of very gross laches or other misbehaviour(*y*), is to dispauper him; and, when thus dispaupered, he is not liable for costs previously incurred(*z*). In a case where a pauper gave notice of trial, and on the second day of the assizes withdrew his record, on the ground of its requiring amendment, the court dispaupered him(*a*). A plaintiff cannot be dispaupered after judgment as in case of a nonsuit, because the action is then at an end(*b*).

Also, by the R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 10, "where a pauper omits to proceed to trial, pursuant to notice or an undertaking, he may be called upon by a rule to shew cause why he should not pay costs, though he has not been dispaupered." And where the pauper withdrew his record because he was not prepared with a necessary document at the assizes, the court compelled him to pay the costs of the day(*c*). And the court have stayed proceedings in a second action by a pauper, until the costs of a nonsuit in a former action for the same cause were paid(*d*) though there are instances in which they have refused even this(*e*).

(*t*) *Gougenheim v. Lane*, 4 Dowl. 482, and the cases in the note: *Foss v. Racine*, 4 M. & W. 610; 7 Dowl. 203, S. C.

(*u*) *Phillips v. Baker*, 1 C. & P. 533.

(*x*) *Hawes v. Johnson*, 1 Y. & J. 10.

(*y*) See *Winter v. Sloan*, 2 Str. 878, 983; *De Le pinguell v. Trussell*, 6 East, 505; and see *Anon.*, 2 Salk. 507; *Ancell v. Sloman*, 8 Mod. 344. It has been said that if a pauper be nonsuit, he shall pay costs or be whipped, but this punishment does not appear to have been ever inflicted. (Tidd, 9th ed. 98; *Munford v.*

Paiz, 1 Sid. 261; *Anon.*, 2 Salk. 508; *Anon.*, 7 Mod. 114).

(*z*) *Sloman v. Aynel*, Fortesc. 320; *Munford v. Paiz*, 1 Sid. 261.

(*a*) *Facer v. French*, 5 Dowl. 554.

(*b*) *Jenkins v. Hyde*, 6 M. & Sel. 221.

(*c*) *Doe Lindsay v. Edwards*, 2 Dowl. 471; and see *Facer v. French*, 5 Id. 554.

(*d*) *Weston v. Withers*, 2 T. R. 511; see *Goodtitle v. Mayo*, Tidd, 98.

(*e*) *Brittain v. Greenville*, 2 Str. 113; *Winter v. Sloan*, Id. 878; and see *Butler v. Inneys*, Id. 891; *Blond v. Lee*, 3 Wils. 24.

CHAPTER XIV.

LAW AGAINST TRADERS SUBJECT TO THE BANKRUPT LAWS.

the abolition of arrest on mesne process by the 1 & 2 V. committal of an act of bankruptcy by lying in prison for twenty-one days can seldom occur and apparently substitute for that mode of making a debtor bankrupt the act enacts, "that if any single creditor (f) or two or more creditors being partners, whose debt shall be 100*l.* or upwards, or any two creditors whose debts amount to 150*l.* or upwards, or any three or more creditors whose debts shall amount to 200*l.* or upwards, of any trader file an affidavit or affidavits in her majesty's courts of bankruptcy that such debt or debts is or are justly due to them respectively, and that such debtor, as he or they believe, is such trader as aforesaid, and shall cause him to be served personally with a copy of such affidavit or affidavits with a notice in writing requiring immediate payment of such debt or debts; and if such trader shall not, within twenty-one days after personal service of such affidavits and notice, pay such debt or debts, or secure or satisfy for the same to the satisfaction of such creditor or creditors or enter into a bond, in such sum and with such two sureties as a commissioner of the Court of Bankruptcy shall direct, or be obliged to pay such sum or sums as shall be recovered in any judgment or actions which shall have been brought or shall be brought for the recovery of the same, together with the costs as shall be given in the same, or to render himself to the custody of the gaoler of the court in which such action shall have been or may be brought according to the order of such court, or within such time and in such manner as such court or any judge thereof shall direct, after judgment shall have been recovered in such action, every such trader shall be deemed to have committed an act of bankruptcy on the twenty-second day after service of such affidavit or affidavits and notice, provided a fiat in bankruptcy shall issue against such trader within two calendar months from the filing of such affidavit or affidavits, but not otherwise."

An affidavit under this act must be made by the creditor, and an affidavit of any one on his behalf would not suffice. It may be made by a public officer of a joint-stock banking company. It need not be intitled in any court. It may be made before a master extraordinary in Chancery, and filed in the clerk's office of the Court of Bankruptcy (g).

It has been held that a defendant who has entered into the recognizance required by the above section is in the same situation as a defendant who is at large on bail, and may render even before the trial according to the practice in case of a defendant on

CHAP. XIV.

Trader, how compelled to pay, or secure debt, or become bankrupt.

Form of Affidavit.

Render by Defendant in Discharge of Bail.

(f) form of affidavit, Chit. 100.
(g) form of notice, Id.
Harte Hall, 3 Deac. Rep. 405.

(h) *Owston v. Coates*, Q. B. E., 1839; 3 Jurist, 433.

BOOK IV.

PART I.

PROCEEDINGS INCIDENTAL AND COLLATERAL TO THE ACTION.

CHAPTER I.

ENTRY OF PROCESS ON ROLL TO SAVE THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS.

BOOK IV. PART I.

Statute 2 W.
4, c. 32, s. 10,
and Decisions
as to.

THE 2 W. 4, c. 39, s. 10, enacts, "that every writ of summons and *capias*(a) may be continued by *alias* and *pluries*, as the case may require, if any defendant therein named may not have been arrested thereon, or served therewith; provided that no *first* writ shall be available to prevent the operation of any statute, whereby the time for the commencement of the action may be limited, unless the defendant shall be arrested thereon or served therewith, or proceedings to or towards outlawry shall be had thereupon, or unless such writ, and every writ (if any) issued in continuation of a preceding writ, shall be returned *non est inventus*, and *entered of record* within one calendar month next after the expiration thereof, including the day of such expiration; and unless every writ issued in continuation of a preceding writ shall be issued within one such calendar month after the expiration of the preceding writ, and shall contain a memorandum indorsed thereon, or subscribed thereto, specifying the day of the date of the first writ; such (b) return to be made, in bailable process, by the sheriff or other officer to whom the writ shall be directed, or his successor in office; and in process not bailable, by the plaintiff or his attorney suing out the same, as the case may be." This enactment materially alters the former practice, under which it was not necessary to enter any continuances of the second or subsequent writs until the plaintiff replied to the plea of the Statute of Limitations(c). And if the plaintiff

(a) Since 1st October, 1838, all personal actions in the superior courts of law must be commenced by writ of summons. (1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 2).

(b) In the printed copy of the act, instead of the word "such," the conjunc-

tion "and" is erroneously inserted.

(c) See *Harris v. Woolford*, 6 T. R. 617; *Doe Mears v. Dolman*, 7 Id. 618; *Gregory v. Hurrill*, 1 Bing. 324; 8 Moore, 128, S. C.; *Beardmore v. Rottenbury*, 5 B & Ald. 452; 1 D. & R. 27, S. C.

within a year after suing out the first process (pro- had not been *nonprossed* before that time) continue not necessary, and even the first process need not be returned or filed; though otherwise it must have been. The enactment is confined in its operation to cases where it is intended to save the Statute of Limitations: for example, where a *capias* was issued against two defendants, one of whom was arrested and put in bail before the expiration of the *four* months which the writ had to run, the other defendant could not be arrested during that time, the proceedings were holden to be regular, although the writ had not been returned, nor any continuance granted, and the second writ was not issued within one calendar month after the first had expired(e). Where a writ was tested in time to save the Statute of Limitations issued in consequence of an alteration in the description of the defendant and the county in which he resided, and was re-sealed until after the *six* years had expired, the court held that the re-sealing did not amount to a re-issuing of the writ, so that it was not necessary for the plaintiff to show that the re-sealing took place(f). But a re-sealed writ must be dated of the day on which it is re-sealed(g). The court will not allow process to be served at the house of the defendant, or a defendant out of the jurisdiction, in order to save the Statute of Limitations; but the plaintiff must proceed according to the above provision; namely, either to outlaw the defendant, or else to get the writ returned *non est inventus*, and re-issue of record within one calendar, &c.(h). It seems that, where a writ of summons has been allowed to expire, yet the plaintiff may continue it by *alias* and *pluries*, &c., by the court or a judge(i). And a *pluries* summons may be taken out pending a writ of *distringas* not acted upon.

You may proceed by writ of summons, (which, since the act abolishing arrest on mesne process (1 & 2 V. c. 110) is the only process by which a personal action can be commenced in the superior courts, except in proceeding against insolvents (see the 85th section of that act)(k), *sue out the writ against the defendant as in ordinary cases*(l), *within the time limited by law for bringing the action*. If the defendant has not been served with the writ within four calendar months from its date inclusive, there is no absolute necessity for any attempt to serve him(m)), *but you must, within a calendar month after the expiration of the writ, inclusive of the day of such expiration, return on the writ "non est inventus"* (o); and, *within the same time, get*

Practical Directions as to the Writ of Summons.

Day v. Lee, 2 T. R. 112; *Penny v. Day*, 3 Id. 123; *Parsons v. King*, 7 B. & P. 157. mode of saving the statute in *see ante*, 732; *Farrelain v. Farrelain*, 5 Burr. 2004. *Johnson v. Roze & Leman*, 2 C. & Dowl. 296; 4 Tyr. R. 308, S. C. *Althwaite v. Lord Montford*, 2 C. & Dowl. 276, S. C. *Althwaite v. Warren*, 7 Dowl. 663. *Althwaite v. Lord Donagall*, 2 Dowl. 527. *Althwaite v. Winter*, 7 Dowl. 304; 5

Bing. N. C. 279, S. C.

(j) Id.

(k) See *Turner v. Darnell*, 7 Dowl. 346.

(l) *Ante*, Vol. I. 112.

(m) *Williams v. Roberts*, 3 Dowl. 512; 1 Gale, 56; 1 C., M. & R. 676; 5 Tyr. 421, S. C.: but without it perhaps the costs of the writ would not be allowed to the plaintiff. (Id.)

(n) The writ expires in four calendar months after its date, inclusive of such date.

(o) See form, Chit. Forms, 539.

a roll from the person appointed to deliver out the rolls of the court, or it may be had at any stationer's. Engross the writ on this roll, and also enter the writ and return, with the award of an alias writ of summons (p). In making the engrossment, leave a margin of an inch at least, and a space at bottom to prevent the writing being rubbed out, writing upon both sides, if necessary. Make out a docket-paper (p). Take the writ, roll, and docket-paper to one of the masters, and docket the entry, and he will mark the writ. Then, carry in the roll to the treasury of the court, and file the writ with the master. After this, and within one calendar month after the expiration of the first writ of summons, inclusive of the day of such expiration, sue out an alias writ of summons against the defendant, as in ordinary cases (q). Indorse on or subscribe to this alias writ, a memorandum specifying the day of the date of the first writ (r). If the defendant has not been served with this alias writ within four calendar months from its date inclusive, then you must, within a calendar month after the expiration of the alias writ, inclusive of the day of such expiration, return on such writ "non est inventus;" and within the same time, enter on the roll, containing the entry of the first writ, this alias writ and return thereon, together with the award of a pluries. Take the draft of the entry to one of the masters, who will make the entry on the roll; pay him for the entry. File the writ with him. After this, and within one calendar month after the expiration of the alias writ of summons, inclusive of the day of such expiration, sue out a pluries writ of summons against the defendant, as in ordinary cases (s). Indorse on, or subscribe to this pluries writ a memorandum specifying the day of the date of the first writ. If the defendant has not been served with this pluries writ within four calendar months from its date inclusive, then you must, within a calendar month after the expiration of the pluries writ, inclusive of the day of such expiration, return on such writ "non est inventus;" and within the same time, enter on the roll, containing the entry of the first writ, this pluries writ and return thereon, together with the award of a pluries (t). Take the draft of the entry to one of the masters, who will make the entry on the roll; pay him for the entry. File the pluries writ with the master. After this, proceed by other pluries writs of summons, and get them issued, returned, and filed in the same manner, until the defendant has been served therewith, or until you have obtained his appearance under a writ of distringas, or have outlawed him. It would seem, that the writ issued in the continuation of a preceding writ, must not be issued until the preceding writ be returned and filed; for no writ can be continued unless it be first returned and filed, the court, until that time, having no cognisance of the action, so as to enable them to award an alias, &c. (u). Care must be taken that the writ upon which the defendant is ultimately brought before the court, be of the same species with that originally sued out and entered on the roll, as above mentioned, and that the continuances correspond

(q) See form. Chit. Forms, 540.

(q) *Ante*, 117.

(r) See form, Chit. Forms, 540.

(s) *Ante*, 117.

(t) See the form, Chit. Forms, 540.

(u) See *Gregory v. Des Anges*, 5 Dowl.193: *Welden v. Greg*, 1 Tidd, 60; *Farrer's case*, Comb. 346; *Attwood v. Barr*, 7 Mod. 5; and in *Norman v. Winter*, 7 Dowl. 304; 5 Bing. N. C. 279, & C., where the question was raised, but not adjudicated upon.

with both. If the first writ be a summons, all the continued writs issued must, it seems, be also *alias* or *pluries* writs of summons, and not writs of *capias*, and so *vice versâ* (*x*). We have seen (*ante*, Vol. I. 399) that continuances of mesne process, and of writs of execution, differ materially in this respect. A *distringas*, with a view to outlawry, may, however, issue in continuation of writs of summons, *alias*, &c., previously sued out to save the Statute of Limitations (*y*). The court may, it seems, allow an amendment of the *continuances* entered (*z*), but not, it seems, of the writ itself (*a*). The expense of such of the writs as are unnecessarily issued will not be allowed to the plaintiff (*b*).

If you proceed by writ of *capias*, issued before the 1 & 2 V. c. 110, took effect, [1st Oct. 1839], *nearly the same practical observations above made, as to proceeding by writ of summons, will be applicable, except that you take the writs of capias to the sheriff's office, and get him or his successor in office to return "non est inventus" (c)*. It would seem, that if a *capias* issued before the act expires, after its commencement, the proceedings may and should be continued by an *alias capias*. All personal actions commenced since that statute, whether to save the Statute of Limitations, or otherwise, must be by writ of summons (*d*): except, indeed, in case of proceeding against an insolvent, under the 85th section of the 1 & 2 V. c. 110 (*e*).

As to proceeding by *Capias* under 2 W. c. 39.

If a suit be commenced in an *inferior court* in due time, and it be afterwards removed into one of the superior courts by *habeas corpus*, &c., and the plaintiff declare there *de novo*, and the defendant plead the Statute of Limitations, the plaintiff may reply, and shew the *plaint* or commencement of the suit in the inferior court, and that will be sufficient to avoid the statute (*f*).

In inferior Court.

(*x*) *Smith v. Bower*, 3 T. R. 662: and see, as to what writs were formerly considered good *continuances* of the preceding one, *Bodmore v. Rottenbury*, 5 B. & Ad. 448; 1 D. & R. 27, S. C.: *Page v. Newman*, 8 B. & C. 419; 2 M. & R. 528, S. C.: *Hammer v. Woodbourne*, 4 B. & C. 625; 7 D. & R. 25, S. C., and cases there cited: *Finch v. Macerod*, 2 Dowl. 265. In Mr. Tidd's work on the *Uniformity of Process Act*, p. 60, there is a form of entry of a *distringas*, as a mode of continuing a writ of summons. (See *Ray v. Dow*, 5 Dowl. 310).

(*y*) *Ray v. Dow*, 5 Dowl. 310.

(*z*) See *Taylor v. Gregory*, 2 B. & Ad. 357.

(*a*) See *ante*, Vol. I. 120. A writ returnable on a general return day, instead

of a day certain, was formerly held sufficient to save the statute. (*Leadbiter v. Markland*, 2 Bla. Rep. 1131: and see *Karrer v. James*, Willes, 255: *Smith v. Bower*, 3 T. R. 662).

(*b*) *Williams v. Roberts*, 3 Dowl. 512.

(*c*) See forms of entries, &c., of writs of *capias*, Chit. Forms, 542 to 544.

(*d*) 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 2.

(*e*) See *Turner v. Darnell*, 7 Dowl. 346.

(*f*) Tidd, 9th ed. 27, 28. It will be observed that the *Uniformity of Process Act* does not extend to any cause removed into either of the superior courts by writ of *paine*, *certiorari*, *recedari facias loquelum*, *habeas corpus*, or otherwise. (2 W. 4, c. 39, s. 19: and see *Dod v. Grant*, 6 Nev. & M. 70).

CHAPTER II.

OUTLAWRY.

- SECT. 1. *Upon Mesne Process*—926 to 934.
 2. *Upon Final Process*—934, 935.
 3. *Reversal of Outlawry*—935 to 940.

SECT. 1.

Outlawry upon Mesne Process.

What, and in what Cases, 926.
Writ and Process, 927.
Exigi Facias, Writ of Proclamation, &c., 928.
Appearance, &c., 930.
The Judgment of Outlawry, id.

Capias Utlagatum, &c., 931.
Special Capias Utlagatum 932.
Declaration after Outlawry 934.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

What, and in
what Cases.

What, and in what Cases.] OUTLAWRY is a punishment inflicted by law, for a contempt in avoiding the execution of the process of the queen's court: the party outlawed is imprisoned if he can be found; he forfeits to the crown his personal chattels presently, and his real chattels and profits of his lands immediately upon office found; and he is incapacitated from suing in his own right, from serving juries, &c., from appearing in court for any other purpose either to reverse his outlawry (*b*), or to protect himself from a wrongful action or proceeding (*c*), or to obtain his discharge under the Insolvent Debtors' Act (*d*), or as a witness (*e*), in which purpose his competency is not destroyed. But in outlawry in personal actions, the party does not forfeit freehold lands, nor a rent-charge for life, nor arrears which accrue for the rent during his life; nor are copyholds liable to be seized (*f*). Indeed, in civil actions, outlawry is rather of the nature of process to compel the defendant to submit to the jurisdiction of the court: if outlawed upon mesne process, he may, upon putting in and perfecting bail, or entering an appearance, reverse the outlawry as of course; if upon final process, he may reverse the outlawry, upon paying the debt and costs.

(a) See *Rez v. Cooke*, 1 M'Clel. & Y. 196; *Tisdall v. Bennett*, 1 Jones, Rep. Exch. Ir. 492. although his outlawry was at the suit of a different plaintiff.

(b) *Aldridge v. Buller*, 2 M. & W. 412; 5 Dowl. 733, S. C.: in which it was held, that he cannot sue out a *habeas corpus ad satisfaciendum* in order to charge a plaintiff in execution, against whom he has obtained judgment as in case of a nonsuit; (c) *Hall v. Hawkins*, Rolls 1839, per Parke, B., 2 M. & W. 412.

(d) *R. v. Insolvent Court*, 3 Nev. 543.
 (e) See Co. Lit. 6. b.
 (f) Com. Dig. Utlagary, D. 3.

y may be adopted against men above the age of
 ars(*g*), and against woman of any age; in which
 , the defendant is said to be "waived," not out-
 In an action against husband and wife, the hus-
 be outlawed, and the wife waived (*i*).

CHAP. II.
 SECT. 1.

Who may be
 Outlawed.

d Process.] Formerly, the action must have been
 l by *original writ*, otherwise process of outlawry
 lie, either upon mesne or final process(*k*). But by
 t, c. 39, the action must (before the 1 & 2 V. c. 110)
 commenced either by writ of *capias*, under the 2 W.
 writ of *summons* and *distringas* thereon; and sect. 5 of
 acts, "that upon the return of *non est inventus* (*l*),
 defendant against whom such writ of *capias* shall
 issued, and also upon the return of *non est inventus*
bona, as to any defendant against whom such writ
 as, as hereinbefore mentioned, shall have issued,
 such writ of *capias* or *distringas* shall have issued
 ch defendant only, or against such defendant and
 person or persons), it shall be lawful, until other-
 ded for, to proceed to outlaw or waive such defend-
 rit of *exigi facias* and proclamation, and otherwise,
 and the same manner as may now be lawfully done
 return of *non est inventus* to a *pluries* writ of *capias*
lendum, issued after an original writ. Provided al-
 t every such writ of exigent* proclamation, and
 subsequent to the writ of *capias* or *distringas*, shall
 turnable on a day certain in term; and every such first
 igent and proclamation shall bear *teste* on the day of
 of the writ of *capias* or *distringas*, whether such writ
 ed in term or in vacation; and every subsequent writ
 ; and proclamation shall bear *teste* on the day of the
 the next preceding writ; and no such writ of *capias*
gas shall be sufficient for the purpose of outlawry or
 f the same be returned within less than *fifteen days*
 delivery thereof to the sheriff or other officer to
 e same shall be directed." Also, by section 6 of the
 , outlawry or waiver may be obtained on *final pro-*
 n action commenced by *capias* or *summons*. Now,
 since the 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 2, every personal action
 commenced by writ of *summons*, and consequently
 isions as to outlawry on writ of *capias*, apply only
 commenced before the 1st October, 1838, when that
 into force.

Writ and
 Process.

Slc.

personal actions in the superior courts of law must
 commenced by writ of *summons*(*m*), except in the
 insolvents arrested or detained under the 1 & 2 V.
 85, in which it is very improbable that the plaintiff

t. 128. a.

b.

Widd's Supplement, 63, and
 notice there noticed.

v. Bells, 1 Leon. 329: see Ed-
 der, 1 Str. 473: *Gent v. Abbott*,

return must be made pre-
 suing the *exigi facias*. (See

Gregory v. Des Anjos, 5 Dowl. 193; 3
 Bing. N. C. 85). It is no objection that
 the writ of *capias* appears to have been
 returned *non est inventus* before the four
 months expired, it being so done by order
 of a judge, which need not be noticed on
 the writ. (*Lewis v. Davison*, 1 C., M. &
 R. 655, 658; 3 Dowl. 272, 274, S. C.)

(m) 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 2.

should be in a situation to proceed to outlawry (n), and as the arrest under c. 110, s. 3, is merely collateral to the action, proceeding to outlawry, on mesne process is by *distringas*, as follows: viz.—*Prepare and sue out a writ of distringas, as in ordinary cases, and in the manner pointed out in Vol. I. p. 112. You must afterwards obtain an order of the court for a writ of distringas, as directed ante, Vol. I. p. 112. Leave to issue it be granted, issue it accordingly, according to the practice, as directed ante, Vol. I. p. 112. On suing out a distringas, with a notice that the defendant must appear, to compel an appearance, the plaintiff cannot take any further step, preliminary to outlawry (o). The distringas should be taken to the sheriff of the county in which you intend to outlaw the defendant. In London the writ is taken every fortnight, in other counties every month, and is therefore usual to outlaw defendants in London for the sake. It was held, that there was no objection to a writ of *capias* being issued into a court different from that in which the defendant was described as being, provided the writ contained the words, "Take the writ of distringas to the sheriff's office, and return it there fifteen days, at least, before the return day; and, on the expiration of that time, get him to return it 'non est inventus' or 'nulla bona.'"* It is, it seems, questionable whether the sheriff's return of *non est inventus* and *nulla bona*, issued with a view to proceedings to outlawry, is sufficient without the necessity of leaving a copy of the writ at the defendant's place of abode, as required by the 3rd sect. of the Writs Act, c. 39 (q); and where a copy can be so left, it is sufficient. A *distringas* for the purpose of proceedings to outlawry may issue after a writ of summons which has been issued by *alias* or *pluries* writs, sued out to save the Statute of Limitations (r). *Leave the writ and return it to the masters, who will make out the exigi facias and the writ of outlawry.*

Exigi Facias. Writ of Proclamation, &c.] is a judicial writ, commanding the sheriff to take the defendant from county court to county court, if he does not appear; or, if he appear, then to take and have him appear in court on a day certain in term, to answer to the plaintiff in an action of &c. (s). It must be tested on the return of the *distringas*, whether in term or out of term; and must be returnable in the same or the following term; and must have fifteen days at least between the *teste* and return (u); and, if possible, you should return the writ on a day certain, and must have fifteen days at least between the *teste* and return day so that five hustings in London, or five assizes elsewhere, may be held between the *teste* and return day. The writ, in order to save the expense of an *allocution*, may be tested on the return of the *exigent* shall have such a return as that fi

(n) See the observations in Vol. I. p. 134, as to proceeding against insolvents.

(o) *Fere v. Goucar*, 3 Bing. N. C. 508; 4 Scott, 287; 5 Dowl. 494, S. C.

(p) *Morris v. Davies*, 4 Dowl. 317; 1 H. & W. 513, S. C.

(q) *Fere v. Goucar*, 4 Scott, 287.

(r) *Reay v. Joud*, 1 Dowl. 310, S. C.

(s) See the form of the writ, p. 546, and the notes to it.

(t) See *Fere v. Goucar*, 4 Scott, 287; 5 Dowl. 494, S. C.

(u) 2 W. 4, c. 30, s. 1.

may intervene between the *teste* and return (*x*). The 12th sect. of the 2 W. 4, c. 39, which requires writs issued under that act to bear date on the day on which they issued, &c., and to be indorsed with the name and abode of the attorney or party suing out the same, does not apply to writs of *exigent* (*y*). *Get this writ signed by one of the masters, and sealed by the sealer of the writs.* If the defendant be imprisoned, or beyond sea, at the time of the *exigent* awarded, or after the *teste*, and before or at its return, the court will reverse the outlawry (*z*). But the court would not reverse the outlawry merely on the ground of the defendant having constantly appeared in public during the proceedings against him, unless, perhaps, he swore that he had no notice of them (*a*), or that the plaintiff might easily have found him, so as to have served him with process, and that is not denied by plaintiff (*b*).

The writ of *proclamation* recites the *exigi facias*, and requires the sheriff to make three proclamations, in pursuance of stat. 31 El. c. 3, and 7 W. 4 & 1 Vict. c. 45. s. 2 (*c*). It should be directed to the sheriff of the county where the defendant shall be actually dwelling at the time of the *exigent* awarded (*d*); otherwise the court will reverse the outlawry (*e*); but in practice it is usual to direct it to the same sheriff the *exigi facias* and other writs were directed to; if directed to a different sheriff, it is called a writ of "*foreign proclamation*" (*f*). A writ directing the proclamation to be made at the parish church is sufficient, though the 31 Eliz. c. 3, says "nearest church or chapel" (*g*). It must be tested and returnable the same as the *exigi facias* (*h*). *Get it signed by one of the masters, and sealed by the sealer of the writs.* Unless this writ be regularly sued out and returned (*i*) according to the directions of the statute, the outlawry will be void, and may be reversed (*k*).

Take these writs to the officers of the sheriffs, to whom they are directed, respectively, and they will be executed. The *exigi facias* is executed, by exacting the defendant at five successive county courts, or in London at five successive hustings, unless before that time the defendant appear or put in bail, &c.; and the writ must be actually in the sheriff's possession at the time the defendant is demanded (*l*). The writ of *proclamation* is executed by making three proclamations; one in the county court or hustings; one at the general quarter sessions; and one other of these proclamations to be made one month at least before the *quinto cractus*, on a Sunday, immediately after divine service and sermon, at or near the usual door of the nearest church or chapel of the town or parish where the defendant was dwelling (*m*) at the time of the awarding of the *exigent* (*n*); or by affixing a written or printed or partly written

Writ of Proclamation.

How executed.

(x) Corn. Dig., Pleader, 2 W. 4

(y) *Lewis v. Darison*, 3 Dowl. 272; 4 Moo. & P. 523.(z) *Post*, 925.(a) *Johnson v. Drizer*, 1 Dowl. 127.(b) See *James v. Jenkins*, 9 Moore,59; *Burns v. Kennedy*, 2 Wils. 127.

(c) See the form, Chit. Forms, 547.

(d) 31 El. c. 3, s. 1.

(e) *Raper v. Cook*, 3 B. & C. 529; 5 D. & R. 312. S. C.

(f) See the form. Chit. Forms, 547.

(g) *Lewis v. Darison*, 3 Dowl. 272; 1 C., M. & R. 655, S. C.; 4 Moo. & P. 523.

(h) 31 El. c. 3, s. 1; 2 W. 4, c. 39, s. 5, ante, 927.

(i) See form of return, Chit. Forms, 531.

(k) 31 El. c. 3, s. 1; see *Rex v. Yandell*, 4 T. R. 521; *Valet v. Waters*, 3 D. & R. 55; *Raper v. Cook*, 5 Id. 302; 3 B. & C. 529, S. C.(l) *Valet v. Waters*, 3 D. & R. 55: *post*, 931.(m) See *Lewis v. Darison*, 3 Dowl. 272; 4 Moo. & P. 523.

(n) 31 El. c. 3, s. 1.

BOOK IV.
PART I.Allocatur
Exigent.

and partly printed notice of such proclamation on the doors of all the churches and chapels within a or parish, previously to the commencement of di vice (*o*). Where one month had not elapsed between clamation at the church door and the *quinto exactus*, reversed the outlawry (*p*).

If you find by the sheriff's return (*q*) to the writ that there have not been five county courts or hustings the *teste* and return of it, *sue out with one of the masters writ, called an "allocatur exigent" (r), and leave it to the sheriff, as above directed, who will thereupon exact the defendant at the next and subsequent county courts or hustings make the number of county courts or hustings at which the defendant has been demanded upon both writs, five.* If writ the defendant be not demanded the requisite times, you may sue out another writ of *allocatur* and have it executed in the same manner.

Exigent must
be executed
at five suc-
cessive Courts.

The defendant must be exacted upon these seven five successive county courts or hustings; for if any county court or husting have intervened, the several writs of *allocatur* already executed are without effect, and you must *exigi facias* and writ of proclamation *de novo* (*s*).

Appearance,
&c.

Appearance, &c.] If, before the return of the writ, the defendant wish to appear voluntarily, then, *let him appear with one of the masters of the term in which the writ is issued, as directed Vol. I. 121 (t), who will make out a supersedeas; or you may make out a writ yourself (u), upon getting a note of particulars of the writ from the master, and get him to sign it. Get it sealed by a sealer of the writs. Leave it at the sheriff's office with the return of the writ, and he will thereupon cease to execute the latter writ, and make a return to it accordingly (x).*

Bail on Exi-
gent.

out of the *supersedeas* is, it seems, deemed equivalent to entering a common appearance, and therefore a common appearance is rarely actually entered in such a case (*y*). If the defendant be arrested on the *exigent*, he must be brought before the sheriff, and sue out a *supersedeas*, as above directed, and bail to the sheriff, as in ordinary cases, or remain in custody.

The Judg-
ment of Out-
lawry.

The Judgment of Outlawry.] If the defendant be not returned on the *exigent*, nor appear voluntarily, as aforesaid, then, after being exacted five times, and thrice, he is outlawed. The writ of *exigent* is then returned with the five exactions thereon stated, with certificate of time, place, &c., together with the judgment of outlawry of the coroner, or in London by the recorder (*z*). The writ of proclamation must also be returned. *File the writ with one of the masters, and take the writ of return to him, and he will make out the capias utlagas*

(u) 7 W. 4 & 1 V. c. 45, s. 2.
(p) *Taylor v. Waters*, 3 D. & R. 575; 2 B. & C. 353, S. C.
(q) See the form, Chit. Forms, 546.
(r) *Ibid.*
(s) 2 Sellon, 285: see *Stowel v. Lord Eouch*, 1 Plowd. 371: *Whitwick v. Horden*, 3 Lev. 245.

(t) See form, Chit. Form
(u) *Ibid.*
(x) See form of return, C.
(y) See *Peach v. Wadham*
(z) See *Res v. Almon*, 5 T. R. 578.

is *Utlagatum*, &c.] The *capias utlagatum* is general or
; the former against the person only, the latter against
mon, lands, and goods. The general writ of *capias* CHAP. II
 commands the sheriff to take the defendant, so that SECT. 1.
 e him before the court on a day certain(a), to do and
 what the court shall consider of him(b). Upon filing
 t of proclamation, and taking the *exigent* and return to
 r as already mentioned, he will make out the *capias*
 m. *Get it signed by one of the masters, and sealed.*
 is and the special writ may issue into any county, at
 on of the plaintiff, without being *testatum* writs(c).

the defendant has been arrested on the *capias utla-* Discharge
 n an action commenced by writ of summons, the from Cust
 all discharge him, upon an attorney's undertaking in on.
 to appear for the defendant and reverse the out-
 l. Or if the action were commenced by writ of
 der 2 W. 4, c. 39, the sheriff shall discharge him,
 giving a bond, with two sufficient sureties, for double
 or which special bail is required, conditioned for his
 e by attorney at the return of the writ, or if given
 return, then for his appearance at some return in
 ing term(e), to reverse the outlawry, and to do and
 uch other things, as shall be required by the said

The sheriff is bound to take the bond above
 l, in bailable actions, whether there be any sum
 n the *capias utlagatum* or not(g). As to the terms
 the outlawry will be reversed by the court on
 e post, 935.

een held, that a bankrupt who has been outlawed, Discharge in
 rson arrested, and goods taken by the sheriff, under case of Ban
 lagatum, is not entitled to be relieved, on summary ruptcy and
 om such arrest and levy, except upon the terms of Insolvency.
 to the action, and putting in and perfecting special
 ough the plaintiff had also proved her debt under
 ission, and received a dividend, after which the
 commenced for the balance; for, until those terms
 ied with, he has no *locus standi in judicio*(h). But
 : forty-two days allowed for the examination of the
 under the 117th section of the 6 G. 4, c. 16, the
 cannot be arrested under the *capias utlagatum*; and,
 ie Court of Bankruptcy will discharge him(i). It
 : bankruptcy and certificate are no ground of dis-
 : prisoner in custody on *capias utlagatum*(k). And
 : case it was decided, that a party outlawed on civil
 ter judgment, and, on his petition subsequently
 he Insolvent Debtors' Court, adjudged to be dis-
 : not entitled to a reversal of the outlawry, though
 n which the outlawry is founded be included in his
). A prisoner in custody on a *capias utlagatum* for
 ent of damages and costs may, however, be dis-

39, s. 5, ante, 927.
 form, Chit. Forms, 549;
 nty palatine. Id.
 Vent. 33: Gilb. C. B. 17.
 & M. c. 18, s. 4.

and see *Loukes v. Hulbeche*, 1 Moo. & P.
 126.

(i) *Ex p. Hensley*, Jan. 1832, Court of
 Review, 1 Deac. & Chit. Rep. 16.

(k) *Beauchamp v. Tomkins*, 3 Taunt.
 141.

(l) *Dickson v. Baker*, 1 A. & E. 853;
 3 Nev. & M. 775, S. C.: but see *Adcock*
 v. *Fisk*, C. P., November 5, 1839.

v. *Gledance*, 3 Burr. 1492.
 v. *Watkins*, 14 East, 536:

BOOK IV.
PART I.

charged under the Insolvent Debtors' Act, without reversal of his outlawry (m).

Special Capias
Utlagatum,
&c.

Special Capias Utlagatum, &c.] The special capias like the general writ, commands the sheriff to take the defendant; and thus far it is executed, and the defendant charged, upon an attorney's undertaking or upon giving to the sheriff, in the same manner as when the writ is executed. But the special writ also commands the sheriff to inquire of a jury, of the defendant's goods and lands, to extend and appraise the same, and to take them into the queen's custody, and safely keep them, so that he may answer to the queen the value and issues of the same (n). *Get this writ sealed of the masters, and sealed.* As the inquiry and execution in this case, however, are merely to compel the appearance of the defendant, if he be arrested, and give the undertaking above mentioned, before this part of the writ be executed, it would be very harsh proceeding to inquire of and appraise the property afterwards, and, I believe, is never done. If the defendant have not been arrested, or have not given the undertaking above mentioned, or have not appeared or paid the bond above mentioned, or have not appeared or paid the original action, the sheriff must summon a jury, to inquire of the defendant's property, real and personal, its value, and in action, and to appraise the same; and you must take witnesses before the inquest, to prove the defendant's interest in the property, and its value. As soon as the inquest is taken, the sheriff takes possession of the property, and returns the *special capias utlagatum*, annexed to the inquisition (o). *Get the writ and return from the sheriff, and get it filed with one of the masters (p), who will send a transcript of it for the Exchequer.* As to the sheriff's poundage, upon executing this writ, see *Graham v. The Exchequer*, 2 M. & Sel. 294; and as to a landlord's right to his goods, &c., are thus taken on a *capias utlagatum*, see *College v. Murcott*, 7 T. R., 259. The court will not order the sheriff to restore goods taken on a *capias utlagatum* (q).

Proceedings
to obtain Satisfaction
out of the Property
seized.

If the defendant have not as yet appeared or answered, and there be no probability of his doing so, you must take proceedings to obtain satisfaction for your debt and costs out of the property thus seized. For this purpose, *take the transcript of the writ and return, which the master has given you to your clerk in court (r) in the Exchequer, who, after giving a rule for persons to come in and answer, will upon the expiration of that rule, return for you a venditioni exponas commanding the sheriff to take the goods (s), a levamur facias to levy the issues and profits of the freehold land (t), and a scire facias to recover the debt of the defendant (u), if necessary. Take these writs (or*

(m) *R. v. Insolvent Court*, 3 Nev. & P. 543: see *Adcock v. Fisk*, C. P., Nov. 5, 1839. The party cannot afterwards be charged in execution on the judgment of outlawry. (Id.)

(n) See the form, Chit. Forms, 540.

(o) See the form of the return, and of the inquisition, Chit. Forms, 550.

(p) *Reynolds v. Adams*, 3 T. R. 578.

(q) *Anon.*, 1 Tidd, 133.

(r) In all matters connected with the queen's revenue, or which involve revenue

rights and questions, the clerks have still the exclusive practice. (Price, Exch. 12: *ners*, 7 Dowl. 516).

(s) See a form, Chit. F.

(t) Id. 552.

(u) See Gilb. C. B. *Hutchinson*, 1 Lutw. 33: If a plaintiff in an action was outlawed, proceedings were taken for the defendant's paying the queen's revenue, or which involve revenue

think proper to sue out) to the sheriff, who will sell the goods, levy the issues, or summon the parties *ex facias*, as thereby directed. If to a special *capias* the sheriff return an inquisition finding that the defendant had benefices, but no lay fee, the court will award sequestration on reading the transcript of the out-inquisition(x). But where to a *capias utlagatum* returned that the defendant had no goods nor any in his bailiwick, but that he was a beneficed clerk, without stating the name or situation of the benefice, the court refused a writ of sequestration, but suggested a rule, calling upon the sheriff to amend his re-

turn, if the goods have been sold, &c., by the process above Where the Amount does not exceed 50l.
If the amount do not exceed the sum of 50l., the court of Exchequer that it be paid to you, and an order granted accordingly. Your clerk in court will show up the order(z), and also a subpoena requiring pay you the money(a); and the sheriff being served will pay you the amount mentioned in the return to the exponas, deducting his poundage.

If the money in the sheriff's hands exceed the sum of 50l. Where the Amount exceeds 50l.
If the money in the sheriff's hands exceed the sum of 50l., the lords of the treasury that it may be referred to you, who will thereupon refer it to the solicitor of the treasury(c). Get a certificate of the proceedings upon the return from your clerk in court(c); make an affidavit of the facts before a judge at chambers(d); and leave these, your attorney's bill, and the venditioni exponas before the solicitor of the treasury, who will thereupon make a report(e). File this report with the clerk of the treasury, and a warrant will then be issued, directing the attorney to consent to an order(f); which being taken to the treasury, he will give his consent of course. Then return the order and subpoena from your attorney, as above mentioned; and the sheriff, upon being shown the order &c., will pay you the money. This process costs from 20l. to 25l. This warrant, and the treasury's consent for the payment of the money in the hands of the sheriff, under the *capias utlagatum*, do not constitute an appropriation of that money, where they are ignorant of the death of the defendant; and the plea by the representatives suggesting the death, and making of an order for the payment until the death is determined on an issue taken on the

In the manner, if your debt be considerable, and the property not sufficient to satisfy it, you may obtain a grant of the queen's right to levy the issues of the freehold lands, by petition to the lords of the

Grant of Debtor's Lands, &c.

the outlawry, he might recover again to the crown. 6 M. & Sel. 347). 1 I Dowl. 286; 1 C. & 247, 3 C.; Rex v. Arm- 60; 2 C., M. & R. 205; 1 M. & W. 391. Chit. Forms, 556.

(a) Id. 556.
(b) Id. 552.
(c) Id. 554.
(d) Id. 555. A judge of any court will answer. (See 1 V. c. 56).
(e) See a form, Chit. Forms, 555.
(f) Id. 555.
(g) Rex v. Buchanan, 1 C. & M. 195.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

treasury(*h*). A warrant will thereupon be granted for lease, and the lease be made out at the Pipe-office Court of Exchequer(*i*).

Declaration
after Out-
lawry.

Declaration after Outlawry.] If the defendant enters appearance, or put in and perfect bail, before he is outlawed *ante*, 930, the plaintiff may declare against him in ordinary cases. And the same in ordinary cases if he puts in and perfects bail, or enters a common appearance, the action on reversing the outlawry for any other cause for want of proclamation under 31 *Eliz. c. 3, s. 3(k)*, reversing the outlawry for want of proclamation under *c. 3, s. 3*, however, the defendant appears to a new writ to be brought against him by the plaintiff for the same cause, and the plaintiff has until the end of the second term after the reversal of the outlawry to declare against him. After that time, in a case under 31 *Eliz. c. 3, s. 3*, the defendant may refuse to receive a declaration; in which case bail are discharged, and the plaintiff will be obliged to begin a new process against him.

Venue.

If the plaintiff declare in time, he is not obliged to lay *venue* in the county into which the summons or *capias* is issued, but may lay it in any other county, at his pleasure(*l*).

Where there
are several
Defendants.

Where there are two defendants, and one only has been outlawed, or is in custody, then, after proceeding to outlawry against the other, you may declare against the one who has not been outlawed, stating the outlawry of the other in the comment of your declaration(*n*). In such declaration you must state that the co-defendant was outlawed in the previous suit: stating that he was "in due manner" outlawed, which will not suffice(*o*). There is no occasion to refer to the outlawry(*p*). The declaration must be intitled to a writ, the face of it to have been filed or delivered some time antecedent to the outlawry(*q*).

SECT. 2.

Outlawry upon Final Process.

On what Pro-
cess.

If the action were commenced by writ of summons *ante*, under 2 *W. 4, c. 39(r)*, then if *non est* in

(*h*) See form of petition, Chit. Forms, 553.

(*i*) 2 Sellon, 290 to 292.

(*k*) See *Hesse v. Wood*, 4 Taunt. 691.

(*l*) 31 *El. c. 3, s. 3*.

(*m*) *R. H.*, 2 *W. 4, r. 40*: *Whitwick v. Havenden*, 3 *Lev.* 245. See form of judgment of *nonpross* for not declaring after defendant's appearance on the *exigent facias*, Chit. Forms, 557.

(*n*) See form, Chit. Forms, 557: *Haigh v. Concoy*, 15 *East*, 1: *Goldsmith v. Levy*, 4 *Taunt.* 299: *ante*, 852, n. (*c*), and Vol. I. p. 138: and see *Fort v. Oliver*, 1 *M. & Sel.* 242.

(*o*) *Sunderson v. Hudson*, 15 *East*, 1.

(*p*) *Macmichael v. Johnson*, 8 *Moore*, 87, 8. C.

(*q*) See *Ghent v. Abbott*, 8 *Moore*, 87, 8. C.

(*r*) 2 *W. 4, c. 39, s. 6*. These are the words of that enactment which gives judgment given in any action by writ of summons or *capias* the authority of this act, process of outlawry or waiver may be had and judgment of outlawry or judgment in such manner, and in such manner now be lawfully done after judgment in an action commenced by a

the *ca. sa.*, you may (without suing an *alias* or *s.*) sue out an *exigi facias*, as directed *ante*, 928, and return thereof sue out a *capias utlagatum*, general as directed *ante*, 931, 932 (*s*). A writ of proclamation necessary in this case.

CHAP. II.
SECT. 2.

Defendant be arrested on the *capias utlagatum*, he must be in custody until he have reversed the outlawry (*t*). Proceedings on Capias Utlagatum. If party have been taken under a special *capias utlagatum*, they may proceed to get the produce of it paid over to the creditor in satisfaction of your debt and costs, as directed *ante*,

or brought, you cannot proceed to outlaw the party after the judgment (*u*). After Error.

SECT. 3.

Reversal, &c., of Outlawry.

Defendant may be relieved from the outlawry, either by reversal, &c., of Outlawry. or by obtaining the queen's pardon.

Two modes of reversing a judgment of outlawry; How effected. 1. Application to the court or a judge at chambers, or by writ *coram nobis*. The latter, however, is seldom or never used in practice, being much more expensive and tedious than the former; for the court on motion, or a judge on writ, will now reverse an outlawry for error in fact appearing on the face of the record. Both modes of proceeding now be considered; and first, as to—

[*Reversal of Outlawry on Application to the Court or a Judge.*] Reversal on motion, is discretionary with the court; there is no act of parliament which gives a party a right to reverse a judgment, unless there be error in the proceedings, and a writ of error. The court or a judge at chambers, however, reverse the outlawry, as a matter of course, either unconditionally, or on compliance, by the defendant, with certain equitable terms, according to the merits of the case. And, they will reverse it in any way for any defect which would be a ground for a writ of error, though not appearing on the record, (such as that the defendant was in foreign parts or beyond sea, at the time of the *exigent* awarded, or that he purposely went abroad to avoid arrest, or his creditors (*y*)), as well as for defects or

that every outlawry or judgment may be vacated or set aside on motion, in like manner, or by writ, founded on any of the above grounds, may now be vacated or reversed in the following forms, Chit. Forms, 2d ed., 4 Burr. 2539,

(u) *Spinks v. Bird*, Pr. Reg. 184; Barnes. 434, S. C.

(r) *Beauchamp v. Tomkins*, 3 Taunt. 141; *Hely v. Dawson*, Barnes, 321; *James v. Jenkins*, 9 Moore, 589.

(y) *Porter v. O'Meara*, 7 Dowl. 657; *Harvey v. O'Meara*, 7 Dowl. 725; *Hesse v. Wood*, 4 Taunt. 691; *Graham v. Henry*, 1 B. & Ald. 131; *Bryan v. Wagstaffe*, 5 B. & C. 314; 8 D. & R. 208; 1 Moo. & P. 126, n., S. C.; *Pigou v. Drummond*, 1 Bing.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

errors in the proceeding apparent on the record. aailable *capias* upon which a defendant was out been issued upon a defective affidavit, the court re outlawry on payment of costs, the defendant common appearance (*e*). But an outlawry will aside merely on the ground of the defendant's h stantly appeared in public during the proceedings a unless, perhaps, he swears he had no notice of th that the plaintiff might easily have found him so served him with process, and that is not denied tiff (*b*). And though the outlawry be illegal and cannot be set aside by a third person in a collateral

What Terms
imposed on
the Defend-
ant.

As to what terms will be imposed on the defend court or a judge, on reversing the outlawry, it served, that where the proceeding to outlawry is the process of the court, (as, for instance, where it with a knowledge that the defendant was repr an attorney in this country, and without apply attorney), the court will set aside the outlawry v posing any terms, and will even compel the pay the costs of the application (*d*). And if error apparent on the record, it has been laid high authority, that the party has a right to outlawry, and the court cannot impose terms (ordinary cases, however, of applications to reverse o error not apparent on the face of the record, where tiff has not been guilty of any improper conduct imposed are, as follows:—In the case of outlaw process, payment of the debt, or damages and costs costs of the outlawry, &c. (*f*). And before the 110, s. 18, the court would not impose, as one of that the defendant should pay interest from th signing final judgment to the period of reverse perhaps, this would be decided otherwise since th the case of outlawry on mesne process, under simi stances, the terms are,—the entry of a common ap the action (*h*), or (in case of a reversal for want of tion under the statute of Elizabeth) to a new act payment of costs (*k*); or, before the 1 & 2 V. c. action wereailable, the defendant (at least if not on the *capias utlagatum*) would have been requi in special bail, instead of merely entering a comm ance (*l*). But this would not, it seems, be required act, unless the plaintiff shew, by affidavit, that th is about to quit England forthwith; and, perhaps

N. C. 354; 1 Scott, 264, S. C.: *Leri v. Claggett*, 1 M. & W. 547; 5 Dowl. 322, S. C.

(*c*) *Houklitch v. Swinfen*, 3 Scott, 170; 5 Dowl. 37, S. C.

(*a*) *Johnson v. Driver*, 1 Dowl. 127.

(*b*) See *James v. Jenkins*, 9 Moore, 589; *Blair v. Kennedy*, 2 Wils. 127. As to the determination of outlawry by death, &c., see Tidd, 144.

(*c*) *Symonds v. Parminter*, 1 W. Bl. 20.

(*d*) See *Pigou v. Drummond*, 1 Bing. N. C. 354: see when not, *Hunter v. Whitfield*, 3 Bing. N. C. 878.

(*e*) See *per Patterson, J.*, *Fenton*, 1 Nev. & P. 782.

(*f*) *Ibbotson v. Fenton*, 77!!.

(*h*) See *Hesse v. Wood*, 4

(*i*) *Ante*, 929, 930.

(*k*) See *Summerville v. Wa* 536; *Hesse v. Wood*, 4 Ta v. *Forbes*, 2 Moore, 567; S. C.: *Porter v. O'Meara*, 7

(*l*) See *Serocold v. Ham's* and last note. In *Serocold* the court said it was discrequire bail or not.

less an order to arrest has previously been obtained, application to a judge at chambers, under the third section. It may be here added, that custody on a *capias utlagatum* has been held to be custody upon mesne process, by the seventh section of the 1 & 2 V. c. 110; therefore, it is a bailable action, during the absence of the defendant, if an outlawry was completed, and *capias utlagatum* issued before the 1 & 2 V. c. 110 came into force, and the defendant was arrested thereon after that act came into force, the outlawry was reversed, and the defendant discharged, on a common appearance and payment of costs (*n*).

At what Time applied for.
At the time of making the application:—if the ground of application be merely irregularity in the proceedings, the application must be made promptly after the defendant is apprised of it (*o*). But if the ground of the application be any other, whether appearing on the record or not, which would be the ground of a writ of error, it would seem that the application may be made at any time within which a writ of error may be brought.

By whom applied for.
Firstly, in the Queen's Bench, the defendant was obliged to appear in person when he applied to reverse an outlawry; but he may appear for that purpose by attorney in any other courts (*p*). If the party outlawed do not appear in person, the person making the application must state in his writ that he makes it on the behalf and by the authority of the law (*q*). A party outlawed may appear in court to reverse his outlawry, though not for most other purposes.

Form of Bail required.
Entirely in the discretion of the court or judge, where required, whether the recognisance of bail be in the nature of a fine, to pay the condemnation money or render the defendant; or absolute, for the payment of the condemnation money in the case of bail in error (*s*). In a case previous to the 1 & 2 V. c. 110, where the defendant was abroad at the time of the *exigent*, and it appeared that he had not gone abroad for the purpose of avoiding the process of the court, an alternative merely was required (*t*); and since that time it has been held probable that bail would not be required; at least, not if the defendant were about to quit England (*u*). To this, there was, before the 1 & 2 V. c. 110, one exception, where the defendant sought to reverse the outlawry by writ of error, or reversing the outlawry by plea or otherwise, he was put in bail, not only to appear and answer the writ, but also to satisfy the condemnation, provided the defendant began his suit before the end of two terms next after the writ of error, or otherwise avoiding the out-

(r) *Osteridge, J.*, in *Harvey v. O'Meara*, 7 Dowl. 725.
(s) *Id.* v. *Alexander*, 2 Dowl. 267.
(t) *W. & M. c.* 18, s. 3: *Anon.*, 1 D. & R. 5.
(u) *Id.* v. *Buchanan*, 5 D. & R. 736. S. C.: *Hindlitch v. Dowl.* 36; 2 Bing. N. C. 712.

(r) See *Laukes v. Hulbeche*, 1 Moo. & P. 126; 4 Bing. 419, S. C.: *ante*, 926.
(s) *Graham v. Henry*, 1 B. & Ald. 131.
(t) *Id.*: *Levi v. Claggett*, 5 Dowl. 322; 1 M. & W. 547. S. C.: and see *Graham v. Gill*, 1 M. & Sel. 106; *Mathews v. Gibson*, 8 East, 527; *Harelock v. Geddes*, 12 East, 622; *Serocold v. Hampson*, 1d. 624.
(u) *Harvey v. O'Meara*, 7 Dowl. 725.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

Practical Proceedings to reverse an Outlawry on Mesne Process.

lawry (*x*); in which case it was not at the discretion of the court or judge to order the recognisance to be in the alternative (*y*). And perhaps this would still be the case.

In order to reverse the outlawry upon mesne process, in cases where bail is not required, *enter an appearance for the defendant, as directed ante, Vol. I. 121; and get a certificate from one of the masters of your having done so. Get a copy of the exigent, and mark on it some common error, (if no error, be in the proceedings), such as the want of addition, that the defendant was in prison or out of the country at the time of issuing the exigent, or the like; and take these to a judge in chambers, and he will, on their being laid before him, make an order for the reversal of the outlawry, upon payment of the costs of the outlawry. Take the order to one of the masters, who will thereupon enter the proceedings on the roll, (if they have not already been entered by the plaintiff), and docket the same. I will also mark the outlawry as reversed in his book, and enter the reversal on the roll, which should be filed in the treasury (a). If the order be drawn up on payment of costs, the costs may be taxed and paid before the outlawry can be reversed. In cases where bail is required, (ante, 936), as soon as you have bail have justified, then, upon affidavit of that fact, apply to the judge, or move the court to reverse the outlawry; or, if the defendant be in custody under the *capias utlagatum*, then, instead of putting in and perfecting bail, produce to the judge or court the sheriff's or gaoler's certificate of the defendant's being in custody, and verify it by affidavit. In either case, when the application is made by defendant's attorney, produce an affidavit of his authority to make it (a). Previously to making the application, give the copy of the exigent, marked as above mentioned, to one of the masters, who or whose clerk will produce it at the time the application is made. Then take the rule to the master, and proceed as above directed. The court have refused to reverse the outlawry because the record was not in the court (b).*

Supersedeas when Defendant in Custody.

The defendant should also, if in custody on the *capias utlagatum*, sue out a *supersedeas* with one of the masters, upon which he shall be discharged out of custody, or it will prevent the sheriff from executing a *capias utlagatum*, general or special, against him in the same cause, if not already executed (c). Or, if his property be still in the sheriff's hand under a special *capias utlagatum*, and the produce of it not paid over to the plaintiff (d), it shall be restored to the defendant by a writ of *amoveas manus*, or other proceeding in the Court of Exchequer, for which he must apply to his clerk in court (e).

Practical Proceedings to reverse Out-

When the defendant is outlawed after judgment, as the condition of reversing it is the payment of the debt and costs t

(x) 31 El. c. 3, s. 3.

(y) See Tidd, 9th ed., 141, 142: *Taylor v. Waters*, 2 B. & C. 353; 3 D. & R. 575, S. C.: *Roger v. Cooke*, 3 B. & C. 529; 5 D. & R. 312, S. C.

(z) See the form of *supersedeas*, Chit. Forms, 548.

(a) Ante, 937.

(b) Loft, 348, 370.

(c) See a form of entry, Chit. Form 558.

(d) See *Pinfold v. Northey*, 2 Lev. 4 and see *Frost's case*, 5 Co. 90: *Kipe Woodfine*, Cro. El. 278.

(e) The clerks in court and side-chambers have still exclusive right to practice such matters. (*In re Otto Manners*, 7 Do. 516).

the plaintiff, you must first get him to enter satisfaction on the roll, before you can make the application. *Get a certificate to that effect from one of the masters; and take it, together with a copy of the exigent, marked as above mentioned, to a judge at chambers, who will thereupon make an order. Afterwards you proceed as above directed.*

CHAP. 11.
SECT. 3.

lawry after
final Judg-
ment.

It would seem that the court will make a conditional order for setting aside an outlawry, in order to prevent an innocent person from remaining in custody unnecessarily (f).

Reversal in
case of Invol-
vency.

Reversal by Writ of Error.] Judgment of outlawry may be reversed by writ of error *coram nobis*, either for matter of law apparent upon the record, or for matter of fact not appearing upon it (g). As to the mode of proceeding in this case, see *ante*, vol. I. 389 (h). Bail must be put in and perfected in the same cases and in the same manner as where the outlawry is reversed upon motion, &c. If the judgment be reversed, the *supersedeas* is made out and signed by one of the masters (i).

Reversal by
Writ of Error.

This mode of proceeding by writ of error, however, is very seldom adopted in practice; for the court will always afford relief upon motion, or a judge at chambers on summons, as already mentioned, if the defendant be willing to comply with those conditions upon which alone they will grant it; namely, entering an appearance, or putting in and perfecting bail, and paying the costs of the outlawry, where the outlawry was upon mesne process; or paying the debt and costs, and the costs of the outlawry, where the outlawry was upon final process. There may be cases, however, in which reversing an outlawry by writ of error may be advisable.

Costs.] The party reversing the outlawry is, in general, obliged to pay the costs (k). Even where an attorney (plaintiff in person) outlawed a defendant, although he knew that the person received an annuity for the defendant under a power of attorney during his absence abroad, and also knew several persons with whom the defendant was acquainted, without applying to the receiver or to those persons; the court would not make the plaintiff pay the costs of reversing the outlawry (l). So, where the party applying to reverse the outlawry fails, even by a formal defect in his affidavits, the rule will, it seems, be discharged with costs (m). But, where it has appeared that the plaintiff proceeded to outlawry merely for the purpose of harassing and oppressing the defendant—such as where it appeared that the defendant was actually in custody at the suit of the plaintiff for another cause of action at the time of the *exigent* awarded (n); or where the defendant was constantly to be met with, and might have been arrested

Costs.

(f) *Nicholson v. Nichols*, 3 Dowl. 326: *Re H. v. Insolvent Court*, 3 Nev. & P. 543: *Black v. Fisk*, C. P., 5th November, 1839.

409: *Summerrill v. Watkins*, 14 East, 536: *Hesse v. Ward*, 4 Taunt. 601: *Graham v. Henry*, 1 B. & Ald. 131.

(i) *Hunter v. Whitfield*, 3 Bing. N. C. 878.

(g) See Vol. I. 389.

(m) *Houlditch v. Swinfen*, 5 Dowl. 36, C. P.

(h) See as to the form, Chit. Forms, 15 to 142.

(n) *Adlame v. Colebatch*, 2 Salk. 495: *James v. Jenkins*, 9 Moore, 589: 2 Sellon, 412.

(i) See a form of *supersedeas*, Chit. Forms, 550.

(k) See *Graham v. Grull*, 1 M. & Sel.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

or served with process(o); or where the defendant was abroad, and was represented by an attorney in this country, and the plaintiff proceeded to outlawry without making any application to the attorney(p), the court have ordered the plaintiff to reverse the outlawry at his own expense.

(o) *Santbreak v. Howkin*, Sir Thos. Jones, 322: *Roger v. Cook*, 3 B. & C. 220; 5 B. 211: *Hilliard v. Smith*, Comb. 19: *Hill v. & R.* 302, S. C.
Willers, 12 Mod. 413: see *Holman v. Brasier*, Barnes, 320: *Tunworth v. Smith*, Id. 254; 1 Scott, 254, S. C.
 (p) *Pyles v. Drummond*, 1 Bing. N. C.

CHAPTER III.

PRISONERS INTO THE CUSTODY OF THE MARSHAL,
OR WARDEN.

CHAP. I

RS in the custody of the sheriff, or in the pri- By what
or courts, may be removed into the custody of Writ.
by the writ of *habeas corpus ad faciendum et reci-*
ally called a *habeas corpus cum causâ*), or by the
s corpus ad respondendum, or the writ of *habeas*
is faciendum, according to circumstances.

rpus cum Causâ.] If the defendant be in custody Habeas
; or in the Fleet or other prison, under process Corpus c
of Queen's Bench, he has a right to remove Causâ.
the custody of the marshal, if he wish it, by
habeas corpus cum causâ, even although he should
me time be detained upon process of the Common
r courts. And in the same way, if he be in cus-
heriff or of the marshal, under process out of the
as or Exchequer, he may have himself removed
t by this writ. The defendant might also before
c. 110, have been removed by the plaintiff for the
eclaring against him, &c.(a); but this was even
sary and seldom done, and cannot, at all events,
n, be done in an action commenced since the pass-
ct. As to its use when the defendant is in custody
r court, see the next Chapter.

writ, also, a prisoner in the Fleet, or in the cus- To render
marshal, sheriff, &c., may be brought up in order Defendan
d by his bail, in an action pending in the Queen's

As to this, see *ante*, Vol. I. 623, &c.
is grantable of course, and may be sued out in Form of,
tion; but it must bear *teste* in term. It may be when and
able *immediate*(b). *Engross it on a plain piece of* how sued
); or get a blank form at the stationer's, and fill it &c.
ite out a præcipe on plain paper. Get the writ
aled. It must be also signed by the chief jus-
his absence, by one of the other judges of the
which it issued(d); and, unless so signed, the
t obliged to execute it(e). In the Exchequer it
s to have the name and address of the attorney
t, indorsed or written thereon, and also the day,
year when issued(f). *Take it to the office of the*
cor in whose custody the defendant is, and he will
ight up to the judge's chambers; pay him his fees(g).
should bring him to the judge's chambers in due How obey
&c.

South v. Bell, 3 Burr.

(a) *Ree v. Rodham*, Cowp. 672.

v. Bell, 3 Burr. 1875.

(f) R. M., 1 W. 4, reg. 2, s. 1: 1 C. & J.

1 Per. & D. 516.

274: and see *Sheppard v. Shum*, 2 C. & J.

Chit. Forms, 561.

(g) See *Anon.*, 1 Str. 308: *Hopman v.*

M. c. 13, s. 7.

Barber, 2 Id. 814.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

and convenient time(*h*), without permitting him to go under pretence of such writ(*i*). Neither should the officer deviate from the direct road, or allow the defendant to go to liberty in conveying him to the judge's chambers; for, if he do, it would be an escape(*k*): he must also take sufficient care to prevent the defendant from being rescued, as a failure of the defendant would make the sheriff liable to an action for an escape(*l*). If the officer to whom the writ is directed do not obey it, he will, after being ruled to return the writ(*m*), be liable to an attachment(*n*). When the prisoner is brought up to chambers, any of the judges who are sitting (although the writ be returnable before the chief justice only) will commit him to the Queen's Bench prison to the Fleet, as the case may be, and he will be sent into the custody of a tipstaff. *Pay the tipstaff his fee(o)*. If the writ be directed to the marshal, it remains with him, and is returned to the Queen's Bench(*p*); and the same when directed to the warden of the Fleet.

Habeas
Corpus ad
responden-
dum.

Habeas Corpus ad respondendum.] The writ of *habeas corpus ad respondendum* was formerly used for the purpose of moving a prisoner to the prison of the court in which an action was pending, in order to declare against him. Since the 2 *W. 4*, c. 39, s. 8, it seems that it is unnecessary, and it therefore needs no further notice.

Habeas
Corpus ad
satisfaciendum, to
charge De-
fendant in
Execution.

Habeas Corpus ad satisfaciendum.] If a defendant, against whom you have a judgment in one of the superior courts, is a prisoner in the Marshalsea or Fleet, or in the prison of an inferior court, but at the suit of a third person, and is not the plaintiff, the latter may have him brought up in the prison in which the judgment is obtained by writ of *habeas corpus ad satisfaciendum*, in order to charge him in execution(*r*). If the defendant is in a county gaol, the plaintiff is entitled as of right to this writ, with a view to remove him to the custody of the marshal, &c.; the issuing of such writ is discretionary with the court, and it would in most cases be refused, as the defendant may be charged in execution by *capias ad satisfaciendum(s)*.

To charge
Plaintiff in
Execution.

Also this writ lies to bring up a plaintiff already in custody in order to charge him in execution for costs of a nonsuit or verdict against him; and in such case no affidavit is necessary to warrant the issuing of the *habeas*, nor is it necessary to state any day certain for the bringing up of the party sought to be inserted, or that the number-roll of judgment should be produced therein(*t*).

Form of, how
sued out, &c.

This writ must bear *teste* in term, and be returnable in term upon a day certain in term. *Sue it out in the same manner as the habeas corpus cum causa, ante*, 941. No affidavit is necessary to obtain it(*t*). The writ need not, it seems, be

(*h*) *Bettlesworth v. Bell*, 3 Burr. 1875.
(*i*) R. M., 1654, ss. 7—10.
(*k*) Roll. Abr., Escape, D. 9: *Anon.*, 6th edition of this Work, Vol. II. Cro. Car. 14: *Balden v. Temple*, Hob. 202.
(*l*) *Compton v. Ward*, 1 Str. 429.
(*m*) *Semble, Rex v. Wright*, 2 Str. 915.
(*n*) *Rex v. Winton*, 5 T. R. 89.
(*o*) See *In re Salisbury*, 5 B. & Ald. 205.
(*p*) *Cooper v. Jones*, 2 M. & Sel. 202.
(*q*) *Barnett v. Harris*, 2 Dowl. 1. See the former practice as to this writ, 6th edition of this Work, Vol. II.
(*r*) See *ante*, 839: *Sandys v. H. Nev. & M. 59.*
(*s*) *Williams v. Jones*, 2 C. & J.
(*t*) *Furnival v. Stringer*, 5 Do 3 Scott, 551, 8 C.

ed or indorsed with the term and number of the roll
 the judgment(u). Although the judgment be against
 al, yet it is not necessary that this writ should be against
 than those defendants who are in actual custody(x).
 re the writ is for the residue of a debt, after a *fi. fa.* is
 ited, it is not necessary that it should refer to what
 een done under the *fi. fa.*(y). *Having made a duplicate*
deliver the writ to the officer to whom it is directed, who
bring the prisoner up into open court on the return day.
 usual to deliver the writ to the officer at least four days
 e the prisoner is brought up(z). *When the prisoner is*
ht up, give the duplicate of the habeas to the judge's clerk,
will take it to one of the masters; and the master will then
the commitment on the duplicate, and give it to the tipstaff,
ose custody the prisoner is taken to the Queen's Bench, or
prison, as the case may be; the habeas itself is filed in
 . In vacation, it seems, the writ should be lodged with the
 hal, or warden, who will detain the prisoner thereon till the
 term, and then bring him up as above mentioned. By
 f. 1654, s. 10, C. P., the writ, when lodged, is a good
 e of detainer. As to the mode of charging the defendant
 ecution, by means of this writ, see *ante*, 858.

The rule of H. T., 21W. 4. r. 9, dis-
 with the entry of the proceedings
 cord. See *Furnival v. Stringer*, 5
 195; 3 Scott, 551, S. C.; and the
 case of *Wilson v. Bacon*, 2 Dowl.
 and the rule of M. T., 1654. See the

form, Chit. Forms, 562.

(x) *Wilson v. Bacon*, 1 Dowl. 118.

(y) *Green v. Foster*, 2 Dowl. 191, S. C.

(z) See *Park v. Torre*, 3 B. & B. 93;

6 Moore, 260, S. C.

CHAPTER IV.

REMOVAL OF CAUSES FROM INFERIOR COURTS, &c.

- | | |
|--|---|
| <p>1. <i>Removal of the Cause before Judgment.</i>
 <i>By what Writs, 944.</i>
 <i>When not removable, 945.</i>
 <i>When Bail required before Removal, 946.</i>
 <i>Form, Direction, Teste, &c., of the Writs, id.</i>
 <i>Writs, how sued out, 947.</i>
 <i>Within what Time to be sued out and delivered, id.</i>
 <i>How obeyed and returned, id.</i>
 <i>Bail and Appearance, 948.</i>
 <i>Procedendo, id.</i></p> | <p><i>Proceedings after Removal, 949.</i>
 2. <i>Removal of Judgments, Rules, &c., of Inferior Courts for the purpose of Execution Generally, by 19 G. 3, c. 20, 950.</i>
 <i>Where the Judge is a Barrister of Seven Years' standing under the 1 & 2 V. c. 110, 951.</i>
 <i>From the Courts of the Counties Palatine, 952.</i>
 <i>From the Stannaries Courts, 953.</i></p> |
|--|---|

BOOK IV.
PART I.1. *Removal of the Cause before Judgment.*1. Removal
of the Cause
before Judgment.By what
Writs.

By what Writs.] CAUSES from inferior courts, not being courts of record, may in general be removed into the Court of Queen's Bench, Common Pleas, or Exchequer, by writs of *pone*, *recordari facias loquelam*, or *accedas ad curiam*, according to circumstances; and from inferior courts of record, by *habeas corpus* or *certiorari*(a). But as causes depending in inferior courts not of record are seldom in practice removed into the superior courts except in replevin, it is enough here to refer to a former part of this Volume(b) where the writs of *pone*, *recordari facias loquelam*, and *accedas ad curiam*, have been already noticed. We shall accordingly confine our attention in this Chapter to the writs of *habeas corpus cum causâ* and *certiorari*, the writs used to remove causes into the superior courts from inferior courts of record, as already mentioned.

By Habeas
Corpus cum
Causâ.

The writ of *habeas corpus cum causâ* lies only where the action in the inferior court of record has been commenced by process against the person, or, in other words, by process under which the defendant is supposed to be in the custody of the court below(c); and therefore, where the proceedings in the

(a) In an action or suit on the common law side of the court of the vice-warden of the Stannaries of Cornwall, it is enacted, by the late statute of 6 & 7 W. 4, c. 106, s. 42, that "it shall be lawful for the Court of King's Bench at Westminster, on the application of any party to any such action or suit, on special and sufficient cause shewn by *affidavit*, to the satisfaction of the said Court of King's Bench, that an impartial or sufficient trial cannot be had in such court of the vice-

warden, to remove by writ of *certiorari* all proceedings which may have been had in such action or suit, and to deal therewith, and to make such orders respecting the same, and the future trial of and proceedings in such action or suit, as to the said Court of King's Bench shall seem meet."

(b) See p. 795, &c.

(c) *Mitchell v. Mitchenham*, 1 B. & C. 513; 2 D. & R. 722, S. C.; *Palmer v. Forth*, 4 B. & C. 401; 6 D. & R. 407, S. C.

ior court are by writ only, the proceedings cannot be moved by *habeas corpus*(*d*). It cannot be used by the plaintiff to remove his own cause(*e*). The effect of the writ by the 1 & 2 V. c. 110, was to remove the body of the defendant when actually in custody into the superior court, also the several actions with which he was charged in the inferior court (though not the records); or if he were not in custody, then the actions only. And although the intention of that act enacts, that no person shall be arrested by legal process in any civil action in any inferior court whatsoever, yet it would seem that this writ may still be used for the removal of the cause in cases where the writ is in form against the person, though the defendant be not in custody and cannot be actually arrested under it. It is no objection to the writ, that the attorney who sued it out is negligent; for the party ought not to be punished for his attorney's neglect(*f*).

The *certiorari* lies in all cases before judgment, whether the action were commenced by process against the person or not; and it may, it seems, be sued out to remove an ejectment, as well as other actions pending in inferior courts of record(*g*).

When not removable.] Neither the *habeas corpus* nor the *certiorari* lies where the debt or damages laid, or things demanded in the declaration in the court below, do not amount to 5*l.*, if the steward or judge of such court be a barrister of 10 years' standing; unless the action concern the freehold inheritance, or title to lands, lease, or rent(*h*); or if there be several causes, some under and others above 5*l.*, those only which are above 5*l.* shall be removed by the *habeas*(*i*).

Secondly, it lies not where the action is maintainable only in the inferior court; as, for instance, where an action is brought in the courts in London for calling a woman a whore(*k*), or against a *feme covert* as sole trader(*l*), it cannot be removed by this or any other writ, unless by a writ of *ex parte*.

Thirdly, it lies not to the counties palatine, unless some special grounds for the issuing of it be first laid before the inferior court(*m*).

And, fourthly, it does not in general lie after judgment, except for the purpose of suing out execution, or giving the judgment of an inferior court the effect of a judgment of a superior court, under the 1 & 2 V. c. 110, as to which see *post*, 950. And where a *certiorari* was moved for to remove the record of judgment in the court at Durham, against a person who was in the custody of the marshal in execution in an action in the Queen's Bench for the purpose of enabling his bail in the court below to render him in the Court of Queen's Bench in

d *Mitchell v. Mitchenham*, *ubi supra*.

e See *Melams v. Gardner*, Cowp. 116; 1, 414; Pr. Reg. 216; Cas. Pr. C. P. 5.

f *Ghann v. Hutchinson*, 3 Dowl. 529.

g *Goodright d. Sadler v. Dring*, 2 D. & 07; 1 B. & C. 253, S. C.; *Patterson v. ...*, 3 B. & C. 550; 5 D. & R. 445, S. C.; *... v. ...*?

h 21 J. 1, c. 23, ss. 4, 6: see *Fairley v. ...*, 1 Burr. 515; *Frank v. Quince*,

7 Dowl. 607.

(*i*) 12 G. 1, c. 29, s. 3.

(*k*) *Watson v. Clerke*, Carth. 75.

(*l*) *Pope v. Vaur*, 2 W. Bl. 1071.

(*m*) *Zink v. Langton*, 2 Doug. 749; *Williams v. Thomas*, Id. 751, n.; *Jones v. Davies*, 1 B. & C. 143; and see *Edwards v. Bowen*, 5 B. & C. 206; 7 D. & R. 709, S. C.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

their discharge, the court refused it (*n*). And it has been decided, that, in the case of a judgment by default, if the writ is not delivered until after the jury have assessed the damages on the writ of inquiry, the court will award a *procedendo* (*o*).

When Bail
required be-
fore Removal.

When Bail required before Removal.] No cause can be removed from an inferior court of record by *habeas corpus*, or otherwise, if the cause of action do not amount to 20*l.* (*p*) or upwards; unless the defendant, with two sufficient sureties, enter into a recognisance, in double the amount in the court below, conditioned for the payment of the debt and costs in case judgment shall pass against him (*q*). This statute applies only to cases of removal before judgment (*r*). If the sum in the declaration be 20*l.* or more, the plaintiff is precluded from his right to require a recognisance under either of the statutes, though the sum sought to be recovered be really less (*s*). The statutes requiring bail, apply to actions of tort; such as trover (*t*), slander (*u*), injury to right of way (*x*), and so forth, where the damages claimed are less than 20*l.*

Form, Direc-
tion, Teste,
&c., of the
Writ.

Form, Direction, Teste, &c., of the Writ.] The writ is directed to the judge or steward of the inferior court, and it is tested on some day in term; and it may be returnable *immediate*, if directed to the inferior courts of London, Westminster, Southwark, or other court within four miles of London (*y*); and in all other cases on some day certain in term (*z*). If the defendant be in custody, the writ of *habeas corpus cum causâ* should be directed to the sheriff or other officer in whose custody the defendant is detained (*a*).

Consequence
of Defects
in Form.

With respect to informalities in writs of *certiorari* it is to be observed, that third persons cannot object to the misdirection of a *certiorari* to remove a cause from an inferior court, if the proper officer in whose keeping the record is waive the objection, and return the record upon such writ (*b*). If a plaintiff without improper motives has removed a judgment into a superior court, by an irregular writ of *certiorari*, issued without leave of the court, such amendments will be allowed and terms imposed as will enable him to avail himself of the judgment without prejudice to the defendant (*c*). Where a party has by mistake issued a *certiorari* instead of a *re. fa. lo.*,

(*n*) *Patterson v. Reay*, 2 D. & R. 177: and see *Bevan v. Pruthesk*, 2 Burr. 1151.

(*o*) *Smith v. Sterling*, 3 Dowl. 609; and see *Walker v. Gann*, 7 D. & R. 762: *Bevan v. Pruthesk*, 2 Burr. 1151: see *Cox v. Hart*, 2 Burr. 758: *Gulshy v. Marmen*, 4 Moo. & P. 138; 6 Bing. 433, S. C. The Court of Common Pleas, however, held, that where a cause was removed from an inferior court after interlocutory judgment, and before inquiry, a *procedendo* would be refused. *Sol quære?* (See *per Holroyd, J.*, in *Walker v. Gann*, *ubi supra*).

(*p*) See 7 & 8 G. 4, c. 71, s. 6: *Brady v. Verres*, 5 Dowl. 415.

(*q*) 19 G. 3, c. 70, s. 6: 7 & 8 G. 4, c. 71, s. 6: see *Atterborough v. Hardy*, 4 D. & R. 362; 2 B. & C. 802, S. C.: *Cotton v. Baiers*, 1 Jurist. 22.

(*r*) *Crukes v. Longden*, 7 Dowl. 413; 5

Bing. N. C. 410, S. C.

(*s*) *Brady v. Verres*, 5 Dowl. 416.

(*t*) *Furnish v. Suann*, 10 B. & C. 452.

(*u*) *Lee v. Goodlad*, 4 D. & R. 338.

(*x*) *Franks v. Quinsee*, 7 Dowl. 607.

(*y*) See R. H., 13 & 14 Car. 2: R. M. 1654.

(*z*) R. H., 13 & 14 Car. 2: see *Rand v. Breeden*, 3 Dowl. 324. See the form of the *habeas corpus*, Chit. Forms, 561: and of the *certiorari*, &c. Id. 565; and of the different directions of the writs of *habeas*, &c., Id. 561.

(*a*) Tidd, 9th ed., 349, &c.: see *Peris v. West*, 3 A. & E. 405; 5 Nev. & M. 291, S. C.

(*b*) *Daniels v. Phillips*, 4 T. R. 499; 3 Dowl. 325, n.

(*c*) *Rouell v. Breeden*, 3 Dowl. 324.

the rule to quash it, on his application, is absolute in the first instance (c). CHAP. IV

Writ how sued out, &c.] In some cases you are obliged to obtain the leave of the court to sue out the writ. But this appears to be necessary only in case of the courts of the counties at large. In other cases it has been held, that no leave of the court or fiat of a judge (d) is necessary, and the writ may be sued as a matter of course (e). Your affidavit for that purpose must not be intitled in any cause; or, if intitled, cannot be read (f). The rule for a *certiorari* is absolute at the first instance (g). *Sue out the writ in the manner directed ante, 941, and leave it with the clerk of the papers or secretary of the inferior court.* Writ how sued out.

Within what Time to be sued out and delivered.] The writ must be delivered to the judge or officer of the inferior court, at latest, before any of the jury are sworn (h); and before issue or demurrer joined, if such issue or demurrer be not joined within six weeks after the arrest or appearance of the defendant (i); and before judgment by default (j); or, at all events, before any one of the inquest are sworn, after a judgment by default (k); otherwise the writ shall not be received or allowed by such judge or officer, and the inferior court may proceed in the cause. If the judge or officer of the inferior court receives the *certiorari* after the time thus limited, a *procedendo* will issue, and that although in the meantime the record has been filed in the court above (l). Within what Time to be sued out and delivered.

How obeyed and returned.] In cases where the writ lies, it has the effect of suspending all proceedings in the actions against the defendant in the inferior court, immediately upon its being delivered to the officer (m), and the writ must be obeyed without delay (n). The *habeas corpus* is obeyed, by bringing up the defendant (if in custody (o)), and by returning the causes with which he stands charged; the record itself is not removed into the court above, but remains in the How obeyed and returned.

(c) *Ruffman v. Thornwell*, 7 Dowl. 611.

(d) *Walkington v. Davis*, 29th April, 1839, at chambers, coram *Erskine*, J., after consulting with other judges. It was the case of an *habeas* to the Palace Court.

(e) See per *Littledale* J., in *Landens v. Shaw*, 3 Dowl. 90: *Walkington v. Davis*, *supra*. It has been said, that in the Exchequer the fiat of a baron is necessary where the defendant obtains such a writ, and it is the common practice to procure the fiat. It does not clearly appear why a writ which is as much of right in the other courts as a writ of error, see per *Littledale*, J., and *Erskine* J., *supra*, should be clogged with this condition in the Exchequer. Perhaps it is because the right to sue in that court in civil cases was originally founded on the fiction that the plaintiff was the defendant's debtor; and as this removal is in general effected by the defendant, and not by the plaintiff, the fiction did not apply in these cases; and, consequently, the

court would only allow the removal as a matter of favour. If this be so, considering that even the formula expressing the fiction alluded to is now unnecessary. (see *Doe Bloxham v. Roe*, 6 Dowl. 388), there seems no reason why the practice in the Exchequer should any longer differ from that in the other courts.

(f) *Ex p. Nohro*, 1 B. & C. 267, *seml.*

(g) *Pawson v. Gooday*, 3 Dowl. 605.

(h) 43 El. c. 5.

(i) 21 J. 1, c. 23, s. 2.

(j) *Ante*, 946: *Wyatt v. Markham*, Barnes, 221.

(k) *Cor v. Hart*, 2 Burr. 750: see *Lucas v. Hutchinson*, 3 Dowl. 548: *Smith v. Sterling*, 3 Dowl. 610.

(l) *Laverack v. Bean*, 3 M. & W. 62.

(m) *Fazachary v. Baklo*, 1 Salk. 352. It suspends the power of the inferior court, so that, if they proceed, the proceedings would be void, and *coram non judice*.

(n) See *Hottenroth v. Bell*, 3 Burr. 1875.

(o) See *ante*, 941.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

court below (*p*). The return to the *habeas* is, in fact, account or history of the proceedings in the inferior court. The *certiorari* is obeyed by returning the record actually made up, into the court above, in order to be proceeded upon there (*q*). If, under the particular stances of the case, the writ does not lie, those circumstances must be stated specially in the return (*r*).

Bail and Appearance after Removal.

Bail and Appearance after Removal.] Previously to 1 *V. c.* 110, if the defendant were in custody when the *corpus* was delivered to the court below, he was removed into custody of the marshal or warden, after which he might have been discharged on putting in bail. Or, if he were not in custody, he must have put in special bail, or common bail in the court above, according as the action was bailable or not bailable. And by putting in bail in the court above, those in the court below were discharged (*s*). It is unnecessary further to notice the practice as to putting in special bail on removal from inferior courts, for it seems, that, as since the 1 & 2 *V. c.* 110, there can be no mesne process in any inferior court, special bail can in any such case be required (*t*).

Common Bail how filed, &c.

In actions, removed by *habeas* or *certiorari*, you must put in common bail, thus:—*Engross the bail-piece, and annex the writ and return, as above directed; file the same at chambers, and give notice to the plaintiff's attorney of your having done so (u).* It would seem that common bail cannot be filed before the writ is returned (*x*). The defendant may at any time, after the return of the writ (*y*), cause the defendant to put in common bail, by obtaining, from one of the judge's clerks, a rule for a *procedendo*, unless the defendant put in common bail within four days after the return thereof, if in term, or in six days if in vacation (*z*). If there be several defendants, and the cause be removed, common bail must be put in for all, otherwise a *procedendo* may be awarded (*a*).

Procedendo. For not putting in Bail.

Procedendo.] If the defendant do not put in bail at the time limited by the rule for that purpose, the plaintiff may sue out a writ of *procedendo*. This writ is granted by any judge of the court into which the cause was removed, upon application to one of their clerks at chambers. *the writ upon plain parchment (b), directed to the inferior court, commanding them to proceed in the action. Make out the writ for the office. Get the writ signed by one of the masters, and take it to the seal office and get it sealed. Take it to the seal*

(*p*) *Fazachary v. Baldo*, 1 Salk. 352.

(*q*) See *Palmer v. Forsyth*, 4 B. & C. 401; 6 D. & R. 497, S. C.: *Askew v. Hayton*, 1 Dowl. 510.

(*r*) See forms of return, Tidd's Forms, 147, 156.

(*s*) MS., M. 1814: *Taylor v. Shapland*, 3 M. & Sel. 328.

(*t*) See the 1 & 2 *V. c.* 110, s. 1: and see the former practice as to putting in special bail, in the 6th edition of this Work, Vol. II. 996, 997.

(*u*) See the form of the common bail-

piece, Chlt. Forms, 547; and notice of having filed it, Id. 547.

(*x*) R. M. 1651: R. E., 29 10 W. 3.

(*y*) *Clarke v. Harbin*, Bar Lee v. Goodlad, 4 D. & R. 35.

(*z*) See R. M. 1654, s. 8: 3. See the form, Chlt. Form.

(*a*) *Kest v. Goldstein*, 7 B. 1 M. & R. 305, S. C.: *Jameson*, 1 Dowl. 175.

(*b*) See forms of *procedendo*, 564.

in court, and file it; and the cause will be then pro-
 in the inferior court, from the stage in which it was
 me the *habeas* or *certiorari* was served. But, if bail
 2, or the defendant has rendered himself, after the
 1 of the rule, and before the *procedendo* sued out, it
procedendo cannot be sued out afterwards (c).

generally, if the defendant, upon removing a suit For other
 d against him, does not comply with the statutes Causes.
 of court, made to regulate the proceedings therein,
 removal, as by not pleading in due time to the
 delivered, or the like, the plaintiff may obtain a
 . Also, if the court below state, in their return to
 or *certiorari*, circumstances from which the court
 the writ ought not to have issued, a *procedendo* will
 l (d).

procedendo has been improperly awarded or issued, Quashing
 the party may apply to the court out of which it Procedendo.
 have it quashed.

It will not quash a regular writ of *certiorari*, unless Quashing
 admission, or something tantamount to it, by the Certiorari.
 g it out, that he has done it for the purpose of

21 Jac. 1, c. 23, s. 3, after the cause has thus been Removal
 it can never afterwards be removed before final after Proce-
 f). Even where the plaintiff, after the cause was dendo.
 ded, recovered in the court below, and then sued
 elow upon their recognisance, who removed the
 into the King's Bench by *habeas*, the court,
 cation, awarded a *procedendo* (g). But this pro-
 the statute of James does not extend to applica-
 il (h).

ings after Removal.] After the cause has been removed Proceeding
 urt above, by the plaintiff, the plaintiff may be com- after Re-
 roceed, as pointed out ante, 798, on such a removal. moval.
 iff must declare within the second term, inclusive, Declaration
 has been put in and perfected, otherwise he may be
 , but, if not *nonprossed*, the cause is not out of
 a year after the return of the writ for the removal
 After the cause has been removed by the *defendant*,
 ff may proceed in the action or not, at his discre-
 e are no means of compelling him to do so (k); and
 ant cannot, in such a case, *nonpross* the plaintiff (l);
 use will be out of court if the plaintiff do not declare
 year after the return of the writ for the removal of
 ter that time the defendant need not receive the

v. *Walker*, 4 B. & Ald. 535: M. & R. 768, S. C.
 as v. *Stephens*, 5 East, 533. (h) *Glynn v. Hutchinson*, 3 Dowl. 529.
 son v. *Clarke*, Carth. 75: (i) *Norrish v. Richards*, 5 Nev. & M.
 2 W. Bl. 1060: *Fazacharty* 268; 1 H. & W. 437, S. C. It was the case
 k. 352: *Horton v. Beckman*, of a removal by *habeas corpus*.
 Jones v. *Davies*, 1 B. & C. (k) *Clark v. Dixon*, 3 M. & Sel. 93: *Clerk*
 Fry v. *Carey*, 1 Str. 527. v. *Mayor of Berwick*, 4 B. & C. 649; 7 D.
 v. *Shell*, 3 Dowl. 90. See & R. 104. S. C.: *Norrish v. Richards*, 5
 error, ante, Vol. I. 361. Nev. & M. 268; 1 H. & W. 437, S. C.
 as v. *Hutchinson*, 3 Dowl. (l) Id.: R. M., 16 C. 2: *Davies v. James*,
 1 T. R. 372: *Clerk v. Mayor of Berwick*,
 4 B. & C. 649; 7 D. & R. 104, S. C.
 . *Heeslop*, 6 T. R. 365: see
Hutchinson, 3 Dowl. 506; 1 C.,

BOOK IV.
PART I.

declaration (*m*). If the plaintiff do proceed, he must begin *de novo*, by declaring against the defendant, whatever may have been the stage in which the cause was in the inferior court at the time it was removed, and this, whether the removal was by *habeas* or *certiorari* (*n*). The plaintiff, however, cannot declare before bail is put in. The rule of *M. T. 3 W. 4*, as to commencements of declarations, does not apply to causes removed from inferior courts; and therefore it seems that the declaration should be in the old form (*o*). There is no objection, at least if the removal be by *habeas*, to the plaintiff's declaring in a different form of action from that which he commenced in the court below, provided it be for the same cause of action (*p*), and not for a larger amount (*q*).

Plea, &c.

The time for pleading is the same as in *replevin*, *ante*, 801; but no imparlance is allowed, although the plaintiff do not declare until the next term after the bail are perfected, provided he declare on or before the last day of the term (*r*).

The subsequent proceedings are the same as in ordinary cases.

Costs.

If the plaintiff have judgment, he shall be entitled to, and allowed, the costs of the proceedings in the inferior court (*s*).

2. Removal of Judgments, Rules, &c., of Inferior Courts, for the purpose of Execution (*t*).

2. Removal of Judgments of Inferior Courts of Record generally by 19 G. 3, c. 23.

Removal of Judgments of Inferior Courts of Record generally by 19 G. 3, c. 23. By statute 19 G. 3, c. 70, s. 4, where judgment is given in an inferior court of record, (or in the courts of the counties palatine) (*u*), any of the superior courts (*v*) at Westminster (upon affidavit of such judgment being obtained, and of diligent search and inquiry having been made after the person of the defendant or his effects, and of execution having issued against his person or effects, as the case may be, and that his person or his effects are not to be found within the jurisdiction of the inferior court) may cause the record of the judgment to be removed into such superior court, and issue writs of execution thereon against the person or effects of the defendant, in the same manner as upon judgment in the said courts at Westminster (*x*). This statute does not extend to an ejectment (*y*). Nor to judgments against the garnisher in foreign attachment in the lord mayor's court of London (*z*). Nor to judgments for defendants (*a*). The amount for which the judgment was obtained is immaterial (*b*). It seems that the leave of the court is necessary,

m *Curry v. Horsell*, 1 Barnes, 140; *Hart v. N. York*, 1 St. 311; *Norfolk v. Norfolk*, 1 Nev. & M. 260; 1 H. & W. 457, & C.

n R. M. 11 C. 2. *Proctor v. Smith*, 1 N. & M. 100; *Forster v. Beaumont*, 125; *Chas. v. Goad*, 1 Nev. & M. 7; 4 A. & E. 265, & C.

o *Curry v. Horsell*, 1 Wils. 277; *Forster v. Beaumont*, 2 Ch. Rep. 314.

p *Watts v. Evans*, 1 A. & E. 15, per curiam; *Burroughs v. W. v. v.*, 2 Ch. Rep. 314.

q See *Curry v. Horsell*, 120; *Smith v. Jones*, 6 T. R. 722.

r R. M. 11 C. 2.

s See as to writs of error from inferior courts, *ante*, Vol. I. p. 365.

t 19 G. 3, c. 70, s. 1: see Waring's Practice C. P. L. 224.

u See *Forster v. Beaumont*, 3 DowL 224.

v See the form of the affidavit in the latter case, Chas. Forms, 36; of the rule, 12, 167; and of the return, 12, 167. See *Forster v. Beaumont*, 1 H. Bl. 522.

w *The Standard v. Supply*, 2 DowL 224.

x *Shannon v. Mansfield*, 1 D. & R. 357.

y *Burton v. Sutton*, 4 DowL 25.

z *Knowles v. Lawton*, 2 DowL 221.

that a judge at chambers has no power to grant the rule (c). The rule, on application to the court, is absolute at the first instance (d). Where the original judgment was destroyed by accidental fire, the court ordered execution to be on a verified copy of the judgment (e).

Removal of Judgments, Rules and Orders of Inferior Courts, where the Judge is a Barrister of Seven Years' Standing, under 1 & 2 V. c. 110. The twenty-second section of 1 & 2 V. c. 110. acts, "that in all cases where final judgment shall be made in any action or suit in any inferior court of record, in which at the time of passing of this act a barrister of not less than seven years' standing shall act as judge assessor or referee in the trial of causes, and also in all cases where any rule or order shall be made by any such inferior court of record as aforesaid whereby any sum of money or any costs, damages or expenses shall be payable to any person, it shall be lawful for the judges of any of her majesty's superior courts of record at Westminster, or if such inferior court be the county palatine of *Lancaster* for the judges of the Court of Common Pleas at *Lancaster*, or for any judge of any of the said courts at chambers, either in term or vacation, upon the application of any person who at the time of the passing of this act shall have recovered or who shall hereafter recover such judgment, or to whom any money or costs, charges or expenses shall be payable by rule or order as aforesaid, or upon the application of any person on his behalf (f), and upon the production of the record of such judgment, or upon the production of such rule or order, or of a copy thereof, or rule or order, as the case may be, being duly verified under the seal of the inferior court and signature of the officer thereof, to order and direct (g) the judgment, rule or order, as the case may be, to be removed into the said superior court or into the Court of Common Pleas at *Lancaster*, as the case may be; and that thereupon such judgment, rule, or order shall be of the same force, charge, and effect as a judgment recovered in the said superior court, and all writs shall and may be immediately had and taken thereon or by reason or in consequence thereof as if such judgment had so recovered, or rule or order so made, had been so recovered in or made by the said superior court, or the Court of Common Pleas at *Lancaster*, as the case may be; and all the reasonable costs and charges attendant upon such application and removal shall be recovered in like manner as if the same were part of such judgment or rule or order; provided always, that no such judgment or rule or order when so removed as aforesaid shall affect any lands, tenements, or hereditaments, as to purchasers, mortgagees, or creditors, any further than the same would have done if the same had remained a judgment, rule, or order of such inferior court.

Removal of Judgments, Rules and Orders of Inferior Courts where the Judge is a Barrister of Seven Years' Standing, under 1 & 2 V. c. 110.

Ex v. Breeden, 3 Dowl. 324.

Ex v. Lynch, 2 Dowl. 623.

Ex v. Franks, 6 Dowl. 471.

the application, Chit. Forms, 569.

(g) See form of order, Chit. Forms, 569; form of affidavit in support of the application, Id.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

court, unless and until a writ of execution thereon shall actually put into the hands of the sheriff or other officer appointed to execute the same" (*h*).

It may be observed, that this section does not contain words on the construction of which it has been held the 19 G. 3, c. 23, does not include to judgments against defendants. Also, that the application under this act may be made to a judge at chambers, which was not the case under the 19 G. 3, c. 23. It applies to inferior courts of equity as well as law (*i*). The 2 & 3 V. c. 16, s. 28, contains a similar provision as to judgments in the Court of Pleas at Durham.

Removal of a Judgment, &c., in the Court of Common Pleas at Lancaster.

Removal of a Judgment, &c., from the Court of Common Pleas at Lancaster or Durham.] The 4 & 5 W. 4, c. 62, s. 31, enacts "that whenever a plaintiff or defendant, in any action or suit in which judgment shall be recovered in the said Court of Common Pleas at Lancaster, shall remove his person or goods or chattels from or out of the jurisdiction of the said Court of Common Pleas at Lancaster, it shall and may be lawful for any of the superior courts at Westminster, upon a certificate from the prothonotary of the said Court of Common Pleas at Lancaster, or his deputy, of the amount of final judgment obtained in any such action, to issue a writ or writs of execution thereupon for the amount of such judgment, and the costs of such writ or writs and certificate, to the sheriff of the county, city, liberty, or place, against the person or persons and goods of the party or parties against whom such final judgment shall have been obtained, in such manner as upon judgments obtained in any of the said courts at Westminster. Where a defendant, after judgment in an action in the Court of Common Pleas at Lancaster, has removed his person out of the jurisdiction of the county palatine, a superior court, upon an affidavit of these facts, (without shewing that he has removed his goods), will order a *capias ad satisfaciendum* to issue (*l*). And where a party against whom a judgment has been obtained in the Court of Common Pleas at Lancaster has removed out of the jurisdiction, it is necessary, in order to obtain a writ of execution against him, to produce an affidavit of the fact of his removal (*m*). The 2 & 3 V. c. 16, s. 28, as to judgments in the Court of Pleas at Durham, contains a provision similar to that of 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 22, *ante*, 951.

Section 32 also enacts, "that, in case any rule made by the said Court of Common Pleas at Lancaster cannot be enforced by reason of the non-residence of any party or parties against whom the jurisdiction thereof, it shall be lawful, upon a certificate of such rule by the prothonotary of the said court, and an affidavit that by reason of such non-residence such rule cannot be enforced as aforesaid, to make such rule a rule of all the said courts at Westminster, if such court shall

(*h*) See the forms of affidavit, order, & E. 81. S. C.: but see *Same v. Same*, 1 Dowl. Rep. 4. Chit. Forms, 562 *et seq.*

(*i*) *Harvey v. Giffart*, 7 Dowl. 616.

(*k*) See the forms, Chit. Forms, 568.

(*l*) *Lord v. Cross*, 4 Nev. & M. 30; 2 Ad.

(*m*) *Duckworth v. Fogg*, 2 C. 1, 736; 1 Tyr. & G. 172; 4 Dowl. R. S. C.

whereupon such rule shall be enforced as a rule of such court." The 2 & 3 V. c. 16, s. 29, contains a similar provision to rules of the Court of Pleas at Durham.

CHAP. IV.

Removal of a Judgment, &c., from the Court of the Stannaries Cornwall.] The 6 & 7 W. 4, c. 106, s. 11, for the improvement of the administration of justice in the Stannaries of Cornwall, contains provisions similar to those of the above act 4 & 5 W. 4, c. 62, s. 31. But the provisions of the 6 & 7 W. 4, c. 106, apply only to judgments, orders, &c., on the law side of the court (n). And decrees on the equity side of a court can only be removed under the provisions of the 1 R. V. c. 110, s. 22, above noticed (o).

Removal of a Judgment, &c., from the Court of the Stannaries at Cornwall.

(n) *Harvey v. Gilbert*, 7 Dowl. 525, Pat-
n. J.

(o) *Harvey v. Gilbert*, 7 Dowl. 616, Wil-
liams, J.

CHAPTER V.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

CLAIM OF CONUSANCE.

In what Cases. *In what Cases.*] INFERIOR courts of record (a) having grant of "conusance of pleas," with or without express words, may claim *conusance* if an action for a cause within their *conusance* be brought in a superior court (b). But *conusance* shall not be allowed when the franchise claiming it does not give a remedy, and when, consequently, there would be a failure of justice (c); as in *quare impedit* (d), replevin (e), waste (f), or attain (g); nor shall it be allowed after the cause has been removed from the inferior court by writ of error (h) or where the corporation or lord, to whom the franchise was granted, are themselves parties (i), or in *quo warranto* informations (k); nor shall it be allowed where the defendant is a stranger, not having any property within the franchise (l), or where the action here is against an heir on the bond of his ancestor, and he hath no assets within the jurisdiction of the inferior court (m); nor shall it be allowed, where the plaintiff is an attorney or officer of the superior court, and consequently privileged to sue there (n). The defendant's being in the custody of the marshal, however, does not oust the inferior court of its jurisdiction (o).

As to the species of actions in which *conusance* is allowed, it depends entirely upon the charter by which the franchise has been granted; the universities have *conusance* in personal actions only (p); in other cases the *conusance* is usually confined to local actions (q); but in all cases, the actions in which it is claimed must be such as were *in esse* at the time of the charter, and not subsequently created by statute (r).

When to be made.

When to be made.] *Conusance* must be claimed before the defendant has pleaded (s), and even before imparlance (t); and in cases where the cause of action appears in the writ, it must be claimed upon the return day of the writ (u).

(a) 2 Inst. 140: Co. Lit. 117. b.

(b) See 2 Bac. Abr., Courts, (D) 3: 1 Selton, 257: Hardr. 509, 510: Jennings v. Hankyn, Carth. 11: Davis v. Stringer, Carth. 354.

(c) 1 Ro. Abr. 489.

(d) 44 E. 3, 29 b: 26 E. 3, 73: Co. Lit. 134.

(e) 38 E. 3, 31: 2 Inst. 140: Bro. Conusance, 423.

(f) 1 H. 4, 5.

(g) Dy. 202. Kellw. 210: Co. Lit. 204.

(h) 50 Ass. 9.

(i) BendL 88, pl. 134: Day v. Savadge, Hob. 87.

(k) Kellw. 88 to 90.

(l) 22 Ass. 83.

(m) Brown v. Carrington, Cro. Jac 502; 2 Ro. Rep. 48.

(n) Lit. Rep. 40, 304: 3 Lenn. 149 Jolliffe v. Langston, 1 Ld. Raym. 349 ante, 846.

(o) Bro. Conusance, 50: Jennings v. Hankyn, Carth. 12: Jones v. Bodener, 1 Ld. Raym. 135.

(p) See Lit. Rep. 352: Halley's case Cro. Car. 87, 88: Thornton v. Ford 4 Serle, 15 East, 634: Williams v. Brickenden, 11 East, 543.

(q) 4 Inst. 213: Tidd, 631.

(r) 14 H. 4, 20: see 22 E. 4, 23: 2 Bac. Abr., Courts, (D) 3.

(s) Wells v. Trehern, Barnes, 346.

(t) Welles v. Trahern, Willes, 233.

(u) Leasingby v. Smith, 2 Wils. 406, 413: Rex v. Agar, 5 Burr. 2820: see Brown v. Renouard, 12 East, 12.

de.] The claim must be entered on a roll (*x*); and if it were immemorial and not founded upon any express, a former allowance of it in the superior court or must be stated (*y*). Such allowance, or, in the case of charter or private statute, then the charter itself, or confirmation of it under the great seal (*z*), or an exemption (*a*), or copy (*b*), of the private statute, must be produced. Also, where the claim is made by one of the students, a certificate of the chancellor of such university defendant is a resident member, and also an affidavit to the effect, must be produced (*c*).

CHAP. V.
How made.

When it is exhibited in court, and the motion is made that it be read. Then, upon the claim and the other documents mentioned being read, the court grant a rule upon the defendant to shew cause why the conusance should not be granted, and upon cause shewn or default made, the court may rule absolute, or discharge it, as in ordinary cases.

Motion and
Rule for Allowance of.

Conusance may be claimed by the bailiff of the franchise (*e*), the chancellor, vice-chancellor (*f*), or even the deputy vice-chancellor of either of the universities (*g*). If by attorney, the letter of attorney must be produced in due form (*h*).

By whom
made.

When conusance be allowed, a transcript of the record is sent to the superior court; but the record itself remains in the superior court; and if the plaintiff afterwards cannot have justice in the court below, he may have a re-summons upon the record remaining in the superior court (*i*).

Record, how
disposed of.

Re-summons.

Heram v. Graham, 2 Str. 810;
Smith, 2 Wils. 409. See the
entry, *Browne v. Renouard*,

(*d*) See *Browne v. Renouard*, 12 East,
12: see *Leasingby v. Smith*, 2 Wils. 409;
Comb. 119.

Heram, 1 Ld. Raym. 427;
Don, 1 Salk. 183; 9 Co. 27 b,

(*e*) Bro. Conusance, 36, 50.
(*f*) *Williams v. Brickenden*, 11 East,
543.

Don, Vol. I. 219.
Brick v. Kynaston, 1 W. Bl.

(*g*) Hardr. 505.
(*h*) *Bishop of Ely's case*, 1 Sid. 103; 1
Lev. 87: and see *Williams v. Brickenden*,
11 East, 543: *Browne v. Renouard*, 12 Id.
12 to 15.

Don, Vol. I. 216.
Her v. Graham, 2 Str. 810.

(*i*) 1 Sellon, 257: Tidd, 634.

CHAPTER VI.

CHANGE OF VENUE.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

IT should be premised that the court will, in some noticed *ante*, Vol. I. 200, 201, order the issue or inquiry tried or executed in another county than that in which venue is laid, but this practice is distinct from that of changing the venue.

How and in what Cases by Defendant, 956.

Into what Counties, 960.

How and in what Cases brought back on an Undertaking, &c., 961.

When brought back, and discharged without undertaking, 962.

In what Cases changed by writ, 963.

How and in what Cases by Defendant.

On the common Affidavit.

How and in what Cases by Defendant.] LOCAL actions be brought in the county in which the cause of action transitory actions, in any county, at the option of the plaintiff. But if the plaintiff bring a transitory action in any county than that in which the cause of action arose, the defendant upon application to the court or a judge founded on an affidavit, "that the plaintiff's cause of action (if any) is in the county of B., and not in the county of A.," (where the action is brought), "or elsewhere out of the said county of B.," can have the venue changed to the county where the cause of action really arose (a). The affidavit must expressly state that the action did not arise in the county in which venue is laid, and that it did arise in the county to which venue is sought to be changed, and not elsewhere, otherwise it will be insufficient (b). It may, it seems, be made either by the defendant or his attorney, though, as this does not appear expressly settled, it is safest to comply with the usual practice of having it sworn to by the defendant himself (c). In the rule to change the venue may be obtained from one of the masters, who, upon producing the above affidavit and declaration, and a motion paper signed by counsel, will do so, which is absolute in the first instance (d). Upon the rule with one of the masters, and serve a copy of it on the plaintiff's attorney or agent, who will thereupon alter the

(a) See 1 Saund. 73, 74, n.: *Jones v. Peurre*, 2 Dowl. 54; Tidd, 9th ed. 649. See form of affidavit, Chit. Forms, 570.

(b) *Jones v. Peurre*, 2 Dowl. 54; *Palmer v. Terry*, 2 Dowl. 566; *Allen v. Griffiths*, 3 T. R. 495; *Walker v. Wright*, 4 East, 495.

(c) *Biddell v. Smith*, 2 Dowl. 219; see *King v. Turner*, 1 Chit. Rep. 58, 161.

(d) R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 103. By 1 R. H. 2 W. 4, r. 103. "In cases where the application to change the venue is made on the usual affidavit only, the rule to change the venue is absolute in the first instance." 1 R. H. 2 W. 4, r. 103. "In cases where the application to change the venue is made on the usual affidavit only, the rule to change the venue is absolute in the first instance." 1 R. H. 2 W. 4, r. 103. "In cases where the application to change the venue is made on the usual affidavit only, the rule to change the venue is absolute in the first instance." 1 R. H. 2 W. 4, r. 103.

in. In vacation you may obtain a judge's order to the same effect, which is granted, as of course, without summons, upon the production of the affidavit and declaration. The judge's order is then taken with the affidavit, and a motion paper signed by counsel, and served on one of the masters, who will thereupon draw up the rule. The rule may be served at the same time as the affidavit (e).

This application cannot be made before the defendant has pleaded (f). It may now, it seems, be made any time after the plea, and before the defendant has pleaded (g); but not before the plea, (even a plea in abatement (h)), pleaded, unless in special circumstances (post, 958). It may be made after judgment has been obtained to plead, though upon terms of pleading assuably (i), unless expressly provided against by the court, or unless the order be upon the terms of taking short notice of trial (k), or upon "the usual terms" (l), whether or not the trial would be lost by the changing (m). If it is intended, therefore, to apply to change the venue, or if it is intended that such a course may be desirable, the defendant's attorney should introduce into the order for him the words "without prejudice to any application to change the venue;" or, if the plaintiff will not be materially delayed by changing the venue, the court or a judge may direct that the order for time should be amended in that respect (n). The court will have refused to change the venue from London into a foreign county in Hilary term, on the motion of the defendant without an affidavit of merits (o). The motion cannot be made by defendant after a new trial granted (p). Also, where judgment has been allowed to go by default, it may be set aside if the court or judge would change the venue at the instance of the other defendant who had pleaded; for it would be imposing a hardship upon the former, to have his case assessed by a jury of a different county, without his consent (q).

The cause of action be such, that the above affidavit (*viz.* Where the Cause of Action arose in several Counties.) cannot be made, the court or judge will not order the venue to be changed, unless under very particular circumstances, or by the consent of parties. Therefore, if the cause of action have arisen in two counties, as in an action for a libel

Slings v. Chapman, 5 Dowl. 250,

Dearden, M'Clel. & Y. 106.

Spey, K. B., 271.

Smith v. Walker, 8 Taunt. 169; 2 Dowl. 54, S. C.; *Wigley v. Dubbins*, 4 Dowl. 4; 12 Moore, 91, S. C.: see *Moss v. W. 1 Taunt. 58*; 3 B. & P. 13: see *next cases and law*, *Applin v. Gray*, 1 R. M. 1654, s. 5: *Wood v. Winch*, 480: *Thomson v. Hand*, Id. 486: *Wells v. Wells*, Id. 489.

Wigley v. Dubbins, 12 Moore, 91; 384, S. C.

Wigley v. Allen, Willes, 318: *Wilson v. Wilson*, 2 B. & P. 320: *Petyt v. Berkeley*, 11.

Shipley v. Cooper, 7 T. R. 698: *Nun v. 1 Bing. 186*; 7 Moore, 598, S. C.: *Randall*, 1 M. & R. 142: *Waring* 3 Price, 3.

Wells v. Hurst, 1 C. & M. 184: *v. Hult*, 3 Price, 3: *Brettargh v.*

(m) *Nutts v. Curtis*, 1 Dowl. 319; 2 C. & J. 345, S. C.: *Tunks v. Fisher*, 2 Dowl. 22: *Shipley v. Cooper*, 7 T. R. 698: *Petyt v. Berkeley*, Cowp. 511: and see *Ford v. Garner*, Sayer, 207: *Nun v. Taylor*, 1 Bing. 186; 7 Moore, 598, S. C.

(n) *Nutts v. Curtis*, 2 C. & J. 345; 1 Dowl. 320, S. C.: and in a case in the Exch. (H. T., 1838), an action of assault and false imprisonment, the court allowed an amendment of the judge's order in the above respect, where the plaintiff had filed the venue, and given notice for trial in London, to enable the defendant to change the venue, so as to try the cause at the assizes.

(o) *Walton v. Hutton*, 1 Chit. Rep. 14.

(p) *Palmer v. Marshall*, 1 Dowl. 256; 1 Moo. & Sc. 252; 8 Bing. 155, S. C.

(q) See *Reckes v. Holland*, 4 Moo. & Sc. 233: *Groves v. Thackeray*, 5 Taunt. 631.

BOOK IV.
PART I.In what Ac-
tions on the
common Affi-
davit.

published in two or more counties (*r*), or written in one published in another county (*s*), the court or judge will change the venue (*t*); but where the libel was written published in one county (*u*), or written here and published in Germany (*x*), the venue was allowed to be changed to the county where the libel was written.

In an action for criminal conversation, the court has allowed the venue to be changed, upon the usual affidavit, so in an action for an assault (*z*), or for negligence in driving &c. (*a*). But in an action on the case for running down a ship, it has been held that the venue cannot be changed, unless some special ground be alleged (*b*). So the venue may be changed in all actions upon contracts not under seal, with the exception of actions on bills of exchange and promissory notes, to which the idea of locality cannot attach, and also, except in an action on a charter-party, or policy of insurance (*c*), or other instrument, though not under seal, if the declaration be special on the written instrument, and the instrument be not merely incidental to the action, as I. O. U., or the like (*g*). If the declaration contain one count on a cause of action, in respect of which the venue cannot be changed, the insertion of other counts on causes of action in which the venue can be changed, will make no difference. It cannot be changed in debt for rent (*i*), or in an action for an award (*k*), or on a specialty (*l*), or in covenant (*m*). Yet in covenant, where a view was necessary, the court allowed the venue to be changed to the county in which the premises were situate (*n*), though, in another case, it was refused (*o*). And in other cases, on specialties or written instruments, under special circumstances, the court will change the venue after issue joined, but not in general before (*p*). There are some other cases, also, in which the court or judge will not allow the venue to be changed, unless upon special grounds; as in actions for *scandalum magnatum* (*q*), actions against an

(*r*) *Pinkney v. Collins*, 1 T. R. 571: *Hobart v. Wilkens*, 1 Dowl. 460: *Clementson v. Neussombe*, 3 Dowl. 425.

(*s*) *Clissold v. Clissold*, 1 T. R. 647: *Hitchum v. Best*, 1 B. & B. 299.

(*t*) See 2 Saund. 5 c: *Neale v. Nettle* 6 Taunt. 565: *Cameron v. Gray*, 6 T. R. 363: *Robson v. Blackwell*, 2 Dowl. 645.

(*u*) *Freeman v. Norris*, 3 T. R. 305.

(*x*) *Metcalf v. Markham*, 3 T. R. 652: but see *Walker v. Wright*, 4 East, 495.

(*y*) *Guard v. Hodge*, 10 East, 32.

(*z*) *Shepherd v. Hall*, 2 Chit. Rep. 417.

(*a*) *Williams v. Land*, 4 Taunt. 729.

(*b*) *Flecke v. Gidfrey*, 1 T. R. 782, n. But it does not appear from the report where the accident took place.

(*c*) *Kirke v. Broad*, Say. 7: *Howarth v. Willett*, 2 Str. 1180: *Watkins v. Towers*, 2 T. R. 275: *Roberts v. Wright*, 1 Dowl. 294: 1 C. & J. 547: 1 Tyr. 552, S. C., which was an action on an I. O. U.: see *Morris v. Hurry*, 7 Taunt. 306.

(*d*) *Parmeter v. Otway*, 3 Dowl. 66: *Walthev v. Spers*, Id. 160: *Pinkney v. Collins*, 1 T. R. 571: *Erans v. Weaver*, 1 B. & B. 20: *Shepherd v. Green*, 5 Taunt. 576: *Smith v. Elkins*, 1 Dowl. 426.

(*e*) *Smith v. Stanfield*, 1 M'Clel. & Y. 212, *per curiam*.

(*f*) *Morrice v. Hurry*, 7 Taunt. 306.

(*g*) *Pickard v. Featherstone*, 4 Bing. 39;

12 Moore, 161, S. C.: *Slack v. Tren*, Dowl. 65: 1 C. & M. 584, S. C.: *Rob v. Wright*, 1 Tyr. 532.

(*h*) *Parmeter v. Otway*, 3 Dowl. 66: *Walthev v. Spers*, Id. 160: 1 C., M. & 586, S. C.: *Duncan v. Hoorman*, 3 Dowl. 160: 1 C., M. & R. 594, S. C.: *Hart Taylor*, 2 D. & R. 164: *Arden v. Morant*, 4 Tyr. 56.

(*i*) *Dupleasis v. Chalk*, 2 Str. 878.

(*k*) *Whitburn v. Staines*, 2 B. & P.: *Stanway v. Hishop*, 4 D. & R. 635: 3 B. C. 9, S. C.

(*l*) *Forster v. Taylor*, 1 T. R. 781: *W v. Daniel*, 1 B. & P. 425: *Yonde v. Yon*, 4 Dowl. 32: 3 Ad. & EL 311, S. C.: see *Anon.*, 1 Sid. 87.

(*m*) *Bohrs or Maude v. Sessions*, 1 M. & R. 86: 2 Dowl. 699, S. C.: *Weatherby v. Goring*, 3 B. & C. 552: 3 B. & R. 441, S. C.

(*n*) *Hodinott v. Cur*, 8 East, 268.

(*o*) *Anon.*, 2 Chit. 419.

(*p*) *Bohrs or Maude v. Sessions*, 1 M. & R. 86: *Cockerill v. Dixon*, 1 C. M. 661: *Parmeter v. Otway*, 3 Dowl. 66: *Yonde v. Yonde*, 4 Dowl. 32: 3 Ad. & 311, S. C. See cases referred to in *infra*.

(*q*) 2 Ld. Raym. 1418: *Duke of Norfolk v. Alderton*, 2 Salk. 668: *Lady Falkland v. Forrest*, 2 Str. 807.

actions for the infringement of a patent (*s*), and escapes, or false returns (*t*).

Solicitors-at-law, barristers, attornies, and officers of the court have the privilege of laying their venue in Middlesex, and the court or judge will not allow the defendant to change it, upon the usual affidavit (*r*); provided they sue upon their own right (*x*), and not jointly with others (*y*). But if they lay the venue in any other county, they have no privilege in changing it (*z*). And a plaintiff, who becomes a barrister, cannot bring back the venue, though originally laid in Middlesex (*a*). And if an attorney sue by himself, he thereby waives his privilege as to venue (*b*). None of the above persons can, as *defendants*, have the venue changed to Middlesex without the usual affidavit (*c*).

Persons privileged as to Venue.

In a transitory action is brought in the county in which the cause of action arose, or in the other cases already mentioned, in which the venue cannot be changed upon the usual affidavit, or in which, although it might have been so changed, yet the application has not been made in proper time, the court will never change the venue, unless it is very evident from political excitement, or other causes, a fair and speedy trial cannot be had in the county where it is already laid, or, unless the witnesses on both sides live at a great distance from the place where the venue is laid, or unless the probability of trying the cause in the county where the venue is laid very greatly preponderates (*e*); or where a view is desired for the furtherance of justice (*f*); or under other very special circumstances (*g*), as, for instance, where, in a country cause, the cause was not tried for defect of jurors, and the defendant was rendered by his bail, so that he would have been in prison till the following assizes, had not the venue been changed to Middlesex (*h*); or with the consent of parties.

Change of Venue on special Grounds

The mere circumstance of there being only twenty-four special jurors in a county, is no ground for changing the venue (*i*). In these cases, it is not, it seems, in general, any objection to the application, that the defendant has given an

answer in his case, 2 Salk. 670.

Wright v. White, 7 D. & R. 103: 11 T. Rep. 418; 6 T. R. 363.

2 Salk. 670, 671.

Notwithstanding the 2 W. 4, c. 39, the privilege still exists, (*ante*, 846), but only in person, as an attorney. *Timms*, 3 Dowl. 707.

v. Redfearne, 4 Burr. 2027; 2 Dowl. 176: *Burroughs v. Willis*, 2 Dowl. 176.

Wright v. Burnaby, 2 Ld. Raym. 1010, S. C.: *Pye v. Leigh*, 2 Dowl. 670, S. C.: *Pye v. Leigh*, 2 Dowl. 670, S. C.

Doenas v. Brian, Id. 993.

Doenas v. Roulston, 1 Salk. 2.

Doenas, 846: *Newton & Wife v. Doenas*, 3 Dowl. 630.

v. Shelley, 7 Taunt. 146.

Doenas v. Harland, 6 Dowl. 630.

Doenas v. Timms, 3 Dowl. 707.

Doenas v. Ros, 3 T. R. 573: *Pope v. Doenas*, 4 Burr. 2028: and see *Lewis v. Doenas*, 7 Taunt. 146: *ante*, 847.

Doenas v. Pooler v. Bennet, 2 Stra. 1113: *Berkeley*, Cowp. 510: *Rex v. Doenas*, 1333: *Mylocke v. Sahidine*, 1 Dowl. 630: *Mylocke &c. of Bristol v. Proctor*, 1 Dowl. 630: *Hill v. Paine*, 3 Dowl. 630: *Jennings*, 5 Bing. N. C. 485: *Doenas & C. v. Morris v. The Duke*

of Norfolk, Exch., 22nd November, 1839.

(*c*) *Alcock v. Cook*, 6 Bing. 733; 4 Moo. & P. 573, S. C.: *Johnson v. Nevison*, 2 Dowl. 260: and see *Foster v. Taylor*, 1 T. R. 781: *Evans v. Weaver*, 1 B. & P. 20: *Anon.*, 2 Chit. Rep. 418: *Ladbury v. Richards*, 7 Moore, 82: *Fenwick v. Farrow*, 1 Chit. Rep. 334: *Crompton v. Stewart*, 1 Dowl. 567; 2 C. & J. 473, S. C.; 10 Price, 171.

(*f*) *Hodinott v. Cox*, 8 East, 268.

(*g*) See *Foster v. Taylor*, 1 T. R. 782: *Hodinott v. Cox*, 8 East, 268: *Keys v. Smith*, 10 Bing. 1; 3 Moo. & Scott, 338, S. C.; in which case defendant was a prisoner. Where the venue was laid in Yorkshire, and the witnesses from the nature of their occupation would necessarily be abroad at the time of the York assizes, the court granted a rule nisi to change the venue to London. (*Atkinson v. Sadler*, 2 Chit. 419).

(*h*) *Keys v. Smith*, 10 Bing. 1; 3 M. & Scott, 338; 2 Dowl. 210, S. C.

(*i*) *Mayor &c. of Bristol v. Proctor*, 1 Wils. 298.

(*k*) *Doe Lloyd v. Williams*, 5 Bing. N. C. 205.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

undertaking to try at the sittings (l). *The affidavit to change the venue, under special circumstances, should state the nature of the cause of action, and of the defence thereto, and the ground for the motion or application (m).* And if the ground on which the venue is sought to be changed be, that the witnesses live on one side at a great distance from the place where the venue is sought, the affidavit should also state, that the defendant intends to examine witnesses (n), the number of those witnesses (o), and where they reside. *The application should also, as a general rule, be made after issue joined, and not before (p).* When, however, the pleadings and facts of the case were such, that the court could not fail to see what the issues joined must be, and the only matter in dispute was as to costs, a change of venue was allowed before issue joined (q). The court, in granting the application, will, in general, impose on the applicant such terms, as to payment of costs or expenses, admissions (s), and other matters (t), as they consider just against the opposite party.

In local Actions.

We have seen (*ante*, Vol. I. 200) that by the 3 & 4 W. c. 42, s. 22, the venue may be changed even in *local actions* where it is more convenient that the trial should take place in another county. The application to change the venue in *local actions* cannot be made till after issue joined (u). In *local actions* when an impartial trial cannot be had in the county where the action is brought, instead of moving to change the venue, it is more usual to apply for leave to enter a suggestion upon the issue, in order to have a trial in the adjoining county, as directed *ante*, Vol. I. 201.

Rule not a Stay of Proceedings.

A rule or order to change the venue does not, in general, operate as a stay of proceedings, and the parties are bound to take the next step as if no such order had been made (x).

Into what Counties.

Into what Counties.] When the venue is changed upon a common affidavit, it is always changed to the county in which the cause of action arose; when changed because a fair and impartial trial cannot be had in the county in which it was laid, it is usually changed to the next adjoining county; when changed for any other special cause, it is changed into any county as the circumstances of the case suggest. It may be changed to Chester (y), Durham, or Lancaster, and the record sent down by *mittimus* into the latter counties. The venue might, even before the 11 G. 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 70, be changed to a Welsh county (z); and if it be desired afterwards to ha-

(l) *Johnson v. Newson*, 2 Dowl. 260: see *vide Haythorn v. Birch*, 2 Id. 240.

(m) *Ladbury v. Richards*, 7 Moore, 82: see *Johnson v. Bernsford*, 2 C. & M. 222. See a form, Chit. Forms, 554, 576.

(n) *Crompton v. Stewart*, 1 Dowl. 567; 2 C. & J. 473, S. C.: but see *per Littledale, J.*, 3 Dowl. 68.

(o) *Evans v. Wearer*, 1 B. & P. 20.

(p) *Yonde v. Yonde*, 4 Dowl. 32; 3 Ad. & El. 311, S. C.: *Cutler v. Dixon*, 1 C. & M. 661: *Bahrs v. Searians*, 1 C., M. & R. 86: *Weatherby v. Goring*, 3 B. & C. 552; 5 D. & R. 441, S. C.: *Parmer v. Otway*, 3 Dowl. 66: see *Finster v. Taylor*, 1 T. R. 781: *Millocke v. Saladine*, 3 Burr. 1561: *Bayley v. Beaumont*, 11 Moore, 384: *Dooler v. Caller*, 7 Dowl. 55.

(q) *Dooler v. Collis*, 4 M. & W. 53 Dowl. 56, S. C.: but not so clear as to point in the text.

(r) See *Hosring v. Bignold*, 1 D. 685, *per cur.*

(s) See *Holmes v. Walmerwright*, 3 D. 321.

(t) See *Bosring v. Bignold*, 1 D. 685, *per curiam*: *Evans v. Wearer*, 1 B. & P. 20.

(u) *Bell v. Harrison*, 2 C., M. & R. 4 Dowl. 181, S. C.

(z) See *post*, 1045.

(y) *Gulfrey v. Philpot*, 2 Ld. Ra. 1418: *Price v. Griffith*, 1 Wils. 222: all cases were decided before the 1 W. c. 70.

(x) *Hopkins v. Lloyd*, 6 East, 355.

How and in what Cases brought back on an Undertaking, &c.

What material Evidence, &c.

But it will be sufficient to prove any fact material to the cause that took place in the county, though it do not constitute the entire cause of action (*l*); for instance, that the deed on which the action is founded was enrolled within the county (*m*); or, in an action by the assignees of a bankrupt, to prove that the fiat of bankruptcy issued, and the bankruptcy was declared, in the county (*n*); or to prove that the promissory note on which the action is founded was deposited into the post-office in the county (*o*); or in an action for goods sold and delivered, to prove letters containing an invoice of goods having been put into the post-office in the county at the time the goods were forwarded (*p*); or delivery of goods in the county to a carrier for the defendant (*q*); or, in an action for an escape, the issuing of the writ

production of a rule to pay money into court was held to be material evidence, (where the venue was brought back to Middlesex), though not obtained till after the discharge of the rule to change the venue.

(k) *How v. Pickard*, 2 M. & W. 373;
5 Dowl. 616, S. C.

(f) See *Anon.*, 2 Chit. Rep. 418; and the cases in the following notes.

(m) Peake, Ev. 213

(n) *Kensington v. Chandler*, 8 Moo. & Sc.

26: see *Clarke v. Reed*, 1 New Rep. 310,

control.

(c) *Smith v. Walker*, 8 Taunt. 100; 2
Moore 64, 8 C.

(2) Linley v. Bates 9 C. & J. 659

(c) *Prosser v. Rich*, 7 Taunt. 178.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

on which the party was taken(*r*); or in an action warranty of a horse, that plaintiff's attorney wrote a letter in the county, apprising him of the breach of warranty and that the horse was standing at livery at the defendant's expense, coupled with an admission of the receipt of a letter in the county(*s*); or in an action against co-proprietors for negligence and injuring plaintiff, to prove expense of medical attendance, &c., was incurred in the county(*t*); or, it seems, anything tending to increase damages(*u*); or, perhaps, to prove that the cause arose abroad(*x*).

To what
Time the Un-
dertaking re-
fers.

It has been considered that the undertaking in the Pleas must be understood to have reference only to the evidence necessary to support the declaration, or any material averment in it; and therefore, if the defendant pleads and avoid the whole cause of action, or plead a tender of the whole declaration, the plaintiff will not be bound to produce at the trial the material evidence he undertook to produce. But the undertaking in the Queen's Bench is different from that in the Common Pleas, and it must, it seems, have reference to the evidence necessary to support the issue between the parties, whatever it may be(*z*).

When
brought back
and Rule
discharged
without an
Undertaking.

When brought back and Rule discharged without an undertaking.] The court or a judge will, under special circumstances, discharge the rule to change the venue, without an undertaking above mentioned. Thus, when a fair and impartial trial cannot be had in the county to which it was changed(*a*), or where the plaintiff would otherwise be prejudiced in his action(*b*), or if the cause of action arose in part in another country, or in Ireland or Scotland(*c*), or if the venue was not to have been originally changed(*d*), as where it was on a bill of exchange(*e*), or the rule obtained without an order for time on the terms of taking short notice of the rule, or if the affidavit upon which the venue was changed was defective(*g*), or the like, the court or a judge will discharge the rule to change the venue, without any undertaking. In such cases, however, such undertaking will not be dispensed with even although it be shewn that the affidavit upon which the venue was changed is false(*h*).

Where a rule for changing the venue has been obtained on the common affidavit, in a case in which the venue may be changed on special grounds, and a rule is obtained for bringing back the venue, it will be no answer to the

- | | |
|---|--|
| (<i>r</i>) <i>Neale v. Nevill</i> , 6 Taunt. 565. | & P. 367, S. C. |
| (<i>s</i>) <i>Collins v. Jenkins</i> , 4 Bing. N. C. 225. | (<i>c</i>) <i>Hope v. Bennett</i> , 2 N. R. |
| (<i>t</i>) <i>Curtis v. Drinkwater</i> , 2 B. & Ad. 169. | <i>v. M'Keand</i> , 2 Taunt. 197. |
| (<i>u</i>) See <i>Collins v. Jenkins</i> , 4 Bing. N. C. 225. | (<i>d</i>) <i>Clementson v. Neeson</i> |
| (<i>x</i>) <i>Gerrard v. De Rosbeck</i> , 1 H. Bl. 280: | 435: <i>Darson v. Boorman</i> , 1 |
| <i>Neale v. Nevill</i> , 6 Taunt. 565: <i>sed quare</i> , | <i>Hobart v. Wilkins</i> , 1 Dowl. |
| since the R. H., 2 W. 4, s. 103? | (<i>e</i>) <i>Darson v. Boorman</i> , 3 |
| (<i>y</i>) <i>Cockerell v. Chamberlayne</i> , 1 Taunt. 518: and see <i>Soulby v. Lea</i> , 3 Taunt. 86. | (<i>f</i>) <i>Petyt v. Berkeley</i> , 1 Cowp. 510: |
| (<i>z</i>) See <i>Phillips v. Chapman</i> , 5 Dowl. 251. | (<i>g</i>) <i>Tidd</i> , 610: <i>Allen v. Gr</i> |
| (<i>a</i>) <i>Petyt v. Berkeley</i> , 1 Cowp. 510: <i>See</i> | 495. |
| <i>Hurdman v. Pilkington</i> , 4 Burr. 2447. | (<i>h</i>) <i>Price v. Woodburne</i> , |
| (<i>b</i>) <i>Anner v. Cattell</i> 5 Bing. 203; 2 Moo. | <i>Hunt v. Bridgeford</i> , 1 Taunt. |
| | <i>Norriah</i> , 3 Id. 464: <i>Powell</i> |
| | 178: <i>Wood v. Perkes</i> , 2 B. |
| | but see <i>Culland v. Champion</i> |

shew that there are special grounds for keeping the venue at the place to which it has been changed; but those grounds must be made the subject of an independent motion for changing the venue in the first instance (i).

In what Cases changed by Plaintiff.] In transitory actions, the plaintiff may lay his venue where he will; but if from circumstances he should afterwards desire to change it, he may obtain leave to amend his declaration, by altering the venue (j) upon stating to the court or judge a reasonable ground for the application (k); and this even after plea pleaded and issue joined (l), or even after the venue has been changed in the usual affidavit (m), or after a nonsuit on the trial, where it had been changed by plaintiff (n). In local actions we have seen (*ante*, Vol. I. 200) that the court or a judge may now the trial or inquiry to take place in another county than that in which the venue is laid. And the court allowed a suggestion to be entered for this purpose in an action of trespass *quare clausum fregit*, where it was sworn that the defendant and others riotously and tumultuously assembled, and broke down the fences &c., without imposing any terms upon the plaintiff (o).

(i) *Dutton v. Brown*, 3 Dowl. 160.

(j) *Streat v. Tilly*, 2 Str. 1162: *Petre v. Tilly*, 4 East, 433: *Dover v. Meston*, Id.

(k) *Agnes v. Buxton*, 6 Taunt. 408. As to what are reasonable grounds, see *ante*,

962, 963.

(l) *Cook v. Shone*, Barnes, 12: but see *Bird v. Foster*, Id. 19.

(m) *Rivet v. Chalmers*, 2 Str. 1202.

(n) Price's Notes, P. Pr. 177: *and quære?*

(o) *Jones v. Price*, 7 Dowl. 103.

CHAPTER VII.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

STRIKING OUT COUNTS, PLEAS, UNNECESSARY AVERMENTS

Striking out
unnecessary
Counts.

IF the declaration contain any unnecessary count, the defendant may obtain a judge's order for striking out the count at the plaintiff's cost, unless the plaintiff satisfies the judge that some distinct subject-matter of complaint is intended to be established in respect of such count; in which case the judge will specify on the summons, or on the declaration, the counts on which the plaintiff has failed to show him that a distinct subject-matter of complaint is intended to be established; and if it should afterwards appear that no such distinct matter of complaint was intended to be established in respect of the count, the plaintiff will recover no costs upon any issue of the count with respect to which the judge so ordered. These rules, and the proceedings to be taken under them, have been fully noticed in the first Volume, p. 147—150. The superfluity of the counts may generally be collected from the particulars of demand, if any delivered; and such counts should, in general, be obtained before making the answer.

Superfluous
Matter.

If any part of a count be superfluous, such as unnecessary recitals, statements of venue (*b*), statements of descriptions of property, or the like, if the superfluous part be of any length, the court or a judge, upon application, will in like manner order it to be struck out (*c*), wholly so at the plaintiff's cost. In an action against several defendants, the court ordered the word "defendants" to be substituted for the names of the several defendants in the declaration, in all the places where they occurred, in the first (*d*).

Indecent or
scandalous
Matter.

So, if a declaration unnecessarily contain indecent or scandalous language, the court or a judge, upon application, will refer it to one of the masters, and direct them, in their report, to tax exemplary costs (*e*).

Reference to
Master.

The rule or order granted in these two latter cases is, either that the declaration be referred to one of the masters (upon whose report the court will afterwards decide), or that the rule upon the plaintiff to shew cause why the count should not be struck out. It is seldom that the master, unless in the case of scandal and impropriety, or where the superfluous matter is so mixed up in the declaration as to require the aid of the master.

(a) R. H., 4 W. 4, r. 5, 6, 7, *ante*, Vol. I. 147—150: see Tidd, 9th ed., 616: Bagley's Pract. 257.

(b) *Fisher v. Snow*, 3 Dowl. 27; *Harper v. Champneys*, 2 Dowl. 680; *Townsend v. Gurney*, 3 Dowl. 168.

(c) 1 Sellon, 239; Tidd, 9th ed., 616; *Das v. Lord Weymouth*, Co. v. Fletcher, Id. 727.

(d) *Mecke v. Oxlade*, 1 Carmack v. Gundry, 3 B. & C. 20.

(e) *Anon.*, 2 Wils. 20: It

is not to be easily separated and distinguished, or pointed out with distinctness to the attention of the court (*f*).

At what Time applied for.

The motion or application to strike out superfluous counts or matters should, unless some point of law be involved in it, as to warrant an application to the court (*g*), be made at the first instance to a judge at chambers (*h*). The application, if made to the court, must be founded on an affidavit, that they are for the same identical causes of complaint, else the rule must be drawn up on reading the declaration (*i*). As to the time of the application, it should be made before plea pleaded; and from a case decided before the rules *H. T.*, 4 *W.* 4, it appears that it ought to be made before a defendant has obtained time to plead (*k*); and, at all events, before the superfluous counts or matter are engrossed in the record (*l*). It is now, however, the practice to grant it, though made after time to plead granted. The application, if granted, will be with costs (*m*).

We have seen (*ante*, *Vol. I.* 146) that there are prescribed by *R. T.* 1831) forms given as examples of declarations in actions on bills of exchange, notes, and on the common counts, and that they must not exceed the prescribed length, otherwise no costs of the excess will be allowed to the plaintiff. If he succeed, and the costs of the excess incurred by the defendant will be taxed and allowed him, and be deducted from the plaintiff's costs; and, on the taxation of costs between attorney and client, no costs will be allowed the attorney in respect of such excess; and in case any costs be payable by plaintiff to defendant on account of such excess, the amount thereof will be deducted from the attorney's bill.

Forms given by R. T. 1831.

The rules above noticed (*ante*, 964) as to restraining the use of and striking out superfluous counts in a declaration, are also applicable to several pleas, avowries, or cognizances, which will not be allowed, and may, on application, be struck out, unless a distinct ground of answer or defence is intended to be established in respect of each, and as to which, see *ante*, *Vol. I.* 150.

Striking out unnecessary Pleas, &c.

Sometimes the court or a judge will order an improper plea to be struck out. (*See ante*, *Vol. I.* 167). If a plea be clearly frivolous, and put in for the mere purpose of delaying and harassing the plaintiff, the court or a judge will strike it out with costs, and allow the plaintiff to sign judgment (*n*). But they will not do this except in a plain case (*o*).

Improper & frivolous Pleas.

(f) *Bailey v. Watkins*, 1 Chit. Rep. 450.

(g) See *per Alderson, B.*, 4 Dowl. 223.

(h) *Ward v. Graystock*, 4 Dowl. 717.

(i) *Ray v. Bristol*, 2 M. & W. 241; 5 Dowl. 452; *Murph. & Hur.* 120.

(k) *Wilkins v. Perry*, Hardw. 120: see *Law v. Williamson*, Imp. C. P., 6th ed., 172.

(l) *Thomas v. Jackson*, 2 Bing. 453; 10 Moore, 152, S. C.

(m) *Laurence v. Stephens*, 3 Dowl. 777.

(n) *Knocles v. Burward*, 2 Per. & D. 235; *Horner v. Keppel*, 2 Per. & D. 234; *Bradbury v. Evans*, Exch., 25th Nov. 1839.

(o) *Hurner v. Keppel*, 2 Per. & D. 234.

CHAPTER VIII.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

CONSOLIDATING ACTIONS.

In general.

IF two or more actions be brought by the same party at the same time, against the same defendant, for the same cause of action which might have been joined in the same court, or a judge at chambers, if they deem the plaintiff's application oppressive, will in general compel the plaintiff to shew cause why the proceedings should not be stayed, and to pay the costs of the application (*a*). In *Kenyon* said, it was a scandalous proceeding; the causes depended on the same title, and ought to be on the same record; and the rule was made absolute in three actions against different persons for the same cause, which were ordered to be consolidated (*c*); but in another similar case the application was refused (*d*). The court refused to consolidate an action against husband and wife and an action against the husband alone (*e*). And it is the rule will seldom be granted in penal actions (*f*); six actions of trover had been brought against the same defendant by different plaintiffs employing the same counsel, the court refused to order the proceedings in five of them to be stayed to abide the result of one, it being sworn that the causes of action were different in all of them, and the witnesses were different (*g*). Where three actions were successively brought by the same plaintiff against the same defendant, upon three promissory notes which became due at three different times, the Court of King's Bench refused to consolidate them (*h*). But, in a case at *Nisi Prius*, it was held by Lord *Tenterden*, C. J., that if a party sue on a promissory note, and, after the action is commenced, another promissory note is accepted by the same defendant, of which the first holder is dishonoured, and he brings a second action on the second note, a judge at chambers would, on application being made, order the two actions to be consolidated (*i*): this, however, is a questionable rule. It is purely a matter of discretion for the court to order actions to be consolidated; they will, if the plaintiff apply to consolidate them, if they can be joined, and if it appears that they were brought separately for the purpose of vexatious oppression.

(a) *Cecil v. Briggs*, 2 T. R. 639: see *Benton v. Praed*, 1 Smith, 423.

(b) 2 Sellon, 144: *Doe v. Pulteney v. Cavan*, Imp. K. B. 731: and see *Grimstone v. Burgers*, Barnes, 176: *Doe v. Brenton*, 6 Bing. 469: but see *Smith v. Crabbe*, 2 Str. 1149, *contra*, in which case, however, it does not appear, but that there was some satisfactory ground for bringing the several actions.

(c) *Prac. Reg.* 151: *Anon.* 709, n.; Barnes, 341: and see 2 B. & Ald. 506.

(d) *Cutlin v. Elliott*, 1 Str.

(e) *Swithin v. Vincent*, 2 Str.

(f) See *Benton v. Praed*, 1 Str.

(g) *Nicholls v. Lefevre*, 3 Str.

(h) *Mussenden v. O'Hara*, 1 Str. 614.

(i) *Oldershaw v. Tregoe*, 1 Str.

re several actions are brought upon the same policy of
 e, the court or a judge, upon application of the de-
 , will grant a rule or order to stay the proceedings
 he actions but one, the defendants undertaking to be
 y the verdict in such action, and to pay the amount
 several subscriptions and costs if the plaintiff should
 together with such other terms as the court or judge
 nk proper to impose upon them(*k*). The rule or
 ay now be obtained, notwithstanding the plaintiff
 is consent to it(*l*); and if the action which is tried
 nined in favour of the plaintiff, the other defendants
 necessary) obtain a stay of proceedings in their se-
 ions, upon payment of the amount of their subscrip-
 costs.

CHAP. VIII.
 Actions on
 Policy of In-
 surance.

ly, it was thought that a consolidation rule bound
 tiff as well as the defendant, and the court or judge
 , though fresh evidence had been discovered, permit
 tiff to try the other actions(*n*). But now a different
 s established(*o*), the rule being for the benefit of the
 . And in a late case, where actions against under-
 ad been consolidated by rule of court, and the de-
 ad obtained a verdict in one, the court refused to
 he plaintiff from trying a second cause included in
 rule, till the costs of the first were paid(*p*). The
 however, by proceeding in a second consolidated
 ithout applying to the court, loses the benefit of any
 ich were imposed on the defendants by the consoli-
 le(*p*).

Effect of the
 Rule.

urt or judge, under circumstances, may open the con-
 rule for the defendant, and permit a second cause to
 if they do, they will in general extend to the second
 uch terms made compulsory on the party successful
 st cause, as are requisite for attaining the merits(*q*).
 cause has been tried twice by special juries, and a
 or the plaintiff returned on both occasions, the court
 open a consolidation rule for the trial of a second
 less it be shewn that the cause has not been fully
 before the jury(*r*).

Rule, when
 opened.

ly, before the late rules of pleading were introduced,
 olidation was not granted until after plea pleaded;
 ly, the practice has been to consolidate at an earlier
 id in a recent case, two actions having been brought
 ame plaintiff against different defendants, on the
 icy of insurance, the court consolidated them after a
 on had been delivered in one, and an appearance
 n the other, at the instance of the defendant in the
 tion, though the plaintiff objected(*s*). So that it

At what Tim
 applied for.

v. Anderson, 1 Ad. & El. 635;
 . 873, S. C.
Worth v. Brodick, 4 Ad. &
 ev. & M. 240, S. C. See the
 rule in this case, 6 Nev. & M.
 . Forms, p. 578. See *Ohry v.*
 lev. & P. 244. And see the
 ice. Park, Ins. Introd.
v. Douglas, 4 B. & Ad. 544.

(*o*) See *M'Gregor v. Horsfall*, 4 M. & W.
 320.
 (*p*) See *Long v. Douglas*, Id. 545, n.
 (*q*) *Cohen v. Bulkeley*, 5 Taunt. 165.
 (*r*) *Foster v. Allenby*, 5 Dowl. 619; 3
 Bing. N. C. 896, S. C., nom. *Foster v. Altes*,
Vaughan, J., diss.
 (*s*) *Hollingsworth v. Brodick*, 4 Ad. &
 El. 646; 6 Nev. & M. 240, S. C.

**BOOK IV.
PART I.**

**How applied
for.**

**Application
for Leave to
sign Judg-
ment in Ac-
tions not
Tried.**

**Costs on Pay-
ment into
Court.**

seems the actions may now be consolidated at any time after the first appearance, though before declaration.

The application for the consolidation rule is to be made to the court or to a judge. If made to the court, draw a motion paper, inserting thereon or in it the titles of the causes; and indorse on it the counsel's name, requiring leave for a rule to shew cause why the within actions should be consolidated." If made to a judge, there is no need of a motion paper, and a summons will suffice, which is to be intitled in the several causes, and be "for the plaintiff to shew cause why the within actions should not be consolidated." The rule is made absolute, or an order made, as above mentioned (t).

After verdict for plaintiff, (if the actions have been consolidated by order), and judgment signed thereon, take out a writ of execution before a judge, and obtain his order to enter up judgment in several other actions which were consolidated, and that the plaintiff be at liberty to sue out execution thereon; also, that the masters may tax the costs in all the causes, and the defendant may also pay the costs of the application to the plaintiff.

You must sign judgment, tax your costs, and sue out a writ of execution (according to the terms of the order), as in other cases formerly it was usual to make a motion to the court for a rule to shew cause why the within actions should not be consolidated, but now a judge will make the order at chambers as above noticed.

By R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 104, "Where money is paid into court in several actions, which are consolidated, and the plaintiff without taxing costs proceeds to trial on one of them, and fails, he shall be entitled to costs on the others at the time of paying money into court" (u).

(t) See forms, Chit. Forms, 577, 578.

(u) See this rule noticed post.

CHAPTER IX.

PAYMENT OF MONEY INTO COURT.

IF a person is satisfied that he is indebted to another, ^{Gen} ^{serv} ⁱⁿ claim for a sum certain, or capable of being ascertained by computation, but disputes the amount claimed of him before action brought he may tender to his creditor what he admits he owes, and then plead the tender in the action. Or, after action brought he may, even if the claim be for an unliquidated amount, apply to a judge to stay the proceedings upon payment of the sum that he admits to be recoverable, and to shew cause why, in default of plaintiff's accepting it, he should not pay to defray his costs subsequent to the application, if the plaintiff does accept that sum in satisfaction, as noticed *post*. Or, after action brought, and after, or in some cases before, declaration he may, as subsequently pointed out in this Chapter, pay the sum into court, and plead the payment of it, and let the plaintiff afterwards proceed in the action at his peril. But as to the existence of the debt, nor the amount claimed, he is not to be heard, the defendant should pay the sum indorsed on the writ within four days of the execution of it, or after that time he could apply to a judge for an order to stay the proceedings, upon payment of debt and costs, as directed in the Chapter. In all cases where there has been a tender, but with some doubt as to its sufficiency, it is safest to pay the money into court without pleading the tender (*b*), for though the payment of money into court subjects the defendant to the same risk to the time of paying it in, if the plaintiff do not accept it, nevertheless, if the defendant plead a tender, and the plaintiff take issue thereon, and the defendant fail in it, he will thereby, at all events, subject himself to the costs of the trial and the general costs of the cause. We now proceed to consider, under the following heads, the practice as to the payment of money into court.

Cases allowed, 969.

and how paid in, 972.

973.

on and Subsequent Proceedings, 974.

, 975.

Effect of it as an Admission of the Cause of Action, &c., 978.

Payment into Court upon a Plea of Tender, 981.

Payment into Court in lieu of Bail, id.

[*but Cases allowed.*] Prior to the stat. 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, ^{In v} ^{alk} ^{In f} the general rule was, as it still is, "that where the sum claimed is a *sum certain*, or capable of being ascertained by computation, without leaving any other sort of discretion exercised by the jury," the defendant may pay money

, 972.

per Lord Tenterden, C. J., Lea-

thorpe v. Swopesstone, 3 C. & P. 342.

no rule or judge's order to pay money into court necessary, except in cases within the above act; but money may be paid in as of course, it becomes necessary, in the first place, to consider the cases in which money paid into court independently of that act.

In Assumpsit. As regards an action on promises, the general rule where the breach is substantially for the non-payment of money (*e*), but not otherwise (*f*), money may be paid into court as of course; in other cases the defendant must obtain a rule or order to pay it in, as being within the above act of 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42.

In Debt. In debt on simple contract, the defendant may pay money into court as of course (*g*); so, in debt for rent (*h*) or debt on a policy of insurance (*i*) or for non-residence (*j*), generally, in debt on a record or specialty, he cannot pay money into court as of course; because in these cases the amount of the debt is ascertained, and cannot be varied from by the jury in a trial (*l*): the defendant's course in these cases is to obtain a rule or a judge to stay the proceedings on payment of the debt or penalty and costs; as to which see *post*. As to staying the proceedings on paying the penalty in a penal action, see *post*, 985.

In Covenant. In covenant, where the breach assigned is the non-payment of a sum of money, the defendant may pay money into court as of course (*m*), but not in other cases (*n*), as in an action for dilapidations or the like (*o*); and if the breach be non-payment of money, the defendant must obtain a rule to pay the money in, as being within the above act of 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42.

In Actions ex Delicto. In trespass, the defendant cannot pay money into court as of course (*p*); nor can this be done even in trespass

ne profits(*q*); nor in case(*r*); nor in trover or replevin; and in these cases the defendant's course is, to obtain a rule or order to pay in the money, as being within the 3 & 4 *W. 4*, s. 42. In trover, the court have in some cases allowed the defendant to bring into court the article for which the action was brought and costs. So, in ejectment they allow the defendant, and in replevin the plaintiff, to bring into court the amount of the rent, for the non-payment of which the ejectment is brought, or the distress was made, respectively. As in these cases, however, the parties pay in, not a part merely, but the entire sum alleged to be due, it will be more convenient to defer the consideration of them to the next Chapter, where we shall have to treat of the application to stay proceedings upon payment of debt and costs generally.

In actions by executors or administrators, the defendant may pay money into court, as in ordinary cases(*s*).

By Executors, &c.

As to payment of money into court in actions by the assignees of a bankrupt, when the defendant is sued within the time limited for the bankrupt to dispute the commission, &c., *stat. 6 G. 4, c. 16, s. 93(t)*.

By Assignees of Bankrupts.

Some statutory provisions expressly allow the payment of money into court in particular cases; thus, in actions against stage-coach contractors, stage-coach proprietors, or common carriers, for the loss of or injury to goods, the defendants may pay money into court as of course(*u*).

By Carriers.

In actions against justices of peace, or against officers of the excise or customs, for anything done by them in the execution of their respective duties, if they have not made a tender, or they conceive the amends tendered to be insufficient, they may have leave to pay into court such sum of money as they shall think fit; and the same proceedings shall be thereupon as in other cases of paying money into court(*v*). The same privilege is given to commissioners of bankrupt, by *stat. 6 G. 4, c. 16, s. 43(x)*.

By Justices of Excise.

Commissioners of Bankrupts.

If there be two or more counts in a declaration, the defendant may pay money into court upon one of them, and add it as in other cases(*y*). And it has been recently decided, that where there are several counts for several causes of action, or several breaches are assigned in covenant, the defendant may plead payment into court of one entire sum, in satisfaction of all the counts or breaches(*z*). And in a claim for unliquidated damages, it has been the practice to allow the defendant to plead payment into court, and in addition to any other plea to the whole claim(*a*); though the correctness of this practice may perhaps be doubted. The court, in a case decided before the rules of *H. T., 4 W. 4*, refused to allow money to be paid into court on part of a count, where the

As to Part of the Declaration.

Habfust v. Morris, 2 Will. 115.
White v. Woodhouse, 2 Str. 787;
v. Archer, Id. 906; *Salt v. Salt*, 8
47; *Burles v. Fuller*, 7 T. R. 335;
v. Jelfe, 2 B. & Ad. 418.
Crutchfield v. Scott, 2 Str. 796: see
's case, 2 Salk. 596; *Bigland v. Ru-*
le, 3 Id. 105.
Arch. Bkt. L. 259.
11 G. 4, 1 W. 4, c. 68, s. 10.
See ante, 912, 913.
Arch. Bkt. p. 10.

(y) See *Baillie v. Gazelet*, 4 T. R. 579;
Futwell v. Hall, 2 W. Bl. 837; *Hallett v.*
East I. Comp., 2 Burr. 1120.
(z) *Marshall v. Whiteside*, 4 Dowl. 766;
1 M. & W. 188, S. C.; *Mitchell v. Turn-*
ley, 7 Ad. & El. 164; *semble*, overruling
Mee v. Tomlinson, 5 Nev. & M. 624; 1
H. & W. 614, S. C.; and see *Jourdain v.*
Johnson, 2 C., M. & R. 564; *Lorymer v.*
Viceu, 3 Bing. N. C. 222; *Noel v. Davis*,
4 M. & W. 136.
(a) See *Atkinson v. Duckham*, 4 Dowl. 327.

BOOK IV.
PART I.By one of
several Defen-
dants.Taking out
Money impro-
perly paid in.When paid in.
Order for,
when made.

How paid in.

claim was for unliquidated damages(a). They also in case refused to allow a defendant to pay money into upon some of the counts of a declaration, and demurrer rest(b).

It seems questionable whether one of several defendants alone can as of course pay money into court. And the Court of Common Pleas refused, before the rules of H. T., to allow one of three defendants, who alone appeared, the others having suffered judgment by default, and the plaintiff being outlawed), to pay money into court, even although offered to pay all the costs up to that time(c). And it is the practice since those rules to allow one of several defendants to pay money into court, unless under peculiar circumstances.

It was held before the 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, that if a defendant pay money into court, in a case where he is allowed to do so, the plaintiff, by taking it out, would waive the defect, and the effect of it will then be the same as if it had been paid in properly(d); but it may be questioned whether the same rule would now hold, since the plaintiff must be specially pleaded, and the defect would appear on the face of the record.

When and how paid in.] The court or judge may make an order for payment of money into court under 3 & 4 W. 4, s. 21, in an action *ex delicto*, by way of amendment, at any time, even immediately after the writ issued(e). And, after of course, money may be paid into court in ordinary proceedings at any time after declaration(f), and before plea pleaded; or after plea, upon obtaining a judge's order to withdraw the plea, and pay money into court and plead it(g). Money may be allowed to be paid into court even after granting a new trial, and after setting aside the execution of a writ of inquiry. Where the money has been paid into court by leave of the court before the time for pleading, the officer will write a receipt for it on the judge's order; and on the plea being afterwards brought to him, and the order produced with the receipt endorsed, he will transfer the receipt to the margin of the plea in pursuance of R. H.. 4 W. 4, s. 18.

By rule of all the courts of H. T., 4 W. 4, s. 18, "an order or judge's order to pay money into court shall be necessary under the 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, s. 21; but the money shall be paid to the proper officer of each court, who shall give a receipt for the amount in the margin of the plea, and the said sum shall be paid out to the plaintiff on demand." We have already considered in what cases money may be paid in as of course independent of that act, and in those cases by this rule no rule or order to pay the money in is requisite. In cases within that rule or order must be obtained in the usual way by applying to the court on motion, or by summons before a judge, usually the latter. As to when this order may be made, see *on* *Serve a copy of the rule or order on the plaintiff's attorney*

(a) *Hodges v. Lord Litchfield*, 3 Moo. & Scott, 201; 2 Dowl. 741, S. C.

(b) Pr. Reg. 256; 1 Sellon, 285.

(c) *Hay v. Panchinnam*, 2 W. Bl. 1029.

(d) *Griffiths v. Williams*, 1 T. R. 710.

(e) *Edwards v. Price*, 6 Dowl. 487.

(f) See *Edwards v. Price*, Q. B. 1837; 1 Jurist, 866.

(g) *Griffiths v. Williams*, 1 T. R. 711; *Tarleton v. Wragg*, 2 Str. 1071.

(h) *Anon.*, 1 Tidd. 9th ed. 67.

(i) *Day v. Edwards*, 1 Tamm.

agent. Prepare a plea of the intended payment into court, and get it signed by counsel(j). Take the money and plea to one of the masters, who will write a receipt in the margin of the plea(k); (or if the money has previously been paid in by leave of a judge, he will transfer the receipt from the order to the plea on producing the order with the receipt indorsed. Deliver the plea to the plaintiff's attorney or agent, as in ordinary cases.

If interest be due, you should calculate it up to the time of the payment into court, and not merely to the commencement of the action(l).

Interest, how reckoned.

If the defendant find that he has not paid in a sufficient sum, the court or a judge will, in general, allow him to pay in a further sum upon payment of costs(m).

Paying in additional sum.

When the defendant has previously paid money into court in lieu of bail, he may apply to have the sum paid in, or part of it, considered as so much paid in on account of the cause of action, and the order for this purpose is said to be of course(n). But the Court of Common Pleas has refused to permit this, either in the case of a plea of tender, or of payment into court(o).

Transferring Money paid in lieu of Bail.

Plea of.] By rule of all the courts of T.T., 1 V., it is ordered, amongst other things, that the 17th of the general rules and regulations made pursuant to the statute 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, s. 1, be repealed; and that in the place thereof, the following amended rule be substituted: viz.—

Plea of Payment into Court.

“When money is paid into court, such payment shall be pleaded in all cases, and as near as may be in the following form, *mutatis mutandis*:—

Form given by R. T., 1 V., and Decisions as to.

C. D.) The _____ day of _____, A.D. _____
 ats.)
 A. B.) The defendant, by _____, his attorney [or, in person, &c.], says [or, in case it be pleaded as to part only, add, “as to £——, being part of the sum in the declaration,” or, “—— count mentioned,” or, “as to the residue of the sum of £——”] that the plaintiff ought not further to maintain his action, because the defendant now brings into court the sum of £——, ready to be paid to the plaintiff; and the defendant further says, that the plaintiff has not sustained damages [or, in actions of debt, “that he never was indebted to the plaintiff”] to a greater amount than the said sum, &c. in respect of the cause of action in the declaration mentioned [or, “in the introductory part of this plea mentioned”]; and this he is ready to verify; wherefore he prays judgment if the plaintiff ought further to maintain his action thereof.”

Take care that the plea contains the receipt of the money by the officer in the margin, or the plaintiff may sign judgment as

(j) *Prac.* 174.

(k) By statute 7 W. 4 & 1 V. c. 30, s. 9, the money must be paid in at the masters' office, and the masters must pay it into the Bank of England, to the credit of the “Suitors' Fund;” and the money is afterwards paid to the party entitled to it by a cheque on the Bank of England, signed by two or more of the masters.

(l) *Kidd v. Walker*, 2 B. & Ad. 705; 1 Dowl. 331, & C.

(m) But see *Sivan v. Freeman*, Barnes, 252; *Pr. Reg.* 253, 252.

(n) Price, *New Prac.* 304; see *Hulbard v. Wilkinan*, 8 B. & C. 496; but see *Hall v. Stafford*, 4 Dowl. 327.

(o) *Stutt v. Henage*, 10 Bing. 561; 4 Moo. & Scott, 472; 2 Dowl. 1806, & C.; and see *Halls v. Stafford*, 2 Scott. 426; 4 Dowl. 327; 1 Hodges, 316, & C., where the Court of Common Pleas refused to allow money paid in in lieu of bail to be appropriated to a plea of payment.

*for want of a plea. The plea should be pleaded within the same time, and delivered in the same manner, as other pleas. (See ante, Vol. I. 152, &c.) As it concludes with a verification, should be signed by counsel. If the defendant omit to plead this plea, he can, it seems, derive no benefit as to costs from the payment into court (o), and such payment into court must now, in all cases, be specially pleaded. If the plea begins "as to so much, parcel" &c., and conclude without a prayer of judgment, it is bad on special demurrer; also, if it is intended to defend part of the action, and to pay into court as to the other part, the plea or pleas in bar should be pleaded first, and the payment into court should be pleaded as to the residue (p). It is not a ground for judgment *non obstat reredicto*, and, it seems, not even a ground of demurrer, that the plea alleges the money to have been paid into court by leave of a judge before declaration (q).*

Replication and Subsequent Proceedings.] By R. T., 1 V. (r), "The plaintiff, after the delivery of a plea of payment of money into court, shall be at liberty to reply to the same by accepting the sum so paid into court in full satisfaction and discharge of the cause of action in respect of which it has been paid in; and he shall be at liberty in that case to tax his costs of suit, and in case of non-payment thereof within forty-eight hours, to sign judgment for his costs of suit so taxed: or the plaintiff may reply, 'that he has sustained damages,' [or, 'that the defendant was and is indebted to him,' as the case may be], to a greater amount than the said sum; and in the event of an issue thereon being found for the defendant, the defendant shall be entitled to judgment and his costs of suit (s).

The plaintiff may, at all events, without prejudicing his case, at once take the money out of court, which he may obtain on producing to one of the masters the copy of the rule or order (if any) for paying it in, and the plea of payment, delivered in the cause. The plaintiff must reply within the time limited in ordinary cases. If the plea of payment into court be to the whole declaration, and the plaintiff determines upon not accepting the money in satisfaction of his claim, he should reply that fact accordingly in the manner pointed out by the above rule, and make up the issue, and proceed to trial, &c., as in ordinary cases. If the plea be only to part of the declaration, and there be any other plea to the rest of it, and the plaintiff determines upon proceeding to trial upon the cause of action to which the plea of payment into court is not pleaded, he should reply that he accepts the money in satisfaction of that part of the cause of action to which it is paid in, (or, if he has sustained damages to a greater amount, then he should reply that fact), and he should reply to the other plea or pleas, and proceed to trial as in ordinary cases. If the plea of payment of money

(o) *Adlard v. Booth*, 1 Bing. N. C., 603; 1 Scott, 644, S. C.

(1) *Sturman v. Sternman*, 2 C., M. & R. 75; 3 Dowl. 749; 1 Gale, 74, S. C.; and see *Porter v. Izat*, 1 T. & G. 639.

(q) *Edwards v. Price*, 6 Dowl. 487.

(r) See the former rule, H., 4 W. 4, r. 17, for which this is substituted.

(s) This rule supersedes that of H. T., 2 W. 4, r. 56, by which it was ordered,

that "on payment of money into court, the defendant shall undertake by the rule to pay the costs, and, in case of non-payment, to suffer the plaintiff either to move for an attachment, on a proper demand and service of the rule, or to sign final judgment for nominal damages." And see the former practice, Tidd's New Pract. 315. See the forms, Chit. Forms, 581.

into court be to the whole of the declaration, and the plaintiff determines upon accepting the money in satisfaction of the cause of action, he should reply that acceptance, and in that case he may at once proceed to a taxation of costs, and sign final judgment for them if not paid in forty-eight hours after taxation. If the plea be only to part of the declaration, and the plaintiff determines upon accepting the monies, and proceeding no further in the action, he should then reply the acceptance of the money in satisfaction to the part of the cause of action to which it is paid in, and enter a nolle prosequi to the rest, and send to a taxation, &c., as just pointed out. The nolle prosequi need merely be inserted in the replication delivered. There is no occasion to enter it on any roll until the judgment-roll be tried in. There is now no occasion for the defendant to move at the trial the rule for payment of money into court, formerly (t).

[Costs on.] If the plea of payment into court be to the whole declaration, and the plaintiff replies that he accepts it in satisfaction of the cause of action, he will, in general, be entitled to his costs. If the plea be only to part of the declaration, there be another plea or pleas to the rest, and the plaintiff is not willing to proceed further, he will then have to enter a nolle prosequi to that part of the cause of action to which the first plea or pleas are pleaded, and be liable to the defendant's costs in respect of it. Where a defendant pleaded payment of money into court to the whole declaration, and also other pleas, it was held that the plaintiff might accept the sum paid in satisfaction of the whole cause of action, and tax his costs accordingly; and that, having done so, judgment of *nonpross* against a replication to the other pleas was irregular(u). Where payment into court was pleaded only to part, and there were other pleas to the rest, judgment of *nonpross* for a replication to the latter pleas was held to be regular(v). Where the defendant pleads a special plea, and the plaintiff new assigns, and defendant pays money into court on the new assignment, and plaintiff takes it out in satisfaction of the action, the plaintiff is entitled to the costs of the writ, and defendant to all other costs prior to the new assignment, and the plaintiff to all subsequent costs(x). The plaintiff may, at any time before the trial, if he choose not to proceed further, obtain the costs up to the time of the defendant's paying the money into court; but if the defendant has incurred any subsequent costs, he must be allowed them(y). Where in an action for dilapidations the defendant having paid money into court, the plaintiff replied for damage, and having subsequently given a peremptory striking, pursuant to which, however, he did not go to the court permitted a rule for judgment as in case of a

see the former practice. *Israel v. 591. S. C.*
Id., 3 Camp. 41; 1 C. & P. 21, n. (x) *Griffiths v. Jones*, 1 M. & W. 731; 5
James v. Sterens, 3 Dowl. 784; 5 Dowl. 167, S. C.
 4; 1 Gale 75; 2 C. M. & R. 118, (y) *Hartley v. Bateman*, 1 T. R. 629;
 see *Goodes v. Goldsmith*, 5 Dowl. *Griffiths v. Williams*, Id. 710; *Davis v.*
Mansell, Willes, 191; and see *James v.*
Upsham v. Kidmore, 5 Dowl. 676; *Raggett*, 2 B. & Ald. 776; 1 Chit. Rep.
v. Stenden, 3 M. & W. 497; 6 Dowl. 471, S. C.

nonsuit to be discharged, on his amending his replication by accepting the money in satisfaction of the cause of action, and paying the costs incurred by the defendant since the payment of the money into court (*z*). If the money have been paid in on one count only of the declaration, the plaintiff (if he accept of the money so paid in) will be entitled to the costs of that count only, and not of the others (*a*); and if the money be paid into court on any one count, which may be applicable to the plaintiff's demand, and the plaintiff has no further demand, he will, it seems, proceed at his peril of costs on the other counts, notwithstanding they may be also applicable to the demand (*b*). If the plaintiff proceed to trial and obtain a verdict, he will be entitled to costs as in ordinary cases; but if the verdict be given against him, the defendant will be entitled to the costs (*c*). If a juror be withdrawn (*d*), or if plaintiff after proceeding in the action discontinue (*e*), or be nonsuit (*f*), even if the defendant obtain judgment of *nonpross* or judgment as in case of a nonsuit (*g*); the plaintiff will be liable to costs as in other cases.

Costs, where several Actions are consolidated.

Formerly in the Queen's Bench, where the defendant in several actions on a policy of insurance paid money into court, which the plaintiff took out without taxing costs at that time, and afterwards the defendants entered into the common consolidation rule, and the plaintiff was nonsuited in the action that was tried, the court held, that the latter was not entitled to costs in any of the actions up to the time of paying money into court (*h*). But, in the Common Pleas, where there was a consolidation rule, and money paid into court, although the cause tried followed the general practice, and the defendant, if he succeeded, was entitled to the whole costs of that cause; yet the plaintiff was entitled to the costs of the other causes, up to the time when the money was paid in (*i*). And now by a general rule of all the courts of *H. T.*, 2 *W. 4*, *r. 1, s. 104*,—"Where money is paid into court in several actions which are consolidated, and the plaintiff, without taxing costs, proceeds to trial on one, and fails, he shall be entitled to costs on the others, up to the time of paying money into court."

When allowed to be paid in without costs.

Under special circumstances, perhaps, the court or a judge may allow the money to be paid into court without the defendant's being liable to costs. In a case in the King's Bench, before the above rules of *H. T.*, 4 *W. 4*, although it appeared that a certain sum had been offered to the plaintiff before declaration, and refused, yet the court would not allow the defendant to pay that sum of money into court after declaration, upon the terms of the plaintiff's being obliged to relinquish the costs of the declaration if he afterwards took the

(*z*) *Kelly v. Flint*, 5 Dowl. 293.

(*a*) *Baillie v. Gezelet*, 4 T. R. 579; *Skerratt v. Vaughan*, 2 Taunt. 266.

(*b*) *Kearly v. Borman*, 1 B. & Ad. 889; *Churchill v. Day*, 3 M. & Ry. 71.

(*c*) See *R. H.*, 1 V., ante, 974. See *Sterrenum v. Yorke*, 4 T. R. 10; *Griffiths v. Williams*, 1 T. R. 710; *Sterrenum v. Yorke*, 4 T. R. 10; 1 Saund. 33 c.

(*d*) *Stodhart v. Johnson*, 3 T. R. 657.

(*e*) *Barwick v. Symonds*, Say. 196.

(*f*) *Rabell v. Hudson*, 4 T. R. 10.

(*g*) *Crosby v. O'Brienshaw*, 2 M. & Sel. 335; *Puette v. Beckington*, 6 Taunt. 131; but see *Sawmoe v. Bridge*, 8 T. R. 402; *Lorck v. Wright*, Id. 486.

(*h*) *Burstell v. Horner*, 7 T. R. 373; see *Powell v. Parkinson*, 6 M. & Selw. 117; *Tidd's New Pract.* 317.

(*i*) *Tremblow v. Brock*, 2 Taunt. 361; and see *Wilton v. Place*, 2 B. & P. 35; *Muller v. Hartshorne*, 3 B. & P. 552.

it; they said that the defendant should have ten-money and pleaded the tender(*j*). But where the plaintiff appeared to have been oppressive, and the defendant was willing and offered to pay the money on brought, the court, before the above rule of *W. 4*, upon application of the defendant, (even after the money into court), ordered, that so much of the in practice as obliged him to pay costs, should be (*k*). And where an action was brought for two sums of money, and the defendant, having offered to pay one of them, with costs up to that time, which was paid by the plaintiff, paid the amount into court, but afterwards finding that he could not maintain as to the second sum, took the money out of court, and proceeded no further: the court, upon application, ordered the defendant his costs from the date of his offer of the sum afterwards paid into court, and directed these costs to be deducted from the costs of the plaintiff(*l*). In another case, the defendant obtained a judge's summary proceedings, upon payment of a certain sum and the plaintiff claiming more than the sum offered, was made, and the action proceeded; the defendant paid the same sum into court, and the plaintiff took the money out and discontinued the action: upon application of the defendant, allowed the defendant's costs only up to the time of his attendance upon the summary proceedings, and ordered the costs subsequently incurred by the defendant, and the costs of the application, to be deducted from them, even, although it appeared that the plaintiff was induced from poverty to accept the money paid into court, and to relinquish his action for the balance(*m*). Where, in any cause, the defendant took out a summons before the court, to stay proceedings upon payment of a less sum than the plaintiff's demand and costs, upon which no order was made, and the defendant afterwards paid that sum into court, and the plaintiff's agent, having, in the meantime, taken out of court, it was ordered, that the plaintiff, not having been guilty of vexatious conduct, was entitled to costs up to the time at which he took the money out of court(*n*). Where an order was made for the defendant to pay four guineas into court, and the plaintiff's agent refused to tax the costs under that order, the Court of Exchequer permitted the defendant to pay the money into court without being liable to the costs. Where a defendant in a case before the *R. H.*, 17, and *R. H.*, 1 *V.*, paid into court 1*l.* 3*s.* 7*d.*, under which did not contain the usual undertaking

W. v. Hinch, 13 East, 551: 565, S. C. It seems that the court will not interfere to give the defendant his costs unless the case has been previously before the master. (*Roe v. Cobham*, 11 Dowl. 628).
Gibson v. Copeman, 5 Dowl. 125: *semble*, overruling *Richardson v. Harrison*, 11 Price, 533: and see *Jones v. Owen*, note (*p*), p. 978.
at s. v. Zeevin v. Oucell, 2 Dowl. 125: *semble*, overruling *Richardson v. Harrison*, 11 Price, 533: and see *Jones v. Owen*, note (*p*), p. 978.
W. v. Lambart, 1 Dowl. 701: (n) *Haworth v. Holgate*, 2 Y. & J. 257.
W. v. Hinch, 13 East, 551: (o) *Anon.*, T. T. 1832, Jervis's Rules, 75.
W. v. Hinch, 13 East, 551: 565, S. C. It seems that the court will not interfere to give the defendant his costs unless the case has been previously before the master. (*Roe v. Cobham*, 11 Dowl. 628).
Gibson v. Copeman, 5 Dowl. 125: *semble*, overruling *Richardson v. Harrison*, 11 Price, 533: and see *Jones v. Owen*, note (*p*), p. 978.
at s. v. Zeevin v. Oucell, 2 Dowl. 125: *semble*, overruling *Richardson v. Harrison*, 11 Price, 533: and see *Jones v. Owen*, note (*p*), p. 978.
W. v. Lambart, 1 Dowl. 701: (n) *Haworth v. Holgate*, 2 Y. & J. 257.
W. v. Hinch, 13 East, 551: (o) *Anon.*, T. T. 1832, Jervis's Rules, 75.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

from the defendant to pay the costs, and it being whether the plaintiff, if he accepted that sum, was entitled to costs, the defendant offered to give the judgment of the term for that sum, in order to take notice of the court upon the question; the plaintiff, standing, took the cause to trial, and, upon the *pro* rule to pay money into court, had a verdict for one the Court of Exchequer, upon motion, ordered the to pay to the defendant all costs incurred subsequent offer (*p*).

Defendant
may take Ad-
vantage of
Court of Re-
quests Acts.

By paying money into court, the defendant is not, precluded from the benefit of the Court of Requests. Where, however, in an action to recover a sum of 8 claimed by the particulars of demand), the defend 1*l*. 18*s*. into court, under the rule of *H. T.*, 4 *W*. which the plaintiff took out, in full satisfaction of th the cause of action arose, and the parties lived w jurisdiction of the county court of Cardiganshire; order of a judge, the defendant was allowed to ent gestion on the roll of these facts, and that the as brought for a sum under 40*s*., and further proceed stayed, with a view of depriving the plaintiff of his c court set aside the order, on account of the form of for paying money into court, the lateness of the ap and it not clearly appearing that the action was br less than forty shillings (*r*).

Effect of it as
an Admission
of the Cause
of Action, &c.

Effect of it as an Admission of the Cause of Action. paying money into court, on the whole of a special tion, or on the special counts, the defendant implied mits the contract as declared on, and all the breaches it is paid in (*t*); and the only remaining question terminated is the amount of the damages. By payi into court on the common *indebitatus* counts, the d in ordinary cases, admits no more than that the sum is due to plaintiff, by virtue of some contract of tl declared on; but it does not admit his liability on ticular contract on which the plaintiff may choose to and it seems paying money into court on several co of which only is applicable to the plaintiff's demand, cause of action on that count only (*x*). As instances the payment admits, if money be paid into court on a a bill of exchange, there is no necessity to prove the de handwriting (*y*), and the sufficiency of the stamp is

(*p*) *Jones v. Owen*, Exch. T. T. 1832, Jervis's Rules, 75; 1 Dowl. 565; 2 C. & J. 476, S. C.

(*q*) *Turner v. Bernard*, 5 Dowl. 170; 1 H. & W. 580, S. C.

(*r*) *Farrant v. Morgan*, 3 Dowl. 792; 2 C., M. & R. 252; 5 Tyr. Rep. 790; 1 Gale, 154, S. C.

(*s*) *Burrough v. Skinner*, 5 Burr. 2640; *Guilford v. Nock*, 1 Esp. 347; *Seaton v. Benedict*, 5 Bing. 28; 2 Moo. & P. 66, S. C.; see *Bagfield v. Porter*, 13 East, 202; *Laggett v. Cooper*, 2 Stark. 103; *Everth v. Bell*, 7 Taunt. 450; *Stafford v. Clarke*, 2 Bing. 377; 9 Moore, 724, S. C.

(*t*) *Wright v. Goddard*, 8 A. 3 Nev. & P. 361, S. C.; *Hingham (or Kingham) v. Robins*, 7 Dowl. 352 94, S. C., per Parke, B.

(*u*) *Hingham (or Kingham)* 7 Dowl. 352; 5 M. & W. 94, ruling *Walker v. Ransom*, 1 250; and the dicta of Parke & JJ., in *Meager v. Smith*, 4 B. see *Seaton v. Benedict*, 5 Bing & P. 66, S. C.

(*x*) *Stafford v. Clarke*, 2 E. Moore, 724; 1 C. & P. 703, 1 v. Bell, 7 Taunt. 480; 1 Moo

(*y*) *Gutteridge v. Smith*, 2 E

(*z*): so, if paid in an action of covenant, the execution is admitted (*a*); so, if paid in on a count on a contract, it admits an agreement signed according to the Statute of Frauds (*b*); so, if paid in one entire contract, it admits the contract, though it would be otherwise if the contract were not entire (*c*); so, where two breaches are assigned in the declaration, payment into court on one of the breaches is an admission of the whole contract as set out in that count, so as to enable the plaintiff to recover on the second breach without repeating the first breach in the declaration (*d*). Where the declaration states a contract to pay a particular sum of money for certain articles, and the plaintiff pays part of the money into court on the special count admitting the contract, admits also the sum originally demanded; and the only question is, whether the remainder of the money had been previously paid (*e*). And in an action for goods sold by sample at a stipulated price, the payment of money into court therein precludes the defendant from insisting on the inferiority of the goods (*f*). But in an action for goods sold, to be paid for at a certain price, to be ascertained on a day specified, payment into court does not, if stated under a *videlicet*, admit the price to be as stated in the declaration (*g*). In an action for an attorney's bill, the defendant after payment into court may shew that the work was to be done out of pocket, and not for an attorney's accustomed charges (*h*). Nor does the payment into court on a policy, in which the loss is averred to be the result of a total loss (*i*). In an action for goods delivered, it admits a contract, though the goods were fraudulently converted by the defendant (*j*). But it is an admission as precludes the defendant from taking objection to the legality of the contract, in order to enable the plaintiff from recovering beyond the sum paid into court, if the declaration contain a legal and an illegal count, the money paid in shall be applied to the legal demand (*k*). Payment of money into court, in an action under a statute of *Ed. 6*, precludes the defendant from objecting to the plaintiff's title (*m*). In an action on a policy, if the plaintiff misled the defendant, and induced him to pay, that the only point to be tried is a question of fraud (*n*), allowed the defendant to give evidence of fraud notwithstanding he had paid money into court (*n*). It is a general admission, in an indivisible claim, of the plaintiff's

Benjamin, 3 Camp. 40.

Lynch, 2 Camp. 357.

W. v. Brewer, Peake, 15.

W. v. Smith, 4 B. & Ad. M. 449, S. C.

Ashdon, 1 B. & Cres. 3; 2 C.

W. v. Wain, 3 Taunt. 93.

W. v. Cooper, 2 Stark. 103.

W. v. Brown, 2 B. & Ald. 116;

W. v. Bell, 7 Taunt. 450; 1

C.; *Lechmere v. Fletcher*, 1

Reade, 1 Nev. & P. 18;

S. C.

(*d*) *Rucker v. Palgrave*, 1 Camp. 557; 1 Taunt. 419, S. C.

(*j*) *Bennett v. Francis*, 2 B. & P. 550; 4 Esp. 28, S. C.

(*k*) *Cox v. Perry*, 1 T. R. 464; and see *Shearwood v. Hay*, 5 Ad. & Ell. 383; *Wills v. Langridge*, Id.

(*l*) *Ribans v. Crickett*, 1 B. & P. 264.

(*m*) *Broadhurst v. Baldwin*, 4 Price,

58.

(*n*) *Muller v. Hartshorne*, 3 B. & P. 556;

and see *Mollish v. Allnutt*, 2 M. & Sel.

106; *Andrews v. Palgrave*, 9 East, 325;

Rucker v. Palgrave, 1 Camp. 557.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

right to sue in the court in which the action is brought and of his right to sue in the character in which he sues but not of his right to sue alone without joining another party (7); also of the action not being brought too late. But it is no admission of the plaintiff's right of action beyond the sum paid into court (8); and consequently a divisible claim, does not deprive the defendant of the benefit of the Statute of Limitations as to the residue of the plaintiff's demand (9). And if the declaration be on an *indebitum sumpsit*, with particulars containing various causes of action, payment into court does not preclude the defendant from testing his liability in respect of any items beyond the sum paid in, the particulars not being considered as part of the declaration (10).

Plaintiff, when entitled to nominal damages, though other issues found against him.

It should be observed, however, that where payment into court is pleaded, together with other pleas, each issue in other cases, must be tried by itself; and consequently the plaintiff replies damages *ultra*, and succeeds on that issue, although the defendant succeeds on all the other pleas, unless the pleas on which he succeeds cover the entire cause of action, to which payment into court is not pleaded, the plaintiff will be entitled to a verdict for nominal damages (11); but if the issue found for the defendant covers the entire cause of action, to which the payment into court is not pleaded, the admission in that plea will not entitle the plaintiff to have a verdict entered for him on that issue (12).

When the plaintiff takes the money out of court, and it is less than the sum stated in the affidavit to hold the plaintiff's goods, it will seem, thereby subject to an action for a return of the money.

If the plaintiff take the money out of court, and it is less than the sum stated in the affidavit to hold the plaintiff's goods, it will seem, thereby subject to an action for a return of the money.

When the plaintiff may be entitled after payment of money into court, to a verdict whether the defendant has or has not taken the money out of court.

The plaintiff may be entitled after payment of money into court, to a verdict whether the defendant has or has not taken the money out of court.

When the plaintiff may be entitled after payment of money into court, to a verdict whether the defendant has or has not taken the money out of court.

It seems that the defendant cannot move in arrest of judgment, in an action in respect of which he has paid money into court.

When the plaintiff may be entitled after payment of money into court, to a verdict whether the defendant has or has not taken the money out of court.

It seems that the defendant cannot move in arrest of judgment, in an action in respect of which he has paid money into court.

When the plaintiff may be entitled after payment of money into court, to a verdict whether the defendant has or has not taken the money out of court.

was paid in by mistake (*d*). But the court may, if the plaintiff failed in his action, and the money has not been already taken out of court by him, impound it to answer the defendant's costs (*e*).

Payment of money into court did not, it seems, when paid before the 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, entitle the plaintiff to reply at the trial (*f*). Right to Reply.

Payment of Money into Court upon a Plea of Tender.] If the defendant intend to plead a tender, *pay the money tendered into court, in the manner directed ante, 972, 973, and get a receipt for it in the margin of the plea, from the master &c.* A tender and receipt may be pleaded to an avowry or cognizance for rent or distress, without bringing the money into court; for, if the distress be not rightfully taken, the defendant must answer to the plaintiff his damages (*g*); and it may be pleaded in this way to an action for an involuntary trespass (*h*), or actions against magistrates (*i*), or excise or customs officers (*j*). Payment of Money into Court upon a Plea of Tender.

After paying money into court on a plea of tender, the defendant can never take it out, even although he have a verdict (*k*). But the plaintiff may take it out, whether he assents or deny the tender in his replication (*l*). The plaintiff may better confess the tender if it was a legal one, and the defence that it was made be clear. How taken out.

If the defendant plead a tender without paying the money into court, the plea, as far as it respects the tender, may be treated as a nullity; and the plaintiff may sign judgment for the amount of the tender pleaded (*m*). Effect of not paying in the Money.

Payment of Money into Court in lieu of Bail.] As to this, see *Vol. I. 613.* Payment of Money into Court in lieu of Bail.

(*d*) *Faughan v. Barnes*, 2 B. & P. 302; *John v. Fullerton*, 2 T. R. 645: see *W. v. Leving*, 2 Smith, 49; *Knapp v. Barnes*, 279; *Crockett v. Martin*, 2 M. & W. 284; *Elliott v. Jones*, 2 Salk. 507.

(*e*) See *Anon.*, Barnes, 280: and see *W. v. Coughlan*, 1 Jones, Rep. Exch. 122.

(*f*) See R. H., 15 Geo. 3, C. P.: 2 Taunt.

(*g*) G. H. Rep. 53, 179.

(*h*) 3 Chit. Pl. 6th ed. 973.

(*i*) Id. 974: ante, 912, 913.

(*j*) Id. 973: ante, 912, 913: see *Buhoer v.*

Horne, 4 B. & Ad. 132; 1 Nev. & M. 117, S. C., in which it was held, that, if the tender were pleaded only to a particular count, the rule for paying the money into court on it should express that it was upon that count only, otherwise it would have the same effect as a rule for payment of money into court without the plea of tender. Now, however, in such a case there is no occasion for any rule or order to pay the money into court.

(*k*) *Cox v. Robinson*, 2 Str. 1027.

(*l*) *Le Gros v. Cooke*, 1 B. & P. 333.

(*m*) Vol. I. 160.

CHAPTER X.

STAYING PROCEEDINGS.

<i>Upon Payment of Sum indorsed on Writ and Costs, 982.</i>	<i>Where there are adverse &c., 995.</i>
<i>Upon Payment of Debt, &c., and Costs, where the Amount is not disputed, 983.</i>	<i>Pending Criminal Process id.</i>
<i>Upon Payment of Debt, &c., and Costs, where the Amount is disputed, 987.</i>	<i>In Actions brought w Authority, id.</i>
<i>Upon Payment of Debt, &c., without Costs, 989.</i>	<i>Where the Attorney is tificated, &c., 996.</i>
<i>On Equitable Grounds, 990.</i>	<i>In Penal Actions by c Informers, id.</i>
<i>In second Actions for the same Cause, id.</i>	<i>In Actions by Outlaw Alien Enemies, 997.</i>
<i>In trifling Actions, 994.</i>	<i>In Actions against Band id.</i>
<i>In Actions pending Error, &c., id.</i>	<i>In other Cases, 997.</i>
<i>Pending a rule Nisi, &c., 995.</i>	

BOOK IV.
PART I.

Upon Pay-
ment of Sum
indorsed on
Writ and
Costs.

Upon Payment of Sum indorsed on Writ and Costs.] action for the recovery of a debt, we have seen (*ante*, 111) that the copy of the process served must be indorsed the amount of such debt, and of what the plaintiff's attorney claims for the costs of such process, arrest, or service attendance to receive debt and costs; and upon payment of such amount, *within four days*, to the plaintiff or his attorney, further proceedings will be stayed; the defendant having liberty of afterwards getting the costs taxed, and if at one-sixth less than stated on the copy of the process, the plaintiff's attorney will have to pay the costs of taxation(a). The payment of this amount, *within the four days*, will of itself operate as a stay of further proceedings. At that time, if the defendant dispute neither the cause of action nor the amount of the debt, he may, in the cases mentioned *infra*, stay further proceedings, by applying to a judge for a summons, and obtaining his order for such stay of proceedings upon payment of the debt and costs. The defendant is not, on failing to pay the debt and costs within the four days, entitled to a stay of proceedings on payment of the amount indorsed. The proceedings would only be stayed on payment of the debt and costs, assuming the debt to be more than the sum indorsed(b). Where the defendant, after the service of a writ of summons, paid the debt surreptitiously to the plaintiff's clerk, without costs, and the plaintiff's attorney, with a view to recover his costs, proceeded to deliver a declaration, the Court of Common Pleas ordered the proceedings to be stayed on payment of the costs of the writ and

(a) R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 2: see *Ward v. Gregg*, 5 Dowl. 729.

(b) *Bowditch v. Slaney*, 4 Dowd Scott, 197; 2 Bing. N. C. 142, 3.

). Where the attorney demanded and obtained from the plaintiff 5s. more than the sum marked on the writ, it was held that at this 5s. could not be added to the sum taxed off, so as to entitle the defendant to costs(*d*).

a payment of Debt, &c., and Costs where the Amount is not disputed. It may be laid down as a general rule, that the defendant will be allowed to stay proceedings upon payment of the debt and costs, in all cases where at *common law* he may pay into court. This is, however, a matter of favour to the defendant, and not of right; and therefore the court or a judge, in giving it, may impose on the defendant such reasonable conditions as they think proper(*e*). And, for the same reason, the court or a judge cannot, without the plaintiff's consent, oblige the defendant to a longer time for the payment than he is entitled to by law(*f*).

Upon Payment of Debt, &c., and Costs where the Amount is not disputed.

assumpsit for a money demand, the defendant may have the proceedings stayed upon payment of the sum demanded and costs(*g*). Where several actions are brought against the defendant, or and indorsers of a bill of exchange, any of the parties, after judgment, may obtain a judge's order for a stay of the proceedings on payment of the debt and costs in the action against him, or, after judgment obtained in the action against him, may prevent execution from being sued out thereon, upon payment of the debt and costs(*h*). Formerly the acceptor of a bill of exchange, or the maker of a promissory note, could obtain a stay of proceedings before judgment, except on the terms of paying, not only the debt and costs in the action against him, but also the costs in all the other actions against the indorsers, &c. But now, by rule of all the courts, 1 V., "it is ordered that, in future, in any action against an acceptor of a bill of exchange, or the maker of a promissory note, the defendant shall be at liberty to stay proceedings, on payment of the debt and costs in that action only."

In Assumpsit for a Money Demand. Where several Actions on the same Bill of Exchange, &c.

Even before that rule, where there was an attachment against the sheriff in an action against the acceptor, the sheriff might have been relieved on payment of the costs of that action only(*i*). And where, after the acceptor had offered to pay the debt and the costs of the action against himself, the sheriff, who was an attorney and indorsee of the bill, brought an action against the drawer, who was his own client, the sheriff stayed the proceedings upon payment of the debt and costs of one action only(*j*). And the principle upon which this case was decided has been since acted on in several cases where several actions have been brought against several parties, or fictitious parties to the bill, evidently for vexation and delay.

If the bill has been paid by one of the other parties, the acceptor, if he contests his liability, may compel the sheriff to proceed in the action; and where, in such a case, the sheriff is relieved on payment of the costs of the action against himself, the sheriff, who was an attorney and indorsee of the bill, brought an action against the drawer, who was his own client, the sheriff stayed the proceedings upon payment of the debt and costs of one action only(*j*). And the principle upon which this case was decided has been since acted on in several cases where several actions have been brought against several parties, or fictitious parties to the bill, evidently for vexation and delay.

See v. Phillips, 3 Bing. N. C. 776.
See v. Grogg, 5 Dowl. 729.
See v. Shepherd, 3 Dowl. 421.
See v. Ellison, 2 Dowl. 219; 2 C. 115; 4 Tyrw. 239. S. C.
See v. Chapman, 5 Taunt. 840.
See v. Woodcock, 4 T. R. 691: per

Lord Tenterden, in *Darson v. Morgan*, 9 B. & Cres. 621; and see per *Parks, B.*, in *Jones v. Shepherd*, 3 Dowl. 421.
(*i*) *Re v. Sheriffs of London*, 2 B. & Ald. 192; *Ball v. Blackwood*, 6 Dowl. 589.
(*j*) *Hodson v. Gunn*, 2 D. & R. 57.

costs(x). So, in debt on statute for a penalty (as a *qui tam* action) the proceedings may be stayed upon payment of the penalty and costs(y); or if there are several penalties, the defendant may have the proceedings stayed upon one or more of the counts stayed, upon payment of the penalties claimed in such counts, and the plaintiff to proceed upon the other counts if he

in Covenant. In a covenant, where the breach assigned is the non-payment of money, proceedings may be stayed, upon payment of the money and costs claimed and costs.

In Trespass or Case. On the other hand, in trespass and case, the court or judge may, in general, stay the proceedings, upon payment of the money and costs, not even in the action of trespass for profits; because the damages in these cases cannot be ascertained without the intervention of a jury, and they may, if ever, do so where there is any uncertainty as to the amount of value on damages(a): and, where a sheriff, under a *fi. fa.* without paying the rent due to the landlord, the court refused to stay proceedings in an action for the recovery of the goods into court, or to bind the plaintiff to pay costs in the case, under particular circumstances, the court refused to order the proceedings to be stayed in an action of trespass, for the defendant's restoring the goods seized, or paying the value of them, with costs(c). (See further, *post*, 988, 989.)

In Trover. In trover, for money, the court or a judge will stay the proceedings, perhaps, upon payment of such sum with interest as may be calculated if there be no circumstances in the case calculated to enhance the damages beyond the mere interest. So, in the case of a specific chattel, when the chattel is of an ascertained quantity and quality, and unattended with any circumstances that can enhance the damages above the real value, the court or a judge will allow such chattel to be brought into court, or will order it to be delivered to the plaintiff, upon payment of the value thereof, and afterwards proceed in the action at his peril as in the same manner as upon payment of money into court, or perhaps they would grant a rule, calling upon the defendant to shew cause why, upon delivery to him of the chattel, he should not be stayed(e). Where trover was brought for title-deeds, and a writ of inquiry executed, the writ was dismissed with satisfaction to be entered on the roll, upon

Payne v. Stone, 2 W. Bl. 785: *Stuart v. Jelfs*, 2 B. & Ad. 418.

Payne v. Stone, 2 W. Bl. 785: *Stuart v. Jelfs*, 2 B. & Ad. 418.

Payne v. Stone, 2 W. Bl. 785: *Stuart v. Jelfs*, 2 B. & Ad. 418.

Payne v. Stone, 2 W. Bl. 785: *Stuart v. Jelfs*, 2 B. & Ad. 418.

Payne v. Stone, 2 W. Bl. 785: *Stuart v. Jelfs*, 2 B. & Ad. 418.

Payne v. Stone, 2 W. Bl. 785: *Stuart v. Jelfs*, 2 B. & Ad. 418.

Payne v. Stone, 2 W. Bl. 785: *Stuart v. Jelfs*, 2 B. & Ad. 418.

Payne v. Stone, 2 W. Bl. 785: *Stuart v. Jelfs*, 2 B. & Ad. 418.

Payne v. Stone, 2 W. Bl. 785: *Stuart v. Jelfs*, 2 B. & Ad. 418.

Payne v. Stone, 2 W. Bl. 785: *Stuart v. Jelfs*, 2 B. & Ad. 418.

Payne v. Stone, 2 W. Bl. 785: *Stuart v. Jelfs*, 2 B. & Ad. 418.

Payne v. Stone, 2 W. Bl. 785: *Stuart v. Jelfs*, 2 B. & Ad. 418.

Payne v. Stone, 2 W. Bl. 785: *Stuart v. Jelfs*, 2 B. & Ad. 418.

Payne v. Stone, 2 W. Bl. 785: *Stuart v. Jelfs*, 2 B. & Ad. 418.

Payne v. Stone, 2 W. Bl. 785: *Stuart v. Jelfs*, 2 B. & Ad. 418.

Payne v. Stone, 2 W. Bl. 785: *Stuart v. Jelfs*, 2 B. & Ad. 418.

Payne v. Stone, 2 W. Bl. 785: *Stuart v. Jelfs*, 2 B. & Ad. 418.

Payne v. Stone, 2 W. Bl. 785: *Stuart v. Jelfs*, 2 B. & Ad. 418.

Payne v. Stone, 2 W. Bl. 785: *Stuart v. Jelfs*, 2 B. & Ad. 418.

Payne v. Stone, 2 W. Bl. 785: *Stuart v. Jelfs*, 2 B. & Ad. 418.

Payne v. Stone, 2 W. Bl. 785: *Stuart v. Jelfs*, 2 B. & Ad. 418.

Payne v. Stone, 2 W. Bl. 785: *Stuart v. Jelfs*, 2 B. & Ad. 418.

Payne v. Stone, 2 W. Bl. 785: *Stuart v. Jelfs*, 2 B. & Ad. 418.

Payne v. Stone, 2 W. Bl. 785: *Stuart v. Jelfs*, 2 B. & Ad. 418.

Payne v. Stone, 2 W. Bl. 785: *Stuart v. Jelfs*, 2 B. & Ad. 418.

(d) *Rex v. Clarke*, 3 Burr. 1364; Ca. Pr. C. B. 49: *Pickering v. Trustees*, 7 T. R. 53: *see Catling v. Bowling*, Say. 80: *Harding v. Wilkin*, Id. 120: *Bowington v. Parry*, 2 Str. 452: *Olivant v. Perineau*, Id. 1191: *Olivant v. Berino*, 1 Will. 23: *Earl v. Holderness*, 4 Bing. 462; 1 Moo. & P. 254, S. C.: *West v. Teunton*, 4 Moo. & P. 79; 6 Bing. 408, S. C.: *Lucas v. London Dock Company*, 4 B. & Ad. 378: and see form of rule there, Id. 380.

(e) Ca. Pr., C. B. 130: Tidd. Pract., 9th ed. 945: and see *Phillips v. Hayward*, 3 Dowl. 368.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

the terms of the defendant's delivering up the deeds, paying costs as between attorney and client, and put plaintiff in the same situation as before action brought. But where the goods have been sold by the defendant there is an uncertainty whether they were sold for value, the court or a judge will not, in general, int stay the proceedings (*h*).

In Detinue.

In detinue or trover for deeds, &c., the court or will, on a delivery up of them, and payment of cost stay the proceedings, or, if the plaintiff insists on pro for damages, order that the plaintiff shall be subject costs of the action, unless he recover damages beyond damages for the detention of the deeds, &c., in question. As to giving up *part* of the deeds, &c., in question, *p.* 988.

In Replevin.

In replevin of a distress for rent, the plaintiff n leave to pay the rent claimed into court (*k*). Or have the proceedings stayed on payment of the rent, t costs of the action, and of the application (*l*). At application of the defendant, the court or a judge the proceedings, upon payment of the costs of the a the costs of replevying, and upon giving up the replev if no special damage be stated in the declaration (*m*): will allow money to be paid into court under the 3 & c. 42, *ante*, 969.

In Ejectment.

In ejectment for non-payment of rent, if the tenant assignee shall, at any time before trial, or at any time execution after a judgment against the casual ejector tender to the landlord, or pay into court, all the arrears and costs, all further proceedings shall cease (*n*). See staying proceedings in an ejectment by mortgagee, *ante*

On one of several Counts.

Where there are two or more counts in a declaration any one of them is for a demand of a sum certain is above described, the defendant may obtain a stay proceedings as to that count, upon payment of the sum therein demanded; and the plaintiff may proceed on other counts if he wish it. Formerly, if the plaintiff not proceed on the other counts, the defendant, by of the rule or order, must have also paid him the the action as far as it had proceeded; but this is now c

Rule or Order.

In ordinary cases, *the proceedings may be stayed,*

2. Combe v. Sumner, 1 D. & R. 391.
3. Johnson v. Humphrey, 2 Dowd. 50. 1 C. & M. 344, n. c.

4. Popham v. Haywood, 1 Dowd. 322 see a form of a rule there.

5. Grogan v. Lee, 2 Nalk. 271; Forster v. Wynne, 1 H. B. 241; Hopkins v. Shreve, 1 B. & P. 622; and see ante, 986. On June 28, 1867, in Ogden v. Clegg, (1st term, J., at chambers, after considering the report, made an order for the payment by the plaintiff, into court, of part of the rent claimed, and the following was a part of the order:—"I am hearing the application of agents on both sides; I do order that the plaintiff's application to stay proceedings, upon payment of the sum of 75s. for the three quarters rent of the premises to Christmas last, mentioned in the affidavit in this action, and 2s. for other

arrear, into court, together the present time, to be to charged, the defendants do leave to be sums as the arrears due. And I further order plaintiff to pay the said two and 2s. into court; and that the trial do move rent shall than the said two sums, or if shall afterwards accept that shall not be entered to but they the at present entitled they shall pay all costs now plaintiff subsequent to this day.

6. Forster v. Shreve, 1 B. & P. 622.
7. Sumner v. Brown, 1 M. 202, 203.

8. 4 G. 2. c. 24, s. 4; see ante, 735, 773.

to a judge at chambers (o); or by application to the court at a term time, in which case the rule is absolute in the first instance; in other cases you must apply for a rule to shew cause. When as you have obtained the rule absolute or order, get an appointment on it from one of the masters, and serve a copy of the rule or order with the appointment on the plaintiff's attorney agent; then get the costs taxed, and pay them without delay. Where the rule in a bailable case before the 1 & 2 Geo. 4, was, that, in default of payment of debt and costs within a certain time, the plaintiff should be at liberty to sign final judgment, it was held, that the order only meant that the plaintiff should be at liberty to sign final judgment on a common appeal being entered; but that it did not entitle him to specify the sum (q). If the rule or order be, that upon payment of debt and costs within a certain time the proceedings be stayed, and if debt and (r) costs be not paid within the time so limited, the plaintiff should proceed in the action; the rule being absolute, he cannot obtain an attachment (s). But sometimes the order is drawn up so as to make it absolutely binding on the defendant to pay the costs, in which case the plaintiff may proceed by attachment or execution for the recovery of them (t); and, in some cases, may sign judgment order warrant it (u). If the sum paid be under 20*l.*, the costs will be taxed on the reduced scale, unless the order be otherwise (x).

It may be observed, that an attorney, who stays proceedings on an undertaking to pay the costs, is bound to pay them, though his client die before bail is put in (y). And the court will sometimes enforce by attachment an undertaking given by a defendant, though not an attorney or officer of the court, to pay the costs, &c., in consideration of plaintiff's staying the proceedings (z).

Undertaking to pay, on staying Proceedings, enforced.

Where the Amount is disputed.] It may be premised that the court will not, in general, interfere to stay proceedings on affidavit that there is no debt due, or no cause of action (a). And in general, where the defendant disputes the amount of the sum claimed, and the nature of the claim is such that he may pay money into court on it, (as to which see, 971, 972), he should pay the sum actually due into court accordingly, and defend for the rest of the claim; if he cannot pay it, or the nature of the claim be such that he cannot pay money into court on it, then his course is to plead to that part of the claim which he disputes, as to the residue, to allow judgment to pass against him as to that part, and have the damages ascertained by an inquest,

Where the Amount is disputed.

Form of order, Chit. Forms,

Partington v. Williams, 2 N. R.

McClellan v. Ricardo, 7 Dowl. 94.

Smith v. Smith, 2 N. R. 473.

Fricker v. Eastman, 11 East, 319:

Lady Duncanson, 2 Stra. 1220: and

see v. Gilb, 3 Dowl. 30: Stacey v.

Stacey, 1 Camp. 559, n.: Thomas

v. 7 East, 536: Fauvett v. Christie,

P. 515: Smith v. Smith, 2 N. R.

Stacey v. Lady Hamilton, 5 Taunt. 1.

(t) Fricker v. Eastman, 11 East, 21:

Scurrall v. Horton, Barnes, 283.

(u) See form of the judgment, Chit.

Forms, 543.

(r) Cook v. Hunt, 7 Dowl. 397; 5 M. &

W. 161, S. C.

(y) Hellings v. Jones, 10 Moore, 360; 3

Bing. 70, S. C.

(z) See Tarrant v. Brook, 5 B. & Ad.

880: Riley v. Byrne, 2 B. & Ad. 779.

(a) Smith v. Curtis, 2 Dowl. 223: Sher-

wood v. Henson, 4 Taunt. 631: but see

Turner v. Taylor, Tidd, 530; post, 997.

BOOK IV.
PART I.Order to pay
in Part, and
Plaintiff to
proceed at
Peril of Costs.In Actions for
a Money De-
mand.

or, in some cases, as on bills of exchange, &c. reference to the master(c).

But even in cases where the amount of the sum *disputed*, and the defendant is willing and able to pay it, it is not unusual for the defendant to obtain a sum upon the plaintiff to shew cause why, upon a certain sum, (namely, the sum actually due, which he thinks he can recover), and costs, the proceedings should not be stayed. If, on attending the judge, the plaintiff's attorney refuse to receive the money mentioned in the summons, pay it into court, and afterwards take it out, and serve you with an order to tax the costs, move the court upon an affidavit for a rule to shew cause, or apply to a judge on a motion to shew cause, why one of the masters should not order the defendant's costs from the time of the service of the summons, and the plaintiff's costs up to that time, why, after deducting the defendant's from the plaintiff's costs, upon payment of the balance due to the plaintiff, the proceedings should not be stayed, will be ordered accordingly(d). Or, if the defendant exceeds the plaintiff's, then that the proceedings should be stayed, and that the plaintiff shall pay to the defendant, by his attorney, the balance, after deducting the plaintiff's from the defendant's costs. The ground on which the judge proceeds in these cases is this: if the defendant is once ready to pay a given sum and the plaintiff receives it, if the defendant subsequently pays in and the plaintiff takes it out, it is *prima facie* oppressive conduct on the part of the plaintiff; and some explanation be given by him, the judge will order the defendant to be exempted from intermediate costs, and the plaintiff pay them(f). But the presumption of oppressive conduct may be rebutted(f); thus, where a summons was taken out to stay proceedings on arrears of salary only, and, on the plaintiff's arrears being paid into court, and afterwards a judgment in full satisfaction, on a motion to tax his costs, *Parke, B.*, held that, the circumstances of the plaintiff's having obtained a more profitable employment, and his payment into court, was sufficient to rebut the presumption of a vexatious refusal; and that the defendant was entitled to his costs(g). If the plaintiff proceed and the defendant should still pay the money offered in full, and plead such payment as usual(h). In one such case the judge refused to order the defendant's costs to be paid, because the case had not previously been brought before the master(i).

In Detinue or
Trove.

Also, in detinue, or trover for deeds, &c.,

(b) See *ante*, 721.

(c) See the last Chapter.

(d) See *ante*, 975: and see *Saunders v. Piper*, 7 Dowl. 632, as to the insufficiency of mere readiness to pay a certain sum, without taking out such a summons.(e) But not otherwise. (*Gower v. Elkins*,

6 Dowl. 335).

(f) Per *Parke, B.*,

6 Dowl. 335.

(g) *Cumming v. Oak*(h) *Gower v. Elkins*(i) *Roe v. Cobham*.

admits the plaintiff's right to part of the deeds, &c., but disputes it as to the rest, the defendant may, bringing up the deed, &c., which he admits to be the same, and payment of costs, obtain a stay of proceedings; if the plaintiff still insists on proceeding for damages, or for the deeds, &c., then he may obtain a rule or order, that the defendant shall be subject to the costs of the action, unless he obtains a verdict for some of the other deeds, &c., or damages or nominal damages for the detention of the deed in question (j).

In replevin, where the amount of the rent due is in dispute, the plaintiff may obtain an order for staying proceedings on payment of the sum admitted to be due and costs; if the defendant refuses, then an order for payment into court of the sum which plaintiff admits to be due; and that the defendant shall not recover a greater sum, or shall only recover the sum paid in in satisfaction, he shall pay all costs incurred by the plaintiff subsequent to the date of the order (k).

In other Actions for unliquidated Damages. In actions *ex delicto* for unliquidated damages, the defendant, which is disputed, the courts have not been in the habit of interfering to stay proceedings (l); but in some such cases the defendant may take out a summons to stay the proceedings on payment of a certain sum, and put the plaintiff to the proof of costs of further proceedings in the action, as in *ante*, 988, or may obtain a judge's order to pay, or money into court, as noticed *ante*, 969, &c.

[*Payment of Debt, &c., without Costs.*] If the plaintiff or defendant has been guilty of gross misconduct, the court will stay the proceedings on payment of the debt without costs (m). Where a sheriff levied under a *fi. fa.*, and the plaintiff brought an action for money had and received by the sheriff, for the amount of the money levied, without previously made a demand of it, the court, upon application, stayed the proceedings in the action, upon payment of the money levied, without costs (n). If no demand was made for payment of an acceptor of a bill before action was brought, the court or a judge, on an early application, might stay the proceedings on payment of the debt without costs (o). In *Stanton*, after an application by the plaintiff's attorney to stay the proceedings on payment of the debt demanded, without notice to the defendant, had been sued out, about which the plaintiff said that the attorney afterwards arrested the defendant on a writ which had been sued out before the plaintiff paid the debt, the Court of Common Pleas ordered the proceedings to be stayed without costs (p). And where, after the plaintiff had paid the debt, the plaintiff's attorney proceeded to obtain a verdict for nominal damages and judgment for costs, issued execution, the attorney being uncertificated, the plaintiff was not entitled to costs, the court stayed the execution (q).

Hayward, 3 Dowl. 362; 696.
form of order.

Cleere, *ante*, 986, n. (k). (o) *Mackintosh v. Haydon*, R. & M. 363,
per *Abbott*, C. J.: but see *Siggers v. Lewis*,
2 Dowl. 681.

Stanton, 1 Bing. 769; 7 (p) *Rowke v. Wasp*, 5 Bing. 190; 2 Moo.
C. *ante*, 976. & P. 314, S. C.: and see *Wylie v. Phillips*, 3
v. Sheppard, 3 B. & Ald. Bing. N. C. 776.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

tion (q). But where the defendant having, on application from the plaintiff's attorney, promised to remit the debt to him, and induced the attorney to suppose he would charge for the letter, afterwards, and before writ issued, the debt to the plaintiff without the costs, and the attorney knowing of the payment, to secure his costs, issued writ, and the money did not arrive to the plaintiff & the writ issued, the court refused to stay the process unless defendant paid the costs of the writ, and gave instructions, together with the costs of the application.

Where inferior
Courts have
Jurisdiction.

We shall presently see that, in actions for debts in courts of requests, where, after verdict, the plaintiff be deprived of costs, the proceedings will in clear cases be stayed on payment of debt without costs (s). And in cases beneath the dignity of the court the proceedings are stayed without payment of either debt or costs (t).

On equitable
Grounds.

[On equitable Grounds.] The court will not, in general, stay the terms ordinarily imposed on a party applying to stay proceedings, merely because he has a defence in equity. If they have refused to stay proceedings on payment of part of the amount of a note sued on, though it be proved that the rest of the money, when recovered would be paid to the plaintiff in trust for the defendant (u). So, where a payee of a promissory note indorsed upon it, that it was to be paid on stipulated days during her life, the plaintiff brought an action on the note, the court refused to stay proceedings on payment of the interest and costs (v). Wherever, the plaintiff sued as trustee under suspicious circumstances, the court stayed proceedings on payment of the debt to the plaintiff, and payment of the debt into court instead of to the plaintiff (w). And, although the court will not stay proceedings for the purpose of allowing the defendant to file a bill for discovery or equity for relief (x); yet they have granted time to the defendant for the purpose of enabling him to file a bill for discovery. And on payment of the money recovered into court, the court has stayed execution in favour of the plaintiff by assignees of a bankrupt under a first commission, and granted a petition to the chancellor to supersede it (z).

In second
Actions for
the same
Cause.

In Ejectment.

[In second Actions for the same Cause.] Upon the application of a defendant in ejectment, the court or a judge will stay proceedings until the costs of a former action be paid, although the first action were not between the present plaintiff and defendant, but by the father of the present plaintiff and the present defendant's father (b); or by an insolvent, the proceedings being by his assignees (c); and even although

(q) *Meekin v. Whalley*, 2 Dowl. 823; 1 Bing. N. C. 59, S. C.

(r) *Morrison v. Sumners*, 1 B. & Ad. 559; 1 Dowl. 325, S. C.

(s) *Post*, 294.

(t) *Ibid.*

(u) *Barlow v. Leeds*, 5 Nev. & M. 426.

(v) *Steele v. Bradfield*, 4 Taunt. 237.

(w) *Jones v. Bramwell*, 3 Dowl. 483.

(x) *R. v. Peto*, 1 Y. & J. 169; *Murphy v. Cadell*, 2 B. & P. 137.

(y) *Whitaker v. Casalet*, 2 T. R. 683.

(z) *Hedghinson v. Travers*, 409; 1 B. & C. 257, S. C.

(a) *Des Pinchard v. Roe*, Lord Cowling's case, 1 Str. 118; *Des Pinchard v. Reddy*, 1d. 554; 2 Mod. 2; *Born v. Dunn*, Barnes, 128.

(b) *Des Fellen v. Roe*, 3 Mod. 2; and see *Des Pinchard v. Roe*, 3 Mod. 2; *Des Chambers v. Lee*, 1180; *Des Hamilton v. Huth*, 1182.

(c) *Des Stoddish v. Roe*, 3 Mod. 2.

ion be not for the same lands, provided it be upon title(*d*). And it is not material, in this respect, in what the former action was(*e*), or whether there was a consent rule in the former ejectment, or whether in the former ejectment ever entered into the consent. And where a defendant in ejectment who had impleaded a tenant right to property sought to be afterwards brought another ejectment in respect of part of the same estate, he was compelled to pay the costs of the action in which he had been defendant before proceedings. But if the lessor of the plaintiff, upon discovering a mistake before trial, abandon that ejectment and bring another(*h*), or abandon his suit in one court and bring another in another(*i*), the court or a judge will not stay proceedings until the costs of the former action be paid, if the proceedings do not appear to be vexatious. And they stay proceedings if the first action were brought without the authority of the plaintiff, so that he has no control over it(*j*). So, if the plaintiff were deceived, in the first action, by the fraud or perjury of the party, the court or a judge will not stay the proceedings in a second action(*k*). Also, where a defendant in an ejectment, after being evicted, brings another ejectment of the premises, the court or a judge will stay the proceedings until he pay the costs of the former action(*l*), in what such action was(*m*). Besides the costs of the former action the court or a judge will in some cases also oblige the defendant to pay the costs of the action for mesne profits(*n*); and in some cases will they oblige him to pay the damages in such case, however vexatious the proceedings of the present lessor. And if the plaintiff may have been defrauded(*o*). Besides the cases above mentioned, the court have stayed the proceedings in a second action until the special verdict in the former one should be delivered(*p*). So, where the defendant, after verdict against him, brought a writ of error, and pending the writ brought another ejectment to recover the same premises, the court will stay the proceedings in the new action until he quitted possession of the tenants attorned to the lessor of plaintiff in the former action(*q*). But the court have refused to stay proceedings in an ejectment, until the taxed costs of a suit in which the plaintiff was brought by the same party for the recovery of the same premises were paid(*r*). And the Court of Common Pleas have refused to stay the proceedings in a writ of right, until the costs of the former ejectment for the same property were paid(*s*). And

- | | |
|--|--|
| <p><i>Angel v. Angel</i>, 6 T. R. 740.
 <i>King's case</i>, 1 Stra. 548:
 <i>Edly</i>, Id. 554; 8 Mod. 225,
 <i>Hedrick v. Law</i>, 2 W. Bl.
 1 Salk. 255: <i>Doe v. Brenton</i>,
 2. <i>Ginger v. Barnardiston</i>, 2
 <i>Doe Langdon v. Langdon</i>, 5
 <i>James v. Shadwell</i>, 7 Dowl. 527.
 <i>King</i>, 2 Str. 681, 1099:
 <i>Remond</i>, Id. 1121.
 <i>Wright v. Alston</i>, 1 T. R. 491.
 <i>Star v. Watts</i>, 2 Dowl. 263.
 <i>Wright v. Thomas</i>, 4 D. & R.
 2. 622, S. C.
 <i>Wright v. Williams v. Holdfast</i>, 6</p> | <p>T. R. 223.
 (m) <i>Doe Walker v. Stevenson</i>, 3 B. &
 P. 22.
 (n) <i>Doe Pinchard v. Roe</i>, 4 East, 585:
 <i>Doe Green v. Packer</i>, 2 Dowl. 373, S. C.
 (o) <i>Doe Church v. Barclay</i>, 15 East, 233.
 (p) <i>Smith d. Dormer v. Parkhurst</i>, 2 Str.
 1105.
 (q) <i>Fenwick v. Grosvenor</i>, 1 Salk. 258.
 (r) <i>Doe Williams v. Winch</i>, 3 B. &
 Ald. 602: and see <i>Murphy v. Cadell</i>, 2 B.
 & P. 137: <i>Bouyear v. Bouyear</i>, 2 Dowl.
 217.
 (s) <i>Chatfield v. Souter</i>, 3 Bing. 167; 10
 Moore, 572, S. C.: <i>Bouyear v. Bouyear</i>,
 3 Moo. & Scott, 65; 170: 2 Dowl.
 207, S. C.</p> |
|--|--|

BOOK IV.
PART I.

In other Actions.

where an unsuccessful defendant in an action of brought an action against the lessors of the plaintiff goods on the land in question, the court refused to proceedings until the costs of the ejectment were paid(*t*).

And not only in ejectment, but also in other actions a second action appear to have been brought. A court or a judge will stay proceedings until the cost of a former action be paid(*u*), provided both actions were against the same parties, and for the same cause (if several actions be brought and are pleading for the same cause, the court will stay the proceedings in all but one). Also, where an action was stayed in the King's Bench by the consolidation rule, and the plaintiff thereupon discontinued and commenced another action in the Common Pleas for the same cause, that court stayed the proceedings until the trial of the cause in this court with which the former had been consolidated(*x*). Also, in another case, a plaintiff brought an action in the Exchequer, and then discontinued, hundred, pursuant to the 7 & 8 G. 4, c. 31, which required an action to be brought within three months, and commenced another action in the King's Bench for the same cause, the latter court ordered the proceedings in the first action to be stayed, unless plaintiff would discontinue his action in the Exchequer, the plaintiff not having done so, the court refused either action; but the court would not grant the stay on application(*a*). And an action by husband and wife was stayed until the costs of a former action by the husband for the same cause were paid(*b*). But a stay of proceedings has been refused in a second action for a debt where the first was not decided on the merits(*c*). And the same where the first action was *nonprossed*(*d*). And the same where the proceedings in the first action were set aside for irregularity(*e*). And the same where the plaintiff was in execution for the debt in the first action(*f*). And the court will not stay the proceedings on the ground of the pendency of another action for the same cause against the defendant jointly with another defendant except in the case of oppression or vexation; though in some cases, even, it seems, allow the party to plead in abatement notwithstanding the four days have expired(*g*). The court refused to stay proceedings against a defendant until the costs and costs recovered by him in a former action in the present plaintiff should be paid(*h*). And, in actions for penalties, the court will not stay the proceedings

(*t*) *Carnaby v. Welby*, 7 Dowl. 315.

(*u*) *Baldwin v. Richards*, 2 T. R. 511, n.; *Melchart v. Halsey*, 2 W. Bl. 741; 3 Wils. 149, S. C.; *Crawley v. Impey*, 8 Taunt. 407; 2 Moore, 460, S. C.; *Weston v. Withers*, 2 T. R. 571; see *Winter v. Slow*, 2 Str. 878: but see *Pashley v. Poole*, 3 D. & R. 53.

(*x*) *English v. Cox*, Cowp. 322: see *Dicas v. Jay*, 6 Bing. 519.

(*y*) *Nichols v. Leferre*, 3 Dowl. 135: ante, 968: or defendant might plead the pendency of the first in abatement. If there was a writ in being at the time of suing out the second writ, it is plain that the second is vexatious and ill *ab initio*; Bac. Abr., Abatement (M). As to arrest-

ing a defendant in a second action, see discontinuing a prior one, 476, 478.

(*z*) *Parkin v. Scott*, 1 Tr.

(*a*) *Miles v. Inhabitants of St. Mary's*, 3 Ad. 945.

(*b*) *Lampley v. Sands*, 1

(*c*) *Pashley v. Poole*, 3 D.

(*d*) *Liveredge v. Goods*,

(*e*) *Dawson v. Sampson*, 1

(*f*) *Boaren v. Robins*, 3

(*g*) *Sturter v. Dunstan*, 1

ante, 653, 654.

(*h*) *Cooke v. Dobres*, 1

Smith v. Rolt, 2 Dowl. 62.

the defendant had been sued by another person, added for the same offence; at least, not unless the court, specifically, what was the offence compounded the court may see that both offences are the same (i).

have also, in some few instances, under peculiar circumstances, and where the proceedings were evidently stayed the proceedings in a second action, after a judgment in the same cause in a former one. But this is very rarely done: the court usually refuse to interfere in this summary judgment the defendant to plead the former recovery (j).

of Common Pleas refused to stay proceedings on the ground that a former action for the same cause had been brought before the court to an arbitrator, by which the plaintiff was prevented from bringing a new action, the identity of the parties being doubtful (k). And the same where it was held that whether the award was made before revocation of the writ, or where a new action was brought in breach of the writ, or not to do so, on consenting to the withdrawal of the writ, the suggestion of the judge, the court stayed the proceedings (l).

person, however, who has a right of action against several persons for a specific damage, recovers and receives a satisfaction from one of them, the court, for the same cause, will stay proceedings in any action he may bring against the others. And, in a case where separate actions were brought against several persons for the same debt, who (if at all) were jointly liable, the defendant in one action having paid the costs in that action, the court stayed the proceedings against the others without costs (o).

proceedings in a second action are stayed until the defendant has paid the costs of the former action, if such costs be not paid, the court will not interfere, but will allow the defendant to continue the proceedings (costs are not paid before a certain day) to non-suit the second action (p); but if the plaintiff in such a case continues the proceedings in the second action, before the costs of the first are paid, the court, upon application, will set them aside.

Application to stay the proceedings on any of these grounds should be made as soon as possible, and before the defendant has incurred further expenses. It cannot be made in any case until the defendant has entered into the consent judgment. In one case of an ejectment it was granted after judgment in the second action of ejectment had been given against the plaintiff had been at the expense of preparing the witnesses to town (r). And in a case where the declaration in ejectment was served on

After Recovery in former Action.

After Reference or Withdrawal of Juror, in former Action.

In Actions against several Defendants.

Effect of Stay till Payment of Costs of former Action.

Application, when to be made.

r. Johnson, Cowp. 744: P. 448, S. C.
ton, 2 T. R. 512, 712: (l) *Louis v. Kermode*, 8 Taunt. 146; 2
 Dwp. 322. Moore, 30, S. C.
v. Johnson, Cowp. 744: (m) *Moscati v. Lawson*, 1 H. & W. 572.
 2 T. R. 512; and see *Id.* (n) *Semb. Bird v. Randall*, 3 Burr. 1354;
 1 W. Bl. 389, S. C.
Goode, 2 Dowl. 141; (o) *Carne v. Legh*, 6 B. & C. 124; 9 D.
 1 W. Bl. 389, S. C.
 [in replevin, after being (p) *Sutton d. Doe v. Ridgway*, 5 B. &
 at an action of trespass, Ald. 523.
 used to interfere. But (q) *Doe Crockett v. Roe*, 1 H. & W. 351;
 recovered in replevin, the but see *Adams on Ejectment*, 2nd ed. 321.
 stayed proceedings in (r) *Doe Chadwick v. Law*, 2 W. Bl.
 pass for the same cause. 1156.
 (Add. 572).
 6 Bing. 619; 2 Moo. &

BOOK IV.
PART I.

the 30th September, to appear in Michaelmas term issue was delivered, and notice of trial given for the 28th December, and the application by summons made until the 6th January, the court held it as saying that the plaintiff need not have joined issue the Spring assizes(s).

In trifling
Actions.Where Cause
of Action un-
der 40s.

In trifling Actions.] It is deemed beneath the dignity of the superior courts at Westminster to take cognizance under 40s.; and in trespass for goods, it is expressly by stat. 6 Ed. 1, c. 8. Therefore, if it appear either from the declaration(t), or by the plaintiff's acknowledgment or even from the defendant's affidavit, if not deposed to by the plaintiff(s), that the sum for which the action is brought is really less than 40s., the court or a judge will stay the proceedings, unless it appear that the debt is not recoverable in any county court, court of requests, or other inferior court. The plaintiff cannot evade this by suing for a large cause of action(z). But the court would not stay proceedings in an action of trover, on an affidavit of the defendant that the cause of action did not amount to more than the amount of the value of the article sought to be recovered in such action, being mere matter of calculation to be determined by a jury(a); and the defendant might now plead into court.

Where reco-
verable in
Court of Re-
quests, &c.Application,
when made,
&c.

In an action for a debt of any amount recoverable in the court of requests, where the plaintiff might, after verdict, recover the costs, the court or a judge will, if the case be so, stay the proceedings on payment of the debt without costs.

The application should be made as soon as possible before the plaintiff has incurred any further costs. It might, however, it seems, be made any time before judgment. But if the suit be for a cause of action within the jurisdiction of the court of requests of the district or place where the parties reside, and if there be a prohibitory clause in the statute, by which the jurisdiction of the inferior court is created, (as in the Tower Hamlets' Act), the application should be made before plea pleaded; in other cases before issue joined(d). The rule, if obtained in the court of requests, is *rule nisi*, unless perhaps where the cause of action is for a debt from the pleadings to be under 40s.(e).

In Actions
pending Er-
ror, &c.

In Actions pending Error, &c.] It is entirely within the discretion of the court to stay proceedings pending error. Where judgment was obtained in the Court of Chancery in an action on a foreign judgment, the court will stay proceedings to prevent the plaintiff from charging the defendant with costs, though it was sworn that an appeal was intended to be taken from the foreign court; and *Parke, B.*, said, that it was

(s) *Doe Green v. Packer*, 2 Dowl. 373, 38 C.

(t) *Oulton v. Perry*, 3 Burr. 1592.

(u) *Kennard v. Jones*, 4 T. R. 495: and see *Milton v. Garment*, 2 New Rep. 84: *Stean v. Holmes*, 2 W. Bl. 754: *Sandall v. Bennett*, 3 Dowl. 294.

(x) *Wallington v. Arters*, 5 T. R. 64: but see *Oulton v. Perry*, 3 Burr. 1592: *Anon.*, 2 Ld. Raym. 1304: *Welsh v. Troughton*, 2 H. Bl. 29: *Tubb v. Woodward*, 6 T. R. 175:

Loose v. Loose, 1 Bing. 2.

(y) *James v. Williams*, 2 H. Bl. 11.

(a) *Thompson v. Gil*, 6

(s) *Loose v. Loose*, 8 Bing. 270, 8 C.

(b) *Cornforth v. Loose*, 321: see *Sandall v. Bennett*.

(c) See *Kennard v. Jones*.

(d) M.S., M. 1814; vide

(e) See *Kennard v. Jones*.

ough to apply when the judgment of the foreign court was reversed (*f*). As to staying proceedings in an action upon a judgment pending error, see *Vol. I.* 360. As to staying execution upon the original judgment, pending error, see also *Vol. I.* 359, 360. And as to staying proceedings against bail on their recognisance, pending error, in the action against principal, see *Vol. I.* 641, 642, 619.

[*Staying a Rule Nisi, &c.*] As to this, see *post*, 1045. As to staying proceedings pending an order for particulars, see *post*, 1045. It may be here observed, that a motion for a rule which would operate as a stay of proceedings, cannot be made on the day of the term, unless it appear to the court, under the circumstances, that it could not have been made earlier (*g*). Staying Proceedings pending rule Nisi &c.

[*Where there are adverse Claims, &c.*] As to this, see next Chapter. Where a separate commission was sued against A., and a joint commission was afterwards sued against him and B., and the assignees under the first commission obtained a verdict in an action against C., the court at the instance of the defendant, ordered proceedings stayed on payment of the amount into court, to abide the event of a petition to the chancellor to supersede the first commission (*h*). Where there are adverse Claims, &c.

[*Staying criminal Proceedings.*] The court will not compel a plaintiff to elect between an action and an indictment for the same cause (*i*). In an action commenced by bailable process, the court refused to stay proceedings until after the trial of an indictment for perjury founded on the plaintiff's affidavit of perjury (*j*). In an action for money won at play, the court refused to stay the proceedings until after the trial of an indictment against the parties for a cheat (*k*). So, after verdict and judgment, the court refused to stay proceedings until after the trial of an indictment for perjury then pending against the plaintiff's witnesses (*l*). But, where the plaintiff, who was indicted for felony, brought an action to recover money he had deposited with a banker, and which was surmised to be the produce of the felony, the Court of Common Pleas stayed proceedings in the action until after the trial of the indictment (*m*). Pending criminal Proceedings.

[*Actions brought without Authority.*] If an attorney bring an action without the plaintiff's authority, the court will sometimes stay (*n*) or set aside the proceedings (*o*). But the court refused to compel to be refunded to a defendant monies In Actions brought without Authority.

Essex v. Furnival, 3 Dowl. 202; 1 T. R. 277, S. C.
Under v. Harris, Tidd's Pract. 518.
Edgkinson v. Travers, 2 D. & R. 1 & C. 257, S. C. See in case of *Grunt v. Bryant*, 6 M. & Sel. 347.
See v. Clay, 1 B. & P. 191.
Wason v. Werdie, 3 Dowl. 550; and *v. Barton*, 4 East, 572.
See, 2 Salk. 640.
Ward v. Bruce, 4 M. & Sel. 140; *Loft*, 438; *Ras v. Tremearne*, 5 T. R. 761; 8 D. & R. 590, S. C.

(*m*) *Deakin v. Praed*, 4 Taunt. 825.
(*n*) *Doz Baker v. Ros*, 3 Dowl. 496; *Robinson v. Eaton*, 1 T. R. 62; *Doz Davis v. Eytun*, 3 B. & Ad. 785; *Sowter v. Watts*, 2 Dowl. 263; and see *Newton v. Matthews*, 4 Dowl. 237; see also *Mudry v. Newman*, 1 C., M. & R. 402; 2 Dowl. 695, S. C. As to the consequences of an attorney defending an action without authority, see *ante*, Vol. I. p. 56.
(*o*) See *Robson v. Robson*, 1 T. R. 62; *Buckle v. Rosch*, 1 Chit. Rep. 194; but see Vol. I. 56, 57.

And where an attorney brought an action for a husband's name, (the wife living apart from her without authority from the latter, the court refused the proceedings, although the husband joined in the application (q); though, in a later case, the court ordered the proceedings to be stayed, until an indemnity was given by the husband (r). The wife of a lunatic, who has no estate, has a sufficient implied authority to sue in the name of the lunatic for debts due to him (s).

And in other cases, as, where a *cestui que trust* action in the name of his trustee; or in the case of co-authors or joint-contractors, or joint-contractors and the trustee of a bankrupt &c.; joint-contractors (&c.), where one is to use the other's name in a suit; the court will not proceed upon the application even of the trustee, &c., being perhaps temporarily, until such trustee, &c., be satisfied against the costs of a nonsuit, or verdict against him. In these cases, a demand of indemnity ought to be made, applying to the court; otherwise, at least, the court will give costs of the application (v).

An assignee of a debt has a right to use the assignor in suing for it; and it is a sufficient authority for the attorney if he be instructed by the former to commence proceedings (x). But the court would, probably, compensate the assignor, by staying proceedings until indemnity was given.

Where a plaintiff had been delirious, and on awaking he brought an action against his bankers for money belonging to him in their hands, the court obliged him to give an indemnity to the bankers by them to him of the sum for which the action was brought (y). We have seen, that the wife of a lunatic may sue in his name (*supra*).

Where one of several plaintiffs dissented from an action of replevin, the court refused to interpose his name unless upon a suggestion of fraud.

Where the Attorney is Uncertificated, &c.] If brought in the name of an attorney not duly certificated, the court or a judge will stay the until a proper attorney be appointed (*ante*, 35).

**M. 318, S. C.: Emery
Bing. 23; 3 M. & Scott
735, S. C.: Saville v. Robb
380; ante, 755. In case**

sufficiency of the indemnity
ferred to one of the masters

(r) See as to the due
where defendant applies

Huntley v. Bulmer, 6 Dou
(x) Pickford v. Easings

(y) *Williams v. Smith*, 1
(2) *Emery v. Muckler*,
M. & Scott, 384; 2 Dowl.

Actions by Common Informers.] In all suits by a former, within stat. 21 J. 1, c. 4 (a), commenced in the superior courts, the proceedings will be stayed upon (b). So, if an action on a penal statute be brought in the proper mode of proceeding is by information and before a justice of peace, the court will stay the

In Penal Actions by Common Informers.

Actions for penalties on the Lottery Acts must be brought in the Court of Exchequer, in the name of the Attorney General (c); if commenced in one of the superior courts, the proceedings will be stayed. And the same as to penalties on the Stamp Acts (d). And see the 7 & 8 G. 4, c. 57, 61; 6 G. 4, c. 109, s. 73, as to penal actions for breach of the laws of excise or customs. In an action for bribery at an election, the court will stay the proceedings; because the plaintiff had been guilty of delay in prosecuting the action (e); and even after the court have stayed proceedings, upon the clause of (f).

by Outlaws and alien Enemies.] The court have stayed proceedings after judgment recovered and affirmed in error, on the defendant's bringing the debt and writ, the plaintiff having been outlawed in another writ, if the defendant were to pay the money to the plaintiff, he might be paying that which the crown would be obliged to have paid over again (g). But the court have stayed proceedings upon the ground that the plaintiffs, who had become alien enemies (h).

In Actions by Outlaws and alien Enemies.

against Bankrupts.] Under the 6 G. 4, c. 16, s. 1, which authorizes the discharge of a certificated bankrupt from execution for a debt provable under his commission, the court has incidentally a power of staying, before proceedings against such a bankrupt for such a

In Actions against Bankrupts.

Cases.] In an action on a promissory note, the court made a rule to shew cause why the proceedings should not be stayed, upon an affidavit that the note had been obtained without consideration; and that fact not being contradicted upon shewing cause, the court made absolute (k). In general, however, the court will not stay proceedings in an action merely on the ground that the plea will not lie (l). Where a client brought an action against his attorney for negligence, and recovered, the jury awarded the attorney was guilty of gross negligence; the plaintiff brought an action for the amount of his bill of costs, but the court refused to stay the proceedings as to this (m).

In other Cases.

On ground that an Action will not lie.

1. 1. v. Potter, 1 Str. 415: 97. G. R. 274: Leigh v. Kent, 4 M. & S. 38. c. 28, s. 10. 3 T. R. 5. Bishop, 4 Burr. 2287. 6 M. & Sel. 347. v. Wilson, 9 East, 321:

but see *De Luneville v. Phillips*, 2 N. R. 97. (i) *Ante*, 905: *Sadler v. Cleaver*, 5 Moo. & P. 706; 7 Bing. 760, S. C. (k) *Tidd*, 530; *sed quære?* (l) See *Sherwood v. Benson*, 4 Taunt. 631; *Tidd*, 530: *Smith v. Curtis*, 2 Dowl. 223. (m) *Smith v. Rolt*, 2 Dowl. 62.

Staying Proceedings.

**Book IV.
PART I.**

**On Set-off
of mutual
Claims.**

Where a prisoner in execution for 200*l.* sued his for 11*l.*, and held him to bail, the Court of Common Pleas stayed the proceedings, upon the latter's acknowledgment to the extent of the 11*l.*, and 5*l.*, to answer the judgment for 200*l.* which he had obtained as former(s). So, if an action be commenced for which had been set off and allowed in a former action the same parties, the court, it seems, would stay proceedings(o).

**On Transfer
of Bill of Ex-
change pend-
ing the Ac-
tion.**

Where the plaintiff, in an action on a bill of exchange, deposited the bill as a security with another party to an action brought, giving him at the same time notice of the action, the Court of Common Pleas held, that this was not a ground for staying proceedings; but intimated, that if the person with whom the bill was deposited had taken a second action upon it, they would interfere to stay the second action(p).

**In Action
against good
Faith.**

If an action be brought pending a reference, which has been agreed shall operate as a stay of proceedings, or contrary to good faith, the court or a judge will stay proceedings(q). So where a juror has been withdrawn from an action, at the suggestion of the judge, on the understanding that the cause was to be put an end to, the court will allow the plaintiff afterwards to proceed(r).

**What a Breach
of a Rule
staying Pro-
ceedings.**

What a Breach of a Rule staying Proceedings.] A breach of a rule staying proceedings, even a motion to enlarge another rule in which the proceedings are stayed, is a breach of the rule staying proceedings(s):

(s) *Pescott v. Jeffrey*, 1 Taunt. 496: and see Vol. I. 457.

(o) See *Laing v. Chatham*, 1 Camp. 259; and Vol. I. 322. See as to this being a good defence to the action by way of estoppel, *Baltimore v. Lewis*, 5 Bng. N. C. 444.

(p) *Morish v. Newell*, 1 T. 780: see *Columbier v. Sims*, 2 Chi.

(q) Tidd, 9th ed. 829; 1

(r) *Harries v. Thomas*, 2 Bng. N. C. 444: see Vol. I. p. 282.

(s) *Wyatt v. Probert*, 5 Bng. N. C. 444.

CHAPTER XI.

INTERPLEADER.

CHAP. XI.

THE following important provisions have been enacted by 1 & 2 W. 4, c. 58, for the purpose of enabling courts of law to give relief against adverse claims made on sheriffs and officers, and persons having no interest in the subject of claims.

1. *Relief of Persons in general against adverse Claims, 999 to 1003.*

2. *Relief of Sheriffs and other Officers against adverse Claims, 1004 to 1011.*

SECT. 1.

Relief of Persons in general against adverse Claims.

THE 1st section of 1 & 2 W. 4, c. 58, after reciting that "it happens that a person sued at law for the recovery of any or goods wherein he has no interest, and which are also claimed of him by some third party, has no means of relieving himself from such adverse claims but by a *suit in equity* against the plaintiff and such third party, usually called a *bill of interpleader*, which is attended with expense and delay;" the remedy thereof enacts, "that, upon application made by or on behalf of any *defendant* sued in any of his Majesty's courts of law at Westminster, or in the Court of Common Pleas of the county palatine of Lancaster, or in the Court of Common Pleas of the county palatine of Durham, in any action of trespass, debt, detinue, or trover, such application being made by declaration, and before plea, by affidavit (a) or otherwise, showing that such defendant does not claim any interest in the subject-matter of the suit, but that the right thereto is claimed or supposed to belong to some third party who has sued or is expected to sue for the same, and that such defendant does not in any manner collude with such third party, it is ready to bring into court or to pay or dispose of the subject-matter of the action, in such manner as the court or any judge thereof may order or direct, it shall be lawful for the court, or any judge thereof, to make rules (b) and orders, compelling upon such third party to appear and to state the nature and particulars of his claim, and maintain or relinquish his claim, and, upon such rule or order, to hear the allegations as well of such third party as of the plaintiff, and in the meantime to stay the proceedings in such action (c), and finally to order (d) such third party to make himself defendant in the

Adverse Claimants compelled to interplead, &c., and Proceedings stayed.

(a) See form, Chit. Forms, 563.

(b) Id. 567.

(c) In the Exchequer and Common

Pleas, notice of motion must be given, if the rule be intended to operate as a stay of proceedings. (See *post*, 1045).

BOOK IV.
PART I.

same or some other action, or to proceed to trial on more *feigned issue or issues* (d), and also to direct the parties shall be plaintiff or defendant on such trial the consent of the plaintiff and such third party, their or attorneys, to *dispose of the merits of their claims, and mine the same in a summary manner*, and to make *rules and orders* therein, as to costs and *all other matters* appear to be just and reasonable" (e).

Judgment
and Decision
final.

By sect. 2, "the judgment in any such action or may be directed by the court or judge, and the decision of the court or judge in a summary manner, shall be final and conclusive against the parties, and all persons claiming or under them."

Claim of Party
not appearing,
barred.

By sect. 3, "if such *third party shall not appear* to rule or order to maintain or relinquish his claim, if served therewith (f), or shall *neglect or refuse to obey any rule or order* to be made after appearance, it shall be lawful for the court or judge to declare such third party and persons claiming by, from, or under him, to be *forever barred from prosecuting his claim against the original defendant, his executors or administrators*, (saving nevertheless the claim of such *third party* against the plaintiff), and to make such order between such defendant and third party as to costs and other matters, as may appear just and reasonable."

Order of
Judge may be
rescinded,
&c.

By sect. 4, "no order shall be made in pursuance of this act by a single judge of the Court of Pleas of the County Palatine of Durham, who shall not also be a judge of the said courts at Westminster; and that every order made in pursuance of this act by a *single judge*, not made in open court, shall be liable to be *rescinded or altered* by the court, in like manner as other orders made by a judge."

Judge may
refer the Mat-
ter to the
Court.

By sect. 5, "if upon application to a *judge* in the matter, or in any latter stage of the proceedings, he shall think the matter more fit for the decision of the court, it shall be lawful for him to refer the matter to the court; and the court shall and may hear and dispose of the matter in the same manner as if the proceeding had originally been made by rule of court, instead of the order of a judge."

Proceedings
may be en-
tered of Re-
cord, &c.

By sect. 7, "all rules, orders, matters, and decisions made and done in pursuance of this act, (except affidavits to be filed), may together with the decision of the cause (if any) be *entered of record*, with a margin expressing the true date (g) of such entry, and that the same may be evidence in future times, and to secure and enforce the payment of costs directed by such rule or order; and every such rule or order shall have the *force and effect* of a judgment, (except in coming a charge on any lands, tenements, or hereditaments) and in case any costs shall not be paid within fifteen days after notice of the taxation and amount thereof given to

(d) As to the proceedings on a feigned issue, see ante, Vol. I. 644.

(e) 1 & 2 W. 4, c. 58, s. 1.

(f) See form of rule, Chit. Forms, 587.

(g) See *Lambirth v. Burr*, 126; 2 Bing. N. C. 149, 8.

(h) *Semble*, it would be s. 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 18.

ered to pay the same, his agent or attorney, *execution may*
e for the same by *fieri facias* or *capias ad satisfaciendum*,
ted to the case, together *with* the costs of such entry, and
he execution, if by *fieri facias*; and such writ and writs
bear *teste on the day of issuing the same*, whether in term
ation(*h*); and the *sheriff or other officer* executing any
writ shall be entitled to the same *fees*, and no more, as
any similar writ grounded upon a judgment of the

s act is confined to the actions therein mentioned, *viz.* What Actions
deposit, debt, detinue, and trover; and therefore, where and Cases
claration contained a count in *case* as well as trover, within the
urt would not interfere(*i*). It does not take away Act.
erty's remedy by bill of interpleader in equity; but,
as proceeded in equity, the common law courts will
general, afterwards interfere(*j*). It applies only to
to property, in its nature distinct and tangible, and
actions for unliquidated damages(*k*). Trover for
eds is not within the act(*l*). Nor is a contested
to a reward advertised for the apprehension of a
s). And where a party gave a promissory note for
due by him, which note was deposited with a third
as trustee for the creditor, and an action was brought
by the trustee, relief was refused, though an action by
litor was anticipated(*n*). An action must be brought
he court will interfere; a mere *threat* of an action is
icient(*o*): where the defendant obtained a rule under
, upon the suggestion that a third party claimed the
; in his hands for which he was sued, and it afterwards
d that the defendant had no just expectation that he
be sued by the third party, the court discharged the
th costs(*p*). A defendant who is sued for the recovery
erty in his possession, in which he has no interest, but
is claimed by a third person, cannot apply to be
l under this act against the claims of the plaintiff and
a third party, if he has taken an indemnity from the
it; for he has thereby identified himself with the
it(*q*), and the act expressly excludes defendants who
with the third party. So, if a defendant officiously
ses in the affairs of another, and so has placed himself
fficulty between adverse claims, the court will, in its
on, generally refuse to relieve him(*r*). In a joint
of trover against two defendants, one of them who
no title to the goods is entitled to the benefit of the

A party may be a claimant within the act, although
ms a lien on the goods against all parties(*t*). And
goods consigned to A., and warehoused at the London
were claimed by B.; and the Dock Company, having

also the 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 67, s. 2.
vences v. Matthews, 5 Dowl. 149.
goss v. Claude, 1 Dowl. 305; Ar-
Jagel, 1 Bing. N. C. 720; 1 Scott,
ser v. Nicholson, 6 Dowl. 517.
h v. Wheeler, 1 Gale, 163.
nt v. Fry, 4 Dowl. 135; Collins v.
iges, 204.
son v. Moody, 7 Dowl. 582.

(o) Parker v. Linnett, 2 Dowl. 562.
(p) Harrison v. Payne, 2 Hodges, 107.
(q) Tucker v. Morris, 1 Dowl. 630; 1 C.
& M. 73, S. C.
(r) Belcher v. Smith, 9 Bing. 82; 2 M. &
Scott, 184, S. C.
(s) Gladstone v. White, 1 Hodges, 386.
(t) Cotter v. Bank of England, 2 Dowl.
728; 3 M. & Scott, 180, S. C.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

required an indemnity of A., the original consignee delivering them to him, A., refused, and brought an action of trover, with counts for special damage for the loss of the goods. On motion by the company for relief, under the Interpleader Act, B., not appearing upon due notice, the court granted the claim of B. against the company was barred; A. ought not, by reason of that act, to be permitted to recover for his special damage, if any (u). B. was a wharfinger, against whom an action of trover was brought, and who retained possession of the goods, the subject of the action, under a claim of lien, applied to the court by the plaintiff, who claimed in opposition to the plaintiff, made defendant in his stead, and pay off his lien. The court thought the case not within the act, the defendant setting up a claim (v).

Decision as to
the Rule and
subsequent
Proceedings.

If the party who has no interest is sued by the claimants in two different courts, he must, to rely on the act, apply to the court, obtain an order from both courts (y). And if part of a sum claimed by the party has been paid to one of them before an adverse claimant has been heard, the adverse claimant has a right to have the whole sum paid into court, on the holder's applying for an order under the act (y). On an application to a judge or a judge in chambers under the Interpleader Act, an order having been made with the consent of all parties, to refer the cause, on certain terms, to a barrister, instead of an issue being directed, the court may, on application, to grant a rule nisi for varying the order, by introducing a fresh term into the reference, in consequence of which one of the parties (an administratrix) has been heard since the hearing at chambers (z). And where there is an issue directed under the act, does not proceed to trial of it, the court will not permit another party to be substituted, without making the plaintiff or defendant a party to the rule (a).

Until the judgment in the action or issue is given, neither of the parties is, in general, secure against claims by the other for the same matter (b). Until the order is signed, money, which has been paid into court on account of the issue under this act, will not be allowed to be taken by the successful party (c). The rule to take the money out of court is nisi only, in the first instance (d).

Costs in ordinary Cases.

If the party making the application under the act acts *bonâ fide*, he will, in the first instance, be allowed his costs of the application out of the fund or out of the goods in dispute, and the party ultimately unsuccessful will have to repay them (e); and it seems that the right of the party in the wrong does not extend to the right of the applicant to receive his costs out of the fund, and the successful party will be left to his own costs.

(u) *Lucas v. London Dock Company*, 4 Dowl. 728; 2 Moo. & Sc. B. & Ad. 378; see *Crawshaw v. Thornton*, 2 Myl. & Cr. 1.

(v) *Braddock v. Smith*, 9 Bing. 84; 2 Moo. & Scott, 131, S. C.

(y) *Allen v. Gibby*, 3 Dowl. 143.

(z) *Drake v. Brown*, 2 M. & R. 270.

(a) *Liddall v. Biddle*, 5 Dowl. 244.

(b) *Cropper v. Lead Smelting Company*, 1

(c) See *Id.*

(d) *Stanley v. Perry*, 1 H.

(e) *Parker v. Linnest*,

Cotter v. Bank of England,

Moo. & Sc. 180, S. C.; *D.*

tooh, 2 Dowl. 730; 3 Moo.

S. C.; *Agar v. Blagden*, 1

deduction, even though the party in the wrong (f). In a case where he was offered an indemnity, the court would not allow him his general, if the claimant does not appear, the order him to pay the costs of applying to the costs to be paid out of the fund in dispute (h). A party is entitled to his costs of applying to get out of court, or to have the property in dispute to him by the stakeholder, though he has not applied to the opposite party (i).

As to making the entry in pursuance of the writ, taxing costs, and suing out execution, as by Mr. Chapman in his second Addenda to his book is as follows:—*The entry must be upon a judgment with the declaration in the cause (if any); a rule, order, or decision of the court or judge on the writ. Make out a docket-paper (l). Take the roll to the clerk, who will number the roll. He will make the entry and the roll must then be carried into the treasury as judgment-rolls are. When costs are given in order, the party entitled to such costs must obtain an appointment on the rule to tax such costs; a writ and appointment must be served the day prior to the taxation of costs on the opposite attorney. After taxation of costs in writing (l) must be given of the amount of costs the party ordered to pay the same, or to his attorney or the costs are not paid within fifteen days after such writ facias or capias ad satisfaciendum (m) may be issued, and the party may, in addition to the costs for the costs of the fieri facias, but not for the costs of the writ.*

The fifteen days' notice must be given, whether to the attorney, or agent, attend the taxation of costs. The most effectual way of giving notice of the amount allowed on taxation will be, by service of a copy of the writ, with the master's *allocatur* for costs thereon, on the party required to pay the same, his attorney, or agent, with a statement stating, that, unless the amount allowed on taxation be paid within fifteen days, execution will be issued on the writ. The notice does not require peremptory (n). It may be here added, that a judgment, or writ on a feigned issue under the Interpleader Act entered up as sect. 7 of that act directs, and a writ issued in the ordinary manner on such issue will be a writ of application to the court (o).

Entering Proceedings on Record, Execution, &c.

Kilney, 4 Bing. N. C.

(k) Chap. II. Addenda, p. 162.

White, 1 Hodges, 386.

(l) See form, Chit. Forms, 590.

Worner, 5 Dowl. 547.

(m) Id. 591.

Rogers, 7 Dowl. 596.

(n) Chapman's Second Addenda to his Practice, 162 to 166.

England, 7 Dowl. 319.

(o) *Dickenson v. Eyre*, 7 Dowl. 721.

SECT. 2.

Relief of Sheriffs and other Officers against adverse

Relief of Sheriff, &c., before 1 & 2 W. 4, c. 58.

BEFORE the passing of the 1 & 2 W. 4, c. 58, if the in goods taken under an execution were in dispute frequently happens in the case of bankruptcy, &c., upon the suggestion of this or any other reasonable the sheriff, would enlarge the time for making the until the right were tried, or until one of the parties the sheriff a sufficient indemnity(*p*). This, however, to be considered a general rule; but the indulgence granted only in special cases, under particular circumstances because the sheriff, where the property is in dispute as he still may, summon an inquest to say whose property before he returns the writ. But, in all cases where arose from a point of law, and not from mere matter the court, upon application, would enlarge the time for the return(*q*); therefore, where the doubt was, who was seized under a *fi. fa.* were not covered by an extent sued out, the court enlarged the time for making return to the *fi. fa.*, for the purpose of inducing the plaintiff into the Court of Exchequer, and there contest the right with the crown(*r*). The sheriff or officer, if it fit, notwithstanding the act of 1 & 2 W. 4, c. 58 heretofore, apply to the court to enlarge the time for his return: and in some cases, not within the act, such application would be expedient.

Relief of Sheriff by Interpleader under 1 & 2 W. 4, c. 58.

Now, however, a much more effectual relief is afforded to sheriffs and other officers in such cases by the above act, section 6, of which, after reciting that "difficulties arise in the execution of process against goods and chattels issued by or under the authority of the said courts of claims made to such goods and chattels by bankrupts and other persons not being the parties against whom such process has issued, whereby sheriffs and other officers are exposed to the hazard and expense of actions; and it is reasonable to afford relief and protection in such cases to sheriffs and other officers," enacts, "that when any action shall be made to any goods or chattels taken or to be taken in execution under any such process, or to the proceeds or value thereof, it shall and may be lawful to the court from which such process issued, upon application of such sheriff or other officer made before or after the issue of such process, and as well before as after any action brought against such sheriff or other officer, to call before the court, upon the rule of court(*u*), as well the party issuing such process as the party making such claim, and thereupon to examine and adjust such claims and the relief and protection to be afforded to the sheriff or other officer, all or any of the powers at

(*p*) *Semb. Wells v. Pickman*, 7 T. R. 174; *Shaw v. Tunbridge*, 2 W. Bl. 1064, 1181; and see *King v. Bridges*, 7 Taunt. 294; 1 Bing. 71, S. C.; *Bernasconi v. Farebrother*, 7 B. & C. 379; *Bearan v. Dawson*, 4 Moo. & P. 387; 6 Bing. 566, S. C.; Tidd, 9th ed. 1017.

(*q*) See *George v. Birch*, 4 Taunt. 585.

(*r*) *Wells v. Pickman*, 7 T. R. 174; *Thurston v. Thurston*, 7 T. R. 174.

(*s*) See form of affidavit 588.

(*t*) *Green v. Brown*, 3 D. & W. 218.

(*u*) See form of a rule, 589; *Parker v. Booth*, 1 Mo. & Bing. 85, S. C.

before contained, and make such rules (v) and demand shall appear to be just, according to the circumstances of the case; and the costs of all such proceedings shall be in the discretion of the court."

CHAP. XI.
SECT. 2.

the 1 & 2 V. c. 45, s. 2, "it shall be lawful for any of the Courts of Queen's Bench, Common Pleas, or County Courts, with respect to any such process issued out of the said courts, or for any judge of the said Court of Common Pleas of the county palatine of Lancaster, or Court of the county palatine of Durham, (being also a judge of the said three superior courts), with respect to any such process issued out of the said courts of Lancaster and Durham respectively, to exercise such powers and authorities for the protection of the sheriff or other officer, as may be directed by the said last-mentioned act be exercised by the said courts respectively, and to make such order shall appear to be just; and the costs of such proceedings shall be in the discretion of such judge (x).

Application
for Relief
may be at
Chambers.

the court will not interfere under this act for the sheriff, if a substantial claim to the property has been made; and must, it seems, be of such a nature as may be followed by an action (y); though an action need not be actually commenced before making the application (z). And the court will give relief, though the claimant be an infant (a). The effect of a fiat in bankruptcy having been issued is not a bar to a claim by the assignees (b). A person in possession of the goods seized under a *fi. fa.* against the debtor is still a claimant within the act (c). The fact of the goods being in the possession of a stranger, and not of the debtor against whom the execution issued, does not prevent the sheriff from making the application under the act (d), although the act, from its language, may seem to view only those cases in which the absolute promise of the debtor is made, yet the letter of the act will comprehend cases

In what Case
Relief will be
granted or
not.

Where goods had been taken by the sheriff under a writ of *fi. fa.* and sold by him, another *fi. fa.* having been issued against the same goods, and where a party claiming an interest in the property against both the plaintiffs, the sheriff, and the sheriff, and complained that the goods were sold improvidently, and in spite of notice from the court made a special order for relief of the sheriff, the court granted it (f). If an execution-creditor abandons his process against certain goods seized under a *fi. fa.* in favour of another creditor, and the sheriff still sells them under it, he may be compelled to apply to the court under the act (g). But the

Chit. Forms, 589.
As to this enactment, as the courts had no jurisdiction: 2 Dowl. 25, S. C.: Brackley v. 3 Dowl. 180; Smith v. 3 Dowl. 15; 3 Dowl. 431. S. C.: 4 Nev. & M. 352: Beames v. 122: Halley v. Maney, unless where a rule nisi the court to shew cause Fowler v. Lock, 4 Nev. 122: Cross v. 4 Dowl. 122: 1 Hodges, 189; 2 Scott, if process issued out of the court directed to the same application must have

been made to the respective courts out of which the process issued. (Bragg v. Hopkins, 2 Dowl. 151).

(y) Isaac v. Spillbury, 10 Bing. 3; 3 Moo. & Scott, 341; 2 Dowl. 211, S. C.

(z) Green v. Brown, 3 Dowl. 337.

(a) Claridge v. Collins, 7 Dowl. 698.

(b) Bentley v. Hook, 2 Dowl. 339; 2 C. & M. 426, S. C.: Tarleton v. Dummelino, 5 Bing. N. C. 110, *semb.*; but see Barker v. Phipson, 3 Dowl. 590.

(c) Barker v. Dynes, 1 Dowl. 169.

(d) Allen v. Gibbon, 2 Dowl. 292.

(e) Ford v. Baynton, 1 Dowl. 367.

(f) Howman v. Buck, 3 B. & Ad. 103.

(g) Baynton v. Harvey, 3 Dowl. 344.

court will not relieve the sheriff, under the act, has paid over the proceeds of the execution to the execution-creditor (*h*); nor where he has handed over of the goods to the party claiming them (*i*); nor where the sheriff paid over the proceeds of the execution to the execution-creditor, even before he had notice of the claim, even if he be willing to bring a similar amount into court. And, where the sheriff finding the goods claimed by the party withdrew, without making any seizure under the writ, the court held, that as the sheriff had not possessed the goods, and was, therefore, incapable of delivering them to either party, he was not entitled to relief (*m*). It appears that the under-sheriff is plaintiff in the action for the writ of execution has been issued and executed, and will not interfere to relieve the sheriff under the act, where the sheriff himself swears, in the usual way, that he did not collude either with the execution-creditor or with the party to whom he seeks to bring before the court, for the satisfaction of their respective claims on the property seized, and where the sheriff is placed in circumstances which show an interest on either side, as where the under-sheriff was concerned for some of the parties, or the like. It will they relieve him where he has seized under the writ, and the question is, whether that writ ought to be granted in the absence of another (*p*). Nor where he has seized goods under a writ which were under a distress for rent (*q*); but where the sheriff, having seized goods under a *f. fa.*, received the proceeds before sale of the landlord's claim for rent in arrears, and afterwards of a fiat of bankruptcy, the court held that the landlord was entitled to the goods, the landlord not being a creditor by a distress for his rent (*r*). The act does not apply in equity (*s*), nor to a claim made by a person other than the defendant, on property seized by the sheriff. The court will, in such a case, compel the plaintiff to pay to him if he deny the partnership (*t*). If the sheriff is guilty of neglect, and incurred a liability thereby, the court will not relieve him from such liability (*u*).

Sheriff bound to make inquiries as to the Claim.

It has been said that the sheriff, before he makes a sale, is bound to inquire of the nature of the claim made by the adverse parties (*x*). And where a levy was made by the sheriff on the 30th October, and a claim was made on the 1st November, under a bill of sale of that date, the court discharged the sheriff, and ordered the sheriff to pay the execution-creditor, observing, that before the sheriff applied to the court for relief, he should at least to have looked at the date of the bill of sale.

(*h*) *Anderson v. Calloway*, 1 C. & M. 182; 1 Dowl. 676, S. C.; *Chalon v. Anderson*, 3 Tyr. 327.

(*i*) *Braine v. Hunt*, 2 Dowl. 301; 2 C. & M. 418, S. C.

(*k*) *Scott v. Lewis*, 1 Gale, 204; 4 Dowl. 259; 2 C., M. & R. 289, S. C.

(*l*) *Ireland v. Bushell*, 5 Dowl. 147; 2 H. & W. 118.

(*m*) *Holton v. Guntrip*, 6 Dowl. 130; 3 M. & W. 145, S. C.

(*n*) *Ossler v. Bower*, 4 Dowl. 605; 1 Harr. & W. 653, S. C.

(*o*) *Duddin v. Long*, 3 Dowl. 139; 1 Bing. N. C. 229; 1 Scott, 281, S. C.

(*p*) *Day v. Walcott*, 1 Salmon v. James, Id. 300.

(*q*) *Haythorn v. Bush*, Clark v. Lord, Id. 227.

(*r*) *Gothin v. Willis*, 2 Dowl. 200.

(*s*) *Sturgess v. Claude*, Holmes v. Monts, 4 Ad. & M. 563; 4 Dowl. 300.

(*t*) *Holmes v. Monts*, 4 5 Nev. & M. 563; 4 Dowl. 300.

(*u*) *Brackenbury v. Laing*, and see *Lewis v. Jones*, 1 Dowl. 200.

(*x*) *Bishop v. Hinchman*, see R. v. Sheriff of Oxford.

(*y*) *R. v. Sheriff of Oxford*.

He need not apply for (z), nor is he bound to accept, an indemnity, if offered (a). If, however, he accept one, the court must not afterwards interfere under this act (b).

CHAP. XI.
SECT. 2.

Indemnity.

The application may be made either to the court or to a judge at chambers (c). If there be two writs issuing out of different courts, the application, if made in court, must be to that court (d).

Application,
where made.

The application should be made by the sheriff, in a reasonable time after receiving notice of the adverse claim (e). Where the sheriff received notice on the 23rd January, of an order to goods seized by him under a *fi. fa.*, he was held not entitled to relief under the act, on an application made after the next Term (f). And in a case, before the 1 & 2 V. c. 45, which allows a judge at chambers jurisdiction where the claim is made in the vacation, the court held that the sheriff should have made the application within the first four days of the ensuing term; and since that act, he should apply at chambers within a reasonable time, and should not wait for term; in the event of laches in this respect, he will have to pay the costs of both parties, and, perhaps, he will be altogether refused (g). But under special circumstances the court will interfere on a later application (h). Where it was made within eleven days after the notice of the claim, the court heard it (i).

Application to
be made
promptly.

The application should be supported by an affidavit, stating the seizure of the goods by the sheriff under the execution, that the goods, or the proceeds of this sale, are in his hands, the notice of the claim by the party who made it (k), such other facts as may assist in inducing the court to grant the application. No supplemental affidavit will be allowed after the rule *nisi* is obtained, and therefore, if there has been any delay, it should be accounted for in the first affidavit (l). The affidavit need not state that the sheriff has made the application to the adverse claimants for an indemnity (m), nor need it deny collusion with them (n). The claimants may appear without taking office copies of the affidavits on which the rule was obtained (o). An execution-creditor appearing must not produce an affidavit (p). But the claimant must, in order to appear to the rule, state the nature of his claim upon an affidavit of the facts (q). An affidavit, in shewing cause, may be sworn at any time before cause is shewn (r).

Affidavit in
Support of.

Crosley v. Ebers, 1 H. & W. 216;
v. Popjoy, 10 Leg. Obs. 12.
Levy v. Champneys, 2 Dowl. 454.
See *Outler v. Bower*, 4 Dowl. 605.
1 & 2 V. c. 45, s. 2: *ante*, 1005.
Bray v. Hopkins, 2 Dowl. 151.
Druce v. John, 1 Dowl. 548;
v. Allen, 2 Dowl. 11; 1 C. & M.
S. C.: *Dixon v. Ensell*, 2 Dowl. 621;
or v. Phipson, 3 Dowl. 500.
Ridgway v. Fisher, 3 Dowl. 567.
Boyle v. Overton, 2 M. & W. 534;
11 M. & W. 548, S. C.: and see *Barker v.*
Winn, 3 Dowl. 540; 1 H. & W. 191,
S. C.: *Sablenon v. Clarington*, 2 H. & W.
191, in which latter case, the seizure of
goods was long before the claim was
made; and the delay not being satisfac-
torily accounted for, the court refused to
grant the rule to the sheriff.

(h) *Dixon v. Ensell*, 2 Dowl. 621.
(i) *Skipper v. Lane*, 2 Dowl. 781; 4 M.
& Scott, 283, S. C.
(k) *Northote v. Beauchamp*, 1 M. &
Scott, 158.
(l) *Cooke v. Allen*, 2 Dowl. 11; 1 C. &
M. 542; 3 Tyr. 546, S. C.
(m) *Crosley v. Ebers*, 1 H. & W. 216;
Wills v. Popjoy, 10 Leg. Obs. 12: see
Levy v. Champneys, 2 Dowl. 454.
(n) *Danniger v. Hinman*, 2 Dowl. 424;
Dobbins v. Green, 1d. 519; and vide *Cooke*
v. Allen, 1d. 11; 1 C. & M. 542; 3 Tyr.
546, S. C.: *Anderman v. Calloway*, 1 C. &
M. 182; 1 Dowl. 626, S. C.
(o) *Mason v. Redshaw*, 2 Dowl. 505.
(p) *Angus v. Wootton*, 3 M. & W. 310.
(q) *Powell v. Luck*, 1 H. & W. 281; 4
Nev. & M. 852; 3 Ad. & E. 315, S. C.
(r) *Brame v. Hunt*, 2 Dowl. 301.

BOOK IV.
PART I.Who entitled
to resist the
Application.Proceedings
on the Hear-
ing where the
Parties ap-
pear.Where some
of the Parties
do not appear.Costs on Ap-
plication by
Sheriff.
In general.

No one has a right to be heard against the rule of the sheriff, unless he is called upon by it, although fact a claimant; and if he is called upon in one case cannot appear in another(s). Where, however, after writs had been obtained, the defendant became bankrupt assignees were admitted as parties to the rule(f).

The court will not, on the hearing of the motion be any doubt, try the respective merits of the claim they will direct an issue to try them, or else an act the sheriff(u). In the feigned issue it seems that the sheriff should, in general, be the plaintiff, and the execution creditor the defendant(x). Or, instead of directing an issue the court may discharge the rule, in which case the claimant is entitled to a reasonable time to return the writ by attachment can issue(y), or with the consent of the claimant and the party making the claim, the court will direct the merits of their claims, and determine the same in one way(z). Where the court directed a feigned issue they will order the proceedings against the sheriff to go on until the trial of the feigned issue or action; and in the meantime give such directions respecting the sale of the goods the application of the proceeds, or value thereof, as seems to be just(a).

As to the mode of making up and proceeding on the rule see *Vol. I.* 644, &c.

If the adverse claimant does not, upon the sheriff appearing and support his claim, the court will bar the claim of the sheriff, saving, nevertheless, his right against the creditor(b). And if the execution-creditor does not appear, the court will bar his claim(c) in respect of matters brought in question by the rules, and the rule is not that the execution-creditor shall be barred of his claim generally, but that the sheriff shall withdraw from the rule and that the execution-creditor take no proceedings against him in respect of the goods claimed(c). Or if the creditor have sold, the court will direct him to pay over the proceeds of the sale to the claimant. Where neither the claimant nor the creditor appeared, the court directed the sheriff to deliver up as much as would satisfy his poundage and expenses and to abandon the rest(d). If an execution-creditor has a process against certain goods seized under a *f. fa.* in favour of the claimant, the sheriff may still shew, in an action against him, that the goods were the defendant's property(e).

The costs are in the discretion of the court(f). Where great blame appears to attach either to the execution creditor, the claimant, or the sheriff, each party will be liable for his own costs attending the application(g).

(e) *Clarke v. Lord*, 2 Dowl. 55.(f) *Kirk v. Clarke*, 4 Dowl. 363.(u) *Allen v. Gibbons*, 2 Dowl. 292.*Bramridge v. Adshhead*, Id. 59: *Badcock v.**Beauchamp*, 8 Bing. 86; 1 Moo. & Sc. 158.S. C.: *Stowman v. Back*, 3 B. & Ad. 103.(x) *Bramridge v. Adshhead*, 2 Dowl. 59.(y) *Rex v. Sheriff of Hertfordshire*, 5

Dowl. 144; 2 H. & W. 122, S. C.

(z) *Ford v. Baynton*, 1 Dowl. 357: *Cur-**lewis v. Pocock*, 5 Dowl. 381.(a) *Tidd*, New Pract. 580.(b) See *Bowdler v. Smith*.*Perkins v. Burton*, 3 Tyr.108: *Twoood v. Morgan*,(c) *Doble v. Cummins*, 72 Nev. & P. 75, S. C.: *Per-**& Ad.* 885; 2 Nev. & M.see *Downiger v. Hiltman*,(d) *Erroligh v. Salisbury*

298; 5 Dowl. 369, S. C.

(e) *Bawton v. Harvey*, 3

(f) Sect. 6.

(g) *Morland v. Chitty*,

court discharge the sheriff's rule, they frequently
 1 to pay the costs(*h*); and they will invariably do
 sheriff did not, before making the application, make
 ries into the nature of the adverse claim(*i*). Where
 ord has a claim for rent, and gives notice in proper
 sheriff ought to pay him, otherwise the court will
 sheriff pay the costs of appearing(*k*). And where
 had ordered the sheriff to pay the rent upon the
 giving security, and also to pay his costs, it was
 hat the sheriff was liable to pay the expense of the
).

CHAP. XI.
 SECT. 2.

Costs, when
 payable by
 the Sheriff.

an adverse claim is set up to goods seized by the
 der an execution, and the latter applies for relief
 : act, and the adverse claimant does not appear to
 is claim, the court will make him pay the judgment-
 is costs of appearing on the sheriff's rule(*m*); and
 : of Exchequer, and perhaps the Court of Queen's
 will also make him pay the sheriff's costs of the
 but if the rule does not pray for costs, the order upon
 ant in such case to pay the costs is only conditional,
 shew cause within four days(*o*). On the other
 in such a case the adverse claimant does appear on
 f's rule, and the judgment-creditor does not, the court
 according to some cases, order the latter to pay the
 aimant's costs; for the judgment-creditor is not bound
 when there are no goods liable to his execuion(*p*).
 aimant refuses to try the issue directed to be tried
 him and the execution-creditor, and abandons his
 will be liable to pay the latter's costs down to the
 re claim being abandoned, and of applying to take
 art the money paid in by the sheriff(*q*); also the
 he sheriff from the time of directing the issue(*r*).

Costs betwe
 the Claimant
 and Credito

he neglect to pay money into court in pursuance of
 or that purpose, and this, perhaps, without a previous
 on having been made to him(*s*). The court may
 e as to the costs of appearing to the sheriff's rule,
 n issue directed to be tried under it, although the
 : has taken place(*t*). But generally, where the issue
 tried, the unsuccessful party is liable, as of course,
 sts(*u*). And where an issue was directed between
 ant and execution-creditor, the costs of which were
 he order of the court, and the claimant, though he
 he whole of the goods seized, yet proved his right to
 ; it was held, that he was entitled to the general

rd, 2 Dowl. 55: *Oram v. Shel-*
 L. 640: 1 Scott, 697, S. C.:
 ell, 2 Dowl. 621.

nderson v. Collaway, 1 C. & M.
 L. 636, S. C.: *Re Sheriff of*
 6 Dowl. 138.

v. Hinman, 2 Dowl. 166.

v. Lord, 2 Dowl. 55.

v. Lord, 2 Dowl. 227.

ler v. Smith, 1 Dowl. 417:
 Burton, 2 Id. 108: *Tomlin-*
 1 H. & W. 123.

v. Ikey, 2 Dowl. 222: and
 . Elcke, Id. 338: *aliter* in C.
 Thompson v. Sheldon, 3 Dowl.

, 697, S. C.

is v. Burton, 2 Dowl. 108:

Shuttleworth v. Clarke, 4 Dowl. 561.

(p) See *Glazier v. Cooke*, 5 Nev. & M.
 680: and *vide* *Bryant v. Ikey*, 1 Dowl.
 428: *Beauwick v. Thomas*, 5 Dowl. 458.

(q) *Wills v. Hopkins*, 3 Dowl. 348.

(r) *Scales v. Sargeson*, 4 Dowl. 231.

(s) *Scales v. Sargeson*, 3 Dowl. 707; 4
 Dowl. 321.

(t) *Seward v. Williams*, 1 Dowl. 528:
 and see *Levy v. Champneys*, 4 Ad. & El.
 365.

(u) *Bowen v. Bramridge*, 2 Dowl. 213:
Armitage v. Foster, 1 H. & W. 208: and
 see *Matthews v. Sims*, 4 Dowl. 234;
 where it was directed at chambers by
 consent.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

costs of the issue as if he had been plaintiff in trover, to the costs of the original and subsequent application to the court (v). Before making any application to the court for costs, it seems they should first be demanded of the party, otherwise the costs of the application would be allowed, if it were resisted only on the ground of such demand. But the successful party is entitled to the costs of taking the money out of court, or for having the property in dispute delivered to him by the stakeholder, though he has not applied for the consent of the other party (y). A writ of habeas corpus in support of an application to the court for costs, if the claimant relinquishes his claim, must be entitled to the names of the parties in the original cause (z). The application by a successful party for costs may be made before the writ is actually signed; but the rule must be drawn up on the day of its being signed (a).

What Pounds-
age and Costs
the Sheriff is
entitled to.

The court will not, in general, allow the sheriff his costs for the act being passed for his relief, and his claim to the goods depending on the legality of the seizure; consequently the court will, in general, order him to pay the process for the goods seized into court, without allowing him to deduct his poundage (b). His right to poundage will then depend on the event of the issue (c). And the court will not allow the sheriff his costs incurred by keeping possession in execution of a party (before 1 V. c. 45, giving a judge at chambers jurisdiction in this case) refusing to consent to a judgment made in the case, no authority for that put forward by the act (d). And if, after an order for an issue made, the parties come to an arrangement, the sheriff will be entitled to costs, unless the conduct of the parties is contentious (e). But where, upon a rule obtained by the execution-creditor nor the claimant application for service of the rule, the court ordered so much of the goods to be sold as would satisfy the sheriff's poundage and the rest to be abandoned (f). Where the advantage or execution-creditor, after a rule absolute is made on the first application, appears and opens the rule, the court will grant the sheriff his costs of his second appearance. And the court will allow the sheriff such expenses as he incurs as agent of the parties after his application (g). And the court will allow the sheriff the expenses of a second appearance under the authority of the court, for the benefit of the parties, though it appears on the trial of the issue that the seizure was wrongful (h). Also where a claimant abandons his application under the Interpleader Act, and after

- (v) *Staley v. Bodwell*, 2 Per. & D. 309.
(x) *Bowen v. Brunridge*, 2 Dowl. 213; see *Scates v. Surgeon*, 3 Dowl. 707.
(y) *Meredith v. Rogers*, 7 Dowl. 596; *Barnes v. Bank of England*, 7 Dowl. 319.
(z) *Raffett v. Sparrow*, 1 H. & W. 370.
(a) *Bland v. Delano*, 6 Dowl. 293.
(b) *Bordley v. Smith*, 1 Dowl. 417; *Barker v. Dynes*, Id. 169; *Bryant v. Ikey*, Id. 428; *Field v. Cope*, Id. 567; 2 C. & J. 567; 2 C. & M. 480, S. C.; *Owen v. Thompson v. Sheldon*, 3 Dowl. 640; 1 Scott, 697, S. C.; and see *The King v. Cook*, 1 M. & Cl. & Y. 196; *Bonrick v. Thomas*, 5 Dowl. 458; *Armitage v. Foster*, 1 H. & W. 208; *West v. Bing*, N. C. 527; 2 Scott, 846, S. C.; *Staley v. Bodwell*, 309, per *Littlelake, J.*
(c) *Barker v. Dynes*, 1 Dowl. 169, per *Purke, J.*
(d) *Clarke v. Chateaux*, 4 Dowl. 461.
(e) *Chr v. Ferns*, 7 Dowl. 461.
(f) *Ereleigh v. Salisbury*, 298; 5 Dowl. 369, S. C.
(g) *Bryant v. Ikey*, 1 Dowl. 428.
(h) *Doble v. Humphries*, 1 Hodges 4; 1 Bing. N. C. 325, S. C.
(i) *Brown v. Delano*, 6 D.

by the court, the sheriff is entitled to his costs from of directing the issue, and of the application for those

So where an execution-creditor appeared under the der Act, and consented with the claimant that the ould sell the goods, and that their produce should event of an issue to be tried, but subsequently aban- claim, the court compelled him to pay the sheriff of selling the goods(*l*); where there had been great wever, on the part of the sheriff, in applying to the consequence of negotiations between the parties, and ition-creditor afterwards abandoned his claim, the ered each party to pay his own costs(*m*).

ing Proceedings, Execution, &c.] *The practical direc-* te, 1003), *as to the mode of entering the proceedings on* d *taking costs, and suing out execution on a rule ob-* der the above enactment, on behalf of the sheriff, &c., re applicable.

Entering Pro-
ceedings,
Execution,
&c.

art has no power, under the act, to order rules made o be entered up, otherwise than as appointed in the ection, viz. according to their true date(*n*).

here be added, that, where the sheriff has been o withdraw from possession under the Interpleader annot, after he is out of office, be compelled to re- atever be the upshot of the question between the). 'Also even where the sheriff's rule is discharged, tled to a reasonable time after the rule is disposed of ng his return, and therefore an attachment issued m on the day on which the rule was discharged, has irregular(*p*).

Compelling
Sheriff to re-
enter, &c.

r. Surgeon, 4 Dowl. 231.

. Humphries, 1 Scott, 325; 1
1 Bing. N. C. 412; 3 Dowl.
nd see *Underdon v. Burgess*,
: *Armitage v. Foster*, 1 H. &

(*l*) *Lambirth v. Barrington*, 4 Dowl.
126; 2 Bing. N. C. 149; 2 Scott, 263,
S. C.: see *Dickenson v. Eyre*, 7 Dowl.
721: *ante*, 1003.

(*o*) *Wilton v. Chambers*, 3 Dowl. 12.

(*p*) *Res v. Sheriff of Hertfordshire*, 5
Dowl. 144.
v. Enock, 2 Dowl. 621: and
nw Pract. 581.

CHAPTER XII.

SECURITY FOR COSTS.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

In what Cases.

Where Plaintiff resides abroad.

In what Cases.] IF the plaintiff, whether suing in a personal or in a representative (a) capacity, and for his own benefit or that of another (b), reside abroad (c), Ireland (d), or Scotland (e), the court or a judge at his discretion, will stay the proceedings until he give security for costs, and this although the defendant has no demerits (f). So, where a plaintiff in error resides out of the jurisdiction of the court, he may be in like manner compelled to find security for costs; and, in default thereof, the writ of error will be allowed to proceed on his judgment notwithstanding the writ of error (g). Where there are several plaintiffs, however, if any one of them reside in this country, the court will not, in general, compel this security to be given. It has been held to be no answer to this application that the plaintiff is in this country, and was so when the action was commenced, unless the affidavit go on to state that he resides, and intends to continue to reside in this country (h). Nor is it any answer to say that the plaintiff is in the possession of money or Exchequer bills in this country, and this notwithstanding the 1 & 2 Vict. c. 11, where the plaintiff is out of the kingdom, on a temporary absence, especially if a seaman, or a foreigner on board an English vessel (i), or on board a foreign vessel constantly sailing to and from this country (m), he is compelled to give this security for costs (n), unless he shows, where the absence commenced before commencing the action, that he is a resident in this country.

a. *Chamberlain v. Phipps*, 1 R. & R. 277; 3 Moore, 334; 5 C. C. *Chamberlain v. Chamberlain*, 1 Dougl. 386.

b. *Yount v. Yount*, 5 Ad. & El. 311.

c. *Pratt v. Sims*, 1 T. R. 567; *Ligon v. Davies*, 1 Tyr. 386; 1 Price, N. R. 11, 5 C. C. 2; *Stagg v. Stagg*, 1 T. R. 567; *Lambert and Waterhouse v. Lamberton*, 1 M. & P. 33; 4 King, 346; 5 C. C. *Lambert v. Lamberton*, 5 C. C. 2; 1 Ad. 386; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2; 1 T. R. 567.

d. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

e. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

f. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

g. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

h. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

i. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

m. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

n. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

o. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

p. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

q. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

r. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

s. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

t. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

u. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

v. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

w. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

x. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

y. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

z. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

1. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

2. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

3. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

4. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

5. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

6. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

7. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

8. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

9. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

10. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

11. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

12. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

13. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

14. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

15. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

16. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

17. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

18. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

19. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

20. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

21. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

22. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

23. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

24. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

25. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

26. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

27. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

28. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

29. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

30. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

31. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

32. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

33. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

34. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

35. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

36. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

37. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

38. *Stewart v. Morgan*, 5 T. R. 567; 1 M. & P. 33; 1 N. R. 11; *Moore v. Smith*, 1 C. C. 2.

the absence must be temporary(*p*). Nor will re this security to be given upon the ground of being about to leave the country(*q*). Nor will it from a plaintiff merely because he is a foreigner(*r*). Nor will they require security of his absence abroad, when such absence is not in the case of naval and military officers, and engaged abroad in the public service. There- d not require it where the plaintiff was a com- he Ionian Islands, filling his office out of this or where the plaintiff was an English officer with America(*s*); nor where he held the offices of and harbour-master in the island of Barbadoes(*u*). cases, it need not appear on the face of the plain- that he is an Englishman(*u*).

z, the Court of Common Pleas stayed the pro- eplevin, until the defendant (who resided out of on of the court) found security for costs(*x*).

Where De-
fendant re-
sides abroad.

actions, the defendant will not be compelled to ury; and where both plaintiff and defendant ; abroad, the court compelled the plaintiff to give would not compel the defendant(*y*).

l a peer(*z*), or a foreign ambassador, or his not be compelled to give security for costs(*a*); ambassadors and their suites, by a fiction of the *jus*

In Actions by
Peers, Ambas-
sadors, Kings,
&c.

considered as still resident in the state from have been sent, and are not amenable to pro- ountry in which they actually reside. In two re- the Court of Queen's Bench compelled foreign o give such security, in causes arising out of com- sections(*b*).

of the plaintiff's being an infant, will of itself round for compelling him to give security for his though his *prochein amy* be insolvent(*c*). But ere an infant sued by guardian, who was sworn to t, the Court of Common Pleas required the latter a security, or that his appointment should be re- Lunacy of the plaintiff is no ground for requiring costs(*c*).

In Actions by
Infants or
Lunatics.

ent, if the lessor of the plaintiff be an infant, the

In Ejectment.

ritten, 2 Dowl. 160: *Foss*
160: but see *Ford v. Bou-*
v. *Wagner*, 2 Dowl. 499.
eighteen months would not,
porary. (See *Id.*: and see
Id. 160: *Taylor v. Fraser*,
v. *Kay*, 3 *Id.* 559).
Arbutt, 1 Y. & J. 511.
Mattheeson, 8 Taunt. 711:
ua, 6 *Id.* 90: see, how-
been, ante, 1012, n. (*k*).
v. *Harcourt*, 2 Dowl. 578.
v. *Mackdonald*, 3 Moore,
1, & C.
Chiffenden, 7 Dowl. 536.
Witchley, 1 B. & B. 505; 4
: In *Hickett v. Biddle*, 1
Dowl. 634, S. C., the
on Pleas refused to com-

pel the defendant in replevin to give se-
curity for costs, on the ground of his
poverty.

(*y*) *Baxter v. Morgan*, 6 Taunt. 379.

(*z*) *Ferrars (Earl) v. Robins*, 2 Dowl.
636: and see *Lord Nugent v. Harcourt*, 2
Dowl. 578: but see *Lord Aldborough v.*
Burton, 9 Leg. Obs. 171, 28th July,
1834, before the Master of the Rolls.

(*a*) *Duke de Montellano v. Christin*, 5
M. & Sel. 503.

(*b*) *The Emperor of Brazil v. Robinson*,
5 Dowl. 522; 1 Nev. & P. 817. S. C.: *Otho*,
King of Greece, v. Wright, 6 Dowl. 12.

(*c*) *Anon.*, 1 Marsh. 4: *Yarworth v.*
Mitchel, 2 D. & R. 423: and see *Anon.*, 2
Chit. 359.

(*d*) *Mama v. Berthen*, 4 Moo. & P. 215.

(*e*) *Steel v. Allan*, 2 B. & P. 437.

court or a judge, upon application, will stay the proceedings until security be given for costs (*g*), or his guardian for the payment of them (*h*), or some real and responsible person be named as plaintiff (*i*). So, if the lessor of the plaintiff be abroad (*k*), or die pending the action (*l*), the court will stay the proceedings in like manner, until security be given. But where a similar application was made on the ground of the lessor of the plaintiff having been in parliament, it was refused (*m*). The defendant may, if necessary, either by motion or summons, compel the plaintiff's attorney to disclose the place of residence of the plaintiff; or if the attorney refuse to do so, the proceedings will be stayed until security be given for costs on application, however, for this purpose will be made after verdict (*o*). Also, if the nominal plaintiff in the action be made plaintiff in the action for mesne profits, the court or a judge will stay the proceedings in this case until security be given for costs (*p*).

In Actions by
Bankrupt or
Insolvent.

The court or a judge will not require the plaintiff to give security for costs, merely because he is insolvent in a *qui tam* action (*q*), or where he has assigned the debt, where he has actually taken the benefit of the assignment after action brought, and the assignee has not disavowed the action (*r*), or where the action is clearly for the benefit of his assignees (*s*). And where, in an action *ex parte* a pauper plaintiff, it appeared that he had obtained a judgment under the 1 & 2 V. c. 110, after action brought, and refused to compel security for costs (*u*). And, in the case of a pauper the court will not, it seems, grant the motion unless he has previously been disqualified. Also, on the same grounds, the court or a judge will not require an uncertificated bankrupt to give such security, unless such action be brought for the benefit of his creditors (*y*), or unless where the plaintiff becomes bankrupt after action brought, and the defendant is entitled to judgment in the case of a nonsuit, or the like (*z*). And in an action

(*g*) *Anon.*, 1 Wils. 130: *Throgmorton d. Miller v. Smith*, 2 Str. 932.

(*h*) *Anon.*, Cowp. 128.

(*i*) *Naks v. Wyndham*, 2 Str. 69. See a form, Chit. Forms, 376.

(*k*) *Denn Lucas v. Fulford*, 2 Burr. 1177. *Aliter*, if there be several lessors, and all are not abroad (*ante*, 1012).

(*l*) *Thrustout d. Turner v. Grey*, 2 Str. 1066.

(*m*) *Preston v. Lingden*, 1 Str. 479; 8 Mod. 20, S. C.

(*n*) *Short v. King*, 1 Str. 681: Vol. I. 57.

(*o*) *Ante*, 993: Vol. I. 52.

(*p*) *Pike v. Corbin*, Say. 78.

(*q*) *Golding v. Barlow*, Cowp. 24: *Field v. Carron*, 2 H. Bl. 27: *Gregory v. Elgin*, 2 C. & M. 336; 4 Tyr. 235; 2 Dowl. 259, S. C.; in which case the plaintiff had brought nearly 100 actions against publicans for penalties under the 25 Geo. 2, c. 16.

(*r*) *Morgan v. Evans*, 7 Moore, 344: *Day v. Smith*, 1 Dowl. 460.

(*s*) *Doyle v. Anderson*, 2 Dowl. 596,

where no assignee had been named.

(*t*) *Heaford v. M'Nigh*, 2 B. & C. 579, S. C.: and *v. Collier*, 2 C. & J. 632: *son*, 2 Dowl. 596.

(*u*) *Andrews v. Morris*,

(*v*) *Mylett v. Hawkins*,

(*x*) *M'Connell v. John*

Cohen v. Bell, Tidd, 9th

v. Hart, 1 Chit. Rep. 2

Robinson, 2 New Rep.

Taunt. 61: *Stow v. Tom*

Clapworthy v. Collier, 2 C

(*y*) *Webb v. Ward*, 7

v. Polhill, 2 Dowl. 61: 1

Tyr. 595, S. C. In Reg

Q. B.; Bail Court, M.

ridge, J., refused to com

to give security for cos

defendant had not applie

the motion, to know wh

intended to continue the

date it. (1 Jurist, 945).

(*z*) *Taylor v. Montagu*

see *Doyle v. Anderson*, 2

Ham v. Knight, 6 Dowl.

the plaintiff became bankrupt, and obtained his after action brought, and after issue joined, where he had not interfered, nor intended to interfere, and the defendant neglected to plead the bankruptcy, *puis* as he might have done, the court refused to compel to give security for costs(a). And it seems that

is required in an action brought by the bankrupt the validity of the commission, even though he be

Where a defendant obtains security for costs on behalf of the plaintiff's bankruptcy, and that the action benefit of his assignees, he must undertake not to bankruptcy(c).

if he be convicted of felony, and under sentence of death, the court will stay the proceedings until he give security for costs(d). And where, after arresting the plaintiff absconded to avoid a charge of bigamy, the court required him to give this security(e).

In Actions by Felons, &c.

if another person is, in fact, proceeding with an action on behalf of the party on the record, and that party is the court will, by staying the proceedings, compel

In Actions for Benefit of, or instigated by third Parties.

those whose benefit the action is proceeding, to come in and give security for costs(f). And the same if the action be brought by the instigation of a third party to try a right in which the third party is interested(g). Instances of this have

been given in the case of bankrupt and insolvent *præ*). In another instance, where trespass was brought against parish officers for a distress for poor's rates, the court stayed proceedings in the cause until security for costs was given by the landlord of the plaintiff, who was also the defendant, and who had instigated him to refuse payment of

. But in a late case a somewhat similar motion was made; it not being clearly made out by the affidavits that the action was the action of the third party, and not that of the plaintiff on the record(i). And although where all a party has been assigned to trustees for the benefit of creditors, the court might compel the trustees to find security for costs in any action brought by them in the debtor's name if merely part of his property be assigned, they may require such security(j). And the Court of Common Pleas used to require security for costs from the plaintiff where it was sworn that he was insolvent, and that the action was brought in his name for the benefit of J. S., alone beneficially interested in the result(k). It is added, that, except in ejectment or where he is the plaintiff, the only mode of compelling a stranger to pay costs is by an application to the court to

Knights, 6 Dowl. 227; 4 B. & C.

v. Robinson, 2 N.R. 352: *aff*, 2 Smith, 523: *Roper & R.* 84.

Mayne, 3 M. & R. 381: *v. Hart*, 1 Chit. Rep.

Jacob, 1 B. & Ald. 159. *Langor*, 4 Dowl. 411: but *is*, 1 Tyr. 533.

(f) *Per Coleridge, J.*, in *Andrews v. Morris*, 7 Dowl. 712.

(g) See *per Tindal, C. J.*, in *Hearsey v. Pechell*, 7 Dowl. 437: and see *Tenant v. Brown*, 5 B. & C. 208.

(h) *Tenant v. Brown*, 5 B. & C. 208.

(i) *Hearsey v. Pechell*, 7 Dowl. 437; 5 Bing. N. C. 466.

(j) *Day v. Smith*, 1 Dowl. 460.

(k) *Morgan v. Evans*, 7 Moore, 344.

BOOK IV.
PART I.Where Name
of third Party
used without
Consent.In other
Cases.Fresh Secu-
rity.How and at
what Time
obtained, &c.

stay proceedings until security for costs be given, court will not, except in those cases, order a stay record to pay the costs of an action, although he is partially a party to it (*l*).

Where a cestui que trust brings an action in the name of his trustee, or a wife in the name of her husband, or one joint tenant or joint contractor uses the other in bringing a joint action, the court would probably, on application of the trustee, or other joint tenant or contractor, stay the proceedings in the action, until bringing it should indemnify such trustee, &c., in the case of a nonsuit or verdict against him (*m*).

Where the defendant has possessed himself of a plaintiff's property, so as to divest him of all power to sue for costs, the court will not require it (*n*).

If, after the security is given, one or both of the parties become bankrupt or insolvent, that will afford no ground for the opposite party insisting on fresh security (*o*).

How and at what Time obtained, &c.] The demand for security for costs better apply to the plaintiff's attorney or agent for costs; and if it be refused, he may give two days' notice of motion (*p*), and move the court accordingly on a writ (*q*). Where the rule is intended to operate in proceedings a previous demand of security is not necessary. In a late case, where three days' notice were given to the plaintiff's attorney of a motion to stay proceedings until security for costs was given, it was held that such demand was not equivalent to a demand and refusal, and that the rule should be refused (*r*). If it be not intended, however, to stay proceedings, it should be a *stay of proceedings*, but merely a rule requiring the plaintiff to give security for costs. An application to the plaintiff's attorney will be unnecessary as also the notice of motion. But if the previous demand be not made, the costs of the rule will not be awarded against the defendant (*s*); and, according to a recent case, the plaintiff must pay the plaintiff's costs of the motion (*u*); in such cases, it is best to make it. It has been held that the rule must be given for retrospective, as well as prospective, but in a later case *Patteson, J.*, denied this (*y*). In a case, however, was not cited, the point must be considered doubtful. The defendant may also, instead of applying to the court, obtain a judge's order for the security for costs out of a summons for that purpose.

(*l*) *Hayward v. Gifford*, 6 Dowl. 699.

(*m*) *Ante*, 996.

(*n*) *M'Culloch v. Robinson*, 2 New Rep. 352; and see *Roper v. Phillips*, 3 M. & R. 84.

(*o*) *Jones v. Jacobs*, 2 Dowl. 61.

(*p*) See the forms, Chit. Forms, 502.

(*q*) *Hancock v. Smith*, 2 Chit. Rep. 150; Tidd, 9th ed. 537. See form of affidavit, Chit. Forms, 592; and of rule nisi thereon, *Id.* 593.

(*r*) *Huntley v. Buhoer*, 6 Dowl. 683.

(*s*) *Fountain v. Steele*, 5 Dowl. 331; *Baile v. De Bernales*, 1 B. & Ald. 331; *Hancock v. Smith*, 2 Chit. Rep. 150; *Jones*

v. Jones, 1 Dowl. 313; 2 see *Bass v. Clive*, 3 M.

From the case of *Othe, Wright*, (6 Dowl. 12), it is held that if the defendant does not give security for costs, it is incumbent on the plaintiff to shew that the defendant is liable to the former for costs incurred in obtaining the rule.

(*t*) *Rohre v. Sassane*, 2 Dowl. 451.

(*u*) *Fletcher v. Low*, 5 Ad. & El. 551, S. C.

(*v*) *Harvey v. Jacob*, 1 Dowl. 331.

(*y*) *Oxenden v. Osborn*, 1 Dowl. 331.

CHAP. XII.
When applied
or.

Affidavit for.

(n) *Bonnefor v. Russell*, 5 Dowd. 555.

**BOOK IV.
PART I.**

late, it is for the plaintiff to shew it in the affidavit, ing cause (o); but this seems questionable (p). V affidavit proceeds upon the information and belief ponent, it should shew from what source his infor derived, and upon what his belief is founded (q).

**Time for
giving.**

The court will not appoint any fixed time within security for costs shall be given by the plaintiff (r).

**Amount and
Sufficiency of.**

The amount and sufficiency of the security is to be by one of the masters. It is no ground for increasing amount of security fixed by the master, that the amount of costs will exceed that sum (s). Where nee of a debt brought an action in the name of the who was resident abroad, it was considered that the written undertaking for securing the costs was cient (t).

**Time for
Pleading
after.**

As to the time for pleading after the security is given when judgment may be signed for want of a plea, see I. 156.

**Discharge of
Security.**

Discharge of Security.] Where a plaintiff has been compelled to give security for costs on account of his being abroad, the court will not order the bond to be cancelled on an affidavit that he has returned to England, and is there (u).

(o) *Jones v. Jones*, 10 Law Journ. 77.

(p) See *Luske v. Peck*, 1 Marsh. 376.

(q) Bagley's Pract. 237.

(r) *Broughton v. Jeremy*, 1

(s) *Kent v. Peck*, 7 Dowl.

(t) *Yonge v. Yonge*, 3 Ad.

(u) *Bainell v. Haley*, 7 D.

CHAPTER XIII.

OYER OF DEEDS, &c.

CHAP. XIII.

What and in what Cases.] IN all cases where a deed, &c., is pleaded with a *profert*, either by the plaintiff or defendant, the other party may have oyer of it, (provided the *profert* has been necessary) (*a*), and may then set it forth in his plea, if he will. Unless there have been a *profert*, however, oyer cannot be prayed; and therefore, if a deed be pleaded without *profert*, the other party should demur specially for the want of it, particularly if it be essential to his plea, &c., and the deed should be set forth. In debt on bond conditioned to perform covenants in an indenture, the defendant cannot crave oyer of the indenture, the bond alone in such a case being pleaded with a *profert*; but he must himself set forth the indenture with a *profert*, if it be necessary to his plea, and the plaintiff may have oyer of it (*b*). Oyer is generally craved, where it is essentially necessary that the deed, &c., pleaded should be set forth, before the party craving oyer can plead. So, if any part of a deed which ought to be stated, be omitted in a declaration, &c., or if the deed be erroneously stated, the other party should set forth the deed upon oyer, and demur (*c*). It is usually craved of bonds and other specialties; sometimes of letters of administration (*d*); and it has been allowed of policies of insurance (*e*). It cannot, however, be craved of a deed operating under the Statute of Uses (*f*), nor of private acts of parliament (*g*), nor of letters patent or other records (*h*). If a record of the same court, however, is pleaded, we have seen (*ante*, 669) that the opposite party may demand a note in writing of the term and number of the roll on which such matter of record is entered or filed. It cannot be craved of mesne process (*i*); nor can it be craved of original writ (*k*).

It may be observed that the term "oyer" does not import inspection of the deed; consequently, in cases where the party desirous of such inspection, he must take out a summons, and apply to the court for that purpose, as mentioned *post*, 1023. In one case in debt on bond with a *profert*, the court refused to make a rule on the plaintiff to allow an inspection of it, on the ground that defendant suspected it to be forged (*l*); they granted it in another (*m*).

What and in what Cases.

Oyer does not include inspection.

Morris's case, 2 Salk. 497; Steph. 9: but see *Cook v. Remington*, 6 Mod. 498; 6 Mod. 237, S. C.; 2 Saund. n. (1); 409, n. (2).

1 Saund. 8: *Cook v. Remington*, 2 Saund. 498; 6 Mod. 237, S. C.; 2 Saund. n. (1); 409, n. (2).
1 Hutt. 33: *Stibbe v. Clough*, 1 Str. 317, n. (2); 2 Id. 368 a.
1 Gerrard v. Early, 2 Wils. 413.
1 Bolster v. London Assurance Co., Hardw. 243.

(*f*) *Denman v. Bull*, 9 Moore, 593; 3 Bing. 499, S. C.: see *R. v. Jones*, 1 Jones Rep. Exch. Ir. 635.

(*g*) *Jeffery v. White*, 2 Doug. 477.

(*h*) *Rex v. Amery*, 1 T. R. 149.

(*i*) *Anon.*, Tidd, 126.

(*k*) R. T., 11 G. 3: *Boats v. Edwards*, 1 Doug. 227.

(*l*) *Chetwind v. Marnell*, 1 B. & P. 271: and see *post*, 1024.

(*m*) *Anon.*, cited 8 Moore, 588.

BOOK IV.
PART I.Defendant
not bound to
plead without
it.Demand of,
when not de-
mandable.At what Time
and how de-
mandable.When granted
and Time for
Pleading
after.

How granted.

The party craving oyer is not bound to plead cases where it is properly demandable (o); and the deed be lost (o).

If it be craved where it is not demandable, it may treat the demand of oyer as a nullity, and so; but if, instead of doing so, he grant the oyer, craved it may consider and treat the whole instr of the other's plea (p).

At what Time and how Demandable.] Before the new practice, since the Uniformity of oyer could not be demanded after the term the deed, &c., was pleaded (q), but it is now rule is now inapplicable. Oyer cannot be demanded in abatement (r). It must be also demanded at the time for pleading, or the time limited by a judgment, has expired (s); or if made afterwards, it is treated as a nullity (t). Where, however, the demand and refusal of oyer pleaded, in order to prevent the plea from being signed, it was held to be no bar to oyer (u). And, under circumstances, the court may grant oyer, though sought for after the usual time and on an amendment it is frequently ordered. A defendant may have oyer of the deed or probate, &c.

Oyer, though upon the pleadings it seems, as to be, granted by the court, is in fact demanded by the attornies (x). *The demand is made by a writ (y).*

When granted, and Time for Pleading after.] The defendant is not bound to grant oyer within any limited time, if it has been demanded (z). But it is generally his interest to do so without delay; for the defendant, we have seen, is entitled to as many pleading days after the term given, as he had, yet unexpired, at the time of the demand. But if the plaintiff demand oyer (a), the defendant must sign judgment within two days exclusive of that on which it is demanded, Sunday not being reckoned if it be the last day of the term; otherwise the plaintiff may sign judgment as of course (c). The plaintiff has the same time to sign judgment, as he had when the demand has been given, as he had at the time of the demand.

How granted.] The demand of oyer, in the

(o) *Soreby v. Sparrow*, 2 Str. 1186; 1 Wils. 16, S. C.; *Daley v. Mahon*, 6 Dowl. 395; 4 Bing. N. C. 335, S. C.; see *Archbishop of Canterbury v. Tubb*, 3 Bing. N. C. 789; 5 Dowl. 629, S. C.

(p) *Jeffery v. White*, 2 Doug. 476; *Longavil v. Hundred of Isleworth*, 6 Mod. 27; *Cook (Lady) v. Remington*, Id. 237; 1 Saund. 317, n. (2); see 3 T. R. 153, n.

(q) *Roberts v. Arthur*, 2 Salk. 497; 5 Com. Dig. 132, 133; 5 Co. 74, b; *Mayor of London v. Gorrey*, 2 Lev. 142; *Rex v. Amery*, 1 T. R. 149; Doct. Pl. 272; 22 H. 6, c. 31.

(r) *Longavil v. Hundred of Isleworth*, 6 Mod. 28; 2 Salk. 498, S. C.

(s) *Rex v. Amery*, 1 T. R. 150; *Gerrard v. Early*, 2 Wils. 413; *Duke of Leeds v.*

Fevens, Barnes, 208; 1 Id. 241; *Barber v. Hartley v. Farley*, Id. 586; *Sellon*, 208.

(t) *Barber v. Satchell* see *Sparkes v. Simpson*

(u) *Goodricks v. The*

(x) *Anon.*, 3 Salk. 1

Hundred of Isleworth, 498, S. C.

(y) See form of demand

(z) *Webber v. Ansell*

(a) See form of demand

(b) *Page v. Davies*, 1

(c) *Anon.*, 6 Mod. 11

(d) R. T., 5 & 6 G.

; was complied with by reading the instrument aloud in court. The demand is now complied with by *exhibiting* if required, (as is usually the case), *by making out a copy of the instrument*, (including the names of the witnesses by whom it was attested (c)), *and delivering it to the opposite party*, who must pay for it at the rate of 4d. per sheet (g). If the plaintiff is an executor, and oyer is craved of the testamentary, of which profert is made, the will, as letters testamentary, must be set out (h). If the deed, &c., be in the hands of a third person, the application, will by rule oblige him to give oyer or to produce it if necessary (i); but they will not discharge the oyer by substituting a copy of the original or a copy (k).

CHAR. XII.
In general.

When in hands of third Party.

Of Oyer.] To refuse oyer when it ought to be granted. In order to bring error, the party insisting upon it must enter his prayer upon record; and this being in the plea, the other party may either counterplead, or demur, and the court will thereupon give judgment (m); and if judgment the writ of error may be brought. Error does not lie for granting oyer where it is not demanded (n). It may be added that the court cannot discharge or modify the right to oyer (o).

Refusal of Oyer.

Proceedings after Oyer.] After oyer is granted, it is optional upon the party whether he set it forth in his plea or not (p). If he undertake to set it forth, and do not set forth the deed, or if he mis-recite it, the plaintiff may either demur as for want of a plea (q), or he may pray that the plea be enrolled, and thereupon have it truly enrolled, and set out the whole of the deed relates to the matter of the plea. If it contain other matters besides those which are demanded by the party craving oyer, it seems to be unnecessary to set out the irrelevant matter,—it is sufficient for the plaintiff to set out verbatim the whole of the matters which relate to the plea. Otherwise, in some cases, the record might run to an enormous length (t).

Proceedings after Oyer.

The defendant do not set out the deed accurately, and the plaintiff intend taking advantage of it on account of a misrecital, he should plead *non est factum*, without setting out the deed (u).

When Defendant should set out the Deed or not.

v. Rogers, Willes, 288; *Remington*, 6 Mod. 237; *C. Divine*, 2 T. R. 40. *k* 6 G. 2. *Mahon*, 6 Dowl. 395; 4 B. & S. 606, S. C. *Earl of Montgomery*, 2 Str. 982. If the deed be in the court, as in the case of an *exemplum*, the course may be by writ of *mandamus* to compel the defendant to set out the deed. See 3 Bing. N. C. 789. *p* of *Canterbury v. Tubb*, 3 B. & S. 637, S. C. *Hundred of Thistlaworth*, *Wesby v. Sparrow*, 2 Str. 6, S. C.

(m) *Mayor of London v. Gorrey*, 2 Lev. 142; *Longavill v. Hundred of Isleworth*, 2 Salk. 498; 2 Ld. Raym. 969, S. C. (n) 1 Saund. 9 b; 2 Id. 46 b. (o) *Archbishop of Canterbury v. Tubb*, 3 Bing. N. C. 789; 5 Dowl. 527, S. C. (p) *Weavers' Company v. Forrest*, 2 Str. 1241. (q) *Wallace v. Duchess of Cumberland*, 4 T. R. 370; *Cole v. Hulme*, 3 M. & R. 86, n. (r) Com. Dig., Pleader, P. 1. And see *Wallace v. Duchess of Cumberland*, 4 T. R. 370, n. (s) *Wallace v. Duchess of Cumberland*, 4 T. R. 370; 1 Saund. 317, n. (2). (t) 1 Saund. 317, n. (2); and see 1 Saund. 9, 52; *Cook v. Remington*, 6 Mod. 237.

**BOOK IV.
PART I.**

the deed on oyer, or the variance would not be avail such a plea after setting out the deed(u). But if the set out, do not support the breach assigned, then the taking advantage of the defect is by craving oyer setting out the deed, and demurring(s).

When Party
who grants
Oyer may set
out the Deed.

By *R. H.*, 2 *W.* 4, r. 44, "If a defendant, after oyer of a deed, omit to insert it at the head of his plaintiff on making up the issue or demurrer-book m think fit, insert it for him; but the costs of such shall be in the discretion of the taxing-officer"(y). plaintiff craving oyer of a deed, &c., do not afterwards in his replication &c., the defendant, in his &c., may (if he wish to have it set forth) pray that be enrolled, and then set it forth, or at least such part relate to the matters in dispute(s).

(u) *Wagh v. Russell*, 5 Taunt. 707; 1 Marsh. 214, S. C.; and see *Wilson v. Woodfries*, 6 Moo. & Sc. 341; *Snell v. Snell*, 4 B. & C. 741; 7 D. & R. 240, S. C.; *Ross v. Parker*, 2 D. & R. 632; 1 B. & C. 368, S. C.

(s) *Anon.*, 3 Salk. 119; *Longwell v. Hundred of Leicester*, 6 Mod. 27; *Jeffery v. White*, Doug. 476.

(y) Such was formerly the the Common Pleas (*Barnes*, : practice of the Queen's Bench out, in which court the defendant either set forth the oyer or not, at his election. (*The King v. Perrett*, 2 Str. 1241; *Parmenter*, 1 Wm. 27; *Tidd*, (s) Com. Dig., Flesher, F.

CHAPTER XIV.

INSPECTION AND COPIES, &c., OF INSTRUMENTS.

CHAP. XIV.

HERE a *plaintiff* declares upon a written instrument under seal, or where the action is *founded upon* such an instrument (*a*), the defendant may, in general, have a copy of taking out a summons before a judge at chambers, who hereupon make an order that a copy of the instrument be forthwith delivered to the defendant or his attorney and that all proceedings in the action be stayed in the meantime. This is analogous to oyer of deeds &c. (*ante*, 1019). In policy causes, a judge at chambers will make an order assured to produce to the underwriters, upon affidavit, papers in his possession relative to the matters at issue (*c*). In an action for general average the defendant is entitled to inspect the statement of the general average, but not the bill of lading from which it is drawn up (*d*). In other cases, the plaintiff has been even ordered to produce his books at the trial (*e*); and Lord *Mansfield* is said to have laid it down as a rule, that whenever a defendant would be entitled to a discovery, he should have it here, without going into equity (*f*). A court or a judge, however, will not at present interfere to the extent (*g*), unless, perhaps, in insurance causes, as above mentioned, or there be some good reasons why inspection should not be granted; and therefore, in an action to try the title to land, the court refused a rule to compel the plaintiff, as landlord, to permit the defendant to inspect or take a copy of one of the landlord's title deeds to the estate (*h*). But where it appears that a discovery is necessary to the defence, they will give the defendant a further time to plead, to enable him to make use of the discovery by a bill in equity (*i*). Where only one part of an instrument exists, a party has no right to an inspection and copy, unless the person who has it in his hands holds it for the benefit of both, or can be considered as a trustee for the party seeking the copy (*k*). The court have refused to compel the plaintiff to give, or allow the defendant to take, a copy of an agreement, to enable him to set up in abatement, that the agreement was signed jointly by

In what Cases
Inspection of
Instruments
will be granted
to Defendant.

Barnack v. Lumley, 5 Scott, 438.
Tidd, 589, 591: Imp. B. R. 286:
Webster v. Webster, 7 Moore, 559; 1 Bing.
C.: *Blogg v. Kent*, 6 Bing. 614; 4
P. 433, S. C.: *Suister v. Coell*, 1 Sid.
Mittaker v. Isod, 2 Taunt. 114. The
court will not always stay the proceedings.
Webster v. Lumley, 5 Scott, 438).
Hildyard v. Maryatt, 1 Camp. 562:
1 C. 37, s. 6.
Fussell v. Allen, 7 Dowl. 496.
Jeater v. Nunneley, 2 Str. 1130: but
Whitter v. Caselet, 2 T. R. 683.
Tidd, 9th ed. 591.

(*a*) See *Whitter v. Caselet*, 2 T. R. 683.
Hildyard v. Smith, 1 Bing. 451; 8 Moore,
586, S. C.: *Threlfall v. Webster*, 7 Moore,
559; 1 Bing. 161, S. C.: *Ratcliffe v.*
Bleasby, 3 Bing. 148; 10 Moore, 523, S. C.:
Cocks v. Nash, 9 Bing. 723; 3 Moo. & Sc.
164, S. C.

(*b*) *Pickering v. Noyes*, 1 B. & C. 262;
2 D. & R. 386, S. C.: and see *Hildyard v.*
Smith, 1 Bing. 451; 8 Moore, 586, S. C.

(*i*) *Whitter v. Caselet*, 2 T. R. 683.

(*k*) Per *Vaughan, B.*, *Neale v. Strind*, 2
C. & J. 279: see *Read v. Coleman*, 2 Dowl.
354; *Smith v. Winter*, 6 Dowl. 309.

not a party(*p*). And it seems that, in general, will not be granted, unless where the party is a party to the deed, or the other party holds it as trustee.

In what Cases
It will be
granted to
Plaintiff.

Also, where the *defendant* is possessed of any document, of which it is material that the plaintiff inspect, a judge at chambers, under particular circumstances, will order that the plaintiff may have leave to inspect it; that the defendant shall give him a copy of the plaintiff's expense; and that the defendant shall produce it at the trial, if called upon to do so(*q*); or that he shall produce it to the plaintiff's attorney, in order that he may retain the names of the witnesses so as to subpoena them. But in another case, the court said they would not order the defendant to produce the deed at the trial(*s*). In a case, in an action against the marshal for an escape, the court compelled him or his officer to permit the plaintiff to inspect the writ of *habeas corpus* and return, and to produce the writ indorsed thereon(*t*). And in a later case, the court compelled a bankrupt to allow an inspection of his ship books, in an action on a contract entered into by the bankrupt(*u*). In an action against a sworn broker for negligence in making a contract, the court compelled him to produce his books, to enable the plaintiff to inspect and take a copy of the contract(*x*). But in an action for goods sold and delivered, the court would not order the defendant to allow an inspection of the goods, nor the plaintiff to give evidence of their identity(*y*). In an action for a court order inspection of a writ in the hands of

(*q*) *Neale v. Bird*, 2 D. & R. 419.

386: *Bateman v. Phillips*.

enable the plaintiff to bring an action against him (z). If the Court of Common Pleas have refused to a plaintiff in the inspection of a deed in the avowant's possession, and conveyed to the avowant the reversion of the demised land (a). In that court, where two parts of an indenture were executed by both parties, *each keeping one*, and one part only was produced, the court would not compel the other party to produce his part, in order to support an action against him on the instrument (b). So where two parts of an agreement were severally executed between landlord and tenant; in an action upon the agreement by a purchaser of the premises, the court refused to compel the tenant to produce his part to be read, unless such purchaser had applied to the vendor, or made every endeavour, without success, to find him (c). A writ of habeas corpus having been granted, the court allowed the plaintiff to have the inspection of a deed read in evidence by the defendant at the first trial, but not of a deed produced there but read (d). Where a deed was taken from the plaintiff by a criminal warrant, the court ordered him to be supplied with a copy to declare upon (e).

In policy causes, where the plaintiff consents to enter into a validation rule, terms are generally imposed on the defendant to produce all books and papers material to the point in issue (f).

In Policy Causes.

Where a defendant makes an affidavit identifying a document exhibited to him only, and not filed, he will be compelled to allow the plaintiff to take a copy of that document, although the defendant has sworn to contain a defence to the action (g).

Of Document referred to in Affidavit filed.

A party may, also, in general, on application to a judge, get an order on the opposite party to produce a deed before the Commissioners of the stamp office to be stamped (h). And in the case, where the defendant had surreptitiously obtained possession of an unstamped instrument executed by himself or the plaintiff, (thereby preventing the plaintiff from bringing a stamp, as he had intended, within twenty-one days after execution), and then swore that he had lost the agreement; the Court of Common Pleas ordered that he should produce a copy in his possession to the plaintiff; and that, if the plaintiff produced that copy stamped at the trial, the defendant should be precluded from producing the original (i). But the judge will not order the production where the instru-

Compelling Production for purpose of Stamping.

1. *R. v. Sheriff of Chester*, 1 Chit. R. 487. The proper way of proceeding would be to rule the sheriff to return the deed.
2. *Brown v. Ross*, 6 Taunt. 283; and *Rex v. The Sheriff of Chester*, 1 Chit. R. 476; *Davies v. Brown*, 9 Moore, 178; *Kirby v. Blearby*, 3 Bing. 148; 10 Moore, 523, S. C.; *Rundle v. Beaumont*, L. 537; 1 Mon. & P. 386, S. C.; *Corks v. Ash*, 9 Bing. 723; 3 Moo. & Sc. 164, S. C.
3. *Street v. Brown*, 6 Taunt. 302; 1 Ash, 610, S. C.; *Woodcock v. Worthington*, 2 Y. & J. 4; *Lord Portman v. Goring*, 12 Bing. 152; 12 Moore, 353, S. C.
4. *Travis v. Collins*, 2 C. & J. 625.
5. *Harriet v. Piggott*, 7 Bing. 400; 5 Mon. & P. 252; 1 Dowl. 219, S. C.
6. *Harrie v. Adair*, 2 Chit. 283.

(f) Park. Ina. 6th ed., Introduction, xlv.

(g) *Tetbitt v. Amherst*, 7 Dowl. 674.

(h) *Cruke v. Stocks*, Tidd, 9th ed. 487; *Bateman v. Phillips*, 4 Taunt. 157; *Gilmer v. Bayley*, 5 Moore, 71; *Threlfall v. Webster*, 1 Bing. 161; 7 Moore, 580, S. C.; *Munn v. Godbold*, 3 Bing. 212; 11 Moore, 49, S. C.; *Neale v. Sugnyd*, 2 C. & J. 278; 1 Dowl. 314, S. C., and cases there cited in note.

(i) *Bousfield v. Godfrey*, 5 Bing. 418; 2 Mon. & P. 771, S. C. *Quare*, as to the power of the court to restrain a party from taking an objection to evidence at *Nisi Prius*, i. e. the production of an existing instrument. (See *Travers v. Collins*, 2 C. & J. 625).

action is pending (*w*), and that the applicant has no counterpart or copy of the instrument (*o*). The application also be made to the court; but then, in general, the court would be ordered to pay the costs, or, at least, he would get more costs than he would have done had the application been to a judge at chambers (*p*).

How complied with.

Under a judge's order to produce letters and give evidence, the court have holden that it is sufficient to give extracts of parts of letters as are relevant to the subject, if the person whose possession they are will make affidavit that the contents of their contents does not relate to the subject (*q*).

Inspection of Books and Instruments of a Public Nature.

In general, no order can be obtained for the inspection of instruments or books of a private nature, in the hands of a third person (*r*); but either of the parties to a suit has a right to inspect and take copies of books of a public nature, in which he has an interest, and which are material to the subject of the suit. The inspection of corporation books, and the books of companies, see *Vol. I.* 224. And see *Corporation of Staple v. Lathey*, 3 T. R. 303: *R. v. Babb*, Id. 579: *Gas Company v. Clarke*, 4 Moo. & P. 727; 7 Bing. *Mayor of Southampton v. Graves*, 8 Id. 590: *Mayor v. Denton*, 1 Id. 689: 32 G. 3, c. 58, s. 4: *Schuldas v. Denton*, 1 Cowp. 192: *R. v. Purnell*, 1 W. Bl. 37; 1 S. C. (University books): *R. v. Nottingham*, 1 W. Bl. 37: *R. v. Heydon*, Id. 351: *Hodges v. Atkiss*, 2 Id. 877: 398, S. C.: *R. v. Hostman*, in *Newcastle-upon-Tyne* 1223: *R. v. Cornelius*, Id. 1210: *Harrison v. Will & C.* 162; 4 D. & R. 820, S. C.: *Davies v. Humphreys & Co.* 223: *Stevens v. Berwick*, 4 Dowl. 277. As to inspection of parish books, see *Anon.*, 2 Chit. Rep. 290: *Gwynn*, 4 B. & Ad. 301: *R. v. Lee*, 1 Wils. 240: *Simpkin*, 4 Moo. & P. 394; 6 Bing. 565, S. C.: *R. v. Farrington*, 9 B. & C. 541: *Edwards v. Bennett*, 3 B. & C. 119: 2 D. & R. 119: 3 V. & J. 119: S. C.: *R. v. Lee*.

lice): *Benson v. Port*, 1 Wils. 240 (where they refused to show the books of the Custom-house): *Herbert v. Ashburner*, 1 Str. 297 (as to inspection of books of Sessions of the Court): *Murray v. Thornhill*, Id. 717: *R. v. Worsenham*, 1 Wm. 705: *Cox v. Copping*, Id. 337; 5 Mod. 395, S. C.: *Tap*, 2 W. Bl. 850: *Young v. Lynch*, 1 Id. 27 (as to inspection of a chapter): *West v. Coll. of Phys.* 1 Wils. 240 (books of College of Physicians): *Rex v. Shelley*, 3 T. R. 691: *R. v. Lucas*, 10 East, 235: *R. v. Tower*, 4 M. & Sel. 162: *Ex v. Giles*, 2 Str. 954: *Wilson v. Rogers*, Id. 1242: *Mead*, 2 Ld. Raym. 927: *R. v. Holland*, 4 T. R. 691 (ports of East India Company). And as to the inspection of court rolls, see *Vol. I.* 220: *Addington v. Clode*, 2 Wm. 1030: *Folkard v. Hemet*, Id. 1061: *R. v. Allgood*, 7 D. & R. 484. In an action for trespass, when it appeared to be necessary, for maintenance of the action, that the plaintiff should be put in possession of the contents of the examinations taken before the justices, and of the warrant on which he was apprehended, the court granted for their inspection, and that copies might be taken, and the originals produced at the trial(*t*). Any person interested in copyhold property is entitled to inspect the court rolls of the manor without the others joining in the application(*u*). By the late rule of *H. T.*, 2 *W.* 4, an order was made for a lord of a manor to allow the usual limited inspection of court rolls on the application of a copyhold tenant, absolute in the first instance, upon an affidavit that the copyhold tenant has applied for, and been refused inspection. It is, however, but a rule nisi when no cause is shown(*v*). To obtain this inspection of public books, there must be a demand to inspect made on the proper officer, and a warrant issued. The demand cannot, it seems, be effectually made by the copyholder of a party authorized by warrant of attorney(*y*). The warrant is obtained on motion grounded on affidavit, stating the facts and circumstances. In general, the motion cannot be made unless the copyholder is joined(*z*). Where there is no action pending, the copyholder may obtain a mandamus(*a*).

Rex v. Smith, 1 Str. 126;
and vide *Re Justices of Bed-*
fordshire, 7 Dowl. 690.
Ex p. Hutt, 3 Dowl. 38.

(*y*) See *Ex p. Hutt*, 7 Dowl. 690.
(*z*) *Hodges v. Atkis*, 2 W. Bl. 877; 3
Wils. 398, S. C.
(*a*) See *Rex v. Tower*, 4 M. & Sel. 62:
Ex p. Hutt, 7 Dowl. 690.

CHAPTER XV.

PARTICULARS OF DEMAND, SET-OFF, &c.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

THE courts of common law have a general jurisdiction independently of any statute, to order particulars of demand or of defence, to be given, in order to prevent the necessity of applying to a court of equity (a). This jurisdiction is, in some cases, confirmed by statute. The rules by which the courts are governed in its exercise, the cases in which particulars will be required, their form, and their effect on the proceedings in the cause, may be conveniently discussed under the following heads, viz. :—

Particulars of Demand, 1028.

Particulars of Set-off, 1031.

Particulars of Payments, *id.*

Particulars of Objections to Pleading, *id.*

Particulars of Demand, at what Time, and how obtained, and on what Terms, and Consequences of not giving them,

1032.

Particulars of Set-off, &c., how obtained, &c., 1033.

Form of Particulars, 1034.

Amendment of, *id.*

Time for Pleading after, 1035.

Annexing to the Record, *id.*

Effect of on the Pleadings and Evidence, 1036.

Particulars of Demand where there are indebitatus Counts.

Particulars of Demand.] In cases where the declaration contains *indebitatus* counts, *R. T.*, 1 *W.* 4, r. 6, orders, “that with every declaration, if delivered, or with the notice of declaration, if filed, containing counts of *indebitatus assumpsit*, or *debt on simple contract*, the plaintiff shall deliver *full particulars of his demand* under those counts, where such particulars can be comprised within three folios; and where the same cannot be comprised within three folios, he shall deliver such a statement of the nature of his claim, and the amount of the sum or balance which he claims to be due, as may be comprised within that number of folios.” And, to secure the delivery of particulars in all such cases, it is further ordered, “that, if any declaration or notice shall be delivered without such particulars, or such statement as aforesaid, and a judge shall afterwards order a delivery of particulars, the plaintiff shall not be allowed any costs in respect of any summons for the purpose of obtaining such order, or of the particulars he may afterwards deliver. And that a copy of the particulars of the demand, and also particulars (if any) of the defendant’s set-off,

(a) See the observations of Tindal, C. J., in *Bulnols v. M'Kensie*, 4 Bing. N. C. 157.

shall be annexed by the plaintiff's attorney to every record at the time it is entered with the judge's marshal." This rule, it will be seen, is not imperative, and the only consequence of non-compliance with it is, that the plaintiff will not be allowed for his particulars in costs, if afterwards called for and delivered. Therefore, it would seem that the plaintiff might sign judgment within the usual time, though no particulars were delivered with the declaration (*b*). As to the consequence of not giving particulars, in pursuance of a judge's order, see *post*, 1033.

Where the declaration contains special counts:—It may be laid down as a general rule, that in all actions in which the plaintiff does not specify in his declaration the particulars of his cause of action, a judge, upon a summons taken out for that purpose, will make an order upon him to give the defendant the particulars in writing, and that all proceedings be stayed in the meantime. Thus, in debt on bond conditioned for the performance of covenants, or to indemnify, or the like, the defendant may call for a particular of the breaches for which he sued (*c*). So, in covenant, for not repairing &c., the defendant may claim particulars of the non-repairs &c. (*d*). So, in an action by vendee against vendor, where it was stated in the declaration that the abstract of title delivered was "insufficient, defective, and objectionable," the court obliged the plaintiff to give a particular of all objections to the abstract, arising upon matters of fact, but not of objections in point of law (*e*). So, in an action by vendee to recover back his deposit, because the conditions of the sale had not been complied with, the defendant may have a particular of the matters of fact on which the plaintiff seeks to recover (*f*), though not of the legal grounds (*g*). So, in an action against a clerk by his former master, for enticing away plaintiff's customers, contrary to an agreement, the declaration, naming two of those customers, but also stating that there were divers others, without naming them, *Patteson, J.*, on an affidavit that the defendant would be prejudiced in his defence, and might be taken by surprise at the trial, unless the names of the other customers were given, made an order on plaintiff to give their names, and gave defendant time to plead after the delivery of the particulars (*h*). But wherever the particulars of the cause of action are fully specified in the declaration, as in actions on the case, special assumpsits, or the like, any further particulars would, of course, be unnecessary, and are seldom granted. And accordingly the court, in a recent case, refused to compel a plaintiff, suing for the breach of an agreement, and assigning that he had incurred certain expenses, to furnish particulars of such special damage (*i*). So, in an action on a bill of exchange, an order for particulars could not be granted

Where the
are special
Counts.

In Action
Contractu

(*b*) *Jones v. Fowler*, 1 Gale, 256, per *Abraham, B.*, 4 Dowl. 232, S. C.

(*c*) *Tidd*, 9th ed. 507.

(*d*) But in an action of covenant by the assignee of a lease for non payment of rent and non repair, the court refused to compel the plaintiff to give particulars with sums and dates, particulars of the covenants alleged to have been broken having been given. (*Sauter v. Hitchcock*,

5 Dowl 724).

(*e*) *Cullett v. Thompson*, 3 B. & P. 246; *Robson v. Roachind*, 4 M. & W. 533.

(*f*) *Squire v. Tidd*, 1 Camp. 283.

(*g*) *Robson v. Roachind*, 4 M. & W. 533.

(*h*) *Stapleton v. Derry*, Q. B., 8th Dec. 1831, *coram Patteson, J.*, at chambers: see 11 Price, Rep. 19.

(*i*) *Rettalick v. Hawkes*, 1 M. & W. 573.

BOOK IV.
PART I.In Actions ex
Delicto.

without some particular reason(*k*); though, perhaps, plaintiff in such a case volunteered to give, and gave particulars, and they were inexplicit, the court might order deliver further and better particulars(*l*).

In actions for torts, it is generally the practice to particulars of demand, which in most cases are comprised in the declaration. But, under circumstances, a judge in such actions, compel a delivery of particulars, if the affidavit, stating, that the defendant does not know for what plaintiff is proceeding(*m*). In an action against the defendant for an escape, a judge will, on application, compel a delivery of particulars of the escape for which the action is brought, and the plaintiff is bound to give a particular of the escape relied on, and the judge's order for a particular in such case should order the precise day of the escape to be stated, within the plaintiff's knowledge(*n*). In an action for malicious prosecution, alleging, as special damage, that defendants, named in the declaration, had left off dealing with the plaintiff, *Coltman, J.*, on summons, ordered the defendants to give the addresses of the persons named within a month; that, in default thereof, the names of those whose addresses not given should be struck out of the declaration(*p*). In an indictment for a nuisance against members of a Gas Company for throwing poisonous matter into the Thames, and on barges, and making erections in the river, the court ordered the prosecutor to give particulars of the nuisance in respect of which it was to be proved; and *Littledale, J.*, observed, that in the action, there could be no doubt upon the propriety of the plaintiffs being called upon to give such particulars. In an indictment for a permanent nuisance by throwing refuse into the river Thames, *Coleridge, J.*, ordered the prosecutor to give particulars of the acts of nuisance, but not of the damage. On the other hand, in an action against an attorney for negligence in transacting the assignment of a leasehold belonging to the plaintiff, *per quod* the plaintiff had to pay damages, as assignee, the Court of Common Pleas refused to order the delivery of a particular of the plaintiff's demand, there being no ambiguity as to the cause of action, or the transaction in respect of which the action was brought(*s*).

In Ejectment.

In ejectment, if the defendant have any doubt as to the title to the lands &c. for which the action is brought, he may object to the plaintiff to give him a bill of particulars(*t*); or, if ejectment is brought for a forfeiture, the court, upon application, will rule the lessor of the plaintiff to give the particulars of the covenants and breaches &c. or will refuse to insist that the defendant has forfeited his title, so that he shall not be allowed to give evidence at trial of anything not contained in those particulars(*u*).

(*k*) *Brooks v. Farlar*, 3 Bing. N. C. 291; 5 Dowl. 361, S. C.; see *Davies v. Anstruther*, 5 Dowl. 736; 2 M. & W. 817, S. C.

(*l*) *Davies v. Anstruther*, 5 Dowl. 736; 2 M. & W. 817, S. C.; post, 1034, 1035.

(*m*) *Smelling v. Channels*, 5 Dowl. 80.

(*n*) *Webster v. Jones*, 7 D. & R. 774.

(*o*) *Davis v. Chapman*, 1 Nev. & P. 699; 6 A. & F. 767, S. C.

(*p*) *Fell v. Roeling*, 6th April, 1839, at

chambers: see 4 B. & Ald. 257; Tidd, 533, 534.

(*q*) *Res v. Curwood*, 5 N. C. 257; see *R. v. Flower*, 7 Dowl. 6.

(*r*) *R. v. Flower*, 7 Dowl. 6.

(*s*) *Stannard v. Ullrichs*, 326; 3 Scott, 771; 5 Dowl. 326.

(*t*) *Goodright v. Rich*, 7 T. R. 1.

(*u*) *Doe v. Birch*, 6 T. R. 1; see *Sowler v. Hitchcock*, 5 D.

Particulars of Set-Off.] Where the defendant pleads *set-off*, the plaintiff may obtain a particular of the set-off, in the cases as a defendant would be entitled to it, if the matter of set-off were declared upon; and if the defendant, in such case, do not deliver a bill of particulars within the time limited by the judge's order for that purpose, he will not be allowed to give evidence of his set-off at the trial. It is no objection to the use of particulars of set-off, delivered without the judge's order, that they are headed in a different court from that in which the action is brought (*x*). As to annexing particulars of set-off to the *Nisi Prius* record on entering the return by the marshal, see *post*, 1035.

CHAP. XV.
Particulars of
Set-off.

Particulars of Payments.] Where the defendant pleads payment to the plaintiff, according to a decision of the Court of Common Pleas, may obtain particulars of the payments relied on, by an affidavit stating that he cannot safely go to trial without them (*y*).

Particulars of
Payments.

Particulars of Objections to Patent.] The act for amending the law of patents (5 & 6 W. 4, c. 83, s. 5) enacts, "that in any action brought against any person for infringing any letter patent, the defendant on pleading thereto shall give to the plaintiff, and in any *scire facias* to repeal such letters patent, the plaintiff shall file with his declaration, a notice of any objection on which he means to rely at the trial of such action, and such objection shall be allowed to be made in behalf of such defendant or plaintiff respectively at such trial unless he prove to the satisfaction of the court that the objections stated in such notice: provided always, that it shall be lawful for any judge at chambers, on summons served by such defendant or plaintiff on such plaintiff or defendant, respectively, to shew cause why he should not be allowed to offer other objections whereof notice shall not have been given as aforesaid, to give leave to offer such objections, on such terms as to such judge shall seem fit." In the particulars delivered under this act, the substantial objections must be stated in distinct and intelligible language, and the particulars must not be confined to giving merely general information.

Particulars of
Objections to
Patent.

And the court, or a judge on summons, has the power to order further and better particulars to be delivered, if the particulars delivered be insufficient (*a*). In one case, where the defendant's first notice alleged, that the invention was old, and had been used by J. H. M., and divers other persons, the Court of Common Pleas refused to order the names, addresses, and descriptions of such other persons to be given (*a*); but in a recent case, *Coltman, J.*, on the authority of a case decided by *Tindal, C. J.*, who had taken time to consider the matter, ordered such particulars to be given, and that the words "and divers other persons" should be struck out (*b*).

If the defendant omit to deliver the particulars with his plea, the court, on motion, may order him to deliver, or may allow him to amend his plea, or may order him to plead *de novo*, or may order him to deliver the particulars, if they be required by the statute, the court, if they be so required, may order him to deliver them, and deliver them.

v. Hilton, 5 Dowl. 267.

v. Thompson, 4 Bing. N. C. 127; 6 Dowl. 215, S. C.

v. Hewitt, 6 Dowl. 739.

(a) *Bullock v. M'Kenzie*, 4 Bing. N. C.

127; 6 Dowl. 215, S. C.

(b) *Galloway v. Bleden*, at chambers, H. 1839.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

be satisfied on the merits, will grant him leave to plead and to deliver the objections with the fresh pleas (d). As to the costs, in case of failure of any of these see *post*, Chap. 31, title, "Costs."

Particulars of Demand, at what Time, and how obtained, &c.

Particulars of Demand, at what Time, and how Obtained, and Consequences of not giving: We have already seen, that, in declarations containing *status* counts in *assumpsit*, or debt on simple contract, the plaintiff should deliver the particulars of the declaration of those counts at the time of filing or delivering the declaration. Should he neglect to do so, under such a declaration, and in other cases where you are entitled to the declaration, the mode of obtaining them is, by taking out a *summons* for that purpose, and obtaining an order of the Court. *R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 47*, "A summons for particulars thereon may be obtained by a defendant before trial, and may be made, if the judge thinks fit, without production of any affidavit (e), or after declaration or plea pleaded." It is, indeed, discretionary with the Court to make an order at any time before the trial (f); *cause be not shown against it, at the time specified in the summons, the judge will make an order that the plaintiff or agent shall deliver to the defendant's attorney the particulars required, and that in the meantime proceedings in the cause be stayed (g)*. Even although the defendant may have had a particular delivered to him, the judge may make plaintiff re-deliver it as a particular in the action, though in such a case the defendant is ordered in any event to pay the costs of such particular, if necessary, to take short notice of trial (h).

On what Terms.

The term of "pleading issuably" will, in general, be imposed on the defendant by the order; unless expressed in writing by the plaintiff's attorney (*R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 47*), unless the justice of the case requires a dispensation; as, if the defendant be desirous of pleading a nonjoinder of a party, which is not regarded as a dilatory plea; or unless, as it seems, in cases where a dispensation for particulars has been rendered necessary by neglect of plaintiff to deliver them with his declaration, the Court may order the defendant to deliver them with his declaration, *R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 47*.

Where Particulars delivered before Action.

In cases where a particular has been delivered to the judge, as we have just seen, usually orders are made that the defendant pay the costs of the fresh particulars, and require the defendant to take short notice of trial. Sometimes the judge orders other terms on the defendant.

Consequences of not giving Particulars of Demand when ordered.

The consequences of not giving particulars where the declaration contains *indebitatus* counts already considered (*ante*, 1029). As regards the

(d) *Loch v. Hay*, Exch., H. T. 1838, 2 Jurist, 157.

(e) 1 Chit. Rep. 724; and R. T., 2 G. 4, C. P.; 6 Moore, 211. Before the rule of H. T., 2 W. 4, r. 47, it was necessary in the Exchequer to have an affidavit that the defendant never had had any particulars, or had mislaid them, or was not suffi-

ciently acquainted with the facts, to proceed to trial. See Tidd's New Pract. 36 n.; summons, Chit. Forms, 1.

(f) See Imp. K. B. 230.

(g) See forms, Chit. Forms, 1.

(h) See *James v. Child*, 2 Tyr. 312; 1 Dowl. 310.

in obedience to the usual order of a judge for only consequence is, that when the order has been and served, it operates as a stay of proceedings from of such service till the particulars have been delivered.

Under the usual order, the defendant cannot sign of *nonpros*, though the plaintiff neglect or refuse the particulars; neither will the court give him such judgment(*k*); and this, although the court the particulars to be delivered in a specified time. The defendant's course, in such cases, is, to obtain an order, compelling the plaintiff to deliver them in a specified time, and expressly reserving to defendant the liberty of judgment of *nonpros*, if not delivered within it. But if the order has been refused, where the order was made before the trial, and consequently the cause would be out of court unless the plaintiff declared(*m*). And the Court of Common Pleas, in a late case, refused a rule to compel the plaintiff to deliver particulars in pursuance of a judge's order, and Lord B., said, "If the plaintiff does not choose to obey the order, he cannot deliver further and better particulars, he cannot keep his cause, and is kept at arm's-length, as it were, and it is proper to do so: but he cannot be compelled to comply with the order"(*n*). Neglect to deliver particulars of demand is no ground for discharging the defendant out of custody(*o*). And where (before the 1 & 2 V. order for particulars was obtained in an action commenced by writ of summons, and the plaintiff, instead of delivering particulars, arrested the defendant in a new action in the same cause, *Taunton, J.*, held that the arrest was valid.

[*Particulars of Set-off, &c., how obtained, &c.*] A particular of defendant's set-off is obtained by taking out a judge's order for that purpose, and getting an order thereon. It is a usual practice, where a defendant obtains an order for time to deliver particulars, it is contemplated that the defendant will plead a set-off, and the judge to impose in it the terms of the defendant's declaration, and a particular of set-off at the time of delivering the plea. The order generally requires the particulars to be delivered within a certain time, otherwise that the defendant shall not be allowed to give evidence of them at the trial(*q*). If the defendant neglects to give the particulars within the time thus reserved, he will not be allowed to avail himself of his set-off at the trial. Where an order was obtained for the delivery of particulars of set-off within a fortnight, and they were not delivered within five weeks, but after delivery an order was made for the amendment of the declaration, this was held to be a waiver of the irregularity, in the delivery of particulars(*r*). The demand of particulars of set-off, declaration and set-off, *see* *Waters v. Byam*, 4 B. & C. 1055.

Waters v. Byam, 5 B. & C. 769; 8 B. & C. 1055. (m) *Kirby v. Snowden*, 4 Dowl. 191.
(n) *Cane v. Spinks*, 7 Dowl. 27.
(o) *Gratt v. Willis*, 5 Dowl. 715.
(p) *Anon.*, 1 Dowl. 59.
(q) See *Lovelock v. Chereley*, Holt, 552. See form of order, Chit. Forms, 557.
(r) *Wallis v. Anderson*, 1 Moody & M. 291, per Lord Tenterden, C. J.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

livered after a plea which was a nullity, has been held no waiver of the plaintiff's right to sign judgment(s).

Particulars of payment, or other defences, may be in the same way, on an affidavit stating that the cannot safely go to trial without them (ante 1031).

Form of
Particulars.

Form of Particulars.] The particulars of demand livered at the time of filing or delivering a declaration the common *indebitatus* counts in *assumpsit*, or debt a contract, should be a *full particular* of the claim and counts; and, if possible, should be comprised within the but, if the full particulars cannot be comprised with folios, then the plaintiff should deliver *such a statement of the nature of his claim*, and the amount of the sum or which he claims to be due, as may be comprised with number of folios, otherwise the plaintiff would not be the costs of the excess of the three folios(t).

They must be
explicit.

The particulars must be explicit, and should in specify items, dates, and amounts. Delivering a part general as the declaration would probably be deemed tempt of the order, and might subject the attorney to But the particulars need only be certain to a content(x). Where the acceptor of two bills of exchange 250*l.* each, was arrested upon a *capias* indorsed thus by affidavit, for 250*l.* and upwards," "The plaintiff 266*l.* with interest thereon, from the 30th of December day of payment, for debt, and 3*l.* 10*s.* for costs & declaration was upon two bills, and the particulars at the action was brought to recover 500*l.*: it was held defendant was entitled to better particulars(y). The objection, when an account has been already delivered to it generally in the particulars, without restating it of it(z). And less particularity is required in a particular livered in pursuance of the above rule of *T. T.* 1831, full particular cannot be comprised in three folios(a).

Need not state
Credit Side of
Account.

It was formerly held, that, if money have been account, the particulars should specify it, and state the which the plaintiff seeks to recover(b), and that the debtor side of the account only would be considered tempt, for which the attorney might be ordered to costs of both parties(c); but these decisions have seems, overruled, and the court will not, in general, compel plaintiff to give any part of the credit side of the account(

The particulars, when made out, should be delivered to posite attorney or agent.

Amendment
of.

Amendment of.] If the particulars be incorrect, the particular delivered them may have leave to amend them(e); or,

(s) *Ford v. Bernard*, 6 Bing. 534; 4 Moo. & P. 302, S. C.

(t) R. T., 1 W. 4, r. 6, ante, 102H: and see the form of particulars, Chit. Forms, 598 to 600.

(u) See *Brown v. Watts*, 1 Taunt. 353.

(x) *Lines v. Rees*, 1 Jurist, 593.

(y) *Dawes v. Anstruther*, 3 Dowl. 736; 2 M. & W. 817, S. C.

(z) *Hatchett v. Marshal*, Peake, 172; 1 Wightw. 78.

(a) See the forms, Chit. Form 600.

(b) *Mitchell v. Wright*, 1 Esp. Miller v. Johnson, 2 Esp. 602.

(c) *Addington v. Appleton*, 2 C.

(d) *Penprase v. Cross*, 4 Dowl. M. & W. 36, S. C.: *Randall v.*

Dowl. 682: per *Patterson, J.*, in *Eldridge*, 4 Ad. & El. 64; 5 Ne 408, S. C.

(e) See *Staples v. Holdsworth*, 6 D.

ly explicit, the other party may take out a summons and an order for *better particulars* (*f*). Where the plaintiff, by mistake, gave credit to the defendant in the particulars for a sum of money, the court allowed the plaintiff to amend them by striking out the credit so given (*g*). The giving of a second or further particular, in order to cover a defect in the first particulars, will not avail the party defendant, unless delivered under an order (*h*), except the order be a technical one, and the opposite party waive it by not objecting to the second particulars (*i*). By a rule in the Exchequer Bench no summons for further particulars shall be granted unless the last previous order for particulars be first produced, and such order produced at the time of applying for a summons (*j*).

When what errors in particulars are material, see *post*,

CHAP. XV.

When and how obtained or compelled.

[*or Pleading after.*] By R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 1, s. 48, "A summons shall be allowed the same time for pleading after the delivery of particulars under a judge's order, which he had in return of the summons; nevertheless, judgment shall not be given till the afternoon of the day after the delivery of the particulars, unless otherwise ordered by the judge" (*k*). When an order for particulars and an order for time to plead have been obtained, the time for pleading will run on, although particulars are given, unless it is expressed, in the order for time to plead, that it is not to begin to run until after the delivery of the particulars (*l*). As to what plea the defendant is bound to plead if bound to plead issuably, which he is in fact bound to do by the order for particulars, see *ante*, § 62. When a summons for particulars is discharged, it is held that the defendant ought to be prepared to plead, unless where a delay has been occasioned by the plaintiff. (See Vol. I. 155).

Time for Pleading after

[*Annexing Particulars to the Record.*] At the time of entering a *plea nisi prius* record with the judge's marshal, the plaintiff's attorney should annex to it a copy of the particulars of demand, and of the defendant's set-off (if any) (*m*). This is to obviate the necessity of the opposite party's proving the truth of the delivery of the particulars, in cases where he is desirous of confining the party delivering the particulars to the proof of the items contained therein (*n*). If the plaintiff annex to the record particulars varying from those set out to the defendant, and the defendant is prepared at the time to prove the delivery of the particulars to him, the plaintiff may nonsuit the plaintiff, if he is unable to give evidence in support of any cause of action included in the particulars.

Annexing Particulars to the Record.

§ 580, 589: see *Hurst v. Waller*, 1 Q. B. 224; *Millwood v. Waller*, 2 Q. B. 224; *Brown v. Hodgson*, 4 Taunt. 224; *Wells v. Whiteheart*, 5 Dowl. 720; *Watts v. Watts*, 1 Taunt. 353; *Watts v. Fowler*, 4 Dowl. 232; 50 G. 3, Q. B. 155; *Monckton v. East*, 508: see *Adams v. Drummond*, 1 Dowl. 99.

(l) *Adams v. Drummond*, 1 Dowl. 99: see *Aspinall v. Smith*, 8 Taunt. 592; *Lane v. Parsons*, 3 Bing. N. C. 264; 5 Dowl. 350, S. C. (m) R. T., 1 W. 4, r. 6, *ante*, 1028; and Vol. I. 258. (n) *Macarthy v. Smith*, 8 Bing. 146; 1 Moo. & Scott, 227; 1 Dowl. 253, S. C.

orders, that in any case, in which the plaintiff avoid the expense of a plea of payment) shall have set out in the particulars of his demand for any sum of money therein admitted to have been paid to the plaintiff, shall not be necessary for the defendant to plead payment of such sum or sums of money. But this rule shall not apply to causes where the plaintiff, after stating of his demand, states that he seeks to recover a balance, without giving credit for any particular sum. And by the same rule it is ordered, that payment in any case, be allowed to be given in evidence, in damages, but shall be pleaded in bar.

Proof confined by the Particulars.

At the trial, the party who delivered the particulars confined in his proof to the items therein contained where the particulars stated the plaintiff's demand for goods sold and delivered to the defendant, the defendant was not allowed at the trial to give evidence of goods sold to the defendant as agent for the plaintiff(s). So, where the defendant acknowledged that he owed the plaintiff a sum, the plaintiff's particulars will not support particulars, "To a beast sold a note for 13*l*. 10*s*." (t). So, where the particular was of a note only, and when the note was produced at the trial, it was found to be written on an improper stamp, the court held that the plaintiff was precluded from resorting to recovery on consideration for the note(u); but, under such circumstances, after proving the note at the trial, the plaintiff was allowed interest on it(x). Where the declaration counted on three bills of exchange, but the plaintiff pleaded only that the action was brought to recover the money on the bill in the first count, it was considered that the plaintiff could not recover on the bills mentioned in the

d in the first count, with interest, and that the plaintiff would rely on the whole or any part of the declaration for the recovery thereof, they were held sufficient to enable the plaintiff to recover on the second count (a). It has been held, that, under a particular for goods sold and delivered, the plaintiff could not recover for money had and received, although it appeared that the goods had been delivered to the defendant as agent, for sale or return, and that he had paid and received the value (a); this decision, however, is questionable (b). Where the plaintiff's particulars stated various sums of money due by the defendant, but some were, in fact, owing from the defendant and his partner, and not from the defendant alone, and the defendant pleaded nonjoinder in abatement; the plaintiff was not allowed to give evidence of those due from the defendant solely, as they were not distinguished from the others in the bill of particulars (c). Where the plaintiff's bill of particulars stated the cause of action to be for the amount of stakes deposited in the defendant's hands, by the plaintiff and R., and the plaintiff of R., the court held that he could not recover the amount of his own stake, on proof that he had received it from the defendant before it was paid over (d).

The object, however, of this strictness is, that the opposite party may know what will be attempted to be proved at the trial, and may prepare his evidence accordingly. A mistake in the particular, not calculated to deceive or mislead him, will not be deemed material (e). Thus, an error in the date of one of the items in a bill of particulars, where work and labour was stated to have been performed for a month, was holden to be immaterial, because it could not have misled the defendant (f). And, in a more recent case, where the particulars stated goods to have been delivered on the 6th June, 1836, and the plaintiff gave evidence of delivery on the 28th May, 1836, it was held that this was a particular which could have misled the defendant, although he had bought goods of the plaintiff, and paid for them several months previous to the 28th May, 1836 (g). So, where a particular specified a bill for 60*l.*, bearing date on a certain day, and the evidence was of a bill for 63*l.*, dated on a different day, in the same year and month, *Abbott, J.*, held the error to be immaterial (h). So, where a payment was stated in the account of the defendant to A. as having been made to B., Lord *Ellenborough* said, he would hold it to be immaterial, unless the defendant would shew that he was misled by the particulars (i). So, where the plaintiff claimed for work and labour under an agreement,

Mistakes, not
misleading,
immaterial.

Fisher, 2 M. & W. 722.

Wright v. Smith, 8 Bing. 145; 1 M. & W. 227, S. C.

Lambirth v. Roff, 8 Bing. 411; 1 M. & W. 277, S. C.

Spencer v. Bates, 1 Esp. 452; 2 Selw. 312.

Spencer v. Bates, 1 Esp. 452; 2 Selw. 312.

Spencer v. Bates, 1 Esp. 452; 2 Selw. 312.

Spencer v. Bates, 1 Esp. 452; 2 Selw. 312.

Spencer v. Bates, 1 Esp. 452; 2 Selw. 312.

Spencer v. Bates, 1 Esp. 452; 2 Selw. 312.

Spencer v. Bates, 1 Esp. 452; 2 Selw. 312.

Spencer v. Bates, 1 Esp. 452; 2 Selw. 312.

Spencer v. Bates, 1 Esp. 452; 2 Selw. 312.

Spencer v. Bates, 1 Esp. 452; 2 Selw. 312.

Spencer v. Bates, 1 Esp. 452; 2 Selw. 312.

Spencer v. Bates, 1 Esp. 452; 2 Selw. 312.

Spencer v. Bates, 1 Esp. 452; 2 Selw. 312.

Spencer v. Bates, 1 Esp. 452; 2 Selw. 312.

Spencer v. Bates, 1 Esp. 452; 2 Selw. 312.

Spencer v. Bates, 1 Esp. 452; 2 Selw. 312.

Spencer v. Bates, 1 Esp. 452; 2 Selw. 312.

Spencer v. Bates, 1 Esp. 452; 2 Selw. 312.

S. C.

(f) *Millwood v. Walter*, 2 Taunt. 224;

Harrison v. Wood, 1 Moo. & Scott, 536; 8

Bing. 371, S. C.; *Lambirth v. Roff*, 1 Moo.

& Scott, 597; 8 Bing. 411, S. C.; *Spencer*

v. Bates, 1 Gale, 106; *Green v. Clarke*, 2

Dowl. 18.

(g) *Fleming v. Crisp*, 5 Dowl. 454.

(h) *Manning's Index*, 240.

(i) *Day v. Bower*, 1 Camp. 69, n: see

Lambirth v. Roff, 1 Moo. & Scott, 597; 8

Bing. 411, S. C.

it was held that he might recover for extras (*k*). So, the action is for money had and received to the use of the bankrupt, and the particulars for money had and received to the use of the plaintiffs, as assignees (*l*). So, in an action against an agent for not accounting for goods delivered by the plaintiff to be sold, and for goods sold, and money received, and the particulars were headed, "A. to B. tierces of porter, &c., £ —," and contained also the money had and received, they were held to be applicable to any of the counts in the declaration (*m*). So, in an action against a carrier, who had mis-delivered certain goods to the defendant, which the latter appropriated to his own use, the plaintiff having paid the amount of the goods to the real owner, it was held that he might recover on the count for money paid, though his particulars were only "To seventeen *lb* butter, 55*l*. 6*s*." (*n*). So, in an action for goods sold, the particulars were for "chalk," and the proof was for "caulk," the variance was held immaterial, as it was unlikely to mislead (*o*). Disbursements have been held payable under an item in the particulars for "cash advanced." So, where, in debt for rent, the plaintiff in his particulars described the premises as being in a different parish from in which they were really situate, the court held the variance to be immaterial, as the defendant could not have been misled by it (*q*). So, in ejectment to recover premises for non-payment of rent, a variance between the amount proved to be due, and the amount demanded in the particulars, was holden not to be material (*r*). Where the particulars of the plaintiff's demand were on an account stated, and appears by a memorandum under the hand of the defendant, "this date," and the memorandum was inadmissible for want of a promissory note stamp, it was held, that the account might be proved by other evidence than the memorandum. It was held, also, that verbal evidence was admissible to prove an admission of the money being due, and a promise to pay it by instalments, though such admission and promise were made at the time of signing the memorandum, and embodied in it (*s*).

Also, although the plaintiff is confined in his particulars to the items contained in his bill of particulars, yet if it appears from the defendant's evidence, that he is entitled to recover more than is included in the bill, he shall recover for such increase. But where, in an action for lottery tickets sold, the plaintiff proved the defendant's set-off mentioned the sale of the tickets by himself, it was held that this was not sufficient proof of sale, and that the fact must be proved by other evidence.

(*k*) *Lines v. Rees*, 1 Jurist, 593.

(*l*) *Tucker v. Barrow*, 1 M. & M. 137.

(*m*) *Hunter v. Welch*, 1 Stark. 224: *see also Macarthy v. Smith*, 8 Bing. 145; 1 Moo. & Scott, 227, S. C.

(*n*) *Brown v. Hodgson*, 4 Taunt. 189; *see also Macarthy v. Smith*, 1 Moo. & Scott, 227; 8 Bing. 145, S. C.: *Breckon v. Smith*, 1 Ad. & Ell. 480; *ante*, 1036.

(*o*) *Spencer v. Bates*, 1 Gale, 108.

(*p*) *Harrison v. Wood*, 1 Moo. & Scott, 536; 8 Bing. 371, S. C.

(*q*) *Davies v. Edwards*, 3 M. & Sel. 380:

and *see Lambirth v. Roff*, 8 M. & Scott, 597, S. C.

(*r*) *Tenny v. Moody*, 10 M. & Bing. 3, S. C.

(*s*) *Singleton v. Barrett*, 2 C.

(*t*) *Hurst v. Watkins*, 1 Carr. & P. 100; *see also per Parke, B.*, in 1 M. & W. 1 Phil. Evid. 189; Rose. 39; *Hopkins*, 2 B. & P. 243.

(*u*) *Müller v. Johnson*, 2 Esp. 170; *see also M'orris v. Taunton*, 5 Taun. 170.

That where the particulars need not be given as the omission in them of those causes of action is material. Therefore the delivery of a particular *status* counts will not prevent the plaintiff's reliance on a special count in his declaration, if he stated that part of his claim in his particulars, it is only necessary to explain the *indebitatus* count, where the first count was on a bill of exchange and the second on a bill for 20*l.*, and the third on the particulars specified only the 20*l.* bill. *per Abbot, C. J.*, "That is no objection. If stated in the declaration, it need not be mentioned. You must give a particular of goods sold, and need give a particular of bills of exchange if in the declaration" (a). But where the plaintiff gave three bills of exchange; but sought by his partner on the bill set out in the first count only, that he might give the other two bills in evidence as a collateral matter, namely, the partnership of the defendant, but it was considered that he could not give it as a substantive cause of action (a). Though, in a more recent case, he might have done so, had he stated that the plaintiff would rely on the truth of the declaration (b).

Special
Counts not
affected by.

He may give evidence of a demand contained in a bill though he omitted to include it in a bill declaration brought (c). But this would in most cases be against him in evidence as to the additional delivery of a former bill is conclusive evidence of a new charge on any of the same items consequent bill (d).

Proof of
Items omitted
from former
Bill.

The order for, or delivery of, the particulars of a bill is requisite at the trial, when they have been put on record (e); but the particulars are not part of the declaration. When not so annexed, in order to prove them the judge's order, with the particulars, should be followed. Evidence given of the plaintiff's, attorney's, or agent's to the latter, unless admitted by him (g).

Particulars,
how proved.

1 C. & P. 340; and
2 C. & P. 267: *Fisher*
L 102; 1 M. & W.

(c) *Short v. Edwards*, 1 Esp. 374.
(d) See *Loveridge v. Botham*, 1 B. & P.
49.

2 C. & P. 267.
2 B. & B. 682.
M. & W. 722.

(e) *Macarthy v. Smith*, 8 Bing. 145; 1
Dowl. 253, S. C.: *ante*, 1035.
(f) *Booth v. Howard*, 5 Dowl. 438.
(g) See *Rosc. 40*; 1 Phil. Evid. 183.

CHAPTER XVI.

COMPOUNDING PENAL ACTIONS.

CHAP. XVI.

Leave to compound, when granted.

IN all actions by common informers for penalties under the statute, the court, upon application, may give the defendant leave to compound with the defendant (a). No compromise can be made, unless by the order and consent of the court, while the suit is pending, under pain of 10*l.*, and of being afterwards disabled from suing in any popular action (b). Leave of the court, however, is not necessary in actions by a party grieved (c). It is entirely in the discretion of the court to grant it or not (d). And the court have refused to grant it in an action on the 25 G. 2, c. 36, for keeping a gaming house, &c. (e); also in an action where part of the money was given to the poor (f).

The composition is made on the consent of the defendant, and cannot take place before the defendant has pleaded guilty. The court will seldom allow of it after verdict, unless in special instances be stated to them which entitle the defendant to an indulgence (A), as where he is very poor, &c. (1)

How obtained.

1. The motion for this purpose is grounded on a declaration made by the plaintiff, or his attorney, stating shortly the substance of the declaration and plea and the state of the cause, for which the parties have agreed to compound the action. The defendant or his attorney should make an affidavit to the effect. Take these affidavits, with the declaration, to the Solicitor of the Treasury, who will lay them before the Attorney-General for his consent. As soon as the Solicitor of the Treasury ascertains whether the Attorney-General consents to the composition, he generally writes to the plaintiff's attorney informing him of it. The plaintiff's attorney then appears at the Treasury, and if the Attorney-General consents, you have to pay the solicitor 5*l.* 1*s.* 4*d.*, who has to prepare a consent brief of the Attorney-General consenting on the part of the crown to the action compounded, and for one moiety of the penalty to be paid by the plaintiff, and the other moiety to remain for the

[illegible]

4. Where the act gives security, he has born all certain sum and costs together amounted to me paid to the crown. New S. P. 31: Wood v. Job 1157. But this has been the sum repaid for compensation as to prove v. Chasman, 2 W Bl. 1157 act does not give costs, as is willing to compound & and to give a further sum crown is entitled to half sum, it may being in the relation to the composition 2 Fausc. 213.

torney then indorses a brief for counsel to consent on the plaintiff to the action being compounded. A like signed, indorsed by counsel, to consent on the part of the defendant. The two latter are half-guinea motions, and need not be made in court. All this may be done in vacation as well as in term. Take the three briefs and affidavits to the Rule Office, and the rule and serve a copy on defendant's attorney, and make an appointment with him for the defendant, to go and pay the money to the officer of the respective courts, and he is to receive the money and give a receipt upon the receipt. The plaintiff's attorney then makes an appointment with the defendant to attend and receive the moiety, and he may then identify him.

CHAP. XVI.

in the Common Pleas, where part of the penalty goes to the crown, it was usual to give notice to the Solicitor General, and the consent of one of the Queen's counsellors must have been obtained, before the motion could be granted for leave to compound a penal action by general rule of all the courts of the H. T.,

Notice to Solicitor of Treasury, when necessary.

"Leave to compound a penal action shall not be granted where part of the penalty goes to the crown, but shall be given to the proper officer, but in other cases."

for the composition must express that the defendant thereby undertake to pay the sum for which he has compounded (m); and, if he do not afterwards pay it, upon application, will grant an attachment against

Payment of Composition, how enforced.

action, it seems, cannot be made at Nisi Prius on the same day. (See *Lee v. Carey*, 1 Chit. 103; *Sheldon v. Mumford*, 5 Taunt. 268; *Rex v. Gibbs*, 3 Dowl. 345. (m) R. E., 33 G. 3, r. 2. (n) *Rex v. Clifton*, 5 T. R. 257. See *Society*, 1 Taunt. 103; form of rule, Chit. Forms, 602.

CHAPTER XVII.

SETTING ASIDE PROCEEDINGS FOR IRREGULARITY, &c.

In what Cases, 1042.

How set Aside, 1044.

Notice of Motion and Stay of Proceedings, 1045.

In what Time the Application must be made, and Waiver of,

1045.

Excuse for not applying in Time, 1049.

Confessing Irregularity, id. Costs, &c., 1050.

CHAP. XVII.

In what Cases.

In what Cases.] THE particular cases in which proceedings are usually set aside for irregularity have been already noticed in the course of this Work; we shall here, however, again notice some of them, and attempt to deduce from them a few general rules.

1. Where a previous necessary Proceeding has been omitted.

1. If any necessary proceedings have been omitted by the plaintiff, his next subsequent proceeding may be set aside for irregularity. Thus, if the defendant be arrested upon bailable process, and there have been no affidavit to hold to bail, the arrest will be set aside for irregularity; that is, the defendant will be discharged upon a common appearance; or, if he have given a bail-bond, such bail-bond will be ordered to be delivered up to be cancelled (*a*). So, if plaintiff sign judgment for want of a plea, without having given a rule to plead, or demanded a plea when necessary, the court or a judge will set aside the judgment (*b*). So, if the plaintiff proceed to trial without having given notice of trial to the defendant, the court will set aside the verdict (if for plaintiff) and grant a new trial (*c*). So, if the plaintiff sign judgment upon a *cognovit*, without entering an appearance for the defendant, the court or a judge will set aside the judgment (*d*). So, where a penal statute required an affidavit to be filed before suing out process, and several actions were commenced on an affidavit, including all the defendants in the several actions, instead of a separate affidavit against each, it was held, that the affidavit was defective, that the want of an affidavit was not waived by putting in bail, and all the proceedings were set aside (*e*). So, where judgment was signed in the Queen's Bench after verdict, and a certificate for speedy execution, without a rule for judgment being given, it was set aside (*f*).

2. Where the Proceeding is too soon or too late.

2. If any necessary proceeding on the part of the plaintiff be not had within the time limited for it, or be had before the time appointed for it by the practice of the court, it may be set aside for irregularity. For instance, if the plaintiff enter an appearance for the defendant after the time limited for that purpose, the court or a judge will set aside the proceedings for irregularity (*g*). And the same, if he declare

(a) See Vol. I. 540.

(b) See *ante*, Vol. I. 157, 158.

(c) See Bul. N. P. 327: *Douglas v. Ray*, 4 T. R. 552.

(d) See *Davis v. Hughes*, 7 T. R. 206; *Watson v. Dure*, 2 M. & W. 386; *Roberts v. Spur*, 3 Dowl. 551. Judgment signed without an appearance is a nullity, and

not waived by laches. (*Id.*: *ante*, 639).

(e) *Goodwin v. t. v. Parry*, 4 T. R. 577.

(f) *Governors of the Poor of Exeter v. Strell*, 7 Dowl. 624.

(g) *Watson v. Dure*, 2 M. & W. 386; *Smith v. Painter*, 2 T. R. 719: see *Davis v. Hughes*, 7 Id. 206: *ante*, Vol. I. 122.

After the cause is out of court (*h*). So, if judgment be signed for want of a plea before the time for pleading, the rule to lead, and twenty-four hours after demand of a plea, have verally expired, and the defendant has not waived the necessity for them by pleading, &c., the court or a judge will set aside the judgment (*i*). So, if final judgment be signed before the expiration of the time limited for signing it, the court will set it aside for irregularity (*j*).

3. So, if any necessary proceeding be informal, or not done in the manner prescribed by the practice of the court, it may be set aside for irregularity. Thus, if the affidavit to hold to bail comprise two distinct causes of action which cannot be joined (*k*), or be otherwise informal or defective in any material part, (*see Vol. I. 493, 494, 495*), the court or a judge will discharge the defendant, or order the bail-bond to be delivered up to be cancelled if he have given one (*l*). So, if a judicial writ be tested (*m*) or returnable improperly, or be misdirected, (*Vol. I. 517*), or if the name of either party be omitted in it (*n*), or if the attorney's name be indorsed on it without his authority, (*see Vol. I. 51, 110*) (*o*), or if there be a material variance between the first writ and the *alias* (*p*), or if there be any other material defect in it, the court will set aside for irregularity, and order the defendant to be discharged, or the goods seized under the writ, or the produce of them, to be returned to the defendant, as the case may require. So, if the declaration be at the suit of two plaintiffs, and the writ at the suit of one; or if the writ be against one defendant, and the declaration against two (*q*); or if the writ be in a wrong name, and the plaintiff enter an appearance *x*, and declare against defendant by his right name (*r*); or if the declaration be for a cause of action different from that in the writ (*s*), the court or a judge will set aside the proceedings for irregularity (*t*). So, if, in an action against two, a recognisance of bail be drawn up as in an action against one only, the court or a judge will set aside the proceedings against the bail for irregularity (*u*).

3. Where it is informal, or not done in the manner prescribed by the Practice of the Court.

4. And, lastly, if any proceedings are had which are not warranted by the particular circumstances of the case, according to the practice of the court, or for which there is no foundation,—as, where an attachment is sued out against the sheriff, or proceedings had against the bail after the defendant has been rendered, and notice of render given to the plaintiff's attorney, or where judgment for want of a plea is signed after a plea pleaded; or where the writ of execution is not warranted for the judgment, or the like,—the court or a judge will set aside the proceedings for irregularity.

4. Where it is not warranted by the other Proceedings in the Case.

(*h*) *Wynn v. Clarke*, 5 Taunt. 649.

(*i*) *See Vol. I. 163.*

(*j*) *See Doe v. Hedges*, 4 D. & R. 393: *see*, Vol. I. 331, &c.

(*k*) *Dean and Chapter of Exeter v. Sea-
R*, 6 T. R. 688: *Crooke v. Davis*, 5 Burr.
90: *Holland v. Johnson*, Id. 697: *Hussey
Wilson*, 5 T. R. 254: *ante*, Vol. I.

4.
(*l*) Vol. I. 501.

(*m*) *Hart v. Weston*, 5 Burr. 2506.

(*n*) *Tolson v. Broome*, Andr. 14.

(*o*) *Oppenheim v. Harrison*, 1 Burr. 20.

(*p*) *Corbett v. Bates*, 3 T. R. 660.

(*q*) But not *vice versa*, if the plaintiff drop all further proceedings against the defendant omitted in the declaration. (*Evans v. Whitehead*, 2 M. & R. 367: *Bowles v. Bilton*, 2 C. & J. 174: *ante*, Vol. I. 106, 144).

(*r*) *Hinton v. Stevens*, 4 Dowl. 283, *per Littledale, J.*

(*s*) *Tummon v. Ward*, 4 Nev. & M. 876.

(*t*) Vol. I. 145.

(*u*) *Holt v. Frank*, 1 M. & Sel. 199.

It may be added, that where the proceeding adopted is prescribed by the practice of the court, and the error is in the manner of taking it, such an error is an irregularity and may be waived by the laches or subsequent acts of the opposite party; but where, as frequently occurs in cases within the first and last of the above rules, the proceeding itself is altogether unwarranted, and different from that, if any, ought to have been taken, then the proceeding is a nullity, and cannot be waived by any act of the party to whom it has been taken (*x*).

Distinction between an Irregularity and a Nullity.

How set Aside.

Affidavit for.

Rule Nisi for.

How set Aside.] Proceedings, when irregular, are set aside upon application to the court in term time, or to a judge. The motion to the court must be founded upon an affidavit stating the irregularity complained of (*g*); and if the irregularity be in any process, a copy of such process should be annexed to the affidavit. The affidavits must shew a defect for relief, and therefore, where the motion was to set aside a judgment on a *cognovit*, which contained an agreement that if there were any error in the accounts it should be set aside, and the affidavit stated that an error had been discovered, it was held to be defective for not stating what the error was, whether in amount or otherwise (*h*). The particular irregularities have been already noticed throughout the book.

If the court be satisfied from the affidavit that the proceedings are irregular, they will grant a rule *nisi* (*a*), and afterwards, if sufficient cause be not shewn against it, make the rule absolute (*b*). The rule *nisi* should state the proceeding which is complained of. Thus, where the service of the writ was irregular, but the proceedings regular, and the rule was to set aside the writ, the defendant was discharged with costs (*c*), and *vice versa* (*d*). So, where the rule was to set aside a judgment for irregularity, an objection was, that it was signed against good faith, and it was held that the applicant was bound by the form of the rule, and accordingly discharged it, but without costs (*e*). In the Common Pleas there is a rule of *M. T.*, 10 *G.* 4 (*f*), and a former one in the Queen's Bench of *T. T.*, 42 *G.* 3 (*g*). In future, where a rule to shew cause is obtained for the purpose of setting aside an annuity or annuities, the objections thereto intended to be insisted upon by the defendant at the time of making such rule absolute, shall be taken before the said rule to shew cause. And the same in a rule to set aside an award (*h*). And this rule has been adopted in practice in the Exchequer (*i*).

(x) See *Smith v. Sandye*, 5 Nev. & M. 60: *Roberts v. Spur*, 3 Dowl. 551: *Hanson v. Shackleton*, 4 Dowl. 48: and *post*, 1046, 1048.

(y) See Chit. Forms, 604.

(2) *Preddy v. Lovell*, 4 Dowl. 671.

(a) See the form, Chit. Forms, 604.

(b) See the forms of notice of motion to set aside proceedings for irregularity, Chit. Forms, 603, 604; and of notice to

sheriff to retain money levied.

(c) *Haggitt v. Perkins*, 1 Bl

(d) *Edwards v. Davis*, 4

Hester v. Jermolov, 1 C. & I

(c) Smith v. Clarke, 2 Dow
10 8 Bing 245, 246

(g) 2 East, 800.

(h) 6 Bing. 347: R. E., 2 G.
Price, 57.

(i) 11 Price, 57.

Stay of Motion and Stay of Proceedings.] Previous to CHAP. XVII.
 ing, a notice of the intended motion should be given to Notice of Mo-
 opposite party, in order to obtain a rule with a stay of tion.
 ceedings in the Common Pleas(*j*) and Exchequer(*k*), but
 was not so in the Queen's Bench(*l*). In the Exchequer,
 e days' notice is requisite for such stay (*m*).

le rule nisi, when it states that "all proceedings shall Stay of Pro-
 e the meantime, stayed," suspends the proceedings for all ceedings.
 cess, until the rule be discharged(*n*); and therefore the
 for putting in bail, for pleading, or the like, remains
 same after the rule is discharged as it was when it was
 ed(*n*); and pending the rule the plaintiff cannot even
 an assignment of the bail-bond(*n*). Nor can he move to
 ge another rule in the cause(*o*). A distinction has been
 between such rules obtained by a plaintiff and by a
 dant; if obtained by a *plaintiff*, the defendant is allowed
 me time, after the rule is disposed of, to take the next
 hat he had when the rule nisi was served upon him; but
 rule were obtained by the *defendant*, then he must
 he next step on the same day the rule is disposed of
 charged) at his peril; but he is allowed the whole of
 lay so to do. He will not, however, be bound to do so,
 rule nisi expressly provide (as it sometimes does) that
 fendant shall have the same time to take the next step,
 he rule is disposed of, as he had at the time he obtained

The defendant ought, therefore, (if the rule do not
 this express provision), pending the rule, to take all
 dings essential to be completed by the time the rule will
 osed of(*q*).

What Time the Application must be made, and when waived.] In what Tim
 le of all the courts of *H. T.*, 2 *W.* 4, r. 33, "No applica- the Applica-
 set aside process or proceedings for irregularity shall be tion must be
 d unless made within a *reasonable time*, nor if the party made, and
 ng has taken a fresh step after knowledge of the irregular when waived
 . And this rule, it seems, applies as well to the case of
 nder as to other persons(*s*). It applies to the party's
 cts only, and not to acts done by the opposite party for
). Where there is an irregularity in a proceeding had
 ation, and there is time in the course of that vacation
 ly to a judge at chambers, it is in most cases imperative
 e party complaining to do so; and he cannot wait to
 to set aside the proceedings till the next term, though
 have been no intermediate steps taken(*u*). If either

Wheeler v. Brown, 1 Hodges, 27.
Hannah v. Wyman, 3 Dowl.
Wheeler v. Wheeler, 3 Dowl. 431.
Regan v. Regan, 2 Dowl. 585:
Fortescue v. Jones, 1 Id. 524.
Hannah v. Wyman, 3 Dowl.

Cramond v. Cramond, 4 T. R. 176.
Frebble v. Frebble, 5 Dowl. 268.
Walden v. Walden, 5 B. & C. 771:
Byam v. Byam, 4 Id. 970; 7 D. &
 S. C.: *Fernon v. Hodgins*, 1 M. &

Hawkins v. Byam, 4 B. & C.
). & R. 468, S. C.
 though, by this rule, no act of a
 n be deemed a waiver, unless it
 e done with a knowledge of the

irregularity, still it rests upon the party
 complaining of the irregularity to shew
 that he had no knowledge of it. (*Ander-*
don v. Alexander, 2 Dowl. 267: *Esdaile v.*
Davis, 6 Dowl. 465. See a collection of
 cases decided since this rule in Tidd, New
 Pract. 261).

(s) *Primrose v. Baddeley*, 2 Dowl. 350;
 2 C. & M. 468; 4 Tyr. 370, S. C.: *Fife v.*
Bruere, 4 Dowl. 329: *Furnes v. Stokes*, 4
 Dowl. 125: but see *Rook v. Johnson*, 4
 Dowl. 405; 1 T. & G. 43, S. C.: *Taylor v.*
Slater, 2 Scott, 839.

(t) *Per Parke, B.*, *Chalkley v. Carter*,
 4 Dowl. 480: *Davies v. Sherlock*, 7 Dowl.
 530.

(u) *Cox v. Tullock*, 2 Dowl. 47; 1 C. &
 M. 531, S. C.: and see *Hinton v. Stevens*,

1046

BOOK 104
PART 1.

party b
apply t
the ju
necess
of the
to the
upon
mad
sho
jud
die

Instances of
the Effect of
R. H., & W. 4. b
r. 31, as to r
the Time of l
making the
Application.
and how Irre-
gularity may
be waived.

side Proceedings for Irregularity.

ives any irregularity in the process(*k*); so CHAP.
 saying the debt and part of the costs(*l*), or
 ting the debt after service, and requesting
 m). In general, however, a defendant's
 oes not of itself waive an irregularity in the
 ceeding(*n*). An irregularity in an *appearance*
 he defendant must be taken advantage of
 by default(*o*). An irregularity in the *notice*
 eral, waived by obtaining time to inquire
 An irregularity in the notice of, or in de-
 ration, must be taken advantage of before
 ing has expired(*q*), and, at all events, before
 re taking out a summons to stay proceedings
 (*r*); and a variance between a notice of
 he writ must be taken advantage of within
 e time of the service of the notice, whether
 ion(*s*); or perhaps, if the defendant were
 days' time to plead, then within eight days.
 however, that it is not too late on the 25th
 e of an irregularity in declaring too soon,
 d on the seventh(*t*). It may be observed,
 elaration out of the office, or obtaining time
 s not waive any irregularity as to the declara-
 but taking the declaration out of the office
 rity in its having been filed conditionally(*x*).
 ration was irregularly delivered at the same
 t particulars, and an order was obtained for
 , it was held, that the defendant's not re-
 ration was a waiver of the irregularity(*y*).
 be a distinction in this respect between notice
 l, and delivery of declaration. If the declara-
 the notice of declaration filed, be wrong, then
 lies; if the notice be right, and the declaration
 en, if the declaration be not taken out of the
 tion may, it seems, be made even after judg-
 The notice for making the application to set
 tory judgment for irregularity, begins to run
 , notice was received of judgment being signed,
 t cannot as of course delay the application
 npute is served(*a*). A motion to set aside an
 gment for irregularity, after notice of inquiry
 ember for the 12th, was held to be too late

rd, 1 M. & R. 320.
 l Price, 122.

, 1 Bing. 132.

lams v. Strahan, 1

nl 586.

Hanny, 5 Dowl.
 Dowl. 218: *Patre*
 Rep. 391: *Minster*

7.
 B. & P. 342.

, 4 Dowl. 283: see
 , 2 Har. & W. 94.

claration is deli-
 that on which it
 the rule of H. T.,
 rity is waived by
 to the court from

the 26th October to the 9th November.
 (*Newnham v. Hanny*, 5 Dowl. 259).

(*t*) *Fish v. Palmer*, 2 Dowl. 460: and
 see *Smith v. Pennell*, 2 Dowl. 654; *see*
quere? It has been held, that, if the no-
 tice of declaration be served before process
 served, the defendant must apply, before
 judgment to set it aside. (*M'Quolck v.*
Davis, 2 Chit. Rep. 164).

(*u*) *Chapman v. Eland*, 2 New Rep. 83:
Res v. Horne, 4 T. R. 349.

(*x*) *Gilbert v. Kirkland*, 1 Dowl. 153:
 and see *Archer v. Barnes*, 3 East, 342.

(*y*) *Aspinall v. Smith*, 8 Taunt. 592; 2
 Moore, 655, S. C.

(*z*) See *per Littledale, J.*, 5 Dowl. 263.

(*a*) *Grant v. Flower*, 5 Dowl. 419: see
Roberts v. Cuttill, 4 Dowl. 204.

regularity to shew that he had no knowledge CHAP. XVII.
indeed, it would seem to follow from one case, No Waiver
where the
proceeding is
a Nullity.
where the irregularity is apparent on the face of
the applicant is bound to come promptly after
proceeding, and not merely after he knows of
itself (u).

It has been said, however, as to the time of making
an application to set aside proceedings for irregularity, must
be of proceedings which are merely *irregular*;
if they be completely *defective* and *void*, or, in
other words, if they be a *nullity*, the defect is not waived by any delay
in the subsequent proceeding of the opposite party (v).

A summons bearing date on a Sunday is a
nullity and cannot be waived (x). So is a notice of declara-
tion on a Sunday (y). So is service of a writ on a
Sunday (z). So is an interlocutory judgment signed without
a seal (a). And so an affidavit taken before a
person not a competent authority to take it, is a nullity,
and cannot, it seems, be waived (b). Where
an order is taken in execution on a judgment, which
has been revived by *scire facias*, but was not so,
the order is a nullity, and even the delay of twelve years and more
cannot cure the defect (c). So, where the maker
of a note were holden to bail in one affidavit,
and the order were holden not to be waived by putting in bail (d).
A defendant pleaded in abatement without a proper
plea, and judgment of *nonpros* for not replying
was entered, and the judgment was irregular and not
a nullity, the plaintiff's paying the costs of the judgment (e).
A plea of *set-off* delivered after a plea
of *nullity*, is no waiver of the plaintiff's right to
a judgment (f).

It is observed, as a general rule, that waiver is
not available after an irregularity committed, where the
irregularity has not been corrected before such act done (g).

[*applying in Time.*] If there be any peculiar Excuse for not
excuse the lateness of the application, they applying in
Time.
established by the party applying (h). And
if there be a previous application at chambers, the rule
will not apply on reading the summons and order, or
on the fact (i). Delay occasioned by changing the

under, 2 Dowl. 267: (c) *Mortimer v. Piggott*, 2 Dowl. 615;
Dowl. 726: *Edwards* but this decision seems questionable. (See
ante. 819, n. (f)).
, 6 Dowl. 465. (d) *Hussey v. Wilson*, 5 T. R. 264:
Piggott, 2 Dowl. ante, Vol. I. 494.
3 East, 155: Or- (e) *Garratt v. Hooper*, 1 Dowl. 28: ante,
t. Rep. 400: *Anon.*, 655.
3 Dowl. 551: (f) *Ford v. Bernard*, 6 Bing. 534.
6 B. & C. 76; 9 (g) *Per cur.*, *Stevenson v. Danvers*, 2 B.
& P. 110.
Hutton, 4 Dowl. 48; (h) See *Anderson v. Alexander*, 2 Dowl.
267: *Herbert v. Darby*, 4 Dowl. 726: *Or-*
ton v. France, 4 Dowl. 598: *Edwards v.*
Davis, 6 Dowl. 465.
son, 1 H. Bl. 628. (i) *Sugars v. Concanen*, 5 M. & W. 30;
3 Dowl. 551: but 7 Dowl. 391, S. C., *nom.* *Shugars v. Con-*
n, 1 N. R. 309. *canen*.
Wesson, 2 Bing. N. C.
Dowl. 324, S. C.

Setting aside Proceedings for Irregularity.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

attorney has been held insufficient(*k*). So has the firm a witness, whose affidavit was necessary to support the declaration, as a commissioner might have been sent for(*l*).

Confessing
Irregularity.

Confessing Irregularity.] If the party who has caused the irregularity be satisfied that he has no sufficient cause to shew against the rule, he may save some expense by giving the opposite party with a notice, acknowledging the defect, and desiring him not to proceed to make the rule absolute, by offering to pay the costs already incurred(*m*); or, if he perceives the defect before the other party has moved for a rule to set aside the proceeding, he may prevent all expense by giving a similar notice(*n*). And where, after service of the rule to set aside a declaration irregularly delivered, the plaintiff's attorney offered to pay the costs, which the defendant refused, the court made the rule absolute, on the terms that the defendant should pay all the costs subsequent to the offering of the notice, and where the plaintiff signed an irregular judgment, the defendant taking out a summons to set it aside, the court informed the defendant that the judgment was withdrawn, and was held, that the defendant had no right to get a new judgment drawn up for setting aside the judgment, and that, if he was liable to pay the expense of it(*p*). An affidavit in support of a motion to set aside an appearance irregularly entered by a plaintiff after notice that process was abated need only deny the *service* of any subsequent writ(*q*).

Costs, &c.

Costs, &c.] In the case of a rule for setting aside proceedings for irregularity, if it be made *absolute*, it is granted with costs, unless some strong grounds be shewn to the contrary for ordering it otherwise; so, if *discharged*, it is understood to be discharged without costs, unless the court give special directions to the contrary(*r*). But if the rule *nisi* for setting aside the proceedings be *not moved with costs*, and the rule be made absolute, no cause being shewn, it must be made absolute in the terms in which it was moved, *viz.* without costs. On the other hand, where the rule *nisi* is moved with costs, if it be discharged, it will, almost universally, be so with costs. The costs of an application to set aside a judgment for irregularity, which was granted without costs, cannot be increased by way of aggravation of damages, in an action of trespass for seizing goods under colour of such judgment(*u*). In

(*k*) *Golding v. Scarborough*, 2 H. & W. 94.

(*l*) *Orton v. France*, 4 Dowl. 508.

(*m*) See form of notice, Chit. Forms, 605.

(*n*) See form of notice not to appear to process, Chit. Forms, 605. See Imp. K. B. 494, n.; and the case of an irregular judgment, *ante*, 704.

(*o*) *Briscoe v. Beckett*, 4 M. & R. 100; and see *Halton v. Stocking*, 2 C. & J. 60; 2 Tyr. 165; 1 Dowl. 296, S. C., where the attorney was made to pay the subsequent costs.

(*p*) *Hargrave v. Holden*, 3 Dowl. 176; see *Clarke v. Crookford*, Id. 693; *Robinson v. Stoddart*, 5 Dowl. 266.

(*q*) *Windle v. Hogg*, 7 Dowl. 623; see *Giles v. Hemming*, 6 Dowl. 623.

(*r*) R. M., 37 G. 3, Q. B., 103. There seems to be no similar case in the Exch. (*Anon.*, 1 Chit. Rep. 103, title "Motions and Rules," where the rule is discharged for a failure to file the affidavit, the court making no mention as to the costs, and the rule without costs. (*Hewes*, 4 Dowl. 608).

(*s*) *Per cur.*, 37 G. 3, K. B. 524: *Rex v. Sheriff of Middlesex*, 2 Dowl. 5.

(*t*) *Tilley v. Hawley*, 1 Ch. Rep. 103; and see *Huggett v. Parkin*, 10 Moore, 359, S. C.: *post*, title "Motions and Rules."

(*u*) *Loton v. Desobry*, 10 Dowl. 103.

aside proceedings, a judge at chambers may, CHAP. XVII.
 its(*x*), though the practice as to his giving
 and certain(*y*). If he refuses to give costs,
 rty must not afterwards apply to the court
 to the mode of enforcing the payment of
 nt, see *post*, *Book IV. Part III.*

a judgment and execution for irregularity, Term of
bringing no
Action.
 e, 705) that the court or judge, in general, re-
 ant from bringing any action(*a*), where the
 the costs of the application, &c. If, how-
 bringing no action be not imposed by the
 of disposing of the rule for setting aside the
 ings, the successful party cannot at a subse-
 strained from bringing an action in respect
 (*b*).

ss. 1 Dowl. 274; give them.
 Bing. 104, 3 C.;
 B. & Ad. 415; 1
 v. Todd, 1 Dowl.
 still doubt their
 give costs, on an
 , and refuse to

(*y*) See *Davy v. Brown*, 1 Scott, 384.
 (*s*) See *Davy v. Brown*, 1 Scott, 384.
 (*a*) See *Pritchard v. Bovey*, 1 C. & M.
 775; *Loten v. Devereux*, 3 B. & Ad. 342.
 (*b*) *Abbutt v. Greenwood*, 7 Dowl. 534.

CHAPTER XVIII.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

JUDGMENT OF NONPROS.

What.

JUDGMENT of *nonpros* is a final judgment for a signed by the defendant, whenever the plaintiff, in of the cause, neglects to prosecute his suit, or p within the time limited by the rules of the court purpose.

For not De-
claring.

For not Declaring.] We have already noticed (a 137) the time within which the plaintiff must do that if the plaintiff do not declare within such time, such further time to declare as he may obtain of the a judge, the defendant may, at the expiration of next after a *written demand* of declaration served plaintiff, his attorney, or agent, as the case may be, ment of *nonpros*(a). The demand cannot be made the time in which the plaintiff is bound to declare such a demand has been made, and time to declare the defendant may sign judgment of *nonpros* with demand of declaration(c). But judgment of *nonpros* be signed after a declaration, &c., has been actually or tendered, although the time has expired(d); delivery of the declaration, &c., be a fraud on the *Ariel v. Barrow*(e), where the plaintiff delivered a after having obtained a rule to discontinue on p costs, and the court refused to set aside a judgment signed after delivery of declaration.

Where there
are several
Defendants.

If the action be against *several* defendants, the pl be *nonprossed* by any one, if all have appeared; have not appeared, then those, or any one of those appeared cannot *nonpros* the plaintiff(f), even i unless the plaintiff have actually declared against them, or have taken out a rule for time to declare some of them, in which case the others may sign j *nonpros*(g). Also, where several defendants are

(a) This four days' demand is required by the R. T., 1 W. 4, r. 8, by which it is ordered, "That no judgment of *nonpros* shall be signed for want of a declaration, replication, or other subsequent pleading, until four days next after a demand thereof shall have been made, in writing, upon the plaintiff, his attorney, or agent, as the case may be." (See the former practice, Tidd's New Pract. 225). That rule, as far as it related to a demand of replication and subsequent pleadings, is altered by the R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 1, s. 54, ante, Vol. I. 195, which makes a service of a rule to reply or plead a subsequent pleading a sufficient demand of such replication or subsequent pleading. By R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 1, s. 38, it shall not be

necessary for a defendant to give a rule to declare removal from inferior court form of the judgment, Ch

(b) *Harris v. Duncan*, 1 D. at chambers, Feb. 1837.

(c) *Wells v. Hare*, 1 D. v. Grant, 5 Dowl. 153.

(d) *Gray v. Pennell*, 1 I

(e) *Ariel v. Barrow*, 8 B

(f) *Philpott v. Muller*, 1

Palmer v. Flossel, 2 Dow

Wood v. Massey, 5 D. &

C. 553, S. C.; *Jones v. Gil*

768; 8 D. & R. 592, S. C.;

lan, 5 B. & C. 178; 7 D.

(g) *Ree v. Cock*, 2 T. R

Upton, Id. 250, n.

judgment of *nonpros*, they can sign but one judgment, though they have appeared severally by separate attorneys (*h*). Judgment should be a general judgment against plaintiff, except in the cases above mentioned.

proceedings to outlawry, if the defendant be taken, or voluntarily on the *exigi facias*, the plaintiff must declare against him within the usual time limited upon proceedings by summons; otherwise the defendant may, four days after a declaration has been demanded in writing, sign judgment of *nonpros* (*k*). But if the defendant be outlawed, afterwards come in and reverse the outlawry, although plaintiff must declare against him (if at all) within two days after the reversal, yet the defendant cannot sign judgment of *nonpros*, if the plaintiff fail to do so (*l*).

After Outlawry.

If the plaintiff in replevin do not declare before four days have expired after the service of the rule to declare, and a writ of second deliverance, within the time limited for that purpose, the defendant may sign judgment of *nonpros* (*n*).

In Replevin.

Where a cause is removed from an inferior court by writ of *habeas corpus cum causa*, the plaintiff (if he declare at all in the court above) must declare before the end of the term next after that in which bail is put in; but after a removal by the defendant judgment of *nonpros* cannot be signed if he fail to do so (*o*); otherwise after a removal by the plaintiff (*p*).

After Removal from inferior Court.

Before the defendant can sign judgment of *nonpros* for not declaring, he must have appeared to the action (*q*), and, if he allows the plaintiff to appear for him according to the statute, he cannot *nonpros* him (*r*). Since the 2 W. 4, c. 39, and 2 V. c. 110, it would seem that the time for demanding *nonpros* will be regulated in all cases by the appearance to the writ of summons; and the defendant may, it seems, enter an appearance, so as to entitle him to demand a declaration or *nonpros*, at any time before the plaintiff has entered an appearance for him, and while the cause is in court (*s*). Where it appeared that the defendant, on entering an appearance to the writ of summons, had made a mistake in the names of the parties, and notice being given to him of the fact by the plaintiff, he promised to amend, but instead of doing so entered a new appearance, and then demanded a declaration, and the plaintiff not declaring till the following term, he signed judgment of *nonpros*, the court was of opinion

When Defendant may sign.

(h) *Price v. Foulkes*, 4 Burr. 2418; 1 Cowp. 74; *Alington v. Vacator*, 2 Salk. 55.

(i) See *James v. Gibson*, 5 B. & C. 710; 1 D. & R. 592, S. C.

(k) See form of such judgment, Chit. Forms, 567.

(l) See *ante*, 834.

(m) See as to this judgment, *ante*, 798; see Chit. Forms, 438 to 442. The R. H., W. 4, r. 1, s. 38, requires the rule to declare in all cases of removals from inferior courts (*ante*, 1052, n. (a)).

(n) See *ante*, 799, 810.

(o) *Ante*, 949; *Clark v. Mayor of Berkeley*, 4 B. & C. 649.

(p) *Ante*, 949.

(q) See *Hall v. Champneys*, 4 Dowl. 713; 1 Tyr. & G. 496, S. C.

(r) The judgment of *nonpros* is founded on the 13 Car. 2, st. 2, c. 2, s. 3, from the wording of which, it would appear that to sign judgment of *nonpros* for not declaring an appearance, must have been entered "for the defendant by attorney, in the term wherein the process is returnable." At that time an appearance could not be entered by the plaintiff for the defendant, the 12 Geo. 1, c. 29, being the first statute allowing it.

(s) See *Price's Pract.* 283, and see the former practice: *Primrose v. Bradley*, 6 East, 314.

judgment of *nonpross*, unless the replication, &c., has been delivered or tendered before signing judgment (even where the plea concludes to the country, if the defendant is ruled to reply, he must, it seems, actually do *similiter* within the time limited by the rule, otherwise the defendant may sign a *nonpross* (x). Service of a rule or to plead any subsequent pleading, is a sufficient de replication or such other subsequent pleading, and a separate demand is not requisite (x).

May be signed
as in Part of
the Suit.

It may be observed, that a judgment of *nonpross* may be signed to any part of the suit which is not prosecuted. Thus, if the declaration contain two counts, and the defendant plead *non assumpsit* to the first count, and the Statute of Limitations to the second, and the plaintiff reply to the Statute of Limitations, but omit adding thereto the plea of *non assumpsit*, defendant ruling the plaintiff's reply, and waiting four days after it, might sign a judgment of *nonpross* to the first count. But in such or in any case where the plaintiff has so replied, &c., so as to leave the defence answered, the defendant could not sign a judgment of *nonpross* as to the whole action, but only as to part of it as remains not prosecuted by the plaintiff. Thus, if the defendant pleaded by mistake the general issue instead of four counts, and plaintiff replied, and the defendant amended his plea by extending it to the fourth count, and the plaintiff not having replied to the amended plea, the court ruled so to do, defendant signed judgment of *nonpross* to the whole action—it was held that this was irregular (y). Where defendant pleaded payment into court to the declaration, and other pleas to all except the sum paid, and the plaintiff replied to the plea of payment into court that he accepted the sum paid in and was satisfied, it was held that the defendant could not sign judgment of *nonpross* to the whole action, but only as to the other pleas (z). But a

judgment of *nonpros* on the unanswered pleas(*a*). As to a *CHAP. XVIII.*
nonpros by one of several defendants, see *ante*, 1052.

In replevin, after the defendant has avowed, he may rule In Replevin.
the plaintiff to plead, in the same manner as he is ruled to re-
ly in other actions; and if the plaintiff neglect to plead within
the time limited by the rule, the defendant may sign judgment
of *nonpros* (*b*).

As to *nonprossing* the plaintiff in ejectment, see *ante*, 751, In Ejectment.
52.

If the plea be a *nullity* and not merely *irregular*, it seems Where Pleas a
that no judgment of *nonpros* could be regularly signed for not Nullity.
replying to it (*c*).

For not entering the Issue.] Formerly, if the plaintiff did For not enter-
not bring in the record before the expiration of the rule to ing the Issue.
enter the issue, the defendant might have signed judgment of
nonpros; but now there is no occasion to enter the issue, and
no rule for that purpose, and, consequently, no judgment can
be signed against plaintiff for not entering it (*d*).

In Error.] As to when the defendant in error may sign In Error.
judgment of *nonpros* for not transcribing the record, see *ante*,
Vol. I. 368 (*e*).

As to when the defendant in error may sign judgment of
nonpros for not assigning error, &c., see *Vol. I.* 369 (*f*).

In other Cases.] On a rule to discontinue after plea pleaded, In other Cases.
such rule containing an undertaking by plaintiff to pay the
costs, and a consent, that, if they are not paid within four
days after taxation, the defendant shall be at liberty to sign
judgment of *nonpros*; in such case, if the plaintiff do not pay
the costs within that time, defendant may have a judgment of
nonpros accordingly (*g*). A judgment of *nonpros* cannot be
signed when the proceedings have been stayed by a general
order for particulars (*ante*, 1032). Where the plaintiff's pro-
ceedings in a second action are stayed until he have paid the
costs of a former action, the court will not allow the defend-
ant to *nonpros* the second action, for non-payment of these
costs (*h*). Where the tenant in a writ of right, not being able
to discover who the demandant was, obtained a judge's order,
directing the attorney to deliver to the tenant's attorney the
true name and address of his client, the court refused to allow
the tenant to sign judgment of *nonpros* for disobedience of
this order. It seems that the proper course in such a case
would be, to make the judge's order a rule of court, and then
move for an attachment against the attorney (*i*).

How Signed.] If an appearance has not been already entered How Signed.
by the defendant, *enter it as usual* (*k*). *Make an incipitur on*

(a) *Topham v. Kidmore*, 5 Dowl. 676,
even where the plea is irregular in form.
(*Exonatt v. Standen*, 3 M. & W. 497; 6
Dowl. 511, S. C.)

(b) See as to this judgment, *ante*, 804,
805: see Chit. Forms, 431 to 442.

(c) *Garrett v. Huoper*, 1 Dowl. 28.

(d) See *Hodges v. Diley*, 7 Dowl. 555.

(e) And see Chit. Forms, 114.

(f) *Id.*

(g) R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 106: see *post*,
1054.

(h) *Doe Sutton v. Ridgway*, 5 B. &
Ald. 521.

(i) *Dumodny v. Hughes*, 2 Scott, 377.

(k) See *ante*, Vol. I. 121.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

a roll of the day of the declaration, if any; or if no day then of the day judgment is to be signed, and also on a paper (l): or, if in the Common Pleas or Exchequer the judgment upon plain paper. Take them to the man will sign the judgment. Get him to tax the costs, and mark the same upon the judgment paper. After judgment and costs taxed, you may proceed to sue out execution.

Also, in error, judgment of nonpros is signed as directed.

In what Cases
set Aside.

When regular.

In what Cases set Aside.] If the judgment be regular discretionary with the court to set it aside, upon an that there is a good cause of action on the merits there is a present cause of action (m), and upon the costs, in order to let in a trial of the merits. They refused to set it aside in an action by a common informer.

When irregular.

But if the judgment be irregular, the court will in set it aside with costs; and if an action or other proceeding be had upon such a judgment, one rule is all that is in order to set aside such proceedings, as well as the judgment (o).

Costs and
Execution,
&c.

Costs and Execution, &c.] The defendant is entitled in all cases, (23 H. 8, c. 15: 8 El. c. 2: 18 C. 2, s. 4 J. 1, c. 4) (p), even in an action by a common informer excepting upon a nonpros for not transcribing, in which We have already seen (ante, 751—753) when the defendant's ejectment is entitled to the costs of a nonpros. If the costs the defendant may either sue out execution or *fiery facias* (s), or he may proceed by debt on judgment, in which he would have a right to his costs, standing the 43 G. 3, c. 46, s. 4 (t). Under the execution cannot levy more than the sum recovered by the judgment; consequently, the sheriff's poundage or fees, or other of the execution, cannot be levied (u).

Proceedings
after it.

Proceedings after it.] After being nonprosessed, the plaintiff may commence a new action against the defendant in the same cause; and he may, as in other cases, obtain a writ to hold the defendant to bail, if the action be bailable. If the defendant be about to leave England, unless forthwith apprehended (x).

(l) See the forms referred to in preceding pages, ante, 1053, 1055.

(m) *Cortessos v. Hume*, 2 Dowl. 134.

(n) *Bennett v. Smith*, 1 Burr. 401.

(o) *Barlow v. Kaye*, 4 T. R. 638: see *Kibblewhite v. Jeffrye*, 1 Chit. 142.

(p) *Davies v. James*, 1 T. R. 373. The plaintiff was liable even before the 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, s. 31, (ante, 876), although he sued as executor. (*Hawes v. Saunders*, 3 Burr. 1585: *Higgs v. Warry*, 6 T. R. 654). But he was not so liable before that act (sect. 32), and R. H., 4, W. 4, r. 15, on a judgment of nonpros obtained by reason of the plaintiff's having omitted to enter the issue on record, after joinder in de-

murrer to a plea in abatement *v. Bate*, 8 B. & C. 642; 3 M. ante, 656.)

(q) 18 El. c. 5: *Lane v. W* 177.

(r) *Salt v. Richards*, 7 E College of Physicians v. Han C. 525: ante, Vol. I. 372.

(s) *Murray v. Wilson*, 1 V the form, Chit. Forms, 610.

(t) *Bennett v. Neale*, 14 E

(u) *Baker v. Spier*, 7 Anon., 2 Chit. Rep. 363.

(x) *Turton v. Hayes*, 1 B 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 3.

CHAPTER XIX.

DISCONTINUANCE.

CHAP. XIX.

st, &c.] IT is unnecessary, in a work of this nature, to What, &c.
 particularly of the subject of discontinuance; it is suffi-
 cient to know that it never can be the subject of objection
ex placito (a), and that, after verdict, it is cured by the
 assize of Jeofails, 32 H. 8, c. 30 (b).

Continuance.
 [Discontinuance.] Formerly, after declaration, and before issue
 joined, the proceedings were continued by imparlance; (see
 802; Vol. I. 155) (c); after issue joined and before ver-
 dict, by *vicecomes non misit breve*; after demurrer, and before
 judgment, by *curia advisari vult*; after issue joined upon nul-
 tior record, by *curia advisari vult*, &c.; after verdict and before
 judgment, in actions tried at the assizes, and in cases of special
 verdicts, by *curia advisari vult*; after joinder in error and
 judgment, also by *curia advisari vult* (d). But now,
 by rule of all the courts of H. T., 4 W. 4, r. 2, "no entry of
 continuances by way of imparlance, *curia advisari vult*, *vice-*
comes non misit breve, or otherwise, shall be made upon any
 record or roll whatever, or in the pleadings, except the *jurata*
factum in respectu, which is to be retained. Provided that
 this regulation shall not alter or affect any existing rules of
 practice as to the times of proceeding in the cause" (e). It has
 not been doubted, whether this rule abolishes imparlances in pro-
 ceedings by *scire facias* (f), or in actions not commenced by
 process prescribed by the Uniformity of Process Act (g).

Rule to Discontinue.] If the plaintiff find that he has mis- Rule to
 conceived his action, or that for some defect in the pleadings, continue
 or other reason, he will not be able to maintain it, he may
 obtain a rule for leave to discontinue. This indulgence, how-
 ever, is granted only to plaintiffs; even an avowant in re-
 vision cannot have it (h). The terms upon which a party is
 allowed to discontinue are in the discretion of the court. It is
 granted always upon payment of costs (i). Where the de-
 fendant is a justice of peace, and in some other actions against
 public officers and others, if the plaintiff discontinue, it must
 be upon payment of double costs (j).

(a) *Becher v. Shirley*, Cro. Jac. 211.

(b) See as to continuances of process, 1923. See *Humble v. Bland*, 6 T. R.

Wynn v. Wynn, 1 Wils. 40; *Richards v. Wynn*, 1 Doug. 115.

(c) *Chit. Forms*, 368.

(d) See *Curteis v. Parday*, 1 Salk. 179; *Curteis v. Wood*, 2 Wils. 203; see *Doe v. Dorman*, 7 T. R. 618.

(e) By the prior rule of H. T., 2 W. 4, r. 5, the entry of continuances after verdict by default, and before execu-

tion of writ of inquiry, was rendered unnecessary, which was otherwise in the Queen's Bench, before that rule.

(f) *Doe Phillips v. Roe*, M. 1839; B. C., 3 Jurist, 1836.

(g) *Ante*, 802.

(h) *Long v. Buckridge*, 1 Str. 112.

(i) See 8 Eliz. c. 2, s. 2, Comb. 281.

(j) And see as to actions against officers of excise and customs, and other officers, *ante*, 910, 911; see *Devenish v. Martins*, 2 Stra. 974; *ante*, 914.

BOOK IV.
PART I.How, and
when ob-
tained.

How and when Obtained.] This rule may be had after the commencement of the action, and before of inquiry. The court may grant the rule after new trial, upon the terms of plaintiff's paying the trial(j). They may also grant it, as a matter of favour, even after a special verdict(k); but they will not do so in a hard action(l), or to give the plaintiff an opportunity to adduce fresh proof in contradiction to the verdict. They will not grant it after a general verdict, nor a writ of inquiry executed and returned(o), unless at the defendant's consent. The court, however, may grant it to the plaintiff to discontinue upon payment of costs after the action has been argued and allowed, where there was a mistake in the plaintiff's pleading(p); and the court frequently grant it to the defendant to leave to amend upon payment of costs(q).

Motion and
Rule for.

Before argument on demurrer, verdict, or a writ of inquiry, *this is a mere side-bar rule, and a matter of course from the master(r). In other cases it is obtained upon application to the court, and is binding upon the parties, in which you must afterwards proceed to make absolute.* Formerly, in the Common Pleas, a rule to discontinue was obtained after plea pleaded, the attorney or agent must have consented to a rule in chambers in term time, or before a judge in vacation; and there must have been a rule to shew cause. But 2 W. 4, r. 106, of all the courts, "To entitle a plaintiff to a rule to discontinue after a plea pleaded, it shall not be necessary to obtain the defendant's consent, but the rule shall be granted upon an undertaking(s) on the part of the plaintiff to pay the costs, and a consent, that, if they are not paid within a certain time, the defendant shall be at liberty to sign a rule to shew cause, and as soon as you have obtained the side-bar rule, or taken it to the master, and get an appointment on it, you shall serve a copy of the rule and appointment on the defendant's attorney or agent; and attend at the time appointed, when the master will tax the costs.

What Costs
payable.

Upon the question as to what costs will be allowed, it has been recently decided that the defendant is not entitled to any costs in any circumstances, to the costs of the draft of a rule to discontinue, where the plaintiff discontinues without notice of trial(t).

Consequence
of not paying
Costs.

These costs should be paid forthwith; for, if the action is not discontinued, and the plaintiff may proceed therein as usual(u). And where the plaintiff, instead of paying costs, went on and obtained a verdict, and the defendant refused to set aside the verdict, and order a rule to be entered(x). But if the rule be obtained

(j) *Sweeting v. Halae*, 9 B. & C. 369: and see *Jackson v. Hallam*, 2 B. & Ald. 317; 1 Chit. Rep. 19, S. C.

(k) *Price v. Parker*, 1 Salk. 178: *Good-enough v. Butler*, 3 Dowl. 751.

(l) *Roucher v. Lawson*, Hardw. 200, 201.

(m) *Roe v. Gray*, 2 W. Bl. 815.

(n) *Price v. Parker*, 1 Salk. 178: *Good-enough v. Butler*, 3 Dowl. 751.

(o) *Stephens v. Etherick*, Carth. 86; 1 Show. 63, S. C.

(p) *Red v. Burnis*, 2 Lev. 124: *Ent v. Withens*, Id. 209; 1 Saund. 23: *Pugh v. Robins*, 1 T. R. 116; 1 Saund. 39: but

see *Turner v. Turner*,

(q) 2 Saund. 73, n. 1.

(r) See the forms, C.

(s) See form of rule Chit. Forms, 612.

(t) *Dee Pustlewaite v. 732*; 6 Dowl. 166, S. C.

(u) *Molling v. Buck* 153: *Edgington v. P* 132. MS., T. 1814: *W* 6 T. R. 765: see *White*

& Ald. 905; 1 D. & R. (x) *Edgington v. P* 152.

ided, and contain, as it should do, the plaintiff's consent, t if they are not paid within four days after taxation, the ndant shall be at liberty to *sign judgment of nonpros* (y), n if they be not so paid, the defendant may sign such gment as of course (z). But the defendant would not be tled to judgment as in case of a nonsuit (a). Nor is the ntiff, it seems, liable to an attachment for the non-payment hese costs (b).

a some rare cases the plaintiff will be allowed to discon- e without payment of costs (c). And sometimes by con- the rule to discontinue is drawn up without costs.

Then the costs are paid, but not before, the defendant may, motion or summons and order, compel the plaintiff to r the judgment of discontinuance and carry in the judg- t roll.

Compelling Plaintiff to enter Discontin- uance.

When Discharged.] It is in the power and discretion of the or a judge to discharge the rule to discontinue. Where, ase before the 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, a plaintiff, merely be- he did not like the bail in the first action, discontinued, eld the defendant again to bail in the second action, the considered this conduct unwarrantable, and discharged le-bar rule, thereby leaving the first bail still liable on recognisance (d). Yet, in another case, before that act, so before the 2 Will. 4, c. 39, where it appeared clearly he bail in the first action had forsworn themselves, and in fact worth nothing, the court held that the plaintiff stified in holding the defendant to bail in a second action : same cause, even before he had discontinued the first ; ad he discontinued, it is very probable the defendant have absconded (e).

When Rule to discontinue discharged.

New Action.] After the costs have been taxed and paid (f), ntiff may commence a new action for the same cause. before the 1 & 2 V. c. 110, if the first action were upon on non-bailable process, the plaintiff might have held the ant to bail for the same cause, (if bailable), even before st action was discontinued (g), provided he discontinued declaring, otherwise the defendant might have pleaded ndency of the prior action in abatement. But if the ant were held to bail in the first action, he could not been held to bail a second time without a judge's h).

New Action.

e form of entry on roll, Chit. 512.

r R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 106, ante, 1058.

per v. Holloway, 1 Hodges, 78.

ss v. Woodson, 7 T. R. 6: and v. Penn, 2 Dowl. 182: Turner v. owl 31.

: Amos v. Ragg, 2 Dowl. 35. See ts of trial where plaintiff discon- instead of proceeding to a new ay v. Cox, 8 D. & R. 220. See s v. Powell, 2 Dowl. 738.

chier v. Gansell, 4 Burr. 2502. ts, Vol. I. 476: Omlus v. Delany, 216: *quere*, whether, since the

Uniformity of Process Act, 2 W. 4, c. 39, the first action must not be discontinued before commencing the second, other- wise the pendency of the first might be pleaded in abatement.

(f) *Mulling v. Buckholtz*, 3 M. & Sel. 153: *Whitmore v. Williams*, 6 T. R. 765; MS., T. 1814.

(g) *Bishop v. Powell*, 6 T. R. 616: *Anon.*, 1 Dowl. 59; *Id.* 57: ante, Vol. I. 476: *sed quere*, since the Uniformity of Pro- cess Act, it would seem, the first action ought to be discontinued.

(h) R. II., 2 W. 4, r. 7: see ante, Vol. I. 476.

CHAPTER XX.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

CASHETUR BREVE.

What and
when entered.

WHEN the defendant pleads sufficient matter in abatement and the plaintiff cannot deny it, the latter may either leave to amend his declaration, if that will answer his purpose (a), and which will be granted upon payment of costs; or he may at once enter on the roll a judgment that the writ be quashed, in order that he may be enabled to commence a new action. If he adopt the latter mode, let him get a writ the day the declaration is delivered, and enter the declaration and plea on it, as in ordinary cases, and lastly the cashetur. Docket it with one of the masters, as in ordinary cases, and mark it by him; after which, file it in the treasury of the court. In the Common Pleas, instead of docketting it with one of the masters, &c., it seems that you have to take it to the master's, and docket it in the book kept there for the purpose, which the clerk will give you. Leave of the court is not necessary in order to make this entry; nor is the plaintiff obliged to pay the defendant's costs (d).

As to quashing a writ of error, see *ante*, Vol. I. 353.

(a) It will not if the writ be wrong also, and if that cannot be amended. As to when the writ may be amended, see *ante*, Vol. I. 512.

(b) *Mentor v. Harts*, 3 M. & Sel. 450, and sometimes without. (See *Wall v. Lyon*, 9 Bing. 411; 1 Dowl. 714, 8. C.)

(c) See the form, Chit. Forms, 613.

(d) Pr. Reg. 6, *ante*, 656. Formerly, after entering a cashetur breve, the plaintiff

might deliver another declaration by way for the same cause of action, (time within the term in which it was returnable (*Müller v. Ando* R. 634); but if that time had elapsed must have sued out new process, wished to re-commence his action, practice of declaring by the bye ever, now abolished. (See Vol. I.

CHAPTER XXI.

PUTTING OFF THE TRIAL.

CHAP. XXI.

In what Cases

Absence of
material Wit-
ness, &c.

Cases.] IF there be any *bonâ fide* and unavoidable and properly shewn, on affidavit, why it is unsafe to try the trial, the court will in general put off the same. The court will, in general, when a material witness for the plaintiff is absent, allow the trial to be put off, either to another day of the same sittings, or to another sitting in the next term, or to another term, or even for a longer period, under similar circumstances (*a*); to another day of the same sittings, or assizes, at the instance of the *defendant* only, and this may have all the effect of such an application by the *defendant* on his record (*b*). They have put off a trial until the plaintiff should go to examine a material witness who refused to attend, and until the deposition should be taken (*c*). They have refused it, however, in another case, where it did not appear that there was any likelihood of the witness's return (*d*); and the same where the witness did not attend until after notice of trial was given, and he had previously been served with a *subpœna* in sufficient time (*e*); and they will also, it seems, in general refuse to grant an adjournment if the plaintiff applying have conducted himself unfairly, or if the cause of any improper delay (*f*). They have refused, upon the application of the plaintiff, in a penal action, in another case, where the evidence of the absence of the witness was intended to sustain a defence not approved of by the court. In an action for libel, where a justification was offered by the defendant, upon the application of the defendant, put off to enable him to procure the attendance of witnesses who were abroad, (the nature of the evidence being particularised out in the affidavit), but imposed the terms of giving security upon the trial the publication of the alleged libel, when where the court had twice before put off the trial on account of the absence of a material witness on a previous application, and the defendant applied a third time to put off the trial, on account of the witness being still absent, the court refused the application, upon the terms of the defendant giving the money into court, or giving security for it.

See *Postan v. Rose*, 4 C. & P. 271.
(f) *Saunders v. Pitman*, 1 B. & P. 33;
Taylor v. Gilkes, 1 Chit. Rep. 730; *Wade*
v. Birmingham, 2 Chit. Rep. 5; 1 M. & R.
 111 (*a*), S. C.
(g) *Tidd*, 771, n.
(h) *Robinson v. Smith*, 1 B. & P. 454.
 As a plea in abatement, *Wade v. Bir-*
mingham, 2 Chit. Rep. 5.
(i) *Brown v. Murray*, 4 D. & R. 830;
 and see *M'Cauley v. Thorpe*, 1 Chit. Rep.
 685.

See *Postan v. Rose*, 4 C. & P. 271.
(f) *Saunders v. Pitman*, 1 B. & P. 33;
Taylor v. Gilkes, 1 Chit. Rep. 730; *Wade*
v. Birmingham, 2 Chit. Rep. 5; 1 M. & R.
 111 (*a*), S. C.
(g) *Tidd*, 771, n.
(h) *Robinson v. Smith*, 1 B. & P. 454.
 As a plea in abatement, *Wade v. Bir-*
mingham, 2 Chit. Rep. 5.
(i) *Brown v. Murray*, 4 D. & R. 830;
 and see *M'Cauley v. Thorpe*, 1 Chit. Rep.
 685.

issue was joined on a demurrer, and issues in fact in two; the court, upon application of the defendants, trials of the issues in fact, until the demurrer shot argued, as the point of law involved in it was the trial of the plaintiff's right to damages in the other two. But where there is only one action, and there are several issues in it in law and in fact, the court will not, in general, postpone the trial on the application of the defendant, until a demurrer has been argued (*p*). The court has refused to postpone a trial until a suit concerning the same matter in another court should be determined (*q*). So, they refused to put off the trial of a cause brought by the estate of a bankrupt, because a petition is pending against the estate for the commission of bankruptcy (*r*). And the same, where the application was, that an indictment for perjury on the plaintiff's affidavit of debt, was pending; the court have refused it, also, where the application was made, because counsel was not prepared (*s*). Also, where the defendant was arrested as he was coming to court to answer the cause, the judge at *Nisi Prius* refused to put off the trial on that account, unless upon payment of costs (*t*).

Issue out of Chancery.

And, lastly, the court or a judge at *Nisi Prius* will grant the trial of an issue out of Chancery, for the same reason, under the same circumstances as in ordinary actions.

The Application for.

The Application for.] The application must be made to the court, or to the judge at *Nisi Prius*; and it seems, he made at least two days before the day of trial.

When and to whom made.

Or, if the grounds of the application have occurred since the trial, known to the party so recently, that he cannot make the application above time, he may apply to the judge at *Nisi Prius* before or even after the cause has been called on for trial, accordingly put off the trial, if satisfied as to the substance of the application.

the grounds stated for the application (*x*). A judge sitting at *Nisi Prius* at Westminster cannot make an order in a cause to be tried in London (*y*). It seems that the sheriff, under the Writ of Trial Act, has no power to postpone a cause, but the application must be made to a judge (*z*). The trial cannot, at least in the Common Pleas, be put off by the mere consent of the parties, unless sanctioned by the judge at *Nisi Prius* (*a*).

If the application be made at *Nisi Prius*, notice of the intended application, and a copy of the affidavit on which it is founded, should previously be given to the opposite party; which may have the effect of preventing his incurring the expense of ringing up his witnesses (*b*), if he do not intend to oppose the application; or, if he do oppose it, it affords him an opportunity of shewing cause against it in the first instance (*c*). The counsel's fee for moving is usually one guinea for a rule nisi, and the same or more for moving to make it absolute.

Notice to the opposite Party

The application must be founded on an affidavit stating the grounds upon which it is made. If made on account of the absence of a material witness, the affidavit, in ordinary cases, states the time issue was joined, the time for which notice of trial was given, the absence of the witness, and that the party cannot safely proceed to trial without him, the endeavours which have been made to find him, and the time at which he is expected to return (*d*). But, if the witness be abroad, or, from the nature of the application, it may be suspected that it is made merely for the purpose of delay, the above form will not, in general, be sufficient, and the court usually require that the affidavit shall state the cause of action, and the evidence expected from the witness, in order that they may judge if it be material, and that it also state circumstances from which they may infer the probability of the witness's return within a reasonable time (*e*). It is, in general, best, that the affidavit should state (if possible) when the witness is expected to return (*f*). In no case, however, is it necessary to state the name of the witness on account of whose absence the party cannot proceed to trial (*g*). Formerly, it seems, the affidavit must have been made by the party himself (*h*); but the affidavit of the attorney in the cause (*i*), and even the affidavit of the attorney's clerk, if it state that he is particularly acquainted with the circumstances of the cause, and has the management of it (*j*), has since been deemed sufficient. The affidavit, if made on the part of the defendant, need not swear to a good defence on the merits (*k*).

The Affidavit for.

(*x*) See R. H., 14 G. 2, Vol. I. 265: *Ansley v. Birch*, 3 Camp. 333: *Anon.*, 3 Taunt. 315.

(*y*) *Atkinson v. Dickinson*, 3 Camp. 41.

(*z*) *Puckham v. Newman*, 3 Dowl. 165; 1 C., M. & R. 584, S. C.

(*a*) See R. M., 50 G. 3, C. P.

(*b*) If no notice be given, or if not given until expense has been incurred by the opposite side, the applicant will have to pay that expense. (*Attorney-General v. Hull*, 2 Dowl. 111).

(*c*) See form of notice, Chit. Forms, 14; and of affidavit, *Id.*

(*d*) See the form, Chit. Forms, 614.

(*e*) See *Rex v. D'Eon*, 3 Burr. 1513; 1 W. Bl. 510, S. C.: *Lord v. Cooke*, *Id.* 436.

(*f*) 1 Chit. Rep. 730 a.

(*g*) *Smith v. Dobson*, 2 D. & R. 420; *Buckingham v. Banks*, 4 D. & R. 832, n. But on a second application the court might be more strict; and they might not only require to know who he is, but what he is to prove, &c. (*Anon.*, 2 Chit. 686, n.)

(*h*) *Carter v. Uppington*, Barnes, 437.

(*i*) *Duberly v. Gunning*, Peake, 97.

(*j*) *Sullivan v. Magill*, 1 H. Bl. 637.

(*k*) *Attorney-General v. Hull*, 2 Dowl. 111; *Hill v. Prosser*, 3 *Id.* 704.

an attachment could be granted for not paying the
at all events, if drawn up generally on payment of a
payment being a condition precedent, if they be not
may proceed to try the cause. The party gets it
taxed upon the rule or order, in the usual way.

(7) See *Mackenzie v. Hudson*, 1 D. & R. 180. The costs are generally the
the record had been withdrawn.

(10) See *Walker v. Lane*, 1 Gale, 58; (11) *Rice v. Brown*, 1 B. & C. 111.
Attorney-General v. Hull, 2 Dowd. 111. *Attorney-General v. Hull*, 21

CHAPTER XXII.

TRIAL BY PROVISIO.

CHAP

[*what Cases.*] IN all cases, where the plaintiff, after issue is joined, does not proceed to trial, where, by the course and practice of the court, he ought to have done so, the defendant, if he wish, have the action tried by proviso: that is, he give the plaintiff notice of trial, make up the *Nisi Prius* record, carry it down and enter it with the marshal, and proceed to the trial as in ordinary cases (*a*). This, however, can be done only in cases where the plaintiff has been guilty of some laches or default after issue joined; except in replevin, inhibition, *quare impedit* (*b*), and error in fact (*c*), in which cases, both parties being actors, the defendant may make up the *Nisi Prius* record, and thereupon proceed to trial, although no laches or default be imputable to the plaintiff. The court have also allowed a defendant to carry down the record of an issue, directed by the Court of Chancery, to trial by proviso, upon its being suggested to them that the plaintiff wished to delay the cause (*d*). Where, upon a special jury being called on for trial, there was not a full special jury, and neither party prayed a *tales*, it was held that the defendant could not afterwards take down the record by proviso (*e*). The court have no right to interfere with defendants in ordinary cases, and prevent them from taking down a cause by proviso, for that is the mode by which it has been determined, that a plaintiff shall be prevented from keeping a cause hanging over the head of a party for an indefinite time (*f*).

As the delay and expense attending the trial by proviso, however, are material objections to this mode of proceeding, it is seldom adopted, unless in cases where the defendant is particularly anxious that the cause should be finally settled by verdict, and in some other cases specified in the next chapter: in ordinary cases, the defendant usually moves for judgment as in case of a nonsuit, in preference to proceeding to trial by proviso.

[*When and how.*] By rule of all the courts of *H.*, 2 *W.* 4, 71, "no trial by proviso shall be allowed in the same term in which the default of the plaintiff has been made, and a rule for a trial by proviso shall be necessary" (*g*): and by

(a) After the issue has been joined, if the plaintiff, in causes in London or Middlesex, make default in trying it, or, in country causes, do not proceed to trial at the next assizes, the defendant may afterwards proceed to trial by proviso. (R. M., 1072, 1073.) As to the time within which the plaintiff ought to bring on the cause for trial, see *post*, 1072, 1073.
(b) *Reg. v. Banks*, 2 Salk. 659; 2 Ld. Raym. 1082, S. C.: and see *Smith v.*

Blundell, 1 Chlt. Rep. 226; *Worcestershire Canal Company v. Trent Navigation Company*, 1 Marsh. 218.

(c) 2 Saund. 336 a.

(d) *Humpage v. Rourley*, 4 T. R. 767.

(e) *Phillips v. Dance*, 9 B. & C. 769.

(f) *Whittaker v. Mason*, 6 Dowl. 429; 5 Scott, 740; 4 Bing. N. C. 503, S. C., per Tindal, C. J.

(g) See the former practice in the 6th edition of this work, pp. 1100, 1101.

Seldor
adopts

Proceedings
where Plaintiff
and Defendant
carry down the
Record.

come to your attention, then you shall and return
only; and have there" &c. (k).

If both the plaintiff and the defendant happen to enter the record at the same time, the trial shall be by the record, if he enter it with the marshal; but, if he do so, the defendant may proceed upon the record brought by him (l). But, although the plaintiff have entered with the marshal, yet, if he have not given a notice of trial, his entry will be of no effect; the defendant that case, may proceed to trial upon the record he brought down, and if the plaintiff do not appear to it, he may sue (m). And in all cases where the defendant enters upon his record, if the issue happen to be on the plaintiff, who is therefore to begin first, but does not appear, the defendant must not enter upon his proof and take a verdict; the proper course is to call the plaintiff and nonsuit. If, however, instead of doing so, he take a verdict, it will not, in general, set it aside, except for the purpose of allowing a nonsuit to be entered instead of it (n).

(k) See the former practice: *Dodson v. Taylor*, 2 Str. 1033; *King v. Pippett*, 1 T. R. 685. In the Exchequer it was never necessary to enter the issue (*Coltsworth v. Martin*, 2 C. & J. 123); and it is now abolished in every case by R. H., 4 W. 4. (*Hodges v. Diley*, 7 Dowl. 555).

(l) *Theobald v. Crickmore*, 2 B. & Ald. 394; 1 Chit. Rep. 317, S. C. See form of notice of trial, Chit. Forms, 615.

(m) See Chit. Forms, 615. (n) R. M., 4 A. c. 177. *Barnes*, 29.

(o) *Brown v. Otley*, 1 B. & C. 338 b. (*Gardener v. Davis*, 1 B. & C. 338 b. *Hicks v. Young*, Barnes, 1 B. & C. 338 b.)

(p) *Hodges v. Foster*, 1 D. & R. 221, S. C.

CHAPTER XXIII.

COSTS FOR NOT PROCEEDING TO TRIAL.

In what Cases.] IF the plaintiff give notice of trial, and the defendant countermand his notice (a), nor proceed to trial in pursuance of it, the defendant, upon affidavit of attendance and necessary expenses, shall be entitled to his costs, to be taxed by the master (b); even although he have prevented the plaintiff from entering his cause for trial, by entering a *ne repleader* with the marshal (c). In like manner the plaintiff is entitled to costs if the defendant do not proceed to a trial *proviso* after giving notice to that effect (d); and if both parties give notice of trial, and neither of them countermand his notice, or proceed to trial in pursuance of it, each of them is entitled to costs from the other (e); but neither of them is entitled to costs in such case if by consent of both parties the cause be made a *remanet* (f). Also, if the plaintiff do not proceed to execute his writ of inquiry in pursuance of his notice, or countermand it in time, the defendant will be entitled to his costs, in the same manner as for not proceeding to trial (g). It has been held, that the plaintiff is not excused from these costs by an offer to refer the cause made before the commission day (h). A pauper may be liable to these costs, though not dispaupered (i).

If the party be ready to try according to notice, but the cause be made a *remanet*, he will not be liable to pay costs, because the delay is not the delay of the party, but the delay of the court; and where the plaintiff was prepared to try at several sittings, but, from the press of business, the cause did not go on, and those sittings lasted till the second sittings commenced, but the plaintiff was obliged to withdraw his record and account of its not having been re-sealed, it was held, that he was not liable to the costs of the first sittings (k).

By *R. M.* 1654, s. 18, the defendant is entitled to costs if the plaintiff do not proceed to trial in pursuance of his notice, unless the plaintiff have countermanded his notice, or, "shew cause to be allowed in the court in excuse of such costs." And the Court of Common Pleas refused the rule, where the plaintiff was prevented from going to trial by an accident which happened to a material witness (l). As the rule, however, is

Whitlock v. Humphreys, 2 Str. 849.
Rex v. Mayor of Great Yarmouth, 5 Ald. 531; *R. M.*, 1654, s. 18; *Q. B.*, 1 C. P.; and see *R. M.*, 4 A. c.; 2, c. 17, s. 5.
Pr. Reg. 406.
Wilkinson v. Poole, 2 Str. 797.
Pr. Reg. 406: see *Clarke v. Simpson*, 11 Taunt. 591.
Bloss v. Wyatt, 4 M. & W. 407; 7

Dowl. 86. S. C.

(g) *Ante*, 717: *Shadford v. Houstoun*, 1 Str. 317; *Sutton v. Bryan*, 2 Str. 728.

(h) *Eaton v. Shuckborough*, 2 Dowl. 624, Exch.

(i) See *ante*, 920.

(k) *Waters v. Weatherby*, 3 Dowl. 320: see per *Patteson, J.*

(l) *Ogle v. Maffatt*, Barnes, 133; 5 Taunt. 88.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

absolute in the first instance, the only way of bringing matter of excuse under the consideration of the court, is moving to discharge the rule.

When and
how obtained,
&c.

When and how Obtained, &c.] There is not any time within which the motion for these costs must be made and in general it may be made at any time before execution is executed, and perhaps afterwards (m). A term's notice is necessary before the motion, though no proceedings have had for four terms, that notice being only requisite when the object is to *speed* the cause (n).

After Motion
for Judgment
as in Case of
a Nonsuit.

By the *R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 69*, "no motion for judgment in case of a nonsuit shall be allowed after a motion for costs not proceeding to trial for the same default, but such costs may be moved for separately, i. e. without moving at all for judgment as in case of a nonsuit, or after such motion is disposed of; or the court, on discharging a rule for judgment in case of a nonsuit, may order the plaintiff to pay the costs not proceeding to trial, but the payment of such costs shall not be made a condition of discharging the rule." Thus if the defendant intends to move for judgment as in case of a nonsuit, he ought not to move for costs of the day until his former motion is disposed of. The court, on discharging a rule for judgment as in case of a nonsuit, on a peremptory undertaking, will, in general, grant the costs of not proceeding to trial as part of the rule (o); but not unless it appears by affidavits that costs have been incurred (p). If the rule for judgment as in case of a nonsuit be made absolute, the costs of the day will not be granted as part of the rule; and in case in the bail court, *Taunton, J.*, said, that those costs shall be made the subject of a separate motion (q).

No Stay of
Proceedings.

Under peculiar circumstances, the court may make it a condition of the rule that the payment of the costs for not proceeding to trial shall be a condition precedent to ulterior proceedings; but, in ordinary cases, a stay of proceedings cannot be incorporated in a rule for costs for not proceeding to trial (r) if not so expressed in the rule, the plaintiff may proceed without paying the costs, and the defendant's only remedy is by attachment, or by execution under the 1 & 2 c. 110, s. 18 (s).

How applied
for, and Pay-
ment enforced
in Q. B.
and Exch.

In the Queen's Bench and Exchequer, the mode of obtaining the costs by attachment is thus: *Let the defendant's attorney make an affidavit, stating when the action was commenced, when joined, and notice of trial given, and that the plaintiff did not proceed to trial or countermand the notice (t).* Among fair trial practitioners, a notice of this motion is usually given (u), and a master will allow for it in costs; if given, the affidavit should be served. Give this affidavit, with a motion paper, to the

(m) *Redd v. Ludeck*, 2 Dowl. 947.
(n) *French v. Burton*, 2 C. & J. 634.
(o) *Piercy v. Owen*, 1 Dowl. 362; *Len-
niker v. Barr*, 2 C. & J. 473; *Dockett v.
Read*, 1 Tyr. 386.
(p) *Ray v. Sharp*, 4 Dowl. 354.
(q) *Johnson v. Smith*, 1 Dowl. 421.
(r) *Eager v. Cuthill*, 6 Dowl. 125; 3 M.
& W. 60, S. C.; *Gibbs v. Giles*, 7 Dowl.

325.

(s) *Wilson v. Curtis*, 8 Bing. 2.
Evans v. Ree, 2 Dowl. 572.

(t) See the form, Chit. Forms, (

(u) A stay of proceedings can
had, although two days' notice
motion be given. (*Eager v. Cuthill*
& Wels. 60).

So move for costs for not proceeding to trial in pursuance of notice," and the Court of Queen's Bench will thereupon grant a rule absolute in the first instance.—In the Exchequer, the rule is not a rule absolute in the first instance, nor a rule nisi in the common form; but it is a rule, which, if cause is not shewn in our days, makes itself absolute without any motion for that purpose (x). Draw up the rule with one of the masters (y); and get an appointment on it from him. Serve a copy of the rule and appointment on the plaintiff's attorney, and afterwards attend before one of the masters, and have the costs taxed (z). Then let the defendant or his attorney serve a copy of the rule and allocatur on the plaintiff himself personally, and demand the costs; and if not paid, let the defendant and his attorney make an affidavit of the demand and refusal (a), and move thereon for an attachment. This rule for an attachment is absolute in the first instance. Draw it up with the master, and take it to one of the clerks in the Crown Office, who will make out the attachment. Take the writ to the sheriff's officer, and obtain a warrant thereon, and give the warrant to your officers to execute (b).

In the Common Pleas, the mode of obtaining the costs by attachment is thus:—One of the masters will obtain this rule for you in the Treasury Chamber, or you may give a brief to a serjeant or counsel, and the court will thereupon grant a rule absolute in the first instance without affidavit. Draw up the rule with one of the masters, and get an appointment on it from him; serve a copy of the rule and appointment on the plaintiff's attorney, and afterwards attend before the master, and, upon producing the usual affidavit, he will tax the costs. Then let the defendant's attorney or agent serve a copy of the rule and allocatur on the plaintiff himself personally, (shewing to him at the same time the original rule), and demand the costs, and if not paid, let an affidavit be made of the demand and refusal, and move thereon for an attachment. This rule for the attachment is absolute in the first instance. Draw it up with one of the masters; engross the attachment on plain parchment, and get it signed by the master; get it sealed. The form of the writ is the same, mutatis mutandis, with the form in Chit. Forms, except that the memorandum at foot is thus: "In N. v. S. for non-payment of £——costs, taxed by Master W——, pursuant to a rule of court, dated the——day of——, 1840." It must bear teste in term, and be returnable on a day certain. Take the writ to the sheriff's office and obtain a warrant thereon, and give the warrant to your officer to execute.

It would seem that, instead of issuing an attachment for these costs, you may proceed by execution on the rule of court, ordering them to be paid under the 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 18. As to this, see *post*, Chap. 33, title "Motions and Rules." Sect. 3.

As to obtaining costs against the lessor of the plaintiff in ejectment, see *ante*, 762.

(x) *Robinson v. Robinson*, 3 Dowl. 177: see *Raby v. Olerenshaw*, 11 Price, 512: *Eaton v. Shuckburgh*, 2 Dowl. 624.

(y) See the form, Chit. Forms, 616.

(z) See *Michinson v. Allcock*, 1 D. & R. 163.

(a) See the form, Chit. Forms, 592: and see *Rex v. Smithies*, 3 T. R. 351: *Wadham v. Brett*, 2 Wils. 227.

(b) As to the mode of proceeding by execution under 1 Vict. c. 110, see *post*, Chapter 34, s. 3.

CHAPTER XXIV.

JUDGMENT AS IN CASE OF A NONSUIT.

In what Cases, 1070.

When obtained in Town Causes, 1072.

In Country Causes, 1074.

In Causes before the Sheriff, The Motion, Rule, &c., 11
Default after peremptory undertaking, 1079.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

In what Cases.
Generally.

In what Cases.] BY statute 14 Geo. 2, c. 17, s. 1, issue is joined (a), and the plaintiff shall neglect to bring issue to trial, according to the course and practice of the then, it shall be lawful for the judges of the court, upon made in open court, (due notice having been given to give the same judgment for the defendant as in case of suit; unless, upon just cause and reasonable terms, they allow a further time for the trial of such issue; and plaintiff neglect to try the issue within the time so all the court shall give such judgment as aforesaid. This extends to ejectment (b), and to *qui tam* actions (c), and actions by executors or administrators (d), and to cases where default is made in not proceeding to trial before the court under the 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42 (e), and to cases where money paid into court in respect of part of the causes of action taken out in satisfaction (f); but it does not extend to *plevin* (g); nor, it should seem, to prohibition, *quæ pedit* (h), or error in fact; for in all these cases, the defendant may himself take down the record without a proviso (i). It does it extend, of course, to any case where the plaintiff does not be nonsuited if he had proceeded to trial (k). In cases where the plaintiff can give a sufficient reason for not proceeding to trial (l), nor to causes which have abated by the death of one or more of the plaintiffs or otherwise (m). It was formerly doubtful, it is now settled that one of several defendants may obtain a rule for judgment as in case

When Issue may be said to be joined.

(a) Until the *similiter* is added, issue cannot be said to be joined (*Smith v. Rigby*, 3 Dowl. 705); and a *similiter* intitled in a wrong court has been holden insufficient for this purpose. (*Ray v. Good*, 5 Dowl. 295). It is not, however, necessary that the issue should have been actually made up and delivered; it is enough if the *similiter* has been delivered. (*Heath v. Borall*, 7 Dowl. 19). This judgment cannot be given unless issue has been joined the prescribed time, as to all the defendants who have pleaded. (*Crouther v. Duke*, 7 Dowl. 409).

(b) *See Berger v. Docker*, 6 Dowl. 479.

(c) *Stone v. Faray*, 1 East, 554; *Watson v. Jackson*, 1 Wils. 325.

(d) *Howard v. Ratbans*, Willes, 316; *Barnes*, 1130, S. C.; *Herbert v. Keul*, 4 D.

& R. 834; *Woolley v. Shaper*, 2 Dowl. 388; *Pickup v. Wharton*, 2 Dowl. 388.

(e) *Begbie v. Grenville*, 2 Dowl. 508; *Moore v. Redmayne*, Id. 508; *Moore v. Batty*, 3 Id. 215.

(f) *See Stanley v. Turgood*, 404.

(g) *Jones v. Concannon*, 3 T. 400; *Shortridge v. Hiorn*, 5 Id. 400; *v. Smith*, 1 W. Bl. 375.

(h) *Wyndore v. Bishop of Ca* Moore, 269; 3 Bing. 404, S. C.

(i) *Ante*, 1065.

(k) *Waller v. Gorton*, 1 Burr. : Vol. I. 313.

(l) *Monk v. Bantam*, 2 Dowl. 3; *Steppins v. Lord*, Id. 419; *post*, 112.

(m) *Cheochi v. Powell*, 6 B. & C. D. & R. 243, S. C.

t, which will authorize a general judgment to be entered plaintiff(*n*); and if one of two defendants suffer judgment by default, the other may have judgment as in case of nonsuit, for the plaintiff may be nonsuited at the trial(*o*).

Where there are several issues in law and in fact, and the plaintiff has judgment on the issues in law, if the plaintiff proceed on the issues in fact, the defendant shall have judgment as in case of a nonsuit; for the plaintiff in such a case might have been nonsuited, had he proceeded to trial(*p*); and notwithstanding the demurrer, the defendant cannot obtain judgment as in case of a nonsuit for not proceeding to trial on the issues in fact(*q*).

In all cases within the statute, if the plaintiff once comply with the statute, by taking down the issue for trial, although he be afterwards nonsuited, and the nonsuit be afterwards set aside(*r*), or although he have a verdict, and a new trial be afterwards granted(*s*), or although the parties agree to a reference, which afterwards the default of the plaintiff turns out abortive(*t*), the defendant can never afterwards have judgment as in case of a nonsuit; for any subsequent laches upon the part of the plaintiff, not bringing the cause to trial; but if he wish to dispose of the action, he must take it down for trial by *proviso*(*u*).

Where the Cause has been taken down for Trial.

In a country cause, if the cause be made a *remanet*(*x*), or in a town cause, if it be made a *remanet* at the request of the defendant(*y*), the defendant shall not afterwards have judgment as in case of a nonsuit; and this whether the plaintiff be passive, and takes no step, or gives a fresh notice of trial, which he abandons(*z*). But otherwise, in a town cause, the cause is made a *remanet* from one sittings to another, and is sent(*a*); for there is a great difference between causes taken down for trial in London or Middlesex, and at the assizes in the counties; in the former, the record is not re-entered, and a fresh notice of trial given, and the cause comes on at the next sittings had been continued without interruption.

In a town cause be made a *remanet* from the sittings after one term to the sittings after another term, and the plaintiff then defaults, the defendant may have judgment as in case of a nonsuit(*b*). And giving notice that a cause will be taken down as an undefended cause at the sittings in London, and appearing at the sittings for the purpose of trying the cause as undefended, will not prevent the defendant from having such a judgment(*c*). And if the cause is not made a *remanet*, but the plaintiff, instead of allowing it to be tried, withdraws the record, the defendant may have judgment as in case of a nonsuit(*d*).

See v. Gibson, 5 B. & C. 768; 8 D. & W. 198, S. C.; and *Murphy v. Donlan*, 5 B. & C. 178; 7 D. & R. 619, S. C.: *L. 314*.

Murphy v. Donlan, 5 B. & C. 178; 7 D. & R. 619, S. C.: *Stuart v. Rogers*, 7 D. & W. 139.

Stuart v. Rogers, 7 D. & W. 139.

Stuart v. Rogers, 7 D. & W. 139.

Stuart v. Rogers, 7 D. & W. 139.

Stuart v. Rogers, 7 D. & W. 139.

Stuart v. Rogers, 7 D. & W. 139.

Stuart v. Rogers, 7 D. & W. 139.

7 Dowl. 198, S. C.

(*u*) *Supra*, n. (*s*): and *Chrono v. Garment*, 1 Scott, 275: see, however, *Jones v. Pritchard*, 2 Tyr. 383.

(*r*) *Brown v. Rudd*, 1 Dowl. 371: *Mewburn v. Langley*, 3 T. R. 1: *Denman v. Bull*, 11 Moore, 443; 3 Bing. 490, S. C.: *Gilbert v. Kirkland*, 2 Dowl. P. C. 153.

(*y*) MS., E. 1820: *post*, 1072, n. (*f*).
(*z*) *Gilbert v. Kirkland*, 2 Dowl. 135: *Hawley v. Shirley*, 5 Dowl. 393.

(*a*) *Gadd v. Bennett*, 2 B. & Ald. 709.

(*b*) *Ham v. Greg*, 6 B. & C. 125; 9 D. & W. 125, S. C.

(*c*) *Bdrupp v. Davies*, 1 Dowl. 552.

(*d*) *Burton v. Harrison*, 1 East, 346.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

Where the
Delay is not
caused by the
Plaintiff.

Where the defendant took out a summons for petty trial at the assizes, so late before the commission-day that the plaintiff thought he might be inconvenienced in getting for trial if the order was refused, and therefore counter it, the court held that the defendant could not, on account, move for judgment as in case of a nonsuit, notice of trial be countermanded at the request of the defendant, or if he otherwise prevent the plaintiff from going he cannot obtain judgment as in case of a nonsuit, for proceeding to trial according to notice (f). And the defendant cannot rely, for the purpose of judgment as in case of a nonsuit, on a notice of trial which he has refused to accept (g). Where the cause is delayed by the general course of business, the defendant cannot have this judgment; and where, in a jury cause, upon being called on for trial, there was a special jury, and neither party prayed a *talus*, it was ordered that the defendant could neither have a judgment as in case of a nonsuit, nor take down the record by *perpetuam*. And where a special jury cause had been set down and stood in the paper so long as three years, the defendant was refused a judgment as in case of a nonsuit, he had made any application to have a day appointed for the trial. If the cause be abated by the death of one of the parties, otherwise, the defendant cannot afterwards have a judgment as in case of a nonsuit (h). Where the plaintiff has a rule to discontinue, and the costs are taxed, but not a judgment, the defendant is not entitled to make the motion (i).

Where Costs
for not pro-
ceeding to
Trial have
been moved
for.

The *R. G. H.*, 2 *W. 4*, s. 69, orders that no motion for judgment as in case of a nonsuit shall be allowed after a motion for costs for not proceeding to trial for the same defendant, if, after a motion for costs for not proceeding to trial, the plaintiff suffers another term or assizes (m) to elapse giving notice of trial, this is a new default, and the defendant may move, notwithstanding the rule (n).

Not favoured.

It was observed by *Parke, B.*, in a recent case, that the court is not disposed to give any facility to these motions, which are very often more mischievous than otherwise (o).

When ob-
tained in
Town Causes.

When obtained in Town Causes.] The defendant is entitled to judgment as in case of a nonsuit, by the writ of *perpetuam*, until the plaintiff has failed to bring on the cause within the time allowed him for that purpose by the order of the court. The plaintiff is in no case obliged to give notice of trial until the term after that in which issue is taken (Vol. I. 207) (p); and, consequently, in town causes, judgment can be made for judgment as in case of a nonsuit at any time (of which when issue is joined in term time, which issue is joined is counted as one) (q) have effect.

(f) *Rendell v. Bailey*, 2 Dowl. 112.
(g) *Jenkinson v. Charity*, 2 Dowl. 197; ante, 1071, n. 19; and see *Partridge v. Slater*, 3 Dowl. 68; *Watkins v. Giles*, 4 Dowl. 14.
(h) *Clarke v. Goldsmith*, 3 Bing. N. C. 139.
(i) *Phillips v. Dancer*, 9 B. & C. 769.
(j) *Rucker v. Ansell*, 2 Chit. Rep. 242.
(k) *Cheochi v. Powell*, 6 B. & C. 233; 9 D. & R. 242, 2 C.
(l) *Casper v. Hallway*, 1 Hodges, 75.

(m) *Hyde v. Gardiner*, Tidd. N. P. 463; but see *Clarke v. Dowl.* 68.
(n) *Dyer v. Edwards*, 2 D. & R. 10.
(o) *Harte v. Whelan*, 3 D. & R. 10.
(p) *Hall v. Buchanan*, 2 D. & R. 10, 15 & 16, C. 2, & 21, C. 2. As to when issue is to be joined for this purpose, see 1070, n. 101.
(q) *Fleming v. Chatham*, 6

issue joined against all the defendants(*r*); *e.g.* if issue be joined in Michaelmas term, the motion may be made in Easter term, but not before(*s*). And if issue be joined in Hilary term, the motion may be made in Trinity term(*t*). And where issue is joined in vacation in a town cause, the defendant cannot move for judgment as in case of a nonsuit until the *third* term after issue joined: thus, if issue is joined in Trinity vacation, the motion cannot be made until the ensuing Easter term(*u*). But if the plaintiff have, in fact, given a sufficient notice of trial previously, and not proceeded to trial in pursuance of such notice(*x*), then, if the notice were given for a trial in the vacation, the defendant may move for the judgment in the following term(*y*); or if the notice were given for a trial in term, he may move in the term after, but not before(*z*); and this though the trial was to have been before the sheriff(*a*). When a town cause has been made a *remanet* from the sittings after Easter term to the sittings after Trinity term, and the plaintiff has then made default, the defendant may move for judgment as in case of a nonsuit in the Michaelmas term following(*b*). Where a default in not proceeding to trial has been made by the plaintiff, the defendant will not be deprived of his right to move for judgment as in case of a nonsuit by the plaintiff's giving a fresh notice of trial before the motion is made(*c*). But if a plaintiff gives notice of trial for a sitting earlier than is necessary by the practice of the court, and he afterwards give another notice of trial for a later sitting, but which is still within due time, the defendant is not entitled to move for judgment as in case of a nonsuit, although the plaintiff had not proceeded to trial under his first notice, nor countermanded it(*d*). And the defendant cannot rely in support of his motion on an insufficient notice of trial which he has refused to accept(*e*). And an agreement to take no notice of trial is not equivalent to notice so as to entitle the defendant to judgment for not proceeding to trial(*f*). In a recent case in the Exchequer, a plaintiff having withdrawn the record in consequence of the absence of a witness, on a subsequent day gave a fresh notice of trial; prior to the day of trial under this second notice the defendant moved for judgment as in case of a nonsuit, having given one day's notice of motion only; the plaintiff tried the case as undefended, and obtained a verdict: it was held, that the verdict was an answer to the motion, but the court, on discharging the rule, set aside the verdict on payment of the costs thereof and the

(*r*) See *Crocker v. Duke*, 7 Dowl. 419.

(*s*) *Pierman v. Chesham*, 6 Dowl. 517.

(*t*) *Thomas v. Jones*, 7 Dowl. 712.

(*u*) *Gough v. White*, 2 M. & W. 363:

Hoole v. Curtis, 2 M. & W. 76; 5 Dowl. 234, S. C.: *Wyatt v. Howell*, 5 Dowl. 585.

(*x*) *Wingrove v. Hodson*, 2 Dowl. 379:

Munt v. Tremamondo, 4 T. R. 557; *Gates v. Terry*, 1 Dowl. 370, S. C.: *Hay v. Howell*, 2 New Rep. 327; *Walter v. Buckle*, 2 Chit. Rep. 244; *Halsh v. Fleet*, 1 Chit. Rep. 672.

(*y*) *Shepherd v. Taylor*, MS., H. T. 1834, C. P.: *Howell v. Pawlett*, 1 Moo. & Serill, 355; 8 Bing. 272; 1 Dowl. 263, S. C.

(*z*) *Smith v. Templemore*, 5 Dowl. 408:

Isaac v. Goodman, 2 Dowl. 34; 1 C. & M. 494, S. C.: *Marshall v. Foster*, 2 C. & M. 213; 2 Dowl. 213, S. C.: *Preedy v. Macfarlane*, Id.: 2 Dowl. 216, S. C.: *Begbie v. Grenville*, 2 Dowl. 238; *Lenney v. Poulter*, 3 Dowl. 630.

(*a*) *Maddley v. Ratty*, 3 Dowl. 205.

(*b*) *Ham v. Greg*, 6 H. & C. 125; 9 D. & R. 125, S. C.: *ante*, 1071.

(*c*) *Rainbridge v. Purris*, 1 Dowl. 444: *Smedlie v. Christie*, 2 Id. 152.

(*d*) *Ranger v. Bligh*, 5 Dowl. 235.

(*e*) *Clark v. Goldmid*, 5 Bing. N. C. 120; 7 Dowl. 151, S. C.

(*f*) *Downes v. Cross*, 2 C. & J. 465.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

costs of the rule, the plaintiff giving a perem taking(*g*).

In Country Causes.

In Country Causes.] In country causes, if the issue is joined in an issuable term(*h*), and no notice of trial is given for the next assizes, the defendant cannot move for judgment as in case of a nonsuit until after the plaintiff has filed down the cause for trial at the second assizes. If the issue is joined in a non-issuable term, though no notice of trial is given for the next assizes, the motion may be made in vacation after those assizes(*i*). If it be joined in the vacation in an issuable term, and no notice of trial be given for the next assizes, it seems not to be settled at what time the motion may be moved for. In *Williams v. Edwards*(*k*), the chequer held that it might be moved for in the vacation after the next assizes. In *Robinson v. Taylor*(*l*), *Little v. Little* pronounced a similar decision. And in a late case, *ridge, J.*, held that it might be made in the vacation after the second assizes. But in *Harrison v. Williams*, *J.*, held that it could not be made in the vacation after the next assizes. And, considering that, in town causes, if issue is joined in vacation, the motion cannot be made until after the next assizes, than if it were joined in the following term(*o*), the decision in *Williams* seems to be the more correct decision. In country causes, in an issuable term, the rule should be made in the term, or the court will perhaps enlarge it in vacation, and not permit it to be discussed at chambers(*p*).

In Causes before the Sheriff.

In Causes before the Sheriff.] In causes to be tried before the sheriff, the time at which the plaintiff would be permitted to proceed by the court, will, it seems, be regulated by the time at which the sheriff sits(*q*). The rules, as to vacation for judgment should be made, are the same as those above mentioned. Where issue was joined in a country cause, in Hilary vacation, on the 2nd of February, an order was obtained on the 3rd to try before the sheriff. It was held, that it was too early to apply for judgment as in case of a nonsuit in the following Easter term, although the sheriff's court-days had passed since the order was obtained. Where issue was joined in a country cause before the sheriff in June, and no notice of trial was given, it was held, that the motion for judgment as in case of a nonsuit in the following mas term was too early, though two court-days had

(*g*) *Jones v. Hous*, 5 Dowl. 610; 2 M. & W. 379, S. C.: see *Eager v. Cathill*, 3 M. & W. 60.

(*h*) *Williams v. Davis*, 5 Bing. N. C. 227; 7 Dowl. 246, S. C.: *Miller v. Hassall*, MS., T. T. 1828: *Simonds v. Folkenham*, 1 Dowl. 292; 1 C. & J. 513; 1 Tyr. 501, S. C.: *Redward v. Way*, 13 Price, 453: *Crocker v. Dean*, 1 C. & J. 18: *Spiers v. Parker*, Id. n.: *Prentice v. Blott*, 2 Bing. 360; 9 Moore, 687, S. C.

(*i*) *Heath v. Bosall*, 7 Dowl. 19: *Robinson v. Taylor*, 5 Dowl. 518: *Evans v. Barnard*, 3 M. & W. 276: *Williams v. Edwards*, 3 Dowl. 183; 1 C., M. & R. 583, S. C.: *Smith v. Rigby*, 3 Dowl. 705: see *Apperley v. Morse*, 6 Dowl. 505: *Williams*

v. Davis, 5 Bing. N. C. S. C. The decision in *M. & W. 60*, is founded on the officer, per *Par* *Barnard*, *supra*.

(*k*) 1 C., M. & R. 583

(*l*) 5 Dowl. 518.

(*m*) *Lister v. Fenton*

(*n*) 6 Dowl. 772.

(*o*) See *Gough v. W* 363: *Heale v. Curtis*, 5

(*p*) *Tidd*, 502, 763.

(*q*) *Banks v. Wright*

(*r*) *Stacey v. Jeffreys* see *For v. M'Callach*, 1

(*s*) *Butterworth v.* 184: *Haris v. Wilson*, 1

in a *town* cause, where issue was joined in Easter term, notice of trial was given for the sittings after that term, in order for a writ of trial obtained the same day, but no writ of trial before the sheriff was given, a rule for judgment in case of a nonsuit was granted on application in Trinity (i). Where notice of trial is given for a day in term, and made, the motion cannot be made in the same term (u). As a rule nisi for judgment as in case of a nonsuit was made on a peremptory undertaking to try at the next assizes, and afterwards an order to try at the sheriff's court, to relieve the plaintiff from the undertaking, was obtained, the plaintiff neglected to try at the next sheriff's court, the Court of Exchequer held, that the effect of the order was only to substitute the sheriff's court for the assizes, and that the defendant was entitled to a rule absolute in the first instance (r). As to what steps the plaintiff is bound to take on a peremptory undertaking to try at the sheriff's court, see 1079.

[The Motion, Rule, &c.] In order to obtain judgment as in case of a nonsuit, you must make an affidavit of the state of the cause, shewing that issue has been joined (x), and the plaintiff's neglect (y). An affidavit, merely stating that a rule to reply was duly given, that the plaintiff accordingly replied, and that the cause was "thereby" at issue, is not sufficient (z). An affidavit, not stating that issue had been joined, but shewing that notice of trial had been given, has been held to be sufficient (a). If the motion be made in the next term after issue is joined, the affidavit must state that notice of trial was given, and that the plaintiff had not proceeded to trial in consequence of his notice. If it be intended to apply for costs of reply, in case of the rule being discharged upon a peremptory undertaking, the affidavit should also shew that the notice of trial was not countermanded in due time, and the costs allowed (b). Give a motion-paper with this affidavit to counsel, and apply for a rule nisi. Draw up your rule with one of the forms (c); serve a copy of it on the plaintiff's attorney or agent, and make an affidavit of the service. And afterwards, on the day appointed by the rule, give a motion-paper to the clerk, to move to make the rule absolute upon this affidavit of service.

It is, in general, advisable, however, in a country cause, not to move to make the rule absolute until three or four days after the day appointed to shew cause (d). The Statute 14 G. 2, c. 17, s. 1, requires that notice be given of the motion in the Queen's Bench the rule nisi was, of itself, formerly considered a notice (e), but it was not so in the Com-

How v. Bishop, 2 Dowl. 557.
May v. Poulter, 3 Dowl. 650:
Begbie v. Grenville, 2 Id. 238:
Wilson, 3 Id. 658: *Horwood v. Wilson*, 1 Id. 534.
Barnes v. Edwards, 3 Dowl. 660:
Adams v. Adams, 7 Dowl. 672: *post*,
more v. Melton, 2 Dowl. 632:
Kennedy, Id. 639: *Seabrook v. Kennedy*, Id. 691. As to when issue may be joined, see 1070, n. (a).
 See the form, Chit. Forms, 617.
Wick v. Parake, 1 Dowl. 308; 2 C.

& J. 217, S. C.: and see *Gilmore v. Melton*, 2 Dowl. 632: *Smith v. Rigby*, 3 Dowl. 705.
 (a) *Cortyn v. Heyworth*, 5 Scott, 335; 6 Dowl. 181: but this seems questionable, considering that notice of trial may be given on pleadings concluding to the country, before issue is actually joined. (See *Smith v. Rigby*, 3 Dowl. 705).
 (b) See *Ray v. Sharp*, 4 Dowl. 354.
 (c) See the form, Chit. Forms, 618.
 (d) Chit. Sum. Prac. 108, 166.
 (e) *Anon.*, Loft, 265.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

mon Pleas or Exchequer (*f*); and now by rule of all courts of *H. T.*, 2 *W.* 4, r. 68, "a rule nisi for judgment in case of a nonsuit may be obtained on motion, without previous notice; but, in that case, it shall not operate a stay of proceedings;" and, in most cases, therefore, it is advisable to give it. In the Exchequer, the notice, to operate a stay of proceedings, must be given two days previous to the motion (*g*). A rule to take out of court money payable under the 7 & 8 *G.* 4, c. 71, cannot be incorporated with a rule for judgment as in case of a nonsuit (*h*).

Not granted at Chambers.

It will be observed, that the statute admitting a motion directs it to be made "in open court" (*i*); and it is that a judge at chambers has no power to entertain it (*j*).

Term's Notice unnecessary.

The general rule, so often noticed in the course of this Work, which requires a *term's notice* of proceeding, with respect to proceedings have been had in the cause within four terms, does not extend to motions for judgment as in case of a nonsuit (*k*); and it is no objection to the motion that it was joined several years previously (*l*).

Entry of Issue unnecessary.

Formerly, the defendant was not entitled to judgment in case of a nonsuit, until after the issue was entered on record; but now, by rule of *H. T.*, 2 *W.* 4, r. 1, s. 7, "the entry of the issue shall be deemed necessary to entitle the defendant to move for judgment as in case of a nonsuit."

The Rule.

The court, however, instead of making the rule absolute, may either discharge the rule unconditionally, or, "upon cause and reasonable terms," allow a further time for the entry of the issue (*m*).

When discharged unconditionally.

If the defendant be not entitled to the rule, it is, in the course, he is discharged unconditionally, and, in general, without costs. Thus, it will be discharged unconditionally where the issue has not been joined, (*ante*, 1070, &c.), or where the application is made too soon, (*ante*, 1072, &c.), or where the case has been already taken down to trial by the plaintiff (*ante*, 1071, &c.), or where the plaintiff has been restrained by injunction (*n*), or where the cause has been delayed by the general course of business, (*ante*, 1072, &c.), or where the defendant who applies has prevented the plaintiff from taking the case down to trial, (*ante*, 1072, &c.), or has given a cognate discharge. And where it appeared that the bill on which the action was brought had been paid meanwhile by a third party, the court discharged the rule (*p*). But the court have refused to discharge the rule unconditionally, on the ground that the tenant of defendant (who defended as landlord) in ejectment had delivered possession to the lessor of the plaintiff, the landlord not being privy to the transaction (*q*). A

(*f*) *Gauch v. Pearson*, 1 H. BL 527; Tidd, 9th ed. 491, 765; *Civilian v. Martin*, 2 C. & J. 123; Dax, Prac. 70, 76.

(*g*) *Hannah v. Wyman*, 3 Dowl. 673; see *James v. Howe*, 2 M. & W. 379; 5 Dowl. 610, S. C.; and see *Eager v. Cut-hill*, 3 M. & W. 611.

(*h*) *De Beaulieu v. Ryan*, 7 Dowl. 615.

(*i*) See *ante*, Vol. I. p. 8; 2 Inst. 103.

(*j*) But see *De More v. Sarace*, 3 Dowl. 517, in which a rule to make a judge's order for judgment as in case of a nonsuit, a rule of court, was held absolute in the first instance. Probably the order in that case was made by consent.

(*k*) *Shinfield v. Sutton*, 2 Dowl. Moo. & Scott, 187, S. C.; *De I. Muer*, 5 T. R. 634; *Mundy v. I. W. BL 1223*; *Hackin v. Ross*, 1 Dowl. 275; *ante*, Vol. I. 210.

(*l*) *Cramer v. Brown*, 4 Dowl. 611, seven years; *Curtis v. Tabram*, 6 Dowl. 611, eight years.

(*m*) *Vide ante*, 1070.

(*n*) *Ann.*, 1 Chit. 210, n.

(*o*) *Smith v. Joy*, 2 Dowl. 410.

(*p*) *Munk v. Bonham*, 2 C. & J. Dowl. 378, S. C.

(*q*) *Dee v. Dyer*, 3 Dowl. 611, Gale, 46.

insolvency of the plaintiff after action brought is no answer to motion (r). Nor is it a sufficient answer that plaintiff's attorney had acted without authority in bringing the action (s), in one such case the court enlarged the rule for judgment, and granted a rule nisi for payment of costs by the attorney (t).

As to the "just cause and reasonable terms" on which the rule may be discharged, where the defendant would otherwise be liable to judgment as in case of a nonsuit, the court usually enlarges the rule upon the plaintiff's *undertaking peremptorily to do cause* at the next sittings or assizes, or, if it appear that he cannot (from the peculiar circumstances of the case) bring on trial at that time, at some subsequent sittings or assizes (u); where the justice of the case requires it, the court will enlarge to this such other terms as they may think reasonable (v). Under the undertaking here mentioned, however, the plaintiff must shew the court "just cause" for his not having proceeded to trial, and this must be by affidavit, and the excuse must be such as to satisfy the court that the plaintiff's not having proceeded to trial arose, not from any wish upon his part to delay the trial of the issue unnecessarily, or for the purpose of vexation (w), or from any other improper motive (x), but from necessity, or from some other just cause (x). Unless some excuse be given for the default, the defendant must have judgment, and he is not bound to accept a peremptory undertaking (y). The absence of a material witness, or, perhaps, want of documentary evidence, is sufficient cause (z); in withdrawing the record, in order to obtain a special verdict (a); and where the plaintiff, in a *qui tam* action, withdrew the record, because his principal witness refused to give evidence, for fear of subjecting himself to a penalty for the transaction, the court allowed it to be a sufficient excuse; though it appeared that the time limited for bringing any action against the witness would not expire for three terms, so that the plaintiff could not proceed to trial until after the expiration of that time (b). So, where plaintiff's attorney prevented from proceeding to trial by a domestic affliction (c). So, the insolvency of the defendant, not discovered after action brought, is deemed a sufficient excuse; and the court, usually, in such a case, give the defendant his writ of a *stat processus*, (if the plaintiff be willing to give it), and have his rule discharged with costs (d). And this was

When discharged upon just Cause and reasonable Terms.

Frederick v. Rust, 4 Dowl. 90.
Barber v. Wilkins, 5 Dowl. 303.
Faulstich v. Newman, 5 Dowl. 695.
See Hacher v. Hardy, 1 Chit. Rep. 178.
See Raynes v. Spicer, 7 T. R. 178.
See v. Meese, 1 Taunt. 118.
 Thus where the plaintiff was insolvent, and the action was carried on for benefit of his creditors, the court refused him to give security for costs. *See v. Warner*, 1 Harr. & W. 211.
See v. Mountague, 2 M. & W. 315.
 The assignees refused to go on with the action.
See Allingill v. Pearson, 1 B. & P. 103.
 Where the court made the rule absolute because the defendant had behaved loosely and unfairly in the course of the action.

but see *Stone v. Farrey*, 1 East, 554.

(y) *Nicholls v. Collingwood*, 2 Dowl. 60.

(z) *See Jones v. Stephenson*, Barnes, 316; *Jordan v. Martin*, 8 Taunt. 104; *Bunyan v. Yerbury*, 1 D. & R. 448; *Greenhill v. Mitchell*, 6 Taunt. 150; see *Allingill v. Pearson*, 1 B. & P. 103, per C. J. The affidavit in support of the application need not, in general, name the witness. (*Montfort v. Bond*, 2 Dowl. 403).

(a) *Webber v. Roe*, 3 Dowl. 589.

(b) *Raynes v. Spicer*, 7 T. R. 178; see also *Bunyan v. Yerbury*, 1 D. & R. 448. There seems to be no difference in this respect between penal and other actions. (See per Lord Kenyon, C. J., *Stone v. Farrey*, 1 East, 554).

(c) *Weak v. Calloway*, 7 Price, 531.

(d) *Smith v. Badcock*, 5 Dowl. 91. See the form of entry of *stat processus*, Chit. Forms, 618.

See Walter v. Buckle, 2 Chit. Rep. 178.
Nicholls v. Collingwood, 2 Dowl. 60.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

done, in one case, though it did not appear that the plaintiff was unaware of the insolvency when he brought the action. The court have even allowed it to be a sufficient excuse, if the attorney was not enabled to prepare briefs for counsel on account of the plaintiff's absence (f); but it is not a sufficient excuse that the attorney withdrew the record because the plaintiff was poor, and had promised to supply him with money, which he failed to do, in consequence of a permanent insolvency (g): yet where the plaintiff was only temporarily out of funds, and expected to be in funds within a definite period, the excuse was held sufficient to discharge the rule on a peremptory undertaking (h). Where the plaintiff became bankrupt after issue joined, and the assignees refused to proceed with the suit, the court refused to discharge the rule on a peremptory undertaking, unless security for costs was given (i). And the same where the plaintiff was insolvent when the action was carried on for the benefit of his creditors. It has been held to be a good excuse, even after an undertaking, that another action is pending, and in the next paper, for argument, which will decide the point in dispute (l), and, in such a case, the affidavit must state the nature of the cause, and shew that the point in dispute in the two actions is the same (m). The court are, in general, more strict in this respect, where notice of trial has been given, than in other cases. *It is usual for the plaintiff's counsel to shew his affidavit to the counsel for the defendant; and if the latter be satisfied with the excuse stated in the affidavit, he may consent to the rule being discharged, upon the peremptory undertaking above mentioned; the briefs may be indorsed accordingly and handed to one of the masters (n).*

Rule not
opened for
Falsehood in
Affidavit, &c.

If the rule *nisi* is discharged on an affidavit of an excuse which is false in fact, the court will not afterwards open the matter upon disproof of the contents of such affidavit; though, had they seen reason to doubt the truth of it at the time of shewing cause, they would have suspended their judgment until the matter was examined into (o).

Rule, how
drawn up and
Judgment
signed, &c.

The rule for judgment as in case of a nonsuit is discharged either unconditionally or upon the peremptory undertaking above mentioned, or made absolute (p). If made absolute, the defendant *draw up the rule with one of the masters (q). Then bespeak the roll, in order that the master may mark the costs. Judgment being signed, you may sue out execution (r).*

Costs of the
Day when
Part of the
Rule.

The court, in discharging the rule for judgment as in case of a nonsuit, on a peremptory undertaking, may order the plaintiff to pay the costs of not proceeding to trial, provided they be sworn to in the affidavit (s), but the payment of such costs cannot be made a condition of discharging the

(e) *Lemon v. Hopson*, 6 Dowl. 793.

(f) *Stone v. Farry*, 1 East, 554: see *Wynn v. Bellman*, 6 Taunt. 122.

(g) *Cleashy v. Pude*, 3 Dowl. 162; 1 C., M. & R. 521, S. C. In *Radford v. Smith*, 7 Dowl. 26, Purke, B., said, that the insolvency of the plaintiff in *Cleashy v. Pude*, was a permanent one.

(h) *Radford v. Smith*, 4 M. & W. 100; 7 Dowl. 26.

(i) *Taylor v. Montague*, 2 M. & W. 315.

(k) *Nicholson v. Warne*, 1 H. & W. 211.

(l) *De Rutzen v. Richards*, 1 Hax. & W.

110; and see *Wynn v. Bellman*, 6 Taunt. 122.

(m) See *Wynn v. Bellman*, 6 Taunt. 122.

(n) See form of rule for discharging on a peremptory undertaking, C. Forms, 618.

(o) *Daries v. Cottle*, 3 T. R. 408.

(p) See form, Chit. Forms, 618.

(q) *Ibid.*

(r) See the form of judgment, C. Forms, 619.

(s) *Ray v. Sharp*, 4 Dowl. 261.

The rule of *H.*, 2 *W.* 4, s. 69, does not give the express power to grant the defendant the costs of when the rule is made *absolute*; and in one such Court of Queen's Bench, *Taunton*, J., said, that must be made the subject of a separate motion (*u*).

CHAP. XXIV.

after Peremptory Undertaking.] If the rule be dis- upon a peremptory undertaking, the plaintiff must trial accordingly, and of which trial he must give a *re*(*v*). And where the plaintiff gives an undertaking he next practicable sheriff's court, he is bound to *er* steps to try the cause before the defendant obtains as in case of a nonsuit, though for that purpose it *ry* to obtain a special appointment of a court by the . If the plaintiff neglect to proceed to trial in pur- such undertaking, let the defendant's attorney *make it of the fact*(*y*), and give this with a motion paper to *move for judgment as in case of a nonsuit, for not to trial in pursuance of a peremptory undertaking; ert will thereupon grant a rule absolute*(*z*). In the Pleas(*a*) and Exchequer(*b*), the rule is also *absolute* t instance. When you have obtained the rule, *sign as above directed, and sue out execution.*

Default after peremptory Undertaking.

ever, the plaintiff have been prevented by circum- om proceeding to trial in pursuance of his under- must, if possible, before the defendant has moved ment, as above mentioned, make an application to the discharge or to enlarge the peremptory undertaking the cause, and for liberty to try at a future sitting upon an affidavit of the facts; upon which, if suffi- court will grant a rule *nisi* accordingly. If the de- rule for judgment be actually drawn up, the plaintiff should move at the same time that the defendant's discharged(*c*). The application should be made as ossible, for, if the defendant's rule be made absolute, that it will not be discharged under circumstances ould have entitled the plaintiff to an enlargement dertaking, had he applied in time(*d*). Payment of costs by the defendant, after the giving of the per- undertaking, is a good ground for moving to dis- , and the court, in such a case, cannot compel the o enter a *stet processus*(*e*). And, where plaintiff was peremptory undertaking to try at a certain assizes,

Enlargement, Discharge, &c. of peremptory Undertaking.

2 *W.* 4, s. 69: *Johnson v. WL* 421: see *Piercy v. Owen*, : *Lenniker v. Barr*, *Id.* 563: 3, *S. C.*: *Dockett v. Road*, 1 te, 1078, n. (*f*).
v. *Cranbrook*, 1 *Dowl.* 148; . *Purris*, *Id.* 444. Where the charged on a peremptory un- try at the next assizes, and n order for trial at the sheriff's tained, and the plaintiff neg- at the next sheriff's court: that the defendant was enti- e absolute for judgment as in nsult. (*Williams v. Edwards*,).
Adams, 7 *Dowl.* 672: see *Edwards*, 3 *Dowl.* 660.

(*y*) See the forms, *Chit. Forms*, 619.
(*z*) In *Vokins v. Snell*, 2 *Dowl.* 411, *Lit- tledale*, J., decided, that the rule was *nisi* when the undertaking was given without a rule of court; but if the undertaking were given under the authority of a rule of court, the rule would be absolute in the first instance. (*Willis v. Oakley*, 6 *Dowl.* 766; 9 *Price*, 389).
(*a*) *R. H.* 1838: 4 *Blug. N. C.* 365.
(*b*) 9 *Price*, 389.
(*c*) *Charrington v. Mentherringham*, 4 *Dowl.* 479: see *Haines v. Taylor*, 2 *Dowl.* 644.
(*d*) See *per Coleridge, J.*, *Ward v. Turner*, 4 *Dowl.* 22: and see *Haines v. Taylor*, 2 *Dowl.* 644.
(*e*) *Shrimpton v. Carter*, 3 *Dowl.* 648.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

and after that assizes, and before the next term, both parties agreed to a reference, and the arbitrator made no award was held, that the agreement of reference was a waiver of a peremptory undertaking, and a rule for judgment as in case of a nonsuit was discharged on a fresh undertaking (f). In the absence of all but one of a special jury, in a cause which apparently to be tried by a special jury, has been deemed an excuse for not proceeding to trial in pursuance of a peremptory undertaking (g). So, the absence of a material witness is a good excuse (h), and in such case, if it be the first default, the affidavit in support of the motion to enlarge the rule need not state the name of the witness (i). So, the arrest of the plaintiff, who conducted his cause in person, by which he was prevented from attending to try, and the cause called off and struck out of the paper (k). So, the absconding of the plaintiff's attorney, by which the trial was prevented (l), that in consequence of several other causes having been deferred, the cause was called on unexpectedly, at a time when the parties were unprepared (m). So, that the plaintiff deferred proceeding, in order to await the decision of a question in another cause; (and in such a case the question raised, and the action in which it arises, should be stated in the affidavit (n)). Also, where a plaintiff, under a peremptory undertaking to try, set down his cause for trial at certain sittings, at which there was no prospect of its being tried, his not having carried in the record to the proper office was deemed not sufficient to entitle the defendant to judgment as in a case of a nonsuit (o). And it seems in general, if the plaintiff has done his best to perform his undertaking, but fails, in consequence of unavoidable accident from some delay, arising out of the general course of business, and the application is made in proper time (p), the undertaking will be enlarged (q); but, where the cause of the plaintiff not proceeding to trial was, that his principal witness was afraid that his evidence might be injurious to him in a matter then before the House of Lords, the court refused to enlarge the undertaking (r). And where the plaintiff refused to go to trial because it was supposed that his declaration required amendment, and a proposal to refer was going on at the Court of Exchequer discharged a rule for setting aside the rule for judgment as in case of a nonsuit, and enlarging the undertaking (s).

The application to enlarge a peremptory undertaking, or an application to the discretion and favour of the court, will be granted only on payment of costs by the plaintiff (t); after the first default, the payment of costs will be made on condition precedent to enlarging the undertaking (u).

(f) *Spurr v. Rymer*, 7 Dowl. 467.
(g) *Master v. Minter*, 1 Bing. 70; 7 Moore, 367, S. C.: see *Phillips v. Dance*, 9 B. & C. 769.
(h) *Phillips v. Dance*, 9 B. & C. 769.
(i) *Montfort v. Bond*, 2 Dowl. 403.
(k) *Pitt v. Evans*, 2 Dowl. 226.
(l) *Belcut v. Hughes*, 1 Chit. Rep. 279.
(m) *Sutton v. Scruby*, 4 Dowl. 105.
(n) *De Rutzen v. Richards*, 1 Harr. & W. 110; *Wynn v. Bellman*, 6 Taunt. 122.
(o) *Cope v. Holt*, 1 D. & R. 180.

(p) See per Coleridge, J., *Ward v. Mar*, 4 Dowl. 22.
(q) *Sutton v. Scruby*, 4 Dowl. 105. In the cases above cited: see *De Rutzen v. John*, 5 Dowl. 400, where the undertaking was enlarged five times.
(r) *Muston v. Tubord*, 2 H. & W. 1.
(s) *Haines v. Taylor*, 2 Dowl. 604.
(t) *Parcial v. Bird*, 4 Dowl. 748.
(u) *De Rutzen v. Richardson*, 4 Dowl. 564: see *De Rutzen v. John*, 5 Dowl.

CHAPTER XXV.

NOLLE PROSEQUI, RETRAXIT.

CHAP. XXV.

THE NOLLE PROSEQUI is in the nature of an acknowledgment by the plaintiff to forbear to proceed any further in the suit altogether, or as to some part of it, against one or more of the defendants; but if entered as to part only, or as to some of the defendants, he is at liberty to proceed as to the rest (*a*). A *nolle prosequi* is different from a *discontinuance*, for there the plaintiff is put out of court as to all the defendants (*b*). If the *nolle prosequi* is entered before judgment, the plaintiff may afterwards bring a new action for the same cause; but if entered after judgment, it operates as a *retraxit*, and bars any future action for the same cause (*c*).

What it is.

[*Whole Declaration, &c.*] If the plaintiff misconceive the law or make a mistake as to the party sued, (as where a woman is a *feme covert*, and she pleads coverture in bar (*d*), or discovers that the defendant is an infant, and the action is not for necessities, or the like), he may enter a *nolle prosequi* as to the whole cause of action (*e*), and proceed to bring another action.

To the whole Declaration, &c.

[*Of several Counts, &c.*] Where the defendant pleads a plea in bar to one or more of the counts, but not to the whole declaration, and that plea happens to be a bar to one or more of the counts, but not to the whole declaration, the plaintiff may enter a *nolle prosequi* as to the counts to which the plea is a bar. Thus, where *assumpsit* is brought upon an account stated, and infancy is pleaded to the whole of the declaration, the plaintiff may enter a *nolle prosequi* as to the count upon an account stated, and proceed upon an account stated lying against an infant), and as to the other counts (*f*). In a case decided before the rules prohibiting the insertion of several counts in the same cause of action, where the declaration in debt contained one special and several general counts; and to the special count there were several special pleas, and to the general counts the general issue, the plaintiff having entered a *nolle prosequi* on the special count, and joined issue on the general issue, it was held that he was entitled to recover on the general issue, though the matters proved might have been evidence on the special count, and the pleas pleaded

To some of several Counts, &c.

207 b, c.
Muller, 1 Doug. 169, n.
Tiffin, 3 T. R. 511: *Bow-*
 7 Bing. 716; 5 Moo. & P.

(*d*) *Cooper v. Tiffin*, 3 T. R. 511.
 (*e*) See the form of the entry, Chit.
 Forms, 620.
 (*f*) 1 Saund. 207 b.
 (*g*) *Hayward v. Kain*, 1 M. & M. 311.

as to some of the counts, and proceed to trial on the others (*m*). If the defendant plead to one count and demur to another, the plaintiff, if he have judgment on the first, may be content to take damages upon that only, may in general execute a writ of inquiry in case of a bill of exchange or the like, may have a writ of *habeas corpus* to the master, and may enter a *nolle prosequi* as to fact (*n*).

Where, in an action of trespass and assault, the defendant pleaded, first, not guilty; and, secondly, a justification, the plaintiff replied, joining issue on the two pleas, signing: the defendant having demurred to the justification and new assignment, the plaintiff went down to trial and obtained a verdict for 15*l.* damages on the first issue. The plaintiff entered a *nolle prosequi* to the new assignment and gave the defendant judgment on demurrer. Under these circumstances, set aside the *nolle pro*

To Part of a Count.

To Part of a Count.] The plaintiff may enter a *nolle prosequi* as to part of a count. Thus, in trespass, where the plaintiff declares that the defendant took and carried away his hay, grass, and corn, he may enter a *nolle prosequi* as to the hay and grass, and proceed for the taking of

As to some of several Defendants.

As to some of several Defendants.] In actions against several defendants, if the defendants join their pleas, the plaintiff cannot enter a *nolle prosequi* as to any one of them, without releasing the others (*q*). If the defendants sever in their pleas, and one of them plead his *non est* executor, or any other matter in his defence, although he plead also to the action of

In Actions ex contractu.

in actions *ex delicto*, the plaintiff may enter a *nolle prosequi* as to some of the defendants, and proceed against the others any time *before* final judgment, even although they all plead in the same plea, and be found jointly guilty (*s*). And *etiam* he may do so where the defendants plead severally (*t*); or where they plead jointly, but their plea in its nature is several; as where in ejectment against several, who all plead not guilty, the plaintiff may, even at the assizes, enter a *nolle prosequi* as to one or more of the defendants, and proceed against the rest (*u*). Also, if the jury, in an action of trespass, sever the damages where they should not, the plaintiff may take judgment *de melioribus damnis* against one of the defendants, and enter a *nolle prosequi* as to the other (*x*). Where an action of trover was brought against several defendants, and a verdict taken against all, though the plaintiff had previously informed one of them that no evidence would be given against him, as he would be wanted as a witness, in such capacity he accordingly attended; the court ordered a *nolle prosequi* to be entered, as to that defendant (*y*).

CHAP. XXV.
In Actions *ex Delicto*.

How entered.] If entered before issue joined, the plaintiff enters it at the commencement of his replication, &c., and it subsequently appears upon the roll when it is made up; but after issue joined, it is sufficient if it be entered at the time entering the final judgment (*z*). If the plaintiff inadvertently enter the *nolle prosequi* in an improper way, the court will, perhaps, on application for that purpose in proper time, relieve him from it (*a*).

Costs.] Where a *nolle prosequi* is entered as to the whole declaration, the defendant is, and always was, entitled to costs, in the same manner as upon a discontinuance (*b*). And where entered as to some of several counts, or as to part of a count, the plaintiff was not entitled to costs as to these counts, or as to several counts, although he had a verdict on the rest (*c*). But though the plaintiff was not entitled to such costs, yet he was not liable to pay the defendant his costs occasioned thereby; but, however, by statute 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, s. 33, "where a *nolle prosequi* shall have been entered upon any count, or part of any declaration, the defendant shall be entitled to have judgment for, and recover his reasonable costs in his behalf." And since this enactment it has been held that a *nolle prosequi* as to part of the *sum* claimed in the declaration will entitle defendant to the costs on such *nolle pro-*

Deer v. Louth, 1 Ld. Raym. 597; *Eyre*, 1 Wils. 306; *Parker v. Law*, Hob. 70; *Lozer v. Salkeld*, 2 Salk.

Valah v. Bishop, Cro. Car. 239; Id. C.: 2 Ro. Abr. 100, pl. 5; *Greene*, 2 Salk. 457.

Greene v. Rolle, 1 Ld. Raym. 716; 12 551, S. C. Vol. I. 323. See form of the entry, Forms, 621.

Bloomfield v. Blake, 2 Dowl. 237. *Fleming v. Langton*, 1 Str. 532: *Op v. Johnson*, 7 T. R. 473; *Bur-* *Horne*, 7 Bing. 723; 5 Moo. & P. C. See form of entry of *nolle* as to the whole declaration, *Chit.*

L. II.

Forms, 620; the like to one or more of several counts, Id.; the like as to some of several defendants, Id. 621.

(a) See *Burden v. Horne*, 7 Bing. 723; 5 Moo. & P. 756, S. C.

(b) *Cropper v. Tiffin*, 3 T. R. 511. The tenant in a real action was not entitled to costs on a *nolle prosequi*. (*Williams v. Harris*, 1 Bing. N. C. 13; 4 Moo. & Scott, 491, S. C.) See as to the costs of a discontinuance, *ante*, 1057.

(c) *Hubbard v. Briggs*, 16 East, 129. As to costs of a *nol. prosequi* as to one of several counts, see *Goddard v. Smith*, 2 Salk. 456; *Bertram v. Gordon*, 2 Marsh. 144.

Nolle Prosequi.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

sequi (*d*). And where, to a declaration in *assumpsit* *fi* had and received, the defendant pleaded as to all except *non assumpsit*, as to all except 3*l*. 5*s*. a set-off, and as payment of that sum into court; the plaintiff, by his motion, admitted the set-off, and replied that he would then prosecute his suit except as to the 3*l*. 5*s*., and the sum out of court; it was held that the defendant was to his costs of the two first issues (*e*).

Where a *nolle prosequi* is entered as to one of several *counts*, the defendant as to whom it is entered is not entitled to costs (*f*). But he was not entered as to him on a plea of his *personal discharge* of his bankruptcy and certificate (*g*). Now, however, by statute 3 & 4 *W.* 4, c. 42, s. 32, he would be entitled to costs. That act enacting, "that where several persons shall be defendants in any personal action, and any one or more of them shall have a *nolle prosequi* entered as to him, every such person shall have judgment for, and recover reasonable costs."

Retraxit.

Retraxit.] A *retraxit* is very similar to a *nolle prosequi*, but it is entered as to the whole declaration, excepting that the former is entered as to any future action for the same cause; the latter is not. It is made *after judgment* (*h*): the former is also made in open court, when the trial is called on; the latter by a mere entry on the roll out of court.

As a *retraxit* is very unusual in practice, it is unnecessary to consider it further in this place (*i*).

(*d*) *Williams v. Sharwood*, 3 Bing. N. C. 331; 5 Dowl. 371, S. C. In that case it was considered that after a *nol. pros.* the court will not inquire as to the propriety of the pleas.
(*e*) *Goodes v. Goldsmith*, 2 M. & Wels. 202; 5 Dowl. 288, S. C.
(*f*) *Jackson v. Chambers*, 8 Taunt. 643; 2 Moore, 718, S. C.
(*g*) *Booth v. Middleton*, 61 Moo. & P. 182, S. C.; *Haven v. Haven*, 2 Tidd, 9th ed. 981.
(*h*) 1 Saund. 207, n.; *Booth v. Booth*, 7 Bing. 716; 5 Moo. & P. 756.
(*i*) See the form of the *retraxit* roll, 2 Sellon, 338.

CHAPTER XXVI.

REMITTITUR DAMNA.

CHAP. XXVI.

nt, if the plaintiff have judgment by confession or usual for him to remit the damages, and to pray session merely (a). In Ejectment.

of a distress for "rent, customs, services, or it," where the defendant signs judgment of non- of a plea in bar (b), he usually remits the da- than be at the expense of a writ of inquiry, and ment for a return merely (c). In Replevin.

jury give greater damages than the plaintiff has it may be rectified by entering a *remittitur* for); or, if the plaintiff have signed judgment for m, the court will give him leave to amend it, by *remittitur* for the excess, even in a subsequent term, or brought (e). And the same, where the jury where they ought not, as in a penal action (f). ff, however, demand in his declaration more than shewing is due, and there be a special *demurrer* e, he cannot rectify the mistake by entering a the surplus (g); but, if the declaration be not it seems he may (h), unless the sum demanded some deed or other instrument, where the debt e recovered appears certain and entire upon the in debt or covenant to pay 20l.; in which case a ore than appears due is bad, and cannot be aided of a *remittitur* (i). But, if the sum to be re- be more or less, by matter extrinsic, as in debt r rent, if more be demanded than is due, the e remitted (k); so, where the debt or duty is several parcels, a demand of more than is due by a *remittitur* (l). Where the Damages de- manded or found are too large or not recoverable.

on against several defendants, if the jury sever by mistake, the plaintiff, by entering a *remittitur* er damages, may have judgment for the greater ist all the defendants (m). In Action against sever- al.

Judgment for plaintiff ment, with a *remittitur* na, 367.

, Chit. Forms, 440.

pencer, Yelv. 45: *Wray* 10: *Coy v. Hymas*, Id. 327.

4: *Usher v. Dansey*, 4 *Wray v. Lister*, 2 Str.

Wright, 1 H. Bl. 643: D. & R. 561; 2 B. & C.

thcart, 1 Marsh. 180. 5, n. (5).

84, R. pl. 2; 785, S. pl.

1: Com. Dig. Pleader, C. 48.

(i) 1 Saund. 285 a: see *Coy v. Hymas*, 2 Str. 1171.

(k) *Ingledeu v. Cripps*, 2 Salk. 659; 7 Mod. 87; 2 Ld. Raym. 814, S. C.: *Morris v. Gelester*, Id. 317; Carth. 437, S. C.

(l) *Pemberton v. Shelton*, Cro. Jac. 499: *Ingledeu v. Cripps*, 2 Ld. Raym. 815; 7 Mod. 88, S. C.

(m) Vol. I. 323, 324. See form of the entry of a *remittitur* of the damages generally, Chit. Forms, 621: of damages in replevin by defendant, Id. 440; of part of the debt demanded, Id. 321—331; upon some of several counts, Id. 343.

CHAPTER XXVII.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

NEW TRIAL.

What and
when the pro-
per Remedy.

IF any error in the proceedings appear upon the record, the party injured by it has his remedy by motion in arrest of judgment, or writ of error, in such circumstances; and, therefore, in such cases a new trial may be granted (a). But if any defect of judgment arises from causes wholly extrinsic, arising from matter *dehors* the record, the only remedy the party injured (if we except the writ of error *coram nobis* or *coram vobis* in some few cases), is by application to the court for a new trial. This application for a new trial was substituted for a writ of error in some cases (b). The court must be satisfied that there are strong probable grounds to suppose that the merits of the case have not been fairly and fully discussed, and that the decision is not agreeable to the justice and truth of the case, before they will grant a new trial (c). The law and decisions on this subject will now be stated under the following heads:—

- | | |
|--|---|
| <p>1. <i>Cases in which a new Trial will be granted or not.</i>
 <i>Mistake, &c., of the Judge,</i> 1086.
 <i>Default of Officer of the Court,</i> 1089.
 <i>Default or Misconduct of the Jury,</i> <i>id.</i>
 <i>Absence, &c., of Counsel or Attorney,</i> 1092.
 <i>Default or Misconduct of the opposite Party,</i> <i>id.</i>
 <i>Default or Misconduct of Witnesses,</i> 1093.
 <i>Discovery of Evidence after the Trial,</i> 1094.
 <i>Error in Pleadings, Variances, &c.,</i> 1095.
 <i>Where one of several Issues, &c., has been wrongly decided,</i> 1096.
 <i>Where the Action or Defence is trifling or vexatious,</i> <i>id.</i></p> | <p>1. <i>Cases in which a New Trial may be granted or refused.</i>
 <i>Where there has been a previous new Trial.</i>
 <i>Where leave has to be given to enter a Non-verdict,</i> <i>id.</i>
 <i>After Writ of Habeas Corpus before the Trial,</i> 1098.
 <i>In Penal Action</i>
 <i>In Ejectment, &c.</i>
 <i>In Replevin, &c.</i>
 2. <i>Mode of obtaining a New Trial.</i>
 <i>In what Court, &c.</i>
 <i>By whom,</i> 1099.
 <i>The Motion and Return.</i>
 3. <i>The New Trial, &c.</i>
 4. <i>The Costs,</i> 1106.
 5. <i>Venire de Novo,</i></p> |
|--|---|

1. *Cases in which a new Trial will be granted*

Mistake, &c.,
of the Judge.

Mistake, &c., of the Judge.] If the judge in

(a) *Laur v. Cruckett*, 7 Price, 566: see Tidd, 9th ed. 913.

(b) See *Bernasconi v. Farebrother*, 3 B. & Ad. 372.

(c) 3 Bl. Com. 302: see 6 T. R. 638: *Edge v. P.*

243.

ren in a penal action (*e*), it is, in general, a good new trial; unless the court be satisfied that has been done between the parties, notwithstanding action (*f*); for instance, if the jury paid no attention (*g*). So, if the sheriff or his deputy misdirected the court, upon application, will set aside the writ of inquiry (*h*), unless it appear that justice has been done between the parties (*i*). If a jury gave a general verdict for the defendant on issues, having been misdirected on one, the court granted a new trial on payment of costs (*k*). Where the plaintiff acquiesced in the judge's ruling at the trial, and the defendant took a verdict, without going into his case, the court granted a new trial, on the ground of misdirection (*l*).

Misdirection

trespass quare clausum fregit, issues were joined on three:—1. Of a public carriage-way; 2. Of a public bridle-way; 3. Of a public foot-way: the jury found a verdict for the plaintiff on the first issue, and for the defendant on the second; and the judge, without the plaintiff's consent, discharged the jury from giving a verdict on the second issue; the court granted a new trial, although the plaintiff, at the beginning of the trial, had agreed that the damages, if any, should be merely nominal (*m*). Where, however, there were two issues, and the jury found upon both, but the judge, under a misapprehension of the finding upon the first issue rendered the second useless, and discharged the jury upon the second issue, it was held, that the proper course was to apply to the judge to have the verdict entered according to his notes, and not to move for a new trial (*n*).

Improper Discharge of Jury.

So, if a judge improperly nonsuit the plaintiff, a new trial will, in general, be granted (*o*); and this, though the counsel submitted to the nonsuit in deference to the opinion of the judge, such opinion being incorrect (*p*). But it would be otherwise if such opinion were correct (*q*). And where the plaintiff had elected to be nonsuit because the judge directed the jury to give only nominal damages, the Court of Common Pleas refused to grant a new trial (*r*). And, where the judge, summing up a case, directed the jury, if they came to a certain conclusion, to give their verdict for the plaintiff, but, if they came to either of two other conclusions, which he pointed out, to find for the defendant, and state on which ground their judgment was formed; and the plaintiff then submitted to be nonsuited in deference to the opinion of the

Wrong Nonsuit.

Anon., 2 Salk. 649: *How v. Strobe*, 11 Mod. 273.

Wilson v. Rastall, 4 T. R. 753: *Curry v. Middleton*, 1 Camp. 450: *Culver v. Gibbs*, 5 T. R. 19: and this, although the ground for it be not a misdirection. (*Gregory v. Taverner*, 1 C., M., 310).

Edmondson v. Machell, 2 T. R. 4: *De v. Kitchen*, 1 B. & P. 338: *Calcraft v. Williams*, 5 T. R. 20: *Robinson v. Cook*, 6 Mod. 635: *Wickes v. Clutterbuck*, 2 B. & P. 483: 10 Moore, 63, S. C.

Twigg v. Potts, 1 C., M. & R. 80: *De v. Newcastle v. Inhabitants of Broxton*, 1 Nev. & M. 594.

Markham v. Middleton, 2 Str. 1250. *Id.*: and see *Thomas v. Lewis*, 1

Jurist, 982.

(*k*) *Lord v. Wardle*, 3 Bing. N. C. 680; 4 Scott, 402, S. C.

(*l*) *Robinson v. Cook*, 6 Taunt. 336: see *Mellin v. Taylor*, 2 Hodg. 3.

(*m*) *Tinkler v. Ruckland*, 4 Ad. & El. 868.

(*n*) *Iles v. Turner*, 3 Dowl. 211.

(*o*) *Rice v. Shute*, 5 Burr. 2612: *Sadler v. Evans*, 4 Id. 1906: *Burwell v. Hogg*, 3 Wils. 146: *Rackham v. Jervis*, Id. 378.

(*p*) *Alexander v. Barker*, 2 C. & J. 133: *Law v. Wilkins*, 1 Nev. & P. 607.

(*q*) *Kindred v. Bagg*, 1 Taunt. 10: see *Pickering v. Ducann*, 4 Taunt. 779: *Robinson v. Cook*, 6 Id. 336: *Blakemore v. Bird*, M'Clel. 60.

(*r*) *Butler v. Drant*, 3 Taunt. 229: *Simpson v. Clayton*, 2 Bing. N. C. 467.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

Wrong Admis-
sion or Rejec-
tion of Evi-
dence.

judge: it was held that he was not entitled to a new trial on account of misdirection, if either of the two latter points were rightly put to the jury (*s*).

Also, if a judge at the trial, or a sheriff upon the return of a writ of inquiry, admit improper evidence (*t*), or evidence which ought to be admitted (*u*), by which necessarily the result of the trial or inquiry has been different from what otherwise would have been, the court will, in general, grant a new trial, or set aside the execution of the writ of inquiry. And, in a case in the Court of Exchequer, it was held, that a new trial should be granted, unless, with the addition of the rejected evidence, a verdict given for the party offering would be clearly and manifestly against the weight of evidence (*y*). In some cases, however, the court may refuse a new trial though a witness has been improperly rejected, where the fact which such evidence was to establish is proved by another witness, and not disputed (*z*); or where, assuming the rejected evidence to have been received, a verdict in favour of the party for whom it was offered would have been clearly and manifestly against the weight of evidence, and certainly set aside on application to the court as an improper verdict (*a*). Where evidence is tendered for a purpose which it is not admissible, and rejected, a new trial will be granted merely because such evidence was admissible for another purpose, not stated at the trial (*b*).

The court will grant a new trial where improper evidence is received and a verdict found for the party adducing it, although there be other evidence to the same point in favour of the same party, unless they see clearly that the improper evidence could not have weighed with the jury, or that the verdict, if given the other way, would have been set aside against evidence (*c*); the Court of Common Pleas, however, refused a new trial for the improper admission of evidence where there appeared to be sufficient evidence to support the verdict, independently of the evidence so admitted (*d*). If the evidence be not objected to at *Nisi Prius*, the court will not grant a new trial for its admission (*e*).

The court refused to grant a new trial in the sheriff's court upon the ground that the under-sheriff refused to allow the defendant's attorney to cross-examine some of the plaintiff's witnesses, it appearing that the cross-examination was necessary (*f*).

Where the
Objection has
been waived
or not raised
at *Nisi Prius*.

Where an objection is waived at *Nisi Prius*, even though the objection be that evidence required by law was not produced, a new trial will not be granted upon that objection. In an ejectment by a devisee, it was objected at *Nisi Prius*

(*s*) *Facher v. Cocks*, 1 B. & Ad. 145.
(*t*) *Tutton v. Andrews*, Barnes, 448.
(*u*) *Smedley v. Hill*, 2 W. Bl. 1105.
(*z*) Rejecting competent witness, *Robinson v. Williamson*, 9 Price, 136: rejecting secondary evidence of lost document, *Freeman v. Arkell*, 2 B. & B. 494: see *Gravenor v. Woodhouse*, 1 Bing. 38: *Crease v. Barrett*, 1 C., M. & R. 919.
(*y*) *Crease v. Barrett*, 1 C., M. & R. 919.
(*a*) *Edwards v. Evans*, 3 East, 451: *Rex v. Teal*, 11 East, 311: *Alexander v. Barker*, 2 C. & J. 133.

(*a*) *Per Parks, B.*, 1 C., M. & R. 9.
(*b*) *Rex v. Grant*, 3 Nev. & M. 106.
(*c*) *Baron De Rutzen v. Farr*, 4 A. El. 53; 5 Nev. & M. 617, S. C.: *Doe v. Ham v. Wright*, 1 H. & W. 720.
(*d*) *Harford v. Wilson*, 1 Taunt. 12: see *Doe v. Tynham v. Tyler*, 6 Bing. 311.
(*e*) *Mellin v. Taylor*, 2 Hodg. 3.
(*f*) *Power v. Horton*, 3 Hodg. 14.
(*g*) *Shirley v. Matthews*, 1 Jurist, 11: *Mellin v. Taylor*, 2 Hodg. 3.

that the legal fee was in trustees named in the will, but the court were of opinion that they took a chattel interest, and the defendant was held precluded from availing himself of his objection as a ground for a new trial; inasmuch as, if his objection has been correctly stated at the trial, the plaintiff might have removed it, by shewing that the chattel interest was determined (*k*). The non-production of a promissory note at a trial before the secondary is no ground for moving for a new trial, unless the objection to its non-production was taken at the time (*i*). An objection to the applicability of evidence must be made before the summing up (*k*).

CHAP. XI

Where a bill of exceptions has been tendered, the court will never grant a new trial upon the same point of law, unless the party consent to waive his bill of exceptions (*l*).

Where there is a Bill of Exceptions

Default or Misconduct of Officer of the Court.] Where the judge's marshal entered the cause, by mistake, in a wrong list, and the cause was consequently tried as undefended in the presence of the defendant, the court granted a new trial (*m*). And the same where the under-sheriff who returned the panel for the attorney for the opposite party (*n*). And the same where the sheriff returned prisoners for debt, taken out of custody, on a writ of habeas corpus, to serve as an inquest on a writ of inquiry, and the court would have made the sheriff pay the costs had he been a party to the rule (*o*).

Default or Misconduct of Officer of the Court

Default or Misconduct of the Jury.] If a juror have been sworn on the jury by a wrong surname (particularly if he be the person summoned or intended to be sworn) a new trial may be granted (*p*), but otherwise if sworn by a wrong christian name (*q*). It is discretionary, however, with the court to grant a new trial in such a case or not; and they will do so unless the mistake as to the juror have been productive of some injustice (*r*).

Default or Misconduct of the Jury

Where sworn by wrong Name.

If the jury find a verdict contrary to evidence, the court will in general grant a new trial (*s*), even in the case of a trial by a jury (*t*), particularly if the justice of the case require it (*u*). But if the verdict be such as the justice and equity of the case require, although it be contrary to evidence, yet the court will not disturb it (*x*). So, if a verdict be found for the defendant against evidence, in a vexatious or hard action; or for the

Where Verdict is against Evidence.

Dee Gord v. Needs, 2 M. & W. 129.
Henn v. Neck, 3 Dowl. 163.
Abbott v. Parsons, 7 Bing. 563.
Fabrigas v. Mostyn, 2 W. Bl. 929;
 161, S. C.: see *Minchin v. Clement*,
 10 Ald. 252.
Hunter v. Hornblower, 3 Dowl. 491.
Baylis v. Lucas, Cowp. 112: but see
Baylis v. Vickery, 1 Smith, 364.
Stanton v. Beadle, 4 T. R. 473.
Norman v. Beaumont, Willes, 484;
 453, S. C.: *Wray v. Thorn*, 1d.
Parker v. Thornton, 1 Str. 640; 2
 Raym. 141, S. C.: Vol. I. 267—286:
 see *Dovey v. Hobson*, 6 Taunt. 460.
Hill v. Yates, 12 East, 231, n.: and
Fray v. Thorn, Willes, 488.
Hill v. Yates, 12 East, 229: see

Dickenson v. Blake, 7 Bro. P. C. 177.
 (*s*) *Bright v. Eymon*, 1 Burr. 390: *Miller*
v. Tylor, 4 Scott, 513: *Lery v. Milne*, 12
 Moore, 418.
 (*t*) *Musgrave v. Nerinson*, 2 Ld. Raym.
 1358.
 (*u*) *Morris v. Cleashy*, 1 M. & Sel. 576.
 (*x*) *Wilkinson v. Payne*, 4 T. R. 468:
Sampson v. Apleyard, 3 Wils. 273: *Gustin*
v. Wilcock, 2 Id. 302: *Aylett v. Lowe*, 2 W.
 Bl. 1221: *Foxcroft v. Devonshire*, 2 Burr.
 936: *Denn v. Barnard*, Cowp. 507: but
 see 3 B. & Ald. 682. In *Leeke v. Deer*, (1
 Jurist, 983), it is reported to have been
 decided that the court will not grant a
 new trial on the ground of the verdict
 being against evidence, unless the judge
 who tried the cause recommends it.

Where the
evidence is
conflicting.

Where Da-
mages are
excessive.

plaintiff, after an unconscionable defence set up by the defendant, a new trial will not be granted (*v*). And where the credibility of a witness was left to the jury, and they found a verdict against his evidence, although there was no evidence to impeach his credit, a new trial was refused (*z*).

Also, where evidence has been given on both sides the court will seldom grant a new trial, unless the evidence against the verdict very strongly preponderate (*a*). Yet in a question relating to real property, where the inheritance would be forever bound by the verdict, the Court of Common Pleas granted a new trial, although the case had been left to the jury upon conflicting evidence (*b*).

For excessive damages, the court will grant a new trial of course, or set aside the execution of a writ of inquiry, in all cases where the damages may be ascertained by mere calculation (*c*); and in other cases of actions *ex contractu*, if it appears clearly that the damages are excessive (*d*). But they have refused to grant a new trial in an action on a bill or note where the jury found for no greater amount than that of the bill or note, though it was alleged that less was due (*e*). And where the value on which the damages were calculated was assented to by both sides at the trial, the court refused to reduce the damages on the ground that the basis of the calculation was erroneous (*f*). In actions *ex delicto*, such as actions for trespass (*g*), for diverting a water-course (*h*), for criminal conversation (*i*), seduction (*k*), battery (*l*), false imprisonment (*m*) or other personal torts (*n*), malicious prosecution (*o*) slander (*p*), or the like, where there is no certain measure of damages (*q*); a new trial is seldom granted on this account, unless the damages be outrageous (*r*), or the court be satisfied that the jury acted under the influence of undue motives, or of gross error or misconception (*s*); and the same, as to the execution of writs

(*u*) *Mackenzie v. Hull*, 1 Burr. 11; *Farewell v. Chaffey*, Id. 34; *Rearley v. Mainwaring*, 3 Id. 1300; *Dunkly v. Wade*, 2 Salk. 623; *Smith v. Brumpton*, Id. 644; 1 Ld. Raym. 62; 5 Mod. 87, S. C.; *Sparks v. Spicer*, 2 Salk. 648.

(*v*) *Lacey v. Forrester*, 3 Dowl. 658.

(*a*) *Ashley v. Ashley*, 2 Str. 1142; *Doe Mason v. Mason*, 3 Wils. 63; *Swain v. Hall*, Id. 47; *Annell*, 1 Id. 22; see *Norris v. Freeman*, 3 Id. 38; *Melin v. Taylor*, 3 Bing. N. C. 107, where the jury on conflicting evidence had found for defendant, and on new trial granted there was a verdict for plaintiff with £1,000 damages.

(*b*) *Swinnerton v. Marquis of Stafford*, 3 Taunt. 91; see Id. 232, S. C.; *Lee v. Shore*, 2 D. & R. 198; 1 B. & C. 94, S. C.; *Hudgum v. Forster*, 2 D. & R. 221; 1 B. & C. 110, S. C.; see *Louden v. Hierons*, 2 Moore, 102.

(*c*) See *Dry v. Edwards*, 1 Taunt. 491; *Sowerby v. Lockerby*, 1 Jurist, 796.

(*d*) £3,500 against an attorney of considerable property for breach of promise of marriage has been holden not excessive. (*Wood v. Hurl*, 2 Bing. N. C. 166).

(*e*) *Selly v. Puriss*, 1 H. & W. 2.

(*f*) *Hilton v. Fowler*, 5 Dowl. 312.

(*g*) *Benham v. Frederick*, 3 Burr. 1845; *Ducker v. Wood*, 1 T. R. 277; *Merest v. Harrey*, 5 Taunt. 442; 1 Marsh, 139, S. C.

(*h*) *Pleydell v. Earl of Dorchester*, 7 T. R. 529; 1 Chit. Rep. 729 a.

(*i*) *Duberley v. Gunning*, 4 T. R. 631; *Wilford v. Berkeley*, 1 Burr. 689; see *Chambers v. Caulfield*, 6 East, 244.

(*k*) *Irwin v. Drarman*, 11 East, 23; *Tullidge v. Wade*, 3 Wils. 18.

(*l*) *Jones v. Sparrow*, 5 T. R. 257; *Gray v. Grant*, 2 Wils. 252, £200 for an assault.

(*m*) *Huckle v. Money*, 2 Wils. 205; *Leeman v. Allen*, Id. 163; *Beardmore v. Carrington*, Id. 244, £1,000 for false imprisonment, under warrant of secretary of state.

(*n*) *Fabricas v. Mostyn*, 2 W. Bl. 929; *Gilbert v. Burtenshaw*, Cowp. 231; in *Bland v. Bland*, 1 H. & W. 167, £1,000 for a forcible entry into a dwelling-house and staying there three or four days, and distraining to enforce an unfounded claim to the property, was holden not to be excessive.

(*o*) *Leith v. Pope*, 2 W. Bl. 1327; *Norris v. Tyler*, 1 Cowp. 37.

(*p*) *Smith v. Brumpton*, 2 Salk. 644.

(*q*) See *Bennett v. Allcott*, 2 T. R. 166; *Day v. Holloway*, 1 Jurist, 794.

(*r*) *Price v. Severne*, 7 Bing. 316; 5 Moo. & P. 125, S. C.; *Sharpe v. Brice*, 3 W. Bl. 942; *Leith v. Pope*, Id. 1327; *Pleydell v. Earl of Dorchester*, 7 T. R. 529; *Bruce v. Rautins*, 3 Wils. 61.

(*s*) *Chambers v. Caulfield*, 6 East, 244.

A very clear case of excess must be made out; CHAP. XI
 roborated affidavit of the defendant seems to be
 this purpose(*u*). It is very usual in cases of
 an excessive verdict has been given, for the
 st to the counsel to agree on a sum, to prevent
 a new trial(*v*).

hand, a new trial will not be granted, nor the Where Da
 mages are
 small.
 writ of inquiry set aside, on account of the
 damages(*w*), unless it have arisen from some
 upon the part of the court(*x*) or of the jury(*y*),
 unfair practice on the part of the defendant(*z*).

a case of slander, the jury gave 20*s.* damages
 refused to grant a new trial at the instance of

. Yet where in an undefended action on a mort-
 dict was taken for the plaintiff by mistake for
 ily, the court refused to increase the damages
 nterest, but offered to grant a new trial(*b*).

conduct of the jury, also, the court will in ge- Miscondu
 in the Ju
 ew trial, if the misconduct be such as to satisfy

he verdict has been determined on without that
 is deliberation, that right exercise of judgment,
 absence of all partiality, so necessary to the
 n of the important duties of jurymen: thus, if
 or drink at the expense of the party for whom
 find a verdict; or if they determine their ver-
Vol. I. 287); or if they or any of them have
 ared that the plaintiff should never have a ver-
 like; the court will set aside the verdict, and

al. But if the information of such misconduct
 of the jurors, or from the unsuccessful party,
 not receive it(*d*), although in some degree con-
 e). And an affidavit of what one of the jury
 proper conduct of the jury in finding a verdict,
 ived to impugn the verdict(*f*). Nor is it, of
 ent ground for a new trial, that, upon an ad-
 ight, in the midst of a trial, the jury separated,
 eparation was without the permission of the
 nt of the parties, and was not in fact known
 verdict(*g*). Even an admission by jurymen
 was entered by mistake, made after they had
 gh on the day of trial, is not a sufficient ground
 h).

ng a new trial where the sheriff who returned

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| rick, 3 Burr. 1485 : | Dowl. 313, S. C. |
| Willa. 61, 63 : <i>Irwin</i> | (c) <i>Dent v. Hundred of Hertford</i> , 2 |
| , 23. | Salk. 645; 2 Comyn. 601: see <i>Gainford v.</i> |
| son, 10 Moore, 106. | <i>Blachford</i> , 6 Price, 36. |
| J., 7 Bing. 320 : see | (d) <i>Vaise v. Delaval</i> , 1 T. R. 11: <i>Onions</i> |
| <i>Dev. & M.</i> 301. | <i>v. Naish</i> , 7 Price, 203: <i>Hartwright v.</i> |
| <i>Newton</i> , 2 Str. 940 : | <i>Badham</i> , 11 Price, 383. |
| L. 1051: <i>Manricet v.</i> | (e) <i>Owen v. Warburton</i> , 1 N. R. 326: |
| 109, 510. | see <i>Hindle v. Birch</i> , 8 Taunt. 26; 1 |
| <i>iddleton</i> , 2 Str. 1259 : | Moore, 455, S. C. |
| 2 Doug. 510. | (f) <i>Straker v. Graham</i> , 7 Dowl. 223 ; |
| <i>ides</i> , 1 Str. 425: <i>Lery</i> | 4 M. & W. 721, S. C. |
| 49; 5 Moo. & P. 206, | (g) <i>Res v. Kinnear</i> , 2 B. & Ald. 468; 1 |
| | Chit. Rep. 401, S. C. |
| <i>ampton</i> , 2 Salk. 647 : | (h) <i>Davis v. Taylor</i> , 2 Chit. Rep. 268. |
| <i>itra</i> 515. | See a case where all the jury were not pre- |
| <i>ward</i> , 5 Bing. N. C. 424. | sent when the verdict was given, <i>Res v.</i> |
| <i>n</i> , 2 M. & W. 199; 5 | <i>Wooler</i> , 2 Stark. 111; 6 M. & Sel. 366, S. C. |

BOOK IV.
PART I.

the panel is interested, or has returned improper per
ante, 1089.

Absence, &c.,
of Counsel or
Attorney.

Absence, &c., of Counsel or Attorney.] The court have a new trial, where a verdict has been obtained against on account of the absence of his counsel (*i*); but such are very rare, and particularly of late. Where a cause on and tried as an undefended cause in consequence defendant's attorney neglecting to deliver his briefs, the Common Pleas indeed granted a new trial, but ordered defendant's attorney to pay the costs as between attorney and client, out of his own pocket (*k*). Where a cause, worth thirty off at the assizes, was taken out of its turn, in the absence of the defendant's attorney, and he was casually absent, no notice having been given that it was taken as an undefended cause, the court set aside the verdict and granted a new trial, the costs to abide the event. Where a cause in the written list for the day was taken out of its order, as an undefended cause, in the absence of the defendant's attorney, the court granted a new trial, with payment of costs, and an affidavit of merits (*m*); if it were not in the written list, the court will not, in such a case, grant a new trial except upon an affidavit of merits. The court will not grant a new trial, even on payment of costs, where the defendant or his attorney, having an opportunity of trying, carelessly permits a verdict to be taken against him in an undefended cause (*o*).

Default or
Misconduct of
the opposite
Party.

Improperly
influencing
the Jury.

Default or Misconduct of the opposite Party.] If a party for whom a verdict is afterwards given, deliver testimony after they have left the bar, evidence which has not been admitted to the court, a new trial will be granted (*p*). So, if a party laboured the jury, or used improper influence with the jury to induce them to give a verdict in his favour, a new trial will be granted. Where hand-bills reflecting on the character of a party were distributed in court, and shewn to the jury the day of trial, a verdict against him was set aside on application, and a new trial granted, although the defendant's affidavit denied all knowledge of the hand-bills, and he merely desiring a juror to attend at the trial of the cause on that ground for a new trial (*r*).

Misleading or
taking by sur-
prise the op-
posite Party.

Where by a fraudulent trick upon the part of the plaintiff's counsel were taken by surprise, and thereby obtained a verdict, the court granted a new trial. Where a witness proved a fact, which took the opposite party by surprise, the court refused a new trial on that ground, although it appeared that by mistake he had not been cross-

(i) *Anon.*, 2 Salk. 645.

(k) *De Rouffigny v. Peale*, 3 Taunt. 484; *Greatwood v. Sims*, 2 Chit. R. 269; but see *Moody v. Dick*, 2 B. & B. 395; 5 Moore, 164, S. C.; *Watson v. Reese*, 5 Bing. N. C. 112, *contra*.

(l) *Aust v. Fenwick*, 2 Dowl. 246.

(m) *Fourdrinier v. Bradbury*, 3 B. & Ad. 321; see *Srigger v. Rutherford*, 2 Dowl. 429, without costs.

(n) *Blackhurst v. Hulmer*, 5 B. & Ald. 907; 1 D. & R. 553, S. C.

(o) *Watson v. Reese*, 5 Bing. N. C. 112; 7 Dowl. 127, S. C.; *Breach v. Coater*.

ton, 7 Bing. 224; 7 Bing. P. 867, S. C.; and see *Muell*, *Id.*, note; and *George*, 1 Moo. & Sc. 265, *ante*, Vol. I. 265.

(p) Vol. I. 287.

(q) *Custer v. Merest*, 3 Moore, 87, S. C.; and see *Wilkes*, 3 Smith, 321.

(r) *Snell v. Timbrell*, 1 :

(s) MS., E. 1814; see *George*, 1 Burr. 352; *Edwards & Company*, 1 W. Bl. 298; 1 Taunt. 271.

any evidence given to contradict him(*t*). Where
s non-suited in consequence of a refusal by the
counsel at the trial to admit certain documents in
ich had been agreed to be admitted by the de-
orney's agent, the court granted a new trial with
aid by the defendant, but they refused to make
s attorney pay the costs, because he was not
e trial when the objection was taken, and had
ructions to the counsel so to do(*u*). Where a
demand was so penned as to mislead the defend-
granted a new trial(*x*).

iff have given no notice of trial, or an insufficient
urt will grant a new trial(*y*): so, if no notice of
rit of inquiry, or an insufficient notice be given,
set aside the execution of the writ(*z*). But
rities are waived by the defendant appearing and
nce(*a*).

CHAP. XXV

Where no
Notice of
Trial given.

Misconduct of Witnesses.] A new trial has been
count of the non-attendance of a material wit-
the court in one case granted it without costs,
rial witness for the defendant was kept out of
he contrivance of the plaintiff to prevent him
rved with a subpcena(*c*): but in a later case,
as for the plaintiff was kept out of the way by
e of the defendant, the court refused a new trial,
t the plaintiff ought to have applied for a post-
he trial, or withdrawn the record(*d*). And the
s, that a new trial will not be granted on the
vidence has not been given that might have
the trial(*e*): and the court will not, on motion
d, hear an affidavit of any facts which might
ight forward at *Nisi Prius*(*f*). The plaintiff
epared with his evidence, either to make ap-
ostpone the trial before the jury are sworn,
hdraw his record, and not take the chance of a

Default or
Misconduct
Witnesses.
Non-attend-
ance of.

ave granted a new trial, where it appeared
e plaintiff's case was a mere fiction supported by
h the defendant could not at the time of the
ed to answer(*h*). The court, however, will not
satisfied with the mere affidavit of the party
pplication, contradicting the witnesses on the
the witnesses must in general be indicted and

Perjury of
Witness.

son, 2 Chit. 194: see
n, 9 Price, 89.
Roe, 5 Dowl. 420.
ingale, 4 Scott, 255; 7
see Correll v. Cattle, 5

ble, 2 Salk. 646: Wil-
? Dowl. 350: and see
l, 2 Dowl. 429.
e, Barnes, 233.
Cole, 2 Salk. 646: Yate
233: and see Doe An-
s. & Ad. 402: Fraas v.
it, 545: Sherman v.
l; 3 Hodges, 32, S. C.:

645.

(d) *Turquand v. Dawson*, 1 C., M. & R.
709: and see *Edwards v. Dignum*, 2 Dowl.
642: *Packham v. Newman*, 3 Id. 165:
Henning v. Samuel, 2 Dowl. 766; 3 Moo.
& Sc. 818, S. C.

(e) *Cooke v. Berry*, 1 Will. 98; 1 C., M. &
R. 710, n.: see *Macbeath v. Ellis*, 4 Bing. 578.

(f) *Hope v. Atkins*, 1 Price, 143.

(g) *Harrison v. Harrison*, 9 Price, 89:
Edwards v. Dignum, 2 Dowl. 642: *Elms-
lie v. Wildman*, 8 Taunt. 236; 2 Moore,
179, S. C.

(h) *Fabrilus v. Cock*, 3 Burr. 1771.

(i) *Feise v. Parkinson*, 4 Taunt. 640:
see *Aliken v. Howell*, 1 Nev. & M. 191:
Sprague v. Mitchell, 2 Chit. 271: but see
Lister v. Mundell, 1 B. & P. 427: and see
Fabrilus v. Cock, 3 Burr. 1771.

**BOOK IV.
PART I.**

convicted(*m*); or some other satisfactory proof must be to the court of the perjury. Even where the witness indicted, we have seen that the court refused to stay until the indictment should be tried(*n*). It is no ground for a new trial that a witness who described himself as a Jew and by a wrong name, and was sworn on the New Testament, was really a Jew, unless objected to at the trial, for he can be indicted for perjury(*o*). Also, it seems to be no ground for a new trial that new witnesses have been discovered who can contradict the witnesses at the former trial(*p*).

**Mistake of
Witness.**

Where a witness made a mistake in his evidence, of which a verdict was given against the party who called him, the court refused a new trial, although the mistake was explained to them by the affidavit of the witness himself. But in a more recent case, under similar circumstances, the Court of Common Pleas granted a new trial(*r*).

**Incompetency
of Witness.**

An objection to the competency of witnesses, made after the trial, is not of itself a sufficient ground for a new trial; although it may have some weight with the court where the party applying appears to have merits(*s*). It is not a sufficient ground that a witness was admitted as such on the opposite attorney's undertaking to have him examined, which, since the trial, he has refused to do(*t*).

**Discovery of
New Evidence,
&c., after the
Trial.**

Discovery of new Evidence, &c., after the Trial.] A new trial will seldom be granted, where a verdict has been given against a party, or a plaintiff has been nonsuited, for want of evidence, which might have been produced at the trial, because the court tends to introduce perjury(*u*). Even although the party is briefed, and his counsel think fit not to produce evidence, unless the verdict be manifestly against the justice of the case(*v*). And where a verdict passed against a defendant, and a material witness for him arrived on the following day, the Court of Common Pleas refused a new trial, because he had not moved to put off the trial on account of the absence of the witness(*a*). But if new evidence have been discovered after the trial, the court will grant a new trial upon payment of costs, if it be necessary, in order to do justice between the parties. Where the defendant was executor, and was absent from the kingdom at the time the action was brought, the Court of Common Pleas granted a new trial, upon the discovery of evidence after verdict against the plaintiff, although such evidence was in the possession of the defendant's attorney at the time of the trial, but not produced by him to be so(*b*). The discovery of witnesses who will contradict those produced on the former trial, seem to be a good ground for a new trial(*c*).

(*m*) *Beerfield v. Petrie*, 2 Tidd, 938: *Seeley v. Mayhew*, 4 Bing. 561.

(*n*) *Ante*, 995: *Warwick v. Bruce*, 4 M. & Sel. 140: see *Thurstell v. Beaumont*, 1 Bing. 339; 8 Moore, 612, S. C.

(*o*) *Sells v. Hoare*, 3 B. & B. 232.

(*p*) *Dikenson v. Blake*, 7 Bro. P. C. 177.

(*q*) *Huish v. Sheldon*, Say. 27: but see *Richardson v. Fisher*, 1 Bing. 145; 7 Moore, 546, S. C. *concl.*

(*r*) *Richardson v. Fisher*, 7 Moore, 546; 1 Bing. 145, S. C.

(*s*) *Turner v. Pearte*, 1 T. R. 717.

(*t*) *Hemming v. English*.

(*u*) *Cooke v. Barry*, 1 W. & A. 101: *Alberton*, 3 Salk. 361: see *Hampton*, 2 Salk. 647: *Spang v. W. Bl.* 802.

(*v*) *Spung v. Hogg*, 2 W. & A. 101: *Stothard*, 2 Chit. 267.

(*w*) *Martyn v. Pedger*, 5 B. & A. 101.

(*x*) *Elmfield v. Withman*, 4 B. & A. 101.

(*y*) *Broadhead v. Marsha*, 1 W. & A. 101: and see *Went v. Oller*, 1 W. & A. 101: *Thurstell v. Beaumont*, 1 Bing. 339.

(*z*) *Dickinson v. Blake*, 7 B. & A. 101.

The court will not grant a new trial, to let the party into a CHAP XXVII.
 ence of which he was apprized at the first trial(*d*.) But Defence not
 ere, in an action for a nuisance, which was defended by the set up at
 endant's landlord, the defendant not attending at the trial Trial
 consequence of his being told that he need not do so, the
 money employed by the landlord entered into a consent-rule
 abate the nuisance, without the consent and against the
 actions of the defendant; the court, upon strong affidavits
 wing that the grievance complained of was not a nuisance,
 aside an attachment which had issued on the consent-rule,
 granted a new trial(*e*).

[*Error in Pleadings, Variance, &c.*] Where a new trial was Error in
 lied for, on account of a variance between the issue deli- Pleadings,
 ed and the *Nisi Prius* record, the court refused it(*f*). Variance, &c.
 in an action on a replevin-bond, where the plaintiff was
 mit because of a variance between the replevin-bond and
 record, the court gave leave to amend, upon payment of
 , and ordered a new trial(*g*). In a recent case, after ver-
 for the plaintiff in debt on bond, (the defendant not ap-
 g at the trial), the court granted a new trial, on the
 d that in the issue delivered the pleas were not dated on
 y of delivery(*h*). But it seems that such an error cannot
 en advantage of by a defendant who appears at the trial,
 objected to there, the judge might amend it according to
 t(*i*). As to what errors, in a writ of trial before the
 afford a ground for a new trial, see *Vol. I.* 294.
 re the plaintiff went to trial without adding the *simi*-
 a plea, concluding to the country, and obtained a ver-
 e court held that after verdict, the "&c.," at the end of
 a, was equivalent to a *similiter*, and refused a new
). A new trial has been refused to the defendant,
 his object was to plead specially, and rely upon a de-
 hich he was not permitted to give in evidence under
 eral issue(*l*). Also, where the defendant's object was
 nd a plea of right of way in which the way had been
 etly described(*m*). And in the last-mentioned case,
 rt intimated that there was no case in which the de-
 would be entitled to a new trial, where the verdict
 arly right, though the pleadings were wrong. An error
 may be taken advantage of on motion in arrest of judg-
 r writ of error, &c., is not a ground for a new trial(*n*).
 ere a Welsh cause was tried in Monmouthshire instead
 eford, the court refused to set aside the verdict on that
 ;, as the notice of the trial was for Monmouthshire,
 e defendant did not object to it; besides, the objection
 ed upon the record, and therefore, if well founded, the
 ad another remedy(*o*).

n a verdict is taken, subject to the opinion of the court Defect in Spe-
 cial Case.

Worm v. Hankey, 2 T. R. 113: see
Martin, 1 T. R. 84: *Ritchie v.*
 7 Taunt. 309: *Pickering v.*
 1 Taunt. 779.
Worthington v. Harris, 1 Ring. 187.
Wether v. Brinker, 2 Wils. 243: *Doe*
Wylde, 2 B. & Ald. 472: *Jones*
 s, 8 Taunt. 634.
Went v. Abrahams, 3 Taunt. 81:
W. Pratt, 5 B. & Ald. 806, S. P.:
Worm v. Knoll, 4 Nev. & M. 348.

(*h*) *Worthington v. Wigley*, 3 Scott, 355.
 (i) See *Onz v. Painter*, 1 Nev. & P. 581.
 (k) *Strain v. Lewis*, 3 Dowl. 700: see
 cases where the "&c." was omitted, and
 amendment allowed, even after error
 brought. (*Siboni v. Kirkman*, 3 M. & W. 46).
 (l) *Kirby v. Simpson*, 3 Dowl. 791: *Ta-*
verner v. Little, 5 Bing. N. C. 678.
 (m) *Edwards v. Broton*, 2 C. & J. 18.
 (n) *Lane v. Crockett*, 7 Price, 586.
 (o) *Ambrose v. Ross*, 11 East, 370.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

on a *special case*, and the special case turns out to be *tively stated* that the court cannot give judgment: a new trial will be granted (*p*).

Where one of several issues, &c., has been wrongly decided.

Where one of several Issues, &c., has been wrongly Where there are several issues, and a verdict on one found against evidence, the court cannot grant a new trial that issue only, but must grant it as to all the issues grant it at all (*q*). And the issue thus found against must be a material issue, to induce the court to grant a new trial (*r*). A jury having assessed damages upon a principle, the court, in granting a new trial, refuses the inquiry to the question of damages (*s*). The second trial, whether under special issues or on one issue, will be confined to the same issues raised on the first trial (*t*). Where two issues were raised by the plea, the jury found upon both, but the judge before whom the cause was tried discharged the jury upon the second issue upon misapprehension that the verdict upon one issue was upon the other issue immaterial, the court held that the judge was not to move for a new trial, but to apply to a jury to correct the verdict according to his notes (*u*). *Where one of several issues, &c., has been wrongly* presently see, that although one of the defendants only be found against, all must in general join in the application for a new trial.

Where the Action or Defence is trifling or vexatious.

Where the Action or Defence is trifling or vexatious court will not, in general, grant a new trial, where the matter in dispute, or the amount of damages to be given to the plaintiff would be fairly entitled, is too inconsiderable to merit a second examination (*y*). The value or amount must be twenty pounds, at least, to induce the court to interfere, unless on trials before the sheriff (*a*), (in which the sum is five pounds (*b*)), or the verdict involve some right independent of the damages (*c*), and this will be for plaintiff or defendant (*d*). The court will, however, sometimes grant a new trial on the ground of misdirection of the judge, though the verdict be under twenty pounds (*e*); and in a recent case it was granted for a new trial, though the amount in question was less than 1*l*. The rule, as to refusing a new trial in these cases, applies to motions made by plaintiff as well as motions made by defendant.

Also, if the defendant succeed in a hard or vexatious defence, the court will, in general, refuse a new trial (*h*), unless

(*p*) *Darid v. Herring*, 1 Str. 300; and see *Hankey v. Smith*, 3 T.R. 507, n.: Vol. 1. 320.

(*q*) Bul. N. P. 326: *Bernasconi v. Farebrother*, 3 B. & Akl. 372: but see *Hutchinson v. Piper*, 4 Taunt. 555: see as to a *renire de novo*, *Daries v. Lourdes*, 4 Bing. N. C. 478.

(*r*) Bull. N. P. 326.

(*s*) *Mahoney v. Frasi*, 1 C. & M. 325.

(*t*) *Thermiter v. Sainsbury*, 7 Bing. 437; 5 Moo. & P. 321, S. C.

(*u*) *Iles v. Turner*, 3 Dowl. 211.

(*a*) *Post*, 1022.

(*y*) *Marsh v. Borer*, 2 W. Bl. 851: *Macrae v. Hull*, 1 Burr. 11: *Burton v. Thompson*, 2 Id. 654: *Roberts v. Kerr*, 1 Taunt. 405: M.S., E. 1814: and see *Fernon v. Hankey*, 2 T.R. 113: *Woods v. Pope*, 1 Bing. N. C. 467; 1 Scott, 536, S. C.: *Haine v. Dury*, 2 H. & W. 30.

(*z*) *Sorell v. Champion*, 2

(*a*) *Taylor v. Helps*, 5 B. Edwards v. Dignum, 2 D. ride Henning v. Samuel, Id. & Scott, 818, S. C., *contra*;

(*b*) *Packham v. Newman* R. 585: *Williams v. Evans*, 220: *Lydden v. Coombs*, *Fleetwood v. Taylor*, 6 Dow

(*c*) See *Dabell v. Duffield*, 910: 1 Chit. Rep. 265: 1 *Beran v. Jones*, 2 Y. & J. 2

(*d*) *Young v. Harris*, 2 C

(*e*) *Anon.*, v. *Phillips*, 1

Terry v. Potts, 1 C., M. &

(*f*) *Haine v. Dury*, 4 A

(*g*) *Tidd*, 9th ed. 913.

(*h*) *Macrae v. Hull*, 1 B. press v. *Johns*, 2 Nev. 1 *Johnson v. Piper*, 10. 572.

where the verdict is contrary to the direction of the CHAP. XXVII.
(i). And in many cases the court has refused to dis-
verdict according to the justice of the case, though
has been a misdirection (k).

On the other hand, if, on a plea in abatement, the jury find Plea in Abate-
the defendant, the court will not grant a new trial, ment, or De-
payment of costs (l). Nor will they grant a new defence not on
let in a defence not on the merits (m). the Merits.

Will the court grant it in any other cases of strict right
nam jus, where the rigorous exaction of extreme legal
could be hardly reconcileable to conscience. Where a
covered a sum composed of several items, some of
was not in strict law entitled to recover under the
on in that action, but which he would clearly be
to recover in a different form of action, the court
to grant a new trial, or reduce the damages (n).

[Where there has been a previous new Trial.] If the jury at
nd trial find for the party against whom the former
was given, the court, if the case be doubtful, or the
verdict do not accord with the justice of the case, may
ed, under circumstances, to grant a third trial. It is
in the discretion of the court, however, to do so or
the losing party, in such a case, is not entitled to it
rule or practice of the court (o); and they have ac-
y refused it where the second verdict was satisfac-

It is also in the discretion of the court to grant a
ial after two concurring verdicts (p). But this is
done (q), and the court have refused to grant it, after
trial for excessive damages, and the same damages
the second verdict (r); and the same where the two
ng verdicts were for the defendant, even although the
efore whom the second trial was had, expressed him-
atisfied with the verdict (s). But where, in such a
action was brought for a matter savouring of the
nd the plaintiff would have been concluded by the
the court, under circumstances, set aside the last
and ordered a nonsuit to be entered, leaving the
to contest the matter a third time, if he would (t).

[Where Leave has been reserved to enter a Nonsuit or Verdict.]
udge at the trial, when there is a doubt whether the
will lie, allow the plaintiff to take a verdict, with
or the defendant to move to set aside the verdict, and
nonsuit, the defendant may move accordingly, and so
he opinion of the court upon the subject; but without
ve, he cannot move to enter a nonsuit (u). And on
tion, it seems, the court will consider not merely the

Warrant v. Olmuis, 3 B. & Ald.

(q) See *Foster v. Steele*, 3 Bing. N. C. 892.

Edmonson v. Machall, 2 T. R.
on v. Payn, 4 T. R. 468: *Cor*
1 B. & P. 338.

(r) *Clerk v. Udall*, 2 Salk. 649: *Cham-*
bers v. Robinson, 2 Str. 672.

v. Hulop, 4 D. & R. 241.

(s) *Surinnerton v. Marquis of Stafford*, 3
Taunt. 232.

v. Massin, 1 T. R. 84: *Tul-*
me, 3 Wils. 18.

(t) *Lee v. Shore*, 2 D. & R. 198; 1 B. &
C. 94, S. C.

Widd v. Wadley, 3 B. & C. 357.

(u) Vol I. 314: *Minchin v. Clement*,

er v. Ansd', 2 W. Bl. 963.

1 B. & Ald. 252: *Watkins v. Towers*, 2 T.

win v. Gibbons, 4 Burr. 2101.

R. 275 to 281.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

point reserved, but the whole case(*s*). So, where a *p* has been nonsuited, the court may order the nonsuit to be set aside, and a verdict entered for him, if the judge at *Nisi* gave him leave to move to that effect(*y*); but not otherwise. And on either of these motions it seems that the court, in refusing to allow a nonsuit or verdict to be entered, may be in the rule; and send down the case for a new trial, in which course be more in accordance with the justice of the case.

After Writ of
Trial or In-
quiry before
the Sheriff.

After Writ of Trial or Inquiry before the Sheriff. The execution of a writ of inquiry may be set aside, and a writ awarded for the same causes as a verdict: as to this see *ante*, 719. The execution of a writ of trial may also be set aside, and a new trial granted thereon, for similar causes which see *ante*, Vol. I. 297. And as to when a new trial may be granted for a defect in the writ of trial or issue, see Vol. I. 294.

In Penal Ac-
tions.

In Penal Actions.] In penal actions, if there be a verdict for plaintiff, the court will grant a new trial in the like manner as in other actions; but if the jury have found a verdict for the defendant, a new trial is never granted(*a*), unless there be a mistake of law(*b*), or misdirection of the judge(*c*). But otherwise in penal actions by parties aggrieved(*d*). The rule in such cases is the same as in other actions.

In Ejectment.

In Ejectment.] In ejectment, where the verdict is for the defendant, the court will seldom grant a new trial, but the plaintiff may, if he will, bring a new action; but otherwise if found for the plaintiff, and the circumstances of the case in other respects warrant them in granting it(*e*).

In Replevin.

In Replevin.] In replevin, where the verdict is for the plaintiff, the court will be more cautious in granting a new trial than in other actions, and will not grant it unless there be very clear grounds; for the landlord has other remedies for his rent, and a new trial would renew the liability of the sureties, and the plaintiff's risk of paying double costs.

2. Mode of obtaining a new Trial.

2. Mode of
obtaining a
new Trial.
In what
Court.

In what Court.] The motion for a rule to shew cause why a verdict should not be set aside, and a new trial granted, is to be made in the court from which the *venue* issued, even in cases where the action is brought under the Lord Chancellor's or Lord Chief Justice's writ, but in the case of an issue out of Chancery, the motion must, as we have seen, (*Vol. I.* 647), must in general be made in the court to which the cause is removed.

(*s*) See *Doe v. Dodd*, 2 Nev. & M. 838.

(*y*) *Treacher v. Hinton*, 4 B. & Ald. 413.

(*c*) See *Doe Wyatt v. Staff*, 5 Bing. N. C. 424: *Higgins v. Nichols*, 7 Dowl. 551.

(*a*) *Brook v. Middleton*, 10 East, 268: *Matthison v. Allanson*, 2 Str. 1238: *Jervois v. Hall*, 1 Will. 17: *Foncroau v. —*, 3 Will. 59: see also *Gregory v. Tugge*, 2 Dowl. 1244; 1 W. Bl. 348, & C.: *Dormer v. Parkhurst*, 2 Str. 1244: *Parry v. Duncan*, 7 Bl. Moo. & P. 19, & C.: *Curstons v. Stein*, 4 M. Tidd, 913.

(*b*) *Gregory v. Tugge*, 2 Dowl. 1244; 1 W. Bl. 348, & C.: *Dormer v. Parkhurst*, 2 Str. 1244: *Parry v. Duncan*, 7 Bl. Moo. & P. 19, & C.: *Curstons v. Stein*, 4 M. Tidd, 913.

(*c*) *Ante*, 1087.

(*d*) *Lord Selous v. Poorell*, 6 T. R. 1244.

(*e*) *Goodtitle d. Alexander v. Burr*, 2224: *Wright d. Clymer*, 2 Id. 1244; 1 W. Bl. 348, & C.: *Dormer v. Parkhurst*, 2 Str. 1244.

(*f*) *Parry v. Duncan*, 7 Bl. Moo. & P. 19, & C.: *Curstons v. Stein*, 4 M. Tidd, 913.

(*g*) *Curstons v. Stein*, 4 M. Tidd, 913.

ed the issue. A motion for a new trial, in an CHAP. XXVII
it in the Common Pleas at Lancaster, must be made
n which the judge sits who presided at the trial (i).

Applied for.] The motion may, in general, be By whom ap
party who has been aggrieved by the first trial; plied for.
e action is against several defendants, the applica-
e made on the behalf of all of them; and, there-
one defendant was found guilty and the other
was holden that the former could not have a new
, in trespass against several, where the verdict
to evidence as to one of them, a new trial was
But in an action on the case against seventeen
wo suffered judgment by default; fifteen pleaded
ssue: plaintiff entered a *nolle prosequi* against one
obtained upon a writ of inquiry a verdict for 900*l.*
ther, and the jury found their verdict in favour of
The verdict as to five of the fifteen being un-
he Court of Common Pleas granted a new trial
n, leaving the verdict against the others, and
defendant, who suffered judgment by default,
(m).

n and Rule for.] The motion for the rule nisi must The Motion
in four days after the *distringas* is returnable, if the and Rule for.
! in term; or if the cause be tried in vacation, then At what Tim
rst four days of the term next after the trial (n); to be made.
particular circumstances(o), in which case the
n their discretion, allow a new trial to be moved
me before judgment has been actually signed(p).
s are reckoned inclusive of the first and last day,
though not the last, is not reckoned one(q), nor
day on which the court do not sit(r). If the
ed at the sittings in term, a new trial may be
y time within four days after the return of the
lthough more than four days have elapsed since

If there be not so many as four days in the
he return of the *distringas*, then it would seem
ion must be made on or before the last day of the
his rule, as to the moving within the four days
tringas is returnable, is rigidly adhered to; and
hen counsel cannot be *heard* on all the motions
first four days, it is now of course on the fourth
haelmas and Easter terms, at the rising of the
ow those that cannot be heard within the time to
n the list, and to be heard within the fifth and

ally, 1 C., M. & R. 703. *Thomas v. Edwards*, (2 Dowl. 664; 1 C.,
Gedin, 2 Str. 814: *Bond* M. & R. 382, S. C.), the court granted
od. 275: but see *Res v.* further time where the under-sheriff re-
2. 638: and see *Cooper v.* fused to furnish his notes of the trial.
302. (p) *Res v. Gough*, 2 Doug. 797: and see
Barrington's case, 3 Salk. *Res v. Holt*, 5 T. R. 436; 1 G. 4, c. 87, s.
3, ante, 782.
Harris, 10 Bing. 331; 4 (q) Tidd, 9th ed. 912: *Kirkham v.*
14, S. C. *Marter*, 1 Chit. Rep. 382; 2 B. & Ald.
v. Marter, 2 B. & Ald. 613, S. C.
p. 382: *Mason v. Clarke*, (r) *Bromley v. Foster*, 1 Chit. Rep. 562.
Dowl. 288, S. C.: *Birt v.* (s) *Mason v. Clarke*, 1 Dowl. 288; 1 C.
171: *Res v. Holt*, 5 T. & J. 411, S. C.
Wilton, 3 Id. 642. (t) See *Kirkham v. Marter*, 2 B. & Ald.
Wilton, 1 Doug. 171. In 613; 1 Chit. Rep. 382, S. C.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

successive days(u); yet the court censure any delay in making for a new trial even to the last of the four days, and expressed a wish that the motions, when practicable, should be made on the first and second days. And in Hilary term, Lord Tenterden, C. J., said, that the Court of King's Bench intended it to be understood, that for the future, in Hilary and Trinity terms, the court would not hear any motion for a new trial, if such motion were actually made within the first four days of the term; and that even if counsel were instructed within the first four days, and there should not be time to hear them on the fourth day, the court would not hear them after, and in such cases the parties could only blame themselves for not instructing their counsel sufficiently early(x). In the Common Pleas there is also a rule of Easter term, 2 G. 4. "in Hilary and Trinity terms, no motion for a new trial shall be heard, unless such motion be actually made within the first four days of each of the said terms." And, in general, the motion for a new trial must be made in that court within the first four days of the term, if the cause be tried in that court; and cannot be received after the four days, unless the foundation for the motion is a fact not disclosed to the party till after that time(y). In the Exchequer there is a rule, that motions for new trials must be made within the first four days of term(z). The motion cannot be made after the four days, even though the parties consent thereto. Where a rule for a new trial having been moved for in mistake in the Queen's Bench instead of the Exchequer, the mistake not having been discovered until after the four days of the term had elapsed, the Court of Exchequer, under the circumstances, allowed the motion to stand good in that court(b). Where a motion for a new trial is accidentally delayed beyond the four days, notice ought to be given to the other side, otherwise the expense of intermediate proceedings will fall on the party delaying to move(c). Where a notice for a new trial is made after the first four days of the term, by leave of the court, granted in consequence of the press of business, notice should be given to the opposite party, otherwise it would be regular to sign judgment on the fifth day(d). As to motions for new trials, in trials before the sheriff, see *ante*, Vol. I. 298.

Not after Motion in Arrest of Judgment.

A new trial cannot in general be moved for, after a motion in arrest of judgment(e); and the usual and proper course is, in cases where there may be a ground for moving in arrest of judgment, to move, at the time of moving for a new trial, for arrest of judgment also(f). It should seem, indeed, that the practice requiring the motion for a new trial to be made before that in arrest of judgment, extends only to cases where the party has knowledge of the fact at the time of moving for arrest of judgment, and therefore a new trial was granted in

(u) 3 C. & P. 111 a; Tidd's Sup. 159: 302; *Res v. Holt*, 5 T. R. 431. Chit. Sum. Prac. 189.

(x) 3 Carr. & P. 111 a.

(y) *Wills v. Bennett*, Barnes, 443; *Reynolds v. Simonds*, Id. 445; Pt. Reg. 410, S. C.

(z) See 3 Leg. Obs. 43; Tidd's New Pract. 548.

(a) *Kirkham v. Martin*, 1 C. & P. 299.

(b) *Piggott v. Kemp*, 2 Dougl. 28.

(c) *Lester v. Leveson*, 4 Dougl. 461.

(d) *Dee Dutton v. Steward*, 7 B. & C. 547.

(e) *Palmer v. Page*, 4 B. & C. 126; D. & R. 281, S. C.; *Toburn v. Bagg*, 6 B. & C. 647.

(f) *Palmer v. Page*, 4 B. & C. 126.

otion, on an affidavit that the jury drew lots for CHAP. XXVII.
dict (*f*).

motion for a new trial cannot be made after error by the party making the application(*g*). Nor after exceptions has been tendered on the same point of law, he party consent to waive the bill of exceptions(*h*).

Nor after Error, &c., brought.

have seen (*ante*, Vol. I. 331) that by the 1 W. 4, c. 7, a judge who tried the cause has power to certify that, opinion, execution ought to issue forthwith, or on day to be named in such certificate, though before the term; but that the 4th section still leaves the party by such certificate the right to apply to the court to set aside the judgment and execution, or stay the same, and set aside the judgment, or grant a new trial. You should, therefore, of this nature, make an affidavit, fully stating the grounds, and move the court as soon as possible (*i*).

After Certificate for Immediate Execution.

For a rule *nisi* for a new trial has been granted on certain points, it is irregular to make another motion upon the same point, respecting the same cause, to come on at the same time (*j*).

Second Motion on fresh Points.

For affidavits to be made use of in moving for it must also be sworn within the four days above mentioned, unless the leave of the court to the contrary be obtained(*k*). It should also be observed, that the affidavits must in all cases be made before obtaining the rule *nisi*; and this rule must be strictly adhered to. We have already seen, that the court will not receive the affidavit of a juror impugning the verdict; nor will they receive affidavits as to the admissions of the witnesses to the same effect(*m*).

Affidavits in Support of.

As much as the granting of the rule *nisi* for a new trial tends to delay the judgment and execution, and occasions an accumulation of the heavier description of business, the court will not grant the rule unless the judge who tried the cause has expressed a strong opinion in favour of the application) will in the first instance carefully examine the grounds of the motion, and refuse to grant it unless there is a probable ground to expect that the rule will ultimately be made absolute. If the ground of the application is an irregularity in the proceeding, or on account of surprise, or the absence of counsel or attorney, or on any other mere practical point, the court will direct that the rule *nisi* shall not be placed in the new trial paper, but shall come on for discussion as a common rule. But where the application requires the report of the judge who tried the cause to be read, then the rule *nisi* will come on in the new trial paper, on particular days set apart for discussion of the heavier description of business(*n*).

When put in new Trial Papers; or merely as a Rule.

When the court have granted the rule *nisi*, draw it up by one of the masters(*o*); and serve a copy of it upon the attorney or agent of the opposite party. A rule *nisi* for a new trial, if obtained and served by an attorney, different from the

Rule Nisi, how drawn up and brought on for Argument, &c.

1 Bull. N. P. 326: Tidd, 913.

Tidd, 913: but see 1 B. & P. 100, *ante*.

Ante, 1000.

Chit. Sum. Prac. 193.

Robertson v. Barker, 2 Dowl. 39: see

amending the rule *nisi*, Lopez v.

Ante, 8 Taunt. 712.

2 T., 5 G. 4: 4 D. & R. 826: 3 B.

& C. 176.

(i) R. v. Woodler, 6 M. & Sel. 303: Bridg-

wood v. Wynn, 1 H. & W. 574: *ante*, 1001.

(m) Davis v. Taylor, 2 Chit. Rep. 208:

Straker v. Graham, 7 Dowl. 223; 4 M. &

W. S. C.: *ante*, 1001.

(n) Chit. Sum. Pract. 192.

(o) See a form of rule *nisi*, stating the

grounds of motion, Chit. Forms, 622.

minutes of evidence in court when the case is called by a judge of another court, serve a copy of the clerk, who will thereupon deliver the judge's report to the junior puisne judge of the court in which pending. If the cause was tried before the sheriff 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, see the mode of application p Vol. I. 290. Deliver to your counsel one of the original cause, together with such further observations as you may think fit; you may learn of causes at the office of the masters the day to argued.

The Argument, &c.

When the case is called on, the judge who tries it if it were tried by a judge of another court, the judge, will read his report of the trial; after which on the opposite side shew cause against the rule for the party who moved for the rule nisi speak it, and the court then state their opinion, and either the rule, or make it absolute. The court will look at the judge's report for the evidence given at the manner in which the judge summed up the case stated in it, and will not attend to any contention of them by counsel, or even by affidavit (r). Queen's Bench may look at the record in discussing for a new trial, although the rule is not drawn on the record (s). It may be added, that though for a nonsuit, yet the court may remodel the rule as a new trial instead of allowing a nonsuit to be entered.

What Terms imposed.

If the court make the rule absolute, they may impose terms, if necessary; such as, that witnesses in the cause may be examined upon interrogatories.

assignees would consent to be bound by the event
n, and to be responsible for the costs (*x*). Where
has died after verdict, the court may grant a new
application of the defendant, on the same grounds
new trial may be granted in other cases, and will,
impose terms on him to prevent his taking ad-
the plaintiff's death (*y*). Where a rule *nisi* for a
granted on the terms of bringing the amount of
into court, the money must be brought in before
is drawn up (*z*).

CHAP. XXVII.

practice to allow amendments to be made, on
the trial, where the justice of the case requires
post, Chap. 30).

Amendment
after Trial.

absolute, draw up the rule with one of the masters (*b*),
copy on the plaintiff's attorney or agent; or, if
upon payment of costs, get an appointment on the
the master, and serve a copy of the rule and appoint-
the costs taxed, and pay them without delay; other-
wise party may move to discharge the rule for a new
at he may be at liberty to sign judgment. Where a
er setting aside a nonsuit upon payment of costs,
a second trial without paying these costs, and ob-
dict, the court set aside the verdict, and gave the
ave to sign his judgment in the original action,
osts should be paid within ten days (*c*).

Proceedings
on Rule abso-
lute.

be discharged, sign judgment and tax your costs,
ry cases.

On Rule dis-
charged.

3. The new Trial.

obtaining the rule is not bound to proceed to
al in any limited time (*d*).
er *Nisi Prius* record will answer, unless the *postea*
indorsed upon it, in which case you must make
Nisi Prius record: if you use the former record,
must be altered in the same manner as when the
ide a *remanet* (*e*). Give notice of trial, sue out
, and enter your cause for trial, as in ordinary

3. The New
Trial.
When.
Nisi Prius
Record, &c.

nd verdict alone appears upon the *postea*. Also,
ndgment roll, no notice is taken of the first ver-
ie record proceeds as if the second verdict was the
at was given (*f*).

Entry on Re-
cord after.

aintiff do not proceed to the second trial, the de-
y carry down the record by *proviso*; but he can-
until after the next term or assizes from that in
new trial was granted (*g*). And in case the plain-
to try the cause after a new trial has been granted,

Trial by Pro-
viso.

Idams, 7 Taunt. 59.
Williams, 1 C. & J. 47;
B. there cited.
Westal, 2 Dowl. 617.
v. Blacksmith, 7 T. R.
Handy, 2 Str. 1151: *Mar-*
Id. 1162: *Dennis v. Ed-*
When not, see *Price v.*
402; 5 Moo. & P. 250; 1
v. De Tastet, 8 Taunt.,

712; 7 Moore, 120, S. C.
(*c*) *Nicholls v. Bozon*, 13 East, 185; see
Hullock, 401.
(*d*) *Buckley v. Holts*, T. T. 1815; Tidd,
9th ed. 917, S. C.
(*e*) See Vol. I. 260: and see *Harper v.*
Dary, 1 Ld. Raym. 510; Carth. 498, S. C.
(*f*) 2 Saund. 253 a, n. (8).
(*g*) *Staffordshire and Worcestershire*
Canal Company v. The Trent and Mersey
Canal Company, 5 Taunt. 577.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

in the case of a trial before the sheriff the defendant move to discharge the writ of trial, and then take it down by *proviso* (h).

4. The Costs.

4. The Costs.

It is entirely in the discretion of the court, what will oblige the party applying for a new trial to pay as a condition precedent to his proceeding to a second

In case of Misdirection.

Upon setting aside a nonsuit, or a verdict for misdirection of the judge, the court grant a new trial usually costs (i).

In case of Misconduct, &c., of Jury.

Where the verdict was set aside for the misconduct of the jury, the court ordered the costs to abide the result of the second trial (k); if set aside, because the verdict was contrary to law or to the opinion or direction of the court, a new trial is granted usually without costs (l); because the verdict was contrary to evidence, or because excessive damages, the new trial is usually granted upon payment of costs (m). Where during the trial of a case the jury absconded, and the others were accordingly charged, and a second trial was afterwards had, where a verdict was found for the plaintiff, it was held that the plaintiff was entitled to costs of the first trial (n). But if a party on his own authority discharges a jury from giving a verdict on the ground of their not being able to agree, the party ultimately successful will not be entitled to the costs of the attempt at trial (o).

In case of Fraud or Surprise.

If a party have obtained a verdict by trick, the court will grant a new trial without costs, or, perhaps, in some cases, will oblige him to pay the costs (p). Where a new trial was granted because the plaintiff had a material fact for the defendant concealed in his house, and prevented him from being served with a *subpoena*, it was granted without costs (q). If granted on the ground of surprise not from fraud, it seems it will be on payment of costs (r).

On new Ground.

If a new trial be granted upon a ground not operative at the first trial, it will be upon payment of costs (s).

Where new Trial granted without Mention of Costs.

By *R. H.*, 2 *W.* 4, r. 64, "if a new trial be granted *without any mention of costs in the rule*, the costs of the first trial shall not be allowed to the successful party, though he succeed on the second" (t). Consequently, if the rule for a new trial says nothing about the costs of the first trial

(h) *Corone v. Garment*, 1 *Hodges*, 74; *Day v. Day*, 1 *M. & Wels.* 39; 4 *Dowl.* 740; 1 *Gale*, 403; 1 *T. & G.* 314, *S. C.*

(i) *Buscall v. Hogg*, 3 *Wils.* 146; *Vale v. Bayle*, *Cowp.* 297; *Harris v. Butterley*, 2 *Id.* 485; *Jackson v. Duchaire*, 3 *T. R.* 553; *Goodright v. Snul*, 4 *Id.* 359; *Hullock*, 388.

(k) *Hale v. Core*, 1 *Str.* 642.

(l) *Hullock*, 387; *Furneaux v. Hutchins*, *Cowp.* 808; *Puchin v. Pawley*, 1 *W. Bl.* 670; *Jackson v. Duchaire*, 3 *T. R.* 551; *Farrant v. Olmius*, 3 *B. & Ald.* 692.

(m) *Anon.*, 12 *Mod.* 370; *Marrow v. Hull*, 1 *Burr.* 12; *Bright v. Eynon*, *Id.* 393; *Burton v. Thompson*, 2 *Id.* 665; *Doe v. Pike*, 1 *Nev. & M.* 385.

(n) *Harrison v. Bennett*, 1 *C. & M.* 203.

(o) *Seely v. Powis*, 3 *Dowl.* 372.

(p) *Anderson v. George*, 1 *E. Hullock*, 391.

(q) *Bull. N. P.* 328; but see *v. Dawson*, 1 *C., M. & R.* 70; court refused a new trial, as the plaintiff, on a similar ground (1843).

(r) See *Greatwood v. Sims*,

(s) *Sutton v. Mitchell*, 1 *T.*

(t) See the former practice *C. P. & Exch.*, but not in *C.* who succeeded in both trials to the costs of the first, then mentioned in the rule. (*Tidd v. Trudgill v. Barton*, 10 *Moore v. Thomas*, 3 *Y. & J.* 525; *S. C.*)

the ground as a matter of course (*u*). Where, after a new trial was obtained, and the rule was made as to costs, and after the plaintiff had applied for a new trial the defendant withdrew his plea and suffered judgment by default, and damages were assessed thereon; the court held that the plaintiff was not entitled to the costs of the first trial (*x*). And where the first trial is abortive, and the party is liable to the costs of it in the first instance, the parties are in the same situation as to costs as if no trial had taken place, and the plaintiff will not make himself liable to the costs of it by discontinuing, nor will the defendant by withdrawing his defence, and suffering judgment by default (*y*). So, where the cause was referred at *Nisi* and the award was afterwards set aside, and a second trial had, the successful party was held not to be entitled to the costs of the first trial (*z*). But the rule applies only to the costs of those issues on which a new trial is granted. It is, therefore, on the trial of a right of way in one count and as a public, and in another as a private way, a general verdict was found for the defendants, and the court afterwards directed a new trial, expressly by the rule confining the right claimed in the second count, but in the rule no mention was made of costs, nor any reservation of defendant's verdict on the first count; the court held that the defendants were nevertheless entitled to the costs of the issues tried for them on the first trial, and not in contest on the second, they having succeeded on such second trial (*a*). After a verdict for the plaintiff, a new trial was obtained by default, and the rule was silent as to costs, and the plaintiff afterwards discontinued; the court held the defendant was entitled to the costs of the trial (*b*).

Where the costs are ordered to abide the event of the second trial, if the same party succeed on both trials, he shall have the costs of the first as well as the second (*c*); but otherwise the costs of the first shall not be allowed (*d*). Where, however, the second trial was granted on the application of the defendant on account of the smallness of the damages, and the rule was made to abide the event, and the plaintiff at the second trial obtained only the same amount of damages; he was not entitled to the costs of the second trial only (*e*). By the phrase "the event of the second trial" is meant the ultimate event of the cause; and, therefore, if the verdict at the second trial be set aside, and on the third trial the ultimate

Where the Costs are ordered to abide the Event.

in v. Newbury, 2 Dowl. 415; *Porter v. Cooper*, 3 Id. 662; 2 C., 232, S. C.

Peacock v. Harris, 1 Nev. & P. 240; 4 El. 449, S. C.: see *Elrin v. Mundy*, 4 Bing. 415.

Jolliffe v. Mundy, 4 M. & W. 502; 25, S. C.: *infra*, n. (*b*).

Id. v. Duncan, 7 Dowl. 344; 5 M. & W. 344, S. C.

Id. v. Hill, 2 Scott, 535, 540; 5 M. & W. 344, S. C.: *sed vide* *Peacock v. Harris*, 1 Nev. & P. 240; 5 Ad. & El. 449, S. C.

Id. v. Car, 5 B. & C. 458; 8 D. & W. 344, S. C. The cases of *Sweeting v. Durs*, 3 B. & C. 369, n.: *Chapple v. Durs*, 3 B. & C. 369, n.: *Jackson v. Halland*, 2 L. 317; 1 Chit. Rep. 19, S. C.,

which are to the contrary of those in the text, were decided before the above rule of H. T., 2 W. 4, and they cannot now be considered as law. (See the judgment of Parke, B., in *Jolliffe v. Mundy*, 4 M. & W. 502; 7 Dowl. 225, S. C.)

(*c*) *Trelauney v. Thomas*, 1 H. Bl. 641; *Hudson v. Marjoribanks*, 1 Bing. 393; 8 Moore, 440, S. C.: *Cinham v. Fisk*, 2 C. & J. 126; *Id.* 128, n.: *Sherlock v. Barned*, 8 Bing. 21.

(*d*) *Austen v. Gibbs*, 8 T. R. 619; *Chapman v. Partridge*, 2 N. R. 382; *Bird v. Appleton*, 1 East, 111; *Hocworth v. Samuel*, 1 B. & Ald. 536; *Dudd v. Neal*, 2 C. & M. 225.

(*e*) *Hudson v. Marjoribanks*, 8 Moore, 440; 1 Bing. 393, S. C.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

event be the same as on the first trial, the party will be entitled to the costs of the first trial (*f*). After a verdict for a defendant, the court made a rule absolute for a new trial, and ordered that the costs of the former trial should abide the event of such new trial: the record was set down to the Spring assizes following, and made a *remoustrance*; it was tried a second time at the Summer assizes, when a verdict was again found for the defendant. The court afterwards ordered that the verdict should be set aside, a new trial had between the parties, upon payment of the costs of the last trial, and that the costs of the first trial should abide the event of such new trial: upon the second trial a verdict was found for the plaintiff; and the court held, that the plaintiff was entitled to the costs incurred by the cause having been made a *remoustrance* at the assizes following the term when the first rule was made absolute for a new trial (*g*).

Double Costs
of first Trial.

In an action upon a statute which gives double costs, a new trial be granted on a rule ordering the payment of the costs of a first trial generally, it would mean the double costs (*h*).

Recovery
&c., of Costs
of first Trial.

When a new trial is granted to the defendant on payment of costs, the plaintiff should not carry down the costs of the first trial until they are paid; for if he do so, he will have no remedy for the costs of the former trial, even though he should again obtain a verdict (*i*). In the Court of Queen's Bench, if a new trial be granted on payment of costs, the court will not point out in the rule a particular day at which the costs must be paid (*k*).

Amount of
the Costs, &c.

Where a rule for a new trial has been obtained on payment of costs, there is a broad distinction between costs of the trial and costs in the cause; the costs of the pleadings, for instance, are never allowed. Costs of obtaining admission of documents and of giving notice to produce documents at the first trial in an action, are costs in the cause; but costs of preparing briefs may be allowed as costs of the trial when the necessity for doing so is shewn (*l*). Where there have been two trials, and the successful party is entitled to the costs of the second trial only, the master, in taxing costs, may allow fees on the second trial with reference to those given on the first (*m*). Costs of resisting an unsuccessful application for a new trial are costs in the cause (*n*).

5. *Venire de novo*.

5. *Venire de
novo*.

The *venire de novo* is the old common law mode of proceeding to a second trial, and differs materially from the grant of a new trial, inasmuch as the *venire de novo* is awarded for some defect appearing upon the face of the record, while a new trial is granted for matter entirely extrinsic. A *venire de novo* is not awarded for every defect appearing upon the face

(*f*) *Meule v. Gorkard*, 5 B. & Ald. 706. 915.

(*g*) *Gibbons v. Phillips*, 8 B. & C. 437: see *Robinson v. Day*, 5 B. & Ad. 814.

(*h*) *Semble*, *Loader v. Thomas*, 1 C. & J. 54.

(*i*) *Doc Datis v. Haddon*, Tidd, 9th ed.

(*k*) *Bland v. Warren*, 6 Dowl. 21.

(*l*) *Lord v. Wardle*, 6 Dowl. 174.

(*m*) *Wilkinson v. Main*, 2 Dowl. see *Lord v. Wardle*, 6 Dowl. 174.

(*n*) *Kyre v. Thorp*, 6 Dowl. 702.

, but for a defective finding in the verdict only (*o*). CHAP. XXVI
 be entered generally on all the counts in a declaratory damages given, and one of the counts he had, will not arrest the judgment, but will award a *venire*

But a *venire de novo* cannot be awarded, where damages are assessed, upon a declaration containing a bill of counts (*q*). And it cannot be granted by a writ, on a proceeding out of an inferior court (*r*). It may be granted on error out of a superior court, such as the King's Bench, Common Pleas, or Exchequer. Thus, where a bill of exceptions as to the admissibility of evidence was held inadmissible, the court awarded a *venire de novo* (*s*). And the same where there was a misdi-

And the same, where, on a bond conditioned for performance of covenants under the 8 & 9 W. 3, c. 11, the court refused to assess damages for the breach (*u*). Where a writ may be amended, a *venire de novo* is never awarded (*x*). A new trial for a *venire de novo* may be made by the court on a subsequent day, in the same term, after a rule has been made for setting aside the judgment, if the judgment has been made absolute (*y*). If a *venire de novo* is awarded, the party succeeding at trial is not entitled to the costs of the first (*z*).

Jones, 7 T. R. 52; *Witham* v. *Lea*, 10 T. R. 55: see *Rex v. Wood*, 10 T. R. 55; *Holt v. Scholefield*, 6 T. R. 144; *Rooke*, 2 Wils. 144; 6 D. & R. 68; 4 B. & C. 144; *Leveson v. Lemaitre*, 2 Wils. 367; 1 L. 324; 6 Dowl. 688; 4 M. & W. 163; 6 Dowl. 688, S. C. n.: *Roles v. Roswell*, 5 T. R. 540; *Hardy v. Bern*, Id. 636.
 (*s*) *Davies v. Pierce*, 2 T. R. 125.
 (*t*) *Davies v. Leondes*, 4 Bing. N. C. 478.
 (*u*) *Hardy v. Bern*, 5 T. R. 636.
 (*x*) See as to such amending, *post*, 1130.
 (*y*) *Corner v. Shores*, 4 M. & W. 163; 6 Dowl. 688, S. C.
 (*z*) *Edwards v. Brown*, 1 C. & J. 354; 1 Tyr. 281; 1 Dowl. 282, S. C.; *Lickbarrow v. Mason*, 6 T. R. 131; *Bird v. Appleton*, 1 East, 111; *Dadd v. Crease*, 2 C. & M. 225; *Hullock*, 391, 392.

provided the merits of the case be very clear. If a plea contains no confession of the cause of action, the proper course is to award a repleader, and not to give *obstante veredicto* (a). And after a reference at A. award, the plaintiff cannot move for judgment *veredicto*, on an issue directed to be entered for the power of the arbitrator being complete and if it seems that the defendant cannot in any case get judgment *non obstanto veredicto*, however insufficient pleadings may be; and that his proper course is to move for arrest of judgment (c).

The Motion,
Argument,
Rule, &c.,
for.

By *R. H.*, 2 *W.* 4, r. 65, "no motion in a term, or for judgment *non obstanto veredicto*, shall be made after the expiration of four days from the time there are so many days in term; nor in any case after the expiration of the term, provided the jury were sworn in the same term." Where a cause is tried in vacation, the motion must be made within the first four days of the ensuing term; inasmuch as the above rule applies in vacation as well as in term (d). *The motion is to be made and argued as in ordinary cases.* On this motion, the court look at the record as it stood at the time of trial, and not as it stood at the time of pleading; *ex. gr.* where the defendant pleaded payment of 10*l.* each, and damages were laid at 10*l.* each, and plaintiff *prosequi* as to one count before trial, and defendant returned a verdict, the court refused to give judgment *non obstanto veredicto* (e).

Form of, and
Writ of In-

The judgment is interlocutory; after which the plaintiff may move for a writ of *inquiry* must be executed, and final judgment

ht to try a right or custom, or the like, the court will CHAP. XXVIII.
de the verdict, and enter a verdict for the plaintiff with _____
al damages (*h*).

judgment *non obstante veredicto*, neither party is entitled Costs of.
costs of the immaterial issues (*i*).

by *v. Robinson*, 2 T. R. 758; 6 69; 9 Bing. 667; 2 Dowl. 206, 3. C.; and
see *Da Costa v. Clarke*, 2 B. & P. 376:
Burns v. Bowman, 3 Moo. & Sc. *Kirk v. Nowell*, 1 T. R. 266.

CHAPTER XXIX.

ARREST OF JUDGMENT.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

ARREST
OF JUDGMENT.

THE court, upon application, will arrest the judgment in any matter intrinsic appearing upon the face of the record amounting to a defect not amendable or aided at law or by statute, and for which a writ of error would lie. If, however, some counts in a declaration are bad as to law, and general damages be given, if this cannot be ascertained from the judge's notes, the court will not arrest the judgment, but will award a *venire de novo* (b). But a *venire de novo* cannot be awarded where general damages are given upon a declaration containing a *misjoinder* of counts, such a case, therefore, the judgment will be arrested to the defects which are amendable or aided at law and by statute, see the following Chapter. After judgment upon a demurrer, however, you cannot move in arrest of judgment whether the demurrer were argued (d) or not, but you may after judgment by default (f).

Arrest of Motion,
Rule, &c.

By rule of all the courts of H. T., 2 W. 4, r. 1, "no motion in arrest of judgment, or for judgment *stante veredicto*, shall be allowed, after the expiration of four days from the time of trial, if there are so many terms; nor in any case after the expiration of the term provided the jury process be returnable in the same term. This rule applies to trials out of term, as well as in term. In such motions, therefore, in any of the courts must be made in the first four days of term which occur at the trial (g). If the cause was tried out of term, motion must be made within the first four days of ensuing the trial (h). Where there were several issues in law and in fact, and the issues in fact were tried first, the court held that the defendant could not move in arrest of judgment, until after the demurrers had been determined. In moving for a new trial, if there be any ground for setting aside the judgment, the rule should be obtained in the first instance for a new trial, or for an arrest of judgment (i).

(a) See *Newbold v. Adams*, 8 Taunt. 235.

(b) *Leach v. Thomas*, 2 M. & W. 427; *Atrey v. Fearnside*, 6 Dowl. 654; 4 M. & W. 168, S. C. overruling *Holt v. Schofield*, 6 T. R. 681, &c.

(c) *Carter v. Shave*, 6 Dowl. 584, 688; 4 M. & W. 163, S. C.

(d) *Edwards v. Bland*, 1 Str. 428.

(e) *Cressel v. Packham*, 6 Taunt. 650; 9 Marsh. 326, S. C.

(f) *Edwards v. Bland*, 1 Str. 425.

(g) *Thomas v. Jones*, 4 M. & W. 28; see the doubt, *Brink v. Finch*, 6 Dowl. 313.

(h) *Wooten v. Foster*, 2 Bing. N. C. 701; 3 Scott, 164; 5 Dowl. 84, S. C., C. P. According to the case of *Taylor v. Whitehead*, (2 Doug. 745), the motion in the Queen's Bench might have been made on

any day before judgment was signed; but this decision was overruled and questioned in the case of *Wooten v. Foster*, and, it is correct. In *Lane v. Cook*, 566, 1 Tyr. 225, n.), the Court held that the motion made after an unsuccessful new trial; and although this was laid down in Manning's Excise that seems to be a correct statement, on the whole, the present courts upon the point seem to have laid down in the above text. *Jones*, 4 M. & W. 28.

(i) *Gander (sic) v. Hadden*, 11 Q. B. 1169; *West* supra.

have seen (*ante*, Vol. I. 331) that, by the 1 W. 4, 2, the judge before whom the action is tried may before the end of the sittings or assizes that execution might to issue forthwith, in which case judgment may be entered and execution issued according to the terms of the certificate, but that the court may arrest such judgment, restore the party to all (if any) he has lost thereby. If judgment be arrested, each party pays his own costs (*l*). Here the plaintiff obtained a verdict in the Exchequer, but judgment was arrested, which judgment was reversed in the Court of Exchequer Chamber, it was held that the plaintiff was entitled to the costs of the motion in arrest of judgment, and that such costs must be taxed by the officer of the Exchequer (*m*).

CHAP. XXIX.

After Certificate for immediate Execution.

Costs on.

Maron v. Reynolds, Cowp. 407; (*m*) *Adams v. Meredith*, 3 Y. & J. 419. P. 272.

CHAPTER XXX.

AMENDMENT AND JEOPAILS.

SECT. 1. *Amendment, &c., generally.*2. *Amendment, &c., of particular Proceedings.*

SECT. 1.

*Amendment, &c., generally.**When and how, 1112.**What amendable at Common Law,*
1115.*What amendable by Statute, id.**What aided at Common Law,*
1116.*What aided by the Statute,*
*Jeopails, id.*BOOK IV.
PART I.ben and
w.

ter Demur-

When and how.] AT any time before judgment, in ordinary cases, the proceedings may be amended by a judge at chambers, upon summons calling upon the opposite attorney to shew cause why the party applying should not have leave to amend; in other cases the amendment may be obtained by application to the court (a). The parties cannot in general take upon them to amend their own proceedings, without leave of the court or a judge (b).

After demurrer, general or special, and before argument it is usual to give the other party leave to amend on payment of costs (c); and it has been given in many cases even after demurrer argued, and even after a *cur. adv. vult* (d) but before judgment, where the justice of the case requires it (e). The court, however, have refused this to a plaintiff in a *quæ tam* action (f); in an action against bail (g), and in a hard action (h); and to a defendant after the plaintiff had had a trial (i). The party demurring, also, has been allowed to strike out a *similiter* which was entered in the issue by mistake (j)

(a) See form of the rule, Chit. Forms, 627.

(b) See *Siggers v. Sanson*, 2 Dowl. 745; *Bate v. Bolton*, 4 Dowl. 677; *Wright v. Skinner*, 5 Dowl. 92.

(c) *Ante*, 665: *Hutton v. Walker*, 2 Str. 846; *Bishop v. Stacy*, Id. 954; *Herbert v. Griffiths*, Id. 1181; *Watson v. Richardson*, 1 Wils. 226: see *Drummond v. Dorant*, 4 T. R. 361.

(d) *Rivis v. Watson*, 5 M. & W. 255.

(e) 2 Saund. 5th ed. 402, and cases there cited: *Bishop v. Stacy*, 2 Str. 954; *Hosell v. M'ivers*, 4 T. R. 690; *Steel v. Society*, 6 T. R. 173; *Hunt v. Puckmore*, Barnes, 185; *Mattravers v. Fossett*, 3 Wils. 296; *Hamilton v. Wilson*, 1 East,

391; *Potter v. Bradley*, 2 Mon. & P. 78; *Edmonds v. Walter*, 2 Chit. Rep. 29; *Morant v. Sign*, 2 M. & W. 95, and *no*. And the court have allowed the amendment, without costs, even after argument. (*Hegdon v. Thompson*, M.B., K. 19th Nov. 1833: and see *Selwans v. Lee*, 1 East, 369: *post*, 1124). See form of rule Chit. Forms, 627.

(f) *Rex v. Holland*, 4 T. R. 439; *Re v. Stevens*, Id. 298; *Wood v. Gibson*, 10 B. & C. 689.

(g) *Sarty v. Kirkus*, Say. 117.

(h) *Noble v. King*, 1 H. Bl. 37.

(i) *Jordan v. Twells*, Hardw. 171.

(j) *Stevens v. Hudson*, (Bill of), 3 L. Raym. 1137.

Also, under particular circumstances, the court have allowed the defendant to withdraw his demurrer, and plead *de novo*, even after argument (*k*). And an amendment of a plea has been allowed even after judgment on demurrer, though this seems an extreme case (*l*); and it seems that in such a case an amendment will not be granted unless there be an affidavit of merits (*m*). What has been now mentioned holds good also where there are several issues in law and in fact, even after argument of the issues in law, but before the trial of the issues in fact; but if the issue in fact be tried first, and contingent damages assessed as to the demurrer, the court, it seems, will not in that case allow either of an amendment, or of the demurrer being withdrawn (*n*). The court would, under circumstances, refuse to allow a defendant to amend after a second demurrer to the same pleading (*o*).

CHAP. XI.
SECT. 1.

The judge at *Nisi Prius*, upon application, may allow the record of *Nisi Prius* to be amended, and may order the clerk of *Nisi Prius* to amend it instant (*p*), whether the judge who tries the cause be a judge of the court in which the record was made up or not (*see* 1 G. 4, c. 55, ss. 5, 6; Vol. I. 99); and this whether the defect be in a material allegation or not (*q*). And by the 9 G. 4, c. 15, (Vol. I. 286), in cases where a variance may appear between written or printed evidence, and the recital or setting forth thereof on the record, the court or a judge sitting at *Nisi Prius* may order the record to be amended on payment of costs. Also by the 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, s. 23, already noticed, (*ante*, Vol. I. 281), the court or judge at *Nisi Prius*, where there is a variance between any matter given in evidence (not material to the merits of the case, and by the mis-statement of which the opposite party cannot have been prejudiced) and the statement of it on the record, may order the record to be amended, &c.

At Nisi Prius

The courts have in particular instances permitted the plaintiff to amend his declaration or replication, and the defendant to amend his plea, in cases where there has been nothing to amend by, after issue joined, and after the proceedings have been entered upon record; and even after a trial has been had thereupon. In a case (*r*) after error had been brought in the Queen's Bench, an amendment was made in the record in error (*s*). And in another case (*t*) an amendment after verdict in an action of trover was made, by charging one of fifteen defendants with conversion. Also, in another case (*u*), an amendment by the insertion of a defendant's

After Verdict
&c.

(k) *Ante*, 885; *Agnes v. Wilson*, 1 Doug. 226; *Waters v. Gordon*, 2 Id. 459; *Alder v. Chip*, 3 Burr. 736; *Chubb v. Parnham*, 3 Bing. 1; 2 Moo. & P. 127, 8 C.; *see* also *per* Lushington, J., *Hannworth v. Hannish*, 1 Rev. & M. 330.

(l) *Atkinson v. Baynton*, 1 Scott, 484; 1 Bing. N. C. 740, 8 C.

(m) *See per* Thurland, C. J., *Bramah v. Roberts*, 1 Bing. N. C. 481.

(n) *Hobson v. Roby*, 1 Burr. 322; *Stokes v. Wright*, 3 Bing. N. C. 28.

(o) *See* *Kirby v. Paris*, 2 H. Bl. 503.

(p) *See* *Murphy v. Martin*, 1 Camp. 87.

(q) *Roid v. Smart*, Chit. Col. Stat. 735; *see* also *Palmer v. Huxton*, 1 Stark. 74. But, after an order of reference, a judge has no jurisdiction under the 1 G. 4, c. 55, s. 5, even during the same session, to make a second order to enable the defendant to amend his case by giving a particular of set off. (*Ashworth v. Heathorn*, 6 Bing. 308, n.; 4 Moo. & P. 326, 8 C.)

(r) *Richardson v. Mellish*, 3 Bing. 334.

(s) *Richardson v. Mellish*, 7 D. & C. 819.

(t) *Smith v. Fuller*, 1 Ld. Raym. 110.

(u) *Tibb v. Bishop of Worcester*, Id. 94.

name, was allowed after verdict. But where a verdict was taken for the plaintiff by consent, and all matters in dispute in the cause were referred to an arbitrator, who certified that for the justice of the case the record ought to be amended, by allowing the plaintiff to substitute a replication, putting in all the circumstances averred in the plea in issue: the court held, that they had no power to direct such an amendment (x).

After judgment and before error brought, a judge at chambers will not, in general, entertain the application, but it should be made to the court; *the rule is a rule nisi, which is afterwards made absolute or discharged, as in ordinary cases.*

After error brought upon a judgment of one of the superior courts, the application for leave to amend must be made to that court, because the record always in fact remains there, a transcript only being sent to the court of error (y); if the transcript indeed must be amended, if at all, by the court of error (z). After error from an inferior court to the Court of Queen's Bench, the application may be made either to the Queen's Bench, or in the inferior court (a).

After error brought, those things are amendable which were amendable before error brought, so long as diminution may be alleged, and a *certiorari* awarded (b).

The court or judge, upon granting leave to amend, may oblige the party applying to submit to such equitable terms as may be necessary to prevent the opposite party from being prejudiced by the amendment (c). If the amendment be made at the trial, it is with or without costs, at the discretion of the judge; in other cases it is allowed usually upon payment of costs, particularly if the error or mistake have arisen from the default of the party, and not from the misprision of any of the officers of the court. But if the amendment be made after error brought, it is usually upon payment of costs of the proceedings in error, provided the plaintiff proceed no further in his writ of error after notice of the amendment (d). If the party who has obtained an order to amend on payment of costs amends, but does not pay the costs, the proper course of the other party, is, either to apply to the court or a judge to stay the proceedings until the costs be paid, or, if he wish to proceed, to apply to have the order of amendment rescinded, and to set aside the amended proceedings as irregular; for the non-payment of the costs, being merely in the nature of a breach of contract, cannot be punished as a contempt, by attachment (e); nor, it seems, could the payment be enforced by execution under the 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 18. The party who obtains an order to amend in the usual form is at liberty to act upon it, or

(x) *Cross v. Metcalfe*, 1 Nev. & P. 232.

(y) *Rutler v. Redstone*, 2 Str. 837: *Tidd*, 714: and see *Anon.*, Cro. Jac. 429: *Grenville v. Smith*, Id. 628: post, 1134.

(z) See *De Tastet v. Rucker*, 3 B. & B. 65.

(a) *Wood v. Matthews*, Poph. 102: *Tidd*, 9th ed. 714.

(b) 8 Co. 162 a: *Richards v. Brown*, 1 Doug. 115: *Tidd*, 9th ed. 714: *Tidd's Sup.* 129; and the cases of *Mellish v. Richard-*

son, 7 B. & C. 819; 11 Moore, 104; 3 Bing. 334, S. C.

(c) *Alder v. Chip*, 2 Burr. 736: and see 1 Salk. 47: 3 Id. 31: *Havers v. Bunsiter*, 1 Wils. 7: *Low v. Newland*, Id. 76: *Waters v. Borell*, Id. 223.

(d) *Beaumont v. Osain*, Barnes, 17: *Persons v. Gil*, 2 Ld. Raym. 897: see *Moody v. Stracey*, 4 Taunt. 568: *Tidd*, 715.

(e) *Turner v. Gil*, 3 Dowl. 36.

lon it, at his option; and if he choose the latter, he
ceed as if the order had not been made (*f*). CHAP. XX
SECT. I

Amendable at Common Law.] It may be necessary What am-
endable at Com-
mon Law.
ise that amendments in all cases are entirely in the
n of the court, and are allowed only in furtherance
e (*g*). At common law, the court may amend in In general.
whilst the proceedings are in paper, that is, un-
ment signed, and during the term in which it is
for until then the proceedings are considered as only
and consequently subject to the control of the
) . And there is no difference in this respect be- In penal Ac-
tions.
enal and other actions (*i*); and the court will ac-
y permit the plaintiff in a penal action to amend,
er the time limited for bringing another action, pro-
ere have been no unnecessary delay upon his part,
t the amendment required do not introduce any new
f action (*k*). They have in such actions allowed
ents in names (*l*), in statement of time (*m*), and of
unt lent (*n*), in actions for usury; in the *venire* (*o*),
tive averments (*p*); in the record after verdict (*q*),
he verdict itself (*r*). But the court have refused to
t amendment in a penal action after much delay (*s*).
y refused it in a case where the action was merely
he letter and not within the spirit of the penal act (*t*).
idgment is signed, or after the term in which it is
the pleadings, &c., cannot be amended at common law,
virtue of the Statutes of Amendments only (*u*).

Amendable by Statute.] No process shall be annulled What am-
endable by Sta-
tute.
ontinued for the misprision of the clerks in writing
lable or letter [or word (*x*)] too much or too little;
soon as the mistake is perceived, it shall be amended
form (*y*). And the justices before whom the record Misprision
Clerks.
, or shall be depending by way of error or otherwise,
mend the same, as well after as before judgment, in
ne manner as they might have done by the above
before judgment (*z*). Neither of these statutes, how-
tends to process of outlawry (*a*). So the court may
whatever to them seemeth to be the misprision of
rks in any record, process, word, plea, warrant of
y, writ, panel, or return, which may for the time be

St v. Sangster, 1 C., M. & R.
Hugh v. Kerr, 5 M. & W. 164.
Rex v. Mayor, &c., of Gram-
mer, 1 R. 699.
St v. Chip, 2 Burr. 756; *Cope v.*
Say, 285; 3 Bl. Com. 407; *Tidd*,
St v. Evans, 1 Dowl. 657.
St v. Brown, 1 Doug. 114; *St*
Edwards, 3 M. & W. 218.
St v. Kaye, 6 T. R. 543; *Mad-*
ammett, 7 Id. 55; *Wood v. Grim-*
sham, 1 C. 689; *Tidd*, 9th ed. 711:
1121.
St v. Jenkins, 2 Chit. 23;
St v. Herts, 3 M. & Sel. 450.
St v. Hammett, *ubi supra*:
St v. Milner, 2 Burr. 1096.

(*n*) *Mace v. Lovett*, 4 Burr. 2833.
(*o*) *Dover v. Mostaer*, 4 East, 435.
(*p*) *Jones v. Edwards*, 3 M. & W. 218.
(*q*) *Wright v. Horton*, 6 M. & Sel. 50.
(*r*) *Manners v. Postan*, 3 B. & P. 343.
(*s*) *Wood v. Grimwood*, 10 B. & C. 689.
(*t*) *Matthews v. Swift*, 1 Bing. N. C.
735; 1 Scott, 705; 3 Dowl. 636, S. C. It
was an action against an attorney for
practising without being duly enrolled.
(*u*) Co. Lit. 260: see *Rex v. Bishop of*
Llandaff, 1 Str. 1011.
(*x*) 8 Co. 157 a.
(*y*) 14 Ed. 3, c. 6, s. 1.
(*z*) 9 H. 5, c. 4, s. 1, made perpetual
by 4 H. 6, c. 3.
(*a*) 4 H. 6, c. 3.

BOOK IV
PART I.

before them, so that no judgment shall be reversed of such misprision (b). So, they may amend, for misprision of the clerks and also of other officers, such as sheriffs, coroners, &c., defects in any record, process, or writ, before them by way of error or otherwise, in writ or syllable too much or too little (c). It should be observed that the word "clerk" imports some officer of court coming within that description: and therefore refused to allow a plaintiff in replevin, who had two bad pleas, and after judgment in his favor brought error, to withdraw the same *ex novo* (d). In all these cases there must be an amendment by.

What aided
at Common
Law.

What aided at Common Law.] When there is an imperfection, or omission in any pleading, whether in substance or in form, which would have been a fatal objection upon demurrer; yet if the issue joined be such as requires, on the trial, proof of the facts so defectively stated or omitted, and without which it would be presumed that either the judge would direct or the jury would have given a verdict, the defect, imperfection, or omission is cured by verdict. In such case, the defect is not a *jeofail* after verdict (e).

Mistakes and defects in proceedings are also aided by the acts of the opposite party. Thus, where a writ is defective in point of form, the defect is frequently cured by the defendant in his plea admitting that which is defective or defectively stated in the declaration; for by so doing, he waives all objection to the omission or defect. This subject shall be noticed in detail in another section.

What aided
by the Statutes of Jeofails.
In Civil Actions.

What aided by the Statutes of Jeofails.] After the want of a warrant of attorney, the want of an original writ or bill (f), or any defects in form therein, or omissions in pleadings, misjoining of issue, misdiscontinuance, misawarding of jury process, omission of a *capiatur* or *misericordia* in a judgment by the several statutes 32 H. 8, c. 30; 18 Ed. c. 13; and 16 & 17 C. 2, c. 8 (g); and the same are now aided after judgment by confession, *nil dicat informatus*, by stat. 4 & 5 A. c. 16, s. 2, "so as to original writ or bill (h) and] warrants of attorney. Also, all defects in writs, original (h) or judicial, are aided after verdict by stat. 5 G. 1, c. 13. These statutes shall be more particularly noticed in the next section.

In Penal Proceedings.

Of these, the statute 32 H. 8, c. 30, extends

(b) 8 H. 6, c. 12: see *Green v. Remett*, 1 T. R. 783; *Mores v. James*, Willes, 125.

(c) 8 H. 6, c. 12.

(d) *Green v. Miller*, 2 B. & Ad. 784.

(e) 1 Saund. 322, 5th ed., and cases there; and 1 Chit. Pl. 6th ed. 673 to 682.

(f) The original writ abolished by the 2 W. 4, c. 4, s. 4, which is the writ or bill, and in some inferior courts.

(g) See Stat. N. P. 381.

(h) See note (f), supra.

t there is a proviso in the others that they tend to criminal proceedings, nor to any writ, or information upon any popular or penal statute such as concern the customs and subsidies and poundage (*k*). In some of these statutes the court is directed to rectify, yet an actual amendment is never made, but the statutes is attained by the court's overlooking (*l*). And for this reason, if error be brought and aided by these statutes, no costs are given to the error, even although the amendment be made; might have given judgment on the writ of error on the amendment, in the same manner as if the amendment had been actually made (*m*).

CHAP. XXX
SECT. 2.

Actual
Amendment
unnecessary
under the
Statutes of
Jeofails.

SECT. 2.

amendment, &c., of particular Proceedings.

Writ of Attorney,	Writ of Inquiry, 1126.
or Bill, 1118.	Writ of Trial, <i>id.</i>
19.	Issue, 1127.
0.	Jury Process, 1128.
.	Nisi Prius Record, 1129.
Demand, &c., 1123.	Verdict, 1130.
requent Pleadings,	Judgment, 1132.
uting Bankruptcy,	Scire Facias, 1133.
., 1126.	Writ of Error, &c., 1134.
	Execution, 1135.
	Sheriff's Return, 1136.
	Rules, Orders, &c., <i>id.</i>
	Affidavits, <i>id.</i>

[Warrant of Attorney.] THE want of a warrant of attorney aided after verdict by 18 *El. c.*14, (and see 32 *H. 6*. though not perhaps after judgment by default (*a*). take or defect which could be attributed to the clerks might be amended, even after error *H. 6, c.* 12. Thus the court allowed of amendment of the surname of the attorney, and in the addition, in the warrant correspond with the declaration (*b*). rule of all the courts of *H. T.*, 4 *W. 4, r.* 4, "no amendment made on record of any warrants of attorney to be made." And by rule of *H. T.*, 1 *Vict.*, of the Court of Common Pleas, it is ordered "that from and after the last day of Hilary term, it shall not be necessary to file a warrant of attorney to prosecute and defend previous to or at

Entry of
Warrant of
Attorney.

Milton, 2 Str. 1227; 1 *Atton*, Hardw. 409.
Richards v. Brown, 1 (d) 3 Bl. Com. 407.
C. 2, c. 8: *Res v.* (m) *Condon v. Coulter*, Hardw. 314.
Atcheson v. Everitt, (a) See 4 & 5 A. c. 16; see *Bradham v.*
At v. Hundred of Oseul- *Taylor*, 1 Wils. 85.
(b) *Richards v. Brown*, 1 Doug. 114.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

Infant appearing by Attorney when aided.

the time of signing interlocutory or final judgment, or stage of the cause."

If a plaintiff under age appear by attorney, in processions or ejectment, it is aided after verdict by 21 J. 1 and after judgment by confession, *nil dicit*, or *non amatus*, by 4 & 5 A. c. 16, s. 2.

Original Writ or Bill.

Original Writ or Bill.] When the proceedings by writ were in existence(c), if the original writ were destroyed through any misprision of the clerks, it might be amended. (8 H. 6, c. 12) (d). Also, when the process bill were in existence(e), a bill upon the file might be amended at any time(f). Also, defects and variations, the want of an original, or a bill, were aided by 17 C. 2, c. 8; 4 & 5 A. c. 16, s. 2; 21 J. 1, c. 13; 4 A. c. 16, s. 2; 5 G. 1, c. 13; 18 El. c. 14; 4 A. c. 16, s. 2.

Plaint in inferior Courts.

As to defects in plaints levied in inferior courts, see *v. Bryan*, 1 Wils. 180.

Process.

Process.] Before the alteration of process for common actions by the 2 W. 4, c. 39, process was amendable through misprision of the clerks, at any time, by 14 Ed. 3, st. 1, c. 5, s. 1, c. 4; 8 H. 6, c. 12; and 8 H. 6, c. 15; provided there was something to amend by(h). Thus, before 2 W. 4, c. 39, a *capias ad respondendum* might have been amended as to names, (though not in the number) of the parties(i), in the return(l), in the time of recording in the like. So, the court would have amended a non return bill of Middlesex, or *latitat*(n). But if a writ were void in substance, if returnable on a *dies non juridicus*, as in such case it was altogether void, it could not be amended(o). The court might then permit an amendment, even after a writ obtained to quash the writ(p). Now, however, as seen, (*ante*, Vol. I. 522, 120), since 2 W. 4, c. 39, the court have come to a determination not to allow any amendment of the mesne process itself, or in any indorsement thereon, as prescribed by statute, (and not merely by rule of court), in cases where the Statute of Limitations would be a bar, and indeed in the case of *Roberts v. Bate*(r) the Queen's Bench denied their power to amend by adding

(c) See now the 2 W. 4, c. 39.

(d) See *Green v. Miller*, 2 B. & Ad. 781; 8 Co. 159: *King v. The Bishop of Carlisle*, Barnes, 9: *Broune v. Hammond*, Id. 10: *Greenwood v. Richardson*, Id. 16: *Loegin Demandant, Rawlins Tenant, Pullen Vouchee*, Id. 22: *Smith v. Wilmer*, 3 Atk. 599.

(e) See now the 2 W. 4, c. 39.

(f) See R. M., 10 G. 2, r. 2. b.

(g) See 1 Saund. 318; 2 Saund. 101, r.: *Dickinson v. Plaisted*, 7 T. R. 474: *Boys v. Edmeads*, 2 Chit. Rep. 22: *Huston v. Owston*, 2 Bing. 469; 10 Moore, 194, S. C.: *Lapierre v. Germain*, 2 Ld. Raym. 859; 2 Salk. 235; 1 Salk. 50, S. C.

(h) See *Green v. Rennett*, 1 T. R. 782.

(i) *Carr v. Shaw*, 7 T. R. 299: *Rutherford v. Mein*, 2 Smith, 392.

(k) *Bourchier v. Wittle*, 1 H. Bl. 291: *Davis v. Owen*, 1 B. & P. 342.

(l) *Walker v. Hawkey*, 5 Taunt. 853: *Adams v. Luck*, 6 Moore, 113; 3 B. & B.

25, S. C.

(m) *Green v. Rennett*, 1 T. R. 782.

(n) *Oss v. Munday*, 1 V. 1: *Raubal v. Preston*, 5 East, 2: *Rennett*, 1 T. R. 782. An available process would not be allowed without discharging the return. *man v. Huish*, 2 N. R. 13: *Blackford*, 1 Chit. Rep. 323: *Davis*, Id. 374: *ante*, Vol. I. 522: *inson v. Hyde*, Oct. 10, 1828 (Pract. 29).

(o) *Kenworthy v. Peppiat*, 284.

(p) *Walker v. Hawkey*, 5 Taunt. 853: *Adams v. Luck*, 6 Moore, 113, S. C.

(q) *Lakin v. Watson*, 2 Hodgkinson v. Hodgkinson, 564: *Colston v. Berens*, 3 Partridge v. Walbank, 5 Dougl.

(r) 6 Ad. & El. 778.

plaintiff, even where the statute would have been a bar to a writ of action; but *Littledale, J.*, said, that the court might allow formalities or mere verbal mistakes to be amended. The court or a judge will allow an amendment of an *indorsement* on the writ, if that indorsement be one required by a rule of court and not by statute, upon the terms of plaintiff's paying the costs of amendment, and proceedings being stayed until a certain number of days after the amendment made (s). It has been considered that a judge at chambers cannot amend the *indorsement* on a writ of summons by reducing the amount of the claim indorsed upon it, in order to try the cause before the sheriff (t). But, according to more recent decisions, the amendment will be allowed where the case is one proper to be tried before the sheriff (u). It would seem that the *capias* prescribed by 2 V. c. 110, s. 3, cannot be amended, (unless, perhaps, in case of an error merely clerical), the act being imperative (r).

Before the alteration of the process by the above statute, it was usual to alter the return of writs, where they were not executed, and get them re-sealed (x); and this, even when the writs were stamped, was allowed, provided the writ could have been made returnable as amended, at the time it bore date (y). And this may perhaps still be done with the new process, before it is served (z). But, according to a late decision, if a defective writ be re-sealed, it ought to be dated of the date of re-sealing (a).

Altering and re-sealing Writ.

Although the court may, in some cases, allow the *writ* to be amended, they will not allow an amendment of the *copy* of it for service; for the *copy* is the act of the party, over which the court have no control (b).

Copy served not amendable.

After verdict, every defect in a judicial writ, in substance or form, or variance between it and the declaration, or other proceedings, is aided by 5 G. 1, c. 13. So, miscontinuance, discontinuance, or misconveyance of process, is aided after verdict by 32 H. 8, c. 30; and even in penal actions, after judgment by confession or default, by 4 & 5 A. c. 16, s. 2 (c).

Aided by Verdict.

As to what will be a waiver of a defect in process, see *ante*, 162.

Waiver of Defect.

It may, perhaps, be necessary to add, that defects in mesne process can never be the subject of a writ of error.

Defect, no Ground for Error.

Appearance.] Where the plaintiff's attorney by mistake entered an appearance for the defendant by a wrong name, the court, upon application, ordered the officer to amend the appearance, the defendant being correctly named in the writ (d). Where, however, the defendant entered an appearance by a

Appearance

(s) *Shirley v. Jacobs*, 1 Scott, 67; 3 Dowl. 183, S. C.: *Cooper v. Waller*, Id. 17.

(t) *Trotter v. Bass*, 3 Dowl. 407; 1 Dowl. 23; 1 Scott, 403, S. C.

(u) *Edge v. Shaw*, 4 Dowl. 189; 2 C., M. & R. 415, S. C.: *Frodsham v. Round*, 4 Dowl. 509.

(v) See *Roberts v. Bats*, 6 Ad. & El. 78.

(w) *Israel v. Middleton*, 1 Chit. Rep. 11, 398.

(y) *Durden v. Hammond*, 2 D. & R. 11; 1 B. & C. 111, S. C.

(z) *Ante*, Vol. I. p. 119.

(a) *Knight v. Warren*, 7 Dowl. 663.

(b) *Byfield v. Street*, 10 Bing. 27; 3 Moo. & Scott, 406, S. C.: *Nicholl v. Bayn*, 10 Bing. 339; 3 Moo. & Scott, 812; 2 Dowl. 761, S. C.: see *vide Hodgkinson v. Hodgkinson*, 3 Nev. & M. 504, per Taunton, J.

(c) *Humble v. Bland*, 6 T. R. 255.

(d) *Whetton v. Packman*, 3 Wills. 49; see *Goodwright v. Wright*, 1 Str. 33; *Stratton v. Burgis*, Id. 114; *Power v. Jones*, Id. 445.

**Book IV.
PART I.**

wrong name, and, instead of applying to have it amended, entered a new appearance, and afterwards signed judgment for want of a declaration, the court set aside his proceedings as irregular(e). It may be here observed, that the amendment must strictly follow the forms given by the 2 W. 4, c. 38, in the schedule, or it will be a nullity(f). As to when a defect in the appearance is waived, see ante, 1047.

Bail-piece.

Bail-piece.] The court have refused to amend the bail-piece in a bailable action, unless with the consent of the bail(g), and the Court of Common Pleas have refused it, upon the application of the bail to the sheriff, after an action against the bail upon the bail-bond and *comperuit ad diem* pleaded(h). The court have also refused to allow an amendment of the sum in a bail-piece in error, even with the consent of the bail, the effect of the amendment being merely that, if allowed, the writ of error would be a *superseas* of execution(i).

Recognisance of Bail.

The Court of Common Pleas have also ordered the recognisance of bail to be amended, where the application was made on the part of the bail(k); but they refused to do so when the bail had not assented to it(l).

Declaration.

Declaration.] The declaration may be amended, at common law, in the title(m), in the venue(n), in the parties' names(o), and in the body of the declaration, in form(p), or substance(q). And this amendment will in general be allowed even in *penal* actions(r), or in an action against the marshal for an escape(s), provided the amendment do not introduce any new substantive cause of action, or new charge against the defendant(t). But in other actions (provided the bail be not prejudiced) the court will allow the plaintiff to add even a new count, or to strike out a count, upon payment of costs(u), and this even after two terms, provided the counts intended to be added contain no new causes of action(x). And in a late case, where in an action by a banking company the names of two public officers were improperly put on the record as plaintiffs, the 7 G. 4, c. 45, s. 9, requiring the suit to be carried on in the name of one only, the Court of Common Pleas allowed the name of one of the plaintiffs to be struck out on payment of costs(y). And the Court of Common Pleas have, under particular circumstances, allowed

What Amendment allowed.

- (e) *Bates v. Bolton*, 4 Dowl. 677.
 (f) *Warren v. Lons*, 7 Dowl. 602.
 (g) 1 Barnard, 214.
 (h) *Bingham v. Dickie*, 5 Taunt. 814: but see *Anderson v. Noah*, 1 B. & P. 31.
 (i) *Reed v. Cooper*, 5 Taunt. 320.
 (k) *Halliday v. Fitzpatrick*, 4 Taunt. 875.
 (l) *Tabrum v. Tenant*, 1 B. & P. 481: *Faget v. Vanthiennen*, Barnes, 59: *Venn v. Warner*, 3 Taunt. 263: but see *Mann v. Calow*, 1 Taunt. 221.
 (m) *Coutanche v. La Russ*, 1 East, 133: *Symmonds v. Parmenter*, 1 Wils. 78: *Stork v. Herbert*, Id. 242: *Wilkes v. Earl of Halifax*, 2 Wils. 256: *Brazier v. Jones*, 6 B. & C. 196.
 (n) *Ante*, 738.
 (o) See *Smith v. Fuller*, 1 Ld. Raym. 116: Plaintiff, *Gardner v. Walker*, 3 Anst. 935: but see *Moody v. Aslett*, 3 Dowl. 486: Defendant, *Owens v. Dubois*, 7 T. R. 698.
 (p) *Marshall v. Riggs*, 2 Str. 118: *Stroud v. Tilly*, Id.
 (q) *Bondfield v. Milner*, 2 Burr. 102: *Havers v. Bannister*, 1 Wils. 7.
 (r) *Ante*, 1115.
 (s) *Barnes v. Eyles*, 2 Moore, 58; 6 Taunt. 515, S. C.: *Brazier v. Jones*, 6 B. & C. 196.
 (t) *Cross v. Kaye*, 6 T. R. 544: *Maddock v. Hammet*, 7 T. R. 55: see *Widdroffe v. Williams*, 6 Taunt. 19: *Horton v. Shilliter*, 6 Moore, 490: *Shawling v. Halse*, 4 M. & R. 323: *Morris v. Esau*, 1 Dowl. 657.
 (u) *Dee Beaumont v. Armitage*, 1 D. & R. 173; Tidd, 644: see *Executors of the Duke of Marlborough v. Windham*, 1 Str. 890: *Brown v. Cramp*, 6 Taunt. 308.
 (x) M8., E. 1820.
 (y) *Holmes v. Pinnay*, 6 Dowl. 67: misreported 4 Bing. N. C. 454: but see *Roberts v. Bate*, 6 Ad. & El. 778.

a plaintiff to amend his declaration by changing it from *assumpsit* into debt, even after six terms from the return of the writ (*a*); and they have allowed an amendment at a later period, where the defendant was the cause of the delay (*a*). And in a case where the defendant declared in trespass, instead of case, for an injury occasioned by the negligent driving of the defendant's servant, the Court of Queen's Bench allowed the plaintiff to amend the declaration into case, notwithstanding the application was not made until after two terms from the return of the writ (*b*). But these last-mentioned cases were very peculiar, and were commenced before the form of process prescribed by 2 W. 4, c. 39, in which the form of action is stated in the writ, and must be adhered to in the declaration, and it would seem that since that act no amendment can be allowed which would make the cause of action declared on different from that stated in the writ, unless by consent (*c*). In a recent case the Court of Exchequer, in an action for false imprisonment, refused to allow a count *de bonis asportatis* to be added to the declaration after the lapse of the two terms (*d*). Also, where a jury gave more damages than were laid in the declaration, the court, upon application of the plaintiff, granted a new trial, and gave him leave to amend the declaration by increasing the damages (*e*). But where a verdict was taken for the damages laid in the declaration, subject to an award, the court refused to allow the plaintiff to amend his declaration by increasing the damages, although it appeared from the affidavit that a larger sum would probably be proved before the arbitrator (*f*). As to the amendment of a declaration in ejectment, see *ante*, 736.

The court have entertained the application for an amendment in these respects, even after a plea in abatement for the mistake sought to be amended (*g*), or after issue joined (*h*), or the record taken down for trial and withdrawn (*i*), and even after verdict under particular circumstances (*k*). They have allowed it also after issue joined on *nul tiel* record (*l*); they have also set aside a nonsuit, and allowed the declaration to be amended as to the error for which the plaintiff was nonsuited (*m*); but they have refused to amend the declaration after a motion made to arrest the judgment for the defect (*n*). If there be any defect in the declaration arising from the mis-

Time of Application for.

(a) *Billing v. Flight*, 6 Taunt. 419; *Billing v. Proley*, Id. 422; and see *Atkinson v. Bell*, 2 M. & R. 292, 302; 8 B. & C. 277, S. C.; but see *Green v. Milton*, 4 B. & Ad. 369.

(e) *Aylwin v. Todd*, 1 Bing. N. C. 170. The action was on a charter-party.

(b) MS.; also another MS., M. T. 1808; but see *Green v. Milton*, 4 B. & Ad. 369.

(c) See as to the necessity of the declaration agreeing with the writ in the cause of action, Vol. 1. 145; and see *per Denman, C. J.*, in *Green v. Milton*, 4 B. & Ad. 369.

(d) *Conolly v. Finch*, Exch., H. T. 1838; 2 Jurist, 49.

(f) *Tomlinson v. Blacksmith*, 7 T. R. 132; and see 2 Chit. Rep. 27 b; *Dew v. Katz*, 8 C. & P. 315.

(g) *Pearce v. Cameron*, 1 M. & Sel. 675.

(g) *Garner v. Anderson*, 1 Str. 11; *Messner v. Hertz*, 3 M. & Sel. 450; *Owens v. Dubois*, 7 T. R. 608.

(h) *Executors of the Duke of Marlborough v. Windmore*, 2 Str. 890.

(i) *Mace v. Lonett*, 5 Burr. 2833; *Cross v. Kaye*, 6 T. R. 543; *Morris v. Evans*, 1 Dowl. 657; *ante*, 1112.

(k) *Wilder v. Handy*, 2 Str. 1151; *Smith v. Fuller*, 1 Ld. Raym. 116; see *Marriott v. Lister*, 2 Wils. 147; *Vicars v. Haydon*, 2 Cowp. 841.

(l) *Symonds v. Parmenter*, 1 Wils. 87; *Blackmore v. Fleming*, 7 T. R. 447 d; *Douglas v. —*, 2 Chit. Rep. 27; *Rastall v. Stratton*, 1 H. Bl. 49.

(m) *Williams v. Pratt*, 5 B. & Ald. 806; *Hulhead v. Abrahams*, 3 Taunt. 81; *Dartnall v. Howard*, Chit. Sum. Prac. 149; *Pullen v. Seymour*, 5 Dowl. 164.

(n) *Collins v. Gibbs*, 2 Burr. 869.

prison of the clerks, it may be amended at any time by leave of the court (o).

Before plea, the declaration may be amended without costs, excepting the costs of the application; after plea or demurrer, it can, in general, be amended only upon the terms of paying costs (p); the court or a judge have, however, the power of ordering the amendment without costs (q).

Having stated what defects in a declaration are amendable, we shall now see what are aided, either at common law or under the statutes of jeofails (r). A declaration is aided at common law, *after verdict*, where there is any defect, imperfection, or omission in it, whether in substance or in form, for which the defendant might have demurred; but the facts defectively stated or omitted are such as must necessarily have been proved at the trial, in order to entitle the party to the verdict he has obtained (s). Thus, where (before *stat. 4 & 5 A. c. 16, s. 9*, which rendered attornment unnecessary) an action was brought for rent by the bargainee of a reversion, and the declaration omitted to allege attornment of the tenant, and upon *nil debet* pleaded there was a verdict for the plaintiff, the omission was holden to be cured by verdict (t), but it would have been a fatal objection after judgment by default (u). So, if the grant of a reversion or incorporeal hereditament be pleaded, and it is not alleged to have been by deed, or a feoffment be pleaded without livery, yet if the grant or feoffment be put in issue, and found by the jury, the omission is cured by the verdict (x); but it would be fatal after judgment by default. So in an action for a malicious prosecution, if the declaration do not allege that the prosecution is at an end, it is fatal upon demurrer, or after judgment by default (y), but is cured by verdict (z). So, an ambiguous expression in a declaration is cured by verdict, and must afterwards be taken to have been used in that sense which would sustain the verdict (a). But if the plaintiff, in his declaration, either state a defective title, or totally omit to state any title or cause of action whatever, a verdict will not cure the defect either at common law or under the statute of jeofails (b). Thus, in an action on a bill of exchange against the indorser, where a demand upon and refusal by the acceptor was not alleged in the declaration, the omission was holden not to be cured by verdict (c). So, in an action against an heir upon the bond of his ancestor, if the declaration omit to state that the ancestor in his bond bound himself and his

(o) 8 H. 6, c. 12; see 1 Doug. 116; *Mundy v. Stracey*, 4 Taunt. 583.

(p) R. M., 10 G. 2, b; and see R. M. 1634, s. 13.

(q) See *Wall v. Low*, 2 Bing. 411; 1 Dowl. 714, & C.

(r) See fully, 1 Chit. Pl. 8th ed. 673 to 682.

(s) *Ante*, 1118.

(t) *Hitchin v. Stephens*, 2 Show. 233; *Rushden v. Aspinall*, 2 Doug. 683; 2 Saund. 265 a, n. (17).

(u) *Lansdown v. Lord*, 1 Str. 78.

(x) *Lightfoot v. Brightman*, Hul. 34; *Spence v. Parker*, 1 T. R. 145.

(y) *Waterer v. Freeman*, Hob. 287; *Parker v. Langley*, 10 Mod. 369; 1 Doug.

205; *Morgan v. Hughes*, 2 T. R. 226; 25 Price, 540; *Piper v. Brown*, 2 B. & Ad. 534.

(z) 1 Saund. 298 c.

(a) *Lord Huntingdon v. Gordon*, B. & C. 304; 2 D. & R. 450, & C. 289; *v. Rickie*, 5 M. & W. 173.

(b) *Rushden v. Aspinall*, 2 Doug. 683; 265 a, n. *Small v. Cole*, 2 Burr. 111; *Weston v. Matson*, 31d 1738; *Roe v. Wrenham v. Henry*, 3 Wils. 273; *Sutton v. Parker*, 1 T. R. 141-146; *Flahay v. Biddisford*, 4 T. R. 472; *Ironley v. Audley*, Nev. & P. 114; *Tait v. Shonston*, 5 M. & W. 283.

(c) *Rushden v. Aspinall*, 2 Doug. 683.

mission is not cured by verdict(*d*). Surplusage, as not vitiate after verdict(*e*).

CHAP. XXX.
SECT. 2.

ring defects in a declaration are cured after verdict
tes of jeofails, and after judgment by confession
y 4 & 5 A. c. 16, s. 2:—mispleading, insufficient
jeofail, or other default or negligence of the
counsellors or attornies (32 H. 8, c. 30); lack of
any life, so as the person be proved to be alive
13); want of form in any count, declaration,
suit, or demand (18 El. c. 14) (*f*); want of pro-
omission of *vi et armis* (*g*), or *contra pacem*;
ie Christian name or surname of either party,
month, or year, in any bill, declaration, or plead-
ght in the writ, plaint, roll, or record preceding,
ne roll or record wherein the same is committed,
ie party might have demurred and shewn the
se; or the want of *prout patet per recordum*; or
right venue, so as the cause were tried by a jury
r county where the action is laid (and which is
d the defect of a mis-trial of a local action in a
y (*h*); or any other matters of the like nature,
ainst the right of the matter in suit, nor whereby
rial is altered (*i*). But in no case is a declaration
ese statutes, where the plaintiff either states a
e, or totally omits to state any title or cause of
(*Supra*).

What Defects
cured after
Judgment by
Confession or
Default, &c.

iff, after obtaining an order to amend his declara-
ave to defendant to plead *de novo*, may abandon
nd proceed to trial without procuring it to be

Order may be
abandoned.

time for pleading after an amendment of the de-
Vol. I. 157.

Time for
Pleading
after.

s of Demand, &c.] If a bill of particulars be in-
party who delivered it may have leave to amend
ot sufficiently explicit, the party may take out a
d obtain order for further particulars (*m*).

Particulars of
Demand, &c.

ce of disputing bankruptcy, notice of objections
:, see *post*, 1126.

Notices, &c.

subsequent Pleadings.] Pleas, replications, and
leadings may be amended at common law, whilst
paper, by leave of the court or a judge, upon
osts (*n*). They have allowed a plea of a judgment
or to be amended in the sum for which the judg-
covered, although the application was not made
three years after issue joined (*o*); and in an
promissory note, the court, after issue joined,

Plea and sub-
sequent
Pleadings.

h, 137 a.
821; Cro. Jac. 94.
Lee, 1 Ld. Raym. 211.
Miley, 4 D. & R. 215.
London v. Cole, 7 T. R.
Taylor, 2 Ld. Raym.
Citizens of Litchfield v.
1; and see Meller v.
7; 1 Saund. 247.
2, c. 8. See 1 Saund.
see there cited; also 1
s; 2 Saund. 7 a.

(k) Black v. Sangster, 1 C., M. & R.
521; 3 Dowl. 206, S. C.

(l) See Staples v. Holdsworth, 6 Dowl.
714.

(m) Ante, 1034, 1035.

(n) Ante, 1115: Low v. Newland, 1
Wils. 76.

(o) Skutt v. Woodward, 1 H. Bl. 238:
and see Prior v. Duke of Buckingham, 8
Moore, 584: Oldershaw v. Thompson, 1
Stark. Rep. 312: Jones v. Roberts, 2 Dowl.
698.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

allowed a defendant to add a plea, shewing that by the law the plaintiff's right of action was tolled by time(*p*). And they have allowed a plea to be amended striking out some averments and inserting others, even issue joined and witnesses examined on the plaintiff's interrogatories, the plaintiff having had notice of the amendment before the examination(*q*). After demurrer have seen that it is usual to give leave to amend the plea in some cases this has been done even after judgment(*r*). In a case in the Common Pleas, the general issue having been put to an action for an assault, and a verdict found for plaintiff a new trial granted on payment of costs, the court would allow the defendant to withdraw the issue and plead in abatement and satisfaction(*s*). So, where, in an action of debt, the parties went down to trial on the general issue, and the plaintiff obtained a verdict, the court refused to allow the defendant to amend by adding a plea of justification(*t*). It seems that the court will not in any case grant the defendant an amendment and new trial for the purpose of enabling him to add a plea, and raise a defence not available to him on the plea on which he went down to the first trial(*u*). The court will not allow a plea in abatement to be amended(*v*).

Replication.

The court have allowed a replication to be amended where the cause had been carried down to trial and made a sham plea (*x*); and, where a replication to a sham plea was defective, the plaintiff had leave to amend, without payment of costs, after demurrer argued(*y*). A replication of debt ultra to a plea of payment into court may be amended by a replication taking out the money in satisfaction on payment of the defendant's costs incurred subsequently to the plea. So, *de injuriâ* has been amended into *molliter manus imponere*. Even after verdict, the court have allowed of an amendment by inserting the similiter after the replication, instead of " &c."(*b*); and in a case in the Common Pleas, where the plaintiff had omitted to reply to one of the defendant's replies, and the defendant added the similiter as if the plaintiff replied, the court allowed the plaintiff to amend, by inserting the replication after verdict, upon payment of costs. On application, the merits of the case having been tried upon another issue(*c*). The court, however, have refused to allow a replication to be amended after a nonsuit(*d*), and a verdict set aside, in an action against an executor(*e*). Where a verdict was taken for the plaintiff, and all matters in issue in the cause were referred to an arbitrator, who decided that, for the justice of the case, the record ought to be amended by allowing the plaintiff to substitute a replication, put in the circumstances averred in the plea in issue, the court

(*p*) *Huber v. Steiner*, 2 Dowl. 781; 4 Moo. & Sc. 339, S. C.; see *Smith v. Dixon*, 1 Har. & W. 608.

(*q*) *Hollingsworth v. Briggs*, 4 Dowl. 643.

(*r*) *Ante*, 1112.

(*s*) *Price v. Serern*, 7 Bing. 408; 5 Moo. & P. 250; 1 Dowl. 215, S. C.

(*t*) *Kirby v. Simpson*, 3 Dowl. 791.

(*u*) *Ante*, 1094, 1095.

(*v*) *Ante*, 655.

(*x*) *Cope v. Marshall*, Say. 285.

(*y*) *Solomons v. Lyon*, 1 East, 350; *Reddon v. Thompson*, MS., Q. B., Nov. 9,

1833.

(*z*) *Ante*, 975.

(*a*) *Low v. Newland*, 1 Wm.

(*b*) *Sayer v. Peacock*, Cowp. this amendment seems unnecessary. *Clark v. Nicholson*, 6 C. & P. 101; *dale v. Chapman*, 4 Ad. & El. 101; *Finch*, 6 Dowl. 312.

(*c*) *Coats v. Burke*, 5 Taunt. see the cases post, 1127, n. (4).

(*d*) *Hutchinson v. Brier*, 5 B.

(*e*) *The Bank of England*

Str. 1002.

they had no power to direct such an amendment (*f*). Nor the court, in general, allow the replication to be amended in other actions, particularly after demurrer argued (*g*).

CHAP. XXX.
SECT. 2.

Counters (*h*) and pleas in bar (*i*), in replevin, may be amended in the same way as pleadings in other actions.

Avowries and
Pleas in Bar.

to withdrawing pleas or replications, and pleading or replying *de novo*, see *Vol. I.* 180.

Withdrawing
Pleas, &c.

so, the pleadings may be amended, at any time, as to those which, in the opinion of the court, have originated in the misprision of the clerks (*k*). They may be amended by the draft under counsel's hand (*l*), or they might have been by the paper book, when it used to be made up by the clerk of the papers (*m*).

Misprision of
Clerks in.

and, replications, &c., are aided, at common law, after verdict for the party who pleaded them, in the same cases as in other actions; namely, where the matter defectively stated or pleaded (not amounting to a defective title, or the omission of a fact which is such as must necessarily have been proved at the trial in order to entitle the party pleading to the verdict he obtained (*n*)). But where there is a defect, omission, or misdirection, though in form only, in some collateral parts of the pleading that were not in issue between the parties, so that there can be no room to presume that the defect or omission has been supplied by proof, a verdict will not cure the defect at common law (*o*), although in some cases it would under the statutes of jeofails. Thus, where a replication should have averred that the cattle were *levant et couchant* on the defendant's land, and issue was taken on a prescription only, a verdict in favour of the prescription was holden not to aid the action on this averment at common law (*p*), although it is now aided by the statutes of jeofails. Also, where a defendant confesses the action, but does not sufficiently avoid it, the plaintiff, we have seen, (*ante*, 1108), may move for judgment *stante veredicto*.

What Defect
is aided by
Verdict.

pleas, replications, &c., the following defects are aided by verdict by the statutes of jeofails, and after judgment by confession or default, by the 4 & 5 A. c. 16, s. 2; misdirection, lack of colour, insufficient pleading or jeofail, or confession or negligence of the parties, their counsellors or attorneys (32 H. 8, c. 30); lack of averment of any life, so as to ensure the person be proved to be alive (21 J. 1, c. 13); want of date, or mistaking the christian name or surname of either party, or the time, day, month, or year, in any pleading, being right in the writ, plaint, roll, or record preceding, or in the same record wherein the same is committed, to which the party might have demurred, and have shewn the same to be true; want of the averment of "*hoc paratus est verificare*," or "*hoc paratus est verificare per recordum*," or for not averring "*probat patet per recordum*;" or any other matters of the nature, not being against the right of the matter of

What Defects
are cured by
Judgment by
Confession, or
Default.

see v. Metcalf, 1 Nev. & P. 232.

Reg. 21: 1 Sellon, 275: see

see v. Buckingham, 8 Moore, 584.

see v. Fossitt, 3 Wils. 296.

1. 6, c. 12: *Green v. Miller*, 2 B.

782.

see v. Walker, 2 Str. 846: *Ram-*

see v. Bird, Cro. El. 258.

(m) 8 Co. 161 b: *Parsons v. Gill*, 1 Salk.

50, 88; 2 Ld. Raym. 805. S. C.: Tidd, 651.

(n) See *ante*, 1116: Bull. N. P. 321: 1

Chit. Pl. 6th ed. 673 to 682.

(o) 1 Saund. 228 a.

(p) *France v. Tringer*, Cro. Jac. 44.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

the suit, nor whereby the issue or trial are altered. (11 *Car. 2, c. 8*).

Notice of disputing Bankruptcy, &c.

Notice of disputing Bankruptcy, Patent, &c.] Even at trial the court have granted a new trial, and given the defendant leave to plead *de novo* with a proper notice of intention to dispute the act of bankruptcy, &c., the notice having been too general (*q*).

Notice of Objections to Patent.

If, in an action for the infringement of a patent, the defendant neglect to deliver, with his pleas, the objections required by the 5 & 6 *W. 4, c. 83*, it seems doubtful if the court have power to allow him to deliver them afterwards, *nunc pro tunc*; but if they are satisfied on the merits, they will grant leave to plead *de novo*, and then deliver the objections with the fresh pleas (*r*). The court will also, as we have seen, order defendant to deliver better particulars at the instance of the plaintiff (*s*).

Notice of Set-off.

The Court of Common Pleas refused to allow a set-off to be amended, when such notice was in practice.

Demurrer.

Demurrer.] A demurrer cannot be amended without the consent of the opposite party (*u*). As to amending after the return of demurrer, see *ante*, 1112.

Writ of Inquiry.

Writ of Inquiry.] Defects or errors in a writ of inquiry may be amended by the award of it on the roll (*x*). If the jury, in an action of debt, omit the formal finding of damages which entitles the plaintiff to costs *de incremento*, the court will order the requisite entry to be made on the *postea* (*y*). If the writ and inquisition were lost, the court ordered a new writ to be made out according to the sheriff's notes, and the costs before taxed should be indorsed by the master (*z*). The want of a writ of inquiry, however, is said to be aided by the statutes of jeofails (*a*).

Writ of Trial before Sheriff.

Writ of Trial before Sheriff.] In a recent case, *v* cause (which had been made a *remanet*) was tried before the sheriff on a day subsequent to the return day of the writ, the court allowed an amendment of the writ (*b*). The safer course, in such a case, is to apply to a judge for a *trial* to extend the time for the return of the writ (*c*). If the writ of summons was mis-recited in the writ of trial, and the defendant appeared at the trial, the court allowed the plaintiff to amend the writ of trial by inserting the date of the writ of summons (*d*). Where the plaintiff

(*q*) 6 B. & C. 537, n.: and see the cases *ante*, 901, 903.

(*r*) *Losh v. Hay*, Exch., H. T. 1838; 2 Jurist, 157.

(*s*) *Buttols v. M'Kenzie*, 6 Dowl. 215; *Fisher v. Hewit*, 6 Dowl. 739.

(*t*) *Anon.*, Barnes, 294. The defendant must now, in all cases, plead a set-off. (*Graham v. Partridge*, 5 Dowl. 108).

(*u*) *Maynard v. Hopkins*, Say. 46.

(*x*) *Johnson v. Toulmin*, 4 East, 173; *Condren v. Coulter*, Hardw. 314; *Hughes v. Alvarez*, 1 Str. 684; *Ingham v. Chishull*, Barnes, 15; *Pippett v. Hearn*, 1 D. & R. 206.

(*y*) *Bale v. Hodgetts*, 1 Bl. Moore, 602, S. C.

(*z*) *Bean v. Elton*, 2 Str. 107.

(*a*) *Iles v. Pitt*, 2 Ld. Re *Mallory v. Jennings*, 2 Str. 878.

(*b*) *Sherman v. Tinsley*, 4 B. & C. 101; but see *Mortimer v. Prosser*, 6 B. & C. 101. It seems that the proper course in such a case is, to apply to a judge to extend the time for the return of the writ. (*c*) *Mortimer v. Prosser*, 6 B. & C. 101.

(*d*) *Percival v. Connell*, 1 Jur. 206.

his issue in the form of an issue at *Nisi Prius*, and the form prescribed by the rule of court, on a motion set aside the issue and notice of trial, the court gave plaintiff leave to amend on payment of costs(e). And the judges of Exchequer have held that a variance between the writ of trial and the writ of trial may be amended at any time, if the defendant appeared at the trial, even under protest(f). But if the date of the writ of summons was omitted in the writ and inserted in the writ of trial, the Court of Common Pleas after verdict set aside the writ of trial with costs, though the defendant had appeared (under protest) at the trial(g). As to the consequence of a mistake in this respect, see *Ante*, Vol. I. 294.

22.] The misjoining of issue, or an issue otherwise in- Issue.
corrected, is aided after verdict by 32 H. 8, c. 30(h); so are mis- Misjoinder of.
joinder and discontinuance, by 32 H. 8, c. 30(i). The writ of a *similiter* is also aided by it, or is at least amendable by statute 8 H. 6, c. 12(k); and even where the plaintiff has a *similiter* to a rejoinder concluding with a verification, and of taking issue and concluding to the country, the court allowed the record to be amended after verdict(l); and, as to an action on a bill, the defendant pleaded no confession, concluding with a verification; and the plaintiff, instead of replying in denial, merely added the *similiter*, and went down to trial and obtained a verdict, the court held that this was a mis-trial, as no issue had been joined, and that judgment of re-pleader should be given; but they permitted plaintiff to amend on payment of costs(m). Also, if the *similiter* be added in the name of the defendant, instead of the plaintiff, or the contrary, it is aided after verdict by the above statute(n), or may be amended(o); and an amendment will be allowed to add it, even though wholly omitted(p).
In court, we have seen, allow the issue to be amended, Informalities
after verdict, if the amendment do not alter the substance or Omissions
of issues between the parties(q). They would, also, when in.
proceedings by bill existed, allow of an amendment, by insertion of a special memorandum of the term in which plaintiff filed his bill, even after error brought(r). And where there was a mistake in the title of the issue, the court allowed the plaintiff to deliver a new issue properly intitled(s);

Ante v. *Baker*, 5 Dowl. 462.
Wright v. Cockerton, 6 Dowl. 337:
Wright v. Cockerton, 1 Nev. & P. 581.
Wright v. Tenant, 6 Dowl. 436.
Wright v. Bushin, 1 Stark. 742;
9; Bull. N. P. 321: *Cary v. Hin-*
chell, 973.
Wright v. Bland, 6
Dowl. 1057: *Humble v. Bland*, 6
Dowl. 2 Saund. 1 e.
Wright v. Pocock, Cowp. 407: *Reader*
2 Bing. 384; 9 Moore, 741, S.
Wright v. Horton, 1 Stark. 400; 2
6 M. & Sel. 50, S. C.: *see ride*
Cockford, 3 B. & B. 1; 6 Moore,
Ferrers v. Weal, 2 Moore, 21.
Wright v. Grundy v. Mell, 1 New
and *see Cooke v. Burke*, 5 Taunt.
23 after verdict, the want of a
may be aided; and this, though
20 " &c." at the end of the last

pleading. (See *Stockdale v. Chapman*, 4
Ad. & El. 419: and *see Savain v. Lewis*, 3
Dowl. 700: *Brook v. Finch*, 6 Dowl. 313:
Clark v. Nicholson, 6 C. & P. 712).
(m) *Wordsworth v. Brown*, 3 Dowl.
608.
(n) *Rawbone v. Hickman*, 1 Str. 551:
Harvey v. Peake, 3 Burr. 1793: *Birton v.*
Mandel, Cro. Jac. 67: Bull. N. P. 320.
(o) *Greenwood v. Piggott*, 3 Salk. 31.
(p) *Siboni v. Kirkman*, 3 M. & W. 48;
6 Dowl. 98, S. C.: overruling *Cooper v.*
Spencer, 1 Stra. 641: and *see Harvey v.*
Peake, 3 Burr. 1793.
(q) *Ante*, 1124: *Sayer v. Pocock*, Cowp.
407: *Grundy v. Mell*, 1 New Rep. 28:
Cooke v. Burke, 5 Taunt. 164.
(r) *Ante*, 1118.
(s) *Beaumont v. Stewart*, Barnes, 18.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

also, the court or a judge have power, at any stage of proceedings, to amend an issue, &c., not made up in conformity with the forms given in *R. H.*, 4 *W.* 4; and therefore the *Nisi Prius* record did not contain the date of the summons, it was held that the judge might set aside the verdict on account of omission(*t*).

Objection to,
when made,
and how
waived.

If the issue vary from the declaration or other pleading, accepting the issue will be a waiver of all objection to the issue account(*u*). If it vary from the record of *Nisi Prius*, objection should be made at the trial, otherwise the court will deem it aided by verdict, or will amend the *Nisi Prius* by the roll(*x*); and if, in such case, the *Nisi Prius* agree with the declaration delivered, a variance between the issue is not material, even although the objection was made at the trial(*y*).

Repleader,
where the
issue is im-
material.

An immaterial issue is not aided either at common law by statute(*s*); but the court in such a case usually grants a repleader; and where a plea raises an immaterial issue, and contains no confession of the cause of action, the proper judgment is to award a repleader, and not to give judgment as on a confession *verdicto*(*a*). And where there are several issues on which issues are taken, but on none of them the action is fully confessed or proved, the court may grant a repleader if one of the issues be immaterial(*b*). On a motion for judgment of repleader, neither party is entitled to costs(*c*).

Jury Process.

Jury Process.] The court may amend the jury process at any time, for defects arising from the misprision of the officer by 8 *H.* 6, c. 12(*c*). The *distringas* may be amended by the award of it on the roll, and the *venire* by the award of it on the roll.

What Defects
in aided by
Verdict.

If jury process be awarded to a wrong officer, upon sufficient suggestion; or if the *venire* be in some part misnamed, or sued out of more or fewer places than it ought to be, or some one place be rightly named; or if any of the jurors tried the issue be misnamed, either in the surname(*d*) or addition, in the jury process or return thereof, it be proved that it was the same man who was misnamed and returned; or if there be no return to the said process, or the panel of the jurors' names be returned and answered (*see* 6 *G.* 4, c. 50, s. 15; *Vol. I.* 252); or if the return be not to the return, so as it be proved that the return be returned by the returning officer; all these several defects are aided after verdict by 21 *J.* 1, c. 13(*e*). Also by 5 *E.* 1, every defect or fault in judicial writs, and every defect between them and the other proceedings, is aided after verdict; and as this statute relates to judicial writs granted

(*t*) *Cox v. Painter*, 1 Nev. & P. 581: see *Farwig v. Cockerton*, 6 Dowl. 137; 7 C. & P. 767. And as to giving evidence of the date, though not inserted, see *Godfrey v. Clements*, (W., W. & D. 47), which was a case of trial before the sheriff.

(*u*) See *ante*, Vol. I. 203, 204.

(*x*) *Loeman v. Allen*, 2 Wils. 160: see *Drummond v. Birt*, 2 M. & M. 136: *Blisset v. Tenant*, C. P., H. T. 1838: 2 Jurist, 181: *Brooke v. Finch*, 2 Jurist, 234.

(*y*) *Shepley v. Marsh*, 2 Str. 1131: *post*, 1129.

(*z*) Bull. N. P. 321.

(*a*) *Plumer v. Lee*, 2 M. & M. 136.

(*b*) See, upon this subject, 319 b: *Staple v. Hayden*, 1 Ld. Raym. 922, S. C.

(*c*) See *Bullock v. Par*, 454; 2 Ld. Raym. 1143, *Roberts*, 2 Str. 1214: *Post*, 1131.

(*d*) See *Hill v. Yates*, 12 C. & P. 100.

(*e*) See *Gurney v. Clere*, 12 C. & P. 100; *Welsh v. Upton*, Id.: *R. v. Cro. Car.* 338; Bull. N. P. 321.

ingly includes jury process (*f*). And, lastly, the want of *replevin* is aided after verdict (*g*). If there be no return of *distringas juratores* by the sheriff or other officer, nor any of the jurors therein mentioned, returned and annexed *replevin*, such defect is a ground of error, and is not cured by of the statutes (*h*).

CHAP. XXX.
SECT. 2.

Nisi Prius Record.] The court may amend the record of *Nisi Prius* at any time, for a defect arising from misprision the clerks (*i*). It may be amended by the issue roll, if (*k*). Where the issue in ejectment was against seven defendants, and the *Nisi Prius* record, by mistake, against only, the court amended the *Nisi Prius* record, after verdict, by adding the names of the remaining two defendants (*l*). But where the mistake was in the *jurata*, the *Nisi Prius* therein not having been altered after the *verdict* was made a *remanet*, and the subsequent trial appeared to have been had after the day of *Nisi Prius*, the Court of Common Pleas held the trial to be *coram non iudice*, and refused to amend the *jurata* and *distringas*, but ordered a *venire de novo* (*m*). In a recent case, where the *Nisi Prius* record did not contain the date of the writ of *assumpsit*, it was held that the judge might supply the omission at any stage of the proceedings (*n*). For variance between the *Nisi Prius* record and the issue, the objection may be made at the time of the trial, for the court will in general set aside the verdict for such a cause, if the defendant appeared at the trial (*o*); and a variance in this respect is wholly immaterial, if the *Nisi Prius* record agree with the declaration delivered (*p*). Where the record and issue were lost, the court ordered a new one to be made from the issue roll and from the associate's notes (*q*).

Nisi Prius
Record.
When amend
ed by the
Court.

When before the recent acts (*ante*, 1113), the record might be amended by leave of the judge at *Nisi Prius*, and this even if the cause was called on, provided it was before the jury sworn (*r*); provided also the alteration proposed were not a matter of material allegation (*s*), and the attorney was aware of the defect in time to have it remedied upon application to a judge at chambers. Formerly, it could be amended only by a judge of the court wherein the record was made up (*t*), but now it may be amended, on circuit, by the judge who is to try the cause, in the same manner

When by the
Judge at *Nisi*
Prius.

See *Walden v. Harrison*, Barnes, 5. *Gurney v. Clerke*, Cro. El. 259: *v. Upton*, Id.: Bull. N. P. 320. *Ingers v. Smith*, 1 Ad. & El. 772; see the law and authorities thereon.

H. 6, c. 12: 8 H. 6, c. 15: see *id. v. Abrahams*, 3 Taunt. 81. *Widd v. Harrey*, 1 Salk. 48; 1 Ld. 511, S. C. The issue roll is now, in contents and purposes, abolished by 4 W. 4. (*Hodges v. Diley*, 7 Dowl.

Mayor of Worcester's case, 1 Ld. 94; 1 Salk. 48, S. C.: see *Doe v. Harrey*, 1 Salk. 48; 1 Ld. Raym. 7 T. R. 618.

Drummond v. Birt, 2 Wils. 144: see *id. v. Harrey*, 1 Salk. 48; 1 Ld. Raym. 7 T. R. 618. C.: but see *Walden v. Harrison*,

Barnes, 5.

(n) *Our v. Painter*, 1 Nev. & P. 581; 7 C. & P. 767. S. C.: and see *Furwig v. Cockerton*, 6 Dowl. 337.

(o) *Doe v. Cutterill v. Wyld*, 2 B. & Ald. 472: *Leeman v. Allen*, 2 Wils. 160: *James v. Tatham*, 8 Taunt. 634: *Furwig v. Cockerton*, 6 Dowl. 337: *Worthington v. Higley*, 5 Dowl. 208: *Wight v. Patters*, 5 Dowl. 463: but see *Wreathark v. Ringham*, Barnes, 476: *Cooper v. Spencer*, 1 Str. 641; 8 Mod. 376, S. C.: *Drummond v. Birt*, 2 M. & M. 136.

(p) *Shepley v. Marsh*, 2 Str. 1131.

(q) *Daprell v. Bridge*, 2 Str. 1264.

(r) *Doe Manning v. Hay*, 1 M. & Rob. 243. *Drummond v. Birt*, 2 M. & M. 136.

(s) *Paine v. Bastin*, 1 Stark. 74.

(t) See *Halhead v. Abrahams*, 3 Taunt. 81.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

as if he were a judge of the court where the action is brought (u). And by the 9 G. 4, c. 15, and 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 23, the judge at *Nisi Prius* may, pending the trial, order the *Nisi Prius* record to be amended on a variance between matters as stated in the record and those proved by evidence (x). As to the extent of this power of amendment and the cases in which it will be exercised, see Vol. I. 281, 282, &c. It may be here observed, that the court will give judgment according to the very right of the case by the 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, s. 24, unless application to amend before verdict has been refused (y).

Verdict.
Amendment
of, in general,
refused.

Verdict.] The court have in general no authority to alter or alter the verdict actually found by the jury, in substance (z). The only exception to this is in the case of mayhem, where the court, upon the inspection of injuries sustained by the plaintiff, may increase the damages given by the jury (a). The court have refused to do this in other actions, even where the jury joined in an affidavit of their intention to have given such increased damages, and they conceived their verdict was calculated to give them. The proper time for explanations of this kind is at trial (c).

Amendment
of, to give the
Finding its
legal Effect.

But when the amendment is only to give the finding the jury its legal effect, the court will allow it; and therefore, where the plaintiff, being entitled to treble damages, the verdict was taken, by mistake, for single damages, and the court increased the amount accordingly (d). And where in an action for not setting out tithes, the jury found damages to the amount of the single value only, although the court refused to enter the verdict for the treble value, they said, that had the jury, instead of finding damages to the amount of the single value only, found that the value was so much, the court might have ordered judgment to be entered up for treble value as given by the statute. So, if the jury give greater damages than are laid in the declaration, the court, even after judgment and error brought on that account, will allow the plaintiff to remedy the defect by entering a *remittitur* for the excess (f). So, if a jury in *replevin* find according to statute 17 C. 2, s. 7, 2, but, instead of finding the amount of the rent in arrears and the value of the goods distrained, find damages to the amount of the rent claimed in the countenance, the defendant may remedy the defect by obtaining leave of the court to enter his judgment for a return as at common law, or the court will allow him to amend his judgment if already entered as according to the statute, 17 C. 2, s. 7, & 2 (g).

(u) See 1 G. 4, c. 55, ss. 5, 6: *ante*, 5 Dowl. 313, 2 C. Vol. I. 90.

(x) *Ante*, 280, 281, 282, 1112.

(y) *Burgess v. Chaffy*, 6 Nev. & M. 819.

(z) See *Spencer v. Gator*, 1 H. Bl. 78; *Sanford v. Porter*, 14 S. H. 1820; 1 Chit. 351.

(a) *Ante*, Vol. I. 327.

(b) *Jackson v. Williamson*, 2 T. R. 281; and see *Decker v. Brown*, 2 M. & W. 130.

(c) *Jackson v. Williamson*, 2 T. R.

(d) *Roberts & Turner's case*, 10 All. 28.

(e) *Sanford v. Porter*, 14 S. H. 1820.

(f) *Usher v. Dunlop*, 4 M. & W. 643.

(g) *Ante*, 307; *Rees v. Morgan*, 21

349; *Harbert v. Womersley*, 10 C. 28; 21

v. Colquhoun, 1 Lev. 285; and see *Decker*

vs. Brown, 2 M. & W. 130.

When a mistake is made in recording the verdict, the court may amend it by the judge's notes (*h*), or by the notes of the clerk of assize or associate (*i*), at any time before judgment is given by the common law (*k*), or after final judgment, even after error brought (*l*), the mistake, in such a case, arising from the misprision of the clerk. Thus, when the associate imagining the action to be debt instead of assize, entered 1*d.* damages instead of 174*l.*, the court allowed it to be amended by the judge's notes (*m*); and the case where the associate marked wrong damages (*n*). So, where the defendant pleaded the general issue and the Statute in mitigation, and a verdict was found for the plaintiff on that issue, but no notice taken of the last, the court allowed it to be amended, even after error for this defect, viz. misjoinder in error, on payment of costs (*o*). So, where there are several counts in a declaration, some of which are bad, and by mistake a general verdict on all the counts is entered, although evidence was given upon the good counts only, the judge who tried the cause, or, if he refused it, the court will allow the *postes* to be amended by the judge's notes (*p*). Where, in such a case, it appeared from the judge's notes that the jury calculated the damages on evidence applicable to the good counts only, the court amended the verdict, although it appeared that evidence had been given applicable to the bad counts also (*q*). And the same where there was a misjoinder of counts (*r*). And after verdict in assize for a messuage and tenement, the court (pending application to arrest the judgment) gave leave to amend by entering a verdict for the messuage only, without obliging the plaintiff of the plaintiff to release the damages (*s*). The court, however, have refused to entertain an application for entering a verdict upon particular counts, according to the evidence in the judge's notes, after a lapse of eight years, and after judgment had been reversed on error brought for a defect of the counts (*t*). And in a penal action, where the court found a verdict for one penalty, on evidence equally applicable to each of two counts, and the plaintiff applied for one of the counts which was subsequently found to be bad, the court would not afterwards allow him to enter it for the other (*u*). And where the evidence is contradictory on the point, such an amendment will not be allowed (*x*). In a recent case it was held, that if the verdict, in a

The Judge

Seembs v. Green, 2 Str. 1197; 1 S. C.: *Doe Church v. Perkins*, 149: *Richardson v. Mellish*, 11 M. & C. 819; 3 Bing. 334, 100, S. C. *v. Keat*, 1 Salk. 47: *Parsons v. Keat*, 51; 2 Ld. Raym. 895, S. C.: *v. Porter*, 2 Chit. Rep. 362. *See v. Astle*, 2 Doug. 730. *See v. Hunnay*, 3 T. R. 749, 659: *Dancy*, 4 M. & Sel. 94; MS., *Richardson v. Mellish*, *ubi supra*. In the latter case the amendment was refused on argument in the Court of King's Bench. 11 N. P. 320. *Seembs v. Green*, 1 Wils. 33; 2 S. C.

(*o*) *Patric v. Hunnay*, 3 T. R. 659. (*p*) *Eddowes v. Hopkins*, 1 Doug. 378: and see *Taylor v. Whitehead*, 2 Id. 746: *Henley v. The Mayor and Corporation of Lyme Regis*, 3 Moo. & P. 310; 6 Bing. 100, S. C. (*q*) Vol. I. 324: *Williams v. Breckon*, 1 B. & P. 329; and MS. Exchequer, T. T. 1832. (*r*) 1 Chit. 625, n.: *Rightley v. Birch*, 2 M. & Sel. 533. (*s*) *Goodtitle v. Otway*, 8 East, 357: and see *Doe v. Dyball*, 1 Moo. & P. 330; 8 B. & C. 70, S. C. (*t*) *Harrison v. King*, 1 B. & Ald. 161. (*u*) *Hullock v. Bennett*, 3 T. R. 448. (*x*) *Seembs, Rees v. Lee*, 7 Moore, 280.

Book IV.
PART I.

should be
first applied
to.

Amendment
by Act of
the Party.

Special Ver-
dict.

Where Postea
lost.

Judgment.

trial at *Nisi Prius*, be entered by mistake for the defendant instead of the plaintiff, the court above will not to rectify the error; the proper course is to apply to the judge who tried the cause to do so; and if he refuse to move for a new trial (*g*). And it seems that in cases the application to amend the postea by the notes should be made to the judge who tried the cause at the first instance (*s*).

The party also may, in some cases, by his own act, amend the mistake of the jury in giving their verdict. In a joint action against two, if the jury sever the damages, the plaintiff may cure the defect by tendering *de melioribus damnis* against one, and enter judgment *prosequi* as to the other (*a*); or, by entering a verdict for the lesser damages, he may have judgment for damages, against both (*b*). And where a verdict is set aside, the plaintiff may, it seems, amend the verdict and sign judgment according to the award, without applying to the court (*c*).

A special verdict may be amended by the judge by the minutes taken by the clerk of assize or a copy by the notes of counsel, or even by an affidavit that it was proved at the trial (*f*). So, if a special verdict is stated, the parties may have leave to amend it, and what defects in a verdict the court will award *novo*, see *ante*, 1107.

Where the record of *Nisi Prius* with the postea on it was lost, the court ordered a new one to be taken from the issue roll and from the associate's notes (*i*).

Judgment.] The judgment is amendable at once in substance or in form, at any time during the term in which it is signed; and after that time, even if brought, and in *nullo est erratum* pleaded (*j*), it is amendable for misprision of the clerk, by the 8 H. 6, c. 12, c. 15 (*k*). Where a judgment *de bonis propriis* against an executor, instead of judgment *de bonis*, the court ordered it to be amended (*l*), even if brought (*m*). So, where the judgment was "shot" instead of "do recover," it was allowed to be amended on error brought (*n*). So, where, in debt on bond, judgment was entered by mistake for the penalty as damages, it

(*g*) *Allerton v. Stockdale*, Exch., E. T. 1838: 2 Jurist, 306.

(*z*) *Scougall v. Campbell*, 1 Chit. 283.

(*a*) *Rodney v. Strobe*, Carth. 19: *Mitchell v. Milbank*, 6 T. R. 199: *Dale v. Eyre*, 1 Wils. 306: *ante*, Vol. I. 323.

(*b*) *Johns v. Dodsworth*, Cro. Car. 192: *Sabin v. Long*, 1 Wils. 30.

(*c*) *Post*, 1260.

(*d*) *Manners v. Postan*, 3 B. & P. 343.

(*e*) *Res v. Keat*, 1 Salk. 47; Bull. N. P. 320: *Sandford v. Porter*, 2 Chit. Rep. 352.

(*f*) *Mago v. Archer*, 1 Str. 514: see *Cromwell v. Grunsden*, 2 Salk. 462; 1 Ld. Raym. 335, S. C.: *Treviran v. Lasorance*, 1 Salk. 276; 3 Id. 151; 2 Ld. Raym. 1036, S. C.

(*g*) Vol. I. 320: *Dee H* 1 Burr. 617.

(*h*) *Dayrell v. Bridge*, 1

(*i*) *Usher v. Dansey*, 1 Foster v. Blackwell, Barn Wilson, Id. 18.

(*k*) See *Lady Cass v. Wentworth v. Stafford*, 1 5 Mod. 147, S. C.: *Mars*

1: *Dunbar v. Hitchcock* 591: *Green v. Miller*, 2

(*l*) *Short v. Coffin*, 1 Doug. 116, n. (1), S. C.

(*m*) *Green v. Rennett*, see *Dunbar v. Hitchcock* 591.

(*n*) *Blackley v. Bird* 1132: *Slicer v. Thompson*

allowed it to be amended after error brought (*o*). In a recent case the following variances in entering up a judgment—viz. that the plaintiff, as to certain “counts” (instead of “issues”) take nothing by his “bill,” (instead of “writ”), and that the “defendant” (instead of “defendants”) recover costs, were held clerical errors, which, when ascertained by comparison with the record of the proceedings in the cause, the court amended, although the judgment were of a term past, and although a writ of error was pending, in which and other errors were assigned (*p*). So, where the defendant was found not guilty as to part, and there was a judgment for him as to that part, the court allowed the record to be amended by the verdict (*q*). So, where a verdict was given for more damages than were laid in the declaration, and judgment entered accordingly, the court allowed the judgment to be amended, and a *remittitur* entered for the excess, even after error brought (*r*). They have refused, however, to amend a judgment entered upon a warrant of attorney as to the names of the defendants, although the warrant of attorney was correct in that respect, and the judgment might have been amended by it (*s*). So, where a joint judgment was entered up on several *scire facias* against bail, the court held that it was not amendable after the term of which it was entered (*t*). And the Court of Common Pleas have refused to amend a judgment against an executor, where the amendment would be to his prejudice (*u*). The judgment may be amended by the verdict (*x*), or by the judgment paper.

After verdict or judgment by confession, (and after judgment by default, by 4 & 5 A. c. 16, s. 2), the want of a *misericordia suppiatur* (*y*), or the entry of one for the other (*z*), or the entry “*ideo concessum est per curiam*” for “*ideo consideratum est per curiam*,” or the increased costs after verdict or after nonsuit in which no judgment is given (*a*), or the costs in any action not being entered to be by consent of the plaintiff; these, and all other matters of the like nature,” not being against the substance of the matter of the suit, nor whereby the trial or issue is altered, are aided by 16 & 17 C. 2, c. 8 (*b*).

Where the judgment roll was lost, the court allowed it to be supplied by a new entry (*c*).

What Defects
are aided by
Verdict, &c.

New Entry
where Roll
lost.

Scire Facias.] The court have a power of amending a *scire facias*, for any misprision of the clerks, by stat. 8 H. 6, c. 12, already mentioned, that statute expressly including “writs” generally (*d*). They have accordingly allowed a *scire facias* to revive a judgment, and the declaration thereon to be

Scire Facias.

1 MS., E. 1814.

2 *Pudden v. Bartlett*, 3 Ad. & Ell.

3 *Smith v. Fuller*, 2 Str. 786.

4 *Ante*, 1132.

5 *Sale v. Crompton*, 2 Str. 1209; 1 Ld. 61, & C.

6 *Fullers v. Parry*, 1 Ld. Raym. 182, Comb. 397, S. C.

7 *Burroughes v. Stevens*, 5 Taunt. 554; 1 Ld. 61, & C.

8 *Nicholson*, 6 Taunt. 45; 1 Ld. 61, & C.

Marsh. 401, S. C.

(*r*) *Smith v. Fuller*, 2 Str. 786.

(*y*) *Parsons v. Gill*, 1 Salk. 50; 2 Ld.

Raym. 885, S. C.

(*z*) See *Hackett v. Marshall*, 1 Str. 313.

(*a*) See *Tully v. Sparke*, 2 Str. 803.

(*b*) See Vol. I. 336.

(*c*) *Douglas v. Yallop*, 2 Burr. 722;

Evans v. Thomas, 2 Str. 833.

(*d*) See *ante*, 1115; *Thorp v. Hook*, 1

Dowl. 501.

BOOK IV:
PART I.

amended(*e*), and this although execution thereon has been executed and returned(*f*); and where the *scire facias* is original proceeding, it may be amended in all cases where amendment of an original writ would be allowed(*g*); and amendment will be allowed although after an *assumpsit* pleaded(*h*). And where the assignee of a bankrupt *scire facias* to revive a judgment obtained by the bankrupt before his bankruptcy, but omitted to make the official assignee a co-plaintiff, the court, though after issue joined, allowed amendment by inserting his name, with liberty to the defendant to plead *de novo*(*i*). But the court have not allowed a *scire facias* on a recognisance of bail to be amended in order that the bail might have a further time to return principal(*k*). In this case, therefore, and in all others where leave to amend will not be granted, the plaintiff's record be pleaded, should move to quash the writ.

What Defect
aided by Ver-
dict, &c.

If the defendant plead to the *scire facias*, and the case proceed to trial, after verdict all defects in form and substance by 18 *Ed. c. 14*, and defects both in form and substance by *G. 1, c. 13*: and the defects aided after verdict by 18 *Ed. c. 13* are now aided, after judgment by confession or default by 4 & 5 *A. c. 16, s. 2(l)*.

Writ of Error,
&c.

What Defect
amendable.

Writ of Error, &c.] A writ of error was not anciently common law(*m*); but now, by the 5 *G. 1, c. 13*, all writs wherein there shall be any variance from the original or other defect, may and shall be amended and made returnable to such record, by the respective courts where such error shall be made returnable. Therefore, where an error was brought jointly with one who should not have been joined, the court allowed the writ to be amended by putting out his name(*n*). So, a mistake in the name of one of the parties has been amended(*o*); in another case the writ was amended by adding parties(*p*); and in another, by even the description of the form of action(*q*). But where the writ is returnable before judgment is given, this cannot be amended(*r*).

In what
Court.

According to the statute, the writ is to be amended in the court in which it is returnable; yet this seems to

(*e*) *Braswell v. Joco*, 9 East, 316: see *Perkins v. Pettit*, 2 B. & P. 275, and the cases there cited: see *Klos v. Dodd*, 1 H. & W. 342; 4 Dowl. 67.

(*f*) *Tharpe v. Hook*, 1 Dowl. 501.

(*g*) *Baron v. Hoskins*, 6 Mod. 263: *Reg. v. Atres*, 10 Mod. 258, 354: *Rez v. Eyre*, 1 Str. 43; 6 Bac. Abr., Sci. Fa. D.

(*h*) *Hampson v. Chamberlain*, Barnes, 3: *Sweetland v. Borsley*, Id. 4: *Braswell v. Joco*, 9 East, 316.

(*i*) *Holland v. Phillips*, 2 Per. & D. 336.

(*k*) *Gray v. Jefferson*, 2 Str. 1165: *Bond v. Turner*, 8 Mod. 305: *Stevenson v. Grant*, 2 New Rep. 103: *Fulwood v. Anstis*, 3 B. & P. 321: but see *Sweetland v. Borsley*, Barnes, 4: *Perkins v. Pettit*, 1 B. & P. 275.

(*l*) See 6 Bac. Abr., Sci. Fa. D.

(*m*) *Thompson v. Crocker*, 1 Salk. 49; 1 Ld. Raym. 564, S. C.: *Walter v. Stokes*, Id. 71; 5 Mod. 16, 69, S. C.

(*n*) *Shard Blade Company v. Flegg*, 2 Str. 892; Flegg, 201; 1 Ld. Raym. 421, S. C.: *Fevet v. Rafter*, 2 W. Bl. 1.

(*o*) *Barnard v. Gey*, 2 Str. 1165.

(*p*) *Lady Case v. Tith*, 1 Str. 606: *Ginger v. Corps*, 1 Str. 606, S. C.: *Walter v. Raym.* 1532: *Walter v. Raym.* 1532, S. C.: *Hac Carth.* 8: *Rez v. Inhabitant*, Derby, 2 Str. 1110: *M'Nem*, 8 T. R. 302.

(*q*) *Sampson v. De Puy*, 2 Str. 1165.

(*r*) *Wright v. Canning*, 2 Str. 1165: *Raym.* 1531; 1 *Barnard*, 62: *Jinder v. Randolph*, 2 Str. 1165: *Burton*, Id. 891: *Wright v. Ld. Raym.* 1179. And see

were the original record, and not a transcript merely, and into such court; and therefore, upon a writ of error from the Queen's Bench to the Exchequer Chamber, it was held that the writ should be amended in the Court of Queen's Bench, where the original record lay (s).

In amending a writ of error, new bail must be put in to the court below (t). The amendment in a writ of error is now allowed, as a matter of course, without payment of costs; but, if the rule be also to amend the assignment of errors, it is upon payment of costs (x).

The court will give the defendant in error leave to amend the original record, after the plaintiff has transcribed, they will also order the same amendment to be made in the transcript (y). Or if there be any error in the transcript, arising from the misprision of the clerk, the court will order the clerk to amend it, and order the record below to be amended before him for the purpose of his making the transcript by it (z). And where the clerk of the errors amended the transcript himself in such a case, without order from the court to that effect, and after the defective transcript had been assigned for error, the Court of Queen's Bench refused to order the transcript to be restored to the state in which it was when the plaintiff assigned his errors (a).

For the amendment of an assignment of errors, see 2 Mod. 268.

Writs of execution may be amended for a defect in the clerks, by the 8 H. 6, c. 12; and the court accordingly allowed them to be amended in the *teste* (b), in the return (c), the names of the parties (d), the sum recovered by the judgment (e), and the like (f), even after the writ has been executed (g), and after a rule nisi obtained against the bail (h); and this even as against the bail, in payment of costs. So, if a *fieri facias* or *ca. sa.* be returnable to the sheriff of another county, instead of a *teste* plaintiff, upon suing out such a *fi. fa.* or *ca. sa.* as a writ of *testatum*, the former one, if it had been a *testatum*, get-

v. Redstone, 2 Str. 837; *Tully v. Dwyer*, 1 Str. 889: see *Sneek v. Matlock*, 3 Str. 1067.
v. Forrest, 2 W. Bl. 1067.
v. Merrett, 2 Str. 902; 2 Str. 987; Fitzg. 268, S. C.
v. Merrett, Fitzg. 268.
Har v. Dansey, 4 M. & Sel. 94.
v. Pender, 1 Wils. 337: see *Wesby*, Id. 303; *De Tastet v. B. & P.* 65; 6 Moore, 135, S. C.: *v. Mellish*, 3 Bing. 334; 11 S. C.
v. Bailey, 1 M. & Sel. 232.
Cart v. Dunbar, 1 Dowl. 202: *Cunningham*, 2 Burr. 1188: see *Mellish*, 1 Scott, 78; 3 Dowl. 1135.
v. Hook, 501: *Hunt v. B. & P.* 836. *Atkinson v. B. & P.* 836. But not, it is held, *(Hildyard v. Baker)*, 1 C. & M. 611, S. C. At all without the consent of the

plaintiff. (Id.)

(d) *Thorpe v. Hook*, 1 Dowl. 501: *Mackie v. Smith*, 4 Taunt. 322: *Newtonham v. Law*, 5 T. R. 577.

(e) *Laroche v. Wasbrough*, 2 T. R. 737: *Arnell v. Weatherby*, 3 Dowl. 464; 1 C., M. & R. 831, S. C.

(f) See *Shaw v. Maxwell*, 6 T. R. 450.

(g) *Thorpe v. Hook*, 1 Dowl. 501: *M'Cormack v. Melton*, 1 Ad. & Ell. 331: *Arnell v. Weatherby*, 3 Dowl. 464; 1 C., M. & R. 831, S. C. In *M'Cormack v. Melton*, the plaintiff, having recovered 35*l.*, arrested the defendant on a *ca. sa.* for 34*l.* The court refused to discharge the defendant out of custody, and allowed the process to be amended by inserting the true sum, it not being shewn that the variance was intentional, or that the defendant was damaged. And see *Mouge v. Leake*, 8 T. R. 416, n.

(h) *Arnell v. Weatherby*, 3 Dowl. 464; 1 C., M. & R. 831, S. C.

Amendment
of Transcript

Of Assign-
ment of
Errors.

Execution.
For what De-
fects, when
and how.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

ting it returned, and entering the writ, return, and the of the *testatum* on the roll, may have leave to amend former writ by inserting the *testatum* clause, &c., payment of costs (i). And if the *testatum* be issued with original to warrant it, the party may amend the *defe* self at any time, by subsequently suing out the writ after an application to set aside the *testatum* (j). It may be amended by the award of execution on the (and for this purpose the entry of the award of it on must be made, and the roll produced in court at the motion is made, see *Vol. I.* 420), or by the record judgment (l).

When not.

The court, however, refused to allow an amendment *fa.* where the defendant had become bankrupt before goods taken in execution under the writ, because the amendment would prejudice the rights of third persons; new assignees and the other creditors (m). And where the defendant died before the application, the Court of Common refused to amend a *fi. fa.* by inserting the clause (n). Where, by allowing the amendment of the bail would be fixed, the court would give the opportunity of freeing themselves (o).

No Statute of Jeofails as to.

It may, perhaps, be necessary to add, that the statutes of Jeofails do not extend to writs of execution.

Sheriff's Return.

Sheriff's Return.] As to allowing an amendment see *ante*, *Vol. I.* 413. The court have, under peculiar stances, ordered the return to be amended, without consent of the sheriff (p).

Rules of Court, Orders, &c.

Rules of Court, Orders, &c.] If a rule or order of court be drawn up wrong by mistake, the court, upon application will frequently order it to be corrected (q). In one case the christian and surname were transposed by mistake in an order of reference, the court allowed the mistake to be amended (r). But the court, in a more recent case refused to allow an amendment in a rule for setting aside an award. Where the defect in a rule is attributable to the officer of the court, it will be amended without costs (s). The court has no jurisdiction to amend an order of *Nisi Prius* unless it has been made a rule of court (t). Also, the court are not bound by the literal terms of the rule granted, but may vary it in substance to effect the object for which the rule was granted (u).

Affidavits.

Affidavits.] It seems that an affidavit may be amended

- | | |
|---|--|
| (i) <i>Overton v. Owen</i> , 3 T. R. 65; <i>Wyer v. Bay</i> , 1 H. Bl. 341; and see <i>Allen v. Allen</i> , 1 W. Bl. 34. <i>Parsons v. Kier</i> , 3 Dowl. 465; <i>ante</i> , 444. | (j) <i>Green v. Green</i> , 2 T. R. 443; see <i>Ross v. Tapp</i> , 9 Pri. 47; <i>Tidd</i> , 402; see <i>Lopez v. Tapp</i> , 712; 7 <i>Wheat</i> , 110. |
| (k) <i>Estlin v. Davis</i> , 4 Dowl. 463. | (l) <i>Price v. Jones</i> , 3 Dowl. 463. |
| (m) <i>Johnson v. Newton</i> , 3 R. & P. 338. | (n) <i>Sherry v. Olin</i> , 3 Dowl. 463. |
| (o) <i>Thorp v. Hunt</i> , 1 Dowl. 391. <i>Brown v. Hammond</i> , <i>Barnes</i> , 111. | (p) <i>Downing v. Jennings</i> , 3 Dowl. 463. |
| (q) <i>Hunt v. Parnell</i> , 4 M. & Sel. 333. | (r) <i>Crouch v. Thompson</i> , 5 Dowl. 463. |
| (s) <i>Phillips v. Turner</i> , 6 Bing. 225; 3 M. & P. 332. S. C. | (t) <i>Dee v. Staines</i> , 4 Dowl. 463. |
| (u) <i>Bradley v. Biddle</i> , 1 Scott, 73; 3 M. & P. 332. | (v) <i>Wright v. Wright</i> , 3 M. & P. 332. |

matter of form (*y*). And in a late case, where the names of the deponents were omitted in the *jurat*, through the inadvertence of the judge's clerk, the judge allowed an amendment (*z*). If there is a defect in intitling affidavits produced in shewing cause against a rule, the court will sometimes allow the rule to be enlarged, in order that the title may be amended (*a*). And in a recent case, the title of an affidavit in which a rule had been obtained was allowed to be amended on payment of costs, the opposite party having leave to file affidavits in reply (*b*). If the affidavit be re-sworn, it seems that it can only take effect from the date of the new *jurat* (*c*).

(*y*) See *Anstis v. Grange*, 1 H. & W. 594, where it was held to be no objection to an affidavit that the words "before me" in the *jurat* were struck out, and "by the court" inserted.

(*z*) See *R. v. Smith*, 2 Dowl. 607. As to amending in the Exch. see 1 Tyrw. Rep. 531.

(*a*) *Anderson v. Ell*, 3 Dowl. 73: see *Davies v. Sherlock*, 7 Dowl. 592.

(*b*) *Rex v. Warwickshire Justices*, 5 Dowl. 382: see *Davies v. Sherlock*, 7 Dowl. 592.

(*c*) See *Wood v. Stephens*, 3 Moore, 326, *per curiam*.

CHAPTER XXXI.

- COSTS.

- | | |
|---|--|
| <p>1. <i>Statutes and Rules, as to,</i>
 1138.
 <i>On Verdict for Plaintiff,</i>
 1139.
 <i>On Verdict for Defendant,</i>
 1152.
 <i>Where several Issues,</i> 1154.
 <i>Double and Treble Costs,</i>
 1160.</p> <p>2. <i>Taxation of,</i> 1162.
 <i>By whom, id.</i></p> | <p>2. <i>Taxation of—continued.</i>
 <i>Notice of Taxation, Appeal</i>
 <i>of Increase, &c.,</i> 1164.
 <i>What Costs allowed,</i> 1163.
 <i>Directions to Taxing Officer</i>
 <i>where Costs under 20</i>
 1167.
 <i>Reviewing Taxation,</i> 1168.</p> <p>3. <i>Remedies for Costs, id.</i></p> |
|---|--|

BOOK IV.
PART I.1. *Statutes and Rules as to.*

Costs not allowed at Common Law.

AT common law, neither the plaintiff nor the defendant was entitled to costs. In all actions, however, in which damages were recoverable, the plaintiff, if he had a verdict, was in effect allowed his costs; for the jury always computed them in the damages. But the defendant was wholly without remedy for any expenses he had been put to, if he had a verdict, or the plaintiff were nonsuited, the amercement to which the plaintiff was subjected in such a case, *pro falso clamore suo*, going entirely to the crown.

Now allowed by Statute.

This, however, has since been remedied by statute. By stat. Gloucester, (6 Ed. 1, c. 1), the *plaintiff*, in all actions in which he recovers damages, shall also recover against the defendant his costs of suit (*a*); which statute extends to all cases in which single damages have been given by a subsequent statute (*b*), and also to cases where an action is given to a party grieved (*c*), but not to actions by a common informer (*d*). The circumstance that the plaintiff's cause has been conducted by one who is not an attorney, does not, in general, deprive the plaintiff of his right to full costs against the defendants (*e*). As to *defendants*, they are also now by stat. 23 H. 8, and 4 Jac. 1, entitled to costs if they have a verdict, or if the plaintiff be nonsuited after appearance, in all actions in which the plaintiff would be entitled to costs if he recovered (*f*).

(a) See *Garland v. Jekyll*, 2 Bing. 330; 9 Moore, 620, S. C.

(b) *Jackson v. Colerworth*, 1 T. R. 73.

(c) *Creswell v. Hoghton*, 7 T. R. 268; *Mayor &c. of Plymouth v. Werring*, Willes, 440; *Shore v. Madisten*, 1 Salk. 206; *College of Physicians v. Harrison*, 9 B. & C. 524; 2 Bac. Abr., Costs, E 3; *Ward v. Snell*, 1 H. Bl. 10.

(d) *Shore v. Madisten*, 1 Salk. 206; and see *Wilkinson v. Allet*, Cowp. 366; Bull.

N. P. 194; *Ward v. Snell*, 1 H. Bl. 10.

(e) *Reader v. Bloom*, 3 Bing. 9; 10 Moore, 261, S. C.; *Anon. v. Smith*, 1 Dowl. 180; *Bayley v. Thompson*, 2 Dowl. 665; *Hill v. Mills*, Id. 666; and vide *Yong v. Doehman*, 3 Y. & J. 24; ante, Vol. I. 35; and see *Patterson v. Percell*, 3 Mac. & Sc. 195; *Meekin v. Whalley*, 4 Id. 491; *Humphreys v. Harvey*, Id. 500.

(f) 4 J. 1, c. 3; 23 H. 8, c. 14.

But the statute of Gloucester, giving costs to the plaintiff in cases where he recovered damages, as above mentioned, was found to have the effect of encouraging suits for very trifling causes; and the legislature, therefore, were obliged to interfere, and have in some measure remedied the evil, by enacting that if the plaintiff, in certain cases, recover less than 40s. damages, he shall be entitled to no more costs than damages. The statutes making this provision shall be mentioned particularly in the course of the present Chapter.

CHAP. XXXI.
Not allowed to Plaintiff in certain Cases.

Having made these few observations upon the subject of costs generally, and observing that the costs of particular actions, and in particular proceedings, have for the sake of convenience been treated of under the respective titles throughout this Work, we shall now consider the following branches of the subject which have not elsewhere been particularly noticed: viz.—

On Verdict for Plaintiff.] The general rule, established by the statute of Gloucester, as above mentioned, is, *that the plaintiff is entitled to his costs in all cases where he recovers damages.* After Verdict for Plaintiff. In General. The operation of this rule is, however, in many cases modified by subsequent statutes; and, first—

By 43 *Ed. c. 6, s. 2*, if in a *personal action* “not being for any title or interest of lands (*g*), nor concerning the freehold or inheritance of any lands, nor for any battery,” it shall be certified by the judge, (not the sheriff or judge of an inferior court trying under the 3 & 4 *W. 4, c. 42, s. 17* (*h*), nor on a writ of inquiry (*i*), before whom it shall be tried (*k*)), that the debt or damages to be recovered therein do not amount to 40s., the plaintiff shall have no more costs than damages, but less at the discretion of the court (*l*). The object of the statute was to confine trifling suits to inferior courts, or, in other terms, to prevent the bringing of actions which, in point of principle, ought not to be commenced at all (*m*). It has been holden to apply to all personal actions not expressly excepted from it (*n*). Even in actions upon statutes giving the plaintiff “full costs of suit,” the judge may certify under this statute, which will have the effect of giving the plaintiff no more costs than damages (*o*). In an action against an attorney, where there is a verdict for less than 40s. damages, the judge may certify under this statute, although the defendant could only be sued in the superior court (*p*). And he may certify, though one of the defendants suffer judgment by default (*q*). And although the

Where Judge certifies under 43 *Ed. c. 6, s. 2*, that Debt or Damages under 40s.

(*g*) A right to take water from a well, or reason of the occupation of a dwelling-house, and for the more convenient occupation thereof, is an interest in land. *Wyer v. Bennett*, 5 *Ad. & El.* 377).

(*h*) *Claridge v. Smith*, 4 *Dowl.* 583; 1 *M. & W.* 657, *S. C.*

(*i*) *Wardroper v. Richardson*, 1 *Ad. & El.* 75; *Story v. Hudson*, 5 *Dowl.* 558; *Wes v. Bond*, 2 *M. & W.* 813; 5 *Dowl.* 5, *S. C.*

(*k*) Therefore, on a trial before the sheriff, where a verdict is given for less than 40s., the court has no power under the act to deprive the plaintiff of his costs. (*Story v. Hudson*, 5 *Dowl.* 558).

(*l*) See *Halloek*, 19, 27; *Walker v. Ro-*

binson, 2 *Str.* 1232; 1 *Wils.* 93, *S. C.*; *Howard v. Chesire*, *Say.* 260; *Dand v. Sexton*, 3 *T. R.* 37.

(*m*) *Per Burrough*, J., in *Pyeburn v. Gibson*, 8 *Moore*, 450; *Gilb. C. P.* 261.

(*n*) See *Dand v. Sexton*, 3 *T. R.* 37; *Pyeburn v. Gibson*, 8 *Moore*, 450, and the cases *infra*.

(*o*) *Irvine v. Reddish*, 5 *B. & Ald.* 706; 1 *D. & R.* 413, *S. C.*; see *Simpson v. Hurdle*, 2 *M. & W.* 85; 5 *Dowl.* 314, *S. C.*

(*p*) *Wright v. Nuttall*, 10 *B. & C.* 492; 5 *M. & R.* 454, *S. C.*; and see *Pyeburn v. Gibson*, 8 *Moore*, 450.

(*q*) *Harris v. Duncan*, 4 *Nev. & M.* 63; 2 *Ad. & E.* 158, *S. C.*

(see post, 114-), the plaintiff will be deprived the battery also (u). And the same has been for an injury to a right of common by digging &c., not coming into question (x). And where trespass *quare clausum fregit*, "not guilty" was rule II., 4 W. 4, r. 5, s. 2, and the title, &c. in question, it was held that the judge might if from the pleadings it appear that the title lands, or the freehold or inheritance therein, in question, or if a battery be admitted, the jury; or if he do certify, the court, upon application the master to tax the plaintiff his costs, not certificate (z). Thus, where to trespass for entering a house, the defendant pleaded, 1st, not the messuage was not the plaintiff's; 3rdly, *Lid* and the plaintiff replied a demise from defendant was joined, the plaintiff was held to be entitled to costs, notwithstanding a certificate under 43 where to trespass *quare clausum fregit*, with *asportatis*, the defendant pleaded the general and satisfaction, the question at the trial 1 term for years had expired, and the jury found for the plaintiff, with damages under 40 certified the amount of the damages under the c. 6, the Court of Exchequer held, that the plaintiff was entitled to costs *de incremento*, notwithstanding. So, where to trespass for breaking and entering a stable and taking a horse, defendant pleaded "that the stable was not the plaintiff's, and in a verdict having been found for the plaintiff, damages, the judge certified under the *stat.*

pleaded a justification under a writ of *capias* (*d*). And the same in an action for an assault and battery, where the battery was justified (*e*). Where, however, to an action for an assault and battery of plaintiff's wife, the defendant pleaded that she was not the wife of the plaintiff, it was held that this did not necessarily admit the battery, and consequently, did not preclude the judge from certifying (*f*).

The certificate may be granted at or within a reasonable time after the trial, and before judgment (*g*); it has been granted even after taxation (*g*). It is, in general, final, if the judge have power to certify, and the court will not interfere with its operation, except, as we have already seen, in cases not within the statute, and in which the judge had no power to certify (*h*). But it seems that the judge who has granted the certificate may, within a reasonable time, (at all events, not exceeding the first four days of the next term (*i*)), review and annul it; and in one case, *Patteson*, J., certified under the statute; but, in the ensuing term, new facts, which did not appear at the trial, being laid before him on affidavits, he granted an order to annul the certificate (*k*). In a later case, however, the Court of Common Pleas held, that, even assuming that the judge had power to revoke his certificate within a reasonable time, it was too late to revoke it fourteen months after the trial (*l*).

When the Certificate may be granted.

In *assumpsit* and *covenant*, therefore, the plaintiff, if he have a verdict, is, in all cases, entitled to costs, unless the damages be under 40s.; and, even in that case, unless the judge certify under 43 *Eliz. c. 6*, as above mentioned.

Effect of 43 Eliz. c. 6, s. in Assumps

And the same in *debt* on simple contract, and in debt on specialty, unless the debt and damages be under 40s., and the judge certify. But, in debt on a penal statute by a common informer, the plaintiff is not entitled to costs in any case, unless expressly given by the statute creating the penalty (*m*). And by stat. 43 *G. 3, c. 46, s. 4*, in debt on judgment, the plaintiff shall not be entitled to any costs of suit, unless the court in which such action shall be brought, or some judge of the same court, shall otherwise order; which statute, however, extends only to actions brought upon judgments obtained by plaintiffs, and not to such as are brought upon judgments of nonsuit, or the like (*n*). And in an action on a judgment, the court refused to stay proceedings on payment of the debt without costs, where there was probable ground for the plaintiff's claiming also interest on part of the debt (*o*). The court would allow the plaintiff his costs if defendant pleaded a sham plea, as *nul tiel* record, &c. (*p*). But where a defendant had

In Debt.

(*d*) *Rushin v. Till*, 3 M. & W. 28; 6 Dowl. 159, S. C.

(*e*) *Bone v. Dawes*, 5 Nev. & M. 230; 1 H. & W. 311; 3 Ad. & El. 711, S. C.

(*f*) *Wilson v. Linnam*, 3 Bing. N. C. 317; 3 Scott, 676; 5 Dowl. 339, S. C.

(*g*) *Holland v. Gore*, 3 T. R. 38, n.; Say. Costs, 18; *Forall v. Banks*, 5 B. & Ald. 536; *Whalley v. Williamson*, 5 Bing. N. C. 200; which see as to reasonable time.

(*h*) *Twigg v. Potts*, 4 Dowl. 266; *Cann v. Farry*, 5 Nev. & M. 405; 4 Ad. & El. 68; 1 H. & W. 482, S. C.

(*i*) See *per Tindal*, C. J., 5 Bing. N. C. 202.

(*k*) *Anderson v. Sherwin*, 7 C. & P. 527.

(*l*) *Whalley v. Williamson*, 5 Bing. N. C. 200.

(*m*) 2 Bac. Abr., Costs, E 3; Bul. N. P. 333; *Shore v. Madien*, 1 Salk. 206; *Hullock*, 212; and see *Woodgate v. Knatchbull*, 2 T. R. 154; *Barnard v. Moss*, 1 H. Bl. 107; stat. 8 & 9 W. 3, c. 11.

(*n*) *Hennet v. Neale*, 14 East, 343.

(*o*) *Wood v. Silletto*, 1 Chit. Rep. 473.

(*p*) *Samuel v. Barker*, 5 Taunt. 264.

Book xv.
Part 4.

been superseded through the neglect of the plaintiff, the court refused to allow the plaintiff the costs of an action on the judgment, although the defendant caused expense and delay by pleading a false plea (g). The application for an order to entitle the plaintiff to costs in an action on a judgment may be made to the court in banc, or a judge at chambers, and not at *Nisi Prius* (r).

In Trespas.

In trespass, also, the general rule is, that the plaintiff, if he have a verdict, shall have his costs of suit, however trifling the damages may be, unless where the judge certifies under the statute of Elizabeth, already mentioned. This rule must, however, be considered with reference to the following statutes; viz. 22 & 23 C. 2, c. 9; 8 & 9 W. 3, c. 11; and 4 & 5 W. & M. c. 2, s. 10.

Where the
Judge does
not certify
under 22 & 23
Car. 2, c. 9,
& Battery, or
that Title was
in question.

By 22 & 23 Car. 2, c. 9, in all actions of trespass, assault and battery, and other personal actions, wherein the judge at the trial shall not find and certify under his hand, upon the look of the record, that an assault and battery was sufficiently proved, or that the freehold or title of the land was chiefly in question (s), in case the jury find the damages to be under the value of 40s. the plaintiff shall not recover or obtain any more costs than the damages so found shall amount to. But this statute, as also the 21 J. 1, c. 16, only restrains the court from awarding more costs than damages; and the jury not being restrained thereby may give what costs they please (t). This statute extends only to actions for assault and battery, and to such personal actions as relate to the freehold or to things fixed to the freehold, that is, to cases where the freehold may come in question (u). It does not extend, therefore, to trespass to a personal chattel, as trespass *de bonis asportatis* (x); nor to trespass *de bonis asportatis*, with a count for a trespass to the freehold, if the plaintiff have a verdict on both counts (y), or on the *asportatus* count only (z); nor to trespass for breaking the plaintiff's close, and impounding his cattle (a); nor, it seems, to trespass for entering a freewarren and killing a hare (for the right of freewarren is only collateral to the land) (b); nor to trespass and assault upon, and criminal conversation with, the plaintiff's wife (c); nor to battery of the plaintiff's servant (d); nor to assault and false imprisonment, even where no battery is proved; for the action, in fact, is not for trespass or battery, but for depriving the plaintiff of his liberty (e). And if any count on a cause of action not within

(g) *Hall v. Piers*, 3 Dowl. 613.
(r) *James v. Lath*, 5 C. & P. 305, per Parke, B.

(s) *Litchwood v. Williams*, 9 Price, 314; see *Tyler v. Bennett*, 5 Ad. & Ell. 477; ante, 113B.

(t) *Watkinson v. Surger*, Cas. Proc. C. P. 45; *Pr. Reg. C. P.* 112, & C.; *Brown v. Gibbons*, 1 Salk. 307.

(u) *Bull. N. P.* 329; *Fen v. Phillips*, 1 Salk. 308; 1 *Sound. Sch. ed.* 215, n. It extends to trespass for trespas profits (*Doe v. Davies*, 6 T. R. 509); and to trespass for throwing stones at and breaking the windows of plaintiff's house. (*Adlam v. Grimsey*, 6 T. R. 331).

(x) *Fen v. Phillips*, 1 Salk. 308; *Smith v. Clarke*, 3 Str. 1130; see *Richardson v.*

Tomlin, 1 Esp. 235; *Ann.*, 1 Str. 68, 69; *Ann.*, 5 Vent. 215; *Gunn v. Gunton*, 1 Stark. 55.

(y) *Ladbroke v. Fry*, Comyn's Rep. 12; *Rees v. Lee*, 7 Moore, 528.

(z) 10 *Pratt*, 304.

(a) *Darwin v. Edward*, 3 Mod. 26; *see Fen v. Phillips*, 1 Salk. 308; *Ann. v. Whitaker*, 13 Str. 534; *Thompson v. Thompson*, 11 Str. 561; and see *Anderson v. Baskin*, 11 Str. 561.

(b) *Dave v. Tidd*, 3 W. R. 115.

(c) *Buckley v. Bagg*, 3 W. R. 20; 1 W. R. 304, & C.

(d) *Fen v. Phillips*, 3 Salk. 308; *see Fen v. Phillips*, 1 Salk. 308; *Anderson v. Baskin*, 1 Str. 561.

(e) *Smith v. Drake*, 4 Dowl. 55; 11

the statute be joined with one which is, and the plaintiff obtain a verdict on both counts, the case is not within the statute, and a certificate is unnecessary (*f*). But where matter not within the act is laid in the declaration merely as matter of aggravation, or as a consequential damage, (arising from personal property, &c.), and not as a substantial and distinct cause of action, there the statute applies (*g*). Therefore, in trespass for assaulting and beating, and turning plaintiff out of a room, whereby he was prevented exercising his business of an attorney there, and defendant plead the general issue, and plaintiff have a verdict for less than 40s., he will have no more costs than damages, unless the judge certify (*h*). So, in an action for assault and battery, and tearing the plaintiff's clothes, if the plaintiff have a verdict for less than 40s., he shall have no more costs than damages, unless the judge certify; because the tearing of the clothes is a mere consequence of the battery, and not a substantial cause of action (*i*). And the same in an action for assaulting the plaintiff, and striking the horse on which he was riding, charged in the same count, the gist of the action being the assault and battery of the rider (*j*). When it appears from the pleadings that the freehold cannot come in question, the statute does not apply: and it may be here observed, that notwithstanding by the new rules of *H. T.*, 4 *W.* 4, the plea of "not guilty" only puts in issue the fact of breaking and entering, yet as those rules reserve to the defendant the right of pleading "not guilty" where it is given him by statute, and as there may, therefore, be cases in which, under that plea, the freehold may come in question, it has been held, that if a plaintiff in an action of trespass *quare clausum fregit* recovers a verdict for less than 40s., and defendant has pleaded only "not guilty," the plaintiff will not be entitled to his costs without a certificate (*k*); but, since the rule of *T. T.* 1838, which directs, that a defendant intending to give special matter in evidence under the general issue, by virtue of a statute, shall insert in the margin of the plea the words "by statute;" it may be questioned whether, where the defendant pleads the general issue only without those words, the plaintiff would not be entitled to his costs without a certificate (*l*). Even in cases clearly within the statute, if the defendant plead a justification of the battery, the plaintiff shall have full costs without a certificate, although the verdict be for less than 40s. (*m*), provided the

Dyer v. Fish, 1 Str. 645: *Wiffin v. Kimball*, 2 N. R. 471.

(*f*) *Lately v. Fry*, Comyn, 19: *Reeve v. Lee*, 7 Moore, 282.

(*g*) *Daubney v. Cooper*, 10 B. & C. 830; and cases cited *infra*.

(*h*) *Daubney v. Cooper*, 10 B. & C. 830: and see *Bannister v. Fisher*, 1 Taunt. 357: *Legg v. Mulmours*, 1 Doug. 779.

(*i*) *Cutterill v. Tully*, 1 T. R. 615: *Lockwood v. Stannard*, 5 T. R. 482: *Mears v. Remaury*, 1 H. Bl. 291. See action for assaulting and throwing water on plaintiff, and damaging his clothes, &c. (*Purcell v. Horne*, 3 Nev. & P. 814).

(*j*) *Bannister v. Fisher*, 1 Taunt. 357.

(*k*) *Dannage v. Kemble*, 3 Bing. N. C. 38; 4 Scott, 365; 5 Dowl. 478, S. C.:

Patrick v. Colerick, 4 M. & W. 527; 7 Dowl. 201, S. C.: overruling *Hughes v. Hughes*, 2 C., M. & R. 663: *Smith v. Edwards*, 4 Dowl. 621: see R. T. T. 1838.

(*l*) See the rule, and the notes in 4 M. & W. 528; 7 Dowl. 202.

(*m*) *Smith v. Edge*, 6 T. R. 512: *Martin v. Fallance*, 1 East, 350: *Redbridge v. Palmer*, 2 H. Bl. 2, 342: *Taylor v. Nicholls*, 3 B. & Ald. 443: *Johnson v. Northwood*, 7 Taunt. 689; 1 Moore, 420, S. C.: *Peddie v. Kiddle*, 7 T. R. 679: *Bone v. Duwe*, 1 Harr. & W. 311; 3 Ad. & El. 711; 5 Nev. & M. 230, S. C.: and see *Raulings v. Tull*, 5 Dowl. 159; 3 M. & W. 28, S. C.: and *Booth v. Drake*, 6 Dowl. 564.

justification extends to the battery (n). And it has been held, that if the defendant plead a disclaimer of title, the trespasses were voluntary, and a tender of amends, or a plea of justification, and it is found against him, the plaintiff is entitled to costs, though he do not recover 40s. damages (o). So, a certificate is unnecessary in an action of trespass *quare clausum*, wherever the defendant pleads a special plea which is not true against him, whatever be the nature of that plea; for the plaintiff must shew, either that the freehold cannot come in question in which case the statute does not apply; or that it does, in which case a certificate is unnecessary, for it would be to require a certificate of that which appears already by the record (p). So, if the special plea be not traversed, or if it be traversed, and found for the defendant, yet if the plaintiff assign, and defendant plead "not guilty" to the new assignment, and it be found against him, no certificate is necessary, for though the right, as claimed by the plea, be determined in favour of the defendant, yet the applicability of that right to the trespass complained of is put in issue by the new assignment and plea thereto; and, therefore, it appears by the record whether the freehold come in question or not; and, indeed, it be quite manifest, from the nature of the new assignment, that the matter covered by the plea is no longer at all in question; as, where the plea set out the way by metes and bounds, and the plaintiff new assigned *extra riam*, so that the extent of the way was admitted. In cases of this kind, therefore, if defendant is not successful in succeeding on the new assignment, he should suffer judgment by default thereto; and if he has pleaded "not guilty" to the declaration, he should take care also to withdraw his plea so far as the same can relate to the trespass assigned; for, if he did not adopt the latter course, and were found for the plaintiff on the general issue, the plaintiff would be entitled to the *postea* and the general costs of the trial, notwithstanding defendant succeeded on his special pleas (s). And where, to an action of trespass *quare clausum fregit*, the defendant pleaded "not guilty," and judgment was given under a right of way, issue was joined on the plea of "not guilty," the right of way was traversed and is not true thereon, and the plaintiff new assigned, and defendant suffered judgment by default thereon; a verdict was given for the plaintiff, on the issue of "not guilty," with 1s. damages and 40s. damages on the new assignment; and a verdict was given for defendant on one of the justifications; it was held that the plaintiff was entitled to the general costs in the action. Had defendant withdrawn his plea of "not guilty" to the trespass newly assigned, then the defendant would have been entitled to the general costs of the cause, and the plaintiff only to the costs of the new assignment.

(n) *Parsell v. Horne*, 3 Nev. & P. 564; 8 Ad. & E. 602, S. C.

(o) *Wright v. Pizzini*, 2 Y. & J. 547; see *Pugh v. Roberts*, 3 M. & W. 458.

(p) *Id.* 540; 1 Saund. 300, n., and cases in note, (m.) ante, 1143.

(q) *Auer v. Finch*, 2 Lev. 234; *Taylor v. Nicholls*, 3 B. & Ald. 443; 1 Saund. 300, n., 5th ed.

(r) *Ockertill v. Allmon*, Hullock on

Costs, 76; 1 Saund. 300, n. *Martin v. Fallance*, 1 East,

(s) See 1 Saund. 300, n. (t)

(t) *Fichers v. Gallimore*, Moo. & P. 339, S. C.; see *Thomas Commissioners*, 3 Langdon v. Bourne, 1 B. & Ald. v. Shaw, 2 B. & Ald. v. Fallance, 1 East, 339.

ry(u). It was formerly holden, that if a view
l in the cause, it had the same effect as a plea of
(x); but it has since been determined otherwise,
e circumstance of a view does not necessarily shew
tle is in question(y). But although a particular
within the statute, (as, for instance, an action for
l battery together with a false imprisonment, with-
of justification(z)), yet if it be within the stat.
6, mentioned *ante*, 1130, the plaintiff may be de-
costs, by the judge granting a certificate under that
(. It may be necessary to add, that the statute of
loes not extend to inquisitions upon writs of inquiry
se; therefore, if judgment go by default, the plaintiff
entitled to his costs, though the damages be assessed
han 40s.(b). The certificate under that act may be
out of court at any time between verdict and final
nt, or, at all events, within a reasonable time after the
c).

the preventing of wilful and malicious *trespasses*, it is
l by stat. 8 & 9 W. 3, c. 11, s. 4, "that in all actions of
s, to be commenced or prosecuted in any of his majesty's
of record at *Westminster*, wherein at the trial of the
it shall appear, and be certified by the judge under his
upon the back of the record, that the trespass upon
h any defendant shall be found guilty, was *wilful and*
icious, the plaintiff shall recover not only his damages, but
his full costs of suit, any former law to the contrary not-
standing"(d). Where the trespass has been committed
er notice(e), the judge usually certifies under this act(f);
it is perfectly discretionary with him to do so or not(g);
he will not certify if it appear that the trespass was com-
ted for the purpose of asserting a disputed right(g). The
ificate, in this case also, may be granted out of court at
time between verdict and final judgment(h).

Where the
Judge certifies
under 8 & 9
W. 3, c. 11,
s. 4, that the
Trespass was
wilful and
malicious.

y the 4 & 5 W. & M. c. 23, s. 10, if any inferior tradesman,
entice, or other dissolute person, shall presume to hawk,
t, fish, or fowl, and shall be found guilty of *trespass* in
ing upon other men's land for that purpose, the plaintiff
ch action shall recover his full costs of suit, although the
ages be under 40s.(i). But that act is now repealed
he 1 & 2 W. 4, c. 32 (the Game Act).

4 & 5 W. &
M. c. 23, s.
repealed by
1 & 2 W. .
c. 32.

actions on the case for torts, the plaintiff is, in general,
led to his full costs of suit in all cases, however trifling
lamages may be, unless the judge certify under the above

In Actio
the Cas

Cross v. Johnson, 9 B. & C. 613;
or v. Dale, 1 Dowl. 412.
Kempster v. Deacon, 2 Salk. 665;
Raym 76, S. C.
Flint v. Hill, 11 East, 184.
Wiggin v. Kincard, 2 New Rep.

Briggs v. Bourgin, 2 Bing. 373;
v. Dauce, 1 Harr. & W. 311; 3 Ad.
711; 5 Nev. & M. 231, S. C.: *ante*,
n): *Ranolds v. Tull*, 3 M. & W.
Dowl. 159, S. C.: *Purnell v. Young*,
rl. 347.
Bull. N. P. 329.
Johnson v. Stanton, 4 D. & R. 156;

2 B. & C. 621, S. C.: see *Whalley v. Wil-*
Hamson, 5 Bing. N. C. 200, *per Bosan-*
quet, J.

(d) See *Datchelor v. Bigg*, 3 Wils. 325.
(e) See *Hullock*, 94 to 98.
(f) See *Reynard v. Richards*, 6 T. R. 11.
(g) *Gond v. Watkins*, 3 East, 495.
(h) *Woolley v. Whitby*, 2 B. & C. 581;
4 D. & R. 147, S. C.: *Gundry v. Sturt*,
1 T. R. 636; *Swinnerton v. Jarvis*, 6
T. R. 12.
(i) See *Burton v. Mingay*, 2 Wils. 70;
Pallant v. Roll, 2 W. Bl. 911; *Wickham*
v. Walker, Barnes. 125; *Hullock*, 84 to 93;
Deacon, G. L. 190.

The statute, however, only restrains the costs to more costs than damages; but the jury notwithstanding, may give what costs they please. If the defendant succeeds on the general issue, the plaintiff is not deprived of the costs of a plea which has been found for him (1).

**Infringement
of Patent.**

In an action for an *infringement of a patent* c. 83, s. 3, enacts, "that if any action at law or in equity for an account shall be brought in respect of an infringement of such letters patent heretofore granted, or any *scire facias* to repeal such letters patent, or if a verdict shall pass for the patentee or his assigns, or if a final decree or decretal order shall be made upon the merits of the suit, it shall be lawful for the judge before whom such action shall be tried to certify, or the judge who shall make such decree or order, to sign a certificate under his hand, that the validity of the letters patent in question before him, which record or certificate shall be in evidence in any other suit or action respecting such letters patent, if a verdict shall pass, or decree or order shall be made, in favour of such patentee or his assigns, or that he shall receive treble costs in such suit or action, or three times the taxed costs, unless the judge or the second or other decree or order, or the judge trying the action, shall certify that he ought not to do so." And the sixth section of the same act enacts, "that in any action brought for infringing the right of a patent for letters patent, in taxing the costs thereof, as to the part of such case which has been found for the plaintiff, which shall be certified by the judge before whom the action shall be had, and the costs of each part of

but the defendant obtained a verdict on one issue and the entire cause of action, it was held that the plaintiff was entitled to six-sevenths of the costs of copying, &c., those objections, and the costs of the issues found for him, but that the defendant was entitled to the general costs of the

actions on statutes by parties aggrieved, the plaintiff, if a verdict, is entitled to costs, as in other cases, *In Actions on Statutes.* the statute on which the action is founded be subject to the statute of Gloucester (2). But, in actions by an individual, the plaintiff is not entitled to costs unless expressly provided for him by statute (3).

In the 8 & 9 W. 3, c. 11, in an action on the statute 1 Ed. 6, for not setting out tithes, the plaintiff was not entitled to costs of suit (a). But sect. 3 of that act, "that in all actions of debt for not setting forth of wherein the single value found by the jury shall not exceed the sum of twenty nobles, (i. e. 6l. 13s. 4d.), the plaintiff obtaining judgment, or any award of execution after plea, or demurrer joined therein, shall recover his costs of suit." The enactment is, it seems, confined to cases where the plaintiff obtains judgment after a plea or demurrer, and does not apply to a case where a defendant suffers judgment by default (b). And where a declaration contained three counts,—first, for the treble value of the tithes of corn, grain, hops, and beans, not set out by the defendant; secondly, for the tithes bargained and sold; and lastly, on an account stated; the defendant having suffered judgment by default, the plaintiff, on a writ of inquiry, assessed the plaintiff's damages at 10l. 9d. for the treble value, and the sum of 9l. for the value of the other tithes, but found no costs,—the court was of opinion that the statute did not apply to a judgment by default, but they ordered the return of the inquisition to be amended by the insertion of nominal damages as to the last count of the declaration, on which it was held, that costs *ramento* might be taxed as being applicable to the last count, without reference to the first count (c). So, where an action of debt under the statute was brought to recover the value of the tithes, there was also a count in the declaration for the single value: the defendant demurred to the declaration, but the parties afterwards agreed to submit to arbitration, and judgment was entered to stand as a security for the arbitrators determined the single value of the tithes, awarded treble that sum to the plaintiff, together with the costs of the reference, and that he might sue out execution: on an application to the court to allow the plaintiff's costs to be taxed under the statute of 8 & 9 W. 3, the court held that the statute was confined to the case of the single value, or damages being found by a jury, and therefore re-

On 2 & 3 Ed. 6, for not setting out Tithes.

see v. Hargis, 7 Dowl. 495.
Veard v. Serll, 1 H. Bl. 10; *Shore v. ...*, 1 Salk. 206; *ante*, 1138.
My v. Peckwell, Moo. 915; 1 E. & S. C.; *Dagg v. Pankerton*, Cro. Ja. 2 & Y. 103, S. C.

(b) See 2 Eagle on Tithes, 331: *Barnard v. Moss*, 1 H. Bl. 107; 2 E. & Y. 367, S. C.; *Bale v. Hodgetts*, 7 Moore, 602; 3 E. & Y. 1089, S. C.
(c) *Bale v. Hodgetts*, *supra*.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

refused to grant a rule as far as it respected the costs penalty, but allowed the costs to be taxed on the value of the single value(s). By the common law, or by the statute of 23 Hen. 8, c. 15, a defendant in an action of debt or statute was not entitled to costs in any case. But 8 & 9 W. 3, c. 11, s. 3, "if the plaintiff shall become or suffer a discontinuance, or a verdict shall pass against the defendant shall recover his costs, and have execution the same in like manner as aforesaid."

In other Actions.

The right of the plaintiff to costs in ejectment, *replevin*, *scire facias*, has been treated of under those heads respectively. (See Index).

In case of Arrest without probable Cause, 43 G. 3, c. 48, s. 3.

By the 43 Geo. 3, c. 48, s. 3, if the plaintiff do not pay the amount of the sum for which he "arrested and held the defendant to special bail," the court, upon motion, shall allow that the defendant be allowed his costs, if it be made upon hearing the parties by affidavit, to the satisfaction of the court, that the plaintiff had not any "reasonable probable cause" for holding the defendant to bail for the amount as aforesaid; and if the court make a rule to this effect, the plaintiff shall thereupon be disabled from suing out execution, excepting for the excess of the costs covered by him, above the costs taxed for the defendant if the costs taxed for the defendant exceed the sum paid by the plaintiff, the defendant may have execution for the excess (s). If, for instance, two persons be mutually indebted to each other, and one of them hold the other to bail for the whole amount of the debtor side of the account, and if for the balance merely, the court, upon application, allow the defendant his costs under this statute (where the plaintiff caused the defendant to be arrested for 1,123*l.* when he had means of knowing that only 10*l.* due, the court allowed the defendant his costs, if the accounts were complete (d). And the same, where the defendant was arrested for 86*l.*, and the plaintiff recovered 100*l.* and it appeared that the cause of action was for 100*l.* damages, for which the defendant ought not to have been arrested (e). And, where an attorney held his bill for 500*l.*, but his bill on a reference for taxation was 200*l.*, the court on an application under this statute directed the master to say, whether there was reasonable cause for holding the defendant to bail for 500*l.*, and on the master's reporting in the negative, the court allowed the defendant his costs (f). So, where a defendant was holden to bail for the amount of board and lodging, charged at the rate of 1*l.* per week, and at the trial it was proved that the plaintiff expressly agreed to charge at the rate of 1*l.* per

(d) *Barnard v. Moss*, 1 H. Bl. 147: 3 K. & Y. 357; 2 Eagle, 32, 3 C.
(e) See *Clark v. Fisher*, 1 Smith, 422; *Young v. Moulton*, 1d. 311; *Stann. v. 1d.* 351, 352; *Thompson v. Johnson*, 6 B. & C. 153; *Robinson v. Elman*, 3 B. & Ald. 652. See the form, Chet. Forms, 604.
(f) *Dumfries v. Archer*, 3 B. & Ald. 323; 1 D. & R. 67, 5 C.; and see *Stanton v. Dalton*, 4 D. & R. 652; 3 B. & C. 130, 5 C.; *Johnson v. Smith*, 2 Dougl. 357; 3

Mon. & Scott, 114, 2 C. 6. (The defendant had refused to pay with an account of his account, 4 Mon. & Sc. 38, 5 C.)

(g) *Pater v. Weston*, 6 B. & Ald. 430; *Blair v. Blanton*, 4 B. & Ald. 437; *Robinson v. Elman*, 3 B. & Ald. 652. See case of publican in plying drink, *Boyd v. Ryan*, 1 D. & R. 67, 5 C.

there was a verdict accordingly, the court upon application allowed the defendant his costs under this statute, although plaintiff denied by his affidavit that he had made any such payment as that proved at the trial (*l*). So, where on a motion made under the act, (the plaintiff having arrested defendant for 5*l*. and recovered only 19*l*.), affidavits were put in for plaintiff, sworn by himself and others, contradicting the evidence given at the trial for the defendant, and impeaching credit and competency of his principal witness; no motion being made by the plaintiff for a new trial, or to increase damages: it was held, that the verdict was *prima facie* evidence of the want of cause for arresting; and that the court could not try, upon affidavit, whether or not such verdict was well founded (*m*). And where the plaintiff had sold goods to the defendant, to be paid for, half in ready money, half by bill at three months; and the defendant having refused to pay the half in ready money, the plaintiff arrested him for the full price of the goods; the court held he had no reasonable or probable cause for so doing, and that the defendant was entitled to his costs pursuant to the above statute (*n*). Where a builder was employed in altering the defendant's house, and, during the progress of the work, the defendant countermanded the employment, and, on the refusal of the defendant to appoint a valuer, the builder completed the work, and arrested for the whole amount, but recovered only for work done previously to the countermand, the court allowed the defendant his costs (*o*). So, where the defendant refused to receive the goods sold to him by the plaintiff because they were badly manufactured, and the plaintiff agreed to return them back, but after they were returned he sent them to the defendant, and then arrested him for the amount, the plaintiff having recovered less than the sum for which he arrested, the court held that the defendant was entitled to his costs (*p*). And where defendant was arrested for a sum in excess of the greater portion of which the plaintiff knew at the time that the defendant had obtained a discharge under the Insolvent Debtors' Act, the court gave the defendant his costs under the above statute (*q*). And where the defendant was arrested for 20*l*. 2*s*. 1*d*. for goods sold, and defendant pleaded his infancy, to which plaintiff replied, necessary at the trial the plaintiff succeeded in proving the delivery of certain articles only in his bill of particulars, and got a verdict for 10*l*. only: on an affidavit of the defendant that he owed the plaintiff 20*l*., the court gave him his costs under the above act, notwithstanding the plaintiff swore that the articles in the bill of particulars were delivered to the defendant (*r*). And a party is not warranted in arresting another for a debt of which he has not, *at the time of making*

CHAP. XXXI.
43 G. 3, c. 41
s. 3.

Wille v. Hutchins, 1 B. & C. 91:
Linley v. Bates, 2 C. & J. 660:
Smith, 261.
Ston v. Gardner, 4 Ad. & Ell. 317:
Osborn, 4 Dowl. 107.
Picton, 10 B. & C. 120; 5 M.
S. C.: see *Gompertz v. Denton*,
123.

(*o*) *Russell v. Atkinson*, 2 Nev. & M. 667.
(*p*) *Linley v. Bates*, 2 Tyr. 753; 2 C. &
J. 660, S. C.
(*q*) *Lord Huntingtower v. Healey*, 7 D.
& R. 369.
(*r*) *Ballantine v. Taylor*, 1 Nev. & P.
219; 5 Ad. & Ell. 792, S. C.

the arrest, some evidence besides his own personal knowledge of its existence; and therefore a plaintiff arresting a defendant for a large sum of money, and having, at the time of the arrest, evidence only as to a small portion of the amount, will be liable to costs under the act, although at the time of the trial some evidence of a subsequent acknowledgment by the defendant was given (s). It is not necessary, to bring a case within this act, to prove malice; the absence of some probable cause is sufficient (t). It applies even to cases where, though, for obvious reasons, the court will require some evidence to be made out to induce them to allow the defendant's costs against an executor (u).

On the other hand, the court will not, in general, allow a defendant his costs under this act of 43 G. 3, c. 48, unless the sum for which the defendant was held to be liable is materially larger than that found to be due (y). If the plaintiff is defeated by a defence not going to the merits, and which he had no means of knowing at the time, and the defendant has induced him to believe that he will succeed, the court will allow the defendant his costs (z). Thus, where the defendant being arrested for 500*l.* for her coverture as her defence, and plaintiff recovered for money advanced after the death of her husband; on application by defendant for costs under the above act, the court refused, the plaintiff having deposed that he was ignorant of the law, and not being contradicted, the court would not allow the application (z). And where the defendant, by his admission of the debt and promises, deceived and deluded the plaintiff into a belief that he did not mean to set up the Statute of Limitations as a defence, but afterwards pleaded that statute, the court would not give him his costs (a). In an action by an indorsee against the maker of a promissory note for 100*l.* the defendant pleaded, that, by agreement between him and the payee, the note was not to be enforced, except on certain terms, which the payee had not complied with, and the plaintiff had received the note without consideration; the plaintiff entered a *nolle prosequi* as to all, except 49*l.*, for which he had given value to the payee, and had a verdict for 49*l.*; it did not appear that the plaintiff was cognizant of the law, and the court refused to allow the defendant his costs. And it seems that the court will not allow the defendant his costs under the act, where the evidence is conflicting as to the amount due; thus, where the arrest was for 20*l.*, and at trial, the evidence of the witnesses for the plaintiff and the defendant, as to the value of the goods, for the price of which the action was brought, was conflicting, and the jury found a verdict in favour of the plaintiff swearing that there was an agreement

(s) *Griffiths v. Pountney*, 3 Nev. & M. 675; see *White v. Prichard*, *infra*, n. 1; *Robinson v. Whitehead*, 6 Dowd. 292.

(t) *Dowling v. Bost*, 10 B. & C. 117; 4 M. & R. 29. S. C.: *Hall v. Forster*, 1 Dowd. 686; *Emery v. Wynne*, 1 C. & M. 532.

(u) *Foley v. Reed*, 5 B. & A. 515, n.

(z) See *per* Heath, J., in *Pauling v. Neighbour*, 1 Marsh. 21.

(y) *Per* Tindal, C. J.: *Shorrocks v.*

Taylor, 6 Bing. 281; 3 M.

S. C.: see *Roper v. Shorrocks*.

(2) *Spencer v. Dumbell*, 7

Mos. & P. 701; 1 Dowd. 2

see *Roper v. Shorrocks*, 1 C. &

(a) *White v. Prichard*, 4

237.

(b) *Edwards v. Jones*, 2

3 Dowd. 204, S. C.

etc, which he was unable to prove at the trial, the court refused to allow the defendant his costs (c). And the court will not give the defendant his costs where there is a doubt in law as to the plaintiff's right to recover his demand (d). Where the plaintiff omitted a count declaration, applicable to a part of his demand, and thereby prevented from recovering the amount for which the defendant was arrested, the court refused the defendant under the act (e). And the statute applies only to where the plaintiff "recovers" a less sum than that for which he was arrested, by judgment (f); therefore, if, upon a compromise between the parties, the plaintiff take a less sum than that for which he was arrested, the defendant will not be allowed his costs (g); and if a defendant, upon being arrested for a sum, pay a less sum into court, the plaintiff, by taking the case out of court and discontinuing the action, will not be subject himself to costs under this statute (f). Or, if the cause be referred to arbitration, before verdict, and the arbitrator award the plaintiff a less sum than that for which the defendant was arrested, the court will not, in such case, allow the defendant his costs under this statute (h). Where the defendant, who was arrested for 327*l.*, had tendered 10*l.*, but did not pay it into court, and an arbitrator, to whom the cause was referred, awarded the plaintiff only 10*l.*, the court held that this was not a case to entitle the defendant to costs under the statute (i). But, if the arbitrator make an order, and does order, a judgment to be entered in the cause, if the cause be referred at *Nisi Prius*, and a verdict be entered subject to the award (l), (even if the cause and all matters in difference be referred, provided the arbitrator make an adjudication as to the action (m)), the court may award the defendant his costs, unless, indeed, where the cause is referred to arbitration, and the costs are, by order of the arbitrator, to abide the event of the award. Where an arbitrator, to whom a cause has been referred by order of *Nisi Prius*, takes no notice in his award of the order given him by the order to award the defendant his costs on the ground of an excessive arrest, but disposes of the costs of the cause, the court will not interfere to

H v. Barlow, 1 Gale, 107; 3 B. & C. 491, S. C.: *Payne v. Acton*, 1 B. & C. 278; 3 Moore, 605, S. C. (i) *Sherwood v. Taylor*, 6 Bing. 280; 3 Moo. & P. 641, S. C.: *Holden v. Raith*, 4 Nev. & M. 466; 1 H. & W. 8.

(k) *Per Littledale, J.*, *Holden v. Raith*, 4 Nev. & M. 466.

(l) *Jones v. John*, 5 Dowl. 130; 2 H. & W. 119: see *Turner v. Prince*, 5 Bing. 191: and *Silversides v. Bowley*, 1 Moore, 92.

(m) *Jones v. John*, 5 Dowl. 130; 2 H. & W. 119, S. C.

(n) *Thompson v. Atkinson*, 6 B. & C. 193. See where the accounts were complicated, and the court refused costs under the statute, *Turner v. Prince*, 5 Bing. 191; 2 Moo. & P. 306, S. C.: and see *Handley v. Levi*, 8 B. & C. 637; 3 M. & R. 37, S. C.: *Thompson v. Atkinson*, 6 B. & C. 193; 9 D. & Ry. 317, S. C.

(o) *Thompson v. Atkinson*, 6 B. & C. 193; 9 D. & Ry. 317, S. C.

(p) *Thompson v. Atkinson*, 6 B. & C. 193; 9 D. & Ry. 317, S. C.

(q) *Thompson v. Atkinson*, 6 B. & C. 193; 9 D. & Ry. 317, S. C.

(r) *Thompson v. Atkinson*, 6 B. & C. 193; 9 D. & Ry. 317, S. C.

(s) *Thompson v. Atkinson*, 6 B. & C. 193; 9 D. & Ry. 317, S. C.

(t) *Thompson v. Atkinson*, 6 B. & C. 193; 9 D. & Ry. 317, S. C.

(u) *Thompson v. Atkinson*, 6 B. & C. 193; 9 D. & Ry. 317, S. C.

(v) *Thompson v. Atkinson*, 6 B. & C. 193; 9 D. & Ry. 317, S. C.

(w) *Thompson v. Atkinson*, 6 B. & C. 193; 9 D. & Ry. 317, S. C.

(x) *Thompson v. Atkinson*, 6 B. & C. 193; 9 D. & Ry. 317, S. C.

(y) *Thompson v. Atkinson*, 6 B. & C. 193; 9 D. & Ry. 317, S. C.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

What a sufficient Arrest within the 43 G. 3, c. 46, s. 3.

When Costs taken away by Court of Request Acts.

Welsh Judicature Act repealed.

Where Cause made a Remanet, &c.

Special Jury.

On Verdict for Defendant.

give the defendant his costs (o). The onus of the arrest was made without reasonable or probability on the defendant (p).

The 43 G. 3, c. 46, s. 3, does not extend to cases where the defendant has not been actually arrested as well as special bail (q). It is, however, a sufficient arrest if an officer meets the defendant, states that he has a warrant with him to defendant's house, and a bail-bond is taken. And, it seems, that taking a bail-bond is a sufficient arrest, not, perhaps, where the copy of the writ is served and set aside for irregularity (r). It is questionable whether a defendant who has been arrested and imprisoned, and charged in consequence of a defect in the affidavit for bail, can be said to have been arrested and held to within the meaning of the act (s). The act does not extend to actions originally brought in an inferior court, (a Court, &c.), and removed into the courts at Westminster.

There are various acts of parliament establishing Requests for the recovery of small sums throughout the kingdom; some prohibiting parties from bringing actions in other courts, others depriving the plaintiff of his costs if he brings an action in another court. The general principles applicable to the construction of these statutes, and the practice as to costs, under them, will be found *post*, 1173, 1174.

The Welsh Judicature Act (u), which enacts that the plaintiff shall be nonsuited, and pay defendant his costs in actions brought in the superior courts out of the county of Wales, for causes of action arising in the principality not amounting to 50*l.*, is virtually repealed by the W. 4, c. 70.

As to the costs incurred in bringing up witnesses, &c., where a cause is made a *remanet*, or goes off upon an adjournment, without the fault, contrivance, or acquiescence of the parties, and is afterwards brought to trial, see *post*, 1175.

As to the costs of a special jury, see *Vol. I.* 255

On Verdict for Defendant.] By the stat. 4 Jac. 1, c. 1, in cases in which a plaintiff would be entitled to recover his costs, the defendant shall have his costs if a verdict be given for him (v). Also, by stat. 18 Eliz. c. 5, in actions brought by common informers, the defendant is entitled to his costs, if he have a verdict (x), though the plaintiff be not entitled even if he succeeded.

(o) *Greenwood v. Johnson*, 3 Dowl. 606.

(p) *White v. Prickett*, 6 Dowl. 445.

(q) *Bates v. Pulling*, 2 Dowl. 367; 2 C. & M. 374. S. C.: *Amor v. Blagfield*, 1 Dowl. 277; 2 Moo. & Sc. 156; 9 Bing. 91, S. C.: *James v. Askew*, 3 Nev. & P. 495; 8 A. & E. 351, S. C.: *Robinson v. Powell*, Exch., M. 1839, 3 Jurist, 1033.

(r) *Reynolds v. Matthews*, 7 Dowl. 586.

(s) See *Edwards v. Jones*, 2 M. & Wels. 414; 5 Dowl. 585; 7 Car. & P. 633, S. C.: *Amor v. Blagfield*, 9 Bing. 91; 2 Moo. & Sc. 156; *Wilson v. Broughton*, 2 Dowl. 631; *Preedy v. Macfarlane*, 1 C., M. & R. 819; 3 Dowl. 458, S. C.: and see *Berry v.*

Adamson, 6 B. & Cres. 558, S. C.: *Wilson v. Br.* 631.

(t) *Costello v. Carlett*, Moo. & P. 315, S. C.: *E.* 8 B. & C. 637; 3 M. James v. Dawson, 1 D. Connell v. Watson, 2 D.

(u) 5 G. 4, c. 106.

(v) See also 23 H. 8, Yerraucy, 3 Burr. 172; Costs, D.: *Hullock*, 121.

(x) *Hullock*, 214, 220; *Whealey*, 1 Salk. 30; *W.* Cowp. 306; *Gardner v. B.*

y the 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, s. 32 (y), "where several persons be made defendants in any personal action, and any one or more of them shall have a *nolle prosequi* entered as to him or them, or upon the trial of such action shall have a verdict passed against him or them, every such person shall have judgment for, and recover, his *reasonable* costs, unless, in the case of a trial, the judge before whom such cause shall be tried shall certify upon record, under his hand, that there was a reasonable cause making such person a defendant in such action." It has been decided since this act, that where several defendants are joined in trespass, and a verdict is found for the plaintiff on some of the issues against some of the defendants, and against him on all the other issues, the plaintiff is entitled to the costs only of the costs after deduction of all the costs of all defendants (z). Also, where there are several defendants, and one of them gets a verdict, he will be entitled to all his costs, and also *prima facie* to an aliquot portion of the joint costs of the defence, unless the master is satisfied that some smaller portion should be allowed by reason of any special circumstances; and he will be thus in general entitled to the costs, although he has pleaded the same pleas, and employed the same attorney as the other defendants; although formerly, in the latter case, only 40s. used to be allowed (a). Where two defendants in trespass severed in pleading, but pleaded the same pleas, all going to the whole cause, and one succeeded upon all the issues, the other upon some only, each defendant was considered entitled to his share of the costs of the issues in which he succeeded; but the defendants having appeared by separate attorneys and counsel, the attorneys being members of the same firm, and the briefs and evidence substantially the same, the master taxed the costs as if the parties had appeared by the same attorney: it was submitted by the court, that the taxation of the costs in this respect could not be disturbed (b). Notwithstanding a judge certifies under this act in an action against officers of

CHAP. XXXI.

Where there are several Defendants.

statute 8 & 9 W. 3, c. 11, if in an assault, false imprisonment, or any other personal action, there were several defendants, and one of them was acquitted, the defendant acquitted might (as he now does by that act) recover his costs, in the same manner as if a verdict had been found against the plaintiff, unless the judge immediately after the trial, in open court, certified upon the record that there was a reasonable cause for making such person a defendant. (See *Alexander*, 3 Camp. 35; *Hullock*, 1 Str. 1015). This statute, however, was confined to the particular actions mentioned, and did not extend to replevin (*v. Barrett*, 3 Burr. 1284; *Wordsworth*, 1 W. Bl. 355), or to the case for a tort, (*Dibden v. Barnes*, 139), or to debt on bond or executors, where one of them was acquitted on the plea of *plene admi-*

ing Act), which gives costs in all cases where a verdict shall be found for any defendant, it was held that four of several defendants who had obtained verdicts were entitled to costs, although the verdict was against the rest. (*Hall v. Smith*, 9 Moore, 477; 2 Bing. 267, S. C.) In all cases not within the statute, if the plaintiff had proceeded to trial against several defendants, and obtained a verdict against any one of them, the others were not entitled to costs, the court having construed the former acts to relate only to the case of an acquittal of all the defendants. (*Dibden v. Cooke*, 2 Str. 1105; see *Murray v. Nicholls*, 4 Moo. & P. 280).

(c) *Starling v. Cruzens*, 2 C., M. & R. 445; 3 Dowl. 782; 1 Gale, 180, S. C.; and see *Gougenheim v. Lane*, 4 Dowl. 482; 1 M. & W. 136, S. C.; *Allenby v. Prindlock*, 5 Nev. & M. 636; 4 A. & E. 326, S. C.

(a) See *Griffiths v. Jones*, 4 Dowl. 150; *Starling v. Cruzens*, *ubi supra*.

(b) *Gambrell v. Earl Falmouth*, 5 Ad. & El. 403; 6 Nev. & M. 850, S. C.; see *George v. Kaston*, 1 Scott, 511; 1 Bing. N. C. 513, S. C.; *Lees v. Kendall*, 1 H. & W. 316; 3 Ad. & El. 707, S. C.; *Nanny v. Kenrick*, 2 Dowl. 334.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

especially as a judge at chambers had refused an application by the defendant, to order the trial of the issues in it postponed till judgment was given on the demand^(v)

Where to trespass the defendant pleaded, 1st, the issue; and, 2ndly, a special plea, to which the plaintiff a prescriptive right; and issue was thereupon joined was a verdict for plaintiff with 1s. damages on the issue, and for the defendant on the second issue; but in going to the whole cause of action, the court held plaintiff was not entitled to the costs of the cause^(s) the same has been decided in an action for a libel, where defendants pleaded the general issue and a justification

Where Defendant succeeds on a Plea going only to part.

What has now been said, however, as to costs, where is double pleading under the statute of Anne, must be considered as applicable only to cases where the defendant upon a plea which goes to the whole declaration; if he is on a plea which only goes to part, and the plaintiff succeeds on any other part of the declaration, the plaintiff will be entitled to the *postea* and his general costs, according to the above laid down. So, where the defendant pleads several issues each going to the whole of the declaration, and the plaintiff assigns upon one of the pleas: as the new assignment must be considered in the nature of a new count, if the defendant do not succeed, as well upon some plea which goes to the whole of the new assignment, as upon a plea which is a bar to the declaration, the plaintiff will in like manner be entitled to the *postea* and the general costs.

Where Judge certifies under the 43 Eliz. c. 6.

The statute of Anne does not operate so as to give to the plaintiff in the case of double pleading, where damages are under 40s., and the judge certifies under 43 *El. c. 6*, before mentioned^(z), even although all be found for him^(a).

The Certificate under 4 & 5 A. c. 16.

The certificate mentioned by the stat. of Anne may be made out of court. The power to grant it is not affected by 4 *W. 4, r. 7(b)*.

Where there are several Counts or Pleas, and no distinct Matter of Complaint or Defence. R. H., 4 *W. 4, r. 5*.

Where there are several counts or pleas, and the plaintiff is to establish a distinct subject-matter of complaint or defence, we have seen (*ante*, Vol. I. 147) that, by all the courts of *H. T.*, 4 *W. 4, r. 5*, "several counts shall not be allowed, unless a distinct subject-matter of complaint or defence is intended to be established in respect of each; nor shall several pleas, or avowries, or cognizances, be allowed, unless a distinct ground of answer or defence is intended to be established in respect of each." And to enforce this rule is ordered by another rule, (*Id.*, *r. 7, ante*, Vol. I. 147) "upon the trial, where there is more than one count or avowry, or cognizance upon the record, and the plaintiff fails to establish a distinct subject-matter of complaint or defence in respect of each count, or some distinct ground of

(v) *Bird v. Higginson*, 5 Ad. & El. 83; 6 Nev. & M. 791, S. C.

(x) *Victian v. Blake*, 11 East, 263; see *Edwards v. Bethel*, 1 B. & Ald. 254; *Other v. Calvert*, 8 Moore, 239; 1 Bing. 275, S. C.; *Bennett v. Ooster*, 4 Moore, 110; 1 B. & B. 465, S. C.

(y) *Alexander v. Lawson*, 1829.

(z) *Howd v. Cheekire*, 3 S.

(a) *Richmond v. Johnson*

(b) *Robinson v. Messinger*, 583; 8 Ad. & E. 686, S. C.

respect of each plea, avowry, or cognizance, a verdict shall pass against him upon each count, plea, cognizance, which he shall have so failed to establish; he shall be liable to the other party for all the costs occasioned by such count, plea, avowry, or cognizance, including the costs of evidence, as well as those of the pleadings. And in any case in which an application to a judge has been made under the rule, (*r. 6, ante, Vol. I. 173*), and any count, plea, avowry, or cognizance, allowed as therein mentioned, upon which it is found that some distinct subject-matter of complaint was intended to be established at the trial in respect of each count so allowed, or some distinct ground of answer or defence to such plea, avowry, or cognizance so allowed, if the judge before whom the trial is had shall be of opinion that such subject-matter of complaint was *bonâ fide* intended to be established in respect of each count so allowed, or some distinct ground of answer or defence in respect of such plea, avowry, or cognizance so allowed, and shall so certify in his final judgment, such party so pleading shall not be entitled to costs upon the issue or issues upon which he succeeded, but shall pay out of any count, plea, avowry, or cognizance, to which the judge shall so certify."

In a declaration for a libel, the defendant pleaded the general issue and two special pleas, and at the trial the jury found for the plaintiff, and 1*s.* damages, and the defendant was ordered to pay costs. Held, under the stat. 43 *Eliz. c. 6, s. 2*, the court was bound to give the plaintiff the costs of the trial, although the plaintiff was not entitled to the costs of the defence, notwithstanding the above rule of *Hil.*, 7(*c*).

Rule of *H.*, 2 *W.* 4, *r. 74*, "no costs shall be allowed to a plaintiff upon any counts or issues upon which he failed to succeed; and the costs of all issues found for the plaintiff shall be deducted from the plaintiff's costs." This rule was introduced for the benefit of defendants, and puts an end to the old practice, in some cases, of allowing the plaintiff the costs of the trial, and, in others, of disallowing the defendant's costs upon which the defendant succeeded (*d*). In accordance with this rule, where the general issue was pleaded to a declaration containing several counts, and the defendant succeeded on some of those counts, he was held entitled to the costs occasioned by them (*e*); for the general issue was pleaded to the whole declaration, containing several counts, tenet issue to each of the counts. Thus, where the defendant in an action for an illegal seizure and sale of goods under a warrant of distress contained nine counts, of which five went to the whole value of the property, and the remainder went to the injury to the goods,

R. H., 2 W. depriving Plaintiff of Costs of Issue on which he fails, and giving Defendant Costs of Issue on which he succeeds.

r. Hurdle, 2 M. & Wels. 278: *Astley v. Johnson*, 9 B. & Cres. 278: *Astley v. Young*, 2 Burr. 1232.

See v. Green, 2 Doug. 677: *Penon v. Day*, 5 East, 261: *Penon v. Day*, 333; 4 B. & Ald. 43, 700: *Penon v. Day*, 3 B. & Ald. 43, 700: *Penon v. Day*, 334, S. C.: *Longden v. B. & Cres.* 278: *Cross v. Johnson*, 9 B. & Cres. 278: *Astley v. Young*, 2 Burr. 1232.

(*e*) *Cox v. Thomason*, 1 Dowl. 575; 2 C. & J. 498, S. C.: *Knight v. Brown*, 1 Dowl. 733; *Ward v. Poll*, 1 C. & M. 848; 2 Dowl. 76, S. C.: *Knight v. Brown*, 2 Moo. & Scott, 797; 9 Bing. 643: *Doe v. Webber*, 4 Nev. & M. 381.

BOOK IV.
PART I.Where some
only of the
Defendants
go to Trial,
&c.

the metropolitan police, for matters done in pursuance of the 10 G. 4, c. 44, s. 41, the officers are entitled to the costs as between attorney and client (c).

Where some only of several defendants proceeded to trial obtained a verdict, the defendants who obtained a verdict in such a case were entitled to the costs under the above statute of 4 J. 1, c. 3, and although the plaintiff had his judgment and costs against the others who suffered judgment by default (d); and this is still the law. And that act extends to an action on the case as well as other actions (e). So, if one of several defendants goes to trial and obtains a verdict, and the other pleads a plea which goes to the whole declaration, and such plea be a good plea, the defendant pleading it, he shall have costs, and such costs being an absolute bar to the action, the other defendants shall have the advantage of it; and shall not pay costs to the plaintiff upon the judgment by default (f). Where an action is brought against several defendants, who have their defences, judgment is obtained by one of them, which amounts to an absolute bar, the other defendants are entitled to the benefit of it, and not liable to pay costs, if such plea be merely a personal discharge to the defendant pleading it, the others will still be liable to the plaintiff's costs, if they fail on their own pleas, though they succeed as to the other (g).

By and to
what Defend-
ants payable.

Where there are several defendants who succeed in an action, the plaintiff may pay costs to which of them he pleases; and when they fail, each defendant is liable for the whole costs; but if after satisfaction from any one of them the plaintiff takes out execution against another, such defence will apply to the court (h). Where the plaintiff has brought several actions against two insurance companies for a loss, and a verdict was found for the former against each of them on two of the causes only, the court held that the costs were to be apportioned equally, although three causes were set down for trial at the same sittings, there being one cause remaining pending in the other (i). One defendant, who obtained a general release to the plaintiff after the costs had been taxed, was ordered to pay to the other defendants their shares (k).

The Costs
must be taxed
at same Time.

Where several defendants obtain a verdict general, the costs must be taxed at the same time, though they are taxed separately (l).

Where there
are several
Issues.Where several
Pleas are

Where there are several Issues.] As to double issues, the 4 & 5 A. c. 16, ss. 4, 5, any defendant, or plaintiff, may, with leave of the court, plead as many matters as he shall think necessary for his defence

(c) *Humphrey v. Woodhouse*, 1 Scott, 395; 3 Dowl. 416; 1 Bing. N. C. 506, 507; 1 S. C.(d) *Shrubb v. Barrett*, 2 H. Bl. 28.(e) *Price v. Harries*, 10 Bing. 557; 4 Moo. & Sc. 474; 3 Dowl. 804, S. C.; *Shrubb v. Barrett*, 2 H. Bl. 28.(f) *Tidd*, 985; *Hull*, Costs, 143.(g) *Noke v. Ingham*, 1 S. C. 335; *Dingley*, 2 Chit. Rep. 1.(h) *Wilson v. Frost*, Bull.(i) *Severn v. Otter*, and *Moore*, 235.(k) *Darlow v. Collins*, 335.(l) *Smith v. Campbell*, 6

a distress for rent due under a demise, at 5/. a-year, and another for 2/. 10s., and both issues were found for him, the court held that they were not inconsistent; and the judge having certified, at the trial, to deprive the plaintiff of costs, the rule for taxing to the defendants the costs of the two issues found for them was drawn up with this additional clause, "and that the costs, when so taxed, be paid by the said plaintiff to the said defendants:" but the court held that they had no power to make such an order, and they directed the record to be amended, by an entry of a judgment for the costs of those two issues, upon which the defendants might proceed to obtain their costs, if they thought proper (*p*). In the case of a reference to arbitration, before issue joined, the above rule must be observed on the taxation of costs (*q*). Neither party will be entitled to the costs of issues from the trial of which the jury have been discharged (*r*). And where a verdict is found in favour of the defendant, and judgment is afterwards entered for plaintiff *non obstante veredicto*, neither party is entitled to the costs of the immaterial issues (*s*). So, where the plea on which he has obtained a verdict, on being brought before the court upon a special case, is found to be bad, he will not be entitled to costs upon that issue (*t*); but if it be found good, he will be entitled to the costs of the special case, &c., together with the costs of the issue (*u*). If a defendant seek to enter a suggestion to deprive the plaintiff of costs, on the ground that the action ought to have been brought in a court of requests, he cannot, at the same time, have the costs of issues which have been found in his favour taxed for him in the superior court (*v*). The above rule of *H. T.*, 2 *W.* 4, r. 74, does not apply to *paupers*; and therefore, where in an action of trespass and false imprisonment, brought by a pauper against several defendants, the jury acquitted some of them, and found a verdict against the others, the court held that the costs of such of the defendants who had obtained verdicts could not be deducted from the plaintiff's costs of the cause (*w*).

As to costs where there are several defendants, some of whom succeed, and some are defeated, see *ante*, 1153.

Several Defendants.

As to the mode of taxation in these cases, supposing that there are several issues, and one be found for the plaintiff and the other found for the defendant, if that found for the plaintiff be the *substantial issue* in the cause, he shall have the *postea* and the general costs of the cause, with the exception of the costs of such parts of the pleadings and briefs, and of such of the witnesses, &c. (*x*), as are applicable only to the

Mode of Taxation where some Issues are found for Plaintiff and some for Defendant.

(*p*) *Twigg v. Potts*, 4 Dowl. 263.

(*q*) See *Drubus v. Rickman*, 4 Dowl. 129; 1 Scott, 314; 1 Hodges, 75, S. C.: *Milner v. Graham*, 2 Dowl. 422; *Allenby v. Proudlock*, 5 Nev. & M. 636; 4 Ad. & El. 326, S. C.

(*r*) *Falkner v. Adams*, 2 Dowl. 118; 1 C. & M. 436; 3 Tyr. 865, S. C.

(*s*) *Goulburne v. Bowman*, 3 Moo. & Scott, 69; 9 Bing. 667; 2 Dowl. 216, S. C.: and see *Isa Cuntz v. Clarke*, 2 B. & P. 376; *Kirk v. Noctill*, 1 T. R. 266.

(*t*) *Cartwright v. Cook*, 1 Dowl. 529.

(*u*) *Gosbell v. Archer*, 1 Har. & W. 559; 2 Ad. & El. 510; 4 Nev. & M. 415, S. C.

(*r*) *Jenks v. Taylor*, 1 M. & W. 578.

(*w*) *Gaugenheilm v. Lane*, 4 Dowl. 482; 1 M. & W. 136; 1 Tyr. & G. 216; 1 Gale, 343, S. C.

(*x*) See *Eades v. Everett*, 3 Dowl. 687; *Richards v. Cohen*, 1 Dowl. 533; and see *Knight v. Waire*, 3 Bing. N. C. 535; 5 Dowl. 487, S. C.: *Dee Smith v. Welther*, 4 Nev. & M. 381; 2 Ad. & El. 448; 1 H. & W. 10, S. C.

Double and treble costs. Only single costs were the statute of Gloucester. But double and treble since that act in some cases been expressly given also, where a statute gives double or treble damages were recoverable at common law. The plea may have double or treble costs *q. d.* but not where were not recoverable at common law *q. d.* And as costs given by the jury shall be double or treble the costs at common law. But it is only the old

[illegible]

cause that are thus doubled or trebled; and, therefore, the plaintiff changed the venue to X., on under- to pay the defendant's extra costs of trying at X., defendant obtained a verdict, and was entitled by to double costs, it was held that the extra costs of at X., should not be doubled (*k*). Where a statute double costs to defendants, in case the plaintiff fails, defendants who obtain a verdict, are entitled to their or treble &c. costs, though the plaintiff obtains a against the others (*l*). In an action upon a statute ves double costs, if a new trial be granted, nothing l on the subject of costs, the party who succeeds on s is entitled to double costs of both (*m*). Where the n contained several counts, and the defendants ob- general verdict, it was held that they were not o treble costs on the counts which complained of bited by the statute which entitled them to treble ase of success (*n*).

ble or treble costs, however, are meant, not double the single costs; the true mode of estimating the f the *double* costs is, first to allow the prevailing single costs, including the expenses of witnesses, fees, &c., and then allow him one half of the amount gle costs, without making any deduction on account l's fees, &c. (*o*). *Treble* costs consist of the single of the single costs, and half of that half (*p*).

there are several issues, some found for plaintiff, for defendant, and defendant is entitled to treble proper mode of estimating them, is first to ascer- defendant's single costs, then treble them, and then e plaintiff's single costs from the amount so tre-

the statute require it, no suggestion on the roll is, , requisite to entitle the party to these costs; at if it appear by the record that the case is within the . Where a rule *nisi* was obtained to enter a sug- r double costs, and it appeared on shewing cause ouble costs had been previously tendered, the rule urged with costs (*s*).

a statute requires a judge's certificate, to entitle to double or treble costs, such certificate need not, be given immediately after the trial of the cause (*t*). , the master cannot in such case tax the double costs until the certificate is obtained. It may be t it has recently been decided by the Court of Com- , confirming the old authorities, that a magistrate n act done in his judicial character must, in order

How esti-
mated.

Suggestion
for, when ne-
cessary.

Certificate
for, when
granted, &c.

- v. Saunders*, 3 Nev. & M. D. & R. 1; 4 B. & C. 154, S. C.
Smith, 2 Bing. 267; 9 Moore, W. 89; 7 Dowl. 369, S. C.
v. Thomas, 1 C. & J. 54.
v. River Dun Company, 5 Dowl. 369, S. C.
v. Ludlam, 4 B. & C. 889; S. C.
 484: see *Phillips v. Bacon*, 37, n.: *Buckle v. Beaves*, 6
 (q) *Wilson v. River Dun Co.*, 5 M. & W. 89; 7 Dowl. 369, S. C.
 (r) See *Wells v. Ody*, 3 Dowl. 799; 2 C., M. & R. 128, S. C. It was a case under the Building Act. (See *Collins v. Poney*, 9 East, 222).
 (s) *Fosbrook v. Holt*, 1 M. & W. 205; 4 Dowl. 700, S. C.
 (t) *Norman v. Danger*, 3 Y. & J. 203.

to obtain double costs under 7 Jac. 1, c. 5, obtain the certificate of the judge before whom the cause is tried(u).

Where a statute giving double or treble &c. costs is repealed during the pendency of a suit, the right to receive them is thereby destroyed, unless expressly saved (s).

2. Taxation of Costa

The taxation of an attorney's bill of costs as between him and his client, has been fully considered in the first Volume, and the subject of taxation of costs, in particular instances, has been incidentally noticed in many parts of the Work. It may be well, however, to consider the practice of taxation, as between party and party, here in one view.

By whom Taxed.] By the 1 Vict. c. 30, s. 3, the masters of the respective courts of law are authorized and required to tax all costs in matters of a civil nature in any of the courts, or in the Exchequer Chamber, indiscriminately, although the costs may not have arisen in respect of business done in the court, to which such masters may belong; and the judges are authorized to make rules on the subject (y).

*Notice of Taxation, Affidavit of Increase, &c.] By rule of T. T., 1 W. 4. r. 12, it is ordered, "that before taxation of costs, one day's notice (a) shall be given to the opposite party" (a). But this rule only applies to cases in which a notice of taxation is necessary (b); and such notice is not necessary upon a *compromis*, where it is given in a sum certain for the amount of debt and costs; for as to the costs of the action, they are already fixed at a certain sum (c); and as to the costs of signing judgment, a fixed sum is always marked without taxation (d). And by the R. H., 4 W. 4. r. 17, "notice of taxing costs shall not be necessary in any case where the defendant has not appeared in person, or by his attorney or guardian." And it is not necessary where the plaintiff has entered an appearance for the defendant (e). Nor, in such a case, is it necessary to deliver a copy of the bill of costs, or affidavit of increase to the Exchequer, notwithstanding the rule of that court M. 1. 1840. c. 12. f. 2. But it is necessary where the defendant has done that which is equivalent to appearing (g). A service of this notice of taxation at any time before nine o'clock at night for the next day would suffice (h). If the opposite party, however, wishes a longer notice, he may, it seems, gain it by obtaining from the master's clerk, to be present to the taxation, and serving a copy of it on the attorney of the opposing party before the time for signing judgment.*

1. The first step in the process is to identify the problem or issue that needs to be addressed. This involves gathering information and understanding the context of the problem.

2. Once the problem is identified, the next step is to define the objectives and goals of the project. This helps to clarify what needs to be achieved and provides a clear direction for the team.

3. The third step is to develop a plan or strategy to address the problem. This involves breaking down the problem into smaller, manageable tasks and determining the resources needed to complete them.

4. The fourth step is to implement the plan. This involves putting the strategy into action and monitoring progress to ensure that the project is on track.

5. The final step is to evaluate the results of the project. This involves assessing the outcomes against the objectives and goals and identifying any areas for improvement.

1. The first part of the document is a list of names and addresses, which appears to be a directory or a list of contacts. The names are written in a cursive script, and the addresses are listed below them.

2. The second part of the document is a list of names and addresses, which appears to be a directory or a list of contacts. The names are written in a cursive script, and the addresses are listed below them.

3. The third part of the document is a list of names and addresses, which appears to be a directory or a list of contacts. The names are written in a cursive script, and the addresses are listed below them.

4. The fourth part of the document is a list of names and addresses, which appears to be a directory or a list of contacts. The names are written in a cursive script, and the addresses are listed below them.

5. The fifth part of the document is a list of names and addresses, which appears to be a directory or a list of contacts. The names are written in a cursive script, and the addresses are listed below them.

6. The sixth part of the document is a list of names and addresses, which appears to be a directory or a list of contacts. The names are written in a cursive script, and the addresses are listed below them.

7. The seventh part of the document is a list of names and addresses, which appears to be a directory or a list of contacts. The names are written in a cursive script, and the addresses are listed below them.

8. The eighth part of the document is a list of names and addresses, which appears to be a directory or a list of contacts. The names are written in a cursive script, and the addresses are listed below them.

9. The ninth part of the document is a list of names and addresses, which appears to be a directory or a list of contacts. The names are written in a cursive script, and the addresses are listed below them.

10. The tenth part of the document is a list of names and addresses, which appears to be a directory or a list of contacts. The names are written in a cursive script, and the addresses are listed below them.

ired; the latter must then give *twenty-four hours'* notice of taxing costs; and if the costs are taxed without such notice, the taxation would be irregular, and the attorney liable to an attachment; but if this rule to be observed is not served until the time for signing judgment is expired, he is not obliged to give more than the above notice, which, as we have just seen, may be given any time before nine at night for the next day (i). It is as well here observed, that this notice of taxation, if the first appointment made by the master, is *peremptory*, and will proceed *ex parte* thereon, unless sufficient cause is shown for the postponement (j). Any reasonable costs incurred in giving this notice will be allowed (k). As regards the consequences of omitting to give this notice when requisite, it is that the omission will not afford a ground for setting aside the judgment and execution; and that all the court will be to refer it to the master to re-tax the costs; and upon the taxation, there be any reduction of the costs, they will make the party whose costs are taxed pay the costs of the rule; or, if nothing be taxed off, they will allow costs on either side (l). If the attorney for the defendant party attend the taxation, he thereby waives all objection as to notice (m).

The master will tax the costs upon a view of the proceedings, but if there be extra expenses incurred, which do not appear upon the face of the proceedings; such as the party's expenses, fees to counsel, attendances, court fees, &c. an affidavit must be made of these extra costs, otherwise the master will not be warranted in allowing them (n). The affidavit should be left at the master's office one clear day before the day appointed for taxation (o).

Affidavit of Increase.

The Exchequer, by rule M. T. 1830 (p), a copy of the bill of costs and affidavit of increase must be delivered to the opposite attorney one day previous to the taxation in the case of writs *proteas* and inquisitions, and two days previous to the taxation in country ones. And this rule is imperative, and must be complied with, unless the opposite party waives objection under it by attending the taxation or otherwise (q). The rule does not, however, extend to cases where the defendant has not appeared, or when he appears himself, and not by attorney (r), or to the taxation of costs upon a demurrer; even in cases within the rule, the omission to comply with it is not a ground for setting aside the judgment, but only for a rule to review the taxation (s).

Delivery of Copy, Bill of Costs, and Affidavit &c. in Exchequer.

[*What Costs allowed, &c. (t).*] The mode of taxation and the amount of costs allowed between attorney and client, have been

What Costs allowed, &c.

Sellon, 504; Tidd, 989: *Edmunds v. H.*, 4 M. & W. 68.
H., 32 G. 3: 2 W. 4, r. 92.
Warp v. Wordy, 2 C. & J. 468.
Warp v. Kent, 5 Dowl. 125: *Batten v. King*, 5 Dowl. 769.
Wilkins v. Perkins, 2 M. & W. 315; 5 Dowl. 461, S. C.
 See the forms, Chlt. Forms, 629 to 634.
 1 Esp. Prac. 156.
 C. & J. 279; 1 Tyr. 161.

(q) *Wilkins v. Perkins*, 2 M. & W. 315; 5 Dowl. 461, S. C. Perhaps that part of this rule which requires a *two days'* notice of taxation upon country *proteas* and inquisitions is virtually rescinded by the subsequent rule of all the courts of T. T., 1 W. 4, r. 12. (See *Perry v. Turner*, 2 C. & J. 89: *Routledge v. Giles*, Id. 163).
 (r) *Burch v. Painter*, 2 Jurist, 278.
 (s) *Taylor v. Murray*, 6 Dowl. 80.
 (t) See Appendix, as to the amount of costs and fees regulated by rule of court.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

Costs of regular and necessary Proceedings.

Costs of Letters.

Costs of Writs.

Costs of Pleadings.

Costs of Rules.

already noticed. In taxing costs between party and master will allow the costs of all regular and necessary proceedings in the cause, and he will also allow all such incidents as are, or are to be, directed to be costs in the course, he will not allow the costs of unnecessary proceedings.

An attorney is entitled to his costs for writing to the defendant, demanding the debt before writ issued. The usual practice is to allow for one letter only; but if the defendant had requested that time should be given, every accommodation was shewn by the plaintiff's attorney and in the correspondence, before writ issued, the plaintiff's attorney had written fifteen letters, and had received from the defendant, for thirteen of which he had paid, he was held entitled to the costs of only one. A plaintiff is entitled to the allowance of a sum, which has been paid by him for the postage of foreign letters, solely applicable to the cause; but he is entitled to the costs of the production and translation of such letters as are applicable to such parts of the counts as are returned by the verdict (a).

The master has been held to be justified in allowing the costs of two writs issued in one action against the defendant into two counties, where it was doubtful in which county the defendant was to be found (b), it not appearing that they were writs. But now, although several writs may be issued on one *præcipe*, it is probable that the plaintiff will be entitled to the costs of one only (c).

The costs of all necessary pleadings will, of course, be allowed to the party who succeeds on them. Where there are several counts, or several pleas, the costs will be taxed as already noticed (ante, 1154 to 1160). Where a party is charged for a declaration as containing more than it really contained, and the master allowed the costs, the court ordered the taxation to be reviewed (d).

The party who substantially (e) succeeds on a rule forms part of the regular proceedings in the cause, and costs being made, will be entitled, if he is successful in the cause, to have the costs of the rule allowed to him. But he will not be so entitled if the rule is merely collateral to the action; as a rule to discharge defendant out of custody on bail, or of coverture, or arrest in a wrong name (g). Where a defendant obtains a rule to deliver up the bill on which an action is brought, or the like, on payment of costs, this will not make him liable to the costs of previous proceedings which have been decided against him, but without costs (h). If an attorney shew cause on his application against a rule, his client not appearing, the cost

(a) *Jones v. Roberts*, 2 Dowl. 374; 3 M. & W. 57.
Hayes v. Buttery, 3 Dowl. 313. *Lewis v. Westbrook*, 3 Dowl. 392.
 (b) *Morrison v. Summerville*, 1 Dowl. 325; 1 B. & Ad. 559, & C.
 (c) *Capell v. Staines*, 5 Dowl. 770.
 (d) *Lopez v. De Trazet*, 7 Moore, 130; 3 B. & B. 322.
 (e) *Morris v. Hunt*, 1 Chit. 544.
 (f) *San August v. Coppard*, 6 Dowl. 137; 3 M. & W. 57.
 (g) *Morris v. Hunt*, 1 Chit. 544.
 (h) *Morrison v. Summerville*, 1 Dowl. 325; 1 B. & Ad. 559, & C.
 (i) *Capell v. Staines*, 5 Dowl. 770.
 (j) *Lopez v. De Trazet*, 7 Moore, 130; 3 B. & B. 322.
 (k) *Morris v. Hunt*, 1 Chit. 544.
 (l) *San August v. Coppard*, 6 Dowl. 137; 3 M. & W. 57.

will not be costs in the cause (i). The recovery of costs of rules made absolute or discharged "with costs," much facilitated by the 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 18. (1196).

Where an amendment is allowed during the course of a trial on payment of costs, this means only the costs substantially occasioned by the amendment. Therefore, where a plaintiff, after plea pleaded, obtained leave to amend his statement on payment of costs, by increasing the amount of damages, and defendant afterwards paid money into court, whereby one of his pleas became unavailable, the court held, that he was not entitled to the costs of such plea, since they were caused by his own act, and not by the amendment (k).

Costs of Amendment.

The costs of an attachment include all costs fairly incidental to the suing out the attachment, and amongst them have been held to include the costs of an inquiry, directed by the court for the benefit of the defendant, in order to enable him to obtain his discharge (l).

Costs of an Attachment.

As to the costs of the trial: where a London agent has been appointed to attend the trial of a cause, it is a matter within the discretion of the master, and with which, it seems, the court will not interfere, whether the costs of a journey from London by the country attorney, to attend the trial, or a disbursement of the cause shall be allowed (m). The usual fee for necessary attendance at the trial will be allowed, though the attorney be a party to the cause (n). And, where a member of the same firm as the attorney who conducted the cause attended as a witness, the court held that his expenses were properly allowed (o).

Costs of the Trial.
Attendance of Attorney.

With regard to fees to counsel, the master exercises a discretion, regulated, to a certain degree, by the nature and magnitude of the cause. In cases of difficulty, in which points of law may arise, it is fit that the leading counsel should have the assistance of other gentlemen, to suggest what may be necessary in the course of discussion. In cases of that description, the allowance of counsel should not be regulated in the same manner as in an ordinary case, where no difficulty is likely to arise; accordingly, a plaintiff has been allowed for fees to three counsel in a case of difficulty (p). And where, in a case of difficulty, the master allowed for one counsel only, the court ordered his taxation to be reviewed (q). And the same where, in taxing defendant's costs on a new trial, in an action to recover 1,000*l.*, where strict cross-examination was necessary, the master disallowed the costs of a second brief and fees, on the ground that it did not appear that defendant had any witnesses to call (r). Where there have been two trials, and the successful party is entitled to the costs of the second trial only, the master may

Fees to Counsel, what allowed.

(i) *Southey v. Terry*, 2 Dowl. 522.

(k) *Gould v. Oliver*, 5 Bing. N. C. 115.

(l) *Tyler v. Campbell*, 5 Bing. N. C. 128.

(m) *Parsons v. Fay*, 2 Dowl. 181; *Archer v. Marsh*, 7 Dowl. 541: see, however, *Maddison v. Bacon*, 5 Bing. N. C. 246.

(n) *Jervis v. Deves*, 4 Dowl. 764.

(o) *Butler v. Hobson*, 5 Bing. N. C. 128.

(p) *Morris v. Hunt*, 1 Chit. 544.

(q) *Grindall v. Goodman*, 5 Dowl. 378.

(r) *Maddison v. Bacon*, 5 Bing. N. C. 246.

BOOK IV.
PART I.Costs of
Briefs.

allow for fees to counsel on the second trial, with-
out to the amount of those given on the first (s).

Where, in actions on a policy of insurance against
the attorney had only made out a full brief in one or
short statements in the others, but the master allow
the full briefs in all, the Court of King's Bench made
for him to review his taxation (t).

Costs of Evi-
dence, &c.

The expenses of evidence and of witnesses general-
ly a very serious item in the costs of the cause, and the
discretion of the masters as to these costs is almost un-
limited. The costs of witnesses have been already con-
sidered in the first Volume, p. 236. And the costs of process
the examination of witnesses abroad, have been treated
in Vol. I., p. 245. And the rules as to the costs of
documentary evidence have been stated in Vol. I., p. 245.
will, therefore, only be necessary to mention here
points as to the costs of other species of evidence.
action on the case for the disturbance of a watercourse
expenses of plans used for the information of the jury
the trial have been allowed (u); so have costs of
searches for pedigree (v). But the costs of experiments
to enable scientific men to give evidence, have been
allowed (x). A charge for a document tendered, but
not received in evidence, cannot be supported (y).

Costs of Bill
of Excep-
tions.

A defendant in error after affirmance is entitled to
costs of settling the bill of exceptions, such costs being
in error, and not in the court below (z).

Costs of other
Proceedings.

The costs, in case of plea in abatement (a), *non est*
pleaded (b), on demurrer (c), in error (d), on *nonprossu-*
suit (f), new trial (g), *venire de novo* (h), judgment *non*
veredicto (i), arrest of judgment (k), and the various other
proceedings in an action, are noticed under the respective
headings throughout the Work. (See the Index).

Costs where
the Cause is
made a Re-
manet, &c.

Where the cause is made a *remanet*, the costs incurred
in bringing up witnesses, attendances, &c., are allowed to the
party ultimately prevailing (l); and the same where the cause
goes off upon any other occasion, without the fault or con-
trivance of the parties, and is afterwards brought to trial.
Where one of the jurors absconded, and the plaintiff
refused to proceed with the remaining eleven, and the cause
was afterwards taken down to trial, and had a verdict, the
plaintiff was held entitled to the costs of both trials (n). As
where a new trial is granted, see *ante*, 1104.

Where Venue
laid out of

A plaintiff laying his venue out of London or Middlesex

(s) *Wilkinson v. Malin*, 2 Dowl. 65:
see *Lord v. Wardle*, 6 Dowl. 174.

(t) *Martineau v. Barnes*, 1 Tidd, 686.

(u) *Holmes v. Holmes*, 9 Moore, 158; 2
Bing. 75.

(v) *Johnson v. Lawson*, 2 Bing. 341.

(x) *Severn v. Olive*, 3 B. & H. 72; 6
Moore, 235, S. C.

(y) *Hagball v. Underwood*, 11 Price,
511.

(z) *Francis v. Doe d. Harvey*, 5 M. & W.
273, in Cam. Scac.

(a) *Ante*, 656.

(b) *Ante*, 671.

(c) *Ante*, 667.

(d) *Ante*, Vol. I. 379.

(e) *Ante*, 1056.

(f) *Ante*, Vol. I. 314.

(g) *Ante*, 1104.

(h) *Ante*, 1107.

(i) *Ante*, 1108.

(k) *Ante*, 1110.

(l) *Standen v. Hall*, Say. 3
Ken. 338; *Gibbins v. Philippe*,
438; 2 M. & R. 236, S. C.;
Turner, 2 Wils. 306.

(m) *Burchall v. Bellamy*, 5 L.
see *Sealey v. Pears*, 3 Dowl. 32.

(n) *Harrison v. Bennett*, 1 L.

pose of obtaining speedy execution, is entitled, if
s, to his costs of trying in the place of trial, un-
mus has been so laid for the purpose of oppres-

CHAP. XXI
London, &
for sake of
speedy Exe-
cution.
Where there
are several
Issues, Dou-
ble Costs, &

re taxation of costs, where there are several de-
ces *ante*, 1153; where there are several issues, *ante*,
10; and where there are double or treble costs, *ante*,

as to taxing Officers as to the Taxation where Amount
(*p*).] By *R. H.*, 4 *W.* 4, "in all actions of *assumpsit*,
mant, where the sum recovered or paid into court, and
y the plaintiff in satisfaction of his demand, or agreed
on the settlement of the action, *shall not exceed twenty*
hout costs, the plaintiff's costs shall be taxed accord-
reduced scale hereunto annexed(*p*). Provided that
a trial before a judge of one of the superior courts,
f assize(*q*), if the judge shall certify on the *postea*
ause was proper to be tried before him, and not before
r judge of an inferior court, the costs shall be taxed
sualscale." Writs of inquiry are, it seems, included
rections(*r*); and where the writ having been issued
han 20*l.*, the plaintiff, before execution, (judgment
en signed as for want of a plea), had given credit
s demand, reducing the amount of his claim to
court held that the costs should be taxed on the
cale(*s*). But the costs of a writ of inquiry in
for unliquidated damages are not to be taxed upon
d scale(*t*). Also where the plaintiff had recovered
a sum beyond another sum paid into court, the two
ther amounting to 20*l.*, the court held that the taxa-
ts ought not to be on the reduced scale(*u*). A re-
a judgment under 20*l.* entered up under an award is
e above directions, and the costs should be taxed on
d scale accordingly(*x*), and the directions are not, it
efined to those cases only which are triable before the
l. In an action on an attorney's bill, to which there
off, the cause being partially heard, was referred to
r, who was to enter into the whole account: the
ind a balance in favour of the plaintiff of 2*l.* 12*s.*: it
that the costs should be taxed on the reduced scale(*z*).
i an action for unliquidated damages an order is made
g proceedings on payment of a sum under 20*l.*, and
e taxed, the plaintiff is only entitled to costs on the
le(*a*).

Directions
taxing Office
as to the Tax-
ation.
Where
Amount under
20*l.*

it necessary for the judge who certifies under the pro-
e above rule, to enable a plaintiff to obtain full costs,

Certificate
entitled Pl

Moore, 5 Dowl. 367; 3 Bing.
C.
Appendix.
e scale in the Appendix at
ol. II.
ot necessary for the judge
to enable a plaintiff to obtain
hear the cause throughout.
ear, 3 Dowl. 330).
l v. *Leigh*, 5 Dowl. 40; 3
l, 188; 2 Hodges, 107, S. C.

(*t*) *Savage v. Lapecombe*, 5 Dowl. 365;
Patteson, J., diss.
(*u*) *Croft v. Miller*, 3 Bing. N. C. 975; 6
Dowl. 73.
(*x*) *Masters v. Tickler*, 2 H. & W. 81.
(*y*) *Wallen v. Smith*, 3 M. & Wels. 138;
5 M. & W. 159; 6 Dowl. 103; 7 Dowl. 304,
S. C.
(*z*) *Parker v. Serle*, 6 Dowl. 334.
(*a*) *Cook v. Hunt*, 7 Dowl. 307; 5 M. &
W. 161, S. C.

BOOK IV.
PART I.
COSTS TO FOLLOW
COSTS.

to hear the cause throughout(b); the cause need only be brought on for trial. There is no specific time in which the certificate must be given(c). If the cause be tried at *Nisi Prius*, and the judge before whom it is tried die without making his certificate, the plaintiff is without remedy as to the extra costs(d). Where a cause at *Nisi Prius* is referred to arbitration, care should be taken to give the arbitrator a power of certifying that the cause was proper to be tried before a judge, otherwise the attorney will only be able to claim costs upon the lower scale(e).

Reviewing
the Taxation.

Reviewing the Taxation.] The court or a judge will not, before taxation of costs, make an order as to the principle on which they are to be taxed, if objection be taken to that course(f), but they will sometimes order the taxation to be reviewed by the master, upon application by the party dissatisfied therewith, where the taxation has been made upon a wrong principle. Several instances of this have been given in the preceding pages. In general, however, the master is the sole judge as to what witnesses shall be allowed on taxation, and as to the mode of taxing costs; and the discretion used by him in taxation will not be brought into review before the court as a matter of course. Where the mistake, if any, arises from the ambiguity of an award, no attempt to set aside which has been made, the court will not interfere(g). The application to review the taxation must be supported by an affidavit pointing out specifically the objections to it(h). No objections can be gone into on the application, unless they are specified in the affidavit or rule(i). The affidavit should not enter into the merits of the case. It should shew that the master has made his *allocatur* (k). Affidavits used before the master on the taxation cannot be read on shewing cause against a rule for reviewing the taxation, unless they are referred to in the rule; and notice that they will be used is not sufficient(l). The costs of a rule for reviewing the taxation are not given where the mistake is with the master(m). An application to review the taxation of costs on a bill of exceptions, or other proceeding in error, should be made in the court of error(n).

3. Remedies for Costs.

Remedy
Costs.

The remedy for costs for which judgment has been obtained is by action or execution in the ordinary way. The remedy for costs payable by rule of court is by attachment, as to which see *post*, 1264, or by execution under the provisions of 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 18, as to which see *post*, 1196.

As to an attorney's remedy for costs against his client, see *ante*, Vol. I. 69 to 89.

(b) *Nokes v. Fraser*, 3 Dowl. 329; *Bryant v. Hume*, 6 Dowl. 67; *Burton v. Clark*, 9 Leg. Obs. 328.

(c) *Iray v. Young*, 13 Leg. Obs. 381; 5 Dowl. 450, 2 C.

(d) *Southwell v. Bird*, 7 Dowl. 557.

(e) *Hallen v. Smith*, 7 Dowl. 394; 5 M. & W. 132, 2 C., *note*. *Wallis v. Smith*.

(f) *Hood v. Bailey*, 8 Ad. & El. 684.

(g) *San Remo v. Mills*, 5 Bing. N. C. 248.

(h) *David v. Bishop*, 11 Cl. & F. 129, 2 C.; *Williams v. Hunt*, 1 Chit. Rep. 281; *Allen v. Farnham*, 1 Dowl. 49.

(i) *Allen v. Farnham*, 2 Dowl. 41.

(j) *Cleaver v. Hargreaves*, 2 Dowl. 68.

(k) *Chapman v. Fraser*, 2 Dowl. 2.

(l) *Ward v. Bell*, 2 Dowl. 2.

(m) *Francis v. Doe d. Hargreaves*, 11 B. & W. 272.

setting off costs against costs, see *ante*, Vol. I. 457. **CHAP. XXXI.**
e defendant after judgment signed, in order to avoid
, paid debt and costs in the action, insisting however,
e time, that he had a right to set off the costs of a
ned by plaintiff, which had been discharged, but not
y formal demand, upon motion the court directed
ff to refund the amount of those costs(o).
hen security for costs may be compelled to be given,
112.

(o) *Aburnethy v. Paton*, 5 Bing. N. C. 276.

CHAPTER XXXII.

ENTRY OF SUGGESTIONS UPON THE ROLL.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

When necessary in general.

WHEREVER, by the provision of an act of parliament otherwise, a person not a party to the record is to be by a judgment, or where the judgment upon the record be such as would not be ordinarily warranted by the proceedings on the record, the proper course is, in such cases, to issue a *scire facias* against him, and in others, a suggestion on the roll; so that the party to be affected may, in the former proceeding, appear and plead, and in the latter proceeding, that he may demur, if the facts suggested are insufficient in point of law, or he means to deny them (*a*). We shall proceed to this Chapter the latter mode of proceeding in the cases. As to the proceeding by *scire facias*, see ante

Suggestions as to the Awarding of the Venire, 1170.
 ——— *in Debt on Bond*, 1171.
 ——— *as to the Death of Parties*, 1172.
 ——— *for Costs*, *id.*

As to the awarding of the Venire.

As to the Awarding of the Venire.] If the sheriff be in the event of the cause, or related by blood or affinity to either of the parties, a suggestion to this effect may be made on the issue, immediately before the award of the *venire*; if the *venire* is then awarded to the other sheriff, two (*b*); or if there be but one, then to the coroner (if the coroner be interested, &c., then to two persons appointed by the court, called elizors (*d*)). Any matter may be suggested which would be a good principal challenge to the jury (*e*).

In Local Actions to prevent Delay.

In local actions in the superior courts, by the Statute 1 R. 2, c. 42, s. 22, after reciting, that "unnecessary delay is sometimes occasioned by the trial of local actions in the county where the cause of action has arisen;" it enacts, "that in any action depending in any of the said courts, the venue in which is by law *local*, the court may, on the application of either party, order such action shall be depending, or any judge of any of the said courts, may, on the application of either party, order the action to be tried, or writ of inquiry to be executed, in any other place than that in which the venue is laid; and for that purpose, any such court or judge may order a suggestion to be entered on the record, that the trial may be more conveniently had, or writ of inquiry executed, in the county or place to which the same is ordered to take place" (*f*). The appli-

(a) See *Bartlett v. Portland*, 1 B. & Adol. 704.

(b) *Rex v. Warrington*, 1 Salk. 152: *Letson v. Beckley*, 5 M. & Sel. 144.

(c) Fortesc. de Laud. LL. c. 25: Co. Litt. 158.

(d) *Id.*: *Holland v. Holland*, 461. See forms of suggestion, Chit. Forms, 635.

(e) See upon this subject, Chit. Forms, 635.

(f) See the forms, Chit. Forms, 635.

court or a judge for this purpose should be supported by an affidavit, shewing the unnecessary delay or expense that will take place by the trial or execution of the writ of inquiry in the county in which the action is brought.

So, in local actions, where a fair and impartial trial cannot be had in the county where the venue is laid, the court, upon a proper case being stated to them by affidavit, will, upon motion, grant leave to enter such a suggestion upon the issue, with a *nisi dedire*, in order to have a trial in the next adjoining county(*g*); and it seems to be immaterial whether the next adjoining county be a county palatine or not(*h*). The affidavit upon which such an application is founded, should specify the facts from which it is to be inferred that a fair trial cannot be had in the county where the venue is laid(*i*). In transitory actions, it is more usual to move for leave to change the venue(*j*).

Or to secure an impartial Trial.

Also, in actions transitory or local, depending in any of the courts at Westminster, where the venue is laid in the county of any city or town corporate in England, (with the exception of London, Westminster, Bristol, Chester, and the borough of Southwark), the court, upon the application of either party, may, if they think proper, award the *venire*, &c., to the sheriff of the county next adjoining to the county of such city or town corporate, in order that the action may be there tried (38 G. 3, c. 52, ss. 1, 10) (*k*); and it should seem that this would be the case, even although the venue has been changed to the city upon the usual affidavit(*l*).

Where Venue laid in County of City or Town Corporate, &c.

Where the venue is laid in Berwick-upon-Tweed, or other place where the queen's writ of *venire* does not run, then upon a suggestion that the issue ought to be tried in the next adjoining English county, the *venire* is awarded to the sheriff of such county accordingly(*m*); thus, where the venue is laid in Berwick-upon-Tweed, the *venire*, upon suggestion, may be awarded to the county of Northumberland(*n*); and the like(*o*).

Where Venue laid in Berwick-upon-Tweed.

The suggestion should always be made at the proper stage of the proceedings, when the fact which gave rise to the necessity for making the suggestion took place.

When made.

In all cases, where either party would suggest any special matter, as to the awarding of the *venire* out of the common course, a copy should be given to the opposite party, and he should be allowed a reasonable time to consider of it, before a *nisi dedire* is entered(*p*).

Notice of the Suggestion should be given.

[Of Breaches in Debt on Bond.] As to the suggestion, &c., in this case, see *ante*, 723 to 729.

Of Breaches in Debt on Bond.

(*g*) *Rex v. Harris*, 3 Burr. 1333; 1 W. Bl. 379, S. C.; *Rex v. Amery*, 1 T. R. 363; *Rex v. Hunt*, 3 B. & Ald. 444.

(*h*) *Rex v. The Inhabitants of St. Mary*, 7 T. R. 735.

(*i*) *Rex v. Harris*, 3 Burr. 1333. See form of suggestion, and award of *venire* into the adjoining county, Chit. Forms, 677.

(*j*) See *ante*, 956.

(*k*) See *Rex v. Inhabitants of St. Mary*, 7 T. R. 735. See the form of the suggestion in such a case, and award of the *venire* into the adjoining county, Chit.

Forms, 637.

(*l*) *Hird v. Morae*, 7 Taunt. 385.

(*m*) See the former decisions as to when the venue was in Wales, *Gadright d. Richards v. Williams*, 2 M. & Sel. 270; and see *Ambrise v. Rees*, 11 East, 370; *Rex v. Coule*, 2 Burr. 855.

(*n*) *Mayor of Berwick v. Ewart*, 2 W. Bl. 1036.

(*o*) See also *Way v. Yally*, 2 Salk. 651; and see form of suggestion and award of *venire*, Chit. Forms, 103.

(*p*) *Brucas v. London, City of*, 1 Str. 235.

BOOK IV.
PART I.Of the Death
of Parties.
Before final
Judgment.

Of the Death of Parties.] Where there are two or more plaintiffs or defendants, and one or more of them die, the cause of action survive to or against the survivors(*q*); the action shall not be thereby abated; but such death suggested upon the record, the action shall proceed at the instance of or against the survivors(*r*). The death, in this case, if it occur before issue joined, is suggested at the commencement of the next pleading, and of course appears upon the final issue when made up. But if it happen after issue joined, it seems, according to one case, that it is not necessary that it should be suggested upon the *Nisi Prius* record; and if it be suggested upon the issue roll, it will be sufficient. But, according to a more recent decision at *Nisi Prius*, it would seem that it should be suggested on the *Nisi Prius* record(*t*). And inasmuch as there is now no distinction between the issue roll(*u*), it would seem that the suggestion should be entered upon the *Nisi Prius* record. Even after motion to set aside proceedings for irregularity, because one of two plaintiffs died before interlocutory judgment, and the suit proceeded to execution in the names of both, the court allowed the surviving plaintiff to suggest the death of the other on the roll, and to amend the *ca. sa.* without payment of costs(*x*).

Amendment
of Omission
to enter it.After final
Judgment.

If the death happen after final judgment, then, upon entering the death upon the roll, you may sue out execution against the survivor(*y*); or you may sue out execution in the names of all, but it can be executed as against the survivor only(*z*). The lands, however, of a deceased defendant are liable in satisfaction of the judgment, although he leaves no other assets; for the judgment is against the personalty only, and not as to the realty (*Vol. I.* and therefore, if the plaintiff wish to sue out an *elegit* against the lands of a deceased defendant, as well as against the survivor, he may have a *scire facias* against such survivor, to have the heir and terretenants of the deceased, to have execution against the lands and goods of the former, and the lands of the latter(*a*).

Death of De-
fendant in
Error.

If one of several defendants in error die, upon suggesting the death upon the roll, you may proceed against the survivors(*b*).

For Costs.

Where De-
fendant enti-
tled to more
than usual
Costs.

For Costs.] Where the effect of an act of parliament alter the law in respect of giving costs to a defendant where the plaintiff, in ordinary circumstances, would be entitled to them, the proper course is to enter a suggestion of the facts necessary to entitle the defendant to them, so that the plaintiff may demur, if the defendant does not set forth the facts which bring the case within the act of parliament, or may traverse those facts if they be untrue.

(q) See *Cicchetti v. Powell*, 6 B. & C. 253.
(r) 8 & 9 W. 3, c. 11, s. 7.
(s) *Farr v. Denn*, 1 Burr. 363.
(t) *Rex v. Cohen*, 1 Stark. 511.
(u) See *Hodges v. Diley*, 7 Dowl. 444.
(x) *Newnham v. Law*, 5 T. R. 577. See forms of suggestions of death, before issue joined, Chit. Forms, 638; after issue joined, *Id.* 639.
(y) *Withers v. Harris*, 2 Ld. Raym.

808; and see *Pennair v. B.* 319; 1 Show. 404; 1 Ld. S. C.

(z) 2 Saund. 50 k, 72 k, or (a) *Ante*, 820.

(b) Vol. I. 355. See a suggestion, Lill. Ent. 217.

(c) *Hickman v. Collyer*. *Bartholomew v. Pennington*, 1 B. & C. 100. *In Rees v. Davies*, 3 Bly.

of parliament be repealed pending the suit, it seems CHAP. XXXII
 e costs must be awarded as if the act had never ex-
 unless there be some express saving in the repealing

defendant be entitled to double or treble costs on a ver- Double or
Treble Costs.
 him, because sued for something done by virtue of his
 f justice of peace, constable, officer of excise or customs,
 e ante, 913, 914), if it do not appear upon the face of
 ord that the action was brought against him as such
 for something done by him in the execution of his
 hen, upon obtaining a certificate to that effect from the
 at or after the trial (e), or, in case of a nonsuit or non-
 upon his making an affidavit of the fact, the court will
 um to enter a suggestion (f) of it upon the record (g).
 e same in all other cases where the defendant is en-
 o double or treble costs (h). But unless the statute re-
 , it does not seem absolutely requisite that this sugges-
 ould be entered (i); if it otherwise appear on the face
 eord that the case is within the statute.

action be brought in one of the courts at Westminster Under Court
of Conscience
Acts.
 use of action which might have been sued for in the
 requests or court of conscience of any city, borough, or
 ere is usually a clause in the statute creating the jurisdic-
 of the inferior court, by which it is provided, that if
 itiff in the superior court recover any sum within the
 the cognizance of the inferior court, he shall not be
 to costs; or, if the defendant have a verdict, he shall
 led to double costs (k). We shall not attempt, in a
 this description, to enumerate the provisions of this
 all the statutes which establish courts of conscience;
 is here intended is, to state some general principles
 e courts seem to have established upon the subject,
 ch are applicable to all these courts of conscience,
 pressly controlled by the words of the statute creating
 jurisdiction, or by necessary implication. All these
 have one common object, and should all, as far as
 receive a uniform construction (l).

courts of conscience are, in general, restrained to debts or To what
Cases Court
of Conscience
Acts in gene-
ral extend.
 demands certain, capable of being ascertained by mere
 tion (m). Consequently, in all other cases,—as, for in-

7. S. C., the court refused to
 entered on the roll a sugges-
 s grounds of their judgment
 a motion to enter up judge-
 s certificate of the Speaker of
 f Commons, under the 9 Geo.
 63, for costs incurred in the
 of a petition in parliament.
 s v. Baresford, 6 Dowl. 157:
 v. Meatheringham, 5 Dowl.

v. Carr, 7 T. R. 448; 1
 308, n., S. C.: Devenish v.
 Str. 974: and see Atkins v.
 East, 92.

form of rule, Chit. Forms,
 v. Miles, Hardw. 125; Ca.

Wells v. Poney, 9 East, 322;
 dgetts, 1 Bing. 182: Wells v.
 71. 729; 2 C., M. & R. 185,

S. C.

(i) See *Wells v. Ody*, 3 Dowl. 799; 2 C.,
 M. & R. 128, S. C. It was a case on the
 Building Act. (See *vide* Tidd, 9th ed.
 968).

(k) See the forms of suggestions, &c.,
 Chit. Forms, 643.

(l) *Shadduk v. Bennett*, 7 D. & R. 232.

(m) *Jonas v. Greening*, 5 T. R. 529:
Fornin v. Oswell, 1 M. & Sel. 383: see
Foot v. Coare, 2 B. & P. 588: *Parker v.*
Vaughan, Id. 29: *Sandby v. Miller*, 5
 East, 194: *Rex v. Commissioners of*
London Court of Requests, 7 East, 292:
Holden v. Newman, 13 East, 161: *M'Cub-*
ham v. Carr, 1 B. & P. 223; 1 Doug. 245.
 An action for use and occupation of fur-
 nished lodgings is within the 39 & 40 Geo.
 3, c. 104, s. 13. (*Kidd v. Mason*, 3 Dowl.
 96: and see *Drew v. Fletcher*, 1 B. & C.
 283).

BOOK IV.
PART II.

stances, in an action on the case for negligence in driving a carriage(a), or in a special action of *assumpsit* for the breach of an agreement(o), or the like,—the defendant cannot plead the statute, nor will the court allow him to enter a suggestion upon the record, however trifling the damages may be(p). It is in general necessary, also, in order to sue in these courts of conscience, that the cause of action have arisen, and the defendant or plaintiff, or both, reside within the jurisdiction(q); but this depends entirely upon the wording of the statute in each particular case, and sometimes it may be otherwise(r). It would seem, that where the act of parliament makes no express provision as to the residence of the parties, as a general rule the plaintiff need not, but the defendant must, be resident within the jurisdiction(s). It is the amount of debt or damages found by the jury, and not as laid in the declaration, which is to determine whether it might have been sued for in the inferior court or not(t). And the fact of the cause being tried on a writ of trial does not affect the question(u). And although reduced below the limited sum by payment in part(x), or, it seems, by payment into court(y) or by the plea of the Statute of Limitations(z), or by the plea of infancy, or other defence set up to the action(o), it is, in general, within the statute. But otherwise if reduced by a set-off(b), or tender(c), if pleaded. Merely pleading a tender, however, does not preclude the defendant from the benefit of the statute, when the original debt is under the limit

(a) *Lasson v. Magbridge*, 1 Taunt. 255; see *Mallon v. Garment*, 2 N. H. 84; *Pier v. Gane*, 2 B. & P. 308.

(o) *Jones v. Goring*, 5 T. R. 283; see *Furnin v. Gurnell*, 1 M. & Sel. 353.

(p) See *Drew v. Fletcher*, 1 B. & C. 283. (q) *Welsh v. Troughton*, 2 H. Bl. 29; *Tubb v. Wainward*, 6 T. R. 175; *Smith v. O'Kelly*, 1 B. & P. 78; *Dillmore v. Cason*, 1 Bing. 386; 5 Moore, 429, 5 C.; *Bailey v. Chitty*, 5 Dowl. 307, 2 M. & W. 28, 5 C.

(r) See *Bushy v. Pearson*, 3 T. R. 235; *Burney v. Tubb*, 2 H. Bl. 322; *Jones v. Goring*, 5 T. R. 283; *Re v. Dinnery*, 5 T. R. 342; *Harcourt v. Lister*, 3 B. & P. 617; *Bullock v. Pitter*, 3 B. & Ald. 210; *Reeves v. Stroud*, 1 Dowl. 398. Under the Middlesex Court of Requests Act, the plaintiff need not be resident within the jurisdiction. *Pritchard v. McGill*, 2 M. & W. 380; but the defendant must, and the cause of action must have arisen within it. (*Wells v. Longbridge*, 5 Dowl. 288; *Francis v. Hall*, B. C., M. 1839 3 J. 1077). But it seems that the affidavit in support of the application for a suggestion need not state that it arose within the jurisdiction, it rests with the plaintiff to show this. (*Bishop v. Marsh*, C. P. M. 1839; 3 Jurist, 1809). As to what is making a livelihood, &c., within the meaning of the London Court of Concurrence Act, see *Doubt v. Oliffe*, 1 Dowl. 283 1 C. & M. 248, 5 C., and cases there cited. *Rice v. Legg*, 2 Id. 102. Under the Gravesend Court of Requests Act, the plaintiff will not be deprived of costs, though the defendant reside within the jurisdiction of that court, if the cause of action occurred elsewhere. (*Gray v. James*, M. 1838; *Bail Court*, Q. B., Coleridge, J., 2 Jurist, 1838).

(s) See *Pritchard v. McGill*, 2 M. & W.

280.

(t) *Cress v. Collins*, 3 Bagg. W. C. 10; *Burton v. Whalley*, 5 C. & P. 348; *Ball v. Oliver*, 1 Dowl. 288, and note cited in notes, 1 C. & M. 218, 5 C.; *Moore v. Jones*, 2 Dowl. 37; *Young v. Whaley*, 4 Taunt. 342; *Went v. Lumsden*, 3 B. & C. 273; *Drew v. Chalm*, 1 Dowl. 288; *Bullock v. Pitter*, 3 B. & Ald. 210; *Reeves v. Stroud*, 1 Dowl. 398; 5 Moore, 429, 5 C.; *Shadlock v. Bennett*, 7 D. & R. 228, 5 C. & C. 779, 5 C.

(u) *Wells v. Longbridge*, 5 Dowl. 288; *Turner v. Barnard*, 5 Dowl. 178.

(x) *Walker v. Watson*, 3 Bagg. 104; *Moore & Co. v. C. C. Clark v. Adams*, East, 28; *Horn v. Hughes*, Id. 347; *Francis v. Young*, 1 Taunt. 69; see *Porter v. Phigot*, 14 East, 344; *M'Callan v. Gray*, 1 B. & P. 223; *Harcourt v. Lister*, 3 B. & C. 257; 7 Moore, 429, 5 C.; *Abby v. M. 3 Bing. 288*; 2 M. & P. 284, 5 C.

(y) *Turner v. Barnard*, 5 Dowl. 178; 1 H. & W. 549, 5 C.; vide *Parsons v. Rogers*, 3 Dowl. 788, note, 278.

(z) *Lord Huntingtower v. Smith*, 7 B. & C. 282; *Rothery v. Mansbridge*, 1 B. & Adol. 18 a.

(a) *Burton v. Smith*, 14 East, 288.

(b) *Pitt v. Carpenter*, 2 Ser. 128; *Gray v. Fisher*, 3 Wils. 42; *Jamison v. Jamison*, 1 M. & W. 308; 1 T. & G. 69; 5 Dowl. 74, 5 C., which was on a bill before the sheriff. And see *Chad v. Chad*, 1 Chit. 284; *Cottle v. Longman*, 3 Bagg. 285; *Bailey v. Chitty*, 2 M. & W. 28; 5 Dowl. 307, 5 C.; *Jones v. Harris*, 1 Bagg. 274. After, if the set-off is not pleaded.

(c) *Howard v. Hopkins*, 3 Bagg. 288; *Went v. Lumsden*, 3 Bagg. 288; 5 Moore, 14, 5 C.; *Dennis v. Day*, 1 B. & W. 648.

amount (*d*). Sometimes the act expressly excepts a demand originally exceeding the limited amount: and where a verdict was given for 2*l.* 8*s.* 6*d.* for goods sold, after deducting 19*s.* 6*d.* for tuition and money payments; it was held, that the claim was a balance of an account on a demand originally exceeding 5*l.* within the 47 *G.* 3, s. 1, c. 4 (Blackheath Act); therefore no suggestion to deprive the plaintiff of costs could be entered (*e*). But it has been held, under the 6 & 7 *W.* 4, 120, s. 22, (new Blackheath Act), which excepts a debt for any sum being the balance of an account originally exceeding 5*l.*," that the jurisdiction extends to cases where the debtor side of the account amounts to above 5*l.*, and the balance has been reduced by occasional payments below that sum, if it appear that so much as 5*l.* was not at any time due (*f*).

Executors and administrators, as defendants, are not in general within any of these statutes (*g*); but as plaintiffs they are (*h*); nor are attornies, either as plaintiffs or defendants, unless specially named therein (*ante*, 847). But assignees of bankrupt are (*i*); so are barristers (*k*).

How Court of Conscience Acts must be taken advantage of.

Where the statute prescribes a particular mode of proceeding, to enable the defendant to avail himself of it, he must follow that mode, and the court will not permit him to follow any other (*l*). If the statute creating the court of conscience contain a *prohibitory clause*, declaring that no action shall be brought elsewhere for the causes of action therein mentioned, the statute in such a case may be offered as a defence, pleading it specially; and though the statute gives no form of plea, yet it may be pleaded (*m*). Therefore, if the statute gives the defendant leave to plead the matter specially, as the means of taking advantage of it, if he omit to plead it, he will lose the benefit of the statute. Thus, where the act provided that in cases within the jurisdiction of the court of requests, where, upon the trial, the debt, &c., should be found not to amount to 40*s.*, no judgment should be entered on the verdict, and if it were entered should be void, and the defendant should have costs; it was held, that the defendant could not take advantage of the act, by suggestion on the roll, but was bound to plead it in bar (*n*). Such plea, however, will be bad *non obstante veredicto*, if the statute be repealed in the course of the suit (*o*). On the other hand, where the statute contains no such *prohibitory* clause, it cannot be pleaded; the mode of taking advantage of it in that case is, by the defendant's

(*d*) *Jordan v. Strong*, 5 M. & Sel. 196.

(*e*) *Morreu v. Hicks*, 1 Harr. & W. 87: and see *Green v. Bolton*, 4 Bing. N. C. 388; 6 Dowl. 476, S. C. (decided on the Tower Hamlets Court of Requests Act); and *Fountain v. Young*, 1 Taunt. 60 (decided on the then Southwark Court of Requests Act).

(*f*) *Pope v. Banyard*, 6 Dowl. 571.

(*g*) *Ailhouy v. Burrows*, 1 Doug. 263: see *Wass v. Wyburd*, *Id.* 246: *Webb v. Brown*, 5 T. R. 535.

(*h*) *Wass v. Wyburd*, 1 Doug. 246: *Bishop v. Marsh*, C. P., M. 1839; 3 Jurist, 1000.

(*i*) *Kemp v. Rigg*, 1 B. & P. 11: *Ward v. Abrahams*, 1 B. & Ald. 367.

(*k*) *Wettenhall v. Wakefield*, 10 Bing. 385; 3 Mon. & Sc. 805; 2 Dowl. 750, S. C.

(*l*) *Taylor v. Blair*, 3 T. R. 452; 1 East, 352, S. C.: and see *Anlice v. Liley*, 1 M. & Ry. 514: *Clark v. Hamlet*, 1 H. & W. 177: *West v. Turner*, 1 Nev. & P. 617.

(*m*) *Parker v. Elding*, 1 East, 352: *Barney v. Tubbs*, 2 H. Bl. 350: *Jackman v. Cother*, 5 M. & W. 147; Tidd, 9th ed. 960. It seems that the plea need not be to the jurisdiction of the court. (See *West v. Turner*, per Denman, C. J., 1 Nev. & P. 617: see the principle in *Moore v. Dent*, 1 M. & Rob. 402: *Defries v. Snell* 4 Dowl. 681).

(*n*) *Jackman v. Cother*, 5 M. & W. 147.

(*o*) *Watts v. Boreasford*, 6 Dowl. 157.

court or requests, still the court will not, it see of the sum, relieve the defendant from costs before leave him to apply to enter a suggestion, or if the case may be (s). The application to enter must not be made *before* (t) verdict, but not after it, or after the execution of the writ of in a judgment by default; it is too late to make after judgment signed and execution levied, it been made in that term (u). Where a judge in pursuance of the 1 W. 4, c. 7, (*ante*, Vol. 1. 3) the plaintiff shall have execution within a day if judgment is thereupon entered and execution levied, defendant is not precluded from applying in the next term to the court above to enter a suggestion, but a judge at the assizes has no power to order it. So, the defendant is not precluded from applying for a suggestion entered, though the cause was taken by the sheriff with the defendant's consent, and the costs have been taxed, final judgment signed, and execution levied. And in such a case it is not necessary that there be a previous order to stay the proceedings (z). So, if judgment by default is signed in vacation and execution levied, defendant may, nevertheless, apply to the court in the next term to have such suggestion entered on condition of paying the plaintiff's costs since the judgment by default would, it seems, be imposed in other cases, and the only mode of avoiding them is, before the judgment is signed, to obtain a judge's order to stay the proceedings until the next term.

Where Defendant has been taken by default. Lastly, where the plaintiff does not recover, which he has holden the defendant to bail the defendant may apply to the court to have the judgment set aside.

by the parties by affidavit, it shall appear to the court CHAP. XXXII.
 there was no reasonable or probable cause for holding the
 defendant to bail for that amount (b).

The Motion &c. by Defendant to enter Suggestion for Costs.
 The motion for leave to enter the suggestion, is for a rule to
 cause why the plaintiff should not bring the postea into court
 every in the roll, so that the defendant may enter a sugges-
 tion thereon, and that all proceedings be stayed in the meantime.

For a brief motion paper to counsel, accompanied with the
 affidavit (c). The affidavit must clearly bring the case within
 the jurisdiction (d), and, in general, should expressly state that the
 defendant was liable to be summoned to the court of re-

quest (e). And where the act applies to defendant's residing
 within the jurisdiction, the affidavit ought to shew that the
 defendant was residing there at the time of action brought (f).

Where the act requires it, it should state that the cause of
 action arose within the jurisdiction. But, in a case under
 the Middlesex Court of Requests Act, the Court of Common

Law held that the affidavit was sufficient without this state-
 ment, and that it rested on the plaintiff to make out the
 case, if he could (g). The copy of the writ of summons,

indorsed to, and referred to by, the affidavit, and indorsed for
 2s. 5d. debt, is sufficient evidence of the action being for
 debt not exceeding £5 (h). The record need not, it

may be produced in court, for the purpose of making the
 suggestion (i). On the rule nisi being obtained, draw it up
 before one of the masters, and serve a copy upon the plaintiff's

attorney or agent, at the same time shewing him the original;
 then proceed to make the rule absolute upon affidavit of
 due diligence (j). As soon as it is made absolute, get the suggestion drawn

up by counsel or pleader (k): indorse it upon the Nisi Prius record,
 get one of the masters to enter it upon the roll. If the statute
 requires the defendant costs, give notice to the plaintiff's attorney of

the time of taxing them; bespeak the roll of the masters, and
 deliver before one of them with the postea, who will thereupon tax
 the costs, and mark them upon the postea and roll. If the roll

has not been carried in, and the plaintiff refuses to carry it in,
 he may apply to the court by motion, or to a judge on summons, to
 compel him to deliver it up to you, in order to enable you to enter

the suggestion thereon. It affords no answer to such an applica-
 tion, that the plaintiff's attorney has absconded with it, or
 is otherwise like (l). This suggestion may be traversed or demurred
 to by the plaintiff (m).

43 G. 3, c. 46, s. 3. See this statute, 1148, and see the cases there cited. the form of suggestion, Chit. Forms,

See form of affidavit and rules, Chit. Forms, 641, 642.

(b) *Newton v. Peacock*, 1 Dowl. 677.

(c) *Unwin v. King*, 2 Dowl. 492; *Fos- v. Godfrey*, Id. 587.

(d) *Moreau v. Hicks*, 1 Harr. & W. 87; *Bond v. Bailey*, 3 Dowl. 848. As to an affidavit of this fact is sufficient,

see *Burton v. Campbell*, 6 Dowl. 451.

(g) *Bishop v. Marsh*, C. P., M. 1839; 3 Jurist, 1000.

(h) *Burton v. Campbell*, 6 Dowl. 451.

(i) *Kidd v. Mason*, 3 Dowl. 85.

(k) See form, Chit. Forms, 641 to 645.

(l) See *Jones v. Harris*, 1 Dowl. 433.

(m) *Jeffries v. Watts*, 1 New Rep. 157; *Hickman v. Culley*, Andr. 380. *Barney v. Tubb*, 2 H. Bl. 354; and see *Hickman v. Culley*, 2 Str. 1120; *Bartlett v. Pentland*, 1 B. & Adol. 710.

CHAPTER XXXIII.

DEATH, BANKRUPTCY, &c., OF PARTIES.

What Actions survive to or against Executors or Administrators, 1178.

Death before Verdict or Judgment by Default, 1180.

Death after Verdict and before Final Judgment, 1181.

Death between Interlocutory and Final Judgment, *id.*

Death after Final Judgment,

1182.

Death after Execution, 1182.

Death after a Writ of Habeas Corpus, *id.*

Death of Defendant, how far Discharge of his Estate, 1182.

Bankruptcy of Parties, 1182.

Marriage of Feme Pleign Defendant, *id.*

BOOK IV.
PART I.

What Actions survive to or against Executors or Administrators.

Where Executors may sue or be sued for Injuries to Persons, or personal Property.

What Actions survive to or against Executors or Administrators.] BEFORE we consider the effect the death of a party has upon a suit, it will be necessary to ascertain what actions survive to or against their executors or administrators.

Actio personalis moritur cum personâ, is a rule that admits of many exceptions (*a*). All such personal actions as are founded upon any obligation, contract, debt, covenant, or any other duty to be performed, survive, and do not die with the person, but may, by the common law, be brought by or against the personal representatives of the deceased parties (*b*). One species of contract, however, is within the rule above mentioned, *viz.* a promise of marriage, an action for the breach of which cannot be maintained by an executor or administrator, except, perhaps, where a special damage to the personal estate of the deceased has been caused by its breach (*c*). Account did not lie at common law for or against an executor, &c.; but it is given to executors by stat. *Westm. 2nd*, (13 Ed. 1), *s. 1, c. 23*; and against executors by the 4 & 5 A. c. 16, *s. 27*. So, debt on simple contract did not lie against an executor (*d*); unless on a contract made by him in his representative capacity (*e*); but debt for rent, and *assumpsit* upon the simple contract of the testator, always did (*f*). And now, by the recent act, 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, *s. 14*, "an action of debt on simple contract shall be maintainable in any court of common law against any executor or administrator." An executor may support debt for not setting out tithes (*g*). So, replevin or detinue will lie for or against executors, where the goods taken away continue still in specie in the hands of the wrong-doer, or of his executor (*h*); or, if they be consumed, then, an action for money

(a) See 1 Chit. Pl. 5th ed. 68, 82.

(b) *Mason v. Dixon*, Latch. 168; *Perkinson v. Gifford*, Cro. Car. 540; *Humbly v. Trott*, Cowp. 375.

(c) *Chamberlain v. Williamson*, 2 M. & Sel. 416.

(d) Co. 87; *Humbly v. Trott*, Cowp. 375.

(e) *Riddell v. Sutton*, 2 Moo. & P. 328; 5 Bing. 200, S. C.

(f) 9 C. 17 b; *Northwood v. Reed*, Fowl. 180.

(g) *Holl v. Bradford*, 1 Sid. 88; 2 Egle. 307.

(h) *Le Mason v. Dixon*, W. Jan. 173.

and received, to recover the value (i). So, where the goods of a testator have been carried away in his lifetime, the executor may afterwards maintain trespass against the wrongdoer (4 *Ed.* 3, c. 7); and the same as to administrators, (31 *Ed.* 3, c. 11), and executors of executors (k). These statutes construed as giving the same remedies to executors, &c., in injuries to the *personal* estate that the deceased might have (l); so that they may have trespass or trover (m), action for a false return (n), action for an escape (o), action for removing goods taken in execution before the landlord (the testator) was paid a year's rent (p), action against an attorney for negligence (q), or any other action of the like kind, for injuries done to the personal estate of the testator in his lifetime (r). But these statutes do not extend to injuries done to the *person* of the testator; and therefore an executor shall not have an action for assault and battery, false imprisonment, slander, or other actions of the like kind (s). Nor can an executor be sued, where the cause of action is founded upon a tort to the *person* where the plea must be "not guilty;" such as false imprisonment, assault and battery, and slander (t).

The above statutes of 4 *Ed.* 3, c. 7 and 31 *Ed.* 3, c. 11, and 1 *Ed.* 3, c. 5, did not extend to injuries done to the *freehold* of the testator, and, therefore, an executor could not sue for converting a watercourse, obstructing lights, cutting trees, or other actions of the like kind (u). And an executor could not be sued where the cause of action was founded upon any malfeasance or nonfeasance, or where it was a tort, or arose *ex delicto*; such as trespass for taking goods, &c., trover, deceit, larceny, and many other cases of the like kind, where the declaration imputes a tort done to the person or property of the plaintiff by the deceased, and the plea must have been "not guilty" (x). Yet, if the plaintiff's goods were taken away by the testator, and still continued in specie in the hands of the executor, replevin or detinue would always, as it still will, lie against the executor (y); or, if they be consumed, then, an action for money had and received, to recover the value (z). And now, by the 3 & 4 *W.* 4, c. 42, s. 2, reciting, that "there is no remedy provided by law for injuries to the real estate of any person deceased, committed in his lifetime, nor for certain wrongs done by a person deceased in his lifetime to another, in respect of his property, real or personal; for remedy thereof," it is enacted, "that an action of trespass, or trespass on the case,

When Executors may sue or be sued for Injuries to real Property.

(i) *Hambly v. Trott*, Cowp. 377.

(k) 25 *Ed.* 3, c. 5.

(l) *Mason v. Dixon*, Latch. 168.

(m) 5 Co. 27 a: *Le Mason v. Dixon*, W. Jon. 174.

(n) *Williams v. Cary*, 4 Mod. 403.

(o) *Berwick v. Andrews*, 2 Ld. Raym. 974.

(p) *Pulgrave v. Wyndham*, 1 Str. 212.

(q) *Knight v. Quarles*, 2 B. & B. 103; 4 Moore, 232, S. C.

(r) See also *Rutland v. Rutland*, Cro. J. 377; *Emerson v. Emerson*, 1 Vent. 187; *Le Mason v. Dixon*, W. Jon. 174;

Berwick v. Andrews, 2 Ld. Raym. 974.

(s) *Le Mason v. Dixon*, W. Jon. 174; *Mason v. Dixon*, Latch. 168; *Emerson v. Emerson*, 1 Vent. 187. As to when the

breach of contract was an injury to the person, &c., see *Chamberlain v. Williams*, 2 C., M. & R. 597; 2 Will's Exors., 2nd ed. 567.

(t) *Le Mason v. Dixon*, W. Jon. 174; *Mason v. Dixon*, Latch. 167, 168; *Hole v. Bradford*, Ld. Raym. 57; *Carter v. Fosatt*, Palm. 330; *Perkinson v. Gilford*, Cro. Car. 540; *Kinsey v. Heyward*, 1 Ld. Raym. 433; *Hambly v. Trott*, Cowp. 375.

(u) *Le Mason v. Dixon*, W. Jon. 174; *Mason v. Dixon*, Latch. 168; *Emerson v. Emerson*, 1 Vent. 187.

(x) See the cases cited in note (r), *supra*.

(y) *Le Mason v. Dixon*, W. Jon. 173, 174.

(z) *Hambly v. Trott*, Cowp. 377.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

as the case may be, may be maintained by the executors or administrators of any person deceased, for any injury to the real estate of such person, committed in his lifetime, for which an action might have been maintained by such person, so as such injury shall have been committed within six calendar months before the death of such deceased person, and provided such action shall be brought within one year after the death of such person : and the damages, when recovered, shall be part of the personal estate of such person ; and further, that an action of trespass, or trespass on the case, as the case may be, may be maintained against the executors or administrators of any person deceased for any wrong committed by him in his lifetime to another, in respect of his property, real or personal, so as such injury shall have been committed within six calendar months before such person's death, and so as such action shall be brought within six calendar months after such executors or administrators shall have taken upon themselves the administration of the estate and effects of such person ; and the damages to be recovered in such action shall be payable in like order of administration as the simple contract debts of such person." It will be observed, that this enactment has some limitations, and does not extend to injuries to the *person*. Although the statute expressly gives an action in form *ex delicto*, yet, where an action *ex contractu* would lie before the statute, it may still be brought. Therefore, where the testator had wrongfully taken coal from the plaintiff's land, and sold it, and received the proceeds, though no direct evidence was given of the sum received, but merely of the fact of the sale, it was held, that the plaintiff might bring money had and received, for so much as was raised before the six months, and trespass under the above act, for so much as was raised within the six months (a). If an action be brought by a termor upon the 7 & 8 G. 4, c. 31, for an injury done to his house, within three calendar months from the offence committed, and that action abates by the death of the termor, after the three months have expired, his executor cannot, it seems, bring a fresh action (b). And it is a matter of doubt, whether an executor of a termor can, in any case, bring an action upon that statute for an injury sustained in the lifetime of his testator (c).

Death before
Verdict or
Judgment by
Default.

Death before Verdict or Judgment by Default.] If a sole plaintiff or defendant die before verdict or judgment by default, the action abates, and the plaintiff or his executor is obliged to commence a new action against the defendant or his executor, provided the cause of action survive to or against the executor (d). But where a person admitted to defend alone as landlord in ejectment died before trial, having devised all his real estates to J. S., and the Statute of Limitations prevented the lessor of the plaintiff from bringing a fresh ejectment, the court, upon application, gave the lessor of the plaintiff leave

(a) *Poorell v. Rees*, 2 Nev. & P. 571; 7 Ad. & E. 426, S. C.

(b) *Adam v. Inhabitants of Bristol*, 4 Nev. & M. 144; 2 Ad. & Ell. 389, S. C.

(c) See 1 Williams on Executors, 2nd ed. 585.

(d) See *Cutfield v. Conroy*, 2 Wils. 28; *Wallop v. Irvine*, 1 Wils. 315; *Tyler v. Harris*, 3 B. & P. 549. As to when the death takes place during the sittings or sittings, see ante, 222.

ign judgment against the casual ejector in the old suit, CHAP. XXXIII
as J. S. would appear and defend the action as landlord(*d*).
ere a sole plaintiff dies pending the action, it seems the
er course for the defendant to take, if the action be con-
ed, is by plea in abatement or writ of error, according to
stage of the cause. The Court of Exchequer refused to
st the judgment or to stay the *postea* in the hands of the
ciate, though circumstances were brought before them
wing a strong probability of the plaintiff's having died
ore the trial(*e*).

Where there are several plaintiffs or defendants, and some Of one of several
them die, if the cause of action survive to or against the
era, the action does not abate ; but the death being suggested
on the roll, the action proceeds by or against the survi-
s(*f*). But in an action by husband and wife for money lent
the wife before marriage, the death of the wife before trial
s holden to abate the suit(*g*).

Death after Verdict and before Final Judgment.] If a sole Death after Verdict and before final Judgment.
uintiff or defendant die after verdict, or even after the assizes
gin, or after the first day of the sittings, though before the
al, and before final judgment, the action is not thereby
sted ; but final judgment is signed within two terms, as if
e party were alive, and then revived by *scire facias* by or
ainst the executor, &c.(*h*). See fully as to where and how
e judgment in this case must be entered, &c., *ante*, 821, 822.
ie action would not, in this case, be abated, although it were
r a cause of action, as for a libel, &c., which could not be
iginally brought by an executor(*i*).

So, if one of several plaintiffs or defendants die after verdict Of one of several
d before judgment, the action does not abate ; but the death
ing suggested on the roll(*k*), judgment is entered by or
ainst the survivors, and execution sued out accordingly(*l*).

Although the plaintiff has died after verdict, the court may, New Trial after.
seems, grant a new trial on the application of the defendant,
d would in such case impose terms on him to prevent his
king advantage of the plaintiff's death(*m*).

Death between Interlocutory and Final Judgment.] If a sole Death be- tween Inter- locutory and Final Judg- ment.
aintiff or defendant die after judgment by default, and before
ial judgment, the action shall not abate, if it be such as
ight originally be prosecuted by or against the executors(*n*);
at the judgment may be revived by *scire facias*, and the
rties may thereupon proceed to final judgment(*o*). The
urt, in such a case, before the recent rule of *H. T.*, 4 *W.* 4, *r.* 2,
ante, Vol. I. 341), referred it to the master to compute principal
d interest on a bill of exchange, during the same term in
hich the plaintiff died, without a *scire facias*; because the
Of sole Plain- tiff or Defen- dant.

(d) *Dee Grubb v. Grubb*, 5 B. & C. 457.

(e) *Johnson v. Hamilton*, 4 Dowl. 762.

(f) *Ante*, 1171, 1172.

(g) *Checchi v. Powell*, 6 B. & C. 253.

(h) 17 Car. 2, c. 8: *ante*, 821, 822.

(i) *Palmer v. Cohen*, 2 B. & Adol. 968:

Copley v. Day, 4 Taunt. 702: *Toulmin*

Anderson, 1 Id. 385: *Toussaint v.*

Hartop, 1 Moore, 287; 7 Taunt. 571, S. C.

(k) See forms of suggestions, Chit. Forms, 638, 639.

(l) *Ante*, 823.

(m) *Griffiths v. Williams*, 1 C. & J. 47.

(n) See *Ireland v. Champneys*, 4 Taunt.

884, 858: *Wallop v. Jewin*, 1 Wils. 315.

(o) *Ante*, 823.

BOOK IV.
PART I.Of one of
several.Death after
Final Judgment.

Of sole Plaintiff or Defendant.

Of one of
several.Death after
Execution.Death after a
Writ of Error.Death of Defendant, how
far a Discharge of his
Bail.

final judgment would be signed as of the same term, and, having relation to the first day of it, would appear to have been signed before the plaintiff's death (*p*); but since that rule, as judgments have not relation to the first day of the term, but only to the day on which they are actually signed, this would not be permitted.

So, if one of several plaintiffs or defendants die after judgment by default and before final judgment, the action does not abate; but the death being suggested on the roll, the action proceeds by or against the survivors (*q*).

Death after Final Judgment.] If a sole plaintiff or defendant die after final judgment, and before execution, the action is not thereby abated; but the judgment must be revived by *scire facias* by or against the executors, &c. (*r*).

But where there are several plaintiffs or defendants, and some of them die after final judgment and before execution, execution may be sued out by or against the survivors, in the names of all; or, upon suggesting the death upon the roll, execution may be sued out by or against the survivors by name; or, where it is desired to have execution by *elegit* of the lands of a deceased defendant, the judgment may be revived by *scire facias* against his heirs and terretenants, and against the surviving defendants, and an *elegit* thereupon sued out against the lands of the deceased, and the lands and goods of the survivors (*s*).

Death after Execution.] If plaintiff die while defendant is charged in execution, and administration is not taken out to the plaintiff, the court will discharge the defendant, unless cause be shewn by the next of kin to the contrary (*t*). Where either party dies in execution, the other may sue out execution afresh against his land or goods (*u*). See fully as to the effect of death of plaintiff after execution, *ante*, 818, 812; of defendant, *Vol. I.* 620, 397.

Death after a Writ of Error.] The death of a plaintiff in error, before errors assigned, abates the writ; but if it happen after the assignment of errors, it does not (*x*). The death of a defendant in error, however, in no case abates the writ; but the death being suggested on the roll, the writ proceeds against the survivor; or, if all the defendants die, the executors or administrators may be made parties by the *scire facias ad audiendum errores* (*y*).

Death of Defendant, how far a Discharge of his Bail.] If the principal die at any time before the return of the *ca. sa.*, the bail are thereby discharged; but if he have not been arrest-

(*p*) *Berger v. Green*, 1 M. & Sel. 229: see *Culbert v. Tomlin*, 5 Bing. 1, 5; 2 Moo. & P. 1 S. C.: *ante* 679.

(*q*) *Ante*, 1171. See form, Chit. Forms, 640: see *Fort v. Oliver*, 1 M. & Sel. 242.

(*r*) *Ante*, 819.

(*s*) *Ante*, 1171.

(*t*) *Parkinson v. Horlock*, 2 N. R. 240: *Broughton v. Martin*, 1 B. & P. 176: *Wagstaffe v. Darby*, Barnes, 370.

(*u*) 21 Jac. 1, c. 24: see *Farncombe v. Kent*, 2 Dowl. 464.

(*x*) Vol. I. 354.

(*y*) Vol. I. 354, 355.

on the *ca. sa.*, and die after it is returnable, the bail are discharged (*z*). This, however, has reference only to bail to the sheriff; bail in error are liable, notwithstanding the death of the principal. See also in what cases the death of the defendant is a discharge of the bail to the sheriff, *Vol. I.* 567. CHAP. XXXIII

Bankruptcy of Parties.] We have already seen how far bankruptcy of parties abates the action (*ante*, 825, 826). Bankruptcy of Parties.
If a defendant become a bankrupt, and obtain his certificate before his bail are fixed, the bail are thereby discharged (*a*); and the same, it seems, as to bail to the sheriff (*b*). And if a bankrupt be in custody in execution, and obtain his certificate, he may be discharged upon application to the court wherein judgment was obtained, or to a judge at chambers (*c*). Also, before the bankrupt has obtained his certificate, a creditor at whose suit he is in custody cannot prove his debt under the writ until he have first relinquished his action against the debtor, and all benefit whatever from the same (*d*). Nor can a creditor, who has taken his debtor in execution, sue out a fiat of bankruptcy against him for the same debt (*e*).

Marriage of Feme Plaintiff or Defendant.] The marriage of a feme sole plaintiff renders the suit abateable, but the defendant, to take advantage of it, must plead it specially (*f*). Marriage of Feme Plaintiff or Defendant.
If a feme sole plaintiff obtain judgment, and marry before execution, a *scire facias* must be sued out in order to make the husband a party to the judgment (*g*). So, if a feme sole defendant, after judgment against her, marry before execution, a *scire facias* will be necessary, in order to make the husband a party to the judgment, so as to have execution against both (*g*); or a *ca. sa.* may be sued out against the wife alone (*h*). Where a feme sole defendant in ejectment married before trial, and judgment was signed and writ of possession and *fi. fa.* issued against her, the court refused to set them aside; inasmuch as the judgment and writ of possession were not irregular, and the *fi. fa.* was imperative (*i*). But if a feme sole plaintiff in error marry pending the writ, the writ is thereby wholly abated (*k*).

As to the effect of marriage on an action in general, see *ante*, 896, &c.

(*z*) Vol. I. 630.

(*a*) Vol. I. 620, 621.

(*b*) Id. 568, 571.

(*c*) 6 G. 4, c. 16, s. 126: and see *ante*, 77.

(*d*) 6 Geo. 4, c. 16, s. 59: and see *ante*, 903, 904.

(*e*) *Cohen v. Cunningham*, 8 T. R. 123:

see *M'Master v. Kell*, 1 B. & P. 302.

(*f*) *Morgan v. Painter*, 6 T. R. 265, *per cur.*: *Holles v. Freer*, 2 Bing. N. C. 719.

(*g*) *Ante*, 824.

(*h*) *Cooper v. Hunchin*, 4 East, 521.

(*i*) *Doe v. Butcher*, 3 M. & Sel. 557.

(*k*) Vol. I. 355.

CHAPTER XXXIV.

MOTIONS AND RULES.

- SECT. 1. *Rules granted upon Motion by Counsel, 1184.*
 2. *Rules granted without Motion by Counsel, 1195.*
 3. *Enforcing Rules for Payment of Money, Costs &c., under 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 18, 1196.*

SECT. 1.

Rules granted upon Motion by Counsel.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

On what Side
of the Court.

RULES granted upon motion by counsel are granted in the Queen's Bench, either on the *plea* side, or on the *crown* side of the court. There is no *crown* side in the Common Pleas or Exchequer of Pleas. But rules for attachment in cases of contempts, &c., which are indeed of a criminal nature, though having relation to a civil suit, may be moved for in any one of the courts, and they shall be considered in a subsequent part of the Work, where we shall have to treat of attachment generally.

Rules on the plea side of the courts are common or special: the former being obtained from the master without any assistance of counsel, the latter being obtained through means of that assistance.

Rules granted
upon Motion
by Counsel of
three Kinds.

Rules granted upon the plea side, upon motion by counsel, may be classed under the following heads:—1st. Those which are granted upon the motion paper being merely signed by counsel, without any motion being actually made in court;—2ndly, Those which are considered so much as a matter of course, that the grounds of the motion are not particularized by counsel, and where in some instances counsel may hand the motion paper to one of the masters, without making the motion *verba*;—and 3rdly, Those which are granted upon the grounds of the motion being particularized by counsel.

Rules absolute
in the
first instance,
or rules to
shew cause, &c.

Rules Absolute in the first Instance, or Verba, how obtained, &c.]
 The first class of the above rules, namely, those which are granted upon the mere signature of counsel, are absolute in the first instance, and may be obtained thus:—Give the motion paper signed by counsel; take it to the master's office, and draw up the rule; serve a copy of the rule upon the opposite attorney.

The remaining two classes of the above rules are either absolute in the first instance, or rules to shew cause. If absolute in the first instance, they are obtained thus:—Let an affidavit be made of the facts necessary to support the application, (see post. LXXV), annex it to the motion paper, and endorse the latter or

actly as to the nature of the rule required. (As to before whom he affidavit should be made see *post*, Chap. 36). Then give the motion paper and affidavit to counsel, who, after signing it, will either give it to one of the masters, or move it in court before the single judge, sitting in pursuance of the 11 Geo. 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 70, s. 1, according to the nature of the motion. The motion paper and affidavit, however, must be handed in to one of the masters, whether the rule be granted or refused. If the rule be granted, call in the evening at the master's office, and draw up the rule, and serve a copy of it upon the attorney or agent of the opposite party, as directed *post*, 1188.

CHAP. XXXI
SECT. I.

If the rule required be a rule *nisi* only, give the motion paper, with the affidavit annexed, to counsel, who will move it accordingly. The motion, unless in cases of criminal information, new trials, in arrest of judgment, and other very special motions, should now in general be made before a single judge, sitting in pursuance of the 11 G. 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 70, s. 1. If granted, draw up the rule with one of the masters, and serve a copy of it as hereafter directed (a).

The affidavit upon which the motion is founded must be made before the rule is moved for, and produced in court at the time of making the motion, and must be filed or deposited with the masters, otherwise the rule shall not be drawn up, or, if drawn up, shall be of no force or effect (b). The affidavits, if not already filed, must be handed in to the master, whether the rule *nisi* be granted or refused (c). And where an affidavit has been sworn in the afternoon before a judge at chambers, after the rising of the full court, the masters will not draw up a rule *nisi* of that day. A party, in order to make use of an affidavit, sworn or filed after he has drawn up and served a rule *nisi*, must, in general, withdraw his motion and move it again (d). But before the rule is drawn up, he may apply to the court to have it drawn up on reading the supplemental affidavit also (e). Sometimes, also, as in motions to stay proceedings on bail bonds, for setting aside an attachment, or against the sheriff on payment of costs, if on shewing cause it be objected that the affidavits on which the rule *nisi* was obtained are informal, as, on account of not swearing in a strictly formal manner to a defence on the merits, or that the application is at the instance of the bail, the court will enlarge the time for discussing the rule, and permit a supplementary affidavit to be produced and filed (f). And in a recent case, the Court of Queen's Bench allowed a fresh affidavit to be filed in support of a rule *nisi* to set aside an award after the rule was obtained (g). If you intend, in arguing the case, to rely on any affidavits in the same cause, already on the files of the court, such affidavits must be specified in the rule *nisi* (h); and it may be

Affidavit in Support of the Rule, when and how made and filed, &c.

(a) See as to the form of a rule *nisi*, Chit. Forms, 649.

(b) R. H., 36 G. 3. See *Williams v. Reeves*, 2 Chit. Rep. 218; *Ditchett v. Tillet*, 3 Price, 259; *Salkway v. Whorewood*, 2 Salk. 461; *Ex p. Dicus*, 2 Dowl. 92.

(c) *Ex p. Dicus*, 2 Dowl. 92; *Ex p. Anderson*, Id. 518.

(d) *Tilly v. Henry*, 1 Chit. Rep. 136; *Shaw v. Mansfield*, 7 Price, 709.

(e) *Per Littledale, J.*, Bail Court, M. 1838, 2 Jurist, 991.

(f) *Merryman v. Quibble*, 1 Chit. Rep. 127; Chit. Sum. Prac. 103; see *Anderson v. FH*, 3 Dowl. 73.

(g) *Perrin v. Kymar*, 1 H. & W. 20; 4 Nev. & M. 477.

(h) MS., E. 1824, per Bayley, J.: *De Woolf v. —*, 2 Chit. Rep. 14.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

right to mention the fact to the court, at the time of the motion. As to when such affidavits cannot be *ex post*, 1192. An affidavit sworn *before* judgment signs been held good on motion to issue execution, notwithstanding a tricky writ of error (*i*). And, in general, it seems to be an objection to an affidavit that it was sworn before the circumstances arose on which the motion is founded, as it could in any way be material at the time (*j*). As to title and *jurat*, and other parts of the affidavit, see *post*, to 1213. If unnecessarily long, the court will sometimes refer it to the masters, and make the party using it pay the costs occasioned by the unnecessary matter (*k*).

What Matters
cannot be
moved on last
Day of Term.

In some cases, where the rule is *nisi* only, you cannot move on the last day of the term, as for an attachment (*l*); or to set aside an award (*m*); or to answer matters in affidavit (*n*); or to stay proceedings (*o*). But where the subject-matter of the motion has occurred at the end of the term, and the party could not complete his affidavits before the day, and the matter is of a nature pressing for immediate decision, the court, or the judge sitting in pursuance of G. 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 73, s. 1, on the last day of the term sometimes grant a rule *nisi* to shew cause in the following week, on an early day, (say a week or more), before a judge at chambers (*p*), or direct the party to apply by summons to a judge at chambers; and such judge would, when required, either make an order, or stay the proceedings till the next term, in order to give the party an opportunity to move the court. And although the full court will not admit a matter of law to be discussed on the last day of the term, in a recent case, allowed cause to be shewn against praying for a writ of restitution, where it was referred to the full court from the Hall Court, and counsel had been unwilling to bring it on till the last day, owing to the press of business at the court, the case being very urgent (*q*). A motion for attachment for non-payment of costs, and against the party for not returning the writ or bringing in the body, is not moved for on the last day of the term (*r*).

Notice of Motion, when
given, and
Effect of.

Previously to moving for a rule *nisi*, a notice of intended motion is sometimes given to the opposite party, particularly where it is desired that time and expense be saved by affording the adverse party an opportunity of shewing cause against it in the first instance, or where there is to induce the court to disallow the costs of proceedings had after such notice, and before motion (*s*). In the Queen's Bench, notice of motion is necessary in the case of

(i) *Bankett v. Bernard*, 4 M. & Sel. 331.

(j) *Read v. Mordaunt*, 4 Dowl. 681: see

Lang v. Cumber, 4 East, 348.

(k) *Lewis v. Westrych*, 3 Dowl. 682.

(l) *Ames*, 3 Smith, 118.

(m) *Natanson v. Crosby*, Tidd, Pract.

2d ed. 408. *Trempe v. Pinner*, Cowp.

23.

(n) *Baily v. Jones*, 1 Chit. Rep. 744. *Re*

p. Ames, 3 Dowl. 297: *Re Turner*, 3

Dowl. 557. The Court of Exchequer has

refused to permit such a motion to be

made so late in the term that the oppo-

site party could not shew cause

before term. (*Ex p. Ames*, *supra*).

(o) *Baily v. Jones*, 1 Chit. 1

Ames, 2 Price, 143.

(p) Chit. Sum. Prac. 106: *see*

v. Fall, 2 Dowl. 68.

(q) *The Strangers v. Lord*, 6 Dowl.

(r) 1 Burr. 651; *Re v. York*

2085. *Re v. the case of William*

ley, and *M. Esop v. M. Insult*, 1 (

242.

(s) Tidd, 441. *see Ames*, 1

And see Chit. Forms, 685.

mation, or to quash a conviction (*t*). It need not, in that court, be given in order to obtain a rule for a stay of proceedings (*u*), unless, perhaps, in the case of a rule under the first section of the Interpleader Act (*x*): but in the Exchequer it is otherwise (*x*); and so in the Common Pleas (*y*); in the Exchequer a two days' notice is requisite (*z*). By the general rule of all the courts of *H. T.*, 2 *W.* 4, *r.* 1, *s.* 68, *tc.*, 1076), "a rule *nisi* for judgment as in case of a non-*est* may be obtained on motion without previous notice, in that case it shall not operate as a stay of proceedings." Where no proceedings have been had for four terms exclusive, a man's notice of motion is in general requisite (*a*): but as the effect of the rule is, that the opposite party may be informed of an intention to take a step in *proceeding to judgment*, it does not apply to applications to set aside proceedings (*b*).

The rule *nisi* thus granted, unless when moved for on the last day of the term, requires the opposite party to shew cause upon some day certain in term, usually three or four days in a town cause, or six days in a country cause, or more, (according to the distance of the opposite party's residence), after it is drawn up; but where the rule is obtained a day before the last day of term, and the transaction to which it relates took place in town, it may be drawn up on the last day of term, and may be made absolute at the sitting of the court on that day. A rule *nisi* for setting aside an award, however, should not be drawn up for the last day of term; for by *R. M.*, 36 *G.* 3, counsel cannot be heard to shew cause against it on that day (*c*). A rule *nisi* granted in court will not be drawn up to shew cause in chambers; at least it is very unusual to do so (*d*).

For what
Time the
Rule should
be drawn up

The rule should be drawn up in such a manner that all those who are to be affected by it, and upon whom it is intended to be served, shall be required to shew cause against it; for the court cannot make an order upon any person, not even the attorney in the cause for payment of costs, unless he is called upon by the rule *nisi* to shew cause against it (*e*).

What Parties
it should in-
clude.

A rule *nisi* cannot be supported or made absolute on a ground different from that stated therein (*f*). Also, by *R. T.*, 10 *G.* 3, *K. B.* (*g*); *R. M.*, 10 *G.* 4, *r.* 2, *C. P.* (*h*), "where a rule to shew cause is obtained in this court for the purpose of setting aside an annuity, the several objections thereto intended to be insisted upon by the counsel at the time of making such rule absolute shall be stated in the said rule to shew cause." So, by *R. E.*, 2 *G.* 4, *K. B.*; *R. M.*, 10 *G.* 4, *r.* 3, *C. P.* (*i*), "where a rule to shew cause is obtained in this court to set aside an award, the several objections thereto intended to be insisted upon at the time of making such rule absolute shall be stated in the rule to shew cause."

Grounds of
the Rule
should be
correctly
stated.

f) *Rex v. Johnson*, *M.*, 22 *Geo.* 3, *Q. B.*; *Id.*, *New. Pract.* 241.
u) *Strutton v. Regan*, 2 *Dowl.* 585: *arruling Fortescue v. Jones*, 1 *Id.* 524.
s) *Smith v. Wheeler*, 3 *Dowl.* 431; 1 *Id.*, 15, *S. C.*
y) See *Rolfe v. Brown*, 1 *Hodges*, 27.
z) *Hannah v. Wyman*, 3 *Dowl.* 673.
a) *Tipton v. Meeks*, 8 *Moore*, 579.
b) *Leamley v. Hempton*, 6 *Dowl.* 558.

(*c*) See *post*, 1254.
(*d*) *Fell v. Fell*, 2 *Dowl.* 88.
(*e*) *Chealyn v. Pearce*, 4 *Dowl.* 693: *Norton v. Curtis*, 3 *Dowl.* 245.
(*f*) See *Smith v. Clarke*, 2 *Dowl.* 218: *post*, 1193.
(*g*) 2 *Fast*, 569.
(*h*) 6 *Bing.* 347.
(*i*) And see 11 *Price*, 57.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

If the rule be drawn up wrong by mistake, the court will order it to be corrected (i).

Amendment
of.

Service of.

Service of.] A copy of the rule nisi, or the rule nisi itself (j), must be served on the party against whom it has been obtained.

Showing Original Rule.

By a general rule of all the courts of *H. T.*, 2 *W. 4*, r. 51, "it shall not be necessary to the regular service of a rule that the original rule should be shewn, unless sight thereof be demanded, except in cases of attachment" (k).

At what Time.

It must be served at or before nine o'clock at night; if served after that hour, the service will be void (l). It cannot be served on a Sunday (m). It must be served a reasonable time before the day specified in it for shewing cause. Where a rule nisi to compute was served at York on the day cause was to be shewn, it was held insufficient to authorize making the rule absolute, even although ten days had elapsed since the service (n). As to enlarging the rule when it is served so late that the party cannot shew cause against it in time, &c., see *post*, 1190.

How.
Personally.

Personal service is required only in the case of a rule nisi for an attachment, or where a rule is served, and the money or other thing required by it is demanded, with a view to obtaining an attachment for the disobedience of it; and in such a case, the original must be shewn to the party at the time the copy is delivered to him. Service on the undersheriff, &c., is, however, sufficient in case of rule against the sheriff (o).

At House or
Place of
Business.

Where personal service is not required, the rule may be left for the party, if he have not appeared to defend in person, at his place of business or dwelling-house, if his family be residing there, though he himself have gone away (p), upon his clerk, or some person who may be presumed to have authority from him to receive it; and if served upon an attorney, it must always be left for him at his chambers or place of business before nine o'clock at night, unless there be some satisfactory reason to the contrary. Service of a rule nisi to compute upon the defendant's mother at his residence has been deemed sufficient (q). Service by leaving the rule with a porter at a club-house, where the party was a member of the club, and the action was on a bill accepted by him payable at the club-house, and it was sworn that his servant called there every day for his letters, &c., was deemed sufficient (r). Where there is a board on the door of the defendant's chambers, desiring all messages and parcels to be left at a particular place, service there will not, perhaps, of itself answer (s); but if it be left there, and the person with whom it is left afterwards says that

(i) *Ante*, 1136.

(j) See *Leaf v. Jones*, 3 Dowl. 315.

(k) In the C. P. the practice was formerly, it seems, otherwise. (*Wye v. Wright*, Barnes, 403; *sed vide Holmes v. Senior*, 4 Moo. & P. 828; 7 Bing. 162, S. C.) It was always the practice in Q. B. (*R. v. Smithers*, 3 T. R. 351; *Bellows v. Poultney*, 6 M. & Sel. 230; 1 Chit. Rep. 466, S. C.); and in Exch. (*Furnstone v. Taylor*, 2 Y. & J. 30).

(l) *R. H.*, 2 *W. 4*, r. 50; *ante*, Vol. I. 32.

(m) *M'Noham v. Smith*, 8 T. R. 86.

(n) *Farrell v. Dale*, 2 Dowl. 14, *per Gurney*, B.

(o) *Ante*, Vol. I. 550.

(p) See *Papett v. Hill*, 2 Dowl. 582.

(q) *Warren v. Smith*, 2 Dowl. 216; and see *Papett v. Hill*, *Id.* 688.

(r) *Ridgway v. Bagston*, 2 Dowl. 113.

(s) *Stout v. Smith*, 1 Dowl. 585.

he gave it to the defendant, that, it seems, will be sufficient (t). Service at the chambers of an attorney on his sundress will, it seems, suffice, if she act as the servant of the attorney, and the affidavit of service state that fact, or the deponent's belief to it (u); otherwise, not. But where it was served at the defendant's chambers on a female servant (x), or on the servant of the laundress, the service was deemed insufficient (y). And service of a rule by putting it under the door of the defendant's (an attorney's) chambers is, it seems, not sufficient, although the laundress afterwards stated that the defendant would probably have the rule in the course of the day (z). So, service of a rule by leaving it at chambers in college where there is no person there to receive it, is not sufficient (a). Nor is service at a house or place of business which the defendant has left (b). Nor is service on a workman on "the defendant's premises" (c). Nor is service on his landlady at his lodgings (d), unless it be afterwards ascertained that the party has received it.

Where a copy of a rule nisi was sent in a letter by post to the defendant with the rule itself, and the latter was returned indorsed, "received a copy of the within rule," and signed by the defendant, the service was held to be sufficient (e). By Post.

In the case of a prisoner, service with the turnkey of the prison in which he is detained will suffice (f). On Prisoner.

Where several suffer judgment by default, in an action on a promissory note they acknowledge a joint cause of action, and that *quoad hoc* they are partners; service, therefore, on one is service for all (g). On one of several.

In all cases, even where personal service is required, any irregularity in it is deemed to be waived by the party's moving to enlarge the rule (h), or appearing to shew cause against it (i). But by appearing he does not waive any irregularity in the copy of the rule served, as that it is not intitled in the cause, or the like (k). Irregularities in Service, how waived.

Sometimes, in the absence of the opposite party, or where his residence is unknown, the court will make it part of the rule that it be served in a particular manner. Where regular service of a rule is endeavoured to be dispensed with on the ground of absence or otherwise, the affidavit must shew what efforts have been made to serve the party before secondary service will be allowed (l). Service of a rule by sticking it up in the office will not be allowed upon an affidavit that the attorney's residence is unknown, unless it is also sworn that the party's residence is unknown (m). Where, on account of Substitution of Service, where Residence unknown, &c.

(t) *Engleheart v. Morgan*, 1 Dowl. 422.
(u) *Kent v. Jones*, 3 Dowl. 210; *Williams v. Passmore*, Id. 211, n.
(v) *Almon v. Walker*, 3 Dowl. 252.
(y) *Smith v. Sparr*, 2 Dowl. 231; and see *Dodd v. Drummond*, 1 Dowl. 381; *Hunt v. Smith*, Id. 506.
(z) *Strutton v. Hawks*, 3 Dowl. 25.
(a) *Chaffers v. Glover*, 5 Dowl. 81.
(b) *Black v. Cloup*, 4 Dowl. 270; *Castle v. Severly*, 4 Dowl. 662.
(c) *Hitchcock v. Smith*, 5 Dowl. 248.
(d) *Gardner v. Green*, 3 Dowl. 343.
(e) *Smith v. Campbell*, 6 Dowl. 728; and

see *Grant v. Stoneham*, 7 Dowl. 126.
(f) *Moore v. Newbold*, 11 Leg. Obs. 307.
(g) *Figgins v. Ward*, 2 Dowl. 364; 2 C. & M. 424, S. C.; see *Carter v. Southall*, 3 M. & W. 128.
(h) *Cartwright v. Blackworth*, 1 Dowl. 489.
(i) Tidd, 445; *Lory v. Duncombe*, 3 Dowl. 447.
(k) *Wood v. Critchfield*, 1 C. & M. 72; 1 Dowl. 587, S. C.; and see *Clothier v. Es*, 3 Moo. & Sc. 216; 2 Dowl. 731, S. C.
(l) *Mudie v. Newman*, 2 Dowl. 630.
(m) *Wright v. Gardner*, 3 Dowl. 657.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

the defendant's residence being unknown, the court gives leave to serve him in a particular manner, they will not, in general, make a prospective rule, that service of future rules, &c., may be effected in the same way (*n*).

Affidavit of
Service.

In general, the affidavit of a service of a rule must allude to the "*rule annexed*," and not "the rule in this cause" (*o*). An affidavit stating the service of "a true," omitting the word "copy," has been held sufficient (*p*). So has an affidavit of the service of the original rule, and not a copy (*q*).

How far a
Rule operates
as a Stay of
Proceedings.

How far a Rule operates as a Stay of Proceedings.] As to this, see *ante*, 1045, 1187. If a rule nisi be moved for on the last day of term, it will not operate as a stay of proceedings, nor will the court allow the rule to be worded so as to give it such an operation, unless, perhaps, under very special circumstances (*r*). If the rule operate as a stay of proceedings, and if any proceedings, directly or collaterally, be had in the cause in the meantime, the court, upon application, will set them aside (*s*).

Abandoning
Rule Nisi.

Abandoning Rule Nisi.] A party who has obtained a rule nisi cannot be compelled to proceed with it (*t*); and it would seem, that he may abandon it, even after service, on giving notice of abandonment to the opposite side, and paying, or offering to pay, any costs which may have been incurred in consequence of the rule.

Shewing
Cause against
a Rule Nisi.

Shewing Cause against or Enlarging a Rule Nisi.] Upon the day appointed by the rule, the opposite party must *shew cause* against it, unless by consent it stand over until another day in the same term. In some cases cause is permitted to be shewn in the first instance, but this is a matter entirely in the discretion of the court, even where notice has been given to the party moving (*u*). No person not included in the rule nisi has a right to shew cause against it, even though he may have been served with a copy of the rule, and the court will not allow him his costs of appearing (*v*). After the day mentioned in the rule, no cause can be shewn against a rule nisi for costs of the day, or any other rule which becomes absolute without further motion (*x*).

Enlarging
Rule.

Either party, if not prepared to support or shew cause against the rule, should move that it be *enlarged* to a future day in the same or the next term; or to support or shew cause against it before a judge at chambers in the vacation. In a recent case, it was considered, that where a defendant resides such a distance from town that he cannot be served before the day for shewing cause, and the term expires on the day after that day, the rule may be revived in the next term (*y*). If a rule be drawn up to shew cause in one term, it cannot be made absolute in the next term without enlarging it, though it may be

(n) *Martin v. Colvill*, 2 Dowl. 694: *Layton v. Mason*, 6 Dowl. 275.

(o) *Fidlett v. Bolton*, 4 Dowl. 282.

(p) *R. v. Sheriff of Stafford*, 5 Dowl. 238.

(q) *Leaf v. Jones*, 3 Dowl. 315.

(r) *Ante*, 1187.

(s) *Ante*, 1045.

(t) *Doe Harcourt v. Roe*, 4 Taunt. 83. See form of notice, Chit. Forms, 604.

(u) *Doe v. Smith*, 3 Nev. & P. 336: *or* *Quin v. King*, 4 Dowl. 736: *Ann*, 4 Taunt. 690.

(v) *Johnson v. Marriott*, 2 Dowl. 363.

(x) *Scott v. Marshall*, 2 C. & J. 69.

(y) *Rushbottom v. Ralph*, 6 Dowl. 294.

ived(c). But it is not by any means of course that the court should thus enlarge a rule; sufficient grounds must be stated to induce them to do so(a). If the application be made by the party who obtained the rule, the court usually grant it where it is in his own delay; but not where it would have the effect of detaining the opposite party in custody; nor in other cases, without consent or some evident necessity: if moved for by the opposite party, the court will frequently enlarge it upon terms; or, if the rule were not served in time to give the party an opportunity of shewing cause against it, he may demand that the rule be enlarged as a matter of right(b). Formerly, if a party wished to have a rule enlarged, it was usual to give notice to the counsel for the adverse party of the intended motion to the court to have it enlarged; and the court of Common Pleas would not, if the rule *nisi* had been served, have enlarged the rule unless such notice had been given(c). And now, by a general rule of all the courts of *I. T.*, 2 *W. 4*, s. 1, r. 97, "a rule may be enlarged, if the court think fit, without notice." It is not the practice to serve enlarged rules, because both parties are before the court(d). If it be enlarged to a subsequent term, it is set down in the remptory paper, and called on in its order (*see Vol. I. 96*); but if it be enlarged or stand over to another day in the same term, either party may bring it on, upon the day so appointed, by moving to discharge the rule, or make it absolute.

In order to shew cause against a rule *nisi*, get an office copy of the rule, and of the affidavit(f) upon which it was granted; and give them, together with an affidavit when necessary, and a *rief*, to counsel.

Cause, how shewn.

The affidavits should be sworn, and handed to the counsel who is to shew cause, before the day named in the rule for shewing cause; and, after shewing cause, counsel cannot come on another day in such term with better affidavits(g). But, in general, an affidavit sworn after the appointed day, but before the actual time of shewing cause, may be read for the party shewing cause(h). When, however, a particular day or time for filing affidavits is prescribed by the rule *nisi*, or, as is more frequent, in the case of enlarged rules, no affidavit filed afterwards is admissible, unless under special circumstances of inevitable accident(i); and then a special motion should be made before the day of shewing cause for leave to file the affidavits *nunc pro tunc*(k). In the Exchequer, upon an enlarged rule, the affidavits must be filed before shewing cause, although it be not so expressed in the rule of enlargement(l); but in that court, if a rule is enlarged from Trinity to Michaelmas term, if the affidavits are filed a

Affidavits for, when and how made and filed, &c.

(z) *Smith v. Collier*, 3 Dowl. 100.

(a) *MS.*, E. 1814.

(b) *Tidd*, 447, 448: *see Anon.*, 1 *Smith*,

142: *Tripp v. Bellamy*, 5 *Price*, 384: *Oakes v. Albin*, *M'Clel.* 593; *Chit. Sum. Prac.* 104.

(c) *R. M.*, 2 *Geo. 2*, C. P.: and *see Anon.*, *Ms. Pr. C. P.* 67.

(h) 1 *Chit. Rep.* 27 a: *Tully v. Henly*, *Id.* 136: *Brains v. Hunt*, 2 *Dowl.* 391: *Graham v. Beaumont*, 5 *Dowl.* 49.

(d) *Anon.*, 1 *Smith*, 199.

(i) *R. M.*, 36 *G. 3*: *Hoar v. Hill*, 1 *Chit. Rep.* 27: *Harding v. Austen*, 8 *Moore*, 523: *Turner v. Umwin*, 1 *H. & W.* 186; 4 *Dowl.* 16, S. C.

(f) This does not seem absolutely requisite. (*Pitt v. Coombs*, 1 *H. & W.* 13, S. B.; 4 *Nev. & M.* 535, S. C.: but *see Brown v. Probert*, 1 *Dowl.* 689).

(g) *Kibbleschite v. Jeffray*, 1 *Chit. Rep.*

(k) *Hoar v. Hill*, 1 *Chit. Rep.* 27.

(l) *Barker v. Richardson*, 1 *Y. & J.* 362.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

week before Michaelmas term, that is sufficient(*m*). And in the Queen's Bench, affidavits to shew cause against an enlarged rule must be filed a week before the term to which it is enlarged(*n*). And the same, it seems, in the Common Pleas(*o*). Where a rule is enlarged, and affidavits to be used on shewing cause, are, by the rule, to be filed on a certain day, if affidavits are filed accordingly, the opposite party has a right to take office copies, and make use of them, though the party who filed them may not be desirous of doing so(*p*). Affidavits sworn in opposition to one rule on which the allegations in them may be immaterial, cannot be used without re-swearing in opposition to another rule on which they may become material, although the same question may be intended to be raised by the first rule which was actually raised on the second(*q*). But if they could be material at the time, it would seem they may be used(*r*). If there is a defect in the intitling the affidavits produced in shewing cause against a rule, the court will sometimes allow the rule to be enlarged, in order that the title may be amended(*s*). If a rule is moved without affidavits, none can be used in answer to it(*t*). No affidavits can be used in reply to those used by the party shewing cause(*u*). It is usual for the counsel who is instructed to shew cause to hand over the affidavits on his side to the opposite counsel, in a reasonable time before the day appointed for shewing cause.

The Argument, &c.

Upon the day for shewing cause, or usually the day after, (except when a rule is drawn up in one term to shew cause in another, and the same is put into the peremptory paper, when cause must be shewn on the very day for which the rule is drawn up(*v*)), your counsel will shew cause accordingly; and the counsel for the party who obtained the rule will then be heard in reply: also, if cause be shewn in the first instance, the counsel who moved for the rule *nisi* is in like manner entitled to the reply(*x*). Although the court will seldom hear more than one counsel upon moving for a rule *nisi*, yet, upon shewing cause, the number is not limited: and if there be two or more counsel on either side, they are heard in the order of their precedence. After the argument is concluded, the court deliver their opinion, and make the rule absolute or discharge it accordingly.

Reference to Master.

Or in a case involving complicated accounts, or confused or contradictory statements of fact, the court will frequently refer the case to one of the masters. On such a reference, the master may receive fresh affidavits, but cannot, except by special direction in the rule, receive *viva voce* evidence(*y*).

Motion to make Rule absolute where no Cause shewn.

If no cause be shewn on the day appointed, counsel may move on the following day to make the rule absolute, on an affidavit of service of the rule *nisi*(*z*); and if cause be not

(*m*) *Johnson v. Marryatt*, 2 Dowl. 343.

(*n*) *Gileon v. Carr*, 4 Dowl. 618.

(*o*) See *Harding v. Austen*, 8 Moore, 523.

(*p*) *Price v. Hayman*, 4 M. & W. 8.

(*q*) *Quelle v. Boucher*, 1 Scott, 283; 3 Dowl. 107, S. C.

(*r*) See *Baskett v. Barnard*, 4 M. & Sel. 331; *Lang v. Comber*, 4 East, 348; *Read v. Massey*, 4 Dowl. 681.

(*s*) *Anderson v. EE*, 3 Dowl. 73: *ant.* 1137.

(*t*) *Affins v. Meredith*, 4 Dowl. 612; *De v. Bayten*, 1 H. & W. 270.

(*u*) *Shaw v. Mansfield*, 7 Price, 708.

(*v*) *Warner v. Wood*, 3 Dowl. 202.

(*x*) *Aton.*, 4 Taunt. 680.

(*y*) *Noy v. Reynolds*, 4 Nev. & M. 48.

(*z*) See forms of affidavit, Chit. Form. 351, 352.

is shewn, the court will grant a rule for making the former absolute (a). Draw up this latter rule with one of the terms, and serve a copy of it upon the opposite attorney, or at, before nine at night (b). But when the counsel who is instructed to shew cause informs the opposite counsel that he is instructed so to do, it is the usual practice for the opposite counsel not to move for the rule absolute till a subsequent term. And if, after a rule has been made absolute, it appears that counsel was instructed in time, it is usual and proper courtesy, in most cases, to open the rule, and obtain back the costs, without compelling such counsel to move the court that may be heard; but if this be refused, the court will order the rule to be opened (c).

CHAP. XXXIV
SECT. 1.

The rule *nisi* cannot be supported or made absolute upon a ground different from that stated therein: therefore, if a rule is drawn up for setting aside proceedings for irregularity, it cannot be made absolute on the ground of such proceeding being against good faith (d). The court, however, are not bound by the exact terms of the rule *nisi*, but may mould it so to meet the justice of the case (*ante*, 1136).

Rule not made absolute on ground different from that stated in it.

Title and Date of Rule.] By rule of all the courts of *H. T.*, *Vict. r. 4*, it is ordered, "that henceforth every rule of court, delivered out in vacation, shall be dated the day of the month and week on which the same is delivered out, but shall be titled as of the term immediately preceding such vacation."

Title and Date of Rule

Costs.] The costs of the application are wholly in the discretion of the court. When the rule *nisi* is drawn up upon payment of costs, whether cause be shewn against it or not, and whether made absolute or discharged, the court almost always make the party who obtained the rule pay the costs. If the rule *nisi* be drawn up with costs, if no cause be shewn against it, it is made absolute, with costs, as of course; if cause be shewn against it, and the rule be made absolute, the court will make it absolute with costs, or without, in their discretion, according to the circumstances of the case; but, if it be discharged, the court almost uniformly discharge it with costs to be paid by the party who obtained it. Where the rule *nisi* is for setting aside proceedings for irregularity, if no cause be shewn against it, it is made absolute, as of course, with costs; if cause be shewn against it, and the rule be made absolute, it is made absolute almost uniformly with costs; if discharged, it is also almost always discharged with costs, to be paid the party who obtained it; and by the *R. M.*, 373, shall be deemed to have been so discharged, even although the rule discharging it contains no special directions upon the subject. But if the rule be silent as to costs, then, if no cause be shewn, neither party is ordered to pay costs; but if cause be shewn, the rule is made absolute or discharged, with or without costs, in the discretion of the court, according as they are of opinion that the motion ought or ought not to have

Costs.

a) See the form, Chit. Forms, 649.

b) *Ante*, 1188.

c) Chit. Sum. Pract. 108.

(d) *Smith v. Clarke*, 2 Dowl. 218: and see *ante*, 1187.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

been made, and ought or not to have been resisted(*e*). In general, where a party shows cause successfully in the first instance, he is not entitled to costs(*f*). If the party who obtained the rule succeed only in part, the court will not give costs(*g*); and it seems that the opposite party would be entitled to costs if he gave notice that he was ready to yield the points on which the rule was afterwards made absolute(*h*). Where a party applies to the court where he ought to have applied to a judge at chambers, he will not in general be allowed costs(*i*). Where a rule is discharged on a mere technical objection, it is generally so without costs(*k*), but sometimes with costs(*l*). Where a rule to refer a matter to the master has been moved without costs, and the subject-matter for inquiry is matter of fact only, the court will not entertain an application for costs of the inquiry after the report of the master is made(*m*). Where libellous and impertinent matter is introduced into an affidavit in support of the rule, the court will sometimes deprive the party of the costs of the rule to which otherwise he would have been entitled(*n*).

Opening and
rescinding
the Rule, or
moving again.

Opening and rescinding the Rule, or moving again.] There is an old rule of court, *H.*, 3 *J.* 1, by which it is ordered, that if a cause be moved in court in the presence of counsel of both parties, and the court shall thereupon make an order, no person shall afterwards cause the same to be moved contrary to such rule or order, under pain of an attachment; and the counsel knowingly making such motion shall not be heard here in any cause during the same term. If, however, the rule have been made absolute too soon, or either party have been taken by surprise, the court will open the rule, upon application. But they will not open a rule merely because the affidavit upon which cause was shewn against it was false(*o*); or because counsel omitted to present to their notice a statute, or other authority, which might have affected their decision(*p*). A rule made in the Bail Court is not more liable to be re-opened than a rule made in full court; therefore such a rule will not be permitted to be re-opened and argued in full court after the term in which it was made, although the judge who heard the case sanctioned the application to the full court(*q*).

This rule against opening or rescinding rules made after hearing both parties does not apply to rules which are made absolute in the first instance. The party against whom such rules are made absolute may move to discharge them, on shewing sufficient reasons why they should not have been granted, as in the case of the common rule for changing the venue (*ante*, 962).

(*e*) See *Anon.*, 1 Chlt. Rep. 390, n.: *Tilly v. Henly*, Id. 136: and see *Hussey v. Parkin*, 1 Bing. 65: *Rex v. Sheriff of Middlesex*, in *Duncombe v. Crisp*, 2 Dowl. 5.

(*f*) *Fitch v. Green*, 2 Dowl. 493: *Reed v. Sizer*, 5 Dowl. 330: and see *Begbie v. Greenville*, 3 Dowl. 502.

(*g*) *Allen v. Furnival*, 2 Dowl. 49.

(*h*) Id.: and see *M'Andrew v. Adam*, 3 Dowl. 120.

(*i*) *Faughan v. Trewent*, 2 Dowl. 299.

(*k*) *Prudy v. Lovell*, 4 Dowl. 671.

(*l*) *Houlditch v. Swinfern*, 5 Dowl. 28.

(*m*) *Holmes v. Edwards*, 6 Dowl. 51.

(*n*) *Thompson v. Dicoe*, 2 Dowl. 93.

(*o*) *Daries v. Cattle*, 3 T. R. 405: *Bass v. Hartley*, 1 H. & W. 581: 7 A. & E. 322, n.: *Dillamore v. Capen*, 1 Bing. 308.

(*p*) *Dillamore v. Capen*, 8 Moore, 487; 1 Bing. 308, S. C.

(*q*) *Todd v. Jeffry*, 2 Nev. & P. 40; 7 A. & E. 519, S. C.

Filing Affidavits.] Whether the court grant the rule *nisi* or not, or make it absolute or discharge it, the affidavits on both sides must be filed with the masters, as has been already mentioned (*r*). CHAP. XXXIV.
SECT. 2.
Filing Affidavits.

The instances of the rules granted in the course of a suit, upon motion by counsel, have been already fully noticed in the course of this work.

SECT. 2.

Rules granted without Motion by Counsel.

Rules obtained upon a Judge's Fiat.] THE following rules are obtained in this manner:— Rules obtained upon a Judge's Fiat.

That an infant be admitted to sue by *prochein amy*, or guardian (*s*); that an infant be admitted to defend by guardian (*t*); that plaintiff may sue in *formâ pauperis* (*u*); to discharge a prisoner, upon bail being justified in vacation (*v*); for *distringas* in non-bailable actions, in vacation (*x*); to change the venue, in vacation (*y*); to plead several matters, not enumerated in the rule, *T. T.*, 1 *W.* 4 (*z*); to compute, in vacation (*a*); for leave to sign judgment on a *scire facias*, where defendant was not summoned (*b*); that a writ of inquiry be executed before a judge at *Nisi Prius* (*c*); for making a submission to arbitration a rule of court (*d*).

When you have obtained the judge's fiat, take it to the office of the masters, and they will thereupon draw up the rule. In the 6th, 8th, and 10th instances above mentioned, you must also take a motion-paper, signed by counsel, to the masters, together with the fiat.

Rules obtained from the Masters, upon a Præcipe.] The following are, it seems, the only rules obtained in this manner:— Rules obtained from the Masters, upon a Præcipe.

Rule to plead generally (*e*); to plead in *scire facias* (*f*); to avow in replevin (*g*); for a view (*h*); to appear to a *scire facias* (*i*); for judgment on demurrer (*k*); for judgment on *nil tiel* record (*l*); for judgment on *scire facias* (*m*); for judgment in error (*n*).

In these cases you make out a præcipe or memorandum of the rule you want; take it to the masters, and they will draw up the rule.

Rules obtained from the Masters, without a Præcipe.] The following are the rules obtained directly from the masters:— Rules obtained from the Masters, without a Præcipe.

Rule to declare in replevin (*o*); to appear in replevin (*p*);

(*r*) *Ante*, 1185, 1191: and see post, 1217.

(*s*) *Ante*, 890.

(*t*) *Ante*, 892.

(*u*) *Ante*, 918.

(*v*) Vol. I. 612.

(*w*) Vol. I. 128.

(*x*) *Ante*, 966.

(*y*) *Ante*, Vol. I. 178.

(*z*) *Ante*, 791.

(*a*) *Ante*, 833.

(*b*) *Ante*, 713.

(*c*) *Post*, 1251, 1256.

(*e*) Vol. I. 157.

(*f*) *Ante*, 835.

(*g*) *Ante*, 801.

(*h*) Vol. I. 257.

(*i*) *Ante*, 834.

(*k*) *Ante*, 665.

(*l*) *Ante*, 670.

(*m*) *Ante*, 834.

(*n*) Vol. I. 388, 391.

(*o*) *Ante*, 798.

(*p*) *Ante*, 797.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

to reply (*r*); to rejoin, surrejoin, &c. (*s*); that the defendant produce the record on *null tiel* record (*t*); to return the *certiorari* in error to the Court of Queen's Bench or Common Pleas (*u*); for better bail in error (*x*); to return the *certiorari* in error to the Exchequer Chamber (*y*).

Side-bar
Rules.

Side-bar Rules.] These rules were formerly moved for by the attornies at the side-bar in court; but they may now be had of the masters, upon a *præcipe* or memorandum, in the manner above mentioned. "Side-bar rules may be obtained on the last, as well as on other days in term" (*s*). If obtained irregularly, the court, upon application, will grant a rule to shew cause why they should not be discharged, which rule may afterwards be made absolute in the ordinary way, if no sufficient cause be shewn.

The following is a list of most of the side-bar rules:—

Rule that the sheriff return the writ (*a*); that the sheriff bring in the body (*b*); for time to declare, or for further time (*c*); for special imparlance (*d*); for leave to pay money into court under 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, s. 21 (*e*); for leave to discontinue before verdict, &c. (*f*); to be present at the taxing of costs, unless where notice of taxation is required to be given (*g*); for a *scire facias* to revive a judgment more than seven years old, and not ten (*h*); for the marshal or warden to acknowledge the defendant in his custody (*i*); and the consent rule in ejectment (*k*).

Rule to plead
several Pleas
obtained from
the Masters,
upon the En-
grossment of
the Pleas, or
a Draft or
Copy thereof.

Rule to plead several Pleas obtained from the Masters, upon the Engrossment of the Pleas, or a Draft or Copy thereof.] The following is a list of the pleas which may be pleaded together, or any two or more of them, under this rule:—*non assumpti*, or *nunquam indebitatus* (*l*), or *non detinet*, with or without a plea of tender as to part, a plea of Statute of Limitations, set-off, bankruptcy of defendant, discharge under an insolvent act, *plene administravit*, *plene administravit præter*, infancy, and coverture (*m*).

SECT. 3.

Enforcing Rules for Payment of Money, Costs, &c., under 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 18.

Effect of
Rules for
Payment of
Money, Costs,
&c., under.

PREVIOUSLY to the 1 & 2 V., c. 110, the only mode of enforcing rules of court was by attachment, and this is still the case as to all rules not included in the provisions of that act. The 18th section enacts,—

- (*r*) Vol. I. 195.
- (*s*) Vol. I. 197.
- (*t*) *Ante*, 669, 670.
- (*u*) Vol. I. 306, 387.
- (*x*) Vol. I. 366.
- (*y*) Vol. I. 371.
- (*z*) R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 96.
- (*a*) Vol. I. 549, 550.
- (*b*) Vol. I. 553.
- (*c*) Vol. I. 138, 139.
- (*d*) *Ante*, 803.

- (*e*) *Ante*, 972.
- (*f*) *Ante*, 1058.
- (*g*) *Ante*, 1162.
- (*h*) *Ante*, 831.
- (*i*) *Ante*, 850.
- (*k*) *Ante*, 751.

(*l*) *Nisi debet* is the plea mentioned in the rule, but that plea is abolished, and the new plea of *nunquam indebitatus* comes within the spirit of the rule.

(*m*) B. T., 1 W. 4, Vol. I. 172, 173.

“ That all decrees and orders of courts of equity, and all rules of courts of common law, and all orders of the Lord Chancellor or of the Court of Review in matters of bankruptcy, and all orders of the Lord Chancellor in matters of lunacy, whereby any sum of money, or any costs, charges, or expenses, shall be payable to any person, shall have the effect of judgments in the superior courts of common law ; and the persons to whom any such monies or costs, charges or expenses, shall be payable, shall be deemed judgment-creditors within the meaning of this act ; and all powers hereby given to the judges of the superior courts of common law with respect to matters depending in the same courts shall and may be exercised by courts of equity with respect to matters therein depending, and by the Lord Chancellor and the Court of Review in matters of bankruptcy, and by the Lord Chancellor in matters of lunacy ; and all remedies hereby given to judgment-creditors are in like manner given to persons to whom any monies or costs, charges or expenses, are by such orders or rules respectively directed to be paid.”

CHAP. XXXIV.
SECT. 3.

1 & 2 V. c.
110, s. 18.

This section, it will be perceived, renders the rules mentioned in it of equal force with judgments, both with regard to their operation in equity, and the remedies by which they may be enforced at law. Execution may, therefore, be sued out upon them, and stock, shares, &c., attached in the same manner as upon a judgment(n). And a judge's order may be enforced in the same way, after first making it a rule of court, as directed in the following Chapter(o). And in all cases where a judgment must be registered in order to be effectual, so must a rule of court. It will therefore suffice here, to refer to the first volume of this work, pp. 338, 341, in which the provisions of 1 & 2 V. c. 110, as to the effect and registration of judgments, have been already considered.

A rule for payment of costs cannot, it would seem, be considered perfect, so as to admit of being enforced by execution, until the costs are taxed(p).

The practice as to suing out execution on such rules is the same, mutatis mutandis, as that of suing out execution on a judgment, which is fully treated of in Vol. I., title, "Execution." No previous leave of the court or demand or notice to the party liable to pay the money or costs is necessary(q). And the law as to the mode of executing the writs sued out is the same as that stated under the above title with respect to writs of execution on a judgment. The forms of writs of execution framed by the judges in Hilary Term, 1839, in pursuance of the 20th section of the 1 & 2 V. c. 110, will be found in the reports for that term, and in *Chit. Forms*. See also, as to the consequence of not adhering to those forms, *Vol. I.* 403.

It may be necessary to mention, that decrees and orders of the courts of equity should be enforced by process sued out of those courts, and not out of the courts of law, the equity judges having framed writs for the purpose.

(n) See Vol. I. 341, as to the effect of a judgment.

(o) *Wallis v. Sheffield*, Exch., M. 1839; 3 Jurist, 1002.

(p) See *Butler v. Bulkeley*, 8 Moore, 104.

(q) See *Wallis v. Sheffield*, Exch., M. 1839; 3 Jurist, 1002, per Parks, B.

CHAPTER XXXV.

SUMMONES AND ORDERS.

Power of a Judge to grant, and in what Instances, 1198.

Taking out Summons, and Service of, 1199.

When Summons operates as a Stay of Proceedings, 1200.

Proceedings on Summons, and Order thereon, 1201.

Costs, 1202.

Order not operative unless drawn up and served, 1203.

Who may draw up the Order,

1203.

Effect of the Order, and its enforcing of, 1204.

When and how it may be done, id.

How Impeached, id.

Orders granted without Summons, 1205.

How to proceed if Order refused, and Party dissatisfied with Refusal, id.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

Power of a Judge to grant, and in what Instances.

Power of a Judge to grant, and in what Instances.] WHEN, in the progress of a suit, it becomes necessary to obtain the order of the court relative to any of the proceedings, we have seen, in the last Chapter, that the parties may apply for it in term time by motion to the court. But in vacation, in most instances, or in term time, in all matters of minor importance, the same effect may be had by obtaining the order of a judge at chambers. And by the 11 *G. 4* & 1 *W. 4*, c. 70, s. 4, every judge is authorized to transact such business at chambers or elsewhere depending (a) in any of the superior courts [as relates to matters over which the said courts have a common jurisdiction (b) and] as may, according to the course and practice of the court, be transacted by a single judge. And by 1 & 2 *V. c. 45*, s. 1, every judge of the superior courts is authorized "to transact out of court such business as may, according to the course and practice of the court, be so transacted by a single judge, relating to any suit or proceeding, in either of the said Courts of Queen's Bench or Common Pleas, or on the common law or revenue side of the said Court of Exchequer, or relating to the granting writs of *certiorari* or *habeas corpus*, or the admitting prisoners on criminal charges to bail, or the issuing of extents or other process for the recovery of debts due to her majesty, or relating to any other matter or thing usually transacted out of court, although the said courts have no common jurisdiction therein, in like man-

(a) Even before the 1 & 2 *V. c. 45*, an affidavit to hold to bail sworn before a commissioner of the court, was held to be business "depending" in court, so as to authorize a judge of another court in

making an order to hold to bail at chambers. (*Griffin v. Taylor*, 6 Dowl. 689.)

(b) Now extended to cases in which there is not a common jurisdiction. (1 & 2 *V. c. 45*, s. 1).

the judge transacting such business had been a judge of the court to which the same by law belongs." And by the operation of the same act, a judge at chambers may relieve and other officers under the 6th section of the Inter-Pretation Act, (1 & 2 W. 4, c. 68), and make such order therein as shall appear to be just, and the costs are in his discretion(*b*). Judges may also, besides granting summonses, and making orders hereon at chambers, by stat. 1 G. 4, c. 55, ss. 5, 6, and make them upon circuit, in cases depending in any courts at Westminster, in which the issues, if brought, would be tried upon their circuits respectively, in the manner as if they were judges of the court in which such cause shall be so depending. In a case where a cause, in which there was no notice of set-off, having been referred by the judge *Nisi Prius*, the judge, during the assizes, made an order to enable the defendant to give a notice of set-off; the court held that this statute did not authorize this second order(*c*). Where a statute expressly directs the application to be made to the court, a judge at chambers has, it seems, no power to interfere(*d*), and *vice versa*(*e*); nor has a judge at chambers any power over a rule of the full court, unless the court direct it, or unless by consent of the parties(*f*). Unless a cause is in court, an application cannot be made at chambers against an attorney(*g*). Though a judge at chambers may make an order for staying the proceedings on payment of costs, he cannot order payment by instalments, or give the defendant more time than he would have had by the original order.

As to his power in respect of costs, see *post*, 1202. Instances in which these summonses may be granted, and orders made thereon, have been already fully noticed in the course of this work.

[*How to take out Summons, and Service of.*] To obtain a judge's order you must in general first summon the attorney or agent of the opposite party before a judge(*i*); for which purpose, you must take out a memorandum of the order required, and take it to the clerk at the chambers in Rolls' Garden, Chancery-lane, who will then make out the summons(*k*). If the order required be to stay any proceedings for irregularity, the ground of that irregularity must be particularized in the summons, otherwise it will not be available to the party. The summons should bear date of the month on which it is issued, but an imperfection of the year, or even its omission, is immaterial(*l*). You must take a copy of this summons, and, let the person who is to serve it take it with the original, that he may be able to swear to the service, if it afterwards become necessary to do so; then serve it on the attorney or agent of the opposite party. As

Taking out Summons, and Service of.

, 1004 to 1011.
Went v. Heathcote, 6 Bing, 506; 1 P. 396, 3 C.
Went v. Roberts, 2 Dowl. 25; *Jones v. Jones*, 2 Dowl. 111; 3 Tyr. 904; 855, 3 C.; *Tidd*, New Pract.
Went v. Coleridge, J., 7 Dowl. 725.
Joseph v. Perry, 3 Dowl. 699.
Went v. Higgs, 1 Dowl. 495.
Went v. Miller, 4 Tyr. 239; 2 C. & Dowl. 219, 3 C.

(i) In some cases the judge may proceed *ex parte*, as in making an order to hold to bail (*post*, 1206); but if he proceed *ex parte*, where the opposite party ought to have had an opportunity of shewing cause, the order will be rescinded on application to the court. (See *Clark v. Stocken*, 2 Bing. N. C. 651).

(k) See as to the form, Chit. Forms, 654.

(l) *Solomon v. Nainby*, 7 Dowl. 459.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

matter of precaution, the person who is to serve the summons should indorse on the original, immediately after service of the copy, the day when and the place where served, that he may be able to swear to the service, if necessary. The summons should be served like a rule nisi of the court, as noticed ante, 1188. A personal service is not, in general, necessary. It will be sufficient if it be left with some person resident at or belonging to the place of business of the party to whom it is addressed; and if the opposite attorney or agent be an attorney of the Court of Queen's Bench, the summons may be served, by leaving a copy at the place mentioned in the book at the master's office (m), with any person resident at or belonging to such place; and if such attorney have not entered his name and place of abode, &c., in the said book, then fixing up the copy in the Queen's Bench Office shall be deemed a sufficient service (n). It is irregular, however, to leave the summons inclosed in a sealed letter; and if so delivered, in the absence of the attorney to whom it is addressed, it is no service (o). It must be served before nine o'clock at night, otherwise the service will be void (p).

When Summons operates as a Stay of Proceedings.

When Summons operates as a Stay of Proceedings.] A summons is a stay of proceedings only from the time at which it is attendable (q), and not from the time of the service (r); therefore a summons for further time to plead, or to plead several matters, is a stay of proceedings if it is returnable at the time the judgment office opens on the day after the time for pleading expires (s). And it has been held that a summons for time to plead, returnable at ten o'clock in the morning in term time at chambers, operates as a stay of proceedings, although it is well known that a judge does not attend at chambers at that hour (t). It operates, as a stay of proceedings from the time it is attendable until it is disposed of, provided the party who obtained it use due diligence in following it up (u), that is to say, by obtaining and serving a second summons on the same day the first was attendable, in case the opposite party failed to attend it, and then an order. Also, when a party has been misled by the service of a summons, the court will not permit the adverse party to take advantage of the mistake. Therefore, when a defendant took out a summons to put off a trial at the assizes, in consequence of the absence of a material witness, and the hearing of the summons was only four days before the commission day, and no order was made on it, but the plaintiff countermanded the trial, thinking he might

(m) See Vol. I. 52.

(n) R. H., 8 G. 3: R. M., 1 W. 4, r. 2, s. 8. See *Blackburn v. Peate*, 2 Dowl. 293; 2 C. & M. 244, S. C.

(o) *Arrowsmith v. Ingle*, 3 Taunt. 234.

(p) R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 51, ante, 52; and see further as to the service, ante, 1188.

(q) In term time it is attendable at three o'clock in the afternoon, and in vacation at eleven o'clock in the morning.

(r) *Morris v. Hunt*, 2 B. & Ald. 355; 1 Chit. Rep. 93, S. C.: *Rex v. Sheriff of Middlesex*, 5 B. & Ald. 745: *Glover v.*

Watmore, 5 B. & C. 799: *Aspell v. Metcalf*, 2 New Rep. 169: *Redford v. Ellis*, 6 Taunt. 240: ante, Vol. I. 160.

(s) *Wells v. Secret*, 2 Dowl. 447: *Ex parte v. Vallance*, 1 Gale, 16: *Roberts v. Oshill*, 4 Dowl. 284: *Spenceley v. Shanks*, 5 Dowl. 562.

(t) *Byles v. Walter*, 5 Dowl. 232; with that if the summons were not taken out *bona fide*, the court would not set aside intermediate proceedings. (*Bobb v. Wain*, 5 Dowl. 438).

(u) *Kneass v. Vallance*, 1 Gale, 18: *Spenceley v. Shanks*, 5 Dowl. 562.

ut to inconvenience, it was holden, that there had been CHAP. XXXV.
 default which would entitle the defendant to move for
 ment as in case of a nonsuit(x). When the object of
 summons is *collateral* to the time for pleading, as to
 large the defendant out of custody on filing common
 , it will not, in general, operate as a stay of proceed-
 (y). A summons to tax an attorney's bill, though
 ed, does not operate as a stay of proceedings from its
 rn, so as to prevent the attorney issuing a writ, the
 ndant not having signed an undertaking to pay the
 unt of the taxation(z).

Proceedings on Summons and Order thereon.] When the Proceedings
on Summons,
and Order
thereon.
 osite attorney is served with a copy of the summons, if
 have no cause to shew, he may indorse upon the sum-
 is his consent to an order being made; it is optional with
 , however, whether he do so or not. If he indorse his Where Con-
sent is given
and indorsed
on the Sum-
mons.
 sent, you may immediately take the summons so indorsed
 he judge's chambers, and the clerk will make out the order
 matter of course(a). Then serve the order on the opposite
 rney or agent. Unless the order be actually drawn up
 served without delay, the other party may proceed as
 no summons had been taken out, and this although he
 e indorsed his consent, as above mentioned(b).

f the opposite attorney or agent do not consent to an Where oppo-
site Side nei-
ther consent
nor attend.
 er, attend at the judge's chambers at the hour appointed
 the summons, and wait there half-an-hour(c); and if the
 osite attorney or agent, or some person for him, do not
 nd within that time, then take out a second summons, and
 e him with a copy of it, as at first; and if he do not
 nd within the half-hour after the time appointed by such
 nd summons(d), then upon affidavit of the two summonses
 attendances(e), the judge's clerk will make out the order
 ired, and give it to you; then serve the order on the
 osite attorney or agent, as directed with respect to the ser-
 of the summons(ante, 1199).

n some cases, however, the summons is granted *peremp-* On Peremp-
tory Sum-
mons.
 ly in the first instance, and a second summons is not re-
 red; as for an order to deliver or tax an attorney's bill,
 he first summons have been served two days before it
 eturnable(f); or for a *supersedeas* to discharge the de-
 lant in a town cause out of custody, for not declaring
 nt him in due time, &c.(g). Where on motion to set
 e an irregular judgment of *nonpros*, it appeared that the
 ntiff's attorney's clerk without authority, though with-

Randall v. Bailey, 2 Dowl. 113.
Anon., M. T., 28 Geo. 3, Q. B.;
 s New Pract. 256; Tidd, 9th ed.

Williams v. Roberts, 3 Dowl. 512; 1
 . & R. 676; 1 Gale, 56, S. C.: ante,
 l. 80.

See the form, Chit. Forms, 654.
Jedrell v. —, 4 Taunt. 253:
 re post, 1203.

R. T., 35 G. 3.
 R. T., 1 W. 4, r. 2. That rule pro-
 "That hereafter it shall not be
 ary to issue more than two sum-

monses for attendance before a judge,
 upon the same matter; and the party
 taking out such summonses shall be en-
 titled to an order on the return of the
 second summons, unless cause is shewn
 to the contrary." Before this rule there
 must have been three summonses, and an
 affidavit of attendance thereon, before the
 judge could make an order for non-attend-
 ance. (Tidd, 9th ed. 511).

(e) See a form, Chit. Forms, 654.

(f) R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 91: ante, 1199.

(g) R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 89: see ante, 867.

**BOOK IV.
PART I.**

**Order for
Discharge,
unless Cause
shown in
Four Days.**

**When the op-
posite Party
attends.**

**Grounds of
Application to
be fully stated
in first in-
stance.**

**Affidavit,
when re-
quired.**

**Attendance
by Counsel,
&c.**

Costs.

out any sinister motive, had inserted the word *per* in a previous summons for the purpose, the court: the plaintiff's attorney pay costs (A).

In country causes, the order for a prisoner's discharge is drawn up, unless cause be shown in four days, or further time as the judge directs, in order to give the attorney or agent an opportunity of consulting his client (i).

But if the opposite attorney or agent attend upon these summonses, you will be called in before the judge your turn, and upon your stating the grounds of the application, and the opposite attorney shewing cause against the judge either grants or refuses the order as he thinks.

The party applying is bound to state fully and distinctly the grounds of his application. Thus, before the introduction of the new practice, which requires the grounds of an application to be particularized in the summons, to set aside proceedings on that account, it was considered that a party applying to set aside any proceeding was bound to state his first application, all the grounds why he prayed it may be set aside (k). And in a case where a party applied to a judge in vacation to set aside an execution, upon ground that he had not been served with writ of taxation, but said nothing of a subsisting writ of the allowance of which must have been known to him, he took out the summons; upon motion to the court towards to set aside the judgment, on the ground that an error had been sued out, the court refused to interfere because the defendant, by not communicating the circumstance in the first instance, had induced the plaintiff to another step attended with expense (l).

In general, an affidavit is not necessary in support of an application or against it, unless expressly required by act of parliament, or by rule of court (m). Where, however, facts are disputed, and sometimes in other cases, the court requires one.

In ordinary cases, the attorneys, by themselves or clerks, attend before the judge and support the application or shew cause against it; but in cases of difficulty usually attend with counsel, or special pleader, in case they have precedence. If you attend by counsel or pleader, you will have to pay the judge's clerk 5s.

Costs.] A judge at chambers has power to give costs a summons (n); but the practice as to his giving them no means certain (o); and the judges recently resolve to give them but in extreme cases (p). Where the plaintiff signed an irregular judgment, and on the defendant's

(A) *Flannery v. Smith*, 1 Bing. N. C. 648.
(i) R. H. 2 W. 4, r. 89. see under, 857:
see Bagley's Pract. 21.

(k) 7 T. R. 455; 1 H. Bl. 101; 1 East, 537; 5 Taunt. 830, Bagley's Pract. 25.

(l) *Thompson v. Barr*, 1 Chit. Rep. 124.

(m) See *Bent v. Argles*, 3 Dow. 701.

(n) *Doe Prescott v. Roe*, 1 Dow. 274;

3 Mox. & Scott, 119; 9 Bing. 104, S. C.;

Hughes v. Brown, 2 Dow. 131; and vide

Spicer v. Todd, 2 C. & J. 146; 1 Dow. 265, S. C.;

Kent v. Lee, 1 B. & Ald. 624;

1 Dow. 32, S. C. In *Collins v. Bing. N. C. 333*; 6 Dow. 483, 484, the costs of an *ex parte* order were 2s. 4d., and the court held he was to do so.

(o) See *Davy v. Brown*, 1 B. 1 Bing. N. C. 480.

(p) *Ste. Bridge and Wright*, 4 M. 5; 2 Ad. & Ell. 48, S. C.;

Greenley, 5 Nev. & M. 481; 1 H. & C. C.;

Doe Prescott v. Roe, 2 Scott, 119; 9 Bing. 104; 1 Dow. 1

summons to set it aside, he informed the defendant CHAP. XXXV.
 judgment was withdrawn, the court held that the
 t had no right to get an order drawn up for setting
 judgment with costs; and therefore that he was
 pay the expense of it (q). And where a judgment
 aside for irregularity, on a summons before a judge
 bers, and no order was made as to costs, the court
 to order the payment of costs of setting aside the
 t, and discharged a rule obtained for that purpose
 ts (r). It is not usual for the judges to give costs
 bers on the discharge of a summons (s), but where
 of debt having been settled, a summons was after-
 taken out by the defendant to set aside the proceed-
 the ground of irregularity, which was dismissed, a
 granted to shew cause why the costs of attending
 side the summons should not be paid (s). If the
 fuses to give costs, the party should not in general
 the court for them (t). If nothing is said in the
 to the costs of it, such costs will not in general be
 the cause (u).

the order contains a condition which requires the
 of costs, it may be taken, in the first instance, to the
 for an appointment, which he will mark on the original
 The appointment so marked should then be copied on
 r to be served, and the master's appointment should,
 e, be attended to, or he will proceed *ex parte*, without
 a second appointment (v).

not Operative, unless Drawn up and Served.] If an Order not
 made, it must be drawn up and served forthwith, or operative, un-
 osite party may treat it as abandoned and proceed, less drawn up
 ough the order was drawn up by consent (x). There and served.
 in the Queen's Bench of *H. T.*, 59 *Geo.* 3, that "no
 is for further time to plead, reply, or rejoin, or
 is for further particulars of the plaintiff's demand,
 at's set-off, or other particular, be granted in any
 depending in that court, unless the last previous order
 e, further time, or particulars respectively, be first
 ip, and such order produced at the time of applying
 such summons."

may draw up the Order.] Where, upon a summons Who may
 at a judge's chambers, the judge indorses a minute of draw up the
 r, it is at the option of the party by whom the sum- Order.
 as taken out, to have an order drawn up in pursuance
 minute or not (y). If he do not draw it up, and the
 immoned considers that the order pronounced is in his
 he should take out a cross summons for the purpose of

Graves v. Holden, 3 Dowl. 176.
Graves v. Brown, 1 Bing. N. C. 460;
 34; 1 Hodges, 22, S. C.
Wright v. Dells, 11 Leg. Obs.
 , New Pract. 256.
Dwyer v. Brown, 1 Scott, 384; 1
 C. 400, S. C.
Wemyss v. Campbell, 10 Bing. 511;
 Scott, 379.
 L., 2 W. 4, r. 1, s. 92.

(x) *Charges v. Farhall*, 4 B. & C. 865;
 7 D. & R. 492, S. C.; *Edensor v. Hoffman*,
 2 C. & J. 140; *Sedgwick v. Allerton*, 7
 East, 542; see *Wright v. Sterenson*, 5
 Taunt. 850; *Wilson v. Hunt*, 1 Chit. 647.
 (y) *Macdougall v. Nicholls*, 5 Nev. &
 M. 306; 3 Ad. & Ell. 813; 1 Har. & W.
 462, S. C.; Tidd, New Pract. 258; *Solly v.*
Richardson, 6 Dowl. 774.

Book IV.
PART I.

obtaining a similar order(s). And if parties, being judge at chambers, go by consent into matter not with summons, and the judge make a minute of an order, then in whose favour such minute is made, is, it seems, to draw up an order accordingly(s).

Effect of the
Order, and
enforcing of.

Effect of the Order, and enforcing of.] The order above mentioned, if acquiesced under, is in effect as and imperative as a rule of court(a), and it may in like manner be enforced by attachment, or if for payment of costs, by execution(b), by previously moving to make making it a rule of court(c). Where an attorney is tempted by disobeying a rule of court, founded on a order, the proper course of proceeding against him is by for an attachment, and not by applying to strike him roll(d). A conditional order for payment of costs enforced by attachment, although the step to be allowed ment of costs has been taken without such payment(e) rule for making a judge's order a rule of court is at the first instance(f); where the original order cannot obtained, a duplicate may be sometimes made a rule of court. If a judge's order is made in vacation, it cannot be rule of court as of the preceding term(g).

When and
how it may
be abandoned.

When and how it may be abandoned. It seems that the order is drawn up and served, it is binding upon the party to whom it is directed, and it gives him liberty to move to set it aside, or to move for liberty to amend(h), or to move for costs, in which case he may abandon perhaps any part of it, if such part does not affect the whole. Where an order for a stay of proceedings is obtained, a copy of the order must be served; but when once it is served, the party to whom it is directed is bound to comply with it, and it is not necessary to move to enforce it. Therefore, if a party moves to set aside an order, he must move to set aside the order, and not to set aside the order, and if he does not move to set aside the order, it will be binding upon him.

When it
may be
set aside.

By whom
it may be
set aside.

When it may be set aside. The party, moving to set aside an order, must move to set aside the order, and not to set aside the order, and if he does not move to set aside the order, it will be binding upon him. *By whom it may be set aside.* The party, moving to set aside an order, must move to set aside the order, and not to set aside the order, and if he does not move to set aside the order, it will be binding upon him.

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. | 21. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. |
| 2. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. | 22. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. |
| 3. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. | 23. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. |
| 4. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. | 24. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. |
| 5. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. | 25. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. |
| 6. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. | 26. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. |
| 7. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. | 27. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. |
| 8. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. | 28. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. |
| 9. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. | 29. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. |
| 10. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. | 30. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. |
| 11. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. | 31. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. |
| 12. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. | 32. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. |
| 13. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. | 33. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. |
| 14. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. | 34. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. |
| 15. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. | 35. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. |
| 16. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. | 36. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. |
| 17. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. | 37. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. |
| 18. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. | 38. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. |
| 19. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. | 39. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. |
| 20. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. | 40. <i>Widdowson v. Widdowson</i> , 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101, 10 Q.B. 101. |

he obtains the opinion of the court upon the propriety or validity of the order, and it is set aside or confirmed accordingly. The application may be made before a single judge sitting in the bail court(*p*). The application to set aside the order should be made on producing a copy of the order(*q*), which should be annexed to or set forth in the affidavit, or the affidavit should state the substance of the order, which has been held sufficient without producing a copy(*r*). It does not seem necessary to make the order a rule of court before moving to set it aside(*s*). Where the application is to set aside anything done under an order, (for instance, to strike out pleas), it should include a discharge of the order(*t*). The application should be made as early as possible, so as to prevent the opposite party from incurring further expense(*u*), and at all events in the next term, if the order has been made in vacation(*v*); and after a judge's order had been made a rule of court, it is too late to object in answer to a rule calling upon the party to pay money in pursuance of such order, that the judge had no power to make it(*x*). The same affidavits as were used before the judge on obtaining the order may be used on the application to set it aside(*y*). In general no costs are allowed on the rescinding(*z*).

When an order has been made, or the conditions annexed to an order imposed, under a mistake, or when new circumstances arise which render it clearly essential to the justice of a case, a judge will vary or amend his order, or will sometimes even rescind it, when it appears to have been irregularly and improperly obtained(*a*). The application for this purpose must be by summons, as in the first instance, which will be granted by any judge of the court, but it can only be heard before the judge who made the order; and, in general, no judge will hear a summons relating to, or, indeed, interfere in any way with the order of another judge(*b*), unless the judge who made the order is not in town, or some new matter is to be considered, or when urgent and peculiar circumstances render it obviously necessary for the purposes of justice(*c*).

By Summons before a Judge.

The decision of a judge at chambers as to amendments of pleadings, within the limits of its discretionary power over such amendments, will not be interfered with by the court(*d*). And the court will not interfere with the judge's decision, as to the costs of the application to him(*e*). The court has power, it seems, in an action brought by executors, to review a judge's order granting a discontinuance without costs(*f*). If an order be made *ex parte* in a case where the party against

When the Court will interfere or not.

(*p*) *King v. Myers*, 5 Dowl. 696.
 (*q*) *Heby v. Pritchard*, 5 Dowl. 300.
 (*r*) *Shirley v. Jacobs*, 3 Dowl. 101.
 (*s*) *Spicer v. Todd*, 2 C. & J. 165; 1 Dowl. 306, S. C.; *sed vide Hailes v. Johnson*, 1 Y. & J. 12.
 (*t*) *South Eastern Railway v. ———*, Q. B., M. 1839, 3 Jurist, 1076.
 (*u*) *Thompson v. Carter*, 3 Dowl. 657.
 (*v*) *Granby v. Frowd*, 11 Leg. Obs. 213.
 (*x*) *Wilson v. Northrup*, 4 Dowl. 441.
 (*y*) *Pickford v. Ewington*, 4 Dowl. 453; T. & G. 29; 1 Gale, 357, S. C.
 (*z*) *Hargreaves v. Hadden*, 3 Dowl. 176; *Wright v. Skinner*, T. & G. 69; *Wilkes v. Liddup*, 2 Nev. & P. 99; *Easton v. Owen*,

5 Ad. & Ell. 298.
 (*a*) *Clark v. Manns*, 1 Dowl. 656; Bagley's Prac. 29.
 (*b*) 2 Chit. Rep. 83; *Wright v. Stevenson*, 5 Taunt. 850.
 (*c*) Price's N. P. 317.
 (*d*) *R. v. Archbishop of York*, 3 Nev. & M. 453; 1 Ad. & Ell. 304, S. C.; and see *Atkinson v. Baynton*, 1 Bing. N. C. 740; 1 Hodgrs. 144, S. C.
 (*e*) See *Dary v. Brimon*, 1 Scott, 381; 1 Bing. N. C. 460, S. C.
 (*f*) *Lakin v. Massie*, 1 Gale, 270; 4 Dowl. 239, S. C.; *sed vide Maddock v. Phillips*, 5 Nev. & M. 370; 3 Ad. & Ell. 196, S. C., *contra*.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

whom it was made is entitled to a summons, the order be set aside(*f*). An order obtained from a judge's clerk under a mis-statement, is, it seems, a nullity(*g*).

Orders granted without Summons.

Orders granted without Summons. } Besides the orders granted upon summons, in the instances before mentioned, there are some cases where a judge at chambers will make an order without summons; such as an order that defendant be held to bail(*h*); that plaintiff may issue a *distringas* to compel the appearance of, or to outlaw defendant(*i*); plaintiff may sue *in forma pauperis*(*j*); that unless a defendant appear, John Doe may be assigned as his proctor, and a common appearance be entered for him(*k*); to compel the attendance of a witness, or the production of documents, before an arbitrator(*l*); to amend the return of a *distringas*, or *habeas corpora*, or clause of *Prize*(*m*); or to charge a prisoner in custody on a criminal account with a civil action(*n*), or to enter up judgment on a writ of attorney(*o*).

There are also other cases in which the judge's order merely requires one of the masters to draw up a rule of court where such rule becomes necessary in vacation(*p*).

How to proceed if Order refused, and Party dissatisfied with Refusal.

How to proceed if Order refused, and Party dissatisfied with Refusal. } When an order is refused by a judge, the applicant if dissatisfied, should apply to the court, and not to another judge. The practice of applying to a second judge for an order which has been refused by the first, has been so reprobated(*q*). And when notice to justify bail was refused before one judge, who decided that he had no authority to take the bail, and the defendant's attorney afterwards gave two other notices of the same bail to justify before other judges, the Court of King's Bench, upon application by the attorney, referred it to the master to ascertain what costs were incurred by the plaintiff in opposing bail under vexatious circumstances(*r*).

(*f*) *Clarke v. Stockton*, 2 Bing. N.C. 681.

(*g*) *Wentham v. Price*, 1 C. & M. 382; see *quære*?

(*h*) Vol. I. 497.

(*i*) Vol. I. 131.

(*j*) *Andr.* 918, 919.

(*k*) *Andr.* 882.

(*l*) *Post*, 1223.

(*m*) *Andr.* 1128, 1129.

(*n*) *Andr.* 854.

(*o*) *Andr.* 683.

(*p*) See *Andr.* 1135. See *Form* Chit. *Form*, 698.

(*q*) *Wright v. Shumway*, 5 Tatt.

(*r*) *Stear v. Smith*, 1 Chit. Rep.

CHAPTER XXXVI.

AFFIDAVITS.

e, 1208.
 onent's Abode, 1211.
 onent's Addition, 1212.
 onent's Signature, 1213.
 st, *id.*
 re whom to be Sworn, 1215.

When to be Sworn, 1217.
 When to be Filed, *id.*
 How long in Force, 1218.
 Defects, when Aided, Amended,
 &c., *id.*

CHAP. XXXVI.

Contents of
the Affidavit.

THE contents of an affidavit must necessarily vary according to the circumstances of each case. The rules relating them in particular cases, will be found under their respective heads throughout the Work (*a*). But the only general rule which can be laid down is, that the affidavit should set forth all the facts and circumstances necessary to be stated in each particular case, explicitly and with certainty (*b*), and that where a deponent swears to any fact as being his own knowledge, he must swear directly and positively. For instance, material dates must be sworn to positively, and the word "about" being considered to depend on the conscience of the party making the affidavit, should not be used in specifying them (*c*). Where the fact is not within his knowledge, so much precision is not necessary (*d*). Where the deponent states a fact from information, he should generally add that he believes it to be true. An affidavit by the deponent "verily believes" is entitled to some credit in the absence of a contrary affidavit (*e*). Affidavits in support of a rule to set aside proceedings must shew a clear case for reversal; and therefore, when it was moved to set aside a judgment on the ground that the accounts between the parties had been investigated and found to be incorrect, and that the plaintiff had agreed that any error should be rectified, it was held that affidavits were defective, in not stating that the error was the amount (*f*). So, on application for a review of taxation costs, the affidavit should state the specific objections to the taxation (*g*).

When the affidavit is made by one person only, it begins thus: "*A. B., of ———, Gentleman, maketh oath and saith,*" &c.; but when made by more than one person, then thus: "*A. B., of ———, Gentleman, and C. D., of ———,*

[As to the form of an affidavit of facts, see *ante*, 705, 706. Affidavit to oblige a judge's order to hold to bail, *ante*, L. p. 484; affidavit of service in ejectment, *ante*, 743. And see Index.

[As to the degree of certainty required, it has been held that an allegation by a deponent objects that there is no notice, &c., is not a sufficient averment that

in fact there was no notice. (*R. v. Manchester Railway Co.*, 3 Nev. & P. 439).

(c) *Willes v. James*, 1 Dowl. 498; see *Basket v. Barnard*, 4 M. & Sel. 231.

(d) See *West v. Eyles*, 2 W. Bl. 1059.

(e) Per Lord Abinger, *Maton v. Hayter*, Eq. Exch. T. T. 1839; 3 Jurist, 769.

(f) *Preedy v. Lovell*, 4 Dowl. 671.

(g) See *Daniel v. Bishop*, M'Clel. 61.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

*Esquire, severally make oath and say; and first this deponent B., for himself saith, that" &c.; "and this deponent C. D., for himself saith, that" &c. And if there be any facts to which both of them can swear, then "and these several deponents, B. and C. D., say, that" &c. (A). An affidavit in which the word "oath" was omitted (i), and another in which the word "said" was substituted for "saith," were held insufficient (j). So was an affidavit of service in ejectment omitting the word "copy" (k). But, in general, clerical errors and mistakes of spelling are not considered a sufficient ground for rejecting an affidavit when the meaning is clear (l). An unauthorized alteration in the *jurat* or other parts of the affidavit after it is sworn, will render it null, and invalidate any proceedings founded on it (m). It may be here observed that the affidavit should not contain unnecessary matter; and if it does to any great extent, the court will refer it to the master, to make the party using it pay the costs occasioned by the unnecessary matter (n).*

Title.
In the Court.

Title.] If there be a cause in court, all affidavits made in the progress of it must be intitled correctly in the court, or otherwise shew that it is sworn in the court (o). An affidavit of debt not intitled in the court, but purporting at the foot of it to have been sworn before "J. Y., deputy filazer" (p), or "at the King's Bench Office, Inner Temple before me, T. C." (q), has been held sufficient. So, if the affidavit be sworn before a commissioner of the court, it need not be intitled in it, if on the face of the affidavit or *jurat* it appear to be such commissioner (r). And by *R. H.*, 2 W. 6, r. 4, "an affidavit sworn before a judge of any of the Courts of King's Bench, Common Pleas, or Exchequer, shall be received in the court to which such judge belongs, though not intitled of that court, but not in any other court, unless intitled of the court in which it is to be used." Where an affidavit of debt was sworn in Ireland, before a commissioner of the Common Pleas and Exchequer, it was holden that the title of the court need not be prefixed to the affidavit when sworn, but that the affidavit might be taken before such commissioner to be afterwards intitled, and used in either of the courts (s). And it seems that it may be used in either court without being previously intitled, if it appear by the *jurat* that the person before whom it was sworn is a commissioner of both courts (t).

(A) *Franky v. Leach*, 4 Dowl. 671. See Chit. Forms, 634.

(i) *Oliver v. Price*, 3 Dowl. 321.

(j) *Heworth v. Hetherwy*, 3 Dowl. 468.

(k) *Acme*, 1 Chit. 322, n.

(l) *Heworth v. Hetherwy*, 3 Dowl. 468.

(m) *Flannery v. Smith*, 1 Bing. N.C. 649; *Wright v. Shrewer*, 5 Dowl. 22.

(n) *Bramley v. Foster*, 1 Chit. Rep. 322; *Leake v. Wenlock*, 3 Dowl. 622; *Ex p. Boulton*, 7 Price, 264. In *Williams v. Hunt*, 1 Chit. 321, the court refused a rule to review taxation, because the affidavit on which it was moved contained a mass of irrelevant matter as to the merits of the case.

(o) *Melling v. Palmer*, 3 M. & Sel. 127;

Ree v. Hare, 12 East, 182; *Osborn v. St. John*, 1 B. & P. 271. As to proceedings in habeas corpus cases moved, see *Forbes v. West*, 5 Nev. & M. 221; and see *Reid v. Burke*, 12 Meera, 222; 4 Bing. 22, &c. in which case the affidavit was intitled in the "Common Place" instead of "Common Pleas," and held good.

(p) *Blund v. Drake*, 1 Chit. Rep. 154.

(q) *Hewell v. Williams*, 7 B. & C. 228.

(r) *Draper v. Dick*, 3 Dowl. 2. In that case the affidavit was sworn in Ireland.

(s) *Perce v. Brunning*, 1 M. & W. 22; see *White v. Spring*, 5 East. 222.

(t) *White v. Spring*, 5 East. 222.

The affidavit, if made after the commencement of a suit, in support of or opposition to a motion respecting the suit, must also be intitled *in the cause*, (if any), stating the christian names as well as the surnames of *all* the parties(*u*). Intitling it T. r. G. "and others," would be bad(*v*). The christian name must be written at *length*(*x*). It must also clearly appear which of the parties are plaintiffs and which are defendants(*y*). If defective in either of these respects, the court will not allow them to be read, even although the adverse party be willing to waive the objection(*z*). And if the affidavit be in verification of a plea in abatement, the plaintiff might, for such a defect, sign judgment for want of a plea(*a*). An affidavit in a cause intitled "G. Shrimpton r. Wm. Carter, the elder, sued as William Carter," the cause being G. Shrimpton r. Wm. Carter, has been rejected as badly intitled(*b*). And it has been held that affidavits in support of a motion for setting aside a writ of summons or *distringas* must be intitled in the same names as are in the summons, although the parties are there incorrectly described, and not in the right names(*c*). An affidavit in a cause intitled "C. D. ats. A. B.," instead of "A. B. r. C. D." is bad(*d*). An affidavit in a non-bailable action against two *before declaration* may, it seems, be intitled "A. r. B.," (the defendant who makes the application)(*e*), or "A. r. B. sued with C." (the other defendant)(*f*). The affidavit should also shew, in its title, the *character* in which the parties sue or are sued(*g*). Even styling the plaintiff as "assignee, &c.," without saying of whom, is defective(*h*). And the Court of Exchequer declined to act upon an affidavit intitled "A. r. B., executor &c.," without specifying the party of whom the defendant was executor(*i*). It seems doubtful whether the same rule applies in the case of persons empowered to sue by act of parliament(*k*). But where, in a declaration in ejectment, the lessors of the plaintiff are described to be executors, the affidavit of service need not, it seems, in stating the name of the cause, notice the character of the lessors stated in the declaration(*l*). And where in a declaration in ejectment there are both joint and separate demises, the title of the affidavit need not distinguish the joint from the separate(*m*). The intitling of an affidavit by describing the plaintiff as "Gent., one" &c., the plaintiff not being an attorney, does not vitiate it, and the description may be rejected as surplusage(*n*). If an action be against several defendants, if they be not all in

CHAP. XI.
In the C.

(u) *Furns v. Diemar*, 7 T. R. 681; *Bullman v. Callow*, 1 Chit. Rep. 727; *Anderman v. Baker*, 3 Dowl. 107; see *Dand v. Barnes*, 1 Marsh. 403; 6 Taunt. 5, S. C.; *Mackenzie v. Martin*, Id. 206. The affidavit of verification annexed to a plea in abatement need not be intitled if the plea be so. (*Prince v. Nicholas*, 5 Id. 333; see also *Doe v. Spencer v. Want*, 8 Id. 47; *Thorpe v. Hank*, 1 Dowl. 494).

(v) *Tomkins v. Geach*, 5 Dowl. 549.

(x) *Masters v. Carter*, 4 Dowl. 577.

(y) *Harris v. Griffiths*, Id. 213.

(z) *Owen v. Hurd*, 2 T. R. 644.

(a) *Pinde v. Pembrey*, 1 Dowl. 623.

(b) *Shrimpton v. Carter*, 3 Dowl. 648; see *Burthwick v. Ravenacroft*, *infra*.

(c) *Burthwick v. Ravenacroft*, 7 Dowl. 213; 5 M. & W. 31, S. C.

(d) *Richard v. Isaac*, 1 C., M. & R. 136; 2 Dowl. 710, S. C.

(e) *Dand v. Barnes*, 6 Taunt. 5.

(f) *Mackenzie v. Martin*, 6 Taunt. 206.

(g) *Steyner v. Cottrell*, 3 Taunt. 377; *Wright v. Hunt*, 1 Dowl. 457; *Anon., Executors v. Administrators*, Id. 97.

(h) Id.: *Phillips v. Hutchinson*, 3 Dowl. 23.

(i) *Clark v. Martin*, 3 Dowl. 222.

(k) *Marshall v. Adams*, Bail Court, T. 1834, per *Cleridge, J.*, 2 Jurist, 944.

(l) *Doe Jenks v. Roe*, 2 Dowl. 55.

(m) *Doe v. Roe*, 5 Dowl. 447.

(n) *Reeves v. Crisp*, 6 M. & Sel. 274.

DEED IV.
PART 4.

court, the affidavit may be intitled in the names of Court only who are in court(e). On an application against a claimant under the Interpleader Act for costs, the affidavit should be intitled in the original action(f). Where a cause is removed into the Exchequer Chamber by writ of error, all affidavits in the Exchequer Chamber must be intitled in the cause in error, and not in the original action(g). And so on a writ of false judgment(r). It seems that the affidavits in support of a rule for a *procedendo* should not be intitled in the cause in the inferior court, but in the superior court only(s). On moving for a rule nisi for a *certiorari*, the affidavits must not be intitled in any cause(t). And the same in moving for a criminal information(u). In shewing cause against a criminal information, it is optional to intitle them or not(s). An affidavit in support of a rule to set aside a bail-bond, on the ground of a mistake in the defendant's surname, must be intitled with the right name of the party, and not with the name by which he was arrested(y). And an affidavit to set aside a *ca. sa.* for misnomer should be intitled in the name by which he was sued(z). An affidavit to support a rule nisi for staying proceedings on a bail-bond may be intitled in the action against the bail(e); or in the original action(b); but however, proceedings against bail be founded upon a judgment irregularly obtained by the plaintiff, only one application is necessary to set aside the irregular judgment and the proceedings against the bail; and the affidavits, in such a case, must be intitled in the original action(c). On an application by bail to set aside proceedings in the original action, and in the action against themselves, the proceedings may be intitled in both actions(d). A motion on behalf of the same plaintiff, in two different actions, upon the same ground of application, may be made upon one affidavit intitled in both actions(e). Upon an application for a rule that an attorney pay over a sum of money, or give up a document received by him in a particular cause, the affidavits must be intitled in the cause in which the money or document was received(f). And they may be so intitled although judgment has been signed and execution issued(g). But where there is as yet no cause in court, the affidavits should not be intitled; otherwise the court probably would not allow them to be made use of. Thus, an affidavit to hold to bail before 1 & 2 V. c. 110, must not have been intitled; or, if intitled, it could not be read(h), because, as yet, there was no cause in court; and this is, &

(e) *Dand v. Burton*, 6 Tanc. 5; 1 Marsh. 408, 2 C. 6 Tanc. 208. But see *Bullman v. Oakes*, 1 Chit. Rep. 727, 728 n.

(f) *Exile v. Spurrey*, 1 H. & W. 370.
(g) *Goodell v. Rogers*, 4 B. & C. 660; 7 D. & R. 220, 2 C.

(r) *Wolton v. Walker*, 9 Bing. 313; 1 Mon. & Scott, 427, 2 C.

(s) *Jamison v. Schumann*, 1 Dowl. 173.

(t) *Er p. Nohy*, 1 B. & C. 307.

(u) *R. v. Harrison*, 6 T. R. 60; *R. v. Robinson*, 6 T. R. 642.

(s) *Id.*

(y) *French v. Oakes*, 9 Dowl. 200; 8 C. 412, 2 C.; *Shaw v. Robinson*, 8 D. & R. 422.

(z) *Thorne v. Heath*, 1 Dowl. 424.

(a) *Roberts v. Oldham*, 1 B. & P. 207.

(b) *Kelly v. Weather*, 2 Chit. Rep. 100.

(c) *Stride v. Hall*, 4 Dowl. 700; *Law v. Chetwode*, Exch. M.R., 10th Jan. 1855; 2 Tyr. 177; and vide *Hunt v. Wilson*, 1 Bing. 142; 7 Moore, 201, 2 C. 402; and see *Montford v. Henshaw*, 7 Moore, 624.

(d) *Barlow v. Kays*, 4 T. R. 502.

(e) *French v. Conderman*, 7 Dowl. 21.

(f) *Pier v. Brown*, 2 Dowl. 200; but see *Hargreave v. Mowat*, Bull. Court. N. 1854, per *Lattinbach, J.* 2 Jurist, 254.

(g) *M.R.*, 4. 1854; *Shaw v. Oakes*, 6 Dowl. 314.

(h) *Shaw v. Oakes*, 6 Dowl. 200.

(i) *R. T.*, 27 G. 2.

is still the case if the affidavit be sworn before the writ of CHAP. XXXV.
 nons is sued out; which, according to a late resolution
 of the judges, it may be (i). But if sworn after the writ of
 nons has been issued, then it would seem that it ought to
 be intitled in the cause. In moving for leave to enter up
 judgment on an old warrant of attorney, the affidavit may be
 sworn in a cause (j), but this is not absolutely requisite (k).
 An affidavit on an application for the delivering up of a
 warrant of attorney may be intitled in a cause (l). Where a
 submission to arbitration is made a rule of court, and no
 motion is pending, the affidavits in support of an application to
 set aside the award, or for an attachment for not performing
 the award, need not be intitled (m), although the affidavits in shewing
 the cause must (n). But where a cause is referred under an order
nisi Prius, the affidavits must be intitled in the action (o).
 In proceedings upon an attachment in a civil suit being
 removed to the civil side of the court until the attachment is actually
 dissolved, the affidavits in applying for the rule *nisi* (p), and
 shewing cause against it (q), must be intitled in the
 cause; but after the rule is made absolute, all future affidavits
 upon an application to set aside the attachment, or the
 rule, must be intitled "*The Queen v. —*" (the party at-
 tached) (r); and in the case of an attachment against the
 sheriff, you generally add the name of the cause thus: "*The
 Queen against the Sheriff of Middlesex, in a cause of J. N.
 against J. S.*;" though this is not, it seems, absolutely re-
 quired (s).

[*Deponent's Abode.*] The affidavit must state the true place Deponent's
Abode.
 of the person making it (t), otherwise the court will
 not allow it to be used; or, in the case of an affidavit to hold
 bail, will discharge the defendant on a common appear-
 ance (u). The deponent's addition, however, need not, it
 may be stated if he be a party in the cause, and describe
 himself as such, by the words, "the above-named plaintiff,"
 "the above-named defendant," or the like (x). Where a de-
 fendant described himself as of "the city of London, mer-
 chant," it was holden to be sufficient (y); so, where he de-
 scribed himself as "of Bath, in the county of Somerset,
 merchant" (z); or as "of Kennington, in the county of Sur-
 rey" (a); or as of "Lawrence Pountney, in the city of Lon-
 don" (b), without stating whether parish, place, or lane. So,

Notes, Vol. I. p. 485.
Booby v. Woodroff, 1 B. & Ald.
Booby v. Robberds, Id. 568, n.
Booby v. Stanbury, 3 Dowl. 440: *Ex
 parte*, 8 B. & C. 409.
Hompson v. Faur, 5 Dowl. 691.
Bainbridge v. Houlton, 5 East, 21.
Brown v. Brown, 3 T. R. 601: *In re
 Brown*, 2 Moo. & P. 452.
De Clarke v. Stillwell, 6 Dowl. 305.
Wood v. Webb, 3 T. R. 253: *Ethring-
 ton v. Kemp*, 1 Chit. 727, n.
Whitehead v. Firth, 12 East, 165.
Law v. Sheriff of Middlesex, 7 T. R.
 17: *Whitehead v. Firth*, 12 East,
 165.
Law v. Sheriff of Middlesex, 5 B. &
 8 D. & R. 149, S. C.
L. M., 15 C. 2: see 4 Taunt. 154.

(u) *Jarret v. Dillon*, 1 East, 18.
 (x) *Angel v. Ihler*, 5 M. & W. 163;
Brooks v. Farlar, 5 Dowl. 361, C. P.;
Jackson v. Chard, 2 Dowl. 409, Q. B.;
Poole v. Pembrey, 1 Dowl. 693; 3 Tyr.
 387, Exch. S. C.; *Jerris v. Jones*, 4 Dowl.
 610; 1 H. & W. 654: and see *Sharr v.
 Johnston*, 2 Bing. N. C. 246; 2 Scott, 407;
 4 Dowl. 324, S. C. *Lawson v. Case*, 1 C.
 & M. 481, to the contrary, is a solitary
 case, and clearly wrong.
 (y) *Vassier v. Ahlerson*, 3 M. & Sel. 165.
 (z) *Coppin v. Potter*, 4 Moo. & Scott,
 272; 2 Dowl. 785.
 (a) *Wilton v. Chambers*, 1 H. & W. 116:
 and see *Hunt's bail*, 4 Dowl. 272; 1 H. &
 W. 520, S. C.
 (b) *Miller v. Miller*, 2 Scott, 117.

OR IV.
BY I.

where he described himself as "late of Tyrone, in the county of Tyrone, in Ireland, but now in Dublin Castle," it was deemed sufficient(c). And where a foreigner, who had come to this country merely for temporary purposes, described himself as of his place of residence abroad, it was deemed sufficient(d). So, where an attorney's clerk stated the place of business of his employer as his residence(e); or, where a clerk described himself of the office where he did business during the day, although he slept elsewhere at night(f), and where a person lately discharged from prison, but who slept there at night, described himself as late of that prison(g), the court held these to be sufficient descriptions of the deponent's place of abode, within the meaning of the rule of court above mentioned. And in an affidavit by an attorney's clerk it is enough to state his master's residence(h). But a deponent describing himself as "clerk to the defendant's attorney," without stating any residence, is insufficient(i). If the defendant be a prisoner in the custody of the sheriff(j), or in the Fleet, &c., merely describing as such will suffice; and this although when he made the affidavit he was out on a writ(k). A deponent cannot describe himself as late of a place where he has ceased to reside, when he actually resides at another place at the time of making the affidavit(l). And where the deponent described himself as of "Dorset-place, Clapham-road, Middlesex," and his true place of residence was Dorset-place, Clapham-road, Surrey, it was holden bad(m). The court, however, have in some cases refused to try the real place of the deponent's abode upon affidavit(o).

Deponent's
Addition.

Deponent's Addition.] The rule of *H. T., 2 W. 4, r. 5*, requires that "the addition of every person making an affidavit shall be inserted therein." But the rule does not extend to an affidavit made by a party in the cause, if he describes himself as such, as by the words "the above-named plaintiff," or "the above-named defendant," or the like(p). Where, in an affidavit to found a motion, the addition of the deponent is omitted, the court will not inquire whether the facts sworn to by a deponent are sufficient to support the application(q). Merchant(r), and manufacturer(s), and "late clerk to," &c.(t), and "managing clerk to," &c.(u), and "agent and collector to A. B., (the plaintiff), an hotel-keeper"(v), have been considered sufficient(x). And an affidavit commencing "R. J., late of the city of W., victualler, but now of" &c.,

(c) *Stewart v. Geronau*, 1 H. & W. 689.

(d) *Bouchet v. Kiffin*, 3 East, 154.

(e) *Alexander v. Milton*, 1 Howl. 570; 2 C. & J. 424, 8 C.: *Strike v. Blanchard*, 5 Dowl. 216.

(f) *Hastop v. Thorne*, 1 M. & Sel. 103; *Ann.*, 2 Chit. Rep. 15; *Alexander v. Milton*, 2 C. & J. 424.

(g) *Sedley v. White*, 11 East, 282.

(h) *Strike v. Blanchard*, 5 Dowl. 216; *Bottomley v. Belchambers*, 4 Dowl. 26.

(i) *Daniels v. May*, 5 Dowl. 83; but see *Simpson v. Drummond*, 2 Dowl. 472; *Bottomley v. Belchambers*, 4 Dowl. 26; 1 H. & W. 362, 8 C.

(k) *Jervis v. Jones*, 1 H. & W. 454; 4 Dowl. 610, 8 C.

(l) *Sharp v. Johnston*, 3 Wng. R. C.

246; 4 Dowl. 384, 8 C.

(m) *Sedley v. White*, 11 East, 282.

(n) *Collins v. Goodger*, 4 D. & E. 41; 1 B. & C. 563, 8 C.

(o) See Tidd, 9th ed. 179; 2 Smith, 31. 8 C.: *Ann.*, 2 Leg. Obs. 282.

(p) *Ante*, 1211, n. (a).

(q) *Re v. Justices of Carmarthen*, 5 Mo. & M. 364.

(r) *Foster v. Alderson*, 3 M. & Sel. 165.

(s) *Smith v. Younger*, 2 B. & F. 26.

(t) *Simpson v. Drummond*, 2 Dowl. 472.

(u) Per *Littledale, J.*, *Green v. Bunting*, 6 Ad. & Ell. 801.

(v) *Sharp v. Campbell*, 3 Dowl. 47.

(x) See Vol. I. 485; see *Ann.*, 6 Term. 75; and see the cases as to the deponent

without any further addition, has been held sufficient (*y*). CHAP. XXXV
 But "assessor" is not a sufficient description (*z*). Nor is
 "acting as managing clerk to" &c. (*a*). An affidavit of ine-
 rits to set aside an interlocutory judgment, or the like, must
 be made either by the party himself, or by his attorney
 in the cause, or by the managing clerk of the attorney, who
 has the management of that particular cause; and he must
 describe himself accordingly in the affidavit (*b*). In a joint
 affidavit, an objection to the description of one of the depo-
 nents does not render the statements of the others inadmis-
 sible (*c*).

It is not in general necessary to give any addition to any Addition, &c.
of other Par-
ties.
 other party but the deponent (*d*). But the christian and
 surnames of parties ought in general to be inserted, if practi-
 cable (*e*).

Deponent's Signature.] Affidavits made in this country Deponent's
Signature.
 must be signed by the deponent. It is no objection to an
 affidavit that the signature is in a foreign character (*f*). An
 affidavit sworn before a judge in Germany and signed by
 the judge, but not by the deponent, has been held sufficient,
 it being sworn that such is the practice in Germany (*g*).

Jurat.] The *jurat* is written at the foot of the affidavit, Jurat.
 to the left of the page, in this form: "*Sworn at* ———, *this*
 ——— *day of* ———, 1838, *before me,* ———." But if the
 affidavit be made by two or more persons, their names must
 be severally written in the *jurat* (*h*); and the form in that
 case will be thus: "*The above-named deponents, A. B. and*
C. D., were severally sworn at ———, *this* ——— *day of*
 ———, 1838, *before me,* ———." The time of swearing the
 affidavit must be stated in the *jurat* (*i*); and if sworn be-
 fore a commissioner, the *jurat* should state the place where
 the affidavit was sworn (*k*), though the Court of Common
 Pleas have held that the omission is not material (*l*). If
 sworn abroad, the *jurat* must state the place at which it
 was sworn (*m*). Where no place was mentioned in the
jurat, but the affidavit purported to be sworn before the
 Chief Justice of the King's Bench in Ireland, and to be
 signed by him, and the signature was verified here by affidavit,
 it was deemed sufficient to hold a defendant to bail under a
 judge's order (*n*). If the affidavit be sworn before a com-
 missioner of the court, by a person who, from his signature,

of bail in the notice of bail, ante, Vol. I.
 580.

(*y*) *Angel v. Inter*, 5 M. & W. 163.

(*z*) *Nathan v. Cohen*, 3 Dowl. 370; 1
 H. & W. 107, & C.

(*a*) *Graves v. Brucning*, 6 Ad. & El.
 805.

(*b*) *Nesom v. Whytock*, 3 Taunt. 403;
R. v. Sheriff of Middlesex, 1 Chit. 372.

(*c*) *Nathan v. Cohen*, 3 Dowl. 370; 1 H.
 & W. 107, S. C.: *Es p. Edmunds*, 5
 Dowl. 702; but see *R. v. Sheriffs of Car-*
marvon, 5 Nev. & M. 364.

(*d*) See *Waters v. Joyce*, 1 D. & R.
 150.

(*e*) See *Reynolds v. Hankin*, 4 B. & Akl.
 536; but see *Howell v. Coleman*, 2 B. &
 P. 466.

(*f*) *Nathan v. Cohen*, 3 Dowl. 370.

(*g*) *In re Early*, 6 Dowl. 615.

(*h*) R. M., 37 G. 3, r. 1: 7 T. R. 82: R.
 T., 1 G. 4, Exch.: 6 Bing. 236.

(*i*) *Doe v. Roe*, 1 Chit. Rep. 228: *Wood*
v. Stephens, 3 Moore, 236.

(*k*) MS., E. 1814, Q. B.: *Ror v. Cock-*
shaw, 2 Nev. & M. 378: *R. v. West*
Riding of Yorkshire, 3 M. & Selw. 403:
Boyd v. Straker, 7 Price, 662.

(*l*) *Symmers v. Wason*, 1 B. & P. 105.

(*m*) *Walker v. Christian*, cor. *Honnquet*,
 J., at chambers, 3rd April, 1835, after
 consultation with other judges: also in
 another case on same day. In the first
 case the affidavit was sworn in the Indies,
 in the latter at Boulogne; but in neither
 case did the affidavit state it.

(*n*) *French v. Bellew*, 1 M. & Sel. 302.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

appears to be illiterate, such commissioner shall certify in the *jurat* that the affidavit was read in his presence to the party making the same, and that such party seemed perfectly to understand the same, and that the party wrote his signature in the presence of the commissioner (e); but if sworn before a judge, or in court, this is unnecessary. When an affidavit is made by a foreigner in the English language, an interpreter must be sworn by the officer taking the affidavit to interpret it truly, and the *jurat* should state that the interpreter was so sworn, and did interpret the affidavit. It is not, however, necessary that any affidavit should be made by the interpreter, or the officer taking the affidavit; it is sufficient that the latter certifies by the *jurat* that such steps were taken (f). If an affidavit purports to be signed by a deponent, it will be no objection that it is signed in a foreign character; and there is no statement in the *jurat* to shew that the defendant is a foreigner, and that the writing in question is his signature (g). In the case also of an affidavit made by a marksman, it is sufficient for the officer making the *jurat* to certify thereon, that it was read over to the deponent, and seemed to be understood by him, without the officers making an affidavit. If the affidavit be in a foreign language, there must be another affidavit by an interpreter as to its translation and meaning (r). Also, if sworn before a commissioner, it should appear that the person before whom it is sworn is a commissioner of the court (s); although, perhaps, this would not be considered material, if the affidavit be intitled in the court in which it is used, and the commissioner be in fact a commissioner of the court (t). But in a case in the Common Pleas, it was held that an affidavit of debt sworn before a commissioner in the country, without stating him to be a commissioner in the *jurat*, is insufficient, although intitled in the court (u). The *jurat* of an affidavit sworn before a commissioner, stating it to have been received "by virtue of a commission forth," &c., omitting the word "issued" is sufficient (v).

Erasure or
interlineation
in *Jurat*.

The rule of *M. T.*, 37 *Geo. 3.*, (7 *T. R.*, 82, *Q. B.*), provides "that no affidavit be read or made use of in any matter depending in this court, in the *jurat* of which there shall be any interlineation or erasure." A line drawn through two words in the *jurat*, leaving them, however, perfectly legible, is an erasure within this rule, and vitiates the affidavit, though the omission or retention of the words would not vary the sense (x). But the alteration of a figure in the date of an affidavit in the *jurat*, by writing one figure over

(e) *R. E.*, 31 *G. 3.*, *Q. B.*; 4 *T. R.*, 384; *R. T.*, 1 *G. 4.*, *Knox*; see *Haguer v. Pennell*, 3 *Dowl.*, 589; 1 *Chit. Rep.*, 691. It must be read over and explained by the commissioner, and not by a third party. (*R. v. Sheriff of Middlesex* in *Dunlop v. Ashbury*, 4 *Dowl.*, 708). See the form, *Chit. Forms*, 387.

(f) *Beec v. Sellers*, 6 *D. & R.*, 514; 4 *B. & C.*, 358, *S. C.*; and see *Marsden v. Jefferies*, 1 *Dowl.*, 41.

(g) *Nathan v. Cohen*, 3 *Dowl.*, 370.

(r) It is no objection to an affidavit sworn before a foreign court, that it was taken in the foreign language, &c. unless

intend, and the translation verified; and the oath may be administered in the foreign language if it be translated by an interpreter to the deponent. (*Beec v. Sellers*, 4 *Dowl.*, 618).

(s) *Re v. Hays*, 13 *East*, 188.

(t) See *Kennet Canal Company v. Junt*, 7 *T. R.*, 461; *R. T.*, 3 *W. & M.*; *Shaw v. Johnson*, 4 *Dowl.*, 384.

(u) *Howard v. Brown*, 1 *Mon. & R.*, 32; 4 *King*, 388, *S. C.*

(v) *Daly v. Mahon*, 4 *Dowl.*, 388.

(x) *Williams v. Cough*, 1 *Add. & R.*, 375; see *Hoskisson v. Pennell*, 6 *King*, 389; 4 *Mon. & R.*, 371, *S. C.*

another, is not an erasure or interlineation within the rule (*y*). CHAP. XXXVI.
And if the words "before me" in the *jurat* are struck out,
and the words "by the court" introduced, it is not, it seems,
within the rule (*z*). An erasure over the *jurat* does not
vitiate it (*a*).

In general, time will not be given to cure a defect in the *jurat* (*b*). Where, however, the names of the deponents were
omitted in the *jurat*, through the inadvertence of the judge's
clerk, an amendment was allowed (*c*). Amendment
of Jurat.

Before whom to be Sworn.] Affidavits intended to be used Before whom
to be sworn.
in the course of any proceedings in the superior courts must
be sworn either in the court in which the proceeding is pend-
ing, or before one of the judges sitting at *Nisi Prius* (*d*),
or at chambers (*e*), or before a commissioner of the court
authorized to take affidavits by stat. 29, C. 2, c. 5 (*f*); or
before a commissioner empowered to take affidavits in Scot-
land or Ireland, by the stat. 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, s. 42 (*post*,
1216); or, in case of an affidavit to hold to bail, (prior to
1 & 2 V. c. 110), before the officer who issued the process,
or his deputy (*g*). It has been held, that, since the 11 G.
4 & 1 W. 4, c. 70, s. 4, it is no objection to an affidavit to
ground an attachment against a witness for contempt, that it
is sworn before a judge of a different court from that to
which the contempt was shewn (*h*). Before Judge,
Commission-
er, &c.

A commission to take affidavits does not authorize the com-
missioner to administer an oath for the *rixdé voce* examina-
tion of a witness before an arbitrator (*i*).

By a general rule of all the courts of H. T., 2 W. 4, r. 1,
s. 3, "no affidavit of the service of process shall be deemed
sufficient, if made before the plaintiff's own attorney, or his
clerk." Also affidavits sworn before the attorney or solicitor
in a cause cannot be read (*k*), and this rule extends to affi-
davits taken before attornies, as commissioners, in causes
wherein they are concerned for the parties in whose behalf
such affidavits are made, except where they are made for the
purpose of holding the defendant to bail (*l*). And an affi-
davit made before a commissioner, who acts as the attorney
of the defendant *before* an appearance is entered, cannot be
used, for he is within the limit of the rule as the attorney
on the record (*m*); but it must be clearly shewn that he
acted as such attorney at the time of taking the affidavit,
and it is not sufficient to shew that he is so at the time of
making the objection (*n*). The statement of a party that a
particular person is his attorney, is sufficient evidence to Before Attor-
ney in the
Cause or his
Clerk.

(*y*) *Jacob v. Hungate*, 3 Dowl. 456, any other of the courts, provided it be
intituled in that other court.

(*z*) *Austin v. Grange*, 4 Dowl. 576.

(*a*) *Atkinson v. Thomson*, 2 Chit. Rep. 9: and see *Houlden v. Fassen*, 6 Bing. 36; 4 Moo. & P. 127, S. C.

(*b*) See *Anon.*, 2 Chit. Rep. 20. but see *Goodrich v. Farley*, 4 Dowl. 392.

(*c*) *Es p. Smith*, 2 Dowl. 607.

(*d*) *Rex v. Jolliffe*, 4 T. R. 225.

(*e*) It has been already noticed, (*ante*, 1208), that an affidavit sworn before a judge of the superior courts is receivable in the court to which he belongs, though not intituled; and that it is receivable in

(*f*) See *Rex v. Jones*, 2 Salk. 461. The statute is extended to the Isle of Man by 6 Geo. 3, c. 50, s. 2.

(*g*) 12 G. 1, c. 29, Vol. I. 496.

(*h*) *Phillips v. Drake*, 2 Dowl. 45.

(*i*) *Rex v. Hanks*, 3 Car. & P. 419, *per Gaselee, J.*

(*k*) R. E., 15 Geo. 2, r. 11, Q. B.: R. E. 13 Geo. 2, r. 1, C. P.

(*l*) *Gourtitle d. Pye v. Badtittle*, 8 T. R. 638.

(*m*) *Kidd v. Davis*, 5 Dowl. 568.

(*n*) *Beaumont v. Dean*, 4 Dowl. 354.

BOOK IV.
PART I.

invalidate the affidavit on this ground, though the fact was not positively sworn to (e). The rule which prevents the swearing of affidavits before the attorney or solicitor in the cause, did not formerly extend to the attorney's clerk (g). So, in the Common Pleas, if the agent in town were an attorney on record, it was no objection to an affidavit of the party, that it was sworn before his own attorney in the country (g). But now, by a general rule of all the courts of H. T., 2 W. 4, reg. 1, s. 6, "where an agent in town, or an attorney in the country, is the attorney on the record, an affidavit sworn before the attorney in the country shall not be received; and an affidavit sworn before an attorney's clerk shall not be received in cases where it would not be receivable if sworn before the attorney himself; but this rule shall not extend to affidavits to hold to bail." An affidavit sworn before the clerk to an attorney, who makes application that his client may be admitted as a party to the cause, is not within the prohibition of this rule (e).

Authority
for taking
affidavits in
England and
Ireland.

By the 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, s. 42, a power is given of granting commissions to take affidavits in Scotland and Ireland to be used in the superior courts of common law and equity at Westminster. And the enactment, after reciting that "it would be convenient if the power of the superior courts of common law and equity at Westminster to grant commissions for taking affidavits to be used in the said courts respectively should be extended," is as follows: "That the Lord High Chancellor, Lord Keeper, or Lords Commissioners of the Great Seal, the said Courts of Law, and the several judges of the same, shall have such and the same powers for granting commissions for taking and receiving affidavits in Scotland and Ireland, to be used and read in the said courts respectively, as they now have in all and every the shires and counties within the Kingdom of England, and dominions of Wales, and town of Berwick-upon-Tweed, and in the Isle of Man, by virtue of the statutes now in force; and that all and every person and persons wilfully swearing or affirming falsely in any affidavit to be made before any person or persons who shall be so empowered to take affidavits under the authority aforesaid, shall be deemed guilty of perjury, and shall incur and be liable to the same pains and penalties as if such person had wilfully sworn or affirmed falsely in the open court in which such affidavit shall be intitled, and be liable to be prosecuted for such perjury in any court of competent jurisdiction in that part of the United Kingdom in which such offence shall have been committed, or in that part of the United Kingdom in which such person shall be apprehended on such a charge."

Commissioners
of the Chief
Justice's List
sufficient.

Where it appeared from the affidavits that the defendant had been arrested for the amount of a bill of exchange, upon an affidavit made at *Athlone*, county of *Recessman*, in *Ireland*, purporting to be sworn before a commissioner for taking affidavits for the Court of Common Pleas, in the

(e) *Haddock v. Williams*, 7 Dowl. 327. (f) *Williams v. Heston*, 3 Term. 624; 11 M. & W. 424. (g) *Goodwin & Pys v. Heston*, 3 T. R. 624. (h) *De Grand v. Rye*, 3 Dowl. 624.

(i) *Apel v. Cooper*, 3 Term. 624; and see

said county, and was signed "John Gaynor," who stated that he was not a commissioner for taking affidavits in the courts of *England*, but of *Ireland*; the court having referred to the Chief Justice's clerk's list of commissioners, wherein Mr. Gaynor's name was not found, discharged the defendant out of custody on entering a common appearance (s). CHAP. XXXVI.

It has been made a question, but not decided, whether a British consul, or vice-consul, resident in a foreign country, has authority, by virtue of his office, to administer an oath for the purpose of holding a defendant to bail in this country (t). And it has been held in a late case that an affidavit of the verification of the certificate of the acknowledgment of a married woman under the Fines and Recoveries Act, the parties being resident in Germany, must be sworn before a native court, and an affidavit sworn before the British consul is not sufficient (u). British Consul insufficient.

If an affidavit, intended to be used in the court, be sworn before a judge in Ireland or Scotland, the judge's signature to the *jurat* must be verified by an affidavit made in this country; but, if sworn before any other person, (except a commissioner authorized by the above act, 3 & 4 W. 4), or before any judge or other officer in a foreign country, not only his signature to the *jurat*, but also his authority to administer oaths and take affidavits, must be verified in like manner (x); or by the certificate of a notary public (y), or, it would seem, of a British consul (z). The Court of Exchequer in this country have, in several instances, allowed an affidavit sworn before a commissioner of the Court of Exchequer in Ireland, or a magistrate in Scotland, to be read (a). Verification of Signature &c., where Affidavit sworn abroad.

When to be Sworn.] As to when affidavits in support of a rule must be sworn, see *ante*, 1185; against a rule, *ante*, 1191; on moving for new trial, *ante*, 1101; to hold to bail, *ante*, Vol. I. 485. When to be sworn.

Where an application to the court is to be founded on an affidavit, such affidavit must be sworn and produced in court before the rule shall be drawn up, &c. (b).

When to be Filed.] Affidavits to hold to bail are filed at the time you sue out the process (c). As to filing affidavits on motions, see *ante*, 1185, 1191, 1194. By rule of all the courts of H. T., 1 Vict., it is ordered, "that all affidavits read before a judge of any of the said courts, or before a When to be filed.

(s) *Sharp v. Johnston*, 4 Dowl. 324; 2 Bing. N. C. 246; 2 Scott, 405; 1 Hodges, 298; 11 Leg. Obs. 117, 118, S. C.; and see *Wrenken v. Frouvi*, 11 Leg. Obs. 261.

(t) *Pickardo v. Machado*, 4 B. & C. 886; 7 D. & R. 478, S. C.; *Ex p. Lady Hutchinson*, 1 Mon. & P. 551; 4 Bing. 606, S. C.; *Riddell v. Nash*, 8 Moore, 632.

(u) *In re Eady*, 6 Dowl. 615; see *Riddell v. Nash*, 8 Moore, 632. *In re Barber*, 4 Dowl. 640, seems from the marginal note to be an authority the other way, but the real point decided *In re Barber* seems to be merely that a consul may certify the handwriting and authority of the party taking the affidavit of acknowledgment, and not that he may take the affidavit himself.

(x) See *Kench v. Bellew*, 1 M. & Sel. 312; *O'Meara v. Newell*, 8 East, 364; *Dalmer v. Barnard*, 7 T. R. 251; *Ex p. Worsley*, 2 H. Bl. 275; *Pickardo v. Machado*, 4 B. & C. 886; 7 D. & R. 478, S. C.

(y) *Ex p. Worsley*, 2 H. Bl. 275.

(z) See *In re Barber*, 4 Dowl. 640, per Tindal, C. J.; and *quære* as to the marginal note?

(a) *Kilby v. Stanton*, 2 Y. & J. 75; *Ellis v. Sinclair*, 3 Y. & J. 273; *Watson v. Williamson*, 1 Dowl. 617.

(b) R. H., 36 G. 3, r. 1; *ante*, 1185.

(c) See Vol. I. 520.

Book IV.
PART I.

master of the same, shall be filed with the masters of the said courts, and be alphabetically indexed. Such affidavits to be delivered to the said masters, in order to be filed, four times in the year; that is to say, the last day of each term." In all other cases, if the affidavit be sworn in town, they must be filed with the masters, as soon as used, whether the motion be granted or not, in order that they may be given in evidence, if necessary, on an indictment for perjury(d); but if sworn before a commissioner, in strutting they should be first filed with the masters, and then copies taken of them, for the purpose of being used in court, which, however, is not attended to in practice. Affidavits used before the master on taxation of costs cannot be read on shewing cause against a rule for reviewing the taxation, unless they are referred to in the rule; a notice that they will be used is not sufficient(f). If the opposite attorney, on demand made, refuse to file the affidavits, or give a copy of them, the court will interfere and compel him(g). Affidavits, when once filed, may be made use of by the opposite party, though the party who filed them may decline to use them(h).

Where Time
Limited by
Rule.

Also, as we have seen ante, 1191, 1192, in all cases where a special time is limited in any rule, before which time an affidavit is required to be filed, no affidavit filed after that time shall be made use of in court, or before the master, unless it shall appear to the satisfaction of the court that the filing of such affidavit within the time limited was prevented by inevitable accident.

Affidavits
sworn in the
Country may
be used with-
out taking
Copies.

By rule of all the courts of *H. T.*, 1 Vict., it is ordered, "that on and after the fourth day of the present Hilary term, all affidavits sworn before a commissioner in the country, or a judge of assizes on the circuit, be read in the several Courts of Queen's Bench, Common Pleas, and Exchequer, or before any judge of the same, or any of the masters thereof, in like manner as other affidavits, and without obliging the parties filing them to obtain copies of the same."

How long in
Force.

How long in Force.] Age is, in general, no objection to an affidavit(i), unless the case is one in which the lapse of time affects the matters contained in it; as in the case of an affidavit of debt, which is only good for a year, it being presumed after that period that the debt is paid(j).

Defects, when
aided, amend-
ed, &c.

Defects, when Aided, Amended, &c.] Defects in affidavits are very rarely aided; and, if defective, time is seldom granted to cure the defect, except in some cases in the justification of bail. In the case of affidavits to hold to bail, defects are waived in general after the expiration of eight days from the arrest (*Vol. I.* 503); but they cannot in any case be remedied by a supplementary affidavit. (*Id.* 502). An affidavit cannot be made use of if altered after it is sworn(k).

(d) *Rex v. Crossley*, 7 T. R. 315; *Johns v. Mills*, 14th Nov. 1832; K. B. M.S.; 1 Dowl. 510, S. C.; *Rex v. Dimes*, 2 Dowl. 22; *Ex p. Alderton*, 1d. 583.
(e) 21 C. 2, c. 3; R. M., 9 G. 2.
(f) *Coffe v. Remer*, 2 Dowl. 11.

(g) *Ex p. Dimes*, 2 Dowl. 22.
(h) *Price v. Hayman*, 7 Dowl. 47.
(i) *Dee Clarke v. Stithard*, 3 Nov. 2 P. 701; but see *Burt v. Owen*, 1 Dowl. 221.
(j) *Ante*, Vol. I. 497.
(k) *Wright v. Skinner*, 5 Dowl. 22.

ing and using affidavits in opposition to a rule do not
 objection to the title of the affidavit on which the
 moved (*l*). Two months delay in making an ob-
 is no waiver of it (*m*). Where a rule has been ob-
 an affidavit, which is defective in not having a
verat, the party moving cannot, when cause is shewn,
 objection taken, remove the effect of it by producing
 affidavit similar to the first, with a proper *jurat*: the
 ay is to re-swear the original affidavit, and the court
 urge the rule for that purpose, or allow the new
 to be filed (*n*). Where a motion for a rule *nisi*
 upon certain affidavits, the party will not be allowed
 la, when cause is shewn, to make use of any other
 made subsequently, at least without the leave of
 t, unless such additional affidavits be merely con-
 of what was already sworn when the rule *nisi* was
 ; nor will he be allowed to make use of any other
 made previously in the same cause, and already
 es of the court, unless they be expressly specified
 de *nisi* (*p*). If there is a defect in intitling affida-
 uced in shewing cause against a rule, the court will
 s allow the rule to be enlarged, in order that the
 be amended (*q*). In a recent case, the court re-
 allow the title in the affidavit to be amended (*r*).
 or as to the amending an affidavit, *ante*, 1136, 1137.
 davit be sworn before a party having no authority
 it, it will be a nullity. It seems that where
 discharged on a technical objection taken to an
 without going into the merits, no costs are al-

v. Ess, 3 Moo. & Scott, 216;
 S. C.: see *Levy v. Dun-*
 1. 447.
v. Johnston, 4 Dowl. 324.
ike v. Purley, 4 Dowl. 392;
of Warwick, 5 Dowl. 382: but
shaw, 2 Nev. & M. 278.
te, 1185, 1191: *Solloway v.*
 1 Salk. 461.

(*p*) *Per Bayley, J.*, MS., E. 1824: and
 see *Quells v. Boucher*, 1 Scott, 283; 3
 Dowl. 107. S. C.
 (*q*) *Anderson v. Ell*, 3 Dowl. 73.
 (*r*) *Phillips v. Hutchinson*, 3 Dowl. 20.
 (*s*) *Preedy v. Lovell*, 4 Dowl. 671, Exch.:
Harris v. Matthews, 4 Dowl. 608: but see
Houlditch v. Swinfen, 5 Dowl. 36.

BOOK IV.

PART II.

ARBITRATION.

- SECT. 1. *The Reference, 1220 to 1227.*
 2. *The Award, &c., 1227 to 1239.*
 3. *Setting aside the Award, 1239 to 1254.*
 4. *Enforcing Performance of Award, 1255 to 1*

SECT. 1.

The Reference.

<i>Where there is a Cause in Court,</i> 1220.	<i>Revocation of Submission</i> 1225.
<i>Where there is no Cause in Court,</i> 1222.	<i>Effect of Agreement to</i> <i>Right to Sue, 1221</i>
<i>Alteration of Submission, 1124.</i>	

BOOK IV. PART II.

Where there
is a Cause in
Court.

Where there is a Cause in Court.] WHERE the matter intended to be submitted to arbitration is also the subject of an action pending in one of the superior courts at Westminster, if the defendant has been holden to bail, it is usual to wait until the cause shall be called on at *Nisi Prius*, when the jury then take a verdict for the damages stated in the declaration, subject to the award of the person to whom the cause is referred to be referred: otherwise, the reference to arbitration is treated as a discharge of the bail (a). But if the defendant has not been holden to bail, then the cause may be referred at any time before trial, by judge's order or rule of court. When the cause is called on, by order of *Nisi Prius*, or without a verdict being taken, as the parties shall think proper.

(a) Vol. I. 63A: 2 Second. 72 b.

Where an attorney agreed to refer a cause at *Nisi Prius*, without the consent or knowledge of his client, the court used to set aside the rule of reference on that account, although the application for that purpose was made previously to any proceedings being had before the arbitrator (b). But it would seem that a client would not be bound by his attorney's unauthorized agreement to refer a case in an unusual manner (c).

SECT. 1.
Attorney has Power to refer.

If the cause be referred at *Nisi Prius*, the leading counsel for both parties fix upon the arbitrator, indorse their names accordingly, and hand them to the clerk of *Nisi Prius*, or associate, in order that he may draw up the order of *Nisi Prius* from them (d). But if the cause is to be referred before trial, then let each party get a motion-paper to that effect signed by counsel; take them to one of the masters, and draw up the rule (e). Or, by the attorneys on both sides signing a consent, they may thereupon obtain a judge's order to the same effect (f). After obtaining the rule or order, you proceed as is directed in the next Section. The rule should order that all proceedings in the action be stayed, otherwise it will not operate as a stay of proceedings (g). It may be here observed, that a judge's order for this purpose may be made by rule of court, even after revocation, with a view to costs (h).

Rule or Order of Reference, how obtained.

Where all matters in difference in the cause were agreed to be referred, and the associate, by mistake, drew up the order of reference generally, as to all matters in difference between the parties, the court refused to amend it, and said that the order of reference must be considered as a mere nullity, and the parties must go down again to trial (i). And, on the other hand, an order of *Nisi Prius* was refused to be amended, according to the terms of a paper signed by counsel at the trial, the intention of the parties appearing, from their subsequent acts, to be in favour of the terms of the order (k).

Amendment of Order of Reference.

Where a cause was referred at *Nisi Prius*, and a verdict was taken subject to the award of a barrister as to the damages; the barrister afterwards declined proceeding in the reference, on the ground that his opinion had been previously taken by one of the parties relative to the matter in dispute; and the defendant thereupon refused to join in naming another arbitrator, insisting upon the matter being submitted to a jury: the court, upon application, ordered, that, unless the defendant would consent to refer the damages to another arbitrator, judgment should be entered up, and execution issued for the damages given by the verdict (l). But where the arbitrator died, and another was substituted by consent, but afterwards objected to, the court held that the death of the arbitrator without making his award had the effect of

Substitution of Arbitrator when first unable to proceed.

(b) *Filmer v. Delmer*, 3 Taunt. 486: see *Nicholl v. Deaves*, 6 B. & C. 255: see Vol. 60.

(c) See *Iverson v. Carrington*, 2 D. & R. 57; 1 B. & C. 160, S. C.

(d) See form of order, Chit. Forms, 38.

(e) See form of rule. Chit. Forms, 658.

(f) See form of order, Chit. Forms, 70.

(g) R. T., 1 Anne, 2 Ld. Raym. 789.

(h) *Aston v. George*, 2 B. & A. 395: see *Glester v. Honan*, 1 Jones, Rep. Exch. 1r. 299.

(i) *Rautree v. King*, 5 Moore, 167.

(k) *Pearman v. Carter*, 2 Chit. 29.

(l) *Woolley v. Clark*, 2 D. & R. 156; 1 B. & C. 64, S. C.: see *Kirkus v. Hodgson*, 8 Taunt. 733; 3 Moore, 64, S. C.

BOOK IV.
PART II.

opening the cause, and that it might be re-tried(m). See further as to this, *post*, 1233.

Award as to Cause cannot exceed Damages laid in Declaration.

It may be necessary to mention in this place, that the arbitrator cannot (as far as relates to the action referred) award the payment of a greater sum than is laid as damages in the declaration; nor will the court, after a verdict taken as above mentioned, allow the declaration to be amended, so as to enlarge these damages, even upon affidavit that a greater debt can be proved before the arbitrator(n). But judgment may be entered up for the amount of the verdict or damages laid; and if it be entered up for the greater sum, it may be amended(o).

Where there is no Cause in Court.

By Deed or Agreement.

Warrant of Attorney.

Where there is no Cause in Court.] Matters in difference between parties, which are not the subject of any action pending at the time, may be referred to arbitration in any of the three following ways:—1st, By mutual bonds or other deed or written agreement of submission, merely; 2ndly, By such bonds, deed, or agreement, containing also the parties' assent that such submission shall be made a rule of court(p); and, 3rdly, By parol agreement; in which case, however, the submission cannot be made a rule of court, even although the parties consent to it(q). It is sometimes prudent to take a warrant of attorney as a collateral security to compel performance of an award; as, for instance, in the submission of the title to land; for if a party in possession be awarded to deliver possession of land to the other, the only mode by which the party can obtain possession is by ejectment; whereas, a warrant of attorney to confess judgment in ejectment with a defeazance, that no execution should be taken out, unless the arbitrator should, by his award, direct the defendant to yield possession, and he should neglect to do so on or before the day appointed by the award, would obviate the necessity of an ejectment in such cases, and would not put the opposite party in any worse condition(r). The submission, if by deed, should be executed by the parties themselves, and not by their attornies, unless by virtue of a power of attorney.

Submission by Person without Authority, &c.

Even one of two or more partners cannot bind the others by a submission to arbitration of matters arising out of the business of the firm(s), without a power of attorney authorizing him to do so. And where a person signed a submission as attorney for another without a power authorizing him to do so, and the arbitrator awarded that the attorney should pay a sum of money, the court held that the attorney should perform the award, and that his principal was not bound by the submission(t). Also, where two persons bound themselves *jointly* and severally to perform an award, and the arbitrator awarded a sum to be paid by each,

(m) *Harper v. Abrahams*, 4 Moore, 3. As to the necessity of getting rid of the former verdict before re-trying, see *Hall v. Rouse*, 4 M. & W. 24; 6 Dowl. 636, S. C.

(n) *Pearse v. Cameron*, 1 M. & Sel. 675; *Prentice v. Reed*, 1 Taunt. 151.

(o) *Id.*

(p) 9 & 10 W. 3, c. 15, s. 1. See form of bond, Chit. Forms, 651.

(q) *Ansell v. Evans*, 7 T. R. 1; *Godfrey*

v. Wade, 6 Moore, 488.

(r) *Bythewood's Conveyancing*, Vol. 2, p. 639.

(s) *Stead v. Salt*, 10 Moore, 380; 3 Bing. 101, S. C.; *Adams v. Bankart*, 1 C. M. & R. 681; 1 Gale, 48, S. C.; see *Barnell v. Minot*, 4 Moore, 340.

(t) *Baron v. Dubarry*, 1 Ld. Raym. 246; 1 Salk. 70, S. C.

the court held that both were jointly liable for each of the sums so awarded (u).

SECT. I.

Where several underwriters on a policy agreed to refer the demand of the assured, it was holden that, as they had a community of interest in the subject of the insurance, and were all underwriters on the same policy, one stamp for the submission and one stamp for the award were sufficient (r).

Several Stamps, when required.

The submission, in order that it may be made a rule of court pursuant to a clause of consent for that purpose, (*post*, 24), under stat. 9 & 10 W. 3, c. 15, s. 2 (x), must be in writing, for a parol submission cannot be made a rule of court, even by consent (y); also, it must be of some controversy or suit, "for which there is no other remedy but by personal action or suit in equity." Therefore, the court have refused to make a submission a rule of court, where out of the matter agreed to be referred (namely, an assault) had been made the subject of an indictment (z). It has been holden that the right of real property cannot pass by mere award (a); but it is clear that a conveyance or release of land may be awarded, if within the terms of the submission (b).

What Submission may be made a Rule of Court.

The submission should distinctly specify the matter of controversy submitted; or, if stated generally, it should be "of all matters in difference between the parties." Where an action is pending, it may be "of all matters in dispute in the cause between the parties," or "of all matters in dispute between the parties in the cause" (c); the former confining the submission to the matter of the suit then pending (d), the latter extending it to all matters in difference; and the costs being to abide the event makes no difference (e). It is now more usual, in case of a general reference, to use the phrase "of all matters in difference between the parties," and "of all matters in difference in the cause," where the

Form of Submission, and what it includes.

(u) *Mansell v. Burridge*, 7 T. R. 352; *see Barnes*, 55.

(v) *Goodson v. Forbes*, 1 Marsh. 525; 6 Aust. 171, S. C.

(x) That section enacts that "It shall and may be lawful for all merchants and traders, and others desiring to end any controversy, suit or quarrel, controversies, suits or quarrels, for which there is no other remedy but by personal action or suit in equity, by arbitration, to agree that their submission of their suit to the award or umpirage of any person or persons should be made a rule of any of his Majesty's courts of record which the parties shall choose, and to insert such their agreement in their submission, or be condition of the bond or promise, whereby they oblige themselves respectively to submit to the award or umpirage of any person or persons, which agreement being so made and inserted in their submission or promise, or condition of their respective bonds, shall or may, upon producing an affidavit thereof made by the witness thereunto, or any one of them, in the court of which the same is agreed to be made a rule, and reading and filing the said affidavit in court, be entered of record in such court, and a rule shall thereupon be made by the said court, that the parties shall submit to, and finally be concluded by, the arbitration or umpirage

which shall be made concerning them by the arbitrators or umpire, pursuant to such submission; and in case of disobedience to such arbitration or umpirage, the party neglecting or refusing to perform and execute the same, or any part thereof, shall be subject to all the penalties of contemning a rule of court, when he is a suitor or defendant in such court, and the court on motion shall issue process accordingly, which process shall not be stopped or delayed in its execution, by any order, rule, command, or process of any other court, either of law or equity, unless it shall be made appear on oath to such court, that the arbitrators or umpire misbehaved themselves, and that such award, arbitration, or umpirage was procured by corruption, or other undue means."

(y) *Ansell v. Evans*, 7 T. R. 1.

(z) *Watson v. McCullum*, 8 T. R. 520; *see R. v. Catesbatch*, 2 D. & R. 265; *R. v. Bartlett*, 1 Nev. & P. 74; but *see Baker v. Townsend*, 7 Taunt. 422; 1 Moore, 120, 287.

(a) 1 Ro. Abr. 242; *Marks v. Marriot*, 1 Ld. Raym. 115.

(b) Bl. Com. 16.

(c) *Smith v. Muller*, 3 T. R. 626.

(d) *Malcolm v. Fullerton*, 2 T. R. 644.

(e) *Id.* 645; 2 Saund. 64, (7).

**BOOK IV.
PART II.**

action alone is referred. It has been held, that a reference "of all matters in difference between the parties" does not preclude one of the parties from afterwards suing for a cause of action subsisting at the time of the reference, if such matter were not a matter in difference between the parties nor laid before the arbitrator (g); but, in a case where the reference was "of all actions and causes of actions between the parties," and, after the award made, the party thereby ordered to pay a sum of money wished to deduct from it a sum due to him by the opposite party, and which had not been under the consideration of the arbitrators, the court held that he could not do so; for the rule of reference was large enough to include that transaction, and it should have been discussed before the arbitrator (h). A submission to arbitration by an executor or administrator is not of itself an admission of assets (i); but it impliedly includes in it a submission of the question whether the executor have assets, and if the arbitrator award that he shall pay a sum of money, this is virtually an award that he has assets to that amount, and he must pay it (k). Where a verdict is taken subject to an award or certificate on the cause, and all matters in difference, the arbitrator is in the place of a jury, and, therefore, should find for the defendant only on the issues proved by him, though by the terms of the submission, if nothing be found due to the plaintiff, a verdict is to be entered for the defendant (l).

**Clause of
Consent to
make Sub-
mission a
Rule of
Court.**

The clause of consent in the submission that it shall be made a rule of court may be to this effect: that the parties do thereby "consent and agree that this their submission to the arbitration or umpirage above mentioned shall be made a rule of her majesty's Court of Queen's Bench at Westminster, pursuant to the statute in such case made and provided." Where this clause mentioned only "the court," without stating which court, the Court of Common Pleas allowed the submission to be made a rule of that court (m). And where the consent was, that the "award" instead of the "submission" should be made a rule of court, the court held the mistake to be immaterial (n). Also, where the clause was conditional, thus: "And if the obligor shall consent that this submission be made a rule of court, that then" &c., the court held it to be sufficient (o). It seems, that the act only authorizes making the submission a rule of one court, and not of more than one (p).

**Alteration of
Submission,
&c.**

Alteration of Submission.] After a submission by deed, a new arbitrator may be substituted in the place of one of the original arbitrators, by consent of both parties without deed,

(g) *Rance v. Farmer*, 4 T. R. 145; *Thorpe v. Cooper*, 5 Bing. 120; 2 Moo. & P. 245, 3 C.; *Selden v. Turpin*, 6 T. R. 607.

(h) *Smith v. Johnson*, 15 East, 212; *Dunn v. Murray*, 9 B. & C. 780; and see *Martin v. Thornton*, 4 Esp. 100; *Shelling v. Farmer*, 1 Str. 545.

(i) *Pearson v. Henry*, 5 T. R. 6.
(k) *Worthington v. Barlow*, 7 T. R. 453; *Barry v. Rush*, 1 Id. 681.

(l) *Wash v. Cooper*, 6 Dougl. 62; 4 Bing. N. C. 448, 3 C.

(m) *Sellous v. Harter*, 3 B. & P. 492.

(n) *Peckay v. Whetnall*, 3 East, 68; *Ex p. Sturges*, 3 Nev. & P. 627; 7 Ad. & El. 642; overruling *Sherrin v. Goudy*, 3 Str. 1178, *contra*.

(o) *Cheney v. Baily*, 1 Ld. Rym. 620; 1 Salt. 73, 3 C. See Chit. Form. 686.

(p) *Whitcomb v. Baring* 9 C. & J. 435.

such appointment constitutes a new submission, not unequal, incorporating all the remaining provisions of the original submission (*q*). The remedy by action on the deed of submission would, however, be lost unless the substituted award were also by deed (*r*). And a recognisance to perform the award of B. is not forfeited by non-performance of the deed of C., who by consent of the parties is substituted for B., by rule of court (*s*). The remedy in such cases is attachment, or action on the award (*t*). The same principles seem to be applicable to other alterations. As to the revocation of a submission by rule of court, see *ante*, 1221.

[*Revocation of Submission, &c.*] After entering into the submission, and consenting that it should be made a rule of court, either party, before the act of 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, might *revoke* the submission by deed, at any time before the making of the award, and before the submission had actually been made a rule of court: and this, though the cause was referred by rule of *Nisi Prius* (*u*); and if the arbitrator had afterwards proceeded and made his award, notwithstanding the revocation, the party would not have been liable to an attachment for non-performance of it, (particularly if the arbitrator had no notice of the revocation before the award was made) (*x*), the court upon application would have set it aside (*y*); and could not have vacated the revocation (*z*). Where, indeed, it appeared doubtful whether the arbitrators had made their award previous or subsequent to their receiving notice of a deed of revocation, the Court of Common Pleas would not stay the proceedings, but left the party to plead the matter *puis darrein continuance* (*a*). The bond of submission, however, became forfeited by such revocation, and the obligee might immediately have sued upon it (*b*); or the court might, upon the rule; or upon the judge's order being made a rule of court (*c*), have ordered the party revoking to pay the other "such costs as the court shall think reasonable and just," according to the terms of the rule or order (*d*). Where it appeared that the arbitrator's authority had been revoked merely on the ground that the party could not prove the attendance of a material witness before the arbitrator, the court refused to make him pay costs (*e*). But now, by the act of 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, s. 39, it is enacted, "that the power and authority of any arbitrator or umpire appointed by or in pursuance of any rule of court, or judge's order, or order of *Nisi Prius*, in any action now brought, or which shall be hereafter brought, or by or in pursuance of any submission to

Revocation of Submission, &c.
By Leave of the Court.

d) *Re Tunno*, 2 Nev. & M. 328.
e) *Brown v. Goodman*, 3 T. R., 592: 1255.
f) *R. v. Bingham*, 3 Y. & J. 101.
g) *Beane v. Thompson*, 5 East, 189: *Tunno*, 2 Nev. & M. 328.
h) See *Rex v. Burridge*, 1 Str. 523: also *Lowes v. Kermode*, 2 Moore, 31; Taunt. 146, S. C.: *Green v. Pole*, 6 Bing. 443; 4 Moo. & P. 198, S. C.
i) *Miles v. Gratrix*, 7 East, 608: *King Joseph*, 5 Taunt. 452.
j) *Clapham v. Higham*, 7 Moore, 703;

1 Bing. 87, S. C.
(c) *Skee v. Coron*, 10 B. & C. 483.
(a) *Lowes v. Kermode*, 2 Moore, 30; 8 Taunt. 146, S. C.: and see *Dicas v. Jay*, 6 Bing. 519; 2 Moo. & P. 448, S. C.
(b) *Warburton v. Storr*, 4 B. & C. 103.
(c) See *Aston v. George*, 2 B. & Ald. 305; 1 Chit. Rep. 200, S. C.
(d) See *Skee v. Coron*, 10 B. & C. 483: *Morgan v. Williams*, 2 Dowl. 123.
(e) *Aston v. George*, 2 B. & Ald. 305; 1 Chit. Rep. 200, S. C.

ing both parties, and a judge's order of revocation *parte*, was rescinded by the court (*h*). The statute references of civil proceedings only (*i*). To within the act, the reference must be *complete*, act does not apply to arbitrators appointed in a clause in a deed, that all disputes shall be referred to arbitration of two persons, who are directed to act as umpire before they proceed, but which umpire was appointed (*k*). The court cannot give leave to the authority of an arbitrator after he has made an award, they may, of course, set aside the award, if the court is of the opinion that it is for it.

Revocation on
by Death or
Bankruptcy.

Besides this mode of revocation already mentioned, the authority of the arbitrator may be impliedly revoked by the death of either party, or of only one of several parties, if the award is actually made (*m*), unless the award contains an express stipulation to the contrary (*n*). A stipulation may be inserted with effect in an award, even where a party is subject to the award, the death of a party after the award is made, is a revocation (*p*); unless the submission expressly or impliedly provides to the contrary. And where differences arose between the owner of the ship and the freighters, (the latter having distinct interests in the cargo), and it was agreed between them that the difference should be referred to arbitration, that the death of one of the freighters before the award was made only affected the award as to him, and was not a revocation of the award as to the other freighters.

(f) See post, 2231.

(g) See form of order permitting revocation, Chut. Forms, 654, 657; and order, Moore, 342, 2. C.

(n) See *Biddle v.*

255; *Clarke v. Orm*

to the others(*r*). The marriage of a feme sole party, after submission and before award made, is in like manner a revocation of the arbitrator's authority(*s*); but the bankruptcy of a plaintiff may not(*t*). Where the rights of the bankrupt having passed to his assignees, and the arbitrator having no power over the latter, there consequently remaining no mutuality, the bankruptcy was held a revocation(*u*).

Effect of Agreement to refer on Right to Sue.] An agreement to refer matters in difference to arbitration does not oust the courts of law or equity of their jurisdiction, and the party thereto may commence proceedings notwithstanding(*x*); though he might be subject to a cross action if he has refused to enter into such arbitration. And if a reference be pending, and it has been agreed that it shall operate as a stay of proceedings, it may be made the subject of an application to the court for staying the proceedings until an award is made(*y*).

Effect of Agreement to refer on Right to Sue.

SECT. 2.

The Award, &c.

Proceedings upon the Reference,
1227.
Award, 1230.
Enlargement of Time for making it, 1231.

Umpire, 1234.
Costs, 1235.
Arbitrator's Authority, how determined, 1239.

Proceedings upon the Reference.] It is usual to have those persons sworn who give evidence before the arbitrator. For this purpose, before the recent act of 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, if the cause was referred at *Nisi Prius*, and the witnesses were in court, each attorney wrote down the names of his witnesses, together with the name of the cause, upon a piece of paper, and gave it to the crier of the court, who would thereupon swear the witnesses(*z*). In other cases, the like memorandum was made, stating also whether the persons to be sworn were parties in the cause, or only witnesses. It was taken to the judge's chambers, or to the Court at Westminster, and the judge's clerk had the witnesses sworn, and gave a memorandum to that effect, signed by the judge. This course may still be pursued, but it is more usual to have the witnesses sworn before the arbitrator, under the 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, s. 41. By that enactment, it is provided, "that when in any rule or order of reference, or in any submission to arbitration containing an agreement that the rule shall be made a rule of court, it shall be

Proceedings upon the Reference.
Swearing Witnesses.

(*r*) *Per three Justices*, MS., H. 1820.
(*s*) *Charnley v. Winstanley*, 5 East, 266: and see *Marsh v. Wood*, 9 B. & C. 659, 20 L. J. 181.
(*t*) *Andrews v. Palmer*, 4 B. & Ald. 180: *Snook v. Helmer*, 2 Chit. 43: but see *Marsh v. Wood*, 9 B. & C. 659.
(*u*) *Marsh v. Wood*, 9 B. & C. 659.

(*x*) *Thompson v. Charnock*, 8 T. R. 139: *Hill v. Hollister*, 1 Wils. 129: *Tatnell v. Groote*, 2 B. & P. 131: *Street v. Rigby*, 6 Ves. jun. 815.
(*y*) *Ante*, 1228.
(*z*) See form of this memorandum for the *jurat*, Chit. Forms, 665.

judge have still a concurrent jurisdiction to swear examined before an arbitrator(b). If the witnesses be examined without being sworn, yet, if on that account be made before the arbitrator, it not set aside the award(c).

Obtaining
Appointment
for the Arbitrator.

The next step is to obtain an appointment from the arbitrator. If the cause have been referred at *Nisi Prius* order of *Nisi Prius* from the associate, if the cause be the assizes; or from the clerk of *Nisi Prius*, if it be London or Middlesex. Then get an appointment from the arbitrator, as to the time and place the parties and witnesses are to attend before him(d); and make order of *Nisi Prius* and appointment, and serve it on the attorney: it is usual, also, at the same time, to have your purpose attending by counsel. If the cause be referred by rule of court, draw up the rule with one of the counsel, if by judge's order, draw up the order, as above directed, and get an appointment from the arbitrator(d); and serve the rule or order and appointment, as above directed. In other cases, a notice of the time and place appointed for the arbitrator will be sufficient. Care must be taken that the rule or order be ordered by the rule that all proceedings in the cause be stayed; otherwise the reference will be no stay(e).

Statement of
Case, Wit-
nesses, &c.,
to

Each party is next to furnish the arbitrator with a statement of his case, and a list of the witnesses he intends to produce. If briefs have been made out, and the cause referred to a gentleman of the profession, this is usually done by him one of the briefs on each side.

Compelling
the parties to

Before the recent statute, there was no mode

mentioned in such agreement, or for any judge, by rule or order to be made for that purpose, to command the attendance and examination of any person to be named, or the production of any documents to be mentioned in such rule or order; and the disobedience of any such rule or order shall be deemed a contempt of court, if, in addition to the service of such rule or order, an appointment of the time and place of attendance in obedience thereto, signed by one at least of the arbitrators, or by the umpire, before whom the attendance is required, shall also be served either together with or after the service of such rule or order: provided always, that every person whose attendance shall be so required, shall be entitled to the like conduct-money, and payment of expenses, and for loss of time, as for and upon attendance at any trial; provided also, that the application made to such court or judge for such rule or order, shall set forth the county where such witness is residing at the time, or satisfy such court or judge that such person cannot be found; provided also, that no person shall be compelled to produce, under any such rule or order, any writing or other document that he would not be compelled to produce at a trial, or to attend at more than two consecutive days, to be named in such order." Independently of this enactment, as to the production of documents, where a defendant submitted all matters in difference to arbitration, and the arbitrators required him, in pursuance of a power given to them for that purpose, to produce certain books and papers, and an attachment was moved for against him for not producing them, the court held that he could not, by *affidavit*, bring before the court the question, whether those books related to matters in difference between the parties or not, though it was expressly sworn that the books merely related to old accounts which had been long since settled, and which it had been agreed between them should form no part of the reference, because, by the general terms of the submission of all matters in difference, it was left to the discretion of the arbitrator to say what were matters in difference and what were not (h). *Where it is requisite to resort to the above compulsory proceeding, the course is for the attorney of the party desiring the attendance of the witness to lay before a judge at chambers a memorandum, signed by the attorney, stating the existence of the reference, that the witness or the production of the documents is material, and annexing or inserting a copy of the appointment of the arbitrator; and upon which the judge will make his order (i) for the attendance of the witness. Or a motion may be made to the court, and a rule obtained for the attendance of the witness and production of the document. An appointment in writing of the time and place of attendance, in obedience to the rule or order signed by the arbitrator, or, if more than one, by one at least of the arbitrators, should be obtained (i). A copy of the order or rule and appointment should then be served upon the witness, a reasonable time before that appointed for the attendance, the originals being at the same time shown to him, and a sum sufficient for his expenses and loss of time being paid or tendered to him at the same time. If the witness do not*

(h) *Artbuckle v. Price*, 4 Dowl. 174.

(i) See Chit. Forms, 657.

BOOK IV.
PART II.The Hearing
and Exam-
ination of
Witnesses,
Parties, &c.

comply with the rule or order and appointment, he may be proceeded against as guilty of a contempt of court (*k*). In case of a reference at *Nisi Prius*, the witness will not be subject to an attachment, unless the order of *Nisi Prius* has been regularly drawn up (*l*).

At the time appointed, the arbitrator hears the parties, or their counsel or attorneys, and hears the evidence, in the same order as at a trial at *Nisi Prius*. There is a clause, however, in the rule and order of reference, authorizing the arbitrator to examine the parties themselves, on oath, if he thinks fit; and this has been holden to empower him to examine the plaintiff to a point upon which no other evidence could be adduced on the other side (*m*). It is entirely in his discretion whether he will examine them or not (*n*). If the submission to arbitration be "so that the witnesses be examined on oath," affidavits cannot be read; and if they are, the award may be set aside (*o*). The mode of conducting the reference must in general be left to the arbitrator.

Award.

Award.] No precise form of words is necessary to constitute an award: it is sufficient if the arbitrator express by its decision upon the matter submitted to him. A mere proposal or recommendation is, however, not sufficiently decisive (*p*). Where an enlargement has been made, the omission to recite it is no objection to the award (*q*). And the same of a view which the submission required the arbitrator to take (*r*). Where a cause was referred to three arbitrators, with a power to them, or any two of them, to make an award, an award made by two of them was holden good, it appearing that the third had notice of the meetings, &c. (*s*). When the award is made, the arbitrator gives notice to the attorneys of the parties that it is ready, and that each of them may have his part on the day therein specified, on payment of the expenses. This notice is deemed the publication of the award; and it is so, according to a decision of the Queen's Bench, though the arbitrator demand unreasonable charges (*t*); but this has been doubted in the Common Pleas (*u*). When an award purports and is attested to be published on a certain day, the court will presume it to have been published on that day, without any positive affidavit to that effect (*v*). After the award is delivered (*x*), or after notice given by the arbitrator of its being ready for delivery (*y*), no mistake in a material part of it, as in the calculation of figures, or in the sum awarded, &c., can be corrected (*z*), unless with the consent of both the parties (*a*); but it seems that a mistake in an immaterial part may (*b*). An alteration of the award by the

(k) See post, 1263.

(l) *Curtis v. High*, B. C., M. 420; 3 Jurist, 1152.

(m) *Warne v. Bryant*, 5 D. & R. 301; 3 B. & C. 500, S. C.

(n) *Scales v. East London F. W. Co.*, 1 Hodg. 91.

(o) *Banks v. Banks*, 1 Gale, 48.

(p) *Lock v. Fulham*, 5 B. & Adol. 600: see *Perpension v. Norman*, 4 Bing. N. C. 32.

(q) *George v. Lowley*, 3 East, 13.

(r) *Spence v. Eastern Railway Co.*, 7 Dowd 627.

(s) *Dalling v. Matchett*, Willes, 515.

(t) *M'Arthur v. Campbell*, 5 B. & Adol. 518: but see *Muscatbrook v. Danks*, 3 Moo. & Scott, 740; 3 Buzg. 605; 1 Dowd 722, S. C.

(u) *Muscatbrook v. Danks*, supra.

(v) *Deo Clarke v. Stillwell*, 3 Nev. & P. 76.

(z) *Irvine v. Eburn*, 6 East, 54.

(y) *Hamfree v. Bramley*, 5 East, 300.

(x) See *Ward v. Down*, 2 B. & Adol. 331; *Holt v. Alderson*, 2 Bing. 475.

(a) *Es p. Curran*, 7 D. & R. 774.

(b) *Trane v. Barton*, 1 C. & M. 221: and see what is an immaterial part, &c.

arbitrator after his authority is at an end is the same as if made by a stranger, and the award, if legible, will stand as it originally was (c). As to the form and contents of the award, see *post*, 1239 to 1250. SECT. 2.

The award is engrossed on 35s. stamp paper, and signed by the arbitrator in the presence of a witness. If it contain, however, 30 common law sheets, or upwards, (of 72 words each), requires an additional stamp of 25s. for every 15 sheets above the first fifteen (d). It is usual to make out the award on stamped paper for the party in whose favour it is made, and to give copies merely, upon unstamped paper, to the others; unless the latter require originals signed and stamped as above mentioned (e).

Sometimes, however, to save the expense of the stamp and the award, a verdict is taken, subject merely to the certificate of an arbitrator as to the amount (f). And this certificate may be given even after the assizes, and after the return of the process (g), though no order of *Nisi Prius* has been obtained (h). Certificate of Amount of Damages instead of Award.

Enlargement of Time for making it.] If it be necessary that the time limited for making the award should be enlarged, the arbitrator may enlarge it as a matter of course, if a power be given him for that purpose in the submission or order; but notice should be given to the parties of his having done so, otherwise, it seems, the court will not grant an attachment for disobedience (i). Such notice may, it seems, be given by writ at the time of serving the award on the defendant, and commanding its performance (j). The mode of enlarging the time in this case, however, depends entirely on the terms of the submission or order (k). The fact of enlargement need not be stated in the award, but it is convenient to do so to obviate the necessity of an affidavit of the fact (l). As to enlargement by an umpire, see *post*, 1235. Enlargement of Time for making it. By Arbitrator.

If no such power was given, but the parties on both sides assent to the time being enlarged, then, if the cause be referred at *Nisi Prius*, or by a judge's order, or if the submission contain a clause of assent that it be made a rule of court, the time is enlarged thus:—*Move to make the order or submission a rule of court; draw up the rule with the masters, and serve a copy of it on the opposite attorney; get motion-papers (to enlarge the time for making the award) signed by the counsel of each party, and take them to the masters, who will thereupon draw up the rule (m); then get another appointment on the rule* By Consent of Parties.

(c) *Hanfree v. Bromley*, 6 East, 309: see *Price v. Burton*, 1 C. & M. 533.

(d) 55 G. 3, c. 184. See *Goodson v. Forbes*, 6 Taunt. 178; 1 Marsh. 525, S. C.: *Reed v. Emerson*, 4 Nev. & M. 99. What is an award within the act, see *Jebb v. McKiernan*, 1 M. & M. 240. As to the consequences of a wrong stamp, see *post*, 1247.

(e) See forms of awards, Chit. Forms, 108 to 674.

(f) *Salter v. Yeates*, 5 Dowl. 291, per Parke, B.

(g) *Salter v. Yeates*, 5 Dowl. 291.

(h) *Tomes v. Hawkes*, 2 Per. & D. 248.

(i) *Hilton v. Hopwood*, 1 Marsh. 66.

(j) *Doddington v. Bailward*, 7 Dowl. 640.

(k) See *Reid v. Fryatt*, 1 M. & Sel. 1; *Davies v. Vass*, 15 East, 97; *Payne v. Deakle*, 1 Taunt. 519; *Barrett v. Parry*, 4 Id. 654. A submission by which an award is to be made on or before the — day of —, or any other day to which the submission may be enlarged, is a general authority to be executed in a reasonable time. (*M^r Doughall v. Robertson*, 2 Y. & J. 11; 1 Moo. & P. 147, S. C.)

(l) *George v. Lousley*, 8 East, 13.

(m) See form of rule, Chit. Forms, 608.

from the arbitrator, and serve a copy of this rule and appointment on the opposite attorney. Where the cause is referred under a rule of court, and the parties thus consent to the enlargement, get the motion-papers signed by counsel (n); draw up the rule, and serve a copy of the rule and appointment, as above directed.

In all other cases of consent, a consent in writing by the parties will be sufficient (o), unless the submission was by deed, in which case the consent must be by deed, if it be intended to retain the remedy by action on the original deed (p). And even where the submission is by deed, an agreement to enlarge, indorsed, (and stamped with an agreement stamp), will be sufficient to make an award within the enlarged time enforceable by attachment (q). The time may also be enlarged by altering, re-executing, and re-stamping the arbitration bonds (r). An enlargement, in general terms, virtually incorporates all the terms of the original submission, and, among the rest, the agreement that the submission should be made a rule of court (s).

by the Court
or a Judge.

If no such power was given to the arbitrator, and one of the parties would *not* consent to the enlargement of the time, then, previously to the 3 & 4 IV. 4, c. 42, s. 39, there was no mode of enlarging the time; and this is still the case where the submission is not by rule of court or a judge's order, and does not contain a consent to make it a rule of court. Now, however, by that act (t), in case of reference by rule of court, order of *Nisi Prius*, judge's order, or submission containing an agreement that it shall be made a rule of court, a power is given to the court or a judge to enlarge the time for making the award, although one of the parties refuse his assent to such enlargement. The enactment is general, as to the power of the court or a judge to enlarge the time for making an award (u), and it seems that the time may be thus enlarged, whether the arbitrator's authority has been revoked or not, and although the submission contains no power to enlarge the original term (v). And even, it should seem, if the parties submitting stipulate expressly that no award is to be made after the period mentioned in the submission, they cannot deprive the court or a judge of the jurisdiction given by this enactment (y). But, where an arbitrator, with power to enlarge the time, intentionally allows it to expire, the court has no power under this statute to compel the parties to proceed (z). The application for this enlargement should be made by motion to the court, (the rule in the first instance being to *shew cause*), or by summons before a judge. An *ex parte* rule or order would be bad (a).

(n) See *Hallen v. Glascock*, 5 B. & C. 340. *Dickins v. Jarvis*, *Id.* 523.

(o) See *Evans v. Thomson*, 5 East, 189. See the form, Chit. Forms, 652.

(p) *Brown v. Goodman*, 3 T. R. 592. n.: *Greig v. Taftot*, 2 B. & C. 183, 188; *Re v. Bingham*, 3 Y. & J. 101, 113; *ante*, 1225.

(q) *Evans v. Thomson*, 5 East, 189; *per Bayley, J.*, 2 B. & C. 185.

(r) *Watkins v. Philpotts*, M'Clel. & Y. 383.

(s) *Evans v. Thomson*, 5 East, 189.

(t) *Ante*, 1223, 1226.

(u) *Burley v. Stevens*, 4 Dowl. 70; 1 Gale, 374, & C.

(v) *Potter v. Newman*, 2 C., M. & R. 742; 1 T. & G. 29; 4 Dowl. 564; 1 Gale 373, & C.

(y) For the summons and order, see Chit. Forms, 652.

(z) *Dee Jones v. Powell*, 7 Dowl. 521.

(a) *Clarke v. Stocken*, 2 Bing. N. C. 651; 3 Scott, 90; 5 Dowl. 32; 2 Hodge, 1 S. C.

A power to enlarge must be strictly pursued, therefore, if by the order of *Nisi Prius*, or the judge's order, a power is given to the arbitrator to enlarge the time for making the award, until such ulterior day as he shall appoint in writing under his hand, to be indorsed on that order, and the court, or a judge thereof, shall order it is necessary, at all events before making the award, if not before the time limited for making the enlargement, to obtain a judge's order ratifying that enlargement, otherwise the award would be bad (*b*). In a somewhat similar case, however, it was held, that the judge's order might be obtained after enlargement by the arbitrator (*c*). A general power to enlarge is sufficiently exercised by appointing a subsequent day for a meeting in the presence of the parties (*d*).

SECT. 2.
Mode of En-
largement by
Arbitrator.

An objection that the time for making an award has not been duly enlarged, is waived by proceeding in the reference with a knowledge of that fact (*e*). In some cases, where a verdict has been taken, subject to an award, or to the amount of damages, and the arbitrator has accidentally let the day pass without making his award, and the defendant will not consent to the time being enlarged, the court will grant liberty to the plaintiff to enter up judgment, and issue execution forthwith for the whole amount of the verdict, unless the enlargement be consented to (*f*). In another case, where a verdict was taken for the plaintiff for damages, subject to the award of an arbitrator, and the arbitrator having omitted to make the award, without any fault on the part of the defendant, the court refused to allow judgment to be entered for the plaintiff, and held that the cause must go down to trial again (*g*). And where there is no verdict, and the time has been intentionally allowed to expire, notwithstanding the arbitrator had power to enlarge it, the court has no power to compel the parties to proceed (*h*). In some cases, where a verdict has been given, the verdict (though not entered on the record) must be got rid of before the cause can be tried again, and a second verdict obtained before the first is got rid of, is irregular (*i*). The proper and regular course is to apply to the court for leave to re-try at the next assizes, notwithstanding the former verdict (*j*).

Proceedings
where En-
largement has
been omitted.

It may be here observed, that where a verdict is taken, subject to the certificate of an arbitrator as to the amount, with or without an order of *Nisi Prius*, he is not confined to the time before the return of the jury process, but may certify at any time, and no enlargement is necessary (*k*).

No Enlarge-
ment neces-
sary in Case of
Certificate.

(*b*) *Wracon v. Wallis*, 10 B. & C. 107: *reversed*, *Leggett v. Finlay*, 6 Bing. 255; 3 M. & Sel. 621.

(*c*) *Hald v. Fryatt*, 1 M. & Sel. 1.

(*d*) *Barley v. Stevens*, 4 Dowl. 770.

(*e*) *Bennett v. Harman*, 3 Dowl. 561; 1 C., M. & R. 935, S. C.; *Lawrence v. Hudson*, 1 Y. & J. 16; *Re Hick*, 8 Taunt. 604; *Matson v. Trower*, R. & M. 17; *Leggett v. Finlay*, 3 Moo. & P. 621; 6 Bing. 255, S. C.; *Hallatt v. Hallatt*, 7 Dowl. 389: *post*, 1251. It seems to be in general unnecessary to state an enlargement on the face of the award (*George v. Loulery*, 8 East, 13); it is, however, convenient to do so, in order to obviate the necessity of

verifying the fact by affidavit.

(*f*) *Taylor v. Gregory*, 2 B. & Adol. 774; *Wilkinson v. Time*, 4 Dowl. 37.

(*g*) *Hule v. Phillips*, 2 Moo. & Scott, 167; 9 Bing. 89, 156, S. C.; *Doe v. Saunders*, 3 B. & Ad. 783, where there was negligence: *Hopper v. Abraham*, 4 Moore, 3, where the arbitrator died: and see *Brans v. Davies*, 3 Dowl. 786.

(*h*) *Doe Jones v. Powell*, 7 Dowl. 531.

(*i*) *Hall v. Rouse*, 4 M. & W. 24; 6 Dowl. 656, S. C.

(*j*) *Per Alderson*, B., *Id.* 28; *Baker v. Cresswell*, 1 Hodges, 189.

(*k*) *Salter v. Yeates*, 5 Dowl. 291; *Tomes v. Hawkes*, 2 Per. & D. 248.

**BOOK IV.
PART II.**

**Umpire.
What and
when ap-
pointed, &c.**

Umpire.] Where a matter is referred to two or more arbitrators, it is usual to provide in the submission, that if the arbitrators shall not agree upon their award before a time therein specified, an umpire shall be appointed, by whom award the parties shall abide. This umpire is either named in the submission, (which is much the preferable mode), or the arbitrators are therein given a power to appoint one generally. In the latter case, the arbitrators may appoint the umpire at any time before or after the time limited for them to make their award, provided it be before the time limited for the umpire to make his umpirage (*l*); and they may do so even before they have themselves entered upon an examination of the matter referred to them, even though the submission only give power to appoint in case of disagreement (*n*). Where, by the terms of a reference, the arbitrators were to appoint an umpire previously to their entering on the consideration of the matters referred, and to make their award before a certain day, or such time as they and the umpire, or any two of them, should appoint; and the arbitrators, before appointing an umpire, enlarged the time for making their award, and afterwards held a meeting at which the parties attended; the Court of Common Pleas held, that the parties, being aware of these facts, and having afterwards attended, could not now make any objection on the ground of the enlargement of the time having been made before the appointment (*n*).

Umpire not to be appointed by lot.

The appointment of the umpire must not be decided by chance; and where the umpire was chosen by lot, the court set aside the award on that account (*o*). But, under particular circumstances, such an appointment has been held good, where it was employed to decide between two equally eligible persons (*p*); and it would be so if the parties assented to it, with a knowledge of all the circumstances under which the choice was made (*q*); but not otherwise (*r*). And a consent by the attorney's clerks on both sides is not sufficient (*s*).

Power for Arbitrators to appoint an umpire after appointing an arbitrator.

Although the office of arbitrator is, in general, determined by the appointment of the umpire (*t*), yet, if the arbitrators appoint an umpire who refuses to act, they may afterwards appoint another (*u*); or, if they join with the umpire in his umpirage, it is only surplusage, and will not vitiate the instrument (*s*). It follows, however, from the fact of the arbitrator's authority being determined by the appointment of an umpire, that the award cannot properly be made in part by the arbitrators, and

(*l*) *Hurdell v. Watts*, 15 East, 225; *Smith v. Wright*, 3 M. & Sel. 229; 220; *Spence v. Nash*, 4 M. & Sel. 229; *Re Hick*, 8 Taunt. 494.
(*m*) *See Wood v. Day*, 2 T. R. 644; *Butt v. Cook*, 9 B. & C. 497; but see *Reynolds v. Gray*, 1 Ld. Raym. 222; 1 Salk. 70, 2 C.
(*n*) *Re Hick*, 8 Taunt. 494; and see *Mason v. Treasurer*, R. & M. 17; *Latterman v. Hodgson*, 1 Y. & J. 16; *Laggett v. Phillips*, 3 Mer. & P. 629; 6 Bing. 255, 2 C.
(*o*) *Perd v. Jones*, 3 B. & Adol. 240; 10 Law Journ. 104, 2 C. Young v. Miller, 4 D. & R. 222; 3 B. & C. 467; 2 C. Webb v. Cook, 2 B. & Ald. 218; *Re Green*, 2 B. & C. 684; *R. v. Hudson & Drury*, 1 Deak.

220.
(*p*) *Nash v. Ledger*, 16 East, 22.
(*q*) *Re Turner*, 3 B. & Adol. 495.
(*r*) *Jennison v. Shute*, 4 Ad. & E. 205; *In re Greenwood*, 1 Per. & D. 495.
(*s*) *Re Hudson & Drury*, 7 Deak. 220.
(*t*) *Reynolds v. Gray*, 1 Ld. Raym. 222; 1 Salk. 70, 2 C.; and see *Wheeler v. Harris*, 1 Ld. Raym. 471; 1 Salk. 71, 2 C.; 2 Sessid. 123 a.
(*u*) *See Oliver v. Collins*, 11 East, 22; *Tripplet v. Kaye*, 3 Law. 222, per Lord Justice, contra C. J.
(*v*) *Butt v. Cook*, 9 B. & C. 497; *Butt v. Burgess*, 4 Taunt. 222; *Smith v. Smith*, 1 W. Bl. 492a and see generally, 2 Sessid. 122, n. (7).

as to the other part by the umpire (*y*), unless, indeed, there be an express provision for the purpose (*z*).

SECT. 2.

The umpire, instead of examining the witnesses, &c., himself, may receive the evidence from the arbitrators, unless the parties, or one of them, object to such a course, and require him to examine them himself (*a*).

Examination of Witnesses, &c., by.

The umpirage, like the award, must be ready to be delivered within the time limited for it. Where, by deed of arbitration, dated the 1st of June, the arbitrators were to make their award on or before the 1st of October, with power, in case they should not agree in making their award within the time, to appoint an umpire, and his award to be binding, so as it were made within six months after the date of his appointment; and the arbitrators appointed an umpire within the time allowed to them, who made his umpirage within six calendar, but not within six lunar months of his appointment, the court held that the umpirage was ill made (*b*).

Umpirage must be made within limited Time.

In a case where the arbitrators were to make an award by 20th August, or such other day as they should appoint, and in case they disagreed an umpire was to decide by the 20th September, or such other day as he should appoint; the arbitrators enlarged their time to the 1st November, and in October gave the umpire notice of their being unable to agree; the umpire had previously (on 17th September) enlarged his time to December, in which month he made his award; and the court held, that such award was good, inasmuch as the power of enlargement by the umpire was not suspended, until, by the final disagreement of the arbitrators, he became empowered to decide upon the case, and that the non-agreement of the arbitrators was sufficient to authorize his interference to enlarge his time. The court also held, that notice of the enlargement by the umpire was sufficiently given to the defendant by a verbal intimation at the time of serving the award, and demanding performance; and that the non-agreement of the arbitrators, so as to authorize the umpire to interfere, was sufficiently notified by its appearing on the face of the award (*c*).

Enlargement of Time by Umpire.

No stamp is requisite to the appointment of the umpire (*d*). Stamps.

Costs.] Where there is no cause in court, the award as to costs depends entirely upon the terms of the submission; if the submission give the arbitrator no authority as to costs, he cannot award them (*e*). But where authority is given to him upon that subject, he may order either party to pay the costs, or each to pay a moiety, unless the submission require that the costs abide the event; or if the award be silent as to costs each party must pay his own costs, and the costs of the reference, equally.

Costs. Where there is no Cause in Court.

Where there is a cause in court, the award, as to the costs of the reference, depends upon the terms of the rule or order

Where there is a Cause in Court.

(y) *Tollit v. Saunders*, 9 Price, 612.

(c) *Inddington v. Bailward*, 7 Dowl.

(z) *Per Wood. B., Tollit v. Saunders*, 9 Price, 619: see *Heatherington v. Robinson*, 7 Dowl. 192.

(d) *Routledge v. Thornton*, 4 Taunt. 704. See the form, Chit. Forms, 607.

(e) *Hall v. Lawrence*, 4 T. R. 589: post, 1848: *Re Tunno*, 2 Nev. & M. 328: *Two-good v. Two-good*, Id. 335, n.

(e) See *Candler v. Fuller*, Willes, 64: *Bell v. Bellam*, 2 Chit. Rep. 157: *Firth v. Robinson*, 1 B. & C. 277: see *Kendrick v. Davies*, 5 Dowl. 693.

(b) *Re Swinford*, 6 M. & Sel. 226.

BOOK IV.
PART II.Costs of the
Reference.

under which the cause is referred; and if the rule or order give the arbitrator no authority as to costs, he cannot award them (*f*). But if, by the rule or order of reference, the costs (*generally*) are to abide the event, this includes the costs of the reference, as well as the costs of the cause (*g*). And generally, the costs of the reference are costs in the cause, when the reference is solely of the matters in dispute in the action (*h*). But this is, it seems, otherwise where other matters not in the cause are referred (*i*). And in a case where all matters in difference were referred, except the costs of the action or suit, which were to abide the event of the arbitration, in like manner as if the cause had been tried, it was decided that the arbitrator had no power over the costs of the reference; and *Gibbs, C. J.*, observed, in giving judgment, "The substance of the cases cited is, that where parties have agreed that the arbitrator shall give costs generally, they extend to the costs of the reference, as well as to those of the suit; but there was no such agreement here." It is, however, perfectly clear, that, where a cause is referred, and the order of reference is silent as to costs, the arbitrator has power over the costs of the action, but not over the costs of the reference (*k*). Where the arbitrator awarded the costs of the reference, but did not specify the sum, the Court of Common Pleas also held, that it might be ascertained by the prothonotary (*l*); and, in other cases, where the sum was specified, that court held, that it was examinable by the officer of the court, who might reduce it if he thought it exorbitant (*m*). If each party be ordered to repay a moiety of the costs of the reference, one of them may pay the entire sum, in order to get the award from the arbitrator; and he may afterwards have the same remedy against the other, if he refuse to repay his moiety, as he would have for the non-performance of any other part of the award (*n*). In practice, however, in order to obviate all questions upon this point, it is usual, in the award, to order the party in whose favour the award is made to pay the entire costs of the award in the first instance, and then that the other party shall repay him a moiety of them (*o*).

Costs of the
Action.

But as to the costs of the action, the arbitrator may order either party to pay them, although no express authority had been given to him upon that subject by the rule or order of reference (*p*). But if, by such rule or order, the costs are "to abide the event," the arbitrator cannot exercise any discretion in the awarding of them, or even in fixing their

(*f*) *Firth v. Robinson*, 1 B. & C. 277; *Candler v. Fuller*, Willes, 64; *Strutt v. Rogers*, 7 Taunt. 213; 3 Marsh. 224, 8 C.; see *Grave v. Car*, 1 Taunt. 166; *MacKintosh v. Blyth*, 1 Bing. 269; 3 Moore, 211, 8 C.

(*g*) *Wood v. O'Kelly*, 9 East, 439; but see *Barnes*, 123; *Pract. Reg.* 103.

(*h*) *Taylor v. Gordon*, 1 Dowl. 730; see *Firth v. Robinson*, 1 B. & C. 277.

(*i*) *Trygging v. Attenborough*, 1 Dowl. 225; 5 Moo. & P. 453; 7 Bing. 733, 8 C.; see *MacKintosh v. Blyth*, 3 Moore, 211; 1 Bing. 269, 8 C.

(*k*) *Firth v. Robinson*, 1 B. & C. 277; *Candler v. Fuller*, Willes, 64; *Roll. Arbitr.* (K.) 13; *Whitehead v. Firth*, 12 East, 481;

Ball v. Bolton, 1 Chit. Rep. 157; 1 B. & P. 34.

(*l*) *Burratt v. Parry*, 4 Taunt. 68.

(*m*) *Fitzgerald v. Graves*, 5 Taunt. 26; *Miller v. Robt.*, 3 Id. 451, *ex. Tinsdale, C. J.*, at chambers, 26th March, 1838.

(*n*) *Hicks v. Richardson*, 1 B. & P. 10; *Stebbs v. Lewis*, 2 Smith, 12.

(*o*) This is of use for another purpose, viz. to secure to the arbitrator his cost of the award, for which it is very doubtful whether he has any remedy. See *Burroughs v. Clarke*, 1 Dowl. 401.

(*p*) See *Wood v. Doe*, 2 T. R. 624; *Firth v. Robinson*, 1 B. & C. 277; see *Lewis v. Morris*, 4 B. & B. 120; 3 B. & C. 624, 8 C.; *Right v. O'Kelly*, 7 B. & C. 27.

(*q*), unless such discretion be necessary for pro-judging upon all the matters referred (*r*); and the ho would have been entitled to ordinary (*s*) costs if on had proceeded, shall be entitled to them under rd(*t*), and to the same amount, and under the same ances; and, therefore, if the defendant, from the of the damages awarded, would have been entitled to suggestion on the roll under a court of conscience act, ict for the same amount had been given, he shall be to costs under the award; and the same where the is awarded no more than what is paid into court (*u*). ere a plaintiff in trespass would be entitled only to as osts as damages, he shall have no more under the). And the arbitrator need not notice the costs of the here they are to abide the event (*w*). In such a case, vard amount to a legal termination of the suit, each , in general, entitled to costs on the issues on which eds(*x*). If, on the other hand, it do not amount to ermination of the suit, and be partly in favour of one d partly of the other, neither is, in general, entitled (*y*). In some cases, however, as where several actions rred, the submission provides that the costs shall abide t of each (*z*). If all in favour of one, he is, of course, case, entitled to costs (*a*). It should be observed, r, that the award does not of itself entitle the party in avour it is made to costs allowed by particular statutes, ict, nonsuit, or other specified mode of termination of , unless the arbitrator has and exercises the power of g the suit to be terminated in that particular mode (*b*). re a defendant in replevin is not entitled to double nder 11 G. 2, c. 19, on an award made in his favour ance of a reference before issue joined (*c*). And the unnot award costs to a defendant where the plaintiff, eference before issue joined, has been awarded an less than that for which he had arrested the defend-

n the cause goes off upon an ineffectual arbitration, afterwards tried, costs are allowed as upon a *remanet* (*e*). ere a cause was referred at *Nisi Prius*, and the award was afterwards set aside, and the cause tried again, it ld, that the party ultimately succeeding was not en- o the costs of the first trial (*f*). And where a cause erred *before* trial, and the reference proving abortive, se was afterwards tried, it was held, that the successful

Costs in Case
of abortive
Reference.

Brick v. Davis, 5 Dowl. 693.
See v. M'Gregor, 1 Per. & D. 372.
Gurney v. Buller, 1 B. & A.
See v. Raith, 4 Nev. & M. 466.
Highgate Archway Com., any v.
. & Ald. 507: *Boodle v. Davies*,
M. 788.
See v. Garrett, 2 Dowl. 624.
Spencer v. Altham, 3 T. R. 138,
1142. See upon this subject
Hullock, 417 to 432: *Watson*
s. 89: *Finlayson v. M'Leod*, 1 B.
3: *Pratt v. Hillman*, 6 D. & R.
y v. O'Kell, 7 B. & C. 57: *Strat-*
m. 1 Moo. & Scott, 608; 8 Bing.
: *Sperry v. Webster*, 2 Dowl. 46.

(*w*) *Jurp v. Grayson*, 1 C., M. & R.
523: *Grayson v. Jupp*, Id.; *Spiry v. Web-*
ster, 2 Dowl. 46.
(*x*) *Darbury v. Rickman*, 1 Scott, 564.
(*y*) *Yates v. Knight*, 2 Bing. N. C. 277.
(*z*) *Jones v. Powell*, 6 Dowl. 483.
(*a*) See *Rennie v. Mills*, 5 Bing. N. C. 249.
(*b*) Per *Littledale, J.*, *Holder v. Raith*,
4 Nev. & M. 466.
(*c*) *Gurney v. Buller*, 1 B. & A. 670: see
Barnard v. Moss, 1 H. Bl. 107.
(*d*) *Holder v. Raith*, 4 Nev. & M. 466.
(*e*) *Burchell v. Bellamy*, 5 Burr. 2694;
Sayer, Costs, 179, 8. C.; *Tidd*, 9th ed.
833: and see *Seckey v. Percie*, 3 Dowl. 373.
(*f*) *Wood v. Duncan*, 5 M. & W. 67.

party was not entitled to the costs of the reference as costs in the cause (g).

Lastly, as to the taxation of the costs awarded:—If the arbitrator have not awarded a gross sum for costs, but costs generally, with or without any express direction as to their being taxed by the master, *move to make the order or submission a rule of court; draw up the rule with one of the masters, and get an appointment from him at the foot of it; give the usual and day's notice of taxation; serve a copy of the rule and appointment on the opposite attorney; and at the time appointed attend before the master, who will tax the costs and mark them on the rule.* When the arbitrator directs that the costs of the cause should be taxed by the proper officer, they should be taxed according to the *postea* (h). Even where the cause is referred before issue actually made up, the rule of *H. T., 2 W. 4, r. 74*, as to deducting costs of issue found for the opposite party, must be observed on the taxation of costs (i). When a cause is referred at *Nisi Prius*, and less than 20*l.* is awarded, the costs must be taxed on the lower scale, unless there be an express provision to the contrary in the submission (j). One should therefore be taken to insert in the submission a provision that the arbitrator may certify that the cause was proper to be tried before a judge. Indeed, even in a case where such a clause was inserted, and the arbitrator certified, but the judge died before the certificate was made known to him, the Court of Queen's Bench held, that they had no power to order full costs (k). If the arbitrators award the defendant to pay the plaintiff his costs of suit, to be taxed by the proper officer before a particular day, it is the *defendant's* business to have them taxed before that day (l); and if he do not, the plaintiff may, it seems, proceed to have them taxed *ex parte* (m).

It may be here observed, that an arbitrator cannot award costs to be taxed by any person except the proper officer of the superior court; for this would be a delegation of the authority: the taxation of costs by the master being a ministerial act, but in any other person a judicial act (n). And it would appear that the arbitrator should assess the costs of an action in an inferior court, for there may be no proper officer to tax them (o). Where the arbitrator has a discretion in awarding costs, he cannot award any other than the common costs between party and party, unless he be expressly authorized so to do (p); and of course, where the arbitrator awards costs to be taxed by the master, such costs will be taxed as between party and party, and not as between attorney and client (q); and where a cause was referred by order of *Nisi Prius*, and by the order the costs of the cause were to abide the event of the award, and the costs of the special jury, which had been obtained on the motion of the defendant, and of the reference,

(g) *Doe Davies v. Morgan*, 4 M. & W. 171.

(h) *Allenby v. Proudlock*, 5 Nev. & M. 636.

(i) *Daubus v. Rickman*, 1 Scott, 564; 1 Hodges, 75, S. C.

(j) *Wallen v. Smith*, 5 M. & W. 150.

(k) *Astley v. Joy*, 1 Per. & D. 460.

(l) *Candler v. Fuller*, Willes, 62; *Bigland v. Kelton*, 12 East, 438.

(m) *Sailler v. Robins*, 1 Camp. 243.

(n) *Knott v. Long*, 2 Stra. 1025, Cas.

temp. Hardw. 181, S. C.

(o) *Winter v. Garlick*, 1 Salk. 75: *as Addison v. Gray*, 2 Wils. 293; *Far v. Smith*, Id. 268; *Hansen v. Liveridge*, 2 Vent. 242, 243.

(p) *Whithead v. Pith*, 12 East. 167; *Barker v. Tibson*, 2 Bla. Rep. 253; *Murder v. Osar*, Cowp. 127: but see *Hartwell v. HEN*, 1 Foster's R. 73.

(q) *Pratt v. Salt*, Cas. temp. Hardw. 16.

were to be in the discretion of the arbitrator; the court held, that the arbitrator had only the power of allowing the costs of the special jury as costs in the cause, if the party who moved for the same were to succeed; and, therefore, that, after awarding a verdict for the plaintiff, he could not award that he should pay the costs of the special jury (*r*). Where a submission is made under an order of *Nisi Prius*, the arbitrator may award costs subsequent to the order. But where the submission is by bond, he cannot award subsequent costs (*s*). An error as to costs does not necessarily vitiate the award (*t*).

As to liability to pay costs caused by revocation, default of parties, &c., see *Morgan v. Williams*, 2 Dowl. 153, and *ante*, 225.

Arbitrator's Authority, how determined.] The arbitrator, as soon as he has made his award, is *functus officio*, and cannot afterwards alter it in any material part (*u*). So, if he do not make his award within the time limited by the rule, order, or submission, or within the enlarged time, (if the time have been enlarged), any award made by him afterwards will be void (*x*). So, in general, but not necessarily, by the appointment of an empire (*y*), or by an express revocation of the submission (*z*), or by an implied revocation of it (*a*), the authority of the arbitrator is determined.

Arbitrator's Authority, how determined.

SECT. 3.

Setting aside the Award.

In what Cases, 1239.

1. Where the Arbitrator has not pursued the Submission, or has in any other respect exceeded his Authority, 1240.
2. Where the Award is uncertain or ambiguous, 1242.
3. Where the Award is not Final, either by reason of not deciding all the matters referred, or otherwise, making subsequent Proceedings necessary, 1244.
4. Where the Award is inconsistent, 1247.
5. Where the Award is illegal, *id*.

In what Cases—continued.

6. Where the Proceedings were irregular, or fraudulent, 1248.
7. Where the Arbitrator has misconducted himself, *id*.
8. Where it appears on the face of the Award that the Arbitrator has mistaken the Law, 1249.
9. Where the Award is bad in a Part not separable from the Residue, *id*.

Who may apply to set aside the Award, and how objections may be Waived, 1250.

How and within what Time, 1251.

Costs of Application, 1254.

In what Cases.] It may be necessary to premise, that the court will not enter into an examination of the merits, upon

(*r*) *Finlayson v. M'Leod*, 1 B. & Ald. 153.

(*s*) *Tidd's Pract.* 888, 8th. ed.; *Pr. Reg.* 15; *Barnes*, 88.

(*t*) *Atcheson v. Cargay*, 9 Moore, 381.

(*u*) *Ante*, 1230.

(*x*) *Post*, 1240.

(*y*) *Ante*, 1234.

(*z*) *Ante*, 1225.

(*a*) *Ante*, 1225.

BOOK IV.
PART II.
In general.

Not where
the Award is
void or
annulled.

Defects for
which an
Award will be
set aside.

1. That the
Arbitrator has
not pursued
the Submis-
sion, or has
exceeded it.

an application to set aside an award (a), unless it appears manifestly from the merits that the arbitrators have acted dishonestly or corruptly (b); for the parties having chosen to substitute the decision of an arbitrator for that of a judge and jury, must abide by his determination in matters of law as well as of fact (c). Nor will the court set aside the award on the ground of the arbitrator having decided contrary to law (d); and this, though the arbitrator be not a barrister (e), unless the mistake appear on the face of the award, or upon the face of another paper delivered with it (f). But every ground for relief against an award, in a court of equity, is equally available in a court of common law (g).

It should also be observed, that the court will not set aside an award absolutely void—for instance, one made after the submission has been revoked—unless it is capable of being enforced by execution without suit (h). Also, where there is a doubt as to the validity of an award, the court will not set it aside, nor grant an attachment, but will leave the party to his action, unless where it is capable of being enforced without suit (i). As to the defence to an action on an award, see post, 1255.

The following are the most usual defects for which an award may be set aside:—

1st. That the arbitrator has not pursued the submission, or has in any other respect exceeded his authority:—

If the award do not pursue the submission in every material point, the court will set it aside (k). Therefore, if the submission be to perform the award of the arbitrators and their umpire, it would seem that an award by the arbitrators only is bad (l). And where an arbitrator awarded payment of a debt, which did not accrue until after the parties had entered into the submission, the court set aside the award (m); but the court will not presume that fact; it must be proved (n). If the award be not made and delivered, or be ready for delivery, by the time limited in the submission, and according to the terms of it, or within the enlarged time, (when the time has been properly enlarged), any award made afterwards, without the consent of all parties, will be bad (o). Also an award, whereby the arbitrator assumes to reserve a power over future differences, and which power is not given him by the award, is bad (p). So it is bad if the arbitrator delegates his authority, as an award that a party shall put certain pre-

(a) *Lewis v. Wilson*, 3 Burr. 701; *Anderson v. Carter*, 1 Str. 391.

(b) 1 Sess. 227 d.

(c) See *Shurman v. Bell*, 5 M. & Sel. 364; *Richardson v. Newson*, 3 B. & Ad. 337; 1 Chit. Rep. 674, 3 C.

(d) *Wade v. Bishop*, 1 Dowd. 630; *Campbell v. Tremblay*, 1 Price, 81; *Wheaton v. King*, 3 Dowd. 630 n. and see further, post, 1247; *Hardy v. Ringrose*, 1 H. & W. 133.

(e) *Jackson v. Pugh*, 3 Dowd. 301; *Jupp v. Gropson*, 14. 119; 1 C., 30. & H. 343, 3 C.; *Ferguson v. Staggall*, 2 Id. 738; 3 Mod. & Scott, 23, 3 C. overruled by *Jackson v. Pugh*, 3 Dowd. 301.

(f) *Kent v. Smith*, 3 East, 111.

(g) *See v. Wheeler*, 3 Burr. 1213.

(h) *See Turberville v. Brown*, 5 B. & C.

384; and see *Mumby v. Hunter*, 3 B. & Ad. 393.

(i) *Richardson v. Newson*, 3 B. & Ad. 337; *Hardy v. Ringrose*, 4 Dowd. 778.

(j) *Manderson v. Williamson*, 1 Str. 136.

(k) *Hetherington v. Robinson*, 7 Dowd. 152.

(l) *Bought v. Leigh*, 7 Dowd. 113; but see *Patch v. Fountain*, 8 King, N. C. 46, 3 C., non.; *Patch v. Canham*, 7 Dowd. 65.

(m) See *Marble v. Marriott*, 1 Ld. Rep. 115; *Freeman v. Burdett*, 14. 207; 1 Salt. 69; 3 Id. 45, 3 C.; *Brown v. Fearon*, 4 East, 384; *Hughes v. Bandy*, 6 East, 330; ante, 1232.

(n) *Mumby v. Hunter*, 3 B. & Ad. 384; *Com. Dig. Arbitrament* (H. 19).

as in repair to the satisfaction of *A. B.*, or the like(*p*).
 l a submission to refer a cause, and the subject-matter
 eof, and the issue therein, to an arbitrator, does not au-
 rize him to order a verdict to be entered up(*q*). So, under
 eference of all matters in difference, an arbitrator has no
 it to state facts for the opinion of the court, unless there is
 ecial direction given to him so to do(*r*). Nor does an au-
 rity to enter a verdict authorize him to enter a *stat pro-*
us(*s*). So, where there was an agreement for a lease of a
 l-mine for sixty-three years, from the 1st May, 1801, the
 ee to be allowed three years from that time for winning
 colliery, without payment of rent; and an arbitrator,
 ng authorized to give such directions for a lease, according
 the terms of the agreement, as he should think fit, directed
 ease for sixty-three years, from the 1st May, 1804: it was
 lden, that he had exceeded his authority, and that the
 ard was consequently bad(*t*). So, where the sufficiency of
 title is referred, the arbitrator exceeds his authority by
 arding a conveyance with a bond of indemnity(*u*). So, on
 eference as to rent, the arbitrator cannot award a power of
 stress unless expressly authorized(*x*). So, if there be a sub-
 ission of a particular difference, and there are other things
 controversy, if in such a case a general release is awarded,
 e award is bad, at least *pro tanto*; but it must be shewn that
 ere were such other matters to avoid the award(*y*). So, if
 award be made in favour of a person who is a stranger to the
 bmission, it will be bad, unless it be for the advantage of one
 ho is a party to it(*z*); and the same, of course, if made against
 stranger. Also, if the arbitrator decide upon more matters
 an were submitted to him, the award will be bad; as, if by
 he terms of the submission he have to determine the boun-
 aries of certain lands, and he enter into the question of title,
 nd decide upon it, or the like(*a*). And the same where he
 ecides upon matters abandoned by the parties(*b*). On the
 ther hand, where, upon a submission of all matters in differ-
 nce, by partners, the arbitrator awarded that the partnership
 hould be dissolved, it was holden good(*c*). So, where a
 ebtor paid his creditor a sum of money, and the creditor
 omenced an action against him upon a further claim, and
 hey submitted all matters in difference to arbitration; the
 ourt held, that the arbitrator in his award might order the
 laintiff to repay a part of the sum which the defendant had

(*p*) *Tonlin v. Mayor, &c., of Fordwick*,
Ad. & El. 147: see *Petch v. Fountain*, 7
Dowl. 486, as to an award of a set-off,
 ot due at time of action brought.

(*q*) *Hutchinson v. Blackwell*, 1 *Moo. &*
cott, 513; 8 *Bing.* 331; 1 *Dowl.* 267,
N. C.: *Jackman v. Clark*, 1 *M'Clel. & Y.*
 90: *post*, 1250: *Overwright v. Blackworth*,
Dowl. 489, which shews that the court
 ight enforce the performance of such an
 ard, is overruled. (*Dunlan v. Brett*, 4
lev. & M. 854: *Hayward v. Phillips*, 1
lev. & P. 288).

(*r*) *Barret v. Wilson*, 1 *C., M. & R.*
 88; 3 *Dowl.* 220, *S. C.*

(*s*) *Hunt v. Hunt*, 5 *Dowl.* 442.

(*t*) *Bonner v. Liddell*, 1 *B. & B.* 80.

(*u*) *Ross v. Beards*, 3 *Nev. & P.* 342.

(*x*) *Pascoe v. Pascoe*, 3 *Bing. N. C.* 208.

(*y*) *Hill v. Thorn*, 2 *Med.* 309: see

post, 1250.

(*z*) *Badam v. Clarkson*, 1 *Ld. Raym.*
 123: *Ecclrestade v. Maliard*, *Cro. El.* 4; 5
Co. 78: *Bretton v. Prat*, *Cro. Ell.* 758:
Bird v. Bird, 1 *Salk.* 74: *Fisher v. Pim-*
ley, 11 *East*, 188: *Ingram v. Milnes*, 8
East, 445; and see 1 *Ro. Abr.* 249, pl. 15:
 but in *Re Skerte*, (7 *Dowl.* 618), *Williams*,
J., seems to have been of opinion that an
 award of a sum of money to be paid to a
 stranger, was good under the circum-
 stances, though his lordship held that it
 could not be enforced by attachment.

(*a*) See *Doe Lord Cartlek v. Battiff of*
Morpeth, 3 *Taunt.* 378: see *Price v. Pop-*
kin, 2 *Per. & D.* 304.

(*b*) *Hooper v. Hooper*, 1 *M'Clel. & Y.* 509:
 see *Bird v. Cooper*, 4 *Dowl.* 148.

(*c*) *Green v. Waring*, 1 *W. Bl.* 475.

BOOK IV.
PART II.

paid him, it appearing to have been paid in a mistake(*d*). And where the question submitted was, whether *A.* or *B.* had the right to the tithes of certain lands, an award of undivided moieties to both was holden good(*e*). So, where a set-off was pleaded, and by a judge's order all matters in difference, including the claims of defendant in his set-off in the said action, were referred, it was held that the arbitrator had properly taken the set-off into consideration as a matter in difference, though not payable until after the date of the award and judge's order(*f*). And where a suit at law and in equity were referred, and the costs were "to abide the event," it was held, that the event meant the ultimate and general event, not each particular part, and that the arbitrator might exercise a power over the costs at law, which was necessary for properly adjudicating upon the suit in equity(*g*). An arbitrator who had authority to decide on what terms a partnership agreement should be cancelled, directed, amongst other things, that one of the partners should have all the debts due to the firm, and should, if necessary, sue for them in the name of his late partner; it was held, that in authorizing one of the parties to sue in the name of the other, the arbitrator had not exceeded his authority(*h*). Where a cause is referred to an arbitrator, it is not necessary that he should find for the plaintiff or defendant in the very words of the issue: it is sufficient if he decide substantially the question in dispute(*i*). Where the part in which the arbitrator has exceeded his authority is distinct and separable, the award may stand good for the rest (*post*, 1260). It may be added, that the award need not set forth in terms the performance of all the conditions required by the submission, provided they have been actually fulfilled. Thus, where the submission required that the arbitrator should take a view of certain premises before proceeding, and he did in fact take the view, the non-recital of it was held to be no objection to his award(*j*).

2. That the Award is uncertain or ambiguous:—

2nd. That the award is uncertain or ambiguous:—

If there be any uncertainty in a material part of the award, at least if it do not contain certainty to a common intent(*k*), it is bad(*l*). An award that *A.* or *B.* shall do an act is void for uncertainty(*m*). Upon a reference to a surveyor, of a cost and all matters in difference, an award that defendant had overpaid plaintiff \$4. was held insufficient to entitle the plaintiff to enforce the award by attachment(*n*). So, if the award direct an act to be done, it should point out the manner of doing it in a specific manner, so as that it may be strictly obeyed; and therefore an award, that a party should put up certain grapes, without stating of what price and quality, is bad(*o*). And where on the trial of a cause a verdict was taken for

d *Mahala v. Patterson*, 2 T. R. 685.
e *Primmer v. Gwynne*, 3 T. R. 485.
f *Peck v. Peckham*, 3 Bing. N. C. 481; 5 C. 1000; *Peck v. Peckham*, 7 Q. B. 481.
g *Barrow v. Widdowson*, 1 Pat. & D. 324.
h *Barrow v. Widdowson*, 1 Pat. & D. 324.
i *Sturt v. Sturt*, 11 Q. B. 481; 1 M. & G. 104.
j *Sturt v. Sturt*, 11 Q. B. 481.
k *Wyllie v. Wyllye*, 2 M. & G. 104.
l *Spence v. Spence*, Queen's Bench.

m 7 Dowd. 487.
n *Shannon v. Oshough*, 1 B. & C. 104.
o *See Tipping v. Smith*, 2 Pat. 101; *Peckham v. Peckham*, 4 Bing. N. C. 104.
p *Lawrence v. Lawrence*, 1 V. & L. 104; and see *Wyllie v. Wyllye*, 11 B. & C. 104; 3 Bing. 481, 5 C. 1000.
q *Shannon v. Oshough*, 1 B. & C. 104.
r *Wyllie v. Wyllye*, 2 Pat. & D. 324.

000/., subject to a reference, the arbitrator to direct a verdict for plaintiff or defendant as he should think proper, *and termine all matters in difference* except as to costs, the arbitrator directed a verdict to be entered for the plaintiff, (not saying for how much), and that defendant should at a time and place named pay plaintiff or his attorney 260/.: the award was held bad for uncertainty(*p*). And an award that a sum of 230/., is due to the plaintiffs, and that out of the said sum the defendants should pay the arbitrators 3/., for the costs of the agreement of reference and their award, and for their charge, trouble, and attendance, and for costs in certain actions mentioned in the reference, has been held uncertain, for not specifying the sum to be appropriated to each object(*q*). And under a submission in a dispute, as to a building contract, of all claims, &c., as to alleged defects, extra work, and deductions for omissions, *and to ascertain what balance might be due in respect of extras and omissions*, an award of 246/., generally to the builder was held bad for uncertainty(*r*). So, where a cause in which there were several issues was referred at *Nisi Prius*, the costs to abide the event, and the arbitrators found for the defendant on two of the issues, neither of which covered the entire cause of action, and for the plaintiff on the others, but omitted to award damages, the award was held bad, it being impossible to ascertain from it which way the arbitrator meant to find(*s*). But a *prima facie* uncertainty or want of conclusiveness in an award does not vitiate it, if it be capable of being rendered certain or conclusive, and the award may be bad or good, according to the event(*t*). Where an award ordered that the defendant should do one or other of two things, in the alternative, it was holden that the award was good, if either of the things were capable of being performed(*u*). So, where a sum of money was ordered to be paid within a certain time from the date of the award, and the award bore no date, it was holden to be sufficiently certain(*x*). So, where a bond was ordered to be delivered up to be cancelled within a certain time from the date of the said bond, without stating the date, it was considered sufficient(*y*). So, where an action on a money bond, and all matters in difference, were referred to an arbitrator, and he directed a verdict to be entered for the plaintiff generally, it was holden sufficient, although he did not state for what amount(*z*). And where a verdict was taken for the plaintiff, subject to a reference of the cause and all matters in difference, the arbitrator having power to vacate the verdict or reduce the damages, and he awarded that the plaintiff was entitled to demand of the defendant 90/., in respect of the causes of action, and that the defendant was entitled to set off 35/., in respect of his journeys, &c., mentioned in the plea of set-off, and that the defendant should deliver up certain securities to

(*p*) *Martin v. Burge*, 4 Ad. & El. 973; 6 Nev. & M. 201, S. C.

(*q*) *Robinson v. Henderson*, 6 M. & Sel. 276.

(*r*) *In re Rider*, 3 Bing. N. C. 874.

(*s*) *Wood v. Dunham*, 7 Dowl. 92.

(*t*) *Altchison v. Cargrey*, 13 Price, 629; 2 B. & C. 170; 2 D. & R. 222, S. C.

(*u*) *Simmonds v. Straine*, 1 Taunt. 549.

(*x*) *Armist v. Breame*, 1 Salk. 76; 2 Ld. Raym. 1076, S. C.

(*y*) *Bell v. Gips*, 2 Ld. Raym. 1141.

(*z*) *Cuyme v. Watts*, 3 D. & R. 224; and see *Cargrey v. Altchison*, 2 B. & C. 170; 2 D. & R. 222; 13 Price, 630, S. C.: *Dicas v. Jay*, 5 Bing. 281; 2 Moo. & P. 448, S. C.

the plaintiff: it was held, that the award sufficiently ascertained the amount for which the verdict was to be entered(a). Where a plaintiff makes several claims against a defendant, and the defendant makes others against the plaintiff, if an arbitrator to whom the cause is referred finds that the plaintiff had no cause of action, his award is, in that respect at least, sufficiently certain(b). In an action against an executor, where the arbitrator found a certain sum due to the plaintiff on the balance of accounts, and awarded that the defendant should pay it out of assets on a given day: this was holden to be sufficiently certain, without stating expressly that the defendant had assets to that amount(c). So, in the common cases of costs, where their amount is not ascertained by the award, still this circumstance does not render the award bad for uncertainty; the maxim in these and the like cases being, "*Id certum est quod certum reddi potest*," and in such cases the master or other officer of the court shall tax them(d). But the arbitrator should assess the costs of an action in an inferior court, for there may be no proper officer in such court to tax them(e).

3. That it does not finally settle all the Matters referred.

3rd. That the award is not final, either by reason of not deciding all the matters referred, or otherwise, making subsequent proceedings necessary:—

The award must be a final and conclusive settlement of all the matters referred; otherwise it will be bad(f). Therefore an award, which is no more than a mere suggestion or undecided opinion, is bad(g). So, if the award be ineffective,—as, if upon a submission for a partition between tenants in common, the arbitrator award their several portions, but omit to order deeds of conveyance to be executed, so as to vest the several allotments in their respective owners,—the award is bad(h). So, where several matters are submitted, and the arbitrator omits to decide on one or more of them(i); or where all matters in difference are submitted, and the arbitrator omits to decide as to some one matter which has been pointed out to him(j), or makes a defective award as to it(k), the court will set aside the award. Where costs were to abide the event, and the arbitrator omitted to give any opinion as to some of the counts in the declaration, the award was held bad(l). And where the declaration contained counts on a promissory note and an account stated, and the arbitrator found that plaintiff had a good cause of

(a) *Platt v. Hall*, 2 M. & Wels. 301: and see *Smith v. The Festiniog Railway Company*, 6 Dowl. 190: *King v. Earl of Dundonald*, 5 Dowl. 589.

(b) *Haylar v. Ellis*, 6 Bing. 225; 3 Moo. & P. 553, S. C.: *Dickins v. Jarvis*, 5 B. & C. 528.

(c) *Low v. Honeybourne*, 4 D. & R. 814: and see *Doe Williams v. Richardson*, 8 Taunt. 697: *ante*, 1124.

(d) See *Cargrey v. Aitchison*, 2 D. & R. 222; 2 B. & C. 170, S. C.: *Dudley v. Nettelfield*, 2 Stra. 737: *Fox v. Smith*, 2 Wils. 267. In *Barrett v. Parry*, (4 Taunt. 658), the point was raised, but not decided.

(e) *Winter v. Gortlick*, 1 Salk. 75: *Ardison v. Gray*, 2 Wils. 283.

(f) See *Tipping v. Smith*, 2 Str. 1024: *Cargrey v. Aitchison*, 2 D. & R. 222; 2 B.

& C. 170, S. C.: 2 Bing. 190, S. C., in error: *Doe Turnbull v. Brown*, 5 B. & C. 384: *Manser v. Heaver*, 2 B. & Adol. 295: *Plummer v. Lee*, 2 M. & Wels. 486: 5 Dowl. 755, S. C.

(g) *Lock v. Williams*, 5 B. & Adol. 60: see *Ferguson v. Norman*, 4 Bing. N. C. 52.

(h) *Johnson v. Wilson*, Willes, 248.

(i) *Re Robson*, 1 B. & Adol. 723: *Randall v. Randall*, 7 East, 89: *Bradford v. Bryan*, Willes, 268: *Price v. Popkin*, 3 Per. & D. 314: but see *Simmons v. Stevens*, 1 Taunt. 549; and see 1 B. & Adol. 106.

(j) *Price v. Popkin*, 2 Per. & D. 314.

(k) *Mitchell v. Starkey*, 16 East, 38.

(l) *Norris v. Daniel*, 4 Mon. & Scot. 383; 2 Dowl. 738; 10 Bing. 507, S. C.

action on the promissory note, but made no adjudication on the other issue, the award was held bad(m). And the same where the arbitrator adjudicated on the issues joined, but omitted to award damages on a new assignment, on which there was judgment by default(n). And where a cause *and all matters in difference* were referred to an arbitrator, and by his award he merely directed a verdict to be entered in favour of the plaintiff for one entire sum, the award was held not final, and therefore bad(o). So where, in a submission to arbitration, four actions between distinct parties, and all matters in difference, were referred to the arbitrator, and the award omitted to decide upon a fifth action pending between the parties, and of which the arbitrator had notice, it was held bad(p). So, where all matters in difference in a cause between parties in an action against two defendants were referred to arbitration, and the arbitrator refused to adjudicate upon the subject of four checks drawn by one of the defendants alone, on the ground that it was not a matter in difference between the parties to the reference, it was held, that the award was not final and conclusive, and that it must be set aside(q). So, where an action of ejectment on several demises was referred, and the arbitrator awarded that the plaintiff was entitled to a certain part of the land sought to be recovered, which he set out by metes and bounds, the award was held bad on the face of it for want of finality, because it did not appear that the remaining part of the premises had been taken into consideration, and also because it did not state on which of the demises the plaintiff had succeeded; and it was also doubted (but not decided) whether it ought not to have awarded nominal damages(r). Where the defendant was ordered to pay the plaintiff a sum of money, unless within twenty-one days he should exonerate himself by affidavit from certain payments, &c., in which case he was to pay a less sum; the award was holden bad(s). So, where the award ordered, amongst other things, that the defendant should do certain work, and that the plaintiff should be at liberty to produce evidence before the arbitrator of the insufficiency of the work at any time within two months, the court held that part of the award bad(t). So, where the award was, that the defendant should beg the plaintiff's pardon, in such manner and place as the plaintiff should appoint, it was holden bad; for the manner and place, which were the most material circumstances, were yet to be determined(u). But where the parties bound themselves to abide by the opinion of counsel on the construction of a statute, and the counsel gave his opinion in favour of one of the parties, it was holden that this opinion was final and conclusive, notwithstanding it

(m) *Glaburne v. Hart*, 7 Dowl. 402; 5 M. & W. 50, S. C.

(n) *Wyles v. Shipton*, 8 Ad. & El. 246, n.

(o) *Goode v. Burcher*, 5 Dowl. 127. This would be good if the cause only were referred, though there were several causes of action. (See *Hird v. Cooper*, 4 Dowl. 148). And even where a cause and all matters in difference were referred, an award, "after hearing allegations on each side," that defendant should pay plaintiff a sum of money in discharge of all demands in the cause, was held sufficiently

final, it not being shewn that anything remained unadjudicated upon. (*Dry v. Bonnin*, 3 Bing. N. C. 219; see *Brown v. Craydon Canal Company*, 1 Per. & D. 391).

(p) *Stone v. Phillips*, 6 Dowl. 247.

(q) *Samuel v. Couper*, 4 Nev. & M. 520; 1 Harr. & W. 86; and see *Phillips v. Ingram*, 3 Dowl. 689.

(r) *Das Madkins v. Horner*, 3 Nev. & P. 344; 8 Ad. & El. 235, S. C.

(s) *Parley v. Goddard*, 7 T. R. 73.

(t) *Manser v. Haver*, 3 B. & Ad. 286.

(u) *Glover v. Harris*, 1 Salt. 71.

BOOK IV.
PART II.

also recommended that the printed statute should be compared with the parliament roll before the matter should be settled(*e*). So an award that one of the parties should pay a sum of money to the other, on a future day, in full of all demands, is sufficiently final(*f*); and an award that one should give the other his promissory note for a certain sum, is good, being the same as awarding payment at a future day(*g*). So, when the award was, that an action pending between the parties should be discontinued, and that each should pay his own costs, it was considered sufficiently final, being in effect an award of a *stat. processus*(*h*). So, where a cause and all matters in difference were referred, the costs to abide the event, as upon a trial and final judgment, to be entered up by the successful party, the arbitrator awarded that the plaintiff had no cause of action, and that he should pay defendant a sum of money, but added that it was not intended to prevent plaintiff recovering on a certain agreement signed by the defendant, but only that at present he had no cause of action, the award was held sufficiently final(*i*). So, where by an order of *Nisi Prius*, an action at law and all matters in difference between the parties at law and in equity, including a Chancery suit, were referred to an arbitrator, who by his award ordered that a sum of money should be paid to the plaintiff in the action, and that the bill in Chancery should be dismissed, and that all proceedings thereon should utterly cease and determine; the Court of Queen's Bench held, that the suit in equity, and all matters in difference in that suit, and all matters in difference between the parties, were thereby finally determined; although one of the matters in dispute in the Chancery suit was brought before the arbitrator, as a matter in difference between the parties, and was not otherwise disposed of than by the ending of the Chancery suit(*j*). And where a cause and all matters in dispute between the parties being referred to arbitration, the arbitrator, "having heard the proofs and allegations of the parties touching the matters in difference between them," awarded, "concerning the same," that the defendant should pay plaintiff 11*l.* 5*s.* in full of all demands in the cause, it was held sufficiently final(*k*). And where a cause was referred to an arbitrator, who was to settle all matters in difference between the parties at law and in equity, so that he made his award by a certain day, (with power of enlargement), to be delivered to the parties, or, if either of them should be dead, to their personal representatives: the arbitrator was to be at liberty to make one or more awards at his discretion: at the time of the submission two equity suits were pending, in which the parties to the action, and also certain infants, were concerned: before any award was made, one of the parties to the equity suits died: the arbitrator, by his award, ordered a verdict to be entered for the plaintiff, damages 500*l.*; and also that the defendant should pay to the plaintiff 350*l.* for grievances not included in his declaration: it

(*e*) *Price v. Halls*, 1 M. & Sel. 105.
(*f*) *Squire v. Greville*, 2 Ld. Raym. 931; *Robinson v. Cobb*, 3 Lev. 129.
(*g*) *South v. Garbutt*, 2 Str. 1022.
(*h*) *Blanchard v. Little*, 9 East. 397; and see *Johnson v. Tinsley*, 5 B. & Ald. 505.

(*i*) *Harding v. Marsham*, 4 Dougl. 38.
(*j*) *Pearce v. Pearce*, 9 H. & C. 454.
(*k*) *Day v. Baugh*, 3 Blag. N. C. 555; see *Boone v. Crofton Canal Company*, 1 Ves. & B. 251; but see *Good v. Mather*, 5 Dougl. 129; *q. v. v. v.*

held, first, that the award was sufficiently final, although it did not dispose of the equity suits; secondly, that the circumstance of infants being parties to those suits did not invalidate it; thirdly, that the arbitrator's authority was not reduced by the death of one of the parties; and, lastly, that the award of 350*l.* was sufficiently certain(*e*). And where, in an action of trespass, the defendant pleaded the general issue and sundry justifications, and the cause was referred to an arbitrator, the costs to abide the event; the arbitrator awarded the defendant on the general issue, and disposed of the costs contested in the pleas of justification, but did not in the award decide on or notice the issues upon those justifications; the Court of Common Pleas refused to set aside the award(*f*). So, where a cause in which the general issue and set-off were pleaded was referred, the costs of the reference were awarded to abide the event, an award that the plaintiff had in any cause of action against the defendant was held sufficiently final; the arbitrator having thereby determined the costs on, and not being bound to decide upon each issue unless requested to do so(*g*). So, where one of the parties admits the claim of the other, but seeks to reduce the balance by a set-off, it is sufficient for the award to find a sum due to one party or the other, without noticing the set-off(*h*). So, where, on a reference of a cause, the costs to abide the event, the arbitrator finds for the defendant on a plea which covers the whole cause of action, it is no objection to the award, that, on other issues, he finds for the plaintiffs without damages(*i*). It may be added, that no other matters in difference than those decided on will be intended by the court, unless they have been made known to the arbitrator before he made his award(*j*).

4th. That the award is inconsistent:—

If one part of an award be inconsistent with another, it will be bad: as, where the arbitrator awarded that A. should pay B. 100*l.*, and both should give general releases, and that at a subsequent time B. should pay A. 20*l.*, the award was held bad(*k*).

4. That the Award is inconsistent.

5th. That the award is illegal:—

If the arbitrator award any of the parties to do an act which is illegal, the award is so far bad(*l*). And if a sum awarded appear on the face of it to have arisen out of an illegal transaction, the award will be bad *pro tanto*(*m*). It seems, however, that the award will not be held bad, merely because it contravenes some rule of practice(*n*). And where the award was written on a wrong stamp, the court refused to set it aside upon that account; although such a circumstance

5. That it is illegal.

l) *Wrightson v. Bywater*, 6 Dowl. 359.

f) *Dibden v. Marquis of Anglesey*, 10 G. 568: and see also *Re Leeming & Waley*, 5 B. & Adol. 403: *Wykes v. Wason*, 3 Nev. & M. 240.

g) *Duckworth v. Harrison*, 7 Dowl. 71.

i) *Brown v. Croydon Canal Company*, 11 Ex. & D. 391.

j) *Savage v. Ashwin*, 4 M. & W. 530: *ibid.*, if the plea covers only part. (*Wood v. Mann*, 7 Dowl. 91).

k) *Jagrum v. Milnes*, 8 East, 445: see also *ibid. v. Johnson*, 15 East, 13: *Pinkerton v. Nelson*, 2 B. & Ald. 704: see *Day v.*

Bonnin, 3 Bing. N. C. 219.

l) *Storke v. De Smeth*, Willes, 66: see also *Fires v. Adams*, 4 Taunt. 632: *Amer v. Milward*, 8 Id. 367, 2 Moore, 713, S. C.

m) See *Alder v. Swill*, 8 Taunt. 454. But it may be good for all but the illegal part, *semble*, see *Doddington v. Bailward*, 7 Dowl. 140.

n) *Aubert v. Maize*, 2 B. & P. 371: see also *Stears v. Lushley*, 6 T. R. 61.

o) See *Re Budgett*, 2 B. & Ald. 691: *Berlington v. Smithall*, 4 Price, 212: see, however, *Brondhurst v. Dartington*, 2 Dowl. 38.

BOOK IV.
PART II.

6. That the
Proceedings
were irregu-
lar or fraudu-
lent.

would be a good answer to any application made to enforce it (n).

6th. That the proceedings were irregular or fraudulent:—

If there have been any irregularity in the proceeding—as if no notice of the meeting (o), or of attendance by counsel (p), were given to the party against whom the award was made, or the like, the court will set aside the award (q). The court will not, however, set aside the award on the ground that the order of reference has been improperly obtained; the application in that case should be to set aside the order of reference itself, and should be made within a reasonable time after the order (r). As to irregularity in the appointment of an umpire, see *ante*, 1234.

An award will not be set aside although the affidavits in support of the application disclose strong imputations upon the testimony of a material witness, who was examined before the arbitrator (s).

7. That the
Arbitrator has
misconducted
himself.

7th. That the arbitrator has misconducted himself, &c.:—

If the arbitrator have been guilty of any misconduct in the course of the proceedings, the court will set aside the award (t), (if the submission can be made a rule of court), or a court of equity may afford relief; but such misconduct will not, it seems, afford any defence to an action, or attachment (u). Where an arbitrator refuses to examine witnesses, or to receive evidence, the court will sometimes set aside the award (v); and this, though he thought that he had sufficient evidence, without examining the witnesses. But where he refused to examine a witness because he thought him inadmissible, the court refused to set aside an award (x); and they would not set aside the award in a case where the arbitrator refused to examine a party in the cause who could have contradicted a witness (y); nor would they set it aside where the arbitrator admitted an incompetent witness (z). And Mr. Watson (a) states it to be now settled, that the arbitrator is to judge as to the competency of the witnesses; and if he receive the evidence of an incompetent witness, or reject the evidence of a competent witness, the court will not set aside the award. Where the arbitrator, after closing the examination, refused to call another meeting, and made his award, the court refused to set aside the award, although the defendant's attorney swore that he was in possession of evidence which would have repelled that upon which the award was founded (b). So, where the umpire re-

(n) *Preston v. Eastwood*, 7 T. R. 96.

(o) *Anon.*, 1 Salk. 71.

(p) *Whalley v. Horland*, 3 Dowl. 948. For it is not reasonable that one party should have the advantage of counsel and the other not. (Per Bayley, B., *ibid.*)

(q) *Anon.*, 1 Salk. 71.

(r) *Sackett v. Owen*, 2 Chit. R. 38.

(s) *Scates v. East London Water Works Company*, 1 Hodges, 91; M.S., F. T., 3 W. 4, 3 C.; *Pillmore v. Head*, C. P.; 3 Jurist, 1133.

(t) See *Lucas v. Wilson*, 2 Burr. 701. *Anon.*, 1 Salk. 71; *Braddick v. Thomson*, 8 East, 344; *Gingebrook v. Davis*, 5 B. & C. 534; *Hrazier v. Bryant*, 10 Moore, 687; 3 Bing. 167, 3 C.; 9 & 10 W. 3, c. 15, s. 5. The misconduct need not be such as to

bad sense of the word. (See *Phipps v. Ingram*, 3 Dowl. 670).

(u) See 2 Saund. 327; *Brazier v. Bryant*, 3 Bing. 167.

(v) See *Phipps v. Ingram*, 3 Dowl. 670; *Morris v. Reynolds*, 2 Ld. Raym. 467, 1 Salk. 73, 8 C.; *Hewlett v. Lawson*, 21, 4 P. 174; *Garnett v. Cooper*, 1 H. & W. 11.

(x) *Campbell v. Tinsman*, 1 Price, 11.

(y) *Smiles v. East London Water Works Co.*, 1 Hodges, 91.

(z) *Perriman v. Steyning*, 9 Eng. Ch. 3 Mon. & Scott, 98, 3 Dowl. 775.

(a) "Awards," p. 180, citing *Lloyd v. Archbold*, 2 Taunt. 324; *Perriman v. Steyning*, 9 Bing. 679; 3 Moore & Scott, 30; 3 Dowl. 775, 3 C.

(b) *Brazier v. Bryant*, 3 Bing. 167, 3 C.

ved the evidence from the arbitrators without examining witnesses, the court held, that the award was not bad that account, if the umpire had not been requested to examine them (c). So, where one of the defendant's witnesses was examined by the arbitrator, after the evidence both sides was closed, and the plaintiff's attorney gone; though upon this second examination he gave a different evidence from what he had given before, and the arbitrator's decision was influenced by it, yet the court held, that this circumstance would not affect the award, unless it were sought about by the management of the defendant's attorney (d). And the same where he excluded the parties and witnesses, except those under examination (e). Also, an award cannot be set aside on a mere suspicion of favour; for instance, it cannot be set aside merely because the arbitrator is indebted to one of the parties, though the other party is ignorant of the fact, and object as soon as he becomes aware of it (f). Also, any objection on these grounds will be waived, by proceeding with a knowledge of it (g).

SECT. 3.

8th. That it appears on the face of the award that the arbitrator has mistaken the law:—

8. Mistake of Law on the Face of the Award.

If the arbitrator make a mistake in point of law, and it does not appear upon the face of the award, the court will not, in general, set aside the award upon a mere suggestion of the mistake, or upon affidavits of the facts (i); but if the mistake appear upon the face of the award, or even upon the face of another paper delivered with it (k), or if the arbitrator, on being told that an application is about to be made to the court, himself assigns the ground for his judgment for the purpose of enabling the party to make such application, and shews that he is mistaken (l), the award will be set aside, provided it be clearly erroneous (m). And where an action was brought by an attorney on a bill not taxable, and a verdict was taken subject to a reference as to the amount of the charges, and the arbitrator awarded a certain sum, it was held, that it was competent for the court to examine whether the arbitrator had adopted the right rule (n).

9th. That the award is bad in a part not separable from the residue:—

9. That the Award is bad in a Part not separable from the Residue.

If an award be good in part, the performance of that part which is good may be enforced, provided it be final in itself and perfectly distinct from, and independent of, that part which is bad (o). Therefore, an award directing a defendant

see *Doddington v. Hudson*, 1 Bing. 384; 1 Moore, 163, S. C.

(c) *Hall v. Lawrence*, 4 T. R. 589: see 2s *Turner*, 5 B. & Adol. 488.

(d) *Atkinson v. Abraham*, 1 B. & P. 175: see *Re Hick*, 8 Taunt. 624.

(e) *Hewlett v. Haycock*, 2 C. & P. 574.

(f) *Morgan v. Morgan*, 1 Dowl. 611.

(g) *Kingswell v. Elliott*, 7 Dowl. 423.

(h) *Ashdon v. Poynter*, 3 Dowl. 201; *Jupp v. Grayson*, Id. 199; 1 C., M. & R. 523, S. C.; *Perryman v. Steggall*, 3 Moo. & Scott, 3; 2 Dowl. 726, S. C.; *Hardy v. Ringwood*, 1 H. & W. 185; *Chace v. Westmore*, 3 East, 357; *Boettiller v. Thick*, 1 D. & L. 365; *Crump v. Symons*, 1 Bing. 104; 7 Moore, 434, S. C.; *Cruken v. Craven*, 1

Taunt. 644; 1 Moore, 403, S. C.: *Driver v. Barnes*, 1 Taunt. 48; and see *Shurman v. Bell*, 5 M. & Sel. 504; *In re Badger*, 2 B. & Ald. 140; *Richardson v. Nourse*, 3 Id. 237; 1 Chit. Rep. 674, S. C.; *Guntham v. Germain*, 11 Moore, 7; *Symes v. Goodfellow*, 4 Dowl. 642; *Armstrong v. Marshall*, 4 Dowl. 593.

(k) *Kent v. Elstob*, 3 East, 18.

(l) *Jones v. Curry*, 5 Bing. N. C. 187; but see *The Orendon v. Cropper*, 2 Per. & D. 490.

(m) *Richardson v. Nourse*, 3 B. & A. 237.

(n) *Broadhurst v. Durlington*, 2 Dowl. 38.

(o) *Candler v. Fuller*, Willes, 64. 253; *Aldiman v. Gray*, 2 Wils. 243; *Ingram v. Milnes*, 8 East, 445; *George v. Lowley*,

BOOK IV.
PART II.

to remove certain hatches, part of which belonged to him absolutely, but in other parts of which he had only a share; at the same time providing that the directions of the award should affect the latter only so far as his interest extended; was held good as to all but that part in respect of which the defendant might shew his inability to proceed (p). In a case where the arbitrator assumed, in one part of the award, to reserve a power over future differences, but the rest of the award was good, the court rejected the improper part, and held the award good (q). An award of a release to the time of the award was formerly holden to be void in toto, not being divisible; but now an award of a release which would extend beyond the arbitrator's power is held to be void only for the time between the submission and the award (r). And if the arbitrator direct mutual releases on payment of a sum over which he has jurisdiction, and also of a sum over which he has none, the award is good as to the former (s). And it seems that when an arbitrator has ordered a verdict to be entered without authority, if the award dispose of all the matters referred independently of the verdict, that part of the award may be rejected (t). Where, however, an arbitrator, to whom a cause before being at issue was referred by rule of court, awarded thus:—"I award and direct that a verdict in this cause be finally entered for the plaintiff, with £— damages:" the court held, he had exceeded his authority in directing the entry of a verdict, and that, as the award consisted of only one sentence, that direction could not be rejected, and the residue considered as an award that so much was due and to be paid, and that therefore the award was bad (u). And the same, where the arbitrator found an indivisible plea (set-off) partly for the defendant (x); but the plaintiff was allowed to waive the objection in that case.

Who may
apply to set
aside the
Award, and
how Objections
may be waived.

Party in
whose Favour
a Mistake is
made cannot
apply.

It so may apply to set aside the Award, and how Objections may be waived.] It seems that a party in whose favour a mistake has been made cannot avail himself of it to set aside the award. Therefore, where an arbitrator erroneously found a plea of set-off, in part for the plaintiff, and in part for the defendant, instead of wholly for the plaintiff, the court refused to set aside the award at the instance of the defendant; and, as they had no power to amend, they gave the plaintiff the option either of having the award set aside, or of letting it stand, if he were willing to pay the defendant's costs on the issue erroneously found in his favour (the merits not being affected, and the order of *Nisi Prius* precluding a writ of error) (x).

14, 15: *Stear v. Phillips*, 6 Dowl. 949; *Kendrick v. Davies*, 5 Dowl. 682. In *the v. Richardson*, 8 Tamm. 607, the defect in the award was only as to the direction of mutual releases. In *Jackson v. Gwyer*, 19 Bing. 159, the arbitrator exceeded his authority by directing the mode in which the matters ordered by the award were to be done. See *Prior v. Popham*, 2 Per. & D. 364.

(p) *Doddington v. Ballard*, 7 Dowl. 600.

(q) *Mason v. Hanson*, 3 B. & Adol. 281; see *Thames v. Mayor of York*, 3 M. & W. 147.

(r) *Pickering v. Watson*, 2 Ell. Rep. 1117; and see *Watson on Awards*, 158, 60.

(s) *Kendrick v. Davies*, 5 Dowl. 682.

(t) See *Prior v. Popham*, 2 Per. & D. 364.

(u) *Jackson v. Clarke*, 1 M. & W. 147; and see *the v. Wankles*, 7 D. & R. 28; see *Howard v. Phillips*, 1 Nev. & P. 28; *Dodson v. Brett*, 4 Nev. & M. 68; see *relying Cartwright v. Blackburn*, 1 Dowl. 600.

(x) *Mason v. Hanson*, 3 B. & P. 68. See as to the indivisibility of the plea, *Task v. Task*, 3 M. & W. 149; 7 Dowl. 122, 2 C.; *Mason v. Hanson*, 3 M. & W. 149.

After an award has been made, it is too late for the unsuccessful party to object that certain infants have been parties to the submission, and that certain other interested persons have not been made parties to it, for the party entering into the reference "must be taken to have known who were the parties to the actions to which he himself is a party, and to the submissions which he enters into, and it would be most unjust to allow him to take the chance of an award in his favour; and, that failing, to claim to set aside the whole proceedings for a defect in the submission of which he had full cognizance when he entered into it" (z).

SECT. 3.

Objection as to Competency or Want of Parties must be made before Award.

An objection to the award being made on account of the time for making it not having been duly enlarged, or to an umpirage on account of the umpire not having been duly appointed, or on account of improper conduct in the arbitrator or umpire, or the like, may be waived by the parties attending the arbitrator or umpire, and proceeding in the reference or umpirage with a knowledge of the fact (a).

Waiver of Objections by proceeding with a Knowledge of it.

Also, if a party accept a benefit under an award—as, for instance, if an award direct, amongst other things, that the costs of the cause, and of the reference, be paid to the plaintiff, and he accept such costs—he is thereby precluded from afterwards impeaching the award (b). Where, however, an award, published nine days before the end of Hilary term, directed the defendant to pay the plaintiff a sum of money, and the plaintiff to lay out a sum of money on premises which the defendant held of him as tenant, it was held, that the defendant had not waived any objections that might be taken to the award, by not giving notice to the plaintiff of his intention to apply to the court after he had heard that the plaintiff had commenced the repairs, nor by the defendant's attorney attending the taxation of costs, and requesting a week's time to pay the money (c).

Waiver of Objections by accepting a Benefit under the Award.

How and within what Time.] Where no action is pending, and where the submission *does not contain a clause of consent that it shall be made a rule of court*, the award cannot be set aside by any application to the court; but if the party grieved cannot avail himself of the defects in it by pleading, where an action is brought against him upon the bond, &c., his only remedy is by application to a court of equity; but where an action is pending and the submission is by rule of court or judge's order, or where the submission contains the clause above mentioned, the award may be set aside upon application to the court.

How and within what Time.

Where the submission *contains the clause of consent* above mentioned, this application must, by the 9 & 10 W. 3, c. 15, s. 2, be made before the *last day of the term next after the award is made, and published to the parties* (d). Where a sum is

When made, where the submission is by Deed, &c., and contains

(z) *Jones v. Powell*, 6 Dowl. 483; *Cheridge v. Wrightson v. Bywater*, 3 M. & W. 190.

(a) See ante, 1233: and see *Hewlett v. Apcock*, 2 C. & P. 574; *Kingwell v. Elliott*, 1 Dowl. 423.

(b) *Kennard v. Harris*, 4 D. & R. 272; 1 B. & C. 201, 8 C.

(c) *Hayward v. Phillips*, 1 Nev. & P. 25; 6 Ad. & Ell. 119, 8 C.

(d) It is published (according to the opinion of the Court of C. P.) when it is

made, and notice of the fact given to the parties, and that they may obtain it on payment of *fair and reasonable charges*, (*Muscelbrook v. Dunkin*, 1 Dowl. 722, per *Tindal*, C. J.) The Court of Queen's Bench, however, have held that an award is published when the arbitrator gives the parties notice that it may be had on payment of his charges, *whether they be reasonable or not*. (*M'Arthur v. Campbell*, 5 B. & Ad. 518; 2 Nev. & M. 444, 8 C.)

PAGE 17.
 PART 11.
 a comment to
 make it a
 high court.

awarded, subject to be reduced by the judgment of the court on a statement of facts, an application for the setting aside of that judgment is, in effect, an application to set aside the award, and must be made within the appointed term(e). Even an application that the award be referred back to the same arbitrator to reconsider it, on the ground that he had not sufficient materials before him when he made it, must be made within that time(f). If the award be made in vacation, the application to set it aside must be made in the next term; but if made in term, the parties have until the last day of the second term to make the application(g). The application cannot be made on the last day of term(h); nor will the court, after the time above mentioned, entertain a motion to set aside an award for any defect whatever(i), even although such defect appear upon the face of the award(j). In one case, where the submission, being in the hands of the successful party, could not be procured, in order to make it a rule of court, in sufficient time to move in the term next after publication, the time for making the motion was enlarged by the court until the following term(k). But this appears to be an evasion of the statute, and probably would not now be permitted(l).

Where the
substitution is
in black at
every.

The statute of 9 & 10 W. 3, c. 15, however, does not extend to awards where the reference has been by order of *Nisi Prius*(m); nor to other cases where an action is pending, and the reference has been by rule of court or judge's order(s); yet the court will not, in such cases, unless under very special circumstances, entertain the motion after any considerable lapse of time(o). And the general rule, where the reference is made by rule or order not at *Nisi Prius*, appears to be that the application should be made before the last day of the next term after the publication of the award(o). And the same where the reference is made at *Nisi Prius* of the cause and *the matters in difference* p. . But where a verdict is taken at *Nisi Prius*, and the cause only is referred, and the arbitrator is not sworn, and the place of a jury, the motion should, in ordinary cases, be made within the time limited for a motion or arrest of judgment in the case of a new trial, viz. within the first four days of term which commences after the publication of the award.

[The page contains extremely faint, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side.]

1871. S. C.: Sherry v. Chas. J.
 HARR. & W. 191, S. C.:
 HARRIS, 3 Dowl. 317; 1
 S. C.
 Neumann, 4 Dowl. 304.
 Phillips, 1 Nev. & P.
 Stutzin, 2 Nev. & P. 43:
 Dowl.: but see
 4 Dowl. 31, which seems
 to the first four days of
 Arnold, 6 B. & C.
 v. Kiersey, 3
 v. Harris, 2 B. & C.
 S. C.: Sell v. Carter
 v. Jennings, 10
 v. Acker, 3 Dowl.
 v. 4 Dowl. 31.
 v. Sutton, 6
 v. Lattin, J., Mor
 & P. 974

to be observed, however, that, in cases not within the it is not imperative on the court to refuse motions ter the times above specified, provided very clear and ory reasons be given for the delay (r). Therefore, rule was obtained in time, and was discharged on merely technical, the court granted a new rule in the term after the publication (s). It has been held by rt of Queen's Bench not to be a sufficient excuse for that the arbitrator had refused to give up his award payment of an exorbitant sum (t). Nor is it a suf- xcuse that the party moving did not believe that the arty intended to proceed upon the award, as there had previous revocation (u).

SECT. 3.
Court will in some Cases hear the Motion later if Delay ac- counted for.

ay be necessary to add, that the motion to set aside a it entered up on an imperfect award is not limited to iods above specified (x). But it is, in general, better y in proper time to set aside the award itself; for on to set aside the judgment entered on it, only such as appear on the face of the award, and would be avail- answer to a motion for an attachment for disobeying be taken advantage of (y).

Motion to set aside Judgment on Award not limited, &c.

; move to make the order or submission a rule of); and then, within the time above mentioned, get counsel for a rule to shew cause why the award should not be set upon an affidavit of the facts necessary to sustain the ob- intended to be made; but if it be intended to object to rd, merely for defects appearing upon the face of it, an it will be unnecessary. As to the title of the affidavits in e, see ante, 1211. By R. E., 2 G. 4, Q. B. (a), "where to shew cause is obtained in this court to set aside an the several objections thereto intended to be insisted upon ime of making such rule absolute, shall be stated in the shew cause." This rule is also adopted in the Ex-

Practical Pro- ceedings to set aside the Award.

r (b), and there is a similar rule of M. T., 10 G. 4 (c), Common Pleas. It is necessary, therefore, that the l should indorse these objections on his brief, before he t in to the officer of the court (d). It is not sufficient e a general head of objection, as "misapprehension of rms of the reference," or "that the arbitrator has ex- his authority," or "that the award is uncertain," or inal" (e), or the like (f). The rule applies to the cer- of an arbitrator empowered to ascertain the amount om the defendant to the plaintiff, and to certify the to the associate, by whom a verdict is to be entered ingly (f). But the rule does not apply to a case where

per Lord Tenterden, C. J., in rne v. Arnold, 6 B. & C. 629: per s, J., in Reynolds v. Askew, 5 B: and per Patteson, J., in Sherry 3 Dowl. 340.

erry v. Oke, 3 Dowl. 340; contra rged substantially at first: Car- v. Hockin, 3 Nev. & M. 213.

Arthur v. Campbell, 5 B. & Ad. l see per Tindal, C. J., in Muscol- . Dunkin, 1 Dowl. 722.

Furrell v. Deane, 2 Dowl. 261.

soner v. Heaver, 3 B. & Ad. 295;

ntin v. Horner, 3 Nev. & P. 344, Scales, J.

de Madkin v. Horner, 3 Nev. & P. Ad. & EL 235, & C.

(c) 9 & 10 W. 3, c. 15, s. 2: Clapham v Hyham, 7 Moore, 403; 1 Bing. 87, S. C.: see also Kirkus v. Hudson, 3 Moore, 64; 8 Taunt, 733. Where in consequence of the misconduct of the arbitrator the original rule could not be obtained, the court allowed a duplicate of it to be made a rule of court. (Thomas v Philby, 2 Dowl. 145).

(a) 4 B. & Ald. 530; 2 Chit. Rep. 376.

(b) See Smith v. Briscoe, 11 Price, 87; Watkins v. Phill, etc, 1 M'Clel. & Y. 304.

(c) 6 Bing. 348.

(d) See Whatley v. Morland, 2 Dowl. 249; 4 Tyr. 238, S. C.

(e) Boodie v. Davies, 4 Nev. & M. 788.

(f) Allentby v. Proudlock, 4 Dowl. 34.

**BOOK IV.
PART II.**

you move to set aside a judgment entered up on an irregular award, for a defect apparent on the face of it (g); and a rule to set aside an award made after action commenced, on account of objections to the declaration, need not refer to the declaration, as it is sufficiently before the court (h). Also, it seems to be unnecessary, in any case, to state the objections in the rule, if they be stated in the affidavit on which the rule is obtained (i). It must also appear on the face of the rule that it is drawn up on reading the award itself, or a copy of it (k). And where a rule was drawn up on reading the affidavit and paper writing annexed, which was in fact a copy of the award, but was not stated to be so, the court held that the rule was bad and could not be amended (l); but it would have been good if it had stated that the paper writing was a copy of the award (m). In the Common Pleas, on moving to set aside an award made under a rule of court, the rule nisi ought to be drawn up on reading also the rule under which the matter was referred (n).

Cause not
shown on last
Day of Term.

It may be necessary to observe, that cause cannot be shown against this rule on the last day of the term, but the rule must be made peremptory for the following term (o); or to shew cause before a judge at chambers, in vacation.

Second Appli-
cation.

If a rule to set aside an award has once been obtained and discharged, the court will not grant another rule on the suggestion of fresh objections (p), unless the ground upon which the first rule was discharged was for some slip in form (q).

Costs of Ap-
plication.

Costs of Application.] If a motion for setting aside an award be made on slight grounds, the rule will be discharged with costs (r). In a case where the defendant put a wrong construction on an award, which induced the plaintiff to move the court to set it aside; the court held, that the defendant's construction was untenable, and therefore discharged plaintiff's rule; but the court would not give him the costs of the motion (s).

(g) *Manster v. Hoover*, 3 B. & Adol. 295.

(h) *Sherry v. Oke*, *infra*; and see *Dixon v. Jay*, 5 Bing. 281; 2 Moo. & P. 481, S. C.

(i) *Runcorn v. Arnold*, 6 B. & C. 629; 9 D. & R. 536, S. C.

(k) *Sherry v. Oke*, 3 Dowl. 349; 1 Harr. & W. 119, S. C.; *Price v. James*, 3 Dowl. 73; *Burton v. Ransom*, 5 Dowl. 387; *Carmichael v. Hunter*, 1 H. & W. 120.

(l) *Sherry v. Oke*, *supra*.

(m) *Platt v. Hall*, 2 M. & Wels. 381;

Hagwood v. Phillips, 1 Nev. & P. 28; 6 Ad. & El. 119, S. C.

(n) *Christie v. Hammet*, 4 Bing. 186; 2 Moo. & P. 316, S. C.

(o) R. M., 35 G. 3, r. 4; ante, 1186.

(p) *Carmichael v. Hocken*, 3 Nev. & M. 203.

(q) *Sherry v. Oke*, 3 Dowl. 351; 1 Harr. & W. 119, S. C.

(r) *Swank v. Holger*, 2 Chit. Rep. 42.

(s) *Hocken v. Grogell*, 6 Dowl. 220; 4 Bing. N. C. 103, S. C.

SECT. 4.

Enforcing Performance of the Award.

Where there is no Cause in Court, 1255.	Where there is a Cause in Court, 1260.
--	---

SECT. 4.

Where there is no Cause in Court.] WHERE there is no cause in court, we have seen that the submission to arbitration is by bond, deed, or other written instrument, containing a clause of consent that the submission should be made a rule of court; or by bond, deed, or other written instrument, not containing such clause of assent, or by parol.

Where there is no Cause in Court.

In the two latter cases, in which the submission cannot be made a rule of court, the only means of enforcing a performance of the award is by action. If the submission be by bond, the prevailing party may have an action of debt on the bond, which is in general the most preferable remedy(*t*); if by other deed, he may have covenant(*u*); if by instrument not under seal, or by parol, he may have *assumpsit* on the submission; or in any of these cases, if the award be for a sum of money merely, he may have debt on the award(*v*). Before the 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, debt would not in such case lie against an executor or administrator on a submission by his testator or intestate; now, however by that statute, s. 14, an action of debt is given against the executor and administrator. Debt will lie on an agreement to submit with a penalty, for revoking an arbitrator's authority(*w*). Where the parties who had submitted disputes to arbitration by mutual bonds, by indorsements under seal, on the bonds of submission made within the time limited for making the award, agreed that the time should be enlarged to a future day, it was decided that an action of debt on the bond would lie for non-performance of an award made after the original time had expired, but within such enlarged time: for such indorsement operated as a defeasance, or further defeasance to the original bond(*x*). But if the indorsement had not been under seal, no action could have been maintained on the bond for non-performance of the award(*y*). The remedy in the latter case would be in debt, or *assumpsit* on the award, or *assumpsit* on the agreement(*z*). It may be necessary to observe, that in an action on an award, if it be bad on the face of it, the defendant may set it out onoyer and demur(*a*); or if the award be defective for reasons not appearing on the face of it, such as that the arbitrator has exceeded his authority, has not awarded on all

By Action, where Submission cannot be made a Rule of Court.

Defence.

<p>(<i>t</i>) <i>Farrer v. Owen</i>, 7 B. & C. 427; 1 M. & R. 222, S. C. (<i>u</i>) <i>Marsh v. Buttel</i>, 1 D. & R. 106; 5 B. & Ald. 507, S. C. (<i>v</i>) See 2 Saund. 62 b: 2 Chit. Pl. 6th ed. 225, notes: 2 Ld. Raym. 1040: <i>Kington v. Phelps</i>, Peake, 227: <i>Kean v. Batters</i>, 1 Esp. 194: <i>Bailey v. Lechmere</i>, 1d. 177: <i>Bampfyl v. Leigh</i>, 8 T. R. 571: <i>Antram v. Chace</i>, 15 East, 209: <i>Hunter v. Rice</i>,</p>	<p>Id. 100. (<i>w</i>) <i>Warburton v. Storr</i>, 4 B. & C. 103; 6 D. & R. 113, S. C. (<i>x</i>) <i>Greig v. Talbot</i>, 3 D. & R. 446; 2 B. & C. 179, S. C.: <i>Rex v. Bingham</i>, 3 Y. & J. 301 to 313. (<i>y</i>) <i>Brown v. Goodman</i>, 3 T. R. 592, n. (<i>z</i>) <i>Watson on Awards</i>, 202. (<i>a</i>) <i>Fisher v. Pimbley</i>, 11 East, 188.</p>
--	---

**Sum. Ar.
Part II.**

matters submitted to him, or that it is uncertain, or not final, or the like, the defendant may take advantage of such matter by plea(y); but the corruption, or other misconduct of the arbitrator in making his award, not appearing on the face of the award, is an exception, and cannot be successfully pleaded; the party's only remedy in such a case is by bill in equity.

**By Action,
Attachment,
or Execution.
Where Submission
is made a
Rule of Court.**

But where the submission contains the clause of award above mentioned, the prevailing party has an option of enforcing a performance of the award, either by action as above directed(s), or by attachment (a); or perhaps, if the order is for payment of money, by execution under 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 18 (b) (ante, 1196). And an attachment may be obtained as well where the non-performance consists in the non-payment of money, as in the non-performance of any collateral matter(c). But interest accruing due after the making of the award cannot be recovered by attachment, but only by action(d).

**Making Sub-
mission a Rule
of Court, &c.**

In order to proceed by attachment or execution, let an affidavit be made of the due execution of the bond or other instrument of submission by the subscribing witness(e); annex the bond to it, and give it to counsel, with a motion-paper, to move to make the submission a rule of court. The affidavit should, it seems, be intitled in the cause, if there be one in court(f). (If the submission be by order, this affidavit is, of course, unnecessary, and you have merely to annex the order to the motion-paper(g). This is a motion of course, and is absolute in the first instance. Or, in vacation, you may obtain a judge's fiat for a rule, upon production of the above affidavit(h), and take it, together with a motion-paper, signed by counsel, to one of the masters, who will draw up the rule. If costs be awarded, get an appointment on the rule from the master; serve a copy of the rule and appointment, if any, on the opposite attorney; and, at the time appointed, attend before the master, who will tax the costs, and mark them on the rule(i). If the award be not made a rule of court, the court has no jurisdiction, and will not act, though the opposite party be willing to waive the objection(j). The enlargements, if any, must also, it seems, be made a rule of

(y) *Mitchell v. Stewley*, 14 East, 59; *Carpenter v. Atkinson*, 3 Dowl. & R. 433; 2 B. & C. 170, 2 C.

(s) See *Stock v. De Smith*, Hardw. 105; *Reddy v. Leveday*, 1 B. & P. 81.

(a) 9 & 10 W. 3, c. 15, s. 1; *Willis*, 290, n. 1; *Bailey v. Chancery*, 1 Salk. 72; 1 Ld. Raym. 674, 2 C. *Hopcroft v. Farmer*, 1 Bing. 379, 3 Moore, 494, 2 C.

(b) It has not been decided whether the 18th section of 1 & 2 V. c. 110, applies to the case of money payable by an award, on a submission, which is, or is made, a rule of court. The words of that section seem large enough to include it, at least where the submission is originally by rule of court; and even where the submission is by mutual bond or agreement, and afterwards made a rule of court in pursuance of the usual clause of consent, it seems difficult to say that the money is payable by the rule, so as that its non-payment may be punished by attachment, and yet not payable so as to be enforced by execution under 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 18. The question, however, is open to much

doubt, and, until it is judicially settled, it will of course be a matter of risk to act on the 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 18, in such a case.

(c) *Dunnington v. Hudson*, 3 Moore, 505; 1 Bing. 410, 2 C., repaying moiety of costs of award. (*Flicks v. Richardson*, 1 B. & P. 83; *Stokes v. Lewis*, 2 Smith, 19.) It has been doubted, however, by *Fleming*, J., whether an attachment would now be granted in cases where there would be a remedy by execution, under 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 18 (ante, 1196).

(d) *Churcher v. Stricker*, 2 B. & Ald. 777.

(e) See the form, Chit. Form, 695, in

(f) *Doe v. Atkinson*, 6 Dowl. 360.

(g) If through the misconduct of the arbitrators the order of reference cannot be obtained, the court will allow a duplicate to be made a rule of court. (*Thorn v. Fiddys*, 3 Dowl. 145.)

(h) See *Taylor*, 5 B. & Ald. 371.

(i) See the form of rule, Chit. Form, 695.

(j) *Carey v. Howard*, 3 T. R. 593.

court(*j*). It seems that the act 8 & 9 W. 3, only authorizes making the submission a rule of one court, and not of more than one(*k*). Where there is no cause in court, the submission cannot be made a rule of court after it has been revoked(*l*), though a judge's order of reference may, with a view to costs(*m*).

When you have got the costs taxed, if the party who has to perform the award do not perform it within the time thereby limited, (if any be limited), then, if it be capable of being enforced by execution under 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 18, (*n*) you may at once proceed to issue execution without any further demand or ceremony, just as you might on a judgment for the same amount(*o*). But if it be not capable of being enforced under that section, or you prefer proceeding by attachment, then *make a copy of the rule and allocatur*(*p*), *and of the award, and power of attorney (if any); and, after examining the copies with the originals, serve the copies upon the party personally, shewing him at the same time the originals, in such a way that he can read their contents*(*q*). The court will not in general grant an attachment without *personal* service, in any case where the party applying has another remedy; and this, although the party purposely avoid the service(*r*). But in one case, where the party had personal knowledge of the award and rule of court, the Court of Queen's Bench granted an attachment against him for non-performance of the award, although he had not been personally served(*s*). *Then let the person in whose favour the award is made demand of the other party the money or other thing awarded.* This demand has been held necessary, even where the award specified the time and place of performance(*t*). It may be made on a day subsequent to that on which the award directs the money to be paid(*u*). If it be inconvenient for the party himself to make the demand personally, he may depute his attorney or any other person to do it for him, by a letter of attorney(*x*), a copy of which must, as already observed, be served with the copies of the rule and award, and the original shewn at the same time. Where costs are awarded, a demand by the attorney of the party is sufficient without a power of attorney, even though the costs be by the terms of the rule made payable to the party himself(*y*). And in a case(*z*) where the demand of the execution of a deed was

Demand of Performance, how, when, and by whom made.

(*j*) See *Jenkins v. Lau*, 8 T. R. 87: *Dickens v. Jerris*, 5 B. & C. 528: and see *Barton v. Ranson*, 6 Dowl. 384; 3 M. & W. 322, & C.

(*k*) *Winpenny v. Bates*, 2 C. & J. 379.

(*l*) *King v. Joseph*, 5 Taunt. 452.

(*m*) *Aston v. George*, 2 B. & A. 395.

(*n*) See ante. 1256, n. (b).

(*o*) Ante, 1196; see ante. 1256, n. (b).

(*p*) *Rex v. Smithies*, 3 T. R. 351: *Reid v. Deer*, 7 D. & R. 612: *Bellairs v. Poultney*, 6 M. & Sel. 231.

(*q*) See *Calvert v. Redfearn*, 2 Dowl. 505.

(*r*) *Richmond v. Parkinson*, 3 Dowl. 703: *Re Laure and Another*, 4 B. & Ad. 412: and see *Stunzel v. Thuer*, 1 C., M. & R. 98: *Brandon v. Brandon*, 1 B. & P. 394: *Brander v. Pentecost*, 5 Taunt. 813: *Read v. Fore*, 1 Chit. Rep. 170.

(*s*) *Re Bower*, 1 B. & C. 264: and see *Allen v. Newton*, 2 Dowl. 582.

(*t*) *Brandon v. Brandon*, 1 B. & P.

393, where the court yielded to the practice.

(*u*) *Re Craike*, 7 Dowl. 603.

(*x*) *Laugher v. Laugher*, 1 Dowl. 284; 1 C. & J. 358; 1 Tyrw. 352, S. C.: *Jackson v. Clarke*, 13 Price, 208; M'Clel. 72, S. C.: *Es p. Fortescue*, 2 Dowl. 448: *King v. Packwood*, Id. 570: but see *Bass v. Maitland*, 8 Moore, 44, which, however, seems a confused report.

(*y*) *Inman v. Hill*, 4 M. & W. 7. The case of *Mason v. Whitehouse*, as reported in 6 Dowl. 602, appears opposed to this position: but on reference to the report of the same case, in 4 Bing. N. C. 622, it will be seen that the court subsequently discharged the rule and allowed the attachment to stand, on the authority of *Inman v. Hill*, *ubi supra*, and another case in the Exchequer.

(*z*) *Kenyon v. Grayson*, 2 Smith, 61.

**RULE IV.
PART II.**

**Affidavit in
Support of
Motion for
Attachment.**

**The Motion
and Rule for
the Attach-
ment, &c.**

**What Defects
may be shown
for Cause
against the
Rule.**

made by an agent, without a power of attorney, it would be sufficient. Care must be taken to demand the exact sum or thing awarded; if you demand more, and it be refused, you cannot have an attachment for the refusal (a). The party making the demand must previously have performed all conditions precedent, otherwise an attachment will not be granted (b).

If, upon such demand, the opposite party do not pay the money, &c., in compliance with the award, then let an affidavit be made of the service of the award and rule, and allocatur (if any), and of showing the originals, and that the award still remains unperformed (c), and an affidavit of the due execution of the award, and notice thereof (if necessary), and of the enlargement (d), (if any), and notice thereof (if necessary); and also of the execution of the letter of attorney (if any) by the attesting witness, and of the service of a copy thereof, and of the showing the original. Annex the rule and allocatur (if any). Where an arbitrator has the power to enlarge the time for making his award, and the enlargements are made part of the rule of court, an affidavit of such enlargements (e) is not necessary in order to obtain an attachment. If there be a cause in court the affidavit should be intitled in the cause (f). The affidavit will be sufficient if it disclose a regular service, although the surname of the arbitrator or umpire is undescribed (g). When the submission is made a rule of court under the statute, there being no cause depending, the affidavit for an attachment need not be intitled (h), or it may be intitled, "In the matter" &c. (i). When the submission to arbitration is by rule of court or by order of *Nisi Prius*, there being a cause then depending, the affidavit for an attachment for disobeying the award must be intitled in the cause (k).

Upon these affidavits, let counsel move for a rule nisi for an attachment for the non-performance of the award. Draw up the rule with the masters (l), and serve a copy of it, at the same time showing the original in such a manner that he can read its contents (m). Make an affidavit of the service, which must be intitled the same as the rule (n), and give it, with a brief, to counsel, to move to make the rule absolute. If made absolute, draw up the rule with the masters, and take it to the Crown Office, to one of the clerks in court, who will thereupon make out the attachment. Take it to the sheriff's office, and get a warrant on it; give the warrant to your officer, who will thereupon arrest the party.

In shewing cause against the rule for the attachment, the other party may impeach the award for any defect appearing upon the face of it, although the time limited for applying to

(a) *Street v. Rogers*, 7 Tinn. 212; 3 Marsh. 204, 2 C.

(b) *Watson*, 210; *Studdley v. Hannington*, 2 Marsh. 276; 5 Tinn. 22, 2 C. As to what is not a condition precedent, see *Das Carbo v. Stowell*, 3 Nev. & P. 707.

(c) See the form, Chit. Forms. 678.

(d) See *Halden v. Gloucester*, 5 B. & C. 280; 3 D. & R. 121, 4 C.; *Davies v. Pegg*, 18 East, 67; *Wolchbury v. Leggum*, 6 Tinn. 224; 1 Marsh. 276, 2 C.

(e) See *Smith & Brown*, 5 Dowl. 212.

(f) *Das v. Stowell*, 3 Dowl. 202.

(g) See *Smith & Brown*, 5 Dowl. 212 and see *Dickens v. Jarvis*, 3 B. & C. 211; 3 D. & R. 223, 2 C.

(h) *Arden*, 1 Smith, 220; *Stoddrey v. Houlton*, 5 East, 21.

(i) *Whithead v. Pugh*, 12 East, 100; *in re Houghton*, 2 Mac. & P. 422.

(j) *Stoddrey v. Houlton*, 5 East, 21; *Whithead v. Pugh*, 12 East, 100.

(k) See the form, Chit. Forms. 673.

(l) *Osborne v. Hodgson*, 2 Dowl. 212.

(m) See *Houghton*, 2 Mac. & P. 422.

et aside the award may have elapsed (*o*); but not for matter extrinsic (*p*). A cross demand is no defence to the application (*q*). Where it was proposed to shew corruption in the arbitrator as cause against the rule for the attachment, the Court of Common Pleas held, that although that might be a good reason for setting aside the award, it was no answer to an application for an attachment (*r*): nor is a mere mis-recital in the award (*s*). Nor (where an order of reference and enlargement had been made a rule of court) can it be shewn as cause that there was no affidavit that the time was duly enlarged: but, if there be no such affidavit, the proper course is to move to set aside the rule, making the order of reference, &c., a rule of court (*t*). On shewing cause against the motion, reference cannot be made to the pleadings in the cause, unless there be an affidavit connecting them with the award (*u*).

The court will not grant an attachment against a peer (*x*), or member of the House of Commons (*y*); nor against an administrator or executor, where the submission was made by the intestate or testator (*z*). Nor on behalf of a stranger to the award (*a*). Nor even on behalf of the administrator or executor of a party who died after the award made, and to whom the money awarded was to be paid (*b*). And an attachment will not be granted, unless the award contain a distinct order to do the act, the omission of which forms the ground of the application; therefore, where an arbitrator finds by his award, that, on the balance of accounts, the defendant has overpaid the plaintiff a certain sum, but does not award that the plaintiff is to repay it to defendant, the court will not grant an attachment against the plaintiff for the non-payment of that sum (*c*). And, ordering a verdict to be entered for a certain sum, where the arbitrator has no authority to do so, cannot be treated as an order to pay that sum, so as to support an attachment (*d*). Nor will the court grant an attachment pending a rule for setting aside the award (*e*), nor pending an action on the same award; nor will they allow the plaintiff to waive the action in order to apply for the attachment (*f*), unless the defendant was in contempt before the action was brought (*g*). The rule for an attachment has, however, been made absolute, on the terms of the plaintiff's discontinuing his action and paying the costs (*h*). Where a party obtained

In what Cases, and on whose Behalf the Attachment will be granted or not.

(*o*) *Peck v. Goddard*, 7 T. R. 73: see *Louder v. Louder*, 1 East, 276: *Hutchins v. Hutchins*, Andr. 297.

(*p*) *Holland v. Brooks*, 6 T. R. 161: *Paul v. Paul*, 2 Dowl. 340; 2 C. & M. 235, S. C.

(*q*) *Smith v. Johnson*, 15 East, 213.

(*r*) *Brazier v. Bryant*, 3 Bing. 167; 19 Moore, 587, S. C.

(*s*) *Paul v. Paul*, 2 C. & M. 235; 2 Dowl. 340, S. C.

(*t*) *Barton v. Hanson*, 6 Dowl. 384.

(*u*) *Ross v. Sauryer*, 7 Dowl. 691.

(*x*) *Walker v. Earl Grosvenor*, 7 T. R. 171.

(*y*) *Catmer v. Knatchbull*, 7 T. R. 448.

(*z*) *Newton v. Walker*, Willes, 315: post, 1267. But they will when the submission was made by himself. (*Sprey v. Webster*, 2 Dowl. 46).

(*a*) *In re Skete*, 7 Dowl. 612.

(*b*) *Res v. Massey*, 1 Dowl. 536: *Sen-*

ble, overruling *Rogers v. Stanton*, 7 Taunt. 576.

(*c*) *Re Seward*, 7 Dowl. 318: *Thornton v. Hornby*, 1 Dowl. 237; 1 Moo. & Scott, 48; 8 Bing. 13, S. C.: and see *Scott v. Williams*, 3 Dowl. 508. The Court of Exchequer, in the latter case, considered themselves bound by the decision of the Court of Common Pleas in *Thornton v. Hornby*, notwithstanding they considered it a doubtful one. See also *Hopkins v. Davies*, 1 C., M. & R. 846: *Edgell v. Dalimore*, 3 Bing. 634; 11 Moore, 541, S. C.: *Re Lee*, 3 Nev. & M. 860.

(*d*) *Donlan v. Brett*, 4 Nev. & M. 854.

(*e*) *Dalling v. Matchett*, Willes, 215.

(*f*) *Hadley v. Loveday*, 1 B. & P. 81.

(*g*) *Paul v. Paul*, 2 C. & M. 235; 2 Dowl. 340, S. C.: *Higgins v. Willes*, 3 M. & R. 382.

(*h*) *Paul v. Paul*, 2 Dowl. 340.

BOOK IV.
PART II.

an attachment to enforce an award, and afterwards proceeded by action, the court set aside the attachment, upon the terms of the defendant's giving a bond to the plaintiff, with sureties to the master's satisfaction, and conditioned to the same effect as in the case of a recognisance of bail (i). The court, however, have granted an attachment, pending a foreign attachment in London upon the same award (k); and the party's residing out of the jurisdiction of the court is no objection to the issuing of an attachment against him (l). An attachment may be obtained against one of several of the parties against whom the award is made (m). And if an award directs costs to be paid by the parties in equal shares, there must be separate attachments (n). It has been doubted, whether an attachment will lie for non-payment of money ordered to be paid by rule of court, since the 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 18, which gives a remedy by execution.

Where the
Award is lost.

Where the award itself was lost, the court, upon affidavit of that fact, granted an attachment on a copy of it (o).

Attachment
for filing bill
to set aside
Award.

Where a party filed a bill in equity to set aside an award, after entering into a rule of this court to abide by it, the court held it to be a contempt, and granted an attachment against him; but they afterwards, by consent on his paying all costs, discharged him without fine, rather than set a small one for so high an offence (p).

Title of Aff-
davits show-
ing Cause.

The affidavits in answer to the rule nisi should be intitled "The Queen v. ——" (q).

Where there
is a Cause in
Court.

Where there is a Cause in Court.] If no verdict have been taken, the mode of proceeding is by attachment or action, or execution on the rule in the manner above mentioned. But if a verdict were taken, the plaintiff may proceed either by attachment or action, as above directed, or he may enter up judgment upon the verdict and sue out execution: and the defendant (if the award be made in his favour) may proceed by attachment or action; or, if the order of reference direct that the party in whose favour the award is made shall be at liberty to sign judgment for the amount payable thereunder, he may sign judgment, and issue execution for his costs (r).

Judgment on,
how Signed,
&c.

In order to proceed to judgment on the verdict, move to make the order of Nisi Prius a rule of court, and draw up the rule as before directed; and the master or associate will thereupon give you the Nisi Prius record. Enter the postea on it for the amount of the sum awarded (s); give the usual one day's

(i) *Earl of Londesborough v. Whitney*, 3 Dowl. 808; 1 C., M. & R. 301, 3 C.

(k) *Coppell v. Smith*, 4 T. R. 313 n.

(l) *Hopcraft v. Farmer*, 8 Moore, 484; 1 Bing. 280, 3 C.

(m) *Richmond v. Parkinson*, 3 Dowl. 705.

(n) *Guliver v. Summerfield*, 5 Dowl. 461.

(o) *Robinson v. Davis*, 1 Str. 535; and see *Hill v. Townsend*, 3 Taunt. 45.

(p) *Re v. Wheeler*, 3 Burr. 1256; 1 W. Bl. 311, 3 C.; and see *Davies v. Adams*, 1 Salk. 73.

(q) *Brown v. Brown*, 3 T. R. 681; see *Bridgman v. Houston*, 5 East, 21 a.

(r) *Magg v. Yarnon*, 6 Dowl. 481.

(s) *Lee v. Lingard*, 1 East, 481; *Griss v. Nissh*, 1 B. & P. 480; *Burroughs v. Hitchenor*, 3 Id. 244; *Hopwood v. Bham*, 4 East, 310; *Bosmer v. Churton*, 5 East, 139, 143, 144; *Prentiss v. Reed*, 1 Taunt. 151; and see *Grundy v. Wilson*, 7 Id. 70. We have already seen that the arbitrator cannot, as regards an action thus referred, award greater damages than are laid in the declaration, and that where a verdict has been taken, the court will not allow the sum laid as damages to be increased, though a greater sum be capable of being proved before the arbitrator. Also that the judgment may in case of a too large award be entered for the amount of the verdict, (which is in general the amount

notice of the taxation of costs (ante, 1162); *then take the postea together with the rule and award, and the papers in the cause, to one of the masters, who will thereupon mark the postea, and tax the costs, and sign judgment.* It is not necessary that the defendant, in this case, should be personally served with a copy of the award; nor is it necessary to obtain leave of the court to sign judgment(*t*), unless it be required to enter up the judgment as of the term next after the finding of the verdict, where the award was not made until the term after that term(*u*). Where the award was lost, the court, upon an affidavit stating that fact, and stating the substance of the award, allowed the plaintiff to sign his judgment(*x*). A rule for delivering the *postea* to the plaintiff, that he might enter the verdict pursuant to the award of an arbitrator, may be drawn up on reading the affidavit, "and the *paper writing* hereunto annexed," provided the affidavit verify the *paper writing* as being a copy of the award(*y*).

After signing judgment, you may sue out execution, as in Execution. ordinary cases. If the award state any particular time at which the money is to be paid, execution should not be sued out, nor indeed in strictness, perhaps, should judgment be signed, before that time have elapsed(*z*).

of damages laid in the declaration), and that if entered for a greater sum, it may be amended. (*Ante*, 1222).

(*f*) *Lee v. Lingard*, 1 East, 401; *Grimes v. Neish*, 1 B. & P. 480; *Borrowdale v. Fitchener*, 3 Id. 244.

(*u*) *Brook v. Fearn*, 1 Dowl. 144.

(*x*) *Hill v. Townsend*, 3 Taunt. 45.

(*y*) *Platt v. Hall*, 2 M. & W. 391: see *Hayward v. Phillips*, 1 Nev. & Per. 293; *Sherry v. Oke*, 1 H. & W. 119.

(*z*) *Callard v. Paterson*, 4 Taunt. 319.

BOOK IV.

PART III.

ATTACHMENT.

BOOK IV.
PART III.

In what Cases.
Contemptu-
ous Expres-
sions towards
the Court or
its process.

In what Cases.] IF a person, upon being served with the process of the court, use contemptuous expressions of such process or of the court itself, the court, upon affidavit of the fact, will grant an attachment against him (a); if of the court, the rule is granted absolute in the first instance; if of the process, it is a rule nisi only (b). Mere violent snatching an original writ of summons from the person serving a copy of it is not a contempt of the process of the court (c).

Rescue.

If the sheriff return a rescue, the court will grant an attachment against the rescuers, absolute in the first instance (d), for the sheriff's return in this case being in the nature of a conviction, and not traversable, (the only remedy for the party, if he be not guilty, being by action against the sheriff for his false return) (e), it would be useless to grant a rule nisi (f).

Misbehaviour
of Attornies
or Officers of
Court, &c.

The courts at Westminster have a power of punishing attornies and other officers of the court, by attachment, for misbehaviour in the exercise of their profession. Thus, if an attorney sue or defend an action without authority, particularly if he do so from any improper motive; or if a person, who is not an attorney, sue or defend an action for another, with or without authority, the court will punish him by attachment (g). So, if a person put an attorney's name to process, without his authority, the court will grant an attachment against him, and will also set aside the proceedings (h); or if an attorney allow an unqualified person to act in his name, or shall in any manner act as agent for such person, the court, upon application and affidavit of the facts, may order the attorney to be struck off the roll, and may commit such unqualified person to the prison of the court, for any time not exceeding one year (i). Also, where an attorney and his att-

(a) 2 Hawk. c. 22, s. 35.

(b) 2 Hawk. c. 22, s. 35; *Rex v. Jones*, 1 Str. 185; *R. v. Kendrick*, Say. 114. *Anon.*, 1 Salk. 84; R. T. 17 G. 3.

(c) *Weekes v. Whitely*, 3 Dowl. 536; and see *Adams v. Hughes*, 1 B. & B. 24; *Myers v. Wills*, 4 Moore, 147.

(d) *Anon.*, Say. 121; *Rex v. Harris*, 4 Burr. 2120; *Shawther v. Holt*, 1 Str. 151.

(e) *Rex v. Fowler*, Hardw. 112.

(f) See 2 Hawk. c. 22, s. 34.

(g) 2 Hawk. c. 22, m. 6 to 9.

(h) Vol. I. 45; *Oppenheim v. Hurvill*, 1 Burr. 28; see *Hampson v. Adams*, 1 Burr. 2820; but see *Mortimer v. Ryph*, 6 Moore, 70.

(i) 22 G. 2, c. 42, s. 21; Vol. I. 41, 41.

led clerk joined in the affidavit of execution of the articles, and the clerk swore to the service under them. and was consequently admitted an attorney; but it appearing afterwards that the articles were merely collusive, the pretended clerk being in fact an apprentice to a hatter, and his affidavit of service under the articles false, the court ordered the clerk to be struck off the roll, and granted an attachment against the attorney for the collusion (*k*). If an attorney refuse to deliver up to his client writings or money received by him in the course of his professional business, the court may punish him by attachment; but they seldom grant an attachment in such a case, without first making a rule upon the attorney to deliver up the writings, &c.; and if that rule be not obeyed, the attachment then issues for the contempt (*l*). So, if an attorney be guilty of fraud or mal-practice in his profession, the court will punish him by attachment (*m*). The court will not at once strike an attorney off the roll for a contempt, and the course is to apply for an attachment against him (*n*).

If the sheriff do not obey the rule to return the writ or bring in the body, the court will grant an attachment against him, absolute in the first instance (*o*). So, in other cases, for not executing writs or for executing them in an oppressive manner, or for not executing them effectually, &c., the court will punish the sheriff or his officers by attachment (*p*). So, where an attachment against the sheriff was directed to the coroner, and the latter was ruled to bring in the body, the court granted an attachment against him, absolute in the first instance, for not obeying the rule (*q*). The sheriff, however, is not liable to an attachment for not taking a bond in replevin; but the defendant, if damaged, may have his remedy against him by action (*r*). It may here be added, that an attachment cannot be obtained against the late sheriff for disobedience of an order directed to "the sheriff" generally (*s*).

Sheriff or Coroner not executing Writ, or executing it oppressively, &c.

As to the cases in which the court will punish the judges of inferior courts, justices of peace, gaolers, &c., by attachment, see 2 Hawk. c. 22, ss. 25 to 32; and *Rex v. Justices of Seaford*, W. Bl. 432.

Against Judges of Inferior Courts, J. P.'s, Gaolers, &c.

If any person wilfully disobey the process of the court, he is punishable by attachment (*t*). Thus, if a witness regularly served with a subpoena do not attend at the trial, and is called on the subpoena (*u*), the court, upon an affidavit, stating personal service of the subpoena ticket a reasonable time before the trial, and payment or tender of his reasonable expenses to the witness, will grant an attachment against him (*v*). The court will not grant an attachment for disobedience of a *subpoena duces tecum*, unless it appears clearly that the party absented himself or withheld the documents in defiance and

Disobedience of Process.

(*k*) *Ex p. Hill*, 2 W. Bl. 991.

(*l*) 2 Hawk. c. 22, s. 10.

(*m*) See Vol. I. 60: 2 Hawk. c. 22, ss. 1, 11.

(*n*) *Ex p. Townley*, 3 Dowl. 30: *Ex p. Kent*, Id. 320.

(*o*) Vol. I. 550, 551, 552.

(*p*) 2 Hawk. c. 22, ss. 2 to 5. See *Hayman v. Maddison*, 2 Str. 1052.

(*q*) *Andrews v. Sharp*, 2 W. Bl. 911: *Rex v. Peckham*, Id. 1218.

(*r*) *Ante*, 813: *Res v. Lewis*, 2 T. R.

617.

(*s*) *R. v. Sheriff of Cornwall*, in *Hemming v. Tremera*, 7 Dowl. 600.

(*t*) See the subject of attachment for disobedience of process discussed in the learned judgments delivered by the judges in *Dom. Proc.*, *Miller v. Knar*, 4 Bing. N. C. 574.

(*u*) *Rex v. Stretch*, 3 Dowl. 368.

(*v*) Vol. I. 236: *Thorpe v. Graham*, 11 Moore, 55; 3 Bing. 223, 8 C. 2 Hawk. c. 22, s. 34.

Book IV. PART III.

Disobedience of Rule or Order.

contempt of the court(*u*). The motion in such a case must be made as soon as possible, and at all events in the term succeeding the trial(*v*).

For disobedience of any rule of court, or of any judge's order or order of *Nisi Prius* made a rule of court(*w*), the party guilty of it is punishable by attachment, if the rule or a copy of it have been personally served upon him, the rule itself at the same time shewn to him(*x*), a demand personally made upon him to comply with the rule(*y*), and a neglect or refusal to do so(*z*). Thus, the non-performance of an award, if made under a rule of court, or if the submission, order of *Nisi Prius*, or judge's order, be made a rule of court, is punishable by attachment(*a*). And if the rule require the party to do a thing forthwith,—as, for instance, to reinstate certain premises,—the court, upon application, will grant an attachment, if the party do not presently begin the work, although the work be of such a nature that it may take some time to complete it(*b*). Where, however, attorneys, in pursuance of an order, had delivered an account of sums received for their client, the court refused to grant an attachment on affidavits impeaching the accuracy of the account, observing, that “an attachment can only be on the ground of wilful contempt”(*c*).

Where a person is ordered by a rule of court absolutely to pay money or costs(*d*), and a copy of the rule(*e*), with the master's *allocatur* thereon (if any)(*f*), is personally(*g*) served on him, and the rule itself at the same time(*h*) shewn to him(*i*), and a demand made of the money or costs by the

(u) *R. v. Lord John Russell*, 7 Dowl. 693.

(v) *Thorpe v. Graham*, 3 Bing. 223; 11 Moore, 55, S. C.

(w) As to the necessity of making the order a rule of court before applying for an attachment, see *Baker v. Rye*, 1 Dowl. 699.

(x) *Rex v. Smithies*, 3 T. R. 351; *Barnard v. Berger*, 1 New. Rep. 121; *Baker v. Rye*, 1 Dowl. 699; *Re Love*, 4 B. & Adol. 413; ante, 1257. The judge's order need not be served. *Greenwood v. Dyer*, 5 Dowl. 255.

(y) *Dadlington v. Hudson*, 8 Moore, 510; 1 Bing. 410, S. C.; *infra*.

(z) 2 Hawk. c. 22, s. 3; see *Davis v. Peay v. Rex*, 2 W. Bl. 592; *Canadian v. Elder*, 1 H. Bl. 21, 49; *Cook v. Tanswell*, 8 Taunt. 131; 2 Moore, 513, S. C.; *Dadlington v. Harris*, 1 Bing. 187; *North v. Buss*, 2 H. Bl. 36.

(a) *Ante*, 1257, 1258.

(b) *Dadlington v. Hudson*, 1 Bing. 464; 8 Moore, 510, S. C.; see *Dadlington v. Bailward*, 7 Dowl. 640.

(c) *Ex p. Lawrence*, 2 Dowl. 231.

(d) It should be here observed that the 18th section of 1 & 2 V. c. 110, has provided a much more convenient and efficacious remedy for costs and other monies ordered to be paid by rule of court, than that by attachment. Under the provisions of that section, as we have already seen, *ante*, 1196, all rules of court by which any sum of money, or any costs, charges, or expenses, are made payable to any person, have the effect of judgments, and may be enforced in the same way, viz. by execution. Indeed, it has been doubted, but not decided, whether that section

has not virtually done away with attachments for non-payment of money by rendering them unnecessary. The question, however, is not of much practical importance, for few will prefer the proceeding by attachment to that by execution, which is at once easier, cheaper, more speedy, and more effectual.

(e) *Dalton v. Tucker*, 5 Dowl. 580. It must in every respect be a correct copy. *Rex v. Calvert*, 2 C. & M. 189; 2 Dowl. 276, S. C.

(f) *Dalton v. Tucker*, 5 Dowl. 580. An *allocatur* is the property of the party in whose favour it is made. (*See v. Robinson*, 2 Dowl. 500.)

(g) *Birkett v. Holmes*, 4 Dowl. 582; the dictum of Patteson, J., in that case throws great doubt upon *Stannell v. Tabor*, 1 C., M. & R. 80; 2 Dowl. 672, S. C.; *Woolen v. Haigum*, 3 Dowl. 178; *Alford v. Newton*, 2 Dowl. 582; where a personal service was, under circumstances, dispensed with. If the defendant admits that he has received, (*Phillips v. Habbleson*, 3 Dowl. 583, or refuses to receive, (*R. v. Keays*, 3 Dowl. 580), or by knocking down or other violence prevents the serving of the rule and *allocatur*, this is equivalent to personal service. (*Wentham v. Dorman*, 3 Dowl. 573.)

(h) To obtain an attachment, all the necessary steps must be taken at the same time. (*Jagers v. Thiel*, 3 Dowl. 572.)

(i) It need not be placed in his hands: if it be shewn, as that he can read its contents, that is sufficient. (*Robert v. Raftern*, 2 Dowl. 589.) A service of the original rule would be sufficient. (*Long v. Jones*, 3 Dowl. 585.)

person to whom they are payable, according to the terms of the rule, or by some person deputed by him by letter of attorney, such letter being shewn to the party, and a copy of it served on him (*k*), and left with him (*l*); if he do not pay the money or costs when thus demanded, the court will grant an attachment against him, absolute in the first instance (*m*); unless they be costs taxed between attorney and client, pursuant to the master's *allocatur*, in which case, the rule for the attachment will be a rule *nisi* only in the first instance (*n*). The demand, in case of a judge's order, should be made *after* the judge's order has been made a rule of court (*o*). The demand should, in general, be made by the person pointed out as the recipient by the rule; therefore, where money &c. is payable to several, the demand must be made by all, or by their deputy appointed by joint power of attorney (*p*). In the case of costs, however, there is a distinction, and where the plaintiff's attorney demanded the costs, without a letter of attorney authorizing him to do so, it was deemed sufficient; for the attorney was, in fact, entitled to the costs when received (*q*). Where a rule directs costs to be paid to the party or his attorney, a demand not made by the attorney who had conducted the cause in London, but by the attorney in the country who employed him, is sufficient (*r*). And a demand of costs payable to the high sheriff, may be made under the authority of a power of attorney, executed by the under-sheriff, after the high sheriff has gone out of office (*s*). But a demand by the attorney's clerk, or any other party not named as the party to whom the costs are to be paid, unless acting under a power of attorney, as above mentioned, is not sufficient (*t*), even where the money is made payable to the party (not an attorney) "or his agent" (*u*). Although a party is at one time in contempt for not paying costs which have been duly demanded, yet, if before an attachment is moved for, the sum due becomes reduced in amount, a fresh demand of the reduced sum must be made to ground a motion for an attachment (*x*). A demand may be dispensed with if the party by violence prevent it (*y*). Also, if the rule be that the party should do the act within a specified time, "*or that, in default thereof, an attachment should issue against him,*" no demand is necessary (*z*). Where a party is ordered *conditionally* only to pay

(*k*) See *ante*, 1257.

(*l*) *Doe v. Cope*, 7 Dowl. 359.

(*m*) R. T., 17 G. 3: see *Res v. Stukes*, Cowp. 136; *Res v. Ireland*, 3 T. R. 512. In the Exchequer it is now grantable without first issuing a subpoena, as was formerly requisite. (*Doe v. Fry & Barker*, 2 Dowl. 217; 2 C. & M. 234, S. C.)

(*n*) *Bray v. Yates*, 1 Dowl. 459.

(*o*) *Chilton v. Ellis*, 2 Dowl. 338.

(*p*) *Sykes v. Hayn*, 4 Dowl. 114, case of a bond.

(*q*) *Per Holroyd, J.*, MS., T. 1820, ser., though the costs were by the terms of the rule made payable "to the plaintiff." (*Inman v. Hill*, 4 M. & W. 7; but see *Mason v. Whitehouse*, 6 Dowl. 612, C. P. *contra*). It appears however from the report of *Mason v. Whitehouse*, in 4 Bing.

N. C. 682, that the court subsequently discharged the rule and allowed the attachment to stand, on the authority of *Inman v. Hill*, (*ubi supra*), and another case in the Exchequer.

(*r*) *Dennett v. Pann*, 1 Scott, 586; 3 Dowl. 612; 1 Bing. N. C. 638, S. C.

(*s*) *R. v. Mully*, 6 Dowl. 515.

(*t*) *Er v. Fortescue*, 2 Dowl. 448; *Clark v. Dignum*, 3 M. & W. 319.

(*u*) *Brown v. Jenks*, 4 Dowl. 581; but *Patteson, J.*, said, that if the party were an attorney, and the demand made by his London agent, it might have been different. (*Id.*)

(*x*) *Spivy v. Webster*, 1 Dowl. 606.

(*y*) *Wenham v. Doerner*, 3 Dowl. 573; see *R. v. Koope*, 3 Dowl. 566.

(*z*) *Woolham v. Hodgson*, 3 Dowl. 178.

or the like. So, if a person forge the process of the court, or alter or fill it up after it has been sealed; or, if he obtain judgment in ejectment, by an affidavit of service of the declaration on one who was procured to personate the tenant; in these and the like cases, the court will punish the person so offending by attachment (*q*). But merely altering a sheriff's warrant is not a contempt of court, unless an improper use be made of it (*r*). And it is, as we have seen, a common practice to alter and re-seal writs of mesne process (*s*). The court have also granted an attachment against a person for sending inflammatory papers to the jurors summoned upon a certain trial, and for preventing some of them from attending by sending them notice that the trial was put off (*t*). And, in another case, they granted an attachment against a man for threatening a prosecutor with danger of his life because he had prosecuted another for some offence (*u*).

As to contempts committed in the face of the court, there is of course no necessity for an attachment, that being merely a process to bring the defendant before the court; but he may be instantly apprehended and imprisoned at the discretion of the judge, without any other proof or examination. See as to the punishment of jurors for misconduct, 2 *Hawk. c. 2, ss. 14 to 24*.

Contempts committed in the Face of the Court.

If a client, when his business in court is despatched, refuse to pay the officer the fees that are due to him for doing business, the court on motion will grant an attachment against him to have him committed until he pay the fees; for not paying the fees is a contempt of court, and the court is bound to protect its officers in their rights (*x*).

For not paying Officer's Fees.

It may be necessary to add, that, although the courts will not grant an attachment against peers or members of parliament for the non-performance of an award, non-payment of costs, or the like (*y*); yet for very gross contempts, such as scous, disobedience of the queen's writs, or the like, they will (*z*).

Against Peers or Members of Parliament.

The court will not grant an attachment against an executor of the lessor in ejectment for costs (*a*); such lessor having agreed after entering into the consent rule. But it may be doubted whether a *scire facias* would not now lie, in such a case, to have execution on the rule in the same way as on a judgment against the testator (*b*).

Against Executor.

The Motion and Rule for the Attachment.] The application for an attachment must be founded on an affidavit of the facts necessary to constitute the contempt (*c*), to which should be an-

The Motion and Rule for the Attachment.

(*q*) 2 *Hawk. c. 22, s. 43*; see *Finnerty v. Wych*, 1 *Scott*, 743; 1 *Bing. N. C.* 649, *C.*

(*r*) *Hale v. Castleman*, 1 *Bl.* 2.

(*s*) *Ante*, 1119.

(*t*) *Res v. Lucas*, 3 *Burr.* 1564.

(*u*) *Res v. Carroll*, 1 *Wils.* 75.

(*x*) 1 *Lil. Prac. Reg.* 698: *Tidd's Suppl.*

(*y*) *Walker v. Earl Grosvenor*, 7 *T. R.* 71; *Outmar v. Knatchbull*, *Id.* 448: *ante*, 1259.

(*z*) 2 *Hawk. c. 22, s. 33*; *Res v. Earl Ferrers*, 1 *Burr.* 634; *Foley v. Langhorne*,

Say. 50; see *R. v. Bishop of St. Asaph*, 1 *Wils.* 332; *Lechmere Charlton's case*, 2 *Myl. & Cr.* 316.

(*a*) *Doe Payne v. Grundy*, 1 *B. & C.* 284; *ante*, 1259.

(*b*) See 1 & 2 *V. c.* 110, s. 18.

(*c*) What these facts are, has been fully stated in the preceding pages, from 1262 to 1267 inclusive. The contempt must be clearly made out. (*Gardner v. Creswell*, 2 *M. & W.* 319). If the affidavit describe a rule of court as "an order," an attachment will not be granted. (*Re Turner*, 6 *Dowl.* 6).

has been seen in the actual personal possession of the party who should have been served with it (*t*), or under some very strong facts (*u*). The party to be served being an attorney of the court will make no difference (*x*). *Make an affidavit of service, and give it with brief to counsel, to move to make the rule absolute.* The court will not in general allow cause to be shewn at chambers (*y*).

BOOK IV.
PART III.

It will be no answer to this rule for the party to say that he was not personally served with the rule *nisi* or the original rule; if the affidavit of the party applying for the attachment state a personal service, that will be deemed conclusive of the fact (*z*). And when a party against whom a rule *nisi* for an attachment had been obtained, appeared, and objected that the rule *nisi* had not been personally served, the court notwithstanding made the rule absolute (*a*). Although the party, in shewing cause, deny by his affidavit what is imputed to him, yet, if what he states be incredible, the court will make the rule absolute (*b*). It is, however, good cause against an attachment for disobeying a rule of court, that every possible exertion has been made to comply with the rule, but without effect (*c*), or that the disobedience arose from a wrong construction of the rule, which the party was advised and believed to be correct (*d*), or it would seem that the rule, though purporting to be made with his consent, was, in reality, entered into without his knowledge (*e*). And in general it would seem that an attachment will not be granted unless the contempt be intentional. And an attachment has been set aside on the ground that, in the copy of the original rule and *allocatur*, the defendant's name was written "Calver," instead of "Calvert," and the master's name "Day," instead of "Dod;" the *allocatur*, therefore, not appearing to be the master's (*f*).

Shewing Cause, what sufficient.

If the rule be made absolute, draw it up with the clerk of the rules; take the rule to one of the clerks in court, at the Crown Office, who will thereupon make out the attachment. Or in the Common Pleas or Exchequer make out the attachment yourself on parchment, and get it signed at the master's office, and sealed.

Rule Absolute.

Form of the Attachment, and how Sued out and Executed.] The attachment, although a judicial writ, must be returnable on a general return day; and not on a day certain (*g*). It must bear *teste* in term time. *Indorse on it the name and address of the attorney, and also, in the Queen's Bench, (by the R. H., 2 & 3 G. 4), the place of abode and addition of the party against whom the writ is issued, or such other description of him as such attorney may be able to give (h).* Take the attachment to the sheriff's office, if it be directed to him, and obtain a warrant

Form of the Attachment, and how sued out and executed.

(*t*) In the matter of Bower, 1 B. & C. 64; and see *Altier v. Newton*, 2 Dowl. 582: *Re v. Keops*, 3 Id. 586: *Phillips v. Hutchinson*, Id. 583.

(*u*) *Re Barwick*, 3 Dowl. 703: *Re Fenwick*, Id.: *Dicas v. Warne*, 1 Scott, 537: *Wentham v. Duane*, 3 Dowl. 573.

(*z*) *Allen v. Toomer*, 3 Dowl. 563.

(*y*) *Full v. Full*, 2 Dowl. 88.

(2) *Hopley v. Granger*, 1 N. R. 256.

(*a*) *Lery v. Duncombe*, 3 Dowl. 447:

see ante, 1189.

(*b*) In the matter of Crossley, 6 T. R. 701.

(*c*) *Cooks v. Tunswell*, 8 Taunt. 131: see *Doddington v. Baitward*, 7 Dowl. 640.

(*d*) *Fuller v. Prentice*, 1 H. Bl. 49: *Camden v. Edr*, 1 H. Bl. 21.

(*e*) See *Bodington v. Harris*, 1 Bing. 187.

(*f*) *Smith v. Calvert*, 2 Dowl. 276.

(*g*) *Re v. Wilkin*, 1 Str. 634.

(*h*) See ante, Vol. I. 450, 451.

BOOK IV.
PART III.

When Prisoner in Custody of Marshal.

Not executed on Sunday.

Rule to Return.

Alia, &c.

Commitment and Bail.

on it; give the warrant to your officer, who will shew it over to the defendant. The sheriff is not entitled to poundage; and it seems he is not the proper person to receive, and cannot be called upon to pay into court, money paid him under attachment (A).

The proper mode of charging a defendant, who is a prisoner in custody of the marshal, with an attachment, is by lodging the attachment with the sheriff, who will take the defendant thereon, as soon as he is out of the custody of the marshal (B).

It may be necessary to mention that the defendant cannot be arrested on a Sunday (C); nor can even the rule nisi be served on that day (D).

If the sheriff, or other officer, to whom this writ is directed, do not return it when necessary, you may rule him to do so.

If he return non est inquest, you may sue out an alias in the manner above directed; and if he return non est inquest to the officer, then get a certificate to that effect from your clerk in court, and take it to a judge's chambers, and obtain a warrant thereon, upon which the party may be arrested in any county. An alias attachment lies against a defendant, who, being in custody on attachment for non-payment of money, has been allowed by the plaintiff to go at large, upon terms which he has failed to comply with (E).

Commitment and Bail on. When the defendant is arrested upon this writ or warrant, he is (except where the attachment is for non-payment of money, or non-performance of an assize or the like, in which case he is detained until he pays or performs, part 1281) brought into court or before a judge at chambers, and sworn to answer interrogatories: he is then committed, unless, with the leave of the court or a judge, he enter into a recognisance, with sureties, for his appearance in court from day to day, to answer interrogatories concerning such matters as may be objected against him. Or the defendant may appear voluntarily, and be sworn, and enter into the recognisance, as above mentioned. Serve a notice on the opposite party that the defendant will appear in court, or before a judge at chambers, on a certain day, in order to enter into a recognisance, and be sworn to answer all such interrogatories as shall be exhibited against him, stating the number and additions of the bail, as in ordinary cases. This notice should be given twenty-four hours at least, previously to the defendant's being brought up, if the bail reside in town; or two days, or more, if they reside elsewhere, according to the distance (F). Then get a rule from the clerk of the rules in the crown side, to bring up the defendant, if he be in the custody of the marshal; but if in the custody of the sheriff, it seems a writ of habeas corpus will be necessary (G). When brought up, the recognisance is taken as in ordinary cases. It has been said that no justification of such bail is necessary (H). But it would seem, that it

A. See 1. P. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33. 34. 35. 36. 37. 38. 39. 40. 41. 42. 43. 44. 45. 46. 47. 48. 49. 50. 51. 52. 53. 54. 55. 56. 57. 58. 59. 60. 61. 62. 63. 64. 65. 66. 67. 68. 69. 70. 71. 72. 73. 74. 75. 76. 77. 78. 79. 80. 81. 82. 83. 84. 85. 86. 87. 88. 89. 90. 91. 92. 93. 94. 95. 96. 97. 98. 99. 100. 101. 102. 103. 104. 105. 106. 107. 108. 109. 110. 111. 112. 113. 114. 115. 116. 117. 118. 119. 120. 121. 122. 123. 124. 125. 126. 127. 128. 129. 130. 131. 132. 133. 134. 135. 136. 137. 138. 139. 140. 141. 142. 143. 144. 145. 146. 147. 148. 149. 150. 151. 152. 153. 154. 155. 156. 157. 158. 159. 160. 161. 162. 163. 164. 165. 166. 167. 168. 169. 170. 171. 172. 173. 174. 175. 176. 177. 178. 179. 180. 181. 182. 183. 184. 185. 186. 187. 188. 189. 190. 191. 192. 193. 194. 195. 196. 197. 198. 199. 200. 201. 202. 203. 204. 205. 206. 207. 208. 209. 210. 211. 212. 213. 214. 215. 216. 217. 218. 219. 220. 221. 222. 223. 224. 225. 226. 227. 228. 229. 230. 231. 232. 233. 234. 235. 236. 237. 238. 239. 240. 241. 242. 243. 244. 245. 246. 247. 248. 249. 250. 251. 252. 253. 254. 255. 256. 257. 258. 259. 260. 261. 262. 263. 264. 265. 266. 267. 268. 269. 270. 271. 272. 273. 274. 275. 276. 277. 278. 279. 280. 281. 282. 283. 284. 285. 286. 287. 288. 289. 290. 291. 292. 293. 294. 295. 296. 297. 298. 299. 300. 301. 302. 303. 304. 305. 306. 307. 308. 309. 310. 311. 312. 313. 314. 315. 316. 317. 318. 319. 320. 321. 322. 323. 324. 325. 326. 327. 328. 329. 330. 331. 332. 333. 334. 335. 336. 337. 338. 339. 340. 341. 342. 343. 344. 345. 346. 347. 348. 349. 350. 351. 352. 353. 354. 355. 356. 357. 358. 359. 360. 361. 362. 363. 364. 365. 366. 367. 368. 369. 370. 371. 372. 373. 374. 375. 376. 377. 378. 379. 380. 381. 382. 383. 384. 385. 386. 387. 388. 389. 390. 391. 392. 393. 394. 395. 396. 397. 398. 399. 400. 401. 402. 403. 404. 405. 406. 407. 408. 409. 410. 411. 412. 413. 414. 415. 416. 417. 418. 419. 420. 421. 422. 423. 424. 425. 426. 427. 428. 429. 430. 431. 432. 433. 434. 435. 436. 437. 438. 439. 440. 441. 442. 443. 444. 445. 446. 447. 448. 449. 450. 451. 452. 453. 454. 455. 456. 457. 458. 459. 460. 461. 462. 463. 464. 465. 466. 467. 468. 469. 470. 471. 472. 473. 474. 475. 476. 477. 478. 479. 480. 481. 482. 483. 484. 485. 486. 487. 488. 489. 490. 491. 492. 493. 494. 495. 496. 497. 498. 499. 500. 501. 502. 503. 504. 505. 506. 507. 508. 509. 510. 511. 512. 513. 514. 515. 516. 517. 518. 519. 520. 521. 522. 523. 524. 525. 526. 527. 528. 529. 530. 531. 532. 533. 534. 535. 536. 537. 538. 539. 540. 541. 542. 543. 544. 545. 546. 547. 548. 549. 550. 551. 552. 553. 554. 555. 556. 557. 558. 559. 560. 561. 562. 563. 564. 565. 566. 567. 568. 569. 570. 571. 572. 573. 574. 575. 576. 577. 578. 579. 580. 581. 582. 583. 584. 585. 586. 587. 588. 589. 590. 591. 592. 593. 594. 595. 596. 597. 598. 599. 600. 601. 602. 603. 604. 605. 606. 607. 608. 609. 610. 611. 612. 613. 614. 615. 616. 617. 618. 619. 620. 621. 622. 623. 624. 625. 626. 627. 628. 629. 630. 631. 632. 633. 634. 635. 636. 637. 638. 639. 640. 641. 642. 643. 644. 645. 646. 647. 648. 649. 650. 651. 652. 653. 654. 655. 656. 657. 658. 659. 660. 661. 662. 663. 664. 665. 666. 667. 668. 669. 670. 671. 672. 673. 674. 675. 676. 677. 678. 679. 680. 681. 682. 683. 684. 685. 686. 687. 688. 689. 690. 691. 692. 693. 694. 695. 696. 697. 698. 699. 700. 701. 702. 703. 704. 705. 706. 707. 708. 709. 710. 711. 712. 713. 714. 715. 716. 717. 718. 719. 720. 721. 722. 723. 724. 725. 726. 727. 728. 729. 730. 731. 732. 733. 734. 735. 736. 737. 738. 739. 740. 741. 742. 743. 744. 745. 746. 747. 748. 749. 750. 751. 752. 753. 754. 755. 756. 757. 758. 759. 760. 761. 762. 763. 764. 765. 766. 767. 768. 769. 770. 771. 772. 773. 774. 775. 776. 777. 778. 779. 780. 781. 782. 783. 784. 785. 786. 787. 788. 789. 790. 791. 792. 793. 794. 795. 796. 797. 798. 799. 800. 801. 802. 803. 804. 805. 806. 807. 808. 809. 810. 811. 812. 813. 814. 815. 816. 817. 818. 819. 820. 821. 822. 823. 824. 825. 826. 827. 828. 829. 830. 831. 832. 833. 834. 835. 836. 837. 838. 839. 840. 841. 842. 843. 844. 845. 846. 847. 848. 849. 850. 851. 852. 853. 854. 855. 856. 857. 858. 859. 860. 861. 862. 863. 864. 865. 866. 867. 868. 869. 870. 871. 872. 873. 874. 875. 876. 877. 878. 879. 880. 881. 882. 883. 884. 885. 886. 887. 888. 889. 890. 891. 892. 893. 894. 895. 896. 897. 898. 899. 900. 901. 902. 903. 904. 905. 906. 907. 908. 909. 910. 911. 912. 913. 914. 915. 916. 917. 918. 919. 920. 921. 922. 923. 924. 925. 926. 927. 928. 929. 930. 931. 932. 933. 934. 935. 936. 937. 938. 939. 940. 941. 942. 943. 944. 945. 946. 947. 948. 949. 950. 951. 952. 953. 954. 955. 956. 957. 958. 959. 960. 961. 962. 963. 964. 965. 966. 967. 968. 969. 970. 971. 972. 973. 974. 975. 976. 977. 978. 979. 980. 981. 982. 983. 984. 985. 986. 987. 988. 989. 990. 991. 992. 993. 994. 995. 996. 997. 998. 999. 1000.

A. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33. 34. 35. 36. 37. 38. 39. 40. 41. 42. 43. 44. 45. 46. 47. 48. 49. 50. 51. 52. 53. 54. 55. 56. 57. 58. 59. 60. 61. 62. 63. 64. 65. 66. 67. 68. 69. 70. 71. 72. 73. 74. 75. 76. 77. 78. 79. 80. 81. 82. 83. 84. 85. 86. 87. 88. 89. 90. 91. 92. 93. 94. 95. 96. 97. 98. 99. 100. 101. 102. 103. 104. 105. 106. 107. 108. 109. 110. 111. 112. 113. 114. 115. 116. 117. 118. 119. 120. 121. 122. 123. 124. 125. 126. 127. 128. 129. 130. 131. 132. 133. 134. 135. 136. 137. 138. 139. 140. 141. 142. 143. 144. 145. 146. 147. 148. 149. 150. 151. 152. 153. 154. 155. 156. 157. 158. 159. 160. 161. 162. 163. 164. 165. 166. 167. 168. 169. 170. 171. 172. 173. 174. 175. 176. 177. 178. 179. 180. 181. 182. 183. 184. 185. 186. 187. 188. 189. 190. 191. 192. 193. 194. 195. 196. 197. 198. 199. 200. 201. 202. 203. 204. 205. 206. 207. 208. 209. 210. 211. 212. 213. 214. 215. 216. 217. 218. 219. 220. 221. 222. 223. 224. 225. 226. 227. 228. 229. 230. 231. 232. 233. 234. 235. 236. 237. 238. 239. 240. 241. 242. 243. 244. 245. 246. 247. 248. 249. 250. 251. 252. 253. 254. 255. 256. 257. 258. 259. 260. 261. 262. 263. 264. 265. 266. 267. 268. 269. 270. 271. 272. 273. 274. 275. 276. 277. 278. 279. 280. 281. 282. 283. 284. 285. 286. 287. 288. 289. 290. 291. 292. 293. 294. 295. 296. 297. 298. 299. 300. 301. 302. 303. 304. 305. 306. 307. 308. 309. 310. 311. 312. 313. 314. 315. 316. 317. 318. 319. 320. 321. 322. 323. 324. 325. 326. 327. 328. 329. 330. 331. 332. 333. 334. 335. 336. 337. 338. 339. 340. 341. 342. 343. 344. 345. 346. 347. 348. 349. 350. 351. 352. 353. 354. 355. 356. 357. 358. 359. 360. 361. 362. 363. 364. 365. 366. 367. 368. 369. 370. 371. 372. 373. 374. 375. 376. 377. 378. 379. 380. 381. 382. 383. 384. 385. 386. 387. 388. 389. 390. 391. 392. 393. 394. 395. 396. 397. 398. 399. 400. 401. 402. 403. 404. 405. 406. 407. 408. 409. 410. 411. 412. 413. 414. 415. 416. 417. 418. 419. 420. 421. 422. 423. 424. 425. 426. 427. 428. 429. 430. 431. 432. 433. 434. 435. 436. 437. 438. 439. 440. 441. 442. 443. 444. 445. 446. 447. 448. 449. 450. 451. 452. 453. 454. 455. 456. 457. 458. 459. 460. 461. 462. 463. 464. 465. 466. 467. 468. 469. 470. 471. 472. 473. 474. 475. 476. 477. 478. 479. 480. 481. 482. 483. 484. 485. 486. 487. 488. 489. 490. 491. 492. 493. 494. 495. 496. 497. 498. 499. 500. 501. 502. 503. 504. 505. 506. 507. 508. 509. 510. 511. 512. 513. 514. 515. 516. 517. 518. 519. 520. 521. 522. 523. 524. 525. 526. 527. 528. 529. 530. 531. 532. 533. 534. 535. 536. 537. 538. 539. 540. 541. 542. 543. 544. 545. 546. 547. 548. 549. 550. 551. 552. 553. 554. 555. 556. 557. 558. 559. 560. 561. 562. 563. 564. 565. 566. 567. 568. 569. 570. 571. 572. 573. 574. 575. 576. 577. 578. 579. 580. 581. 582. 583. 584. 585. 586. 587. 588. 589. 590. 591. 592. 593. 594. 595. 596. 597. 598. 599. 600. 601. 602. 603. 604. 605. 606. 607. 608. 609. 610. 611. 612. 613. 614. 615. 616. 617. 618. 619. 620. 621. 622. 623. 624. 625. 626. 627. 628. 629. 630. 631. 632. 633. 634. 635. 636. 637. 638. 639. 640. 641. 642. 643. 644. 645. 646. 647. 648. 649. 650. 651. 652. 653. 654. 655. 656. 657. 658. 659. 660. 661. 662. 663. 664. 665. 666. 667. 668. 669. 670. 671. 672. 673. 674. 675. 676. 677. 678. 679. 680. 681. 682. 683. 684. 685. 686. 687. 688. 689. 690. 691. 692. 693. 694. 695. 696. 697. 698. 699. 700. 701. 702. 703. 704. 705. 706. 707. 708. 709. 710. 711. 712. 713. 714. 715. 716. 717. 718. 719. 720. 721. 722. 723. 724. 725. 726. 727. 728. 729. 730. 731. 732. 733. 734. 735. 736. 737. 738. 739. 740. 741. 742. 743. 744. 745. 746. 747. 748. 749. 750. 751. 752. 753. 754. 755. 756. 757. 758. 759. 760. 761. 762. 763. 764. 765. 766. 767. 768. 769. 770. 771. 772. 773. 774. 775. 776. 777. 778. 779. 780. 781. 782. 783. 784. 785. 786. 787. 788. 789. 790. 791. 792. 793. 794. 795. 796. 797. 798. 799. 800. 801. 802. 803. 804. 805. 806. 807. 808. 809. 810. 811. 812. 813. 814. 815. 816. 817. 818. 819. 820. 821. 822. 823. 824. 825. 826. 827. 828. 829. 830. 831. 832. 833. 834. 835. 836. 837. 838. 839. 840. 841. 842. 843. 844. 845. 846. 847. 848. 849. 850. 851. 852. 853. 854. 855. 856. 857. 858. 859. 860. 861. 862. 863. 864. 865. 866. 867. 868. 869. 870. 871. 872. 873. 874. 875. 876. 877. 878. 879. 880. 881. 882. 883. 884. 885. 886. 887. 888. 889. 890. 891. 892. 893. 894. 895. 896. 897. 898. 899. 900. 901. 902. 903. 904. 905. 906. 907. 908. 909. 910. 911. 912. 913. 914. 915. 916. 917. 918. 919. 920. 921. 922. 923. 924. 925. 926. 927. 928. 929. 930. 931. 932. 933. 934. 935. 936. 937. 938. 939. 940. 941. 942. 943. 944. 945. 946. 947. 948. 949. 950. 951. 952. 953. 954. 955. 956. 957. 958. 959. 960. 961. 962. 963. 964. 965. 966. 967. 968. 969. 970. 971. 972. 973. 974. 975. 976. 977. 978. 979. 980. 981. 982. 983. 984. 985. 986. 987. 988. 989. 990. 991. 992. 993. 994. 995. 996. 997. 998. 999. 1000.

In other criminal cases, "the sureties may be examined concerning their sufficiency by him that takes the defendant; and if a person be bailed by insufficient sureties, he may be committed, either by him who took the bail, or by any other person having power to bail him, to find better sureties; and, on default, may be committed; for insufficient sureties are as void as no sureties. It is entirely discretionary with the court, or a justice of the peace, whether they will allow the defendant to be bailed or not, in very gross cases, or where the defendant appears to be very guilty, they usually refuse it(r).

[Interrogatories, &c.] Upon the defendant's being bailed or committed, the court, upon application, will grant a rule, unless the prosecutor exhibit interrogatories against him within four days, the defendant shall be committed. *Draw up the rule with the clerk of the rules on the defendant, and serve a copy of it on the prosecutor or his attorney.* If the interrogatories be not exhibited within the time limited by the rule, the defendant may move to be discharged out of custody, or (if he be out on bail) that his bail be discharged. The prosecutor, however, may exhibit his interrogatories at any time before the motion is made(r).

Interrogatories must be exhibited in all cases, except the case of an attachment for non-payment of money, or non-performance of an award, or the like, which is of the nature of a civil execution(s); but in all other cases interrogatories alone contain the charge against the defendant, the attachment being but process to bring him in to answer to the charge when exhibited. Therefore, the defendant cannot come in and confess the contempt before the interrogatories are filed; for until they are filed there is no plea in court against him to which he can plead(t). The case in attachment for a rescue, indeed, depends upon different grounds; for there the sheriff's return of the rescue is sufficient for a conviction, and not traversable(u). Yet, even in such cases, it is the invariable practice of the court to put the defendant to answer interrogatories, unless the prosecutor confesses the contempt without them(x).

Draw up these interrogatories on parchment, and get them signed by your counsel(y). File them with the examiner, who will give you a copy on paper for the defendant. If the defendant is in custody of the marshal, get a rule from the clerk of the court on the crown side to have him brought up before the examiner to be examined; get an appointment on it from the examiner, and serve copies of the rule and appointment on the marshal and on the defendant. If in custody of the sheriff, the defendant must, perhaps, be brought up by habeas corpus. If not in custody, however, it is merely necessary to get an appointment from the examiner, and serve a notice of it upon the defendant or his attorney; or if the defendant desire the examin-

Proceedings
before and at
Examination
on the Inter-
rogatories.

(r) Br., Bail in Criminal Cases, F. 1. c. 22, s. 1.

(s) Longfoss v. Schoole, 4 T. R.

(t) Edwards, 4 Burr. 2105; 1 W.

(u) Rex v. Elkins, 4 Burr. 2129; 1 W. Bl. 640, S. C.

(x) Rex v. Horsley, 5 T. R. 362.

(y) R. M., 34 G. 3. See the form, 10 Went. 404; Chit. Forms, 678.

Report on
the trial.

Attendant to
the trial.

The Master-
of the Court
will report
on the trial.

ation, he may get the appointment, and attend to be examined at the time so appointed. The examiner thereupon examines the defendant upon the interrogatories, and will afterwards make out copies of the examination for the parties upon paper.

If the defendant, upon being brought up, refuse to answer to the interrogatories, he shall be recommitted; or if out on bail, and he do not attend to be examined, his recognizance may be estreated, or the court may again attach him for this second contempt, and punish him at their discretion. It should be observed, however, that the defendant is not obliged to answer any interrogatories tending to convict him of any other offence (z), or which may subject him to a penalty (a).

When he has been examined, the prosecutor then moves that the examination, &c., be referred to the master, which is a motion of course. Draw up the rule with the clerk of the rules on the crown side; get an appointment upon it from the master, and serve a copy of the rule and appointment on the opposite attorney. Let each attorney then attend before the master, together with their clerks in court, and counsel, if thought necessary, and the master will hear the statements and arguments on both sides. After which, when you learn that the master is ready, move the court for his report; a notice of which motion should be given to the defendant, as he must attend personally in court at the time the master makes his report. It may be necessary to observe, that this motion cannot be made on the last day of term, without the permission of the court, or under very special circumstances (b). The report is binding and conclusive, unless an objection be pointed out to some specific portion of it (c). If the defendant have cleared himself of his contempt in his answer, the master will report accordingly (d), and the court will thereupon order him to be discharged out of custody, or, if he be out on bail, will order his recognizance to be discharged; but he is still liable to an indictment for perjury, if his answer be false (e). But if sufficient be confessed by the answer to prove him guilty of the contempt, the master accordingly reports him in contempt, and the court gives judgment of fine or imprisonment, or both, and sometimes of corporal punishment (f), at their discretion, in the same manner as upon a conviction for a misdemeanour at common law. The court, however, if they think fit, may waive the giving of judgment, and order the recognizance to be discharged (g); or the attorney-general may consent that the defendant continue at large, upon his recognizance to appear, under a rule of court, at some future time (h). If judgment be not given during the same term, the cause will be set down in the peremptory paper with those motions appointed to come on peremptorily in the ensuing term (i).

If the defendant clear himself of his contempt, and be dis-

(z) *R. v. Barber*, Str. 444.

(a) *R. v. C.*, H. 220; 3 Hawkins by Cur-

wood, 207, 1.

(b) *R. v. Wether*, 1 W. Bl. 311; 3

Burr. 1202, & C.

(c) *Re Innes*, 8 Moore, 517, per cur.

(d) *Re Innes*, 8 Moore, 517, per cur.

(e) *Re Innes*, 8 Moore, 517, per cur.

(f) *Stander v. Maffett*, 6 Mod. 77;

see 2 Hawk. c. 22, s. 1; *Re v. Wether*,

3 Burr. 1207.

(g) *Re Innes*, 8 Moore, 517, per cur.

(h) *Re Innes*, 8 Moore, 517, per cur.

(i) *Re Innes*, 8 Moore, 517, per cur.

(j) *Re Innes*, 8 Moore, 517, per cur.

charged, he is not in strictness entitled to costs; yet, if it clearly appear to the court that the prosecutor must have known his complaint to be ill-founded and vexatious, they will order him to pay costs to the defendant (*k*).

BOOK IV.
PART III.

As the business on the crown side of the Court of Queen's Bench is conducted by the clerks in court, the attornies on either side have little to do in the proceedings upon an attachment in that court; but each employs a clerk in court, who conducts the proceedings for him.

Proceedings
conducted by
Clerks in
Court.

In the case of an attachment for the non-payment of money or non-performance of an award, or the like, the attachment being in the nature of a civil execution (*l*), interrogatories are never filed, but the party is detained in custody until he pay the money or perform the award. Yet in cases where the rule for the attachment is absolute in the first instance, if the defendant wish to dispute the fact of the contempt, he may rule his adversary to exhibit interrogatories as above mentioned. The sheriff cannot, it seems, be required to pay into court money levied by him under an attachment (*m*). Indeed, in strictness, the money should not be paid to the sheriff, but to the opposite party or his attorney.

Proceedings
on Attach-
ment for Non-
payment of
Money, &c.

As to the proceedings upon an attachment against the sheriff, see *Vol. I.* 552, 555.

Discharge for Irregularity.] If there be a misnomer of the Christian name of the defendant, or other irregularity in the attachment, the prisoner may obtain his discharge by application to the court. Even where the mistake in his name was amended by judge's order, the defendant was discharged (*n*). But he might be retaken, though not detained on such amended writ (*n*). The application must be made in reasonable time,—from the 3rd of February to the 10th day of Easter term is unreasonable (*o*).

Discharge for
Irregularity.

(*k*) *Rex v. Plunket*, 3 Burr. 1329.

(*l*) *See Bonafous v. Schools*, 4 T. R. 316.

(*m*) *Rex v. Sheriff of Devon*, 3 Dowl. 10.

(*n*) *Reg. v. Burgess*, Bail Court, H.

1838, *Coleridge and Patteson, JJ.*, 2 Jurist, 856.

(*o*) *Reg. v. Burgess*, 3 Nev. & P. 366.



APPENDIX (a).

TABLES OF FEES.

1 Table of Fees to be taken by the Sheriffs, Under-Sheriffs, and Sheriffs' Agents, Bailiffs, and others the Clerks or Ministers of Sheriffs in England and Wales, pursuant to the Statute of 1 Vict. c. 55 (b), 1275.

1 Table of Fees prepared pursuant to the Statute 1 Vict. c. 56, by Commissioners appointed under Statute 11 Geo. 4 Will. 4, c. 58, and allowed and sanctioned by the Judges,

Directions to Taxing Officers as to all writs issued on or after the 15th March, 1834, 1287.

Every Warrant which shall be granted by the Sheriff to Taxing Officers, upon any Writ or Process:—[See post, 1279, of the charge where there are several defendants.]

	£	s.	d.
London and Middlesex	0	2	6
in Crown and Outlawry process, an additional in other counties, where the most distant part of the county shall not exceed 100 miles from London	0	2	6
exceeding 200 miles	0	5	0
exceeding 200 miles	0	6	0
exceeding 200 miles	0	7	0
in arrest in London	0	10	6
in Middlesex, not exceeding a mile from the General Court Office	0	10	6
exceeding seven miles from same place	1	1	0
in other counties, not exceeding a mile from officer's residence	0	10	6
exceeding seven miles	1	1	0

*It is thought more advisable to (b) This statute does not deprive the
the following Tables of Fees in sheriff of poundage or of fees on statutes
rather than to encumber the body of not mentioned in it. (See Vol. I. 17).
to work with them.*

Exceeding seven miles	£ 2 6
For conveying the defendant to gaol from the place of arrest, <i>per mile</i>	1 11 0
For an undertaking to give a bail bond	0 1 0
	0 10 0

For a Bail Bond.

If the debt shall not exceed £50	0 10 0
Ditto £100	1 1 0
Ditto £150	1 11 0
Ditto £300	2 2 0
Ditto £400	3 3 0
Ditto £500	4 4 0
If it shall exceed £500	5 5 0
For receiving money under the statute upon deposit for arrest, and paying the same into court, if in London or Middlesex	0 6 0
If in any other county	0 10 0

For Filing the Bail Bond.

If the arrest be made in London or Middlesex	0 2 0
If in any other county	0 4 0

Assignment of Bail or other Bond.

If in London or Middlesex	0 5 0
If in any other county, including postage	0 7 6
For the return to any writ of Habeas Corpus, if one action	0 12 0
And for each action after the first	0 2 6
For the bailiff to conduct prisoner to gaol <i>per diem</i>	0 10 0
And travelling expenses <i>per mile</i>	0 1 0
For searching offices for detainers	0 1 0
Bailiff's messenger for that purpose	0 2 6
To the bailiffs, for executing warrants on extent, capias utlagatum, levare facias, fieri facias, ca. sa., ne exeat, attachment, elegit, writ of pos- session, forfeited recognisance, process from pipe office, and other like matters, for each, if the distance from the sheriff's office or the bailiff's residence do not exceed five miles	1 1 0
If beyond that distance <i>per mile</i>	0 0 6
On Distringas in London	0 5 0
In Middlesex, not exceeding five miles from General Post Office	0 5 0
Exceeding five miles	0 10 0
In other counties, not exceeding five miles from officer's residence	0 5 0
Exceeding five miles	0 10 0
For each man left in possession, when absolutely necessary—	
If boarded <i>per diem</i>	0 3 6
If not boarded <i>per diem</i>	0 5 0

£ s. d.

For every sale by auction, notwithstanding the defendant should become bankrupt or insolvent, where the property sold does not produce more than 300 <i>l.</i> , 5 per cent.—480 <i>l.</i> , 4 per cent.—500 <i>l.</i> , 3 per cent.—and where it exceeds 500 <i>l.</i> , 2½ per cent.			
the certificate of sale to save auction duty	0	2	6
of indemnity, besides stamps	1	10	0
certificate of execution having issued for record	0	5	0

On Writs of Trial and Inquiry.

deputation	1	1	0
giving writ for entering cause and warrant for summoning jury, which fee shall be forfeited in case of countermand of trial	0	4	0

On Trial or Inquisition.

fee for presiding	1	1	0
fee for summoning jury, and attendance in court	0	4	0
And if held at the office of the under-sheriff—			
hire of room, if actually paid, not exceeding	0	10	0
travelling expenses of under-sheriff from his residence to place where trial or inquisition held			
per mile	0	1	0
fee bailiff, from his residence	0	0	6

In all cases in which it shall appear to the master that a saving of expense has accrued to the parties by reason of a writ of trial having been executed by deputation, the fee for such deputation shall be allowed.

Writs of Extent, Elegit, Capias Utlagatum, and orders of the like nature; for summoning the jury, use of room, presiding at the inquisition,	2	2	0
travelling expenses of under-sheriff from his residence to the place of inquisition	0	12	0
per mile	0	1	0
drawing and engrossing the inquisition <i>per folio</i>	0	1	6
summons for the attendance of a witness	0	5	0

[As to the apportionment of the travelling expenses of the under-sheriff and bailiff, see post, 1279.]

In Replevin.

[Bond, see post, 1278.]

fee to bailiff	0	2	6
fee for service on defendant	0	2	6
where the sum demanded and due shall not exceed £20, and shall not exceed £50, for appraisement and affidavit of value	0	10	6
if it shall exceed £50	1	1	0

	£	s.	d.
And his travelling expenses from his residence to the place where the goods are <i>per mile</i>	0	0	6
Bailiff for summoning parties and delivering goods to tenant	1	1	0
And his travelling expenses same as broker.			
For the warrant, record, and return of a re. fa. lo., accedas ad curiam, pone, or writ of false judgment	0	16	0
For writ retorno habendo	0	4	6
For each summons on a writ of sci. fa., or for the service of writ of capias where no arrest	0	5	0
And mileage <i>per mile</i>	0	1	0
For recording each demand or proclamation under writs of outlawry	0	2	0
For bailiff for making each demand or proclamation on writs of outlawry in London and Middlesex	0	2	6
In other counties	0	5	0
And travelling expenses, if the distance shall exceed five miles, then for every mile beyond that distance	0	0	6
For any supersedeas, writ of error, order liberati or discharge to any writ or process, or for the release of any defendant in custody (unless in the prison of the county), or of goods taken in execution	0	4	6
For the return of any writ or process, and filing same, exclusive of the fee paid on filing	0	1	0

Jury Process.

For return to common venire	0	3	6
The like to special	0	5	0
The like on distringas or habeas corpus for common jury	0	12	0
The like for special jury	0	14	0
The like with a view	1	0	0
The like to a traverse venire	0	14	6
For attendance naming special jury	2	2	0
Twenty-four warrants to summon special jury	1	4	0
For bailiff for summoning each special juror	0	2	0
Sheriff attending in court	1	1	0

For attending a view, the fees as allowed by rule of court, Trinity Term, 7 Geo. 4, 1826.

For any duty not herein provided for, such sum as one of the masters of the Courts of King's Bench or Exchequer, or one of the Prothonotaries of the Court of Common Pleas, may upon special application allow.

[Signed by all the Judges.]

SHERIFFS' FEES—ADDENDA.

Bond in Replevin.

£ s. d.

Instead of the allowance of the fees upon the same scale as the bail-bond, the fee of one pound one shilling only is allowed, whatever be the amount, if above £20 1 1 0

Fees on Writs of Trial and Inquisition.

The travelling expenses of the under-sheriff from his office, and of the bailiff from his residence, to the place where the trial or inquisition is held, are to be apportioned rateably to the parties, if more than one trial or inquisition be held at the same time and place.

[Signed by all the Judges.]

Where there are several defendants in a writ of capias, and warrants are issued thereon by the under-sheriff against more than one defendant, no more shall be charged in any case for each warrant, after the first, than two shillings and sixpence.

[Signed by eight of the Judges.]

A Table of Fees, prepared pursuant to the Statute 1 Vict. c. 30, s. 6, by Commissioners appointed under Stat. 11 Geo. 4, & 1 Will. 4, c. 58, and allowed and sanctioned by the Judges.

No fee whatever to be taken not comprised in this table; and whenever, by any change in the practice, any duty shall cease to be performed, the fee thereon also to cease.

1. *Writ Fee.*

For signing, sealing, and, where necessary, entering every writ, and for filing the same, and indorsing the day and hour when filed:—

	£.	s.	d.
Writ of capias	0	5	0
Alias writ of capias	0	2	6
Pluries	0	2	6
Writ of summons	0	5	0

	<i>l.</i>	<i>s.</i>	<i>d.</i>
Alias or pluries	0	2	6
Writ of distringas	0	5	0
Alias or pluries	0	2	6
Writ of detainer	0	5	0
Scire facias	0	5	0
Habeas corpus ad testific.	0	5	0
Procedendo	0	5	0
Supersedeas (except when it is a prisoner's writ)	0	5	0
Prohibition	0	5	0
Consultation	0	5	0
Commission for witnesses	0	5	0
Certiorari	0	5	0
Seisin	0	5	0
Possession	0	5	0
Venditioni exponas	0	5	0
Pone	0	5	0
Distringas	0	5	0
Re. fa. la.	0	5	0
Retorno habendo	0	5	0
Exigent	0	5	0
Allocatur exigent	0	5	0
Proclamations	0	5	0
Supersedeas to exigent	0	5	0
Capias utlagatum	0	5	0
Subpoena on capias utlagatum	0	5	0
Writ of false judgment	0	5	0
Mandamus	0	5	0
All other writs not specified, except execution writs and writs connected with the jury process	0	5	0
Inquiry of damages	0	5	0
Writ of trial	0	2	0
Attachment	0	1	0
Subpoena before the judge	0	2	0
Subpoena before the sheriff	0	1	0
Restitution	0	1	0
Venire facias juratores	} Included in the Fee for signing Jury Process.		
Distringas			
Mittimus to a county palatine	} When Prisoner's Writs, or not sent by Defendant.		
Habeas corpus ad satisfac.			
_____ cum causâ	Nil.		

For searching for all writs and precepts—
each Term, see "Searches," No. 10, *post*,
1282.

For office copy of precept, see "office
copies, No. 14, *post*, 1283.

2. Appearance Fee.

For every appearance entered, whether in the ap-
pearance book, or upon the roll, on cepi corpus 0 2 0
For every appearance for other defendants after the
first Nil.

For every certificate of an appearance being
entered, see "Certificate," No. 11, *post*,
1283.

£ s. d.

3. *Bail Fee.*

For filing every bail-piece	0	3	0
For every allowance and justification of bail	0	3	0
Every search for special bail-piece. See "Searches," No. 10, <i>post</i> , 1282.			
Every <i>post-terminum</i> on special bail-piece filed		Nil.	
Office copy of special bail-piece. See "Office Copies," No. 14, <i>post</i> , 1283.			
To a commissioner for taking special bail in the country in each cause	0	2	0

4. *Rule Fee.*

Rule to plead	0	1	0
<i>Note.</i> —No fee to be taken on any rules to declare, reply, rejoin, or surrejoin, or any common rule relating to pleading, or on prisoners' rules.			
All other common rules	0	1	0
All other rules, when taken out, whatever be their length one fee on each of	0	4	0

5. *Pleading Fee.*

For the pleadings, when issue is joined in fact or in law, or both one fee of	0	7	0
<i>Note.</i> —This fee is to be collected on signing the writ of trial, or on passing the record, or otherwise on the taxing of costs.			

6. *Trial Fee.*

For signing the jury process and passing and sealing the record of <i>Nisi Prius</i>	0	7	0
For striking and reducing a special jury	1	1	0
For attending in any other court, with documents filed in the office the officer's expenses.			

7. *Judgment Fee.*

For entering an interlocutory judgment, where no pleading fee of seven shillings has been previously payable	0	5	0
For entering a final judgment	0	7	0
For entering a judgment of nonpros	0	5	0
For a certificate of a judgment, see "Certifi- cate," No. 11, <i>post</i> , 1282.			
For every satisfaction acknowledged upon record	0	5	0
For entering an <i>auditâ querelâ</i>	0	5	0
For entering a certiorari out of Chancery to certify a record	0	5	0
For indorsing the return on a writ of certiorari	0	3	0
For exemplifying a record	0	5	0

Appendix.

£ s. d.

For searches for records in the upper or inner treasury, see "Searches," No. 10, *post*, 1282.

For copies of records, see "Copies," No. 14, *post*, 1283.

8. Execution Fee.

For signing (a) and sealing every writ of execution	0	1	0
For every commitment in execution and making marshal's or warden's lists	0	3	0

9. Error Fee.

For certifying a record upon a writ of error, each roll	0	10	0
For drawing and entering every rule in error	0	4	0
For entry of all proceedings in writs of error			NIL

(*Note.*—All entries of proceedings in writs of error are to be prepared by the attorneys).

For office copies of all proceedings when required, see "Office Copies," No. 14, *post*, 1283.

For examining the transcript with the roll, with the clerk of the House of Lords	1	1	0
--	---	---	---

10. Search Fee.

Every search, other than for appearances and rules to plead in the same term			
	<i>per term</i>	0	0 3
	<i>except a single term</i>	0	0 6
Or a general search for judgments, where an index is kept		0	2 6

11. Certificate Fee.

For every certificate	0	1	0
For every certified copy of an entry in the books	0	1	0

12. Affidavit Fee.

For every affidavit sworn or affirmed in court, or before a commissioner, or in the master's office, exclusive of the usher's fee	<i>from each deponent</i>	0	1	0
---	---------------------------	---	---	---

13. Entry, Enrolment, Registration, and Filing, Fee.

For every entry of an attorney's annual certificate	0	1	0
For every enrolment or registration			
	<i>each deed or instrument</i>	0	3 0

(a) But see the R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 75, which makes it unnecessary to sign writs of execution. It would probably be held, however, that the fee must be paid for sealing only. It is the practice to sign the writs since this table of costs, but it seems quite unnecessary. (See Vol. L 429).

	£	s.	d.
For filing bail-piece taken before a commissioner in the country	0	1	1
For filing each affidavit, except affidavits to hold to bail and of service of process	0	1	0
For filing the affidavit and enrolling the articles previous to the admission of an attorney	0	5	0
Re-admission	0	2	6
For filing warrants of attorney or cognovits, when filed under the stat. 3 Geo. 4, c. 39.	0	1	0
For filing orders of Nisi Prius	0	1	0
For filing judge's order	0	1	0
And for any other instrument filed by order of the court or of a judge each	0	1	0

14. *Copy, Transcript, or Extract Fee.*

All office copies	<i>per folio</i>	0	0	6
Every other copy, transcript, or extract	<i>per folio</i>	0	0	6

15. *Taxation Fee, References, and Interrogatories.*

For taxing every bill of costs	0	1	0
If exceeding three folios <i>per folio</i>	0	0	4
For every report or determination of a master on special reference from the court	1	1	0
For every examination vivâ voce or on written in- terrogatories	1	1	0
For settling every bond as security for costs	0	10	6
For OUTLAWRY, see "Writs," "Searches," "Enrolments," "Copies."			

FEES OF UNDER USHERS AND CRIERS.

On the taking, adding, or justifying bail in court	<i>each usher</i>	0	0	6
For every oath or affidavit sworn, or affirmation made in court, or before a judge at Westminster in term time	<i>each</i>	0	0	1½
For every person appearing on recognisance	<i>each</i>	0	0	6
For bail taken at bar (Q. B.)	<i>each</i>	0	1	0
For an arraignment at bar (Q. B.)	<i>each</i>	0	2	6
For every fine in court (Q. B.)	<i>each</i>	0	0	6
For every discharge in court (Q. B.)	<i>each</i>	0	0	6
For exhibiting articles of the peace	<i>each</i>	0	0	6
For reversing an outlawry in civil cases	<i>each</i>	0	1	0
For acknowledging a deed in court	<i>each</i>	0	0	3
For a person charged in execution in court, or turned over on habeas corpus	<i>each</i>	0	0	1½
For a trial at bar	<i>each</i>	0	10	0
Calling and swearing jury on do.	<i>each</i>	0	1	6
Swearing every witness on do.	<i>each</i>	0	0	1½
Attending a jury on do. when they withdraw to consider their verdict	<i>each</i>	0	1	0
Commission sworn in court	<i>each</i>	0	1	0
Estreat delivered on oath in court	<i>each</i>	0	1	0

	£	s.	d.
Recognisance taken in court (except of bail) each	0	2	0
On the signing of every final judgment . each	0	0	3
For receiving and returning a record called in court, for the officer or officers producing the same each record	0	1	0
For attendance during argument of any case in the crown or special paper, or in the court of error <i>one fee for all the ushers</i>	0	4	0

COURT KEEPERS' FEES.*In the Exchequer and Common Pleas.*

On the taking, adding, or justifying bail in court .	0	0	4
Every guardian admitted in court	0	0	4
Every trial at bar	0	10	0

TIPSTAFFS' FEES.

Commitment upon habeas corpus at chambers <i>one fee of</i>	0	10	6
Renders in discharge of bail	0	10	6
——— in every action after the first	0	6	0
Commitments in execution by the court	0	10	6
Habeas corpus to Courts of Queen's Bench, Common Pleas, or Exchequer	0	10	6
Habeas corpus to take witnesses into court to give evidence, or for trial <i>per diem</i>	0	10	6
Habeas corpus to chambers to render in other actions	0	10	6
Bankrupts taken before the commissioners	0	10	6
Insolvent debtors to be heard upon their petition .	0	3	0
Journies with bankrupts or insolvents, besides expenses of coach-hire or conveyance— <i>to principal per diem</i>	1	1	0
<i>and to assistant, if taken</i>	0	10	6
Prisoners taken into court by rule of court, under the Lords' Act	0	10	6
Trial at bar <i>each tipstaff, per diem</i>	0	10	6

JUDGES' CLERKS.

(WHETHER THE CLERKS OF CHIEF OR OF
PUISNE JUDGES.)

1. Summons and Order Fees.

Summons, each cause, in term	0	1	0
——— in vacation	0	2	0
Summons and order to try an issue before the sheriff	0	1	0
Order for writ of distringas	0	3	0
Order to hold to bail, upon affidavit before suing out a writ	0	3	0
Order for allowance of bail	0	3	0

	£	s.	d.
to enter up judgment on an old warrant of caveat	0	4	0
to enter satisfaction upon do.	0	3	0
to deliver documents off the file	0	4	0
to sue or defend in formâ pauperis	Nil.		
for admission to sue or defend by guardian	0	3	4
to charge a person, in custody for criminal matter, with an action	0	3	0
to change the venue	0	3	0
for amending record	0	3	0
for a special jury	0	3	0
of reference to arbitration, from each party lying for the order	0	4	0
to compel the attendance of witnesses before arbitrator	0	3	0
to remand a prisoner	0	3	0
to remand or discharge a seaman	0	3	0
to docket judgment roll	0	3	0
to file a certificate of an acknowledgment of debt	0	3	0
undertaking to pay debt or costs, or to pay caveat's bill, on taxation	0	3	0
to enter appearance	0	3	0
to render in discharge of bail	0	3	0
to exonerate bail	0	3	0
for judgment on writ of scire facias	0	3	0
to make a rule of court absolute	0	3	0
, other than above mentioned	0	2	0
al commission to take acknowledgment of a married woman	0	5	0
for admission of attorney	0	10	6
licence to appear and plead	0	10	6
for the enrolment of a deed	0	2	6
for commissions of sewers	0	10	6
for a certiorari on the crown side	0	2	0
for habeas corpus on the crown side	0	2	0
for habeas corpus ad testificandum	0	2	0
from a merchant (being a member of Par- liament) and his sureties, under the statute	0	10	6

2. Bail Fees.

on cepi corpus in term or vacation (out of which 6d. to the porter of Serjeants' Inn)	0	2	6
on habeas corpus in a civil suit, in term or va- cation (out of which 6d. to the porter)	0	2	6
lying bail, in term or vacation	0	2	0
ering bail-pieces off the file, to attorney, for a to take to Westminster	0	1	0
ering bail-pieces off the file, which have been d above a year	0	1	0
on certiorari, in term or vacation (out of which, to the porter)	0	2	6
in error	0	2	0

Appendix.

	<i>£ s. d.</i>
Surrender in discharge of bail, and commitment thereon (out of which 1s. to the porter)	0 7 6
Commitments to the custody of the marshal or warden (out of which 6d. to the porter)	0 3 6
Added bail	0 2 0
Approbation of commissioners for taking special bail	0 2 6
Approbation of commissioners for taking affidavits	0 2 6
Commission for taking special bail (including parchment, engrossing or printing and sealing) chief judge's clerk's fee	1 1 6
Commission for taking affidavits (including parchment, engrossing or printing and sealing) chief judge's clerk's fee	1 1 6

3. Attendance and Service Fees.

Attendance as commissioners to take affidavits	0 6 8
Attendance to take interrogatories <i>per diem</i>	1 1 0
Attendance at trial at bar <i>per diem</i>	1 1 0
Attendance at the judge's house, or elsewhere than at chambers, at the request of a party	0 6 8
Entry of caveat	0 2 6
Special case for the opinion of the court	0 2 6
Special case from Chancery	0 5 0
Special verdict	0 2 6
Demurrer and other paper books	0 2 6
Exhibit to which judge's signature is required	0 1 0
Deed acknowledged	0 1 0
Deed acknowledged by married women	0 7 6
Second acknowledgment by do.	0 3 6
Certificate on Nisi Prius record	0 2 6
Certificate of bail not being put in	0 2 6
Copying judge's notes	0 10 6
Producing judge's notes	0 2 6
Escape warrant	0 5 0
Warrant to apprehend a bankrupt	0 10 6
Attendance by counsel <i>on each side</i>	0 5 0
Signing a bill of exceptions	0 5 0
Signing depositions	0 2 0
Certificate on special case to courts of equity	0 10 6

4. Office Copies.

Office copies of interrogatories <i>per folio</i>	0 0 6
Do. of depositions <i>per folio</i>	0 0 6
Do. of affidavits, if required <i>per folio</i>	0 0 6

5. Affidavits.

For taking affidavits or affirmations, from each deponent, including all exhibits annexed,	
in term	0 1 0
in vacation	0 2 0
For keeping affidavits and carrying them to the rule office to be filed <i>each</i>	0 1 0

	£	s.	d.
Fiat for allowance of a writ of error to the Exchequer Chamber	0	6	2
Do.	0	12	4

[Signed by all the Judges.]

HILARY VACATION, 4 WILL. IV.

Directions to Taxing Officers, as to all Writs issued on or after the 15th March, 1834 (a).

In all actions of assumpsit, debt, or covenant, where the sum recovered or paid intocourt, and accepted by the plaintiff in satisfaction of his demand, or agreed to be paid on the settlement of the action, shall not exceed twenty pounds, (without costs), the plaintiff's costs shall be taxed according to the reduced scale hereunto annexed.

Provided, that, in case of trial before a Judge in one of the superior Courts, or Judge of Assize, if the Judge shall certify on the postea that the cause was proper to be tried before him, and not before a Sheriff or Judge of an inferior Court, the costs shall be taxed upon the usual scale.

At the head of every bill of costs taken to the taxing officer to be taxed, it shall be stated whether the sum recovered, accepted, or agreed to be paid, exceeds the sum of twenty pounds, or not, in the following form :—

Debt above twenty pounds.

Debt twenty pounds, or under.

The officers of the Court of Exchequer to allow no incipiturs of judgment on paper, and are to mark the costs on the postea.

Three shillings and fourpence to be allowed for drawing the judgment in all cases.

Every brief sheet to contain eight folios at the least, which are to be paid for at the rate of six shillings and eightpence per sheet for drawing, and three shillings and fourpence for copying.

For every witness, the allowance for travelling to be the expense actually paid, not exceeding one shilling per mile, unless under special circumstances.

No fee to counsel to be allowed on writs of trial, except on trials before the Judge of the Sheriff's Court of London, or of other Courts of Record where attornies are not allowed to practise, and then one guinea only.

The fees to be allowed to counsel's clerks not to exceed as under :—

	£	s.	d.
Upon a fee under ten guineas	0	2	6
Ten guineas, and under twenty guineas	0	5	0
Twenty guineas and upwards	0	10	0
Senior counsel's clerk on consultation	0	7	6
The other counsel's clerk, each	0	2	6
Attending as a witness at trials to prove documents	0	10	6

(a) For the decisions on this rule, see Vol. II. p. 1167.

Appendix.

SCHEDULE I.
Commencement of Suit.

	£	s.	d.
Letter before action, if sent	0	2	0
Instructions to sue	0	3	4
Writ	0	10	0
Copy and service	0	5	0
Bill and copy to indorse	0	2	0
Searching for appearance	0	3	4
Instructions for declaration	0	3	4
Drawing same at 1s. per folio.			
Engrossing at 4d.			
Notice thereof, when filed	0	5	0
Drawing particulars and copy	0	2	6
Rule to plead	0	1	0
Demanding plea	0	3	0
Drawing issue, of whatever length	0	3	4
Engrossing issue to deliver, at 4d. per folio			
Notice of trial	0	2	0

SCHEDULE II.

When the Cause is tried before the Sheriff.

Summons for trial	0	1	0
Copy of service	0	3	0
Attending for order	0	3	4
Paid for order	0	1	0
Copy and service	0	3	0
Engrossing writ of trial, (folio 14)	0	4	8
Parchment	0	3	0
Paid sealing	0	0	7
Attending thereon	0	3	4
Copy particulars to annex	0	2	0
Subpoena	0	5	0
Copy and service	0	3	0
Making minutes of evidence for the hearing	0	13	4
Attending to enter the cause	0	3	4
Paid in part of the sheriff's fee on leaving the same (No more to be paid if withdrawn before trial).	0	4	0
Attending Court on trial	0	13	4
Paid rest of fees for trial	1	4	6
Notice of taxing	0	3	0
Affidavit of increase	0	5	0
Paid filing affidavit (whether town or country)	0	1	0
Bill of costs and copies	0	4	0
Attending taxing	0	3	4
Paid taxing (in Q. B. and Exch.)	0	2	6
Drawing judgment	0	3	4
Entering on the roll at 4d. per folio.			
Paid roll	0	0	10
Paid entries (as before).			
Paid judgment fee and docket (as before).			

Tables of Fees.

1289

	£	s.	d.
Attending thereon	0	3	4
Term fee	0	10	0
Letters in County Causes:—			
Under 50 miles, 2s.			
Above 50 miles, 4s.			
Above 100 miles, 6s.			
Where fi. fa., and warrant thereon, viz.:—			
In town	0	8	0
In country	0	13	0

SCHEDULE III.


When the Cause is tried at Nisi Prius, and Verdict for 20l. or under.

Engrossing record (folio 14)	0	4	8
Parchment	0	3	0
Paid sealing	0	0	7
Attending thereon	0	3	4
Copy particulars to annex	0	2	0
Venire	0	6	6
Paid return	0	2	0
Attending thereon	0	3	4
Distringas	0	7	8
Paid return (about)	0	15	0
Attending thereon	0	3	4
Subpcena	0	5	0
Copy and service	0	3	0
Instructions for brief	0	13	4
Brief and copy (and no more)	2	0	0
Attending to enter cause	0	3	4
Paid entering (about)	0	18	0
— counsel (as usual).			
Attending Court on trial	1	1	0
Paid fees on trial (about)	3	15	0
Postea	0	5	0
Notice of taxing	0	3	0
Affidavit of increase	0	5	0
Paid filing same	0	1	0
Bill of costs and copies	0	4	0
Attending taxing	0	3	4
Paid taxing (in Q. B. and Exch.) as usual, say	0	4	0
Drawing judgment	0	3	4
Entering on the roll, at 4d., about 19 fol.			
Paid roll	0	0	10
Paid judgment fee and docket.			
Attending thereon	0	3	4
Term fee	0	10	0

Letters in country (as to distance).

Costs not to be taxed until judgment signed, unless the parties compromise without judgment.

Where fi. fa., and warrant (as before).



INDEX

A.

ABANDONING pleadings, 180; abandoning judgment, 703, 704; abandoned possession of premises, what is, 770. *See further, "Waiver."*

Abatement of suit by death, 1178, 1182, *see "Death;"* by bankruptcy, 825, 826, *see "Bankrupt."*

Abatement, Pleas in.

For non-joinder, 651.

For misnomer, 652.

Of privilege of attornies, 653.

Parol demurrer, 653.

In ejectment, &c., 653.

The plea, when and how pleaded, 653, 655.

Affidavit of truth, &c., 654.

Amendment of, 655.

Replication, demurrer to, &c., 655.

Cassetur breve, 656.

Issue, &c., 656.

which party to begin on trial, 268.

Judgment on, 656.

Costs on, 656.

Subsequent proceedings, 658; time for pleading, after, 657; entry of proceedings in second issue, 657; declaration in second action, 657, 651.

Abatement of writ of error, 354.

Abbreviations in attorney's bill, what allowed, 73.

Abode. *See "Residence."*

Absconding of tenant, service of declaration in ejectment in case of, 740.

Absence of witness, &c., new trial for, 1093; of counsel, &c., 1092.

Absolute rules, 1184, 1195. *See "Rules and Motions."*

Absolutely, declaring so, 136.

Accedas ad curiam, 795; proceedings on, &c., 795.

Accepting of bail, 583; of issue, 202, 1048.

Account books, proof by entries, &c., in, 229.

Act of parliament. *See "Statutes."*

Act of state, proof of, 224.

Actio personalis moritur cum personâ, 1178.

Action, in what actions defendant may be held to bail, 480; statement of cause of, in affidavit of debt, 486; statement of, in writ, 106, 516.

Adding bail, 589.

Adding pleas, 182.

Addition of parties, in writ of summons, 106; in writ of *capias*, 514; indorsement of on *ca. sa.*, 451.

Addition of bail in bail-piece, 579.

Addition of deponent in affidavit, 1212.

Adjournment day, notice of trial for, &c., 206; adjournment of execution of inquiry by sheriff, 717.

- Administration, how proved, 221.**
Administrators, actions by and against, &c., 874. See "Escutor."
Admiralty, proceedings in, how proved, 221.
Admission of an attorney, 29 to 33.
Admission of guardian, &c., to prosecute, &c., for infants, 888.
Admission to sue in forma pauperis, 918.
Admission notice, &c., to admit a document, &c., in evidence, 213.
Admission into prison, right of public to, &c., 863.
Adverse claims, 999. See "Interpleader."
Advowson, extending of, 443.
Affidavit, generally.
 General rule as to form of, 1207; clerical errors in, 1208.
 Title of, 1208; in the court, 1208; in the cause, 1209.
 Deponent's abode, 1211.
 Deponent's addition, 1212.
 Addition of other parties, 1213.
 Deponent's signature, 1213.
 Jurat, 1213; erasure, &c., in, 1214; amendment of, 1215.
 Before whom to be sworn, 1215; before judge, commissioner, &c., 1215;
 before attorney in cause, or clerk, 1215; commissions for taking of,
 in Scotland and Ireland, 1216; before British consul, 1217; where
 sworn abroad, 1217.
 When to be sworn, 1217.
 When to be filed, 1217; affidavit sworn in country, 1218.
 How long in force, 1218.
 Defects, when aided, amended, &c., 1218.
**Affidavit of execution of articles of clerkship, 21; of service under, 30; of
stamp duty being paid, and of enrolment, 32.**
Affidavit to obtain rule nisi, 1185, 1190.
Affidavit to shew cause against rule nisi, 1191.
Affidavit to obtain distringas, 127.
Affidavit to enter appearance for defendant, 126.
Affidavit to hold to bail.
 Form of, 484.
 How intitled, 484.
 Deponent's abode and addition, 485.
 Names, &c., of parties, 485.
 Statement that defendant is about to quit England, 486.
 Statement that an action is pending, 486.
 Statement of cause of action, 486; must be such that perjury can be
 assigned, 486; must be direct and positive, 486; exceptions, 487; by
 executors or assignees, 487; by partner, 488; stating right to sue
 by law of foreign country, 488; on a deed, 489; on a bond, 490; on
 an award, 490; on Irish judgment, 490; on bill or note, 490; for
 causes of action recoverable on the common counts, 492; statement
 of defendant's request, 493; in trover, 493; on a penal statute, 494;
 may be good in part and bad in part, 494; must be single, 494; must
 correspond with writ, 495; must correspond with declaration, 495.
 Negative of tender, 495.
 Jurat, &c., 495. *See further, "Affidavit."*
 Mode and time of swearing, 495.
 By whom to be made, 495.
 Before whom to be sworn, 496; in England, 496; in a foreign country,
 496; Ireland or Scotland, 496.
 When to be sworn, and duration of, 497.
**Affidavit for attachment against sheriff for not returning writ, 542; for not
bringing in body, 553.**
Affidavit of merits, 569, 570, 705.
Affidavit of justification accompanying notice of bail, 583.
Affidavit to oppose bail, 597.

- Affidavit of extra costs, 1162.**
Affidavit to obtain judge's certificate for immediate execution, 397, 332.
Affidavit to enter judgment on old warrant of attorney, 693.
Affidavit to set aside regular judgment by default, 705.
Affidavit of service of declaration in ejectment, 743.
Affidavit to verify plea in abatement, &c., 655.
Affidavit to verify plea puis darrein continuance, 300.
Affidavit on writ of error, of truth of error in fact, 357.
Affidavit to change venue, 956.
Affidavit to obtain judgment as in case of nonsuit, &c., 1075.
Affidavit to obtain a new trial, 1101.
Affidavit to compel attendance of witness before arbitrator, 1228.
Affidavit to set aside, &c., award, 1239, 1253.
Affidavit to obtain attachment, &c., to enforce award, 1258.
Agent to attorney, 45, see further "Attornies;" notices, &c., to, 45, 208;
 payment of debt, &c., to, 46; lien of, 46; when attorney liable to, for bill,
 46; attorney acting as agent for unqualified person, 45; indorsement on
 process, as to, 51; taxation of bill of, 46, 76; need not deliver signed
 bill, 46.
Agreement, between attorney and client, not to restrain taxation of bill,
 76; inspection of, 1023; order to produce, to get stamped, &c., 1025;
 not to bring error, 349; not to issue execution, 396; terms of, should be
 expressed on cognovit, 675; on warrant of attorney, 682; when sci. fa.
 to revive judgment not necessary after, 817.
Alias capias, 450; fi. fa. 438; alias ca. sa., &c., 450; attachment, 1270.
Alien, privileged from being holden to bail, 467; plea of alien enemy not
 issuable, 162; not allowed to be pleaded with another plea, 175; when
 may be jurors, 305; time to render principal when an alien, 623.
Alleging diminution, 369.
Allocatur, attachment, &c., for not paying costs on, 1273, &c.
Allocatur exigent, 930.
Allowance of bail, 606, 607.
Allowance of writ of error, 357.
Allowances to prisoners, 862.
Almanac, proof of, 224.
Alteration of writ of summons, 119; of warrant of attorney after execution,
 690; of process, &c., attachment for, 1276.
Ambassadors and servants cannot be held to bail, &c., 466; security for costs
 in action by, 1013.
Amendment generally.
 When and how, 1112; after demurrer, 1112; at Nisi Prius, 1113; after
 verdict, &c., 1113; after judgment and before error, 1114; after
 error brought, 1114; terms of amendment, and remedy for costs of,
 1114.
 What amendable at common law, 1115.
 What amendable by statute, 1115; misprision of clerks, 1115.
 What aided at common law, 1116.
 What aided by statute of jeofails, 1116; in civil actions, 1116; in penal
 proceedings, 1116; actual amendment unnecessary under, 1117.
Amendment, &c., of particular proceedings.
 Entry of warrant of attorney, 1117; infant appearing by attorney, when
 aided, 1118.
 Original writ, or bill, 1118; complaints in inferior courts, 1118.
 Process, 1118; altering and re-sealing, &c., 1119; copy served not
 amendable, 1119; aided by verdict, 1119; waiver of defect in, 1082;
 defect no ground for error, 1119.
Appearance, 1119.
Bail-piece, 1120; recognisance of bail, 1120.
Declaration, 1120; what allowed, 1120; in ejectment, 736; time of
 application for, 1121; costs of, 1122; what defects aided by verdict,

Amendment, &c.—(continued.)

- 1122, what an judgment by confession or default, &c., 1123; order for may be abandoned, 1123; time for pleading after, 1127.
- Particulars of demand, &c., 1123; notices, &c., 1126.
- Plead, and subsequent proceedings, 1123; replication, 1124; answers and pleas in bar, 1125; withdrawing pleas, &c., 1126; misprison of clerks in, 1125; what defects in aided by verdict, 1125; what by judgment, by confession, or default, 1125.
- Notice of disputing bankruptcy, patent, &c., 1126.
- Demurrer, 1126, after argument of, 1112.
- Writ of inquiry, 1126.
- Writ of trial before sheriff, 1126.
- Issue, 1127, objection to, when made, and how waived, 1128; re-pleader, where issue immaterial, 1128.
- Jury process, 1128, what defects in, aided by verdict, 1128.
- Nisi Prius record, 1129, when amended by the court, 1129; when by judge at Nisi Prius, 1129.
- Verdict, 1130, to give the finding its legal effect, 1130, by judge's notes, &c., 1131, by act of the party, 1132; special verdict, 1132; for what court will award a *scire facias* de novo, 1137; where postponed, 1132.
- Judgment, 1132; what aided by verdict, &c., 1133; where roll lost, 1131.
- Scire facias*, 1133; what aided by verdict, 1134.
- Writ of error, 1134, what amendable, 1134; in what court, 1134; bail on, 1135; costs of, 1135; transcript, 1135; of assignment of errors, 1135.
- Execution, 1135; for what, when and how, 1135; when not, 1136; as statute of jeofails as to, 1136.
- Sheriff's return, 1136.
- Rules of court, orders, &c., 1136.
- Affidavits, 1136.
- Amends, tender of, plea of, by justice, &c., 913.
- Amercement, statement of, in judgment, 335.
- Ancient demesne, plea of, 750, 653; may be extended under elegit, 443, county court, &c., cannot proceed in question of, 794.
- Annuity, reference to compute, in action for, 709; staying proceedings in, on payment of arrears, &c., 984; damages in, 321; setting annuities aside, 1044; setting aside warrant of attorney for, 690; setting aside execution in, for excess, 698; clause of *scire facias* in warrant of attorney to secure, 699; docketing judgment for, 697, 337, 338; bond for, within the 8 & 9 W. 3, c. 11, 699; *sci. fa.* on judgment for subsequent arrears of, when necessary, 698.
- Answer, in equity, how proved, 219; in ecclesiastical court, how proved, 321; in admiralty court, how proved, 221.
- Apothecary, exempt from being juror, 302.
- Appearance, what, 121, 193; of parties by attorney, 49; attorney's undertaking for, 58; condition for, in bail-bond, 537; how entered, by defendant, on writ of summons, 121; by plaintiff for him, 122; affidavit for, not necessary, 121; to *scire facias*, 335; in replevin, 796; upon *exigi facias*, 930; in ejectment, 749; must be entered by defendant, or he cannot nonpro, 1052; must be entered before judgment by default, 701; or on cognovit, 679; amendment of, 1119; waiver of defects in, 1046; cannot be entered *nunc pro tunc*, 679.
- Appointment of master, must be attended to, 58.
- Appointment of attorney, how, 50.
- Appointment of arbitrator, 1228; of umpire, 1234.
- Appraisement, &c., of goods under *f. fa.*, 422; under distress, 790.
- Arbitration.

The reference.

Where there is a cause in court, 1220; attorney no power to refer,

bitration, (continued).

1221; rule, &c., of reference, how obtained, 1221; amendment of, 1221; substitution of arbitrator when unable to proceed, 1221; award cannot exceed damages in declaration, 1222.

Where there is no cause in court, 1222; by deed or agreement, 1222; warrant of attorney, 1222; submission by person without authority, 1222; several stamps, when required, 1223; what submission may be made a rule of court, 1223; form of submission and what it includes, 1223; clause of consent, to make submission a rule of court, 1224.

Alteration of submission, &c., 1224.

Revocation of submission, &c., 1225; by leave of court, 1225; by death or bankruptcy, 1226.

Effect of agreement to refer on right to sue, 1227.

The award.

Proceedings upon the reference, 1227; swearing witnesses, 1227; obtaining appointment from arbitrator, 1228; statement of case, witnesses, &c., to, 1228; compelling attendance of witnesses, 1228; the hearing and examination of witnesses, parties, &c., 1230.

The award, 1230; stamp, 1231; certificate of amount of damages, 1231.

Enlargement of time for making it, 1231; by arbitrator, 1231; by consent of parties, 1231; by the court or a judge, 1232; mode of, by arbitrator, 1233; proceedings where enlargement has been omitted, 1233; no enlargement necessary in case of certificate, 1233.

Umpire, 1234; what and when appointed &c., 1234; by lot, 1234; how far arbitrators may act after appointing umpire, 1234; examination of witnesses, &c., by, 1235; umpirage must be made within limited time, 1235; enlargement of time by, 1235; stamp on, 1235.

Costs, 1235; where no cause in court, 1235; where there is, 1236; of the reference, 1236; of the action, 1236; in case of abortive reference, 1237; taxation of costs awarded, 1238; extent of arbitrator's power over costs, 1238.

Arbitrator's authority, how determined, 1239.

Setting aside award.

In what cases, 1239; not where award void or doubtful, 1240; grounds for setting aside, 1240; that arbitrator has not pursued the submission, 1240; or has exceeded it, 1240; that award is uncertain or ambiguous, 1242; that award is not final, 1244; that it is inconsistent, 1247; that it is illegal, 1247; that the proceedings were irregular, 1247; that arbitrator has misconducted himself, &c., 1248; that arbitrator has mistaken the law, 1249; award, bad in part, bad for whole, when, 1249.

Who may apply to set aside award, and how objections may be waived, 1250; party in whose favour mistake is made cannot apply, 1250; objection as to competency or want of parties must be made before award, 1251; waiver of objections by proceeding in reference, 1251; waiver of, by accepting a benefit under award, 1251.

How and within what time, 1251; where submission is by deed &c., and contains a consent to make it a rule of court, 1251, 1252; where it is by rule or order, 1252; court will, in some cases, hear the motion later, 1253; motion to set aside judgment on award not limited, &c., 1253.

Practical proceedings to set aside award, 1253; cause not shewn on last day of term, 1254; second application, 1254.

Costs of application, 1254.

Adjudication—(continued).*Enforcing performance of award.*

Where there is no appeal to court, 1253. by action where summons cannot be made a rule of court, 1255. defence to, 1255; by action, attachment, or execution where adjudication made a rule of court, 1254; making it a rule of court, 1254, demand of performance &c., 1257; affidavits or motions for attachment, 1254 the motion and rule for, 1259, what defects may be shown for cause against, 1258. when and on whose behalf attachment grantable, 1259, where award is lost, 1260. attachment for long bail to set aside award, 1260, title of affidavits showing cause, 1260. Where there is a cross to court, 1260; judgment on, how signed &c., 1260, execution, 1261.

Other points as to.

Attorney referring without authority, 53; bail, when discharged by, 634. sureties in replevin, when and how discharged by, 612; when award may be set off against another award, 59, how award proved, 129. plea of *per dictum continuacion*, 200.

Argument days, &c., of depositions, &c., 645, 95. of special verdict, 317, of special case, 319, 320, on error, 273, 291.

Assessors and jurors, entitled as common assessors, privileges of, from being held to bail, 474.

Army, challenges to, 305.**Arrest as *in rem* process.**

Changes effected in, by stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, 461; arrest abolished except in certain cases, 461; actions to be commenced by writ of summons, 461; judge may order arrest, 461; certain prisoners entitled to be discharged, 461; points to be attended to in proceedings on arrest, 462.

Privilege from.

Consequences of privilege, 462.

Who privileged, 464.

The royal family, &c., 464.

Peers, 464; servants of, 465.

Members of parliament, 465; discharge of, from arrest, 465; members of convocation, 465; candidates and voters, 466.

Ambassadors and their servants, 466; punishment of those who arrest, 466; sheriff refusing to arrest, 466; discharge of, out of custody, 467.

Aliens, 467.

The judges, sergeants, barristers, &c., 467.

Attornies and officers of the court, 468; discharge of, from arrest, 469, sheriff not bound to notice their privilege, 469; action for arresting them, 469; mode of issuing writ of privilege, 469.

Parties to a suit, witnesses, &c., 526, et seq.

Bail, 469.

Bankrupts, 470.

Innocent debtors, 471; sheriff not liable for arresting, 471.

Brewn and fees, 471; husband alone should be arrested, 472; discharge of married women, 472; costs of, 473.

Corporators and hundreders, 473.

Executors, administrators, and heirs, 473.

Infants and lunatics, 473.

Seamen of royal navy, 474; discharge, &c., of, from arrest, 474; to be conveyed to one of her Majesty's ships, 474; armaments, gunners, &c., are seamen, 474; entering apprentices for such seamen, 475.

Soldiers and marines, 475.

Where defendant has been before arrested for some cause, 476; arrest on fresh security, 477; arrest got rid of by bond, 477; after a

arrest on mesne process, (*continued*).

compromise, &c., 477; where defendant merely served in first action, 478; after arrest in foreign country, 478; second arrest by executors, 478; double arrest in two counties, 478; waiver by putting in bail, 478; where defendant wrongfully arrested or detained in custody, 479; in case of wrongful arrest by third party, 480; no privilege redeundo from lawful custody, 480.

In what actions the defendant may be arrested.

The amount and nature of the cause of action, 480.

In assumpsit, 481; interest, 482.

In debt, 482; on bonds, 482; on recognisance of bail, 482; on judgment, 482; on awards, 483; on penal statutes, 483.

In covenant, 483.

In detinue and trover, 484.

In trespass, 484.

In case, 484.

The affidavit to hold to bail, 484. See "Affidavit to hold to bail."

Judge's order for the arrest.

In what cases, 497; order may be made at any stage of proceedings before judgment, 498; cases of absence from England within 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 3, 498; practical directions as to obtaining order, 498; privileged persons cannot be arrested, 499; order may be made even where special order was necessary before the act, 499.

The Arrest.

Statute 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110; permitting it, 523.

Writ, how lodged with sheriff to be executed, 523.

Duty of sheriff to execute writ, 524.

The warrant and bailiff appointed under, 524; bound bailiff, 524; special bailiff, 524; who may act as, 524; contents of warrant, 524; not to be made before sheriff has received the writ, 525; should be delivered to the officer, 525.

Who may be arrested, 525; *see supra*; arresting privileged persons, 525; who are privileged, 526; writ of protection, 526; privilege of parties, witnesses, &c., connected with a cause, 526; of candidates or voters at an election, 465; duration of privilege, 526; to what courts it extends, 527; to what court the discharge should be applied for, 528; privilege of clergymen, 528; temporary privilege of a bankrupt, 528, 470; other cases of privilege, 530, 476, 479.

By whom made, 530; when sheriff may direct warrant to special bailiff, 531, 524; in Lancaster or Durham, 531, 509; in a liberty or franchise, 531.

When, 531; when bailiff executing writ a trespasser, 531, 525.

Where, 531; in superior courts, 532; in verge of palace, 532; in Tower, 532; in districts partly surrounded by another county, 532.

How made, 532; seizure of person, 532; breaking doors or windows to make arrest, 533; posse comitatus, 533.

Copy of writ to be delivered to the defendant, 533; consequence of non-delivery, 533; consequence of mistake in copy, 533; time of application to set aside proceedings, 534.

Indorsement on writ of the day of arrest, 534.

Detainer, 534; where first arrest was illegal, 534.

What done after the arrest, &c., 535; if party too ill to be removed, 535, 546; must not be taken to gaol, within what time, 535, 546.

Improper arrest or detainer, 535, 523, 534; if arrest incomplete, &c., 535, 511.

Other proceedings as to;—The bail bond, 536. See "Bail-bond."

Deposit with the sheriff, 539.

Security to the plaintiff for defendant's putting in bail, &c., 541.

Arrest, on *meine process*, (continued).

Discharge of defendant without bail-bond, &c., 541.

Escape, 543; *see* "*Escape*."

Rescue, 543; *see* "*Rescue*."

Lodging the defendant in prison, 546; when, 546; in what prison, 546; where defendant too ill to be removed, 546.

Arrest under final process, 451. *See* "*Capias ad Satisfaciendum*."

Arrest of judgment, 1110; in what cases, 1110; motion for, 1110; costs on, 1111.

Articled clerks, 19 to 33. *See* "*Attornies*."

Articles of ship, evidence of, 232.

Articles of war, proof of, 224.

Assault, plea in an action for, 186; change of venue in, 953; damages in, 320, 327, &c.; new trial, for excessive damages in action for, 1090; costs in, 1142. *See* "*Trespass*," "*Battery*."

Assessment of damages. *See* "*Damages*." Consequences of want of, 712; in debt on bond within 8 & 9 W. 3, c. 11, 723.

Assignee of debt, &c., affidavit of debt by, 487; staying action until assignor indemnified for costs, 996.

Assignee of bankrupt. *See* "*Bankrupt*."

Assignment of articles of clerkship, 23.

Assignment of bail-bond, 559. *See* "*Bail-bond, proceedings on*."

Assignment of replevin-bond, 811. *See* "*Replevin-bond*."

Assignment, new, 197. *See* "*New Assignment*."

Assignment of breaches in debt on bond, 724, 728.

Assignment of errors, 369, 382, 390; practical directions, 390. *See* "*Error, writ of*."

Assignment of prisoner's effects under Lords' act, 871.

Assizes, when held, &c., 98; considered but as one day, 822; notice of trial at, 206; judgment as in case of a nonsuit, in causes triable at, 1074; power of judges to make orders on, 99.

Associate, 10; duty, &c., of as to postea, 328.

Assumpsit, jurisdiction of the courts in, 1; corporation cannot sue, or be sued in, when, 841; when the defendant may be held to bail in, 481; plea in, 185; what plea a nullity in, 166; change of venue in, 956; damages in, 320; judgment on verdict in, 334; interlocutory judgment in, &c., 701; writ of inquiry in, 709, &c.; costs in, 335, 1141; execution in, 400.

Attachment generally.

In what cases, 1262; for contemptuous expressions, 1262; rescue, 1262; misbehaviour of attornies or officers, &c., 1262; sheriff, &c., not executing, &c., writ, 1263; against judges of inferior courts, &c., 1263; against gaolers, &c., 1263; disobedience of process, 1263; disobedience of rule or order, 1264; breach of undertaking, 1266; abuse of process, 1266; contempts in face of court, 1267; for not paying officer's fees, 1267; against peers or M. P.'s, 1267; against executor, 1267.

The motion and rule for, 1267; when absolute in first instance, 1268; when only nisi, 1268; rule and service of, 1268; shewing cause against, 1269; rule absolute, 1269.

Form of, and how sued out and executed, 1269; where prisoner in custody of marshal, 1270; on Sunday, 1270; rule to return, 1270; alias, &c., 1270.

Commitment and bail on, 1270.

Interrogatories, 1271; proceedings on, 1271; refusal to answer, 1272; reference to master, report and judgment thereon, 1272.

Costs, 1272.

Proceedings conducted by clerks in court, 1273; proceedings on attachment for non-payment of money, &c., 1273; proceedings upon against sheriff, 552, 555.

Discharge for irregularity, 1273.

ttachment, in particular cases.

For not returning writ, 552. See "*Sheriff, Proceedings against.*"

For not bringing in the body, 555. See "*Sheriff, Proceedings against.*"

ttachment for not returning writ of execution, 411.

ttachment for non-payment of money or costs, &c., 1273.

ttachment for non-performance of award, 1256.

ttachment for not obeying subpoena, 234.

ttachment against attorney for not appearing, &c., 60, 1262; for misconduct, 67.

ttachment of privilege abolished, 846.

ttainder, see "*Conviction*;" persons attainted cannot be jurors, 303.

ttaint, writ of, abolished, 289.

ttesting witness, proof by, 226.

ttornies.

Articled Clerks.

who may not have, 20.

how many, 20.

The Articles.

statute as to, 20.

service with counsel, 21.

improper articles cancelled, 21.

stamp on, 21.

affidavit of execution of, 21; entry of, 21; want of, how remedied, 21.

enrolment of, 21.

The Service.

In ordinary cases, 22.

Unavoidable absence, 22.

Prevented by act of God, 23.

In case of death, &c., of master, 23.

In case of bankruptcy, 23.

In case of insanity, 23.

Refunding premium, where service deficient, 23.

Examination.

Infant not entitled to, 24; in case of insufficient service, 24; act 2 Geo. 2, requiring examination, 24; rules of court appointing examiners, &c., 25; appeal on refusal of certificate, 25; place of, 25; notice of intention to apply for, 25; regulations as to mode of, 26; certificate of, 26; questions as to service to be answered by the clerk, 27; questions as to service to be answered by the attorney, 27; directions to candidates, 27; leave to send an answer *nunc pro tunc*, 28; examination as solicitor sufficient, 28; fees on, 28.

Admission.

Notice of intention to apply for, 29; right names of all parties to be stated in notice, 29; notice at what time to be given, 30; when dispensed with, 30.

Entry of name, &c., at judge's chambers, 30.

Affidavit of service, 30.

Affidavit of stamp-duty being paid, and of enrolment, &c., 31.

Mode of admission, 31.

Oaths to be taken on, 31.

Enrolment of admission, &c., 32; practising without, penal, 32; enrolment *nunc pro tunc*, 32; proceedings, how affected by omission to enrol, 32.

Admission in one court how to operate for another, 33.

Fraudulent admission, 33.

Certificate.

Duty on, 34; how long in force, 34; entry of, 34; practising without it, penal, 34; proceedings, how affected by want of, 35;

Attornies, (continued).

neglect to take it out for a year, 36; re-admission where certificate never taken, 36; indemnity acts for neglect to take it out, 36.

Entry of name and abode at master's office, 37.**Re-admission.**

Power of courts, as to, 37; notice for, 38; first affidavit for, 38; may be filed *nunc pro tunc*, 39; second affidavit for, 39; motion for, where and when to be made, 39; when refused, 39; rule for, 39; proof of, 40.

Terms of, 40; where applicant has not practised since last certificate, 40; where he has, 40.

Admission and practising in courts in which he is not admitted.

By attornies of courts at Westminster, 41; by attornies of courts of Wales, &c., Lancaster, &c., 41; of attorney of treasury, &c., 42.

Practising in inferior courts, 42.

Practising in name of another, 42.

Proceedings to be in name of admitted attorney, 43; statutes as to, inapplicable to agents, 43; and almost useless since 7 W. 3 & 1 V. c. 56, 43.

Unqualified person practising in attorney's name by consent, 43; who not an "unqualified person," 45; both must be proceeded against, 45; costs not recoverable, 45.

Unqualified person practising without consent, 45.

Agents in town.

May be treated as attornies in the cases, 46.

Attorney bound by agent's acts, 45.

Answerable for his misconduct, 46.

Lien of, 46.

Recovery of costs by, 46.

Continuance of his power, 46.

For unqualified person, 47.

Privileges and disabilities of attornies.

Privileges, 47; exemption from serving officers, 47; privileges as plaintiffs, 47; as defendants, 47; as to costs, 48; only practising attornies privileged, 48; privileged communications, 48.

Disabilities of attornies generally, 48.

Disabilities of attorney, prisoner, 49.

Incidents to suing and defending by.

Right to sue or defend by, 49.

How appointed, 50; appointment by third party, 50; by court in case of refusal, 50; warrant need not be entered, 50; memorandum of warrant unnecessary, 50.

Indorsement of name of attorney on mesne process, and stating place of abode, &c., of client, 51; under 1 & 2 V. c. 110, 52; disclosure of abode, &c., of parties, 52.

Service of notices, &c., upon, 52; where, 50; when, 51.

Clients when bound by act, &c. of, 53.

When bound to act, &c., 53; refusing to proceed must produce papers, &c., 54.

Change of, 54; changed attorney may in general act for other party, 56; death of, 56.

Continuance of authority, 56.

Acting without authority, 56.

Duties and undertakings of, remedies and punishments for their breach of duty, misconduct, &c.

Duties and undertakings, 58; duties to the court, 58; to clients, 58; undertakings of, in what cases enforced, 58; when not, 59.

Remedies and punishment for breach of duty, misconduct, &c., 60.

For misconduct and crime of, 60; sham pleas, fictitious signatures, &c., 62; if offence indictable, court will not, in general, interfere before conviction, 62; forgers, perjurers, &c., practising may be transported, 62.

Attornies, (continued).

For negligence and ignorance, 62; by way of action or defence, 62; by application to court where negligence or ignorance is very gross, 64; attorney not obliged to supply evidence of his own negligence, 64.

Compelling delivery of papers, money, &c., 64; where he becomes bankrupt, 66; where right *bond fide* disputed, 66; where delivery would be a contempt, 66; drafts and copies must be delivered, 67.

Proceedings on the motion to punish attorney for misconduct, 67; in what court, 67; the proceedings, 68; motion must be made in reasonable time, 68; against agent, must be by attorney, 68; where no cause shewn, 68; costs of application, 68; reference to master, 68; striking off roll of other court, 68; striking off roll not always perpetual, 68.

Striking off the roll at his own request, &c., 69.

Delivery and taxation of bill, and remedy and lien for costs.

Delivery of bill, under 3 J. 1, c. 7, s. 1, 69; under 2 Geo. 2, c. 23, s. 23, 69; in what courts necessary, 70; for what description of business, 70; for what disbursements, 71; where part only of the business is taxable, 71; delivery of bill, 72; representatives or assignees need not deliver, 72; bill may be proved under fiat, or set off, or security for sued on, without delivery, 72; bill for business done for another attorney, 73; form of bill delivered, 73; what abbreviations good, 73, n. (q); how and to whom delivered, 74; when, 75; non-delivery no ground for staying proceedings, 75.

Compelling delivery of, 75.

Taxation of, 76; between attorney and client, 76; no common-law right to tax, 77; taxation, at what time applied for, 77; by whom applied for, 78; to what court or judges applied for, 79; proceedings on, and subsequent to, application to tax, 79; masters to tax costs indiscriminately in any of the courts, 80; mode of taxation between attorney and client, 81; attachment for balance, 81; review of, 81; no action pending taxation, 81.

Costs of taxation, 81; between attorney and client, 81; where bill reduced one-sixth, 82; where bill reduced less than one-sixth, 83; how recovered, 83; what items to be inserted to avoid costs, 83.

Remedies for costs, 84; defence to action on attorney's bill, 85.

Securities for, 85.

Lien for, 86; on deeds and papers, 86; on money and costs, 86 compromise in fraud of lien on costs, 87; lien on judgments, 87; set-off of judgments, or awards, when subject to lien, 88; agent's lien, 89; delivery of deeds &c., when lien satisfied, 89.

Attornies, actions by and against.

Actions by—process, 846; privileges not abolished, 846; of attorney plaintiff, how may be lost or waived, 47 to 49; delivery of bill, 846, 69; venue, 846.

Actions against—process, 847; privileges of, 847, 47; being sued in courts of conscience, 847; cannot be holden to bail, 468; when otherwise, 648; discharge from and remedy for arrest on mesne process, 847, 848; mode of suing out writ of privilege, &c., 469; appearance, how entered, 848, 121; declaration, 848; plea &c., 848; other proceedings, 848.

Attornment of tenants after ejectment, 768.

Auction, selling goods under fi. fa. by, 421, 422; expenses of, when not allowed, 422.

Auditâ querelâ, writ of, 302; now nearly obsolete, 303; after sci. fa. 834

Autre action pendent, plea of, 992, n. (y); Staying proceedings in case of, 992

Available judgment, error quashed after undertaking to give, 353.

Avowry in replevin, 801.

Award, 1230. See "*Arbitration*."

Award of venire facias, 200, 201; of mittimus into Lancashire, 200: of elegit, proof by, 218; of writ of inquiry, 712; amendment of, 712, 1126, of inquiry, &c., in replevin, 805.

B.

Bachelor of arts, &c., articles of clerkship of, 20.

Bail, holding defendant to. *See* "Arrest on Mesne Process."

Bail-bond. *As to the proceedings till the arrest, inclusive, see* "Arrest, &c."

Defendant to remain in custody until bail-bond given, &c., 536.

How bond taken, 536.

In what cases, 536; may be taken without arrest, 536.

When to be executed, 537.

For what sum, 537.

Form of, 537; must be to the sheriff, 537; no stamp, 537; the condition 537; number of sureties, 538; for what amount, 539; by what name, 539; if void, and bail not put in, 539.

Deposit with the sheriff in lieu of, 539.

Security to the plaintiff for defendant's putting in bail, 541.

Discharge of, without bail-bond, &c., 541, 543, 544; discharge by plaintiff, on payment of debt and costs, 543; detainer for fees, 543; no remedy for consequence of improper discharge, 541.

Lodging the defendant in prison, &c., 546.

Bail-bond, proceedings upon.

Assignment of, 559; in what cases, 559; when and how, 559; by whom, 560; effect of it, 560.

Action on, by the plaintiff, 560; when brought, 560; not pending body rule, 561; notice to bail, where necessary, 561; action should be joint, 562; in what court, 562; venue, 562; bail in, 562; judgment on, 470; other proceedings, 562.

Action on by Sheriff, 563.

Setting aside or staying proceedings on, 564.

Bail, putting in and justifying in Town.

Bail, what, 573.

In what cases requisite, 572, 573; waiver of, 575.

Number of, 574.

Who may be, 575, 599—601.

By whom put in, 575; by uncertificated attorney, 35, 575.

When put in, 576; when time granted to inquire after, 577; where a stay of proceedings, 577; extension of time for, 577.

How put in, 577, 578; before whom, 578; form and requisites of bail-piece, 579; amendment of, 579; form of, after judgment, 579; consequence of defect, 579, 597, 598.

Notice of putting in, 579; must be given, 580; when to be, 580; form of, 580, 581; by whom to be given, 582; to whom and how, 582; consequence of defects in, 582; amendment of, 583.

Affidavit of justification accompanying notice of putting in, 583; rule of courts as to, 583; form of affidavit, 583; original or copy of affidavit must accompany notice, 584; form prescribed must be pursued, 584; when it need not, 585; consequences of defective affidavit, 585; amendment of, 585.

Accepting of, or excepting to, 585; consequences of, 586, 583; exception when necessary, and time for making it, 583, 586, 591; assignment of bail-bond no waiver of exception, 587; exception waived by laches, 587; filing bail-piece where bail not excepted to, 587; entering of and notice of exception, 587, 588; notice of, when and how given, 588; justification at chambers, 589.

Adding or changing, 589; when allowed, 589; notice of justification of added bail, 592; added bail need not be excepted to, 590; original bail-piece, when a nullity, 590; exoneratur of former bail, 590.

Bail, &c. (continued.)

- Notice of justification*, 590; when to be given, 591; time of service of, 592; how made, 593; form of, 593; by what attorney given, 593; defects in exception, when waived by, 593.
- Justification*, 593; in what cases necessary, 594; when not put in, in due time, 588, 594; where and when, 594; how justified at chambers, 594; before a commissioner, 595; in court, 595; in bail court, 595; amount to which bail must justify, 596; how to act if bail guilty of perjury, &c., 607; consequences of not justifying, 596.
- Opposing the justification of*, 597; usual grounds of, 597; defects in notice of, 598; irregular service of notice, 598; irregular affidavits of justification, 598; no bail-bond taken, &c., 599; that bail are not housekeepers or freeholders, 599; that the bail are not worth double sum indorsed on writ, &c., 599; that they are foreigners, 600; that they are privileged persons, 600; that they have been bail before, &c., 600; that they have been previously rejected as bail, 600; that they are hired, 601; that they do not know defendant, 601; that bailiffs, &c., 601; that they have been guilty of a crime, 601; that they have been outlawed, 601.
- Costs of justification or opposition*, 601; where affidavit of justification served with notice of bail, 601, 602; when bail justify after such affidavit, 602; when bail rejected after such, 602; in Q. B., where several notices of justification given, and bail appear to justify, 603; the like where they do not appear, 603; in C. P. & Exch. of former opposition, 603.
- Further time for justifying*, 603; when granted, 603; order for conclusive 604; where one bail only attended, 604; practical directions, &c., as to the order, 604; affidavit for, 604; proceedings where order obtained, 605; bail must justify though not excepted to, 605.
- Time to plaintiff to inquire after bail*, 605; in general, 605; where plaintiff misled or taken by surprise, 605; proceedings after expiration of the time given, 605; waiver of irregularities, 606.
- Rule of allowance*, 606; practical directions as to, 606, 614; when set aside, 606, 607.
- Filing bail-pieces, &c., after bail perfected*, 606; entering recognisance on roll, 607.
- Fraud, &c., in procuring justification, &c.*, 607; perjury of bail, 607; personating bail, 607.
- Bail, putting in, in the Country.**
 - Before whom to be put in, 608; in county where arrested, 608.
 - By whom and when put in, 608, 575, 576.
 - How put in, 608; affidavits of justification, 608; affidavit of caption, 609; before judge of assize, 609.
 - Bail-piece, when and how transmitted, 610.
 - Filing of, 610.
 - Notice of bail, &c., 610; form and service of, 580, 582.
 - Exception to, 610, 586, 588.
 - Notice of justification, &c., 611, 591.
 - Justification, &c., 611; at chambers, 611, 595; in court, 611, 597.
 - Costs of, 611, 601.
 - Rule of allowance, 612.
 - Filing bail-piece, 612, 606.
- Bail, putting in and justifying when defendant is in custody.**
 - In term, 612; in vacation, 613.
 - Proceedings thereon to obtain discharge of defendant, 613.
- Bail, paying money into court in lieu of**
 - Statute 43 Geo. 3, c. 46, s. 2, as to, 613.
 - Statute 7 & 8 Geo. 4, c. 71, s. 1, as to, 614.
 - Where defendant has paid money to sheriff in lieu of bail, 614.
 - Taking out deposit by defendant on perfecting bail, 615.

Under 1 & 2 vict. c. 110
By death, 620.
By bankruptcy, 620; app
By discharge under Inso
By render, or where by
In case of bail; bail
In case of bail above
Time for 6, 22; when
623; lunacy, 624
tion, &c., 623; .
ment for crime, 624.
To what gaol render
custody, 625; w
minal process, 625
Special bail must be
How made in genera
principal, 628; e
county wherein
ant is in custody
rant, 631; where
By variance between decia
By giving time to princip
By other causes, 634; wh
635; striking out mate
Exoneretur, when to be en
Bail to the action, proceedings
Ca. sa. against principal,
entering of, at sheriff's
ing error, 637; ca. sa.
638; amendment of, 6
Filing bail-piece, and entry
Scire facias against, 639; f
of, 639; proceedings
where bail not summon
640; notice to bail in.

bail, in error, (*continued*).

Scire facias against, 643.

Action against, 643.

Execution against, 641.

Other matters relating to, 639, 640.

bail upon an attachment, 1270.

bail upon outlawry, 929.

bail on habeas corpus, &c., on removal of cause from inferior court, 948.

bail-court, 94, 595.

bail-book, 588.

bail-piece, its form and requisites, 579; consequences of defect in, 579, 597, 598; adding bail on, 590; when and how to be filed, 587; when and how transmitted in country bail, 610; when amendable, 1120; bail liable as long as their names remain on, 504; exoneretur on, 504, 635, 629.

See "Bail to the Action, how discharged."

bailiff, of sheriff, on mesne process, 524; on final process, 412; cannot be bail above, 601; attorney cannot be, 48, 601.

bailiff of franchise, when writ to be directed to, 508; return of writ directed to, 508.

banc, sittings in, 94.

bank notes, seizable in execution, 426.

banker's books, proof by, 229, 230.

bankrupt, proceedings under 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 8, to make a party a bankrupt, 921.

bankrupts, or their assignees, actions by or against.

Actions by.

In whose name to be brought, 899.

Process, &c., 900.

Affidavit to hold to bail by, 487.

Declaration, and subsequent proceedings, 901.

What must be pleaded specially, 901, 875.

Notice of disputing bankruptcy, &c., 901.

Payment into court, where commission disputed, 902.

Costs, &c., 902; double costs, 906.

Judgment, &c., 902.

Actions against.

Assignees, when liable, and how sued, 902.

Process, &c., 903.

Bankrupt's privilege from arrest, 470, 527, 528.

How far bankruptcy of defendant will discharge sheriff or bail below, 568.

Declaration against, 903.

Plea, &c., 903; where one of several pleads bankruptcy, 903, 908, 651; general issue by assignees, 903.

Notice of disputing bankruptcy, &c., 903.

Issue, how made up, 903.

Proof of debt, how far a discontinuance of action, &c., 903.

Staying proceedings, 905.

Costs, 906.

Judgment, 906.

Execution, &c., 906; ca. sa. against bankrupt, and privilege from arrest, 450, 470, 528; fi. fa., as to, 432; liability of future estate and effects, 906; discharge on obtaining certificate, 907.

Other matters as to, 907.

Bankruptcy of parties, 1178; how far abates action, 825, 826; when bail discharged thereby, 568; how bankrupt, having obtained certificate, &c., to be discharged, 1183; when creditor cannot sue out fiat of for same debt, 1183.

- Barratry in an attorney, how punished, &c., 62.**
- Battery, see "Assault," "False Imprisonment," "Trespass,"** damages in, 327; new trial for excessive damages in, 1090; on, 1141.
- Belief, when affidavit to hold to bail sufficient on, 487.**
- Beginning at trial, who a right to begin, 268.**
- Berwick-upon-Tweed, direction of writ to, 510; award of venire, &c., 2, 732.**
- Bill, proceedings by, abolished, 2, 847; except in ejectment, 2, 732; and imparlance in ejectment by, 757; amendment of, 1118.**
- Bill of attorney, delivery of, &c., 69 to 89. See "Attorney."**
- Bill of exchange, affidavit of debt on, 490; change of venue in action on, consolidating several actions on, 966; staying proceedings on payment of debt and costs, 983; evidence in action on, 329; reference to compute on, 709; execution in several actions on, 398.**
- Bill in equity, how proved, 219; agreement in cognovit, &c., not to begin, 675; to stay ejectment, &c., for non-payment of rent, &c., 776, 901.**
- Bill of exceptions, sci. fa. against executor of judge to certify, 329; what where it lies, 311; must be in writing, and sealed by the judge, &c., how placed on the record, 311; proceedings after completion of, &c., judgment on, 312; costs on, 312; must be abandoned on moving for new trial, 312.**
- Bishop, proceedings by a sequestrari facias, &c., 916.**
- Blank warrant, not allowed, 15, 524; blank writ not to be sealed, 12.**
- Board of Green Cloth, arrest by leave of, 532.**
- Body, rule to bring in, 553. See "Sheriff, Proceedings against."**
- Bond, see "Debt," "Deed," "Bail-bond;"** arrest in action on, for writ sum, &c., 482; affidavit to hold to bail on, 490; mode of declaring on, where bond within 8 & 9 W. 3, c. 11, 723; staying proceedings on payment of penalty of, &c., 725, 984, 985; particulars of demand in action on, 1029; damages in action on, 321; writ of inquiry, &c., in action on, under 8 & 9 W. 3, c. 11, 723, 711; what bonds within that act, 711; defendant liable only to extent of penalty, 725; when penalty paid, satisfaction on record to be entered, 725; execution on, 728; sci. fa. on judgment on further breaches, 729, 827.
- Books of account, &c., entries in, how proved, 229; of corporations and public companies, proof by, 224; in the herald's office, proof by, 221.**
- Bottomry bond, reference to compute in action on, 711.**
- Boundaries, affidavit to set aside arrest, &c., on, 532, 113; service of writ, how far allowed on, 113.**
- Breaches, suggestion, &c., of, in debt on bond, 724, 725; particulars of, in ejectment, 754.**
- Breaking open doors, 533, 767; in distress for rent, 788; to replevy goods, 791.**
- Brief, the, 260.**
- Bringing back venue after change of, 961.**
- Bristol, direction of writs to, 509.**
- Burial, proof of register of, 223.**
- Business of the court, &c., 94 to 100.**
- By-the-by, declaring by, abolished, 136.**

C.

- Calendar keeper, 10; almanac, proof by, 224.**
- Cambridge, direction of writ into Isle of Ely, 510.**
- Candidate at election not privileged from arrest, 466.**
- Candle-lighter to yeoman of guard privileged from arrest, 464.**
- Canterbury, direction of writ to, 509.**
- Capias, the writ of, and proceedings thereon before arrest.**
Statute permitting it to be issued, 506.

apias, the &c., (continued).

In what cases issued, 506.

Form of, 506; form prescribed must be followed, 506.

Direction of, 508; to sheriff, 508; to coroner, 508; to elizors, 508; in districts surrounded by another county, 508; to bailiff of liberty, 508; non-omittas clause, 509; in counties of cities and boroughs, 509; in counties palatine, 509; in Chester, 509; in Berwick, 510; in Cinque Ports, 510; in Ely, 510; in Southwark, 510; erroneous direction, 510.

Parties' names in, 510; wrong name immaterial where due diligence used to find right one, 511; discharge of defendant arrested by wrong name, 511; signing bail bond by waiver of irregularity, 512; action against sheriff for an arrest in a wrong name, 512; sheriff not bound to execute the writ, 513.

The character in which the parties sue or are sued, 513.

Number of parties, 514; when bail discharged by mistake in, 514.

Addition and place of abode of parties, 514; of defendant, 514, 515; of plaintiff, 516.

Form of action, 516; consequence of mistake in, 516.

Return of, 517; how long writ in force, 517, 518; how returned, 517.

Date and teste, 517, 108; day of signing, 518.

Duration of, 518.

Memorandum and warning to be subscribed, 518, 118.

Indorsements on, 518; the sum specified in order for arrest, 518; name, &c., of attorney, &c., by whom issued, 518; the warning, 519, 507; 7 & 8 Geo. 4, c. 71, inapplicable to, 519; indorsement of abode, &c., of defendant unnecessary, 519; indorsing day of execution of writ, 534.

Alias, pluries, and concurrent writs, 519.

Practical directions as to suing out the writ, &c., 520.

Defects in, how and when taken advantage of, 520; when defective, 507 to 519; what defects immaterial, 521; how taken advantage of, 521; application to whom, and how made, 521; time of making up, 521; effect of terms that defendant shall enter common appearance, 522; defendant may justify under the writ, if not set aside, 522.

Amendment of, 522.

Capias ad respondendum in replevin, 798.

Capias ad satisfaciendum, generally.

What and when it lies, 448; against bail, infants, feme covert, 448; attorney, &c., 449; where it does not lie, 449; discharge of persons wrongfully taken under, 449.

Form of the writ, 450; direction, teste and return of, 403, 404; must pursue judgment, 450.

Alias, pluries, and testatum ca. sa., 450.

When to be sued out, 450.

How sued out and indorsed, 450; rule of Exchequer respecting, 451, 421; against seamen of royal navy or soldiers, 451; obtaining warrant on, &c., 451.

When, where, and how executed, 451; when may be executed, 451, 407; sheriff no right to receive debt and costs, 452.

Escape, 452; where defendant may be retaken, 452; discharge by consent of plaintiff, 453; search for other writs before discharge, 453; remedy for, 453.

Rescue, 453; is no excuse, 453.

When and how returned, 453; what return should be made, 454; return of rescue, bad, 454, 412; false return, 454; outlawry on, 934.

Poundage and expenses, 454, 414, 416.

What writs may issue after it, 454; where defendant dies in execution 454.

How far a discharge of judgment, &c., 455; stat. 1 & 2 V. c. 110, s. 1/ respecting, 455; ca sa. no actual satisfaction, 455; where plaintiff discharges defendant out of custody, 455.

- Capias ad &c.**, (*continued*).
Irregular ca. sa., 456, 417.
Capias ad satisfaciendum to fix bail, 635.
Capias utlagatum, 931.
Capias in withernam, 794; *sci. fa.* after, 828.
Capiatur pro fine, or *misericordia*, 335.
Carrier, action against, change of venue in, 958; plea in, 193; payment of money into court in, 971; contribution in case of verdict against, 323.
Case, jurisdiction of the court in, 1; when defendant may be held to bail in, arrest allowed in, 484; pleas in, 193; payment into court in, 971; staying proceedings in, on paying damages, &c., 985; or bringing into court goods converted, &c., 982; judgment by default in, is interlocutory, 701; writ of inquiry in, 709; damages in, 320; final judgment in, 330, 335.
Cassetur breve, 656, 1060; as to quashing writ of error, 363.
Casual ejector, judgment, &c., against, in ejectment, 745, 780, 784; execution against, 765.
Cattle, seizing, &c., under a distress, 788.
Cause of action, statement of, in affidavit to hold to bail, 466; in writ of *capias*, 516; in writ of summons, 107; in bail-bond, 537.
Cause, entry of, for trial, 258.
Cepi corpus, return of, to *capias*, 551; to *ca. sa.*, 453.
Certificate, of attorney, 34; of bankrupt, effect of, *see* "*Bankruptcy*"; of bishop, proof by, 223; of consul, proof by, 223, 224; of judge, as to special jury, 253; of judge, for immediate execution, 289; for immediate execution in ejectment, 764; by sheriff to stay judgment, &c., on execution of writ of inquiry, 719; of judge, under 43 Eliz. c. 8, to deprive plaintiff of costs, 1141; under 22 & 23 Car. 2, c. 9, to entitle plaintiff to costs, 1142; under 8 & 9 W. 3, c. 11, to entitle plaintiff to costs, 1145; under 4 & 5 Anne, c. 16, where several pleas, 1164; as to double and treble costs, 1160, of filing common bail of outlawry, 936; of payment of debt and costs, &c., on such reversal, 936; of gaoler, &c., on render of defendant by bail, 624.
Certifying the record in error, 367, 381.
Certiorari, when it lies to remove a cause from inferior court, 945, 795; upon null tiel record pleaded, 672; to remove cause to have execution, 945; how sued out, and proceedings on, 947; to verify errors, &c., 371, 383, 391.
Cesset executio, 323; not necessary to revive judgment by *sci. fa.* after, 817.
Cestui que trust, security for costs, &c., in action by, 1016, 996, 755.
Challenges, 305, to the array, 305; to the polls, 306; no challenge allowed on writs of inquiry, 726.
Chambers, attendance of judges at, 99; may give costs at, 1202; justification of bail at, 594.
Chancery. *See* "*Equity*," "*Injunction*."
Change,
 of venue generally, 956. *See* "*Venue*."
 of attorney, 54.
 of bail, 589.
Chaplain of Queen's Bench prison, 10.
Charging prisoner with process, 851; with declaration, 852; in execution, 858.
Charter-party, change of venue in action on, 938; interest on, 322.
Chattels, bound by delivery of writ to sheriff, 341, 342.
Chester, *see* "*Wales*," direction of writs to sheriffs of, 509.
Chief justice, when personally concerned in action, proceedings in, 6; tests of writ in name of, 109; when writ of error abates by his death, 355.
Chirograph of fine, proof by, 218.
Christian names, *See* "*Names*."
Christmas-day, when reckoned in proceedings, 93.
Church, warrant of attorney creating charge on benefice set aside, 690.
Churchwardens, service of declaration in ejectment on, 749; attorney privileged from being, 47; office of, on sequestration, 915.
Cinque Ports, direction of writs to, 510; error from courts there, 352.
Circuits, 98. *See* "*Assizes*."

Cities, direction of writs into, 509.

Claim, continual, 731.

Claim of conusance, 954.

Claims adverse, proceedings on, 999. *See "Interpleader."*

Clergyman, privilege of, from arrest, 528, 915; actions against, 915; *fi. fa. de bonis ecclesiasticis*, 915; *sequestrari facias*, 916; exempt from being juror, 303.

Clerk, meaning of the term, 1116.

Clerk of arraigns, proof of indictment by, 218.

Clerk of an attorney, 19; *see "Attorney;"* acting as principal for attorney, 43; cannot be bail, 468; description of, in affidavit, 485; proof by entries of, 229.

Clerk in court, 10; employed on attachments, 1273; exclusive right of to practise in revenue matters, 1273, n. (r).

Clerk of the crown, 10.

Clerk of the day rules in Q. B. prison, 10.

Clerk of the grand juries, 10.

Clerk of the papers of the Q. B. prison, 10.

Clerk of the papers of the Fleet prison, 10.

Clerk of the rules on the crown side, 10.

Clerk of the warrants in C. P., for registering of deeds in Middlesex, 10.

Clerk of the peace, attorney cannot be, 48; proof of proceedings, &c., by, 218.

Clerk of public company, execution against, 401; service of declaration in ejectment on, 742, 743.

Client. *See "Attorney."*

Coach proprietors. *See "Carriers."*

Cognovit, judgment by.

The cognovit, 674; what, 674; at what stage of proceedings given, 674; by one of several defendants, 675; form of, and how effected by collateral agreement, 675; conditions of, must be written on same paper, 675; agreement to waive writ of error and *sci. fa.*, 675; after plea pleaded, 676; of part of action, 676; stamp on, 676; attestation by attorney, 676.

Filing the cognovit, 677; consequences of not filing, 677; entering satisfaction, 678; in cases of insolvents, 678.

Judgment on, when it may be signed, 678; after death of parties, 679.

Judgment on, how signed, 679.

Execution on, 680; when defendant, bankrupt or insolvent, 432.

Cancelling cognovit, and setting aside execution, &c., 680, 689, 690; where against good faith, 681; excessive levy, 681.

Implied confession of action, 681.

Writ of inquiry on, 681, 707.

Subsequent proceedings, 707.

Cognovit in ejectment, 754.

Collectors' books, proof by entries, &c., in, 230.

Collusion, *see "Fraud."*

Commission day at assizes, when cause must be entered for trial, 259.

Commission for examination of witnesses on interrogatories, 242.

Commissioners for taking affidavits, 10, 496; for examination of witnesses, 10; for taking bail, 10, 495, 496; jurat in affidavit taken before, 495.

Committitur piece, entry of, &c., 859.

Common bail, filing of, in ejectment, 750; on removal of cause from inferior court, 948. *See "Appearance."*

Common informer, *see "Penal Action;"* Corporation cannot sue as, 841.

Common paper, gone through in Bail Court, 95; demurrer set down in, abolished, 665.

Common Pleas, jurisdiction, &c., of, 1, 2; error from the Court of, 3.

Common recovery, how proved, 218.

Communications between attorney and client privileged, 48; attorney bound to communicate with client, 58.

- Company, service of declaration in ejectment on, 743; execution against clerk of, 401.
- Comparison, proof of handwriting by, 228.
- Comperuit ad diem, plea of, 561; need not be signed, 171.
- Compounding penal actions, 1040.
- Compromise, by client to prejudice attorney, 87.
- Compulsory clauses of Lords' Act, proceedings under, 871.
- Computation of time, 93; of damages, *see* "Damages."
- Compute, reference to master, &c., to, 721; *see* "Reference to Master."
- Concilium, rule for, unnecessary, 374, 664.
- Condition precedent, affidavit to hold to bail must state performance of, 496.
- Conditionally, putting in bail, *see*, 578.
- Confession, judgment by, generally, 674; implied confession of action, 681; writ of inquiry on, 681. *See* "Cognovit."
- Confession of action in ejectment, 754.
- Conscience, Court of. *See* "Court of Requests."
- Consent rule in ejectment, 751; production of, at trial, 759.
- Consideration, affidavit to hold to bail on agreement must state a consideration, 488; affidavit to enter up judgment on old warrant of attorney must state consideration, 696.
- Consolidating actions, 966; in general, 966; on policies of insurance, 967; effect of the rule for, 967; rule when opened, 967; at what time applied for, 967; how applied for, 968; application for leave to sign judgment in actions not tried, 968; costs on payment into court, 968, 976.
- Conspiracy, attorney guilty, when may be struck off the roll, &c., 62; between parties to defraud attorney, 87.
- Constable, attorney privileged from being, 47; exempt from being juror, 303.
- Constable, action against.
- Limitation of, 910, 911.
 - Demand of warrant, 911, 912.
 - Declaration, 912.
 - Plea, and other proceedings, 912.
 - Tender of amends, and payment of money into court, 913.
 - Proof of notice, 913.
 - Costs in, 913.
- Constable, High, proceedings by and against, in action against hundreders, 844.
- Consul, not in general entitled to privileges of ambassador, 466; affidavit of debt sworn before, 496; certificate of, not evidence, 224.
- Contempt, 554. *See* "Attachment."
- Contingent damages, assessment of, 711.
- Continual claim, 731.
- Continuance, notice of trial by, 209; notice of inquiry by, 716.
- Continuances, entry of no longer to be made, 200; of writs of execution, 818; plea puis darrein continuance, 299; entry on roll to save Statute of Limitations, 923.
- Continuing security, judgment, &c., on warrant of attorney, given as, 693, 696.
- Contradictory affidavit of debt not allowed, 486, 487; contradicting party's own witness not allowed, 276.
- Contribution to damages in case of several defendants, &c., 323.
- Conuance, claim of, 954, 955.
- Conveyancing, bill for, need not be delivered, 71; may be taxed, when, 77.
- Conviction, how proved, 218; party convicted of crime cannot make affidavit of debt, 496; of attorney of a crime, consequences of, 62; of bail, a ground for opposing, 601; persons attainted cannot be jurors, 303.
- Convocation, members of cannot be holden to bail, 465.
- Coparcener. *See* "Joint-tenant," "Partners."
- Copy, of capias to be served, 506; of summons to be served, 114; of affidavit accompanying notice of bail must purport to be a copy, 522; of docket books for judges, 662, 663; copy of writ not amendable, 1119.
- Copies of instruments, *see* "Inspection;" notice on opposite party to admit

Copies of instruments, &c., (continued.)

documents, 215; when parties may be compelled to give them, 231; inspection of documents, &c., 1023; attorney, when lien satisfied, bound to give up, 89.

Copyhold, not sufficient for bail to justify, 599; proof of surrender, &c., in, 222; relation of judgments as to, 341; extending of, 443.

Coram nobis, or vobis, error in, 389.

Cornwall, error from Stannary courts of, 352; execution on judgment in, 953.

Coroner, direction of writs to, 508; direction of attachment to, 556; award of venire to, where sheriff a party, 200, 250, 1170.

Corporate towns, award of venire in case of, 201, 1171.

Corporations, proceedings by and against.

must sue or defend by attorney, 49, 841; proceedings by, 841; proceedings against, 841; distringas as to, 841, 797, 798; attorney privileged from serving offices of, 47; evidence by books of, 224.

Costs generally. (As to costs in particular actions, or in particular cases, *see the different Titles throughout this Index*).

Statutes and rules as to, 1138.

Not allowed at common law, 1138; now allowed by statute, 1138;

Not allowed to plaintiff in certain cases, 1139.

On Verdict for Plaintiff.

In general, 1139.

Where judge certifies under 43 Eliz. c. 6, s. 2, that debt or damages under 40s., 1139; when the certificate may be granted, 1141.

In assumpsit, 1141.

In debt, 1141.

In trespass, 1142; where judge does not certify under 22 & 23 Car. 2, c. 9, a battery or that title was in question, 1142; where judge certifies under 8 & 9 Will. 3, c. 11, s. 4, that the trespass was wilful and malicious, 1145.

In actions on the case, 1145; slander, 1146; infringement of patent, 1146.

In actions on statutes, 1147; on 2 & 3 Ed. 6, for not setting out tithes, 1147; in other actions, 1148.

On arrest without probable cause, 43 Geo. 3, c. 46, s. 3, 1148; what an arrest within statute, 1152.

When costs taken away by Court of Requests' Acts, 1152.

Where cause made a remanet, &c., 1152.

On Verdict for Defendant.

In general, 1152.

Where several defendants, 1153.

Where several Issues.

Where several pleas are pleaded under 4 & 5 Anne, c. 16, and defendant succeeds on one to entire cause of action, 1155; where defendant succeeds on a plea going to whole cause of action, 1156; where judge certifies under 43 Eliz. c. 6, 1156; the certificate under 4 & 5 Anne, c. 16, 1156.

Where several counts or pleas, and no distinct matter of complaint or defence, R. H., 4 W. 4, r. 5, 1156; R. H., 2 W. 4, depriving plaintiff of costs of issues on which he fails, and giving defendant costs of issues on which he succeeds, 1157.

Where several defendants, 1159, 1153.

Mode of taxation where some issues are found for plaintiff, and some for defendant, 1159.

Double and treble Costs.

How estimated, 1161.

Suggestion for, when necessary, 1161.

Certificate for, when granted, &c., 1161.

Repeal of act giving double &c. costs pending suit, 1162.

Costs, (continued).**Taxation of Costs.**

By whom taxed, 1162.

Notice of taxation, affidavit of increase, &c., 1162; delivery of copy, bill of costs, and affidavit, &c., in Exchequer, 1163.

What costs allowed, &c., 1163; costs of regular and necessary proceedings, 1164; costs of letters, 1164; of writs, 1164; of pleadings, 1164; of rules, 1164; of amendment, 1165, of an attachment, 1165, of the trial, 1165, of attorney, 1165, fees to counsel, what allowed, 1165, of briefs, 1166, of evidence, &c., 1166, of bill of exceptions, 1166; of other proceedings, 1166; where the cause is made a remanet, &c., 1166; where venue laid out of London, &c., for sake of speedy execution, 1167; where several issues, double costs, &c., 1167.

Directions to taxing officers as to taxation, where amount under 20*l.*, 1167, certificate to entitle plaintiff to full costs, 1168.

Reviewing the taxation, 1168.

Remedies for.

Attorney's against client, 69 to 89; setting off costs against costs, 457; when security for compelled to be given, 1012; execution for, 1196; attachment for, 1264.

Costs, security for. See "*Security for Costs.*"

Counsel, attorney forging signature of, 62; attorney getting himself struck off roll to become one, 69; signature of pleas by, 171, of pleadings in error, 370, 374; of replication, &c., 197, of demurrer, 658; of joinder in demurrer, 661; delivery of demurrer book to, 664, order for hearing of, on motions, 96; course as to hearing, &c., at trial, 263; right of speech, 273, in ejectment, where several lessors, 758; course as to reply, &c., on trials, 273; course on arguing demurrer, 664; on writ of error, 374, 384, 388; when privileged from being held to bail, 467; change of venue in action by or against, 959; mistake of, in not opposing bail, 597; new trial for absence, &c., of, 1092; exempt from being jurors, 303; attending by, on executing inquiry, 717; on trial before sheriff, 295.

Countermand of notice of trial, 210; the like in trials at bar, 263; of notice of inquiry, 716.

Country, pleading concluding to, need not be signed, 171; notice of trial on pleadings concluding to, 208.

County court, attorney practising in, without certificate, 42; bill for business in, must be delivered, 70; proof of proceedings in, 222; proclamations at, towards outlawry, 930.

County palatine, for what sum defendant may be held to bail in, 498, n. (w); writ, how directed to, 509; how executed there, 509; rule or order to return writ in, 550; award of mittimus on issue into, 200; in *Nisi Prius* record, 247; no trial at bar in, 296, n. (b); error from court of, 352, 387; execution from and into, 396; removal of causes from inferior court into, 954.

Court, arrest cannot be made in, 532; nor can execution, 410; statement of court, in bail-bond, 538.

Courts, at Westminster, jurisdiction of, 1 to 4; judges and officers of, 5 to 89; routine of business of, 94 to 97.

Court of Admiralty, proof of proceedings in, 221.

Court, baron, rolls of, how proved, 222; inspection of, 222.

Court, ecclesiastical, proceedings in, how proved, 221.

Court, inferior, jurisdiction of, in penal actions, 1; removal of causes from, 944; see "*Removal of causes*;" claim of conuance in, 954; attorney practising in, without certificate, 42; attorney of superior court may practise in, 40; attorney practising in name of another, 42; error from, 383; proceedings in, how proved, 222.

Court of requests, how far attorney subject to jurisdiction of, 347; costs of as-

Court of requests, (*continued*.)

tions, which ought to have been commenced in, 1175, 994; stay of proceedings in such actions without costs, 994; how to take advantage of the act, 1175; suggestion on the roll for, 1175; plea as to, 1175.

Covenant, affidavit to hold to bail in, 483; change of venue in, 958; pleas in, 186; payment into court in, 970; staying proceedings on payment of debt in, 985; particulars of demand in, 1029; damages in, 320; costs in, 1141; judgment by default in, is interlocutory, 709; writ of inquiry in, 711; reference to compute in, 721.

Coventry, direction of writs to, 509.

Coverture, *see* "*Husband and Wife*;" plea of, 896.

Cravingoyer, &c., 1019. *See* "*Oyer*."

Creditors, warrant of attorney to defraud, set aside, 689; proceedings by, against prisoners, under Lords' Act, abolished, 871. *See* "*Prisoner*."

Crier of Common Pleas, 11; crier and usher of Court of Q. B., 11; crier at Nisi Prius, in London and Middlesex, 11.

Crime, attorney guilty of, when court will interfere against him, 60; person convicted of, cannot make affidavit to hold to bail, 496; cannot be bail, 601; cannot be jurors, 303; charging prisoner with process in civil action, 851; charging him with declaration, 852; charging him in execution, 857; habeas corpus for those purposes, 858; habeas corpus to render principal when in custody on a criminal account, present practice as to, 625.

Criminal conversation, change of venue in action for, 958; damages in, 326; new trial for excessive damages in, 1090.

Crops, seizure of, in execution, 428.

Cross-examination of witness at trial, 277; on interrogatories, 244.

Crown, clerk of the, 10.

Crown side of court, rules granted on, 1184.

Curia advisari vult, continuance, &c. of, 661; entry of abolished, 200.

Cursitors, 13; issuing writ of error, 357, 381, 386, 389.

Custody, *see* "*Arrest*," "*Prisoner*."

Customary of a manor, how proved, 223.

Customs and excise, officers of, exempt from being jurors, 303, 304.

Actions against officers of, 910; limitation of, 910; notice of, &c., 911; declaration, 912; plea, &c., 912; tender of amends, 913; payment into court, 913; proof of notice, 913; damages, 326, 913; costs, 913.

D.**Damages.**

In what actions, 320; in assumpsit, covenant, case, trover, and trespass, 320; in debt, 320; in detinue, 321; in replevin, 322; in ejectment, 322; where set-off is pleaded in undefended cause, 322; where amount of damages doubtful, 322.

Interest, when given as, 322.

Where several defendants, 323; contribution, in case of, 323.

Where several counts, issues, &c., 324.

When limited, 325; must not be given for cause of action, subsequent to suit, 326; how limited in action for revenue seizures, 326; in actions against justices, 326; double and treble damages, 327.

When increased, &c., 327.

When reduced, 327. *See* "*New trial*."

Where miscalculation of will not avoid judgment, 335.

Consequences of omission of jury to assess, 711.

Remission of, 1085, 325.

Proof of, on executing writ of inquiry, 717.

Staying proceedings, when under 40s., 994.

What damages carry costs, 1139, &c.

- Date**, mistake in, in attorney's bill, when not material, 74; in particulars of demand, 1034; of writ of *assumpsit*, 108; of writ of *capias*, 517, of *scire fac.*, 430 of writ of inquiry, 707.
- Day**, see "Time."
- Day in court given to defendant, 700.
- Day rules**, 462.
- De bene esse declaring**, abolished, 134.
- De carceribus capiendo**, prisoner on, not within 48 Geo. 3, c. 123, s. 1, 848.
- De mactatione damna**, 323, 1183.
- De novo**, entering demand for argument *de novo*, 665; venire *de novo*, 1107, 234.
- De proprietate probanda**, writ of, 795.
- Death of parties.**
What actions survive in, or against executors, or administrators, 1176, for injuries to persons, or personal property, 1178; for injuries to real property, 1179.
Before verdict, or judgment by default, 1180; of one of several, 1181.
After verdict, and before final judgment, 1181; of sole plaintiff or defendant, 1181; of one of several, 1181, new trial after, 1181.
Between interlocutory and final judgment, 1181; of sole plaintiff or defendant, 1181, of one of several, 1182.
After final judgment, 1182; of sole plaintiff or defendant, 1182; of one of several, 1182.
After execution, 1182.
After a writ of error, 1182.
- Of defendant**, how far discharges bail, 1162.
- How far a revocation of attorney's authority, 56; of warrant of attorney, 687; of cognovit, 679; of arbitrator's authority, 1226; effect of, in attorney's undertaking, 59; effect of, on his liability, 59; effect of death in ejectment, 762, 769; in replevin, 811.
- Death**, proof of death by register, 223.
- Death of the chief justice**, when writ of error abates by, 353; tests of writ of *capias* in case of, 517; tests of writ of *summons*, 109.
- Death of attorney in the cause**, 56.
- Death of attorney**, effect of, on clerkship, 23.
- Debt**, jurisdiction of the courts in action of, 1; indorsement of, on *capias*, 518; on *distringas*, 130; pleas in, 186; when plea in a nullity, 166; change of venue in, 958; payment into court in, 970; staying proceedings on payment of, &c., 983; damages in, 320; judgment in, final, 701; writ of inquiry in, when necessary, 710; costs in, 1141; execution in, 400.
- Debt on bond**, writ of inquiry, &c., on, 723; suggestion of breaches in, 725; *scire facias*, upon judgment in, 729.
- Debt, for escape in execution**, 452, 453.
- Deceit**. See "Fraud."
- Decem tales**, 263.
- Declaration**, generally. (As to the declaration in particular actions and cases see the different Titles throughout this Index).
When to declare.
 Before appearance, 135; *de bene esse*, 134.
 After appearance, 136.
 By the bye, abolished, 136.
 In what time plaintiff bound to declare, 137; against prisoner, 137.
 Time to declare, where several defendants, 138.
 Rule for time to declare, 138.
 Consequences of declaring too soon, or too late, 139; nonpro, 139.
How to declare, &c.
 In name of one not an attorney, 140.
 In what cases delivered, 140; how delivered, 140.
 In what cases filed, 141; notice of filing, 141; sticking up of in

Declaration, (continued).

office, 141; deemed filed, from service of notice only, 142; defendant must take out, and pay for declaration filed, 142; taking out of office a waiver of irregularities, 143.

Particulars of demand, 143.

Form of, 143; should correspond with writ, 144; in the parties, 144; names of parties, 144; in the character in which they sue, or are sued, 144; in the form of action, 145.

Title of declaration, 145.

Venue in, 146; how to be stated, 146.

Commencement of, 146.

Statement of cause of action in, 146; rule of T. T., 1 W. 4, discouraging prolixity in, 146; rule of H. T., 4 W. 4, prohibiting several counts for same cause of action, 147; several counts, when allowed or not, 148; rule as to pleas and avowries, 183; striking out counts inserted in violation of rule, 150; costs where distinct subject-matter of complaint not proved, 150; statement of interest in action of policy, 151; statement of abutments in trespass quare clausum fregit, 151; statement of prescriptive right under 2 & 3 W. 4, c. 71, s. 5, 151; entry of pledges to be omitted, 151; quo minus, 151.

Amendment of, 1120; see "*Amendment*;" what defects in, aided by verdict, &c., 1113.

Decree in equity, how proved, 220.

Dedimus potestatem, 49; attorney's bill for suing out must be delivered, 70, n. (d).

Deed, see "*Debt*," "*Bond*;" affidavit to hold to bail on, 489, 490; damages in action on, 320; how proved, 224; deed enrolled, how proved, 219; not seizable in execution, 426; deeds, writings, &c., in hands of an attorney, how obtained, 64; his lien on them for costs, 86.

Default, judgment by, 700. See "*Judgment by default*."

Defeazance on warrant of attorney, 682, &c. See "*Warrant of Attorney*."

Defect. See "*Irregularity*," and the different titles.

Defence, see "*Plea*," "*Attorney*;" who to begin at trial and reply, 268.

Degree, statement of, in affidavit, 485; in writ, 516.

Delay, see "*Laches*;" when term's notice necessary after, see "*Term's notice*;" obtaining special jury for, formerly the practice, 283, n. (z); writ of error brought for, when no supersedeas, 360.

Delivery of attorney's bill, 69.

Delivery of declaration, 140.

Demand, on attorney as to writ being issued by him, 51, 109; as to residence, &c., of client, 51, 109; of declaration before nonpros, 139; of a plea, 158; of replication not necessary, 195; of rejoinder, &c., when necessary, 198; of number of roll, &c., on nul tiel record pleaded, 669; when necessary before signing judgment on warrant of attorney, 692; when necessary before execution on, 698; of possession in ejectment on 1 Geo. 4, c. 87, 777; of rent to work a forfeiture, 773; of possession before ejectment, 777; of oyer, 1019, see "*Oyer*;" of inspection of instrument, 1023; of perusal and copy of a warrant, 911.

Demand, particulars of, 1028. See "*Particulars of demand*."

Demise in ejectment, 734; mistake in, 734; amendment of, &c., 736.

Demurrer, proceedings upon.

What and how framed, &c., 658; when general and when special, 658.

Marginal note, stating grounds of, 658.

Setting aside as frivolous, &c., 659; what demurrers frivolous or not, 659.

Joinder in, 660; where plaintiff demurs, 660; where defendant, 660; form of, 661; no signature necessary, 661.

Notice of inquiry on, 661.

Demurrer book, 661; issue how made up, where issues in fact and in law, 661; better to have demurrer argued first, 662; no entry on record before judgment, 662; copies of demurrer book to be delivered to

Demurrer, (continued).

- judges, 662; points for argument to be stated in margin, 663; brief for counsel, 664.
- Argument on, 664; time and manner of, 665; common paper done away, 665.
- Amendment on, &c., 665.
- Judgment on, 665; how signed, 666; entry of on roll, where issues in law only, 666; entry of where issues in fact also, 666; where defendant succeeds on one of several pleas, 67.
- Costs on, 667; generally, 667; where issues of fact and law, 667; costs of attendance, 667.
- Execution, 668; same as in other cases, 395 to 456.
- Demurrer in replevin, 805.
- Demurrer books, 661. *See "Demurrer."*
- Demurrer to evidence, 310.
- Deposit with the sheriff, 539; when may be made, 539; motion by defendant to take deposit out of court, 539, the same by plaintiff, 540; entering an appearance after, 541; payment into court in lieu of special bail, 614.
- Depositions in equity, how proved, 219; in ecclesiastical courts, how proved, 221; in Admiralty Court, how proved, 221; on interrogatories, when allowed and how proved, 243; on former trial, &c., how proved, 220.
- Deputy—Deputy Marshal of the Q. B. prison, 11; Deputy Sheriff, 14, 713, 292; when affidavit to hold to bail may be sworn before deputy officer, 496; officer of sheriff cannot appoint, 524.
- Detainer. *See "Prisoner, Actions against;"* when defendant may be detained or arrested on illegal detainer, 534, 535; sheriff to search for, before discharging defendant, 534.
- Detinue, when arrest allowed in, 484; damages in, 321; judgment in, 329; execution in, 400.
- Devastavit, arrest of executor, &c., after, 449, 473; its effect upon proceedings against executors, 831.
- Devises, actions against, 888; privilege of from arrest, 473; admitted to defend in ejectment, 749.
- Dies amoris, 92.
- Dies non juridicus, bail may be put in on, 576; judgment cannot be signed on, 702.
- Dilatory plea, *see "Abatement;"* affidavit to verify, judgment for want of, 160; attorney, when not liable for not pleading it, 62; sham plea, when judgment may be signed on, 167.
- Direction of writ of summons, 103; of capias, 508; of distringas, 129; of writs of execution, 403.
- Discharge of defendant from custody on *meane process*.
 - Discharge of, where he ought not to have been arrested*, 500; costs, 500; grounds for discharging since 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 6, 500; grounds of, before that statute, 500; privilege, 463 to 484; debt reduced below 20l. after arrest, 501; cause of action insufficient, 501, 461 to 484; irregular proceedings, 501; variance between affidavit and process, &c., 501, 495, 516; defective affidavit, 501, 503; counter affidavit, as to cause of action, 502; supplementary affidavit, as to, 502; form of motion, 503; time of making application, 503; costs of, 503, 500; plaintiff not bound to accept appearance entered after discharge, 504; justification of arrest where affidavit informal, 504.
 - Discharge from Custody on Meane Process, under 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 7*, 504; enactment as to, 504; entering exoneretur, &c., where bail in a situation to render defendant, 504; after payment into court in lieu of bail, 505; after arrest or escape warrant, 505; on *habeas corpus*, 505; on *capias utlagatum*, 505; relief of sheriff under s. 7, 505; order for detainer under s. 7, 505.
- Discharge of judgment, how far execution is, 439, 454.
- Discharged rule, time allowed for next step after, 564.

Discontinuance, what, &c., 1057.

Rule to discontinue, 1057; how and when obtained, 1058; costs on, 1058; consequence of not paying them, 1058.

In replevin, 806.

Of writ of error, 355.

Proof of debt, how far a discontinuance of action against bankrupts, &c., 903; formal discontinuance, in such case, not necessary, 904.

When defendant may be held to bail after, 476.

Discovery, see "Injunction;" inspection of documents, &c., allowed to avoid expense of, 1023.

Disorderly house, compounding action for penalty for, 1040.

Dissolution of parliament, no abatement of writ of error, 355; arrest after, 465.

Distress for rent, how made, &c., 788. See "Replevin."

Districts, direction and execution of writs in, 508.

Distringas, writ of to compel appearance after summons.

Statute as to, 124.

The writ of summons, 125.

When allowed to be issued, affidavit for, &c., 126; calls and appointments to serve writ of summons, 126; affidavit to obtain distringas, 127; for outlawry, calls, and appointments, 127; need not be precise, 128; distringas, to compel appearance not allowed, when defendant abroad, 128; to outlawry, not where defendant not abroad, 128.

Order for, how obtained, 128; setting aside for defect in affidavit, 128.

How sued out, &c., 128.

Form of, 128; form *imperatively* required, 129.

Direction of, 129.

Names, &c., in, 129.

Return, and teste of, 130; time for defendant's appearance thereon, 124.

Form of notice to, 130.

Indorsement of debt and costs, 130.

Irregularity in, 130.

Amendment of, 118.

How executed, and appearance on, 131; where it can be, and is executed, 131; where it cannot be executed, 131; how appearance to be made by defendant, 121.

Distringas, how disposed of, 133.

Distringas to proceed to outlawry, 927.

Distringas upon an accedas ad curiam, 795.

Distringas to compel an appearance in replevin, 795.

Distringas to compel sheriff to bring in body, obsolete, 554; on former sheriff on writ of execution, 438.

Distringas juratores, 248, 251; in common jury cases, 252; in special jury cases, 253; in cases of view, 256; alias and pluries, when necessary, 258; when to be resealed, 248, 252; amendment of, 1119.

Dividends of bankrupt's estate, remedy for, 899.

Docketing judgment, 338; when necessary, 338, 697; docketing issue, when not sufficient, 339.

Doctors exempt from being jurors, 303.

Domesday-book, proof of, 223.

Doors, breaking open of, in execution of process, 533; on distress for rent, 788; on execution of final process, 409; by bail to take principal, 628.

Double and treble costs, in general, 1160; how estimated, 1161; in ejectment, 782; in replevin, 808; in action against commissioners of bankrupts, &c., 906; in actions against justices, officers, &c., 914; suggestions, &c., for, 1173.

Double and treble damages, 327.

Double pleas, &c., 172; judge's order and rule for, 178; costs on, 1154.

Double rent, affidavit to hold to bail for, 494.

Dover, direction of writs to, 510.

Drafts. *See* "Copies."

Durham, removal of judgment, 959; sheriff of, amount of fees entitled to, 18.
direction of writs to, 531, 500.

E.

Ease and favour, plea of, is an issuable one, 163.

Easter, when court, &c., do not sit during, 9, 94; days in, not reckoned when, 93; writ returnable in, 517.

Ecclesiastical court, proceedings in, how proved, 221.

Ejectment.

Jurisdiction of court in, 1; proceedings in not affected by 2 W. 4, c. 39, 2.

Ejectment, proceedings in, in ordinary cases.

Nature of the Action, 731; right to enter upon land without it, 731; the only action for the specific recovery of land, 731; limitation of, 731; actual entry or notice, when necessary before action, 732; notice to quit, 733; determination of tenancy at will, 733; equitable jurisdiction in, 733.

The Declaration.

Form of, &c., 733.

The notice to appear, 734.

Amending declaration and notice, 736.

Service of Declaration.

When to be made, 736.

How made, 737.

When several tenants, 738.

On tenant or his wife, in ordinary cases, 738.

On child, servant, &c., with proof that tenant received it before term, 739.

Where tenant resides abroad, or evades service, 740.

In case of lunacy, 742.

In case of bankruptcy, 742.

On parish, 742.

On holders of chapel, 742.

On public company, &c., 742.

Affidavit of Service.

Form of, &c., 743.

Where several tenants in possession, 745.

Supplemental affidavit, 745.

Judgment against casual ejector.

Motion, and rule for, 745; practical directions as to, 745.

Judgment, when and how signed, 746.

Execution on, 747.

Setting aside judgment by default, &c., 767.

The Appearance and Pleadings.

Appearance and plea, by tenant, 749; time for entering, 749; tenant not bound to appear, 749; should give landlord notice of ejectment, 749; appearance, how entered, &c., 750; plea, how delivered, 750; form of plea, and time to plead, 750; consent rule, when and how made up, 751; issue, how made up, 751, 756; nonpro for not drawing up the consent rule, 751; form of rule, 751.

Appearance and plea &c., by landlord &c., 752; where tenants are paupers, 753; security for costs, 753; motion and rule for leave to defend as landlord, 753; effect of death, pending suit, 753.

Cognovit, 754.

Replication, &c., 754.

Discontinuance, 754.

Ejectment, (continued).***Incidental Proceedings.***

Particulars of breaches of covenant, &c., 754; of lessor's residence, &c., 754.

Security for costs, 754.

Staying proceedings, 754; in second action, 755; not for cessor of, tithe, 755; for non-repair, 755; for non-payment of rent, 755; by mortgagee against mortgagor, 755; staying proceedings under 11 G. 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 70, s. 36, 783.

Striking out demises, &c., 756.

Setting aside appearance, &c., 756.

Setting aside judgment by default, 747.

Consolidating proceedings, 756.

The Issue and Nisi Prius Record.

Form of, 757, 758; when and how made up, 758.

Notice of trial.

Form of and when to be given, 758; costs for not trying pursuant to notice, &c., 758.

Proceedings at trial.

The trial &c., 758.

Right of parties to be heard separately, 758.

Evidence, 759.

Plea puis darrein continuance, 759.

Proceedings where defendant does not appear at trial, 759.

The verdict, 759.

The damages, 759.

Certificate for speedy execution, 760.

Costs.

Who entitled to, 760.

How recovered, 761; by plaintiff, 761; how by defendant, 762.

How affected by death of parties, 762.

When ordered to be paid by third parties, 762.

Security, 754.

The judgment, 763.

Practical directions as to, 763.

After certificate for immediate execution, 764.

Error.

Proceedings on, 764; bail in, 764; by whom and when brought, 764; what may be assigned for error, 765; effect of on execution, 765; rule not to commit waste, 765; remedy for mesne profits and damages pending error, 765.

Execution.

Nature of, 765.

At what time may be issued, 765.

Alias, &c., habere facias where possession has not been completely given, 766.

For costs, 766.

Teste and return of, 767.

Leave of court, when necessary, 767.

Habere facias, how sued out, 767.

How executed, 767; where several tenements, 767; where there are crops, 768; indemnity to sheriff, 768.

Sheriff's poundage on, 768.

Attornment in lieu of, 768.

Entry without habere facias, 768.

Execution on judgment of inferior court, 768.

Restitution, 768.***Scire facias.***

After a year and a day, 769.

After death of the parties, 769.

After marriage of, 769.

Ejectment upon a vacant possession.

Entry without action, 770.

What a vacant possession, 770.

Entry, lease and ouster, &c., 771; by whom, 771; how, 771; what a sufficient entry, 771.

Judgment, 771; how signed, 772, 772.

Power of magistrates, where premises deserted, 772.

Ejectment by landlord, for forfeiture by non-payment of rent.*Where a sufficient distress on the premises, 772.*

Demand of the rent, when, where, and how made at common law, 773.

Other proceedings, 773.

Difficulties of proceeding at common law, 774.

Where not a sufficient distress on the premises, 774.

Statute as to, 774.

Search for distress, 774.

Declaration and service of, 774.

Judgment against casual ejector, 775.

Appearance and subsequent proceedings, 775.

Meane profits, 775.

Tender of rent, bill in equity, &c., 775.

Ejectment by landlord, under stat. 1 Geo. 4, c. 87.

To what cases statute applies, 777; landlord not confined to mode of proceeding given by, 777, 777.

Demand of possession, 778.

Declaration and notice, 778, service of, 778.

Bail, 778; motion by whom and how made, 779; affidavit on, 779; the rule, 780; how bail put in, &c., 780.

Judgment against casual ejector, 780.

Appearance and plea, 781.

Issues &c., 781.

Trial, &c., 781.

The damages, 782.

Double costs against landlord, 782.

Staying execution, &c., 782.

Ejectment by landlord, under 11 Geo. 4 & 1 W. 4, ss. 36, 37.

Statute as to, and cases within it, 783.

Declaration and notice, 784.

Service of, 784; objection to service too late at trial, 784.

Judgment against casual ejector, 784.

Appearance and plea, &c., 784.

Other proceedings, 784.

Notice of trial, 785.

Election, see "Member of Parliament."**Elegit.**

What, and what property it affects, 440; first given by stat. Westm. 1, c. 18, 440; effect of extended by 29 Car. 2, c. 3, 440; further extended by 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 11, 441; changes effected by this stat., 442; creditors may now extend all debtors' land instead of a moiety, 442; copyhold lands may be extended, 442; lands over which debtor has a disposing power may be extended, 442; trust estates bound from time of entering judgment, 443; what lands may now, in general, be extended, 443; property which cannot now be, 443.

Form of, 443; direction, teste, and return day, 403, 404; new forms as to, 402; must pursue judgment, and be warranted by it, 400; instances, 400; testatum unnecessary, 444.

When to be sued out, 444; generally, 444; after death of one of several defendants, 444.

How sued out and indorsed, 444.

When, where, and how executed, 444, 407, 421; how far down may be broken open, 409; production of warrant, 410; inquiry and execu-

- git, (*continued.*)
 tion of writ, 445; inquisition may be good in part, and bad in part, 445; term of years, how extended, 445; how actual possession obtained, 446, 447.
 When and how returned, 446.
 Poundage and expenses, 446.
 What writs may issue after it, 446; generally, 446; where the elegit is ineffective, 446; better to issue *fi. fa.* first, 447.
 How the execution creditor shall obtain possession, 447; where he is evicted, 447.
 His interest is a chattel one, 447.
 How defendant shall recover back his land, 447.
 Elizors, direction of writs to, 508; direction of attachment to, 557; award of venire where sheriff a party, 200, 250.
 Elongata, return of, &c., in replevin, 809.
 Enlarged rules, 1190.
 Enlarging time for making award, 1231.
 Enquiry, writ of, 707. *See "Inquiry, Writ of."*
 Enrolment of articles of clerkship, 21; of name of attorney, &c., 32; of deed, &c., after oyer, 1021; of deed, how proved, 224.
 Entries in books, &c., how proved, 224.
 Entry of attorney's name in book at Queen's Bench office, 36; of rule to reply, &c., 195; of pleadings in issue, 204.
 Entry of the cause for trial.
 At bar, 258.
 At the assizes, 259.
 Entry on the roll.
 Of the issue, 204.
 Of demurrer, 662.
 Of proceedings on *nul tiel* record pleaded, 671.
 Of inquisition, &c., after writ of inquiry, 720, 712.
 Of the judgment, 337, 720.
 Of proceedings upon the record on error, 374.
 On error from inferior court, 388, and 388, n. (b).
 On error coram nobis, 391.
 Of execution, 396.
 Of committitur piece, 859.
 Of suggestions, &c., 1170.
 Of satisfaction, 456.
 In debt on bond, 728.
 Of recognisance to fix bail, &c., 635.
 Of process to save Statute of Limitations, 922.
 Entry upon lands, in what cases, and when to be made, 731; to avoid a fine, 732; how made, on vacant possession, 770.
 Equity, solicitor in, may practise in courts, &c., 40; proceedings in courts of, how proved, 219; decreeing feigned issue, &c., 644; decreeing special case, &c., 649; relief by, in ejectment for non-payment of rent, &c., 775, 990; injunction by, &c., *see "Injunction."*
 Equity of redemption, sale of, under *fi. fa.*, 422.
 Erasure in jurat of affidavit, 1214, 495.
 Error, writ of, generally.
 What, 345.
 In what cases it lies, and when granted, 346.
 When to be brought, 346.
 Before judgment signed, 347.
 By and against whom to be brought.
 By party for whom judgment is given, 348.
 By husband and wife, 348.
 By one of several parties, 348.

Error, writ of generally, (continued).

Agreement not to bring it, 149.

Against whom to be brought, 150.

By what attorney, 150.

In what court to be brought.

Cacum vobis for errors in process, 150.

Cacum vobis in C. P., 150.

Error in fact, 151.

When writ of error is award removed, quashed, 150.

If error be in judgment writ, 151.

For error in law from Q. B., 151.

From C. P. and E. Ch., 151.

From inferior courts of record, 152.

From inferior parts, 152.

From statutory courts, 152.

From courts of London, 152.

In what cases unnecessary, 152, 1114.**In what cases quashed and error on, 153, 154.****In what cases it issues.**

By death of plaintiff in error, 153.

Not by death of defendant in error, 154.

By death of just, justice, 154.

By marriage, 154.

By destruction, 155.

By prorogation or dissolution of parliament, 155.

Effect of statement, 155.

Reversing unnecessary, 155.**When unnecessary, 155.****Error from Q. B., C. P., and E. Ch. of Pleas in E. Ch. Chamber.****In what cases it lies, 156.****The writ, 156.**

What agree with record, 156.

Writ two quere, 157.

How writ set, 157.**Allowance as to, and how for a supersession of execution.**

Notice as, 157.

Putting in and perfecting bill, 158.

From what time it operates as a supersession, 158.

Effect as to a supersession, 158.

Execution partly executed must be proceeded with, 158.

Action on judgment pending error, 158.

Where writ of error brought for injury or against good faith, 158.

On judgment as matter, 158.

Second writ if error where a supersession, 158.

Judgment writ, 158.

Writ of**In what cases required, 158.**

After judgment or verdict in any personal action, 158.

Not required where some person plaintiff/defendant and others

On return writ, 159.

What time and mode to set it, 159.

What is setting it out, notifying, 159.

Who and how many may receive bill, 159.

Notice as bill, 159.

Time as notifying, 159.

Accepting and excepting of, 159.

Time to notify after service of rule for better bill, 159.

Setting-out, 159.

When as notifying, 159.

Locating as, 159.

error to Exchequer Chamber, (*continued*).

Certifying the record, 367.

Nouproa, 369.

How judgment of to be signed, 380.

Assignment of errors, alleging diminution, scire facias quare executionem non.

Former practice as to, 369.

Present practice, 369.

Time for assigning errors, 370.

Form of assignment, 370.

What may be assigned, 370.

Plaintiffs must join in, 371.

Certiorari, when necessary, 371.

How sued out, 371.

Plea, &c.

When must be pleaded, 372.

Common joinder in, 372.

Special pleas, 373.

What may be pleaded, 373.

How pleas to conclude, 373.

Demurrer, 373.

How delivered, &c., 374.

Argument.

Setting down case for, 374.

Days for, 374.

Delivering copies of proceedings to judges, 374.

Proceedings need not be recorded before argument, 375.

Mode of proceeding on, 375.

Judgment, form and nature of.

For defendant, 376.

For plaintiff, 376.

Partial reversal, 376.

Judgment, &c., signing of, &c., 377.

Interest, when allowed, 378.

Costs on, 379.

Execution in, 380.

Restitution, 380; rule not to commit waste, 381.

error, to House of Lords, after affirmance or reversal in Exchequer Chamber.

The writ, and how sued out, 381.

Allowance of it, and how far a supersedeas, 381.

Bail on, 382.

Certifying the record, 382.

Assignment of errors, 382.

Certiorari, 383.

Motion for defendant to appear and make defence, 383.

Argument, 384.

Judgment, &c., 384, 376.

Costs on, 384, 379.

Execution in, 385.

Restitution, &c., 385.

error, from inferior courts to the Court of Q. B.

In what cases it lies, 351.

The writ, 385.

How sued out, &c., 386.

Bail on, 386.

Certifying the record, &c., 386; record supposed to be removed, 386.

Assignment of errors, 387; writ of sci. fa. quare executionem non, 387, 369; assigning errors between 10th August and 24th October, 370, 372; obtaining further time to, 370; practical directions as to, 387.

Alleging diminution, 387; other matters as to, 370, 371.

Error, from Inferior Courts to Q. B., (continued).

Plea, &c., 357.

Save *forms of audition errors*, 358.

Jury in, 358.

Entry of proceedings on record, 358.

Argument, 358.

Judgment, 358.

Interest on, 358.

Execution, &c., 358.

Restitution, 358, 359.

Error to House of Lords, after judgment of inferior court affirmed or reversed in Q. B., 358.

Error, *coram vobis* or *coram*.*Proceedings to the assignment of errors.*

In what cases it lies, 358.

The writ, 358.

How writs are, &c., 358.

Stat. 24th stat. 4 Geo. 4, c. 96, s. 1, when not applicable to, 358, 359; writ brought to reverse ordinary, rule of allowance, 359; how put in and, 359, 360 to 367.*Proceedings from assignment of errors to execution, where the errors are matters of law.*

Assignment of errors, 359.

Plea, &c., 359.

Entry of proceedings on record, 359.

Argument, 359, 360.

Judgment, &c., 359, 360, 367.

Interest, 360.

Costs, 360.

Execution and restitution, 360, 361.

Proceedings from assignment of errors to execution, where the errors are matters of fact.

Assignment of errors, 361.

Plea, &c., 361.

Entry of proceedings upon record, 361.

Record of Nisi Prius, 361.

Trial, &c., 361.

Error from a county court, 361.

Error from the courts in Scotland or Ireland, 361.

Error from the Cinque Ports, 361.

Error from the court of Stammeren, in Cornwall, 361.

Error from the courts in London, 361.

Error upon judgment in ejectment, 361.

Error upon judgment in *scire facias*, 361.

Error, reversing ordinary by, 361.

Error, writ of *habeas corpus*, 361.

Error, plea of release of, 361; effect of release of in warrant of attorney, 361.

Errors on *non est* process.

What, 361; sheriff's duty to arrest, &c., 361; re-capture, 361; at what time sheriff liable to action for, 361; no remedy for sheriff in case of voluntary escape, 361; punishment for obstructing re-capture, 361; breaking open doors to retake after, 361; when defendant not ill to be removed, 361; damages in action against sheriff for, 361; under the 631.

Errors on *fiat* process.

What, 361; out of rules of Q. B., 361; making after, 361; making in other rules before discharge, 361; demanding production of papers after, 361; remedy for, 361, 362; plea, 361, 362; change of venue, 361; production of documents, 361; amendment in, 361; under the 631; indictment for, 361.

escape, action against marshal for, 849, 453; compelling him to allow inspection of habeas and committitur, &c., 850; demanding production of prisoner, 850; side-bar rule to bring defendant into court, &c., 850; particulars of demand in, 1030; amendment in, 1120.
 cheat, lord claiming by, admitted to defend in ejectment, 752.
 assign day, how far done away with, &c., 91; statement of defendant's being alive on, in affidavit for judgment on old warrant of attorney, 694; service of declaration in ejectment before it, no longer requisite, 73.
 state, what estate may be sold under a *fi. fa.*, 427; of tenant by elegit, 445; his remedy upon eviction, 447.
 stoppel, from taking advantage of misnomer, 510.
 viction, of tenant by elegit, 447. *See "Elegit."*
 vidence generally.

Obtaining admission of Documents before Trial.

Notice to admit, 213; proceedings on summons to admit, 213; costs of, 213; not compulsory to apply, or to admit, 213; proceedings at trial, after admission, 314; form of the notice to admit, 315.

Records and public Documents.

Public statutes, 216.

Private statutes, 216.

Records of the queen's courts, 217; production of, 217; examined copy, 217; judgment paper, 217; office copy, 217; verdict, 217; *postea*, 217; issuing of writ, 217; judgment of House of Lords, 218; convictions, 218; indictments, 218; fine and proclamation, 218; recovery, 218; deed enrolled, 219.

Letters patent, 219.

Matters quasi of Record.

Proceedings in Parliament, 219.

Proceedings in equity, 219; depositions in, 219; decree in, 220; will deposited in, 220.

Proceedings at law not being records, 220; examinations of witnesses at former trial, 220; rules, 220; judge's order, 220; affidavits, 220.

Proceedings in bankruptcy, 221.

Proceedings in insolvent court, 221; seal of court proves itself, 221.

Proceedings in ecclesiastical courts, 221; probate of will, 221; grant of administration, 221.

Proceedings in Admiralty Court, 221.

Proceedings in inferior courts, not of record, 222; rolls of Court Baron, 222; surrender and presentment, 222; inspection of rolls of manor, 222.

Proceedings in foreign courts, 222; foreign law, 223.

Herald's book, &c., 223.

Terriers, surveys, maps, &c., 223.

Inquisitions and public surveys, 223; domesday-book, 223; customary of a manor, 223.

Registers, certificates, &c., 223; ship's registers, prison-books, pell-books, &c., 223; certificates of bishops, 223.

Corporation books, &c., 224.

The pope's bulls, &c., 224.

Public acts of state, almanack, history, &c., 224.

Written Instruments of a private nature.

Deeds, 224; must in general be produced, 224; case of lost instrument, as to proof of being stamped, 225; effect of party refusing to produce agreement as to the stamp, 225; proof of execution, 225; cases where execution need not be proved by attesting witnesses, 226; proof of handwriting, 228; stamp, 228.

Will of lands, 227.

Writings not under seal, 228; bill of exchange or note, 229.

Evidence generally. (continued).

Awards, 229.

Books of accounts, &c., 229.

Notice to produce papers, &c., 230; form of, 230; time of service, 230; when extraordinary, 231, arrangements of want of, 231; time for producing, in pursuance of, 231. proof after notice to produce, 231 ship's articles, 232. compelling production of books, papers, &c., at trial, 232.

Witnesses compelling attendance of, &c.Process against witnesses, 232. subpoenas, 232; subpoenas done wrong, 233 *habeas corpus ad testificandum*, where witness is in custody, 233.

Privileges of witnesses from arrest, 234, how discharged, 234.

Penalty for not obeying subpoena, 234, attachment for, 234, arrest of debt for under 5 Edw. c. 9, 235. action on the case for, 235.

Witnesses expenses, 236. tender of, and remedy for, 237.

Examination of Witnesses in Interrogatories.

Statutes as to, and cases with them, 237, in India, 2 Geo. 1. c. 63, 237 in India, and the manner, under 1 W. 4, c. 21, s. 1, 238. comprising all evidence of witnesses, 238, costs, 238. mode of a commission under s. 4, 245. examination in England and Wales, and papers not in India or the colonies, under 1 W. 4, c. 21, s. 4, 239. in case of ill health of witness, 239. plaintiff not proceeding merely on objection, 240.

Practical directions as to interrogatories when witness is within the jurisdiction, 240, the mode of, 240. saving expense, 240. examination *vis à vis*, 240. terms imposed, 240. refusal if for delay, 240. production of documents in, 241; rule, 241. notice of appointment, 241; attendance or expenses of witness, 241. interrogatories, 241; mode of conducting examination, 242.

Practical directions as to commission to examine witnesses out of the jurisdiction, 242. application for, 243; naming or describing witnesses, 243; rule for commission on application to the court, 243; order for at chambers, 243; terms of rule or order, 243; interrogatories for, 244; commission, how sent out and conducted, 244.

Costs of interrogatories, 245; costs of commission to India, or the colonies, 246.

Reading examinations in evidence at trial, 245; not to be read without context, unless examination out of jurisdiction, or unable to attend, &c., 245; the whole must be read as part of party's case, 246; paper, cross-examined in, how treated, 246; examination of witnesses going ahead may be used by other party, 246.

Evidence, in an action by attorney for costs, 246.

Evidence, upon executing a writ of inquiry, 247, 248.

Evidence, disclaimer to, 248, 249. See "*Disclaimer in Evidence*."

Examination of attorney before admission, 248.

Examination of bail on opposing them, 249.

Examination of witnesses, 252; the like upon the voir dire, 253; of witnesses on interrogatories, 253, see *supra*; of witness at former trial how proved, 259; privilege from arrest while attending, 259.

Examination on interrogatories on attachment, 2571.

Examined copy, 217.

Examiner, 12.

Escaping to bail in town, 535; the like in country, 536; the like in court, 537; entering and return of escaping, 1269; costs after, 535, 536.

Exceptions, marking of, in margin of document book, 623.

Exception, day of, 52.

excessive damages, 327; new trial in cases of, 1090.

excessive levy, 681, 698.

exchequer, error to and from Court of, 356, &c.

excise officers, 303, 910. *See* "Customs."

excommunicated person cannot be a juror, 303.

execution, generally.

From what court to be sued out, 395.

Execution on removal of causes from inferior courts, 395, 950 to 953.

Execution after error brought, 380, 385, 389.

By what attorney to be sued out, 396.

When to be sued out, 396; within a year and a day, 396; after a year and a day, 396; *and see* 817, 818; after death of party within a year and a day, 397; executing writ after death of party, when sued out in his lifetime, 408; after certificate for immediate execution, 397, 332; after writ of trial or inquiry, 397; in ejectment, 398; after interpleader, 398; leave of court, when necessary, 398; staying execution where amount tendered, &c., 398; where indictment for perjury, 398; agreement not to sue out, injunction, &c.

What writs, succession of, &c., 399; new writ where first proves ineffectual, 399.

Form of execution, &c., 400; for plaintiff, 400; for defendant, 400; in replevin, 809; must pursue the judgment in the amount, 400; as to the parties, 401; execution against the lands of deceased defendant, 444; in actions against a company, &c., in the name of an officer, 401; entering suggestion before execution, against person, not a party to record, 402; must pursue the judgment in the subject-matter, 402; after sci. fa., 402; amendment of, 402.

New form of writs, under 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 20, 402; writs not to be invalidated by variance in matter of form, 403.

Direction of the writ, 403; as to amendment, when a *testatum*, is sued out without a *fi. fa.*, or *ca. sa.*, to warrant it, 403, 1135, 1136; if venue laid in county palatine of Lancaster, or in a cinque port, how to be directed, 403, 404, 508.

Teste of the writ, 404; at what time, 404; in whose name, 404; amendment of, 404.

Return day of the writ, 404; practice as to, 405; when and how returned, 410.

Amendment of writ, 402, 1135, 1136.

From what time it binds defendant's property, 405.

Priority of writs, 406.

When, where, and how executed, 407; when, 408; in case of death after issuing writ, 408; where, 408; entering open house, 408; breaking open door, &c., 409; entering palaces, courts, tower, &c., 410; shewing warrant, 410.

Interpleader in case of adverse claims, 999. *See* "Interpleader."

When and how returned, and effect of return, &c., 410; rule to return, 410; rule to return writ after sheriff out of office, 411; where sheriff cannot be ruled to, 411; setting aside rule, &c., 412; proceedings on rule, 412; return of *testatum* writ, 412; return in case of bailiff of liberty, 412; rescue, a bad return, 412; return, how made and form of, 413; insufficient return, 413; amendment of, 413; how far conclusive, 414.

Sheriff's poundage and expenses of execution, 414; upon *fi. fa.*, 414; poundage, 415; expenses, 415; who to pay, 415; upon a *ca. sa.*, 416; upon an *elegit* or *habere facias*, 416; upon a *levari facias*, 416; how recovered, 416; remedy for extortion, 416.

How far a discharge of judgment, and remedy for amount levied, 417, 439, 454; entry of satisfaction on the roll, 456.

Contribution, 417.

Execution generally. (continued).

Irregular execution, 417; motion to set aside, 417; irregular execution, how far operative, 418.

Fraudulent execution, 418. *Fraudulent warrant of attorney*, 602.

Resurrection, 419.

Execution, by fieri facias, 419. See "*Fieri Facias*."

Execution by elegit, 448. See "*Elegit*."

Execution by levam facias, 448. See "*Levavi Facias*."

Execution, by capias ad satisfaciendum, 448. See "*Capias ad satisfaciendum*."

Execution for the defendant, 454.

Execution, in actions against peers, &c., 438; in actions against corporations, 441; in actions against bondholders, 443; in actions against attorney, 446; in actions against bankrupts, 499; in actions against executors or administrators, 574; in actions against an heir on the bond of his ancestor, 584; in actions against prisoners, 557; in actions by and against husband and wife, 593.

Execution, in ejectment, 765.

Execution, in replevin, 509.

Execution, in scire facias, 537.

Execution, upon a judgment of nonpross, 1036.

Execution upon judgment on a warrant of attorney, 698.

Execution, after writ of error determined, 350, 353, 355; after allowance of writ of error, in what cases irregular, 360.

Execution, removal of cause from inferior court to have execution, 945.

Execution, against land, 642.

Execution, of a deed, &c., how proved, 394.

Executione judicii, writ de, 306.

Executors or administrators, actions by.

What right of action survives to, 1178; may now sue in debt on simple contract, 1178.

Limitation of actions by, 574.

Process, &c., 574.

Declaration, 575.

Plea, 575.

May bring money into court, 575.

Security for costs, 575.

Other proceedings by, 575.

Sci. fa. by an executor to revive judgment, &c., 819.

Costs, 575, 576, 577; liable to court of request acts, 1175.

Executors or administrators, actions against.

What actions survive against, 1178.

In what court they may be sued, 577.

Limitation of actions against, 577.

Process and declaration, 578.

Declaration, how filed and delivered, 578.

Plea and subsequent proceedings, 578; proceedings upon plea admittitur pleaded alone, 578; when may proceed by sci. fa., 579, 527, 530; proceedings on other pleas, 579; confining judgment so as to prefer a creditor, 579.

Warrant of attorney by one, ind, 600.

Verdict against, 530.

Judgment, 530; if interlocutory, 720; on false plea, 530; on plea of judgments outstanding, 530; in action suggesting devastavit, 530; against executor as assignee, 551; as to judgment of assets quando &c., 573.

Costs, 551; for executor defendant, 551; against executors on judgment of assets in future, 551; on pleading false plea, 551.

Execution, devastavit, &c., 530; when execution unconditionally de bonis propriis, 582, 579, 420.

Other proceedings against, &c., 531; on writ of error against, see "*Error*."

Executors or administrators, actions against, (*continued*).

sci. fa. to revive judgment against, 819, 824; sci. fa. upon a judgment of assets quando &c., 827.

Attachment against, for non-performance of award, refused, 1267.

Executor of attorney need not deliver bill, 72, 875; but bill may be taxed, 73; costs of taxation, 81; lien of, &c., 86.

Exemplification, proof by, 218.

Exeter, direction of writs to, 509.

Exigi facias, to outlaw a party, 928.

Exoneretur, entered on the bail-piece, in what cases, &c., 616, 618; mode of obtaining, on render, 621.

Extent, bill for business done under, must be delivered, 71.

Extortion, of officers of court, 8; attorney sending letter to extort, 61; of sheriff, &c., 17, 414, 415.

Extra costs, 1162; when recoverable in action for mesne profits, 787.

F.

False imprisonment, when sheriff &c., liable to action for, on arresting privileged persons, 525, 512; in arresting after an escape, 543; new trial for excessive damages in action for, 1090.

False judgment, writ of, 346.

False plea, 167; by executor, 875; by heir, &c., 888.

False return, on mesne process, when sheriff liable for, 552; on final process, 413; change of venue in action for, 959.

False swearing. See "Perjury."

False verdict, jury when punishable for, 289.

Fast day, when reckoned in proceedings, 91.

Fees, see "Attorney," "Counsel;" of officers of courts, 8; on paying money into court, 614; statute as to sheriff's fees, &c., 17; of sheriff on arrest on capias, 523; on execution, 414; sheriff's remedy for, 414; may detain defendant for, 543; allowance to sheriff on deposit of money in lieu of bail, 614; remedy for extortion, 17, 414, 415. Tables of fees sanctioned by the judges, 1275.

Feigned issue, proceedings upon.

In what cases ordered, 644; in Equity, 644; form of order in Equity, 645; at law, 645.

The issue, 645; practical directions as to, 645; proceedings where issue not made up or erroneous, 645.

Nisi Prius record, &c. 646.

Jury process in, 646.

Trial, 646; at what time, 646; how conducted, 646; nonsuit, 646.

Putting off trial, 646.

Postea, &c., 646.

Costs, 647; costs of, under Interpleader Act, 1002, 1008.

New trial of, 647; in what court moved for, 647; in what cases granted, 647; judges' report to be produced, 648.

Arrest of judgment on, 648.

Subsequent proceedings, 648; on Interpleader Act, 1002, 1011.

Writ of error on, 649.

Felony, proceedings against hundredors in case of, 842; staying proceedings pending indictment for, 995; security for costs in action by convicted felon, 1015.

Feme covert, &c., cannot be holden to bail, when, 471; discharge of, when taken in execution, 472; must appear in person, 49; warrant of attorney by, 689. See "Husband and Wife."

Fiat of judge, rules obtained on, 1195.

Fictitious attestation, proof in case of, 226.

Fictitious bail. See "Bail."

Fictitious plea, 167.

Fieri facias, generally. See "*Execution*."

What, and form of.

Direction, teste, and return of, 419, 403, 404, 405; new forms pursuant to 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 20, 402; requisites as to, 419, 409, 401, 402; alias and pluries *fi. fa.*, 419; *testatum fi. fa.*, 419; how far must wait till return day, if writ in part executed, 399.

When to be sued out, 420, 396.

How sued out and indorsed, 420, 421.

When, where, and how executed, 421; seizure of the goods, 421; the value of the goods, 422; levying poundage and expenses, 422; returning surplus, 422; effect of sale by sheriff, 423; indemnity bond, 423; payment of debt and costs to officer, a payment to sheriff, 423; what sort of property may be taken under, &c., 423, *infra*; whose, 423, *infra*.

Payment of rent and taxes, on seizure under, 423; cases within 8 Ann. c. 14, 424; effect of intervening bankruptcy, 424; what amount the landlord is entitled to, 424; duty and liability of sheriff as to, 424; landlord's remedy against the sheriff, 425, taxes, 425.

What sort of property may be taken under it, and how disposed of, 425; 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 12, 425; what deeds, writings, &c., may be taken, 426; what monies, 427; money owing to debtor, 427; money in debtor's pockets, &c., 427; terms for years, &c., 427; fixtures, 428; crops, 428; in case of husbandry, contracts, &c., 428; general directions as to how property seized to be disposed of, 425.

Where property may be taken under it, 429; inquest as to property, 429; interpleader, 430, see "*Interpleader*," goods of husband and wife, 430, of testator, 430; of partners, 431; goods fraudulently assigned, 431; goods let, or pawned, or distrained, 431, lien lost by seizure, 432; goods seized under former execution, 432; goods of bankrupt, 432, execution of, previous to fiat, 432; after-acquired goods of bankrupt, 434, 906; filing warrant of attorney, &c., 434, 677, 692; goods of insolvents, stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 61, as to, 434; goods of surviving defendants, 435; execution after death of a sole plaintiff or defendant, 408, 1182; goods of ambassadors, 435, 406; goods of clergymen, 435.

From what time it binds defendant's property, and priority of writs, 435, 405, 406.

When and how returned, 435, 405; how sheriff should act in case of adverse claims, 1004; what return sheriff should make, 436; return of *mandavi ballivo*, 412; return of *fi. fa.* against an executor, 383; of *fi. fa.* against a clergyman, 915.

Venditimi exponas and distringas vicecomitem, 436; proceedings on, 437, 438.

Alias, pluries, &c., fi. fa., 438.

What other kinds of execution may issue after fi. fa., 438; proceedings against proprietors of newspapers, 438.

How far a discharge of judgment, 439.

Remedy for the amount levied, 439.

Contribution, 440, 417.

Irregular execution, 440, 418.

Restitution, 440, 418.

Fieri facias, in particular cases. See the different Titles throughout this Index.

Fieri facias, de bonis ecclesiasticis, 915.

Fieri feci, return of, 419.

Figures, statement in, sufficient, 109.

Filing of warrant to prosecute, unnecessary, 50, 51; of affidavits on motions, &c., 1185, 1191; of bail-pieces, 609, of recognizance of bail, 610; of declaration, 141; of pleas, demurrers, &c., abolished, 170, 658, n. (d); of cognovit or warrant of attorney, 677; of writ of execution within a year and day, 396.

- Final judgment**, *see* "*Judgment*;" when considered as signed, 331; after writ of inquiry, 720.
- Fine**, for not taking out regularly attorney's certificate, 34; *capiatur pro fine*, statement of, in judgment, 335.
- Fine of lands**, how proved, 218; entry to avoid, 732.
- Fixtures**, sale, &c., of, under *fi. fa.*, 428; under *elegit*, 440.
- Foreign attachment**, attorney not privileged from, 47; when defendant may be arrested a second time after, 478.
- Foreign country**, laws of, as to arrest, not available here, 478; how proved, 223; affidavit of debt in, 488; notice of trial in case of defendant's being there, 206; attesting witness residing abroad, proof in case of, 226; examination of witness in, on interrogatories, 237, 238; limitation for writ of error by person in, 347; service of declaration in ejectment, where tenant abroad, 740; outlawry of person abroad, 935.
- Foreign courts**, proceedings in, how proved, 222.
- Foreign judgment**, reference to compute, or writ of inquiry on, 709.
- Foreign money**, reference to compute, or writ of inquiry for, 709.
- Foreign proclamation**, writ of, 929.
- Foreigner**, *see* "*Foreign Country*;" arrest of, 496; description of, in affidavit, 496; jurat in affidavit by, 1214; may be rejected as bail, 600; security for costs in action by, 1013.
- Forfeiture in ejectment** for, 722; particulars of, 1030.
- Forgery**, by an attorney, how punished, &c., 62; of counsel's signature, 62; proof of handwriting by person skilled in detecting forgeries, 228; feigned issue to try if warrant of attorney forged, 690; of process, attachment for, 1262, 1263.
- Forthwith**, meaning of, in being allowed to plead, 181; judge's order should be served, 1203.
- Franchise**, or liberty, writ how directed and executed within, 531.
- Fraud**.
 In procuring admission as attorney, 33.
 Of attorney, when court will punish him for, 60.
 Married woman using, may be holden to bail, 472.
 When defendant may be arrested a second time after, 477.
 In procuring justification of bail, &c., 601.
 Plea of, 185.
 Setting aside release by co-plaintiff, 299, n. (r).
 In sale of goods they may be seized in execution, 421.
 In action for false return, sheriff cannot impeach judgment on ground of, 423.
 Setting aside warrant of attorney for, 689.
 Defendant not allowed to prove it on execution of inquiry, 718.
 Payment into court, when estops defendant setting up, 979.
 New trial on verdict obtained by, 1092, 1104.
- Frauds, statute of**. *See* "*Statute of Frauds*."
- Freehold and Freeholder**, bail must be freeholder or housekeeper, 599; proof of will, 227; fixtures cannot be taken in execution, 428; county court, &c., cannot proceed in question of, 794.
- Freight**, affidavit of debt for, 489.

G.

- Gaming**, arrest in action by loser at, allowed, 133; keeper of gaming-house may be bail, 601; warrant of attorney to secure gambling debt set aside, 689; staying proceedings pending indictment for a cheat, 995.
- Gaol and gaoler**. *See* "*Prison of Queen's Bench*," "*Prison*," "*Prisoner*," "*Turnkey*."
- Gazette**, proof of, 224.

- General issue, operation and effect of, 185. Recent decisions as to what may be proved under, 188.
 General verdict, 215, the like, subject to a special case, 319.
 Gloucester, direction of writs to 340, statute of Gloucester, 1122.
 Good faith, staying proceedings where action against, 926; action on bail-bond, &c. against, set aside, 366. writ of error against, when quashed, or its supersedeas, 334, 347; execution against, 396; setting aside execution against, court will not restrain action, 417.
 Good Friday, when reckoned in proceedings, 92, 133.
 Good jury, order for, on writ of inquiry, 714.
 Goods sold, affidavit of debt for, 451, evidence on writ of inquiry for, 709.
 Grand jury, clerk of the, 10.
 Grains, reaping, &c., mowing &c. of, 164.
 Growing crops, sale &c. of, under l. fa., 428; distress on, 782.
 Guarantee of attorney, of costs, when must be in writing, 40; arrest on, 61, payment into court in action on, admits guarantee, 979.
 Guardian, appointment &c. of, for infant plaintiff or defendant, 389; liability for costs, &c., 391; cannot be a witness, 391.

H.

- Habeas corpora, on attachment, 534.
 Habeas corpus cum causa to remove prisoners to custody of marshal or warden.
 When used, 941.
 To render defendant, 941.
 Form of, 941.
 How sued out, &c., 941.
 How obeyed, &c., 941.
 Habeas corpus ad respondendum, to remove prisoner into custody of marshal, 942.
 Habeas corpus cum causa, to recover causes from inferior courts.
 Where it lies, 944, 945.
 Bail on, 946.
 Form, &c., of, 946.
 How sued out, 947.
 In what time to be sued out and delivered, 947.
 How obeyed and returned, 947.
 Habeas corpus ad satisfaciendum.
 To charge defendant in execution, 942.
 To charge plaintiff in execution, 942.
 Form of, and how sued out, 942.
 Habeas corpus ad testificandum, 233.
 Handwriting, how proved, 228.
 Habere facias possessionem, 766.
 Headborough. See "Constable."
 Heir, actions against.
 Liability of, 884.
 Process, 884.
 Declaration, 884.
 Plea, 885; what may be pleaded by an heir, 885; consequence of false plea, 885.
 Parol demurrer abolished, 885.
 Replication, 886.
 Issue &c., 886.
 Judgment against, in general, 886; when heir has aliened before action, 886; judgment of assets, quando, 827.
 Execution against, 887.
 Sci. fa. against on judgment against ancestor, &c., 887.
 Heir.
 Fixtures going to, cannot be sold under l. fa., 430.

Heir, (continued).

Writ of error by, 500.

Admitted to defend in ejectment, 785.

Not within 1 Geo. 4, c. 87, 110.

Herald's office, books, &c. in, how proved, 223.

Hire, affidavit of debt for, 492; sale under fi. fa. of goods let, 431.

History, proof of, 224.

Holidays observed by the court, 8; observed by its officers, 8; of master in taxing costs, 12, 333.

Homage, proof by foreman of, 222.

Houses of parliament, *see* "*Members of Parliament*," "*Peers, &c.*;" journals of, how proved, 219; their judgments, how proved, 218.

Housekeeper, bail must be, 599; juror must be, or occupier, &c., 304.

Hundredors, actions against under statute 7 & 8 Geo. 4, c. 71.

Liability of, 842.

Proceedings before action brought, 843.

Limitation of action, 843.

Process to compel appearance, 844.

Service of, 844.

Appearance, 844.

Declaration, 844.

Plea, &c., 844.

Amendment of proceedings, 844.

Evidence, 845.

Damages against, 845.

Costs in, 845.

Execution against, 845.

Husband and wife.*Actions by.*

When to sue jointly or not, 895.

Suing in husband's name, 896.

Judgment in, 896.

Execution in, 896.

Sci. fa. upon death of feme covert, plaintiff, &c., 824, 1183.

Warrant of attorney given to feme sole, who marries before judgment, 691.

Actions against.

Where to be sued jointly or not, 896.

Process, &c., 897.

When wife may be arrested, 471.

Service of process, 115.

Appearance, &c., 897.

Other proceedings, 897.

Execution against, 897, 898, 430.

Other proceedings, 898.

Writ of error by feme covert, 348, 350.

Abatement of writ of error, by marriage of feme sole, 355.

Sci. fa. on marriage of feme sole defendant, 824, 1183.

Warrant of attorney by feme, who marries before judgment, 691.

Hustings, proclamation at, to outlaw a party, 929.

I.

Idem sonans, sufficient if name be, 511.

Idiots and lunatics.

Arrest of, 909.

Proceedings, &c., by, 909.

If under age, who to sue by, 909, 889.

Offets and litanies, (embowed).

Right to sue in litanies a name, 702.

Limitation of writ of error by, 346, 347.

Service of ejectment on, 742.

Illegal contract, warrant of attorney in error, set aside, 633; payment into court in action on, &c., 373.

Illegitimate person, (shanty by, 1213, 1214.

Illness, excuse for attorney not taking out certificate, 38; excuse for not taking defendant to goal, 544, return of, to capias, 555; on ca. sa., 454, time to justify bail in case of, 577. of witness, interrogatories, &c., 239; of jurymen, 268. of attorney, putting off trial for, 1062.

Immaterial issue, consequences of, 1123; replender on, 1123.

Immediate execution, 131

Immorality, warrant of attorney set aside for, 639.

Importance, abolished in most instances, 155, in entry of pleadings, in issue, 206, in ejectment, 737, in scire facias, 835; in replevin, 803.

Impartial trial, award of venue in local action, in case of, 1171; change of venue in case of, 959.

Implied confession of action, 681, 700.

Impounding goods under fi. fa., 421; under distress, 783.

Improvement. See "Soldiers," "Sailors."

Imprisonment. See "False Imprisonment."

Incipitur, entry of issue, &c., on roll, before passing Nisi Prius record, 200; making incipitur, &c., after judgment on nul tiel record, &c., 671, incipitur, &c., on judgment on cognovit, 680; on judgment on warrant of attorney, 697; on judgment by default, 702.

Incompetency of witness, examination of, as to, 273.

Inconsistent pleas, what not allowed, 114.

Increasing damages, 327; costs, 1160.

Indemnity, bail indemnified by attorney, or sheriff's officer, &c., may be rejected, 601; to sheriff on execution, good, 423; signing judgment, &c., on warrant of attorney to indemnify, 692; reference to compute, &c., in action on bond, &c., of, 711, 723; tender &c. of, on bringing ejectment without consent of lessor, 756.

Indemnity acts, as to stamping articles of clerkship, 21; as to not swearing to execution of, 21; as to not enrolling them, 22; as to not taking out attorney's certificate, 36.

In nullo est erratum, common joinder in error of, 372.

India, see "Foreign Country;" proof of deeds executed in, 327; interrogatories to witnesses in, 237.

Indictment, see "Venue;" staying proceedings in actions pending, 995; for a rescue, 453; for escape, 459; proof of indictment, 218.

Indorsements, on writ of summons, 109; on writ of distringas, 130; on writ of capias, 518; on fi. fa., 420; on ca. sa., 450; on assignment of bail-bond, 660; on declaration, 140.

Infants.**Actions by.**

Process, 889.

Prochein amy, how appointed or removed, &c., 889; if infant sue by guardian, 889; change of, 890.

Declaration, and subsequent proceedings, 890.

Parol cannot demur, 891.

Evidence of guardian, &c., 891.

Security for costs, 891.

Costs, 891; liability of prochein amy, or guardian, to, 891.

Actions against.

Process, &c., 892.

Outlawry of, 892.

Declaration, 892.

Infants, actions against, (*continued*).

Appearance, 892; by guardian, 892; setting aside appearance by attorney, &c., 892; how entered by plaintiff, 893.

Plea and subsequent proceedings, 893; parol demurrer abolished, 893; pleading double, rule as to, 893, 178; copy rule for admission of guardian to be annexed before delivery of, 893; in Common Pleas, must be copy of the admission, 893.

Payment of money into court by, 893.

Replication &c., where defendant an infant, 893.

Nolle prosequi, 893.

Other proceedings, 894.

Warrant of attorney and cognovit by, 894; setting it aside, when, 894, 681, 690.

Costs, 894; guardians' liability for, 891.

Execution against, 894.

Error, 894.

Other matters as to.

Cannot be a sheriff's bailiff, 524.

Limitation for error by, 347.

Error by, where he appeared by attorney, 350, 889; assignment of, for error, 389, 390, 889.

Warrant of attorney, &c., by, 690, 894.

Inferior courts. See "*Courts Inferior*."

Inferior tradesmen, costs in action of trespass against, 1145.

Informality. See "*Irregularity*," "*Waiver*."

Informal plea, 165.

Initials of defendant, in affidavit of debt, 524; in writ of capias, 510; in writ of summons, 103; in declaring, 144.

Injunction.

No ground for sheriff's not obeying body rule, 555.

When ground for setting aside proceedings against sheriff, 567.

New rule to plead in case of, 155.

Supersedes necessity for a term's notice of proceeding, 210.

Trying cause out of order to avoid, 265.

Execution after, 396.

Expenses of keeping goods in execution after, 415.

When bail discharged by, 634.

Replevin bond not forfeited by delay from, 811.

When prevents a supersedeas of prisoner, 855; notice of, in such case, 864.

Inquest, see "*Inquiry, Writ of*;" upon special capias utlagatum, 931; by sheriff, under a fi. fa., 429; the like under an elegit, 445.

Inquiry, writ of, in ordinary cases.

What, and form of, 707; when returnable, 707; judgment and execution on, where returnable in vacation, 708; must include all the defendants, 708.

In what cases necessary, &c., 709; on judgment by default, as to part, 710; in debt generally, 710; in debt on bond, within 8 & 9 W. 3, c. 11, 711; in other bonds, not extending to, 711, 723; where jury omit to assess damages, 711; on judgment non obstante veredicto, &c., 712; want of inquiry, aided by statutes of jeofails, 1116.

Amendment &c. of, 1115, 712; where writ lost, 1116.

Award of, 712; amendment from, on error, 712.

How sued out, &c., 713; motion to have it executed before chief justice, 713; before a judge of assize, 713.

Order for a good jury, 714.

Notice of executing, 714; to whom given, 714; how long, 714; term's notice, when necessary, 715; how given, 715; statement of time of execution must be certain and definite, 716; but sufficient if defendant not misled, 716; defendant must attend punctually, 716; notice

Execution. *See* *Execution.*

Inquiry, writ of, in debt on bond.

In what cases necessary. 721.

Before whom returned. 725.

Proceedings after judgment by
717 to 719 leave to try as
acted on, 726, the evidence
signed and execution in
entry of proceedings on it.

Proceedings after judgment on

Proceedings upon issue joined.

form of venire, 729; the

under non est factum, 729

form of execution, 729; c

Give facias. 729.

Inquiry, writ of, in replevin, 800.

Inquiry, writ of, on special capias

Inquisition, how proved, 725; on 730.

Inchment. *See* "Execution."

Inamity. *See* "Execution," "Laws

Inscription on tomb-stones, how p

Inamity, a good excuse against
not proven on, 1077.

Inamity proceedings, proof of, 1
Inamity orders.

When cannot be taken to be

When bail of discharged, 622

Discharge of under 45 Geo. 3

Discharge of under Lord's

Security for costs in action by

Sci. fa. on judgment against,

Execution against, &c., 630.

Filing of warrant of attorney

Insurance need not be proved

Inspection and review, &c., of ins

specification and copies, &c., (*continued*).

Of books of a public nature, 1026.

specification of record, prayer of, on plea, &c., of nul tiel record, 669.

statements, clause in warrant of attorney to secure dispensing with scire facias, 683; execution on warrant to secure payment of, 699; bond for payment by, within 8 & 9 W. 3, c. 11, 723; staying proceedings on payment of, in action on bond for, 984.

stantner, meaning of, 181, 705.

insurance, affidavit of debt in action for, 489; compelling production or inspection of papers in, 232, 1025; change of venue in, 958; payment into court in, 970, 979; consolidating several actions on, 967; execution in, after consolidation rule, 398, 968.

interest, examination of witnesses as to, 273.

interest, when to be given as damages, 322; upon a judgment in error, 378; when execution for, on warrant of attorney, allowed, 698; reference to master to compute for, 721; payment of into court of, 973.

interlineation, in jurat not allowed, 495.

interlocutory judgment.

What, 701.

On demurrer, 665.

On nul tiel record, 670.

On cognovit, 678.

On judgment by default, 701.

Writ of inquiry after, 709.

Death after, 823, 1181.

interpleader.

Relief for persons, in general.

Adverse claimants must interplead, &c., 999.

Claim of party not appearing, barred, 1000.

Order of judge may be rescinded, &c., 1000.

Judge may refer matter to court, 1000.

In what cases judge or court will interfere, 1001.

Costs on, 1002.

Judgment and decision final, 1000.

Entering proceedings on record, 1003.

Execution, &c., 1003.

Relief of Sheriffs and other officers.

Relief of, before 1 & 2 W. 4, c. 58, 1004.

Relief of, under that act, 1004.

Application may be at chambers, 1005.

In what cases relief granted, 1005.

Sheriff bound to make inquiries as to claim, 1006.

Indemnity, 1007.

Application, where made, 1007.

When to be made, 1007.

Affidavit in support of, 1007.

Who entitled to resist application, 1008.

Proceedings where parties appear, 1008.

Proceedings where some of parties do not appear, 1008.

Costs in general, 1008.

When costs payable by sheriff, 1009.

When payable to him, 1010.

Costs between claimant and creditor, 1009.

Entering proceedings, 1011.

Execution, &c., 1011.

Compelling sheriff to re-enter, &c., 1011.

Interrogatories.

For examination of a witness, when allowed, 237; how obtained where witness within jurisdiction of court, 240; how obtained if witness out of

Interrogatories, (continued).

jurisdiction, 242; costs of, &c., 245; reading, &c., of examinations in evidence, 245.

upon an attachment, 1271.

Ireland, peers of, when privileged from arrest, 465; bankrupt's certificate in, no privilege from, 470; affidavit of debt, on judgment of, 490; warrant of attorney on Irish judgment, 637; affidavit of debt sworn there, 496; property in, not sufficient for bail to justify, 599; notice of trial, where defendant resides there, 206; damages in action on Irish judgment as a bond, 321; error from courts of, 352.

Irregularity, setting aside proceedings for.

In what cases.

Where previous necessary proceeding has been omitted, 1042.

Where proceeding is too soon or too late, 1042.

Where it is informal, or not done in the manner prescribed by practice of court, 1043.

Where not warranted by the other proceedings in the cause, 1043.

Setting aside proceedings against sheriff for, 564.

The like as to proceedings on bail-bond, 564.

The like, as to execution sued out pending error, 359.

Distinction between an irregularity and a nullity, 1044.

How set aside, 1046; affidavit for, 1044; rule nisi for, 1044.

Notice of motion and stay of proceedings, 1045.

In what time the application must be made, and when waived.

Instances of effect of R. H., 2 W. 4, r. 33, as to time of making application, and how irregularity may be waived, 1046.

No waiver unless with knowledge of irregularity, 1048.

No waiver where proceeding a nullity, 1049.

Excuse for not applying in time, 1049.

Confessing irregularity, 1050.

Costs, &c., 1050; mode of enforcing payment of costs, 1264.

Terms of bringing no action, 1051.

Issuable plea, what is, 162; signing judgment for want of, 166; terms of pleading issuable, when imposed, on order for particulars, &c., 1032.

Issue, in general.

Form of, in general, 199.

In Lancaster or Durham, 200.

Entry of pleadings in, 200.

Return day of venire facias in, 200.

Entry of continuances in, abolished, 200.

Suggestions in, of interest of sheriff, and award of venire to coroner, &c., 200.

in local actions, where venire changed for convenience,

VENIRE

where venue changed for an impartial trial, 201.

where venue changed from town corporate to adjoining county, 201.

where venire does not run, and is awarded to neighbouring county, 201.

where venire awarded out of common course, 201.

of death of party, 201.

of breaches in debt on bond, 202, 728.

Suggestion, how entered, 202.

Indorsement of notice of trial on, 202.

When the issue may be made up, 202.

By whom to be made up, 203.

When and how to be delivered, &c., 203.

Defective or irregular issue, 203; amendment of, 1127.

Striking out similiter, and demurring, 204.

issue, in general, (*continued*).

Entry of issue on roll, 204.

issue in debt on bond, within 8 & 9 W. 3, c. 11, 728.

issue in ejectment, 757, 781, 785.

issue in replevin, 805.

issue in *scire facias*, 836.

issue in error *coram nobis*, 393.

issue feigned, 644. See "*Feigned Issue*."

issue money, payment of abolished, 203.

issues from *distringas*, how disposed of, 133; in replevin, how to proceed as to, 797.

joinders, statutes of, 1116, 1122, &c.; error lies not for defect cured by, 846.

joinder in error, 372; in demurrer, 660.

joint tenants, &c., service of declaration in ejectment on, 738; consent rule in ejectment by one against another, 750; staying proceedings in action after judgment against one of several joint wrong-doers, &c., 993.

journal of houses of parliament, how proved, 219.

Judges of the Courts.

 Their privileges of suit, 6.

 Their power to make general rules, 6.

 Their attendance at *Nisi Prius* and at assizes, 98.

 Jurisdiction of, and attendance at chambers, 7, 99; orders of, generally, on summonses, 1198; power to give costs at chambers, 1050, 1051, 1202; order of, how proved, 220.

 Cannot be held to bail, 467.

 Exempt from being jurors, 303.

 Arrangement as to their sittings, &c., 94.

 Summing up of, 280.

 Misdirection, &c., of, a ground for new trial, 1086.

 Amendment of verdict by notes of, 1131.

 Testing of writs in name of, 517, 109.

Judgment, action on, when defendant cannot be held to bail in, 482; affidavit of debt, on Irish judgment, 490; action on, pending error, 360; staying proceedings on payment of real debt on, 984; damages in, 321; writ of inquiry on judgment by default in, 710; costs in action on, 1141.

Judgment on verdict, and in general.

Time and mode of signing and entering it.

 Taxation of costs, 334, 1162; and see "*Costs*;" waiving costs, 334; attending taxation waives irregularity, 334.

When complete, 334.

In what time signed, where no certificate for immediate execution, 330; how prevented by motion for new trial, &c., 331; term's notice of signing it unnecessary, 331.

In what time signed where judge certifies for immediate execution, 331; such judgment may be entered and recorded in vacation, 332; staying such judgment and execution, and new trial, &c., 332; rule for judgment necessary, 332.

When signed, after trial, before sheriff, &c., 333.

How signed, 333.

Form of.

 On verdict for plaintiff, 334.

 On verdict for defendant, 335.

 Miscalculation of damages, 335.

Capiatur pro fine or *misericordia* in, 335.

 Entry of costs in, 336.

 Of what day to be entered of record, 336.

 How entered, 326, 338.

 No entry of continuance in, 336.

 No entry of warrants in, 337.

Judgment on verdict and in general, (continued).

How entered, &c., 337.

Rules as to bringing and docketting, 337.

Entering nunc pro tunc, 338.

No entry prior to Nisi Prius record or judgment roll, 338.

Amendment of, 338, 1132.*Docketting of*, under 4 & 5 W. & M. c. 30.

No judgment, decree, &c., to affect real estate, otherwise than before the act, until registered, 339.

No judgments to be hereafter docketted, under the provisions of 4 & 5 W. & M., 340.

Judgment already docketted must also be registered, 340.

The date when memorandum of judgment is left to be entered is a book, 340.

Judgment void after five years from entry, unless registered afresh, 340.

Judgments though registered, not to affect purchasers or mortgagees without notice, more extensively than judgments would hitherto have done, 340.

Not to revive judgments already extinguished or barred, 340.

When and how to be registered in Middlesex or Yorkshire, 341.

Relation and Effect of.

Generally, 341.

As to freehold property, 341.

As to chattels, 341.

In the case of a charge on public stock, or shares in a company, 341.

Action does not waive lien, 342.

A charge on real property, 342.

Statute of 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 13, as to, 342.

Charge not to be enforced till after expiration of a year, 342.

Proviso as to purchasers, &c., 343.

Should be registered, how, 343, 339.

A charge on public stock and shares in companies, by order of a judge, 343; order of judge to be made in first instance ex parte, and, on notice to the bank or company, to operate as a distraint, 343; order may be discharged or varied, 344; what case within this section 344; application how made, 344.

Interest on, 344; where writ of error brought, 378.

Ca. Sa. a waiver of charges or securities not realized, 344, 455.

Decrees, rules, and orders for money have the effect of judgments, 345. See "*Motions and Rules*."**Judgments, setting off costs, &c.**Setting off judgments of superior courts, 457; costs of successful defendant, 458; the proceedings need not be between the same parties, 458; judgment set off must be in case at the time, 459; attorney's lien on, 459, 48. See "*Attorneys*."

Setting off interlocutory costs and monies, 459.

Setting off costs in other cases, 460; in equity, 460; of award, 460; in lord mayor's court, 460; of indictment, 460.

Judgment on plea in abatement, &c., 656.

Judgment on demurrer, 665; interlocutory or final, how to proceed on, 666; how entered, &c., 666; suggesting breaches in debt on bond after, 666; costs on, 667.

Judgment on noli tuel record, 670. See "*Noli tuel Record*."Judgment on cognovit, 674. See "*Cognovit*."Judgment on warrant of attorney, 692. See "*Warrant of Attorney*."

Judgment by default.

What, and in what cases, 700; by nil dicit, 700; by non sum informatus, 700; for want of a plea, 197, 198; default at trial, 700; default as to part of cause of action, 700; as to some of several defendants, 701; the judgment, when interlocutory or final, 701.

Judgment by default, (*continued*).*When signed*, 701, 165, 197, 198.*How signed*, 702; entry of nunc pro tunc, 702; continuances unnecessary, 702*Costs*, 702. See "*Costs*."*Execution after*, 703.*Setting aside or waiving irregular judgment*, 703.*Setting aside regular judgment on terms*, 705.**Judgment of nonpros**, 1052. See "*Nonpros*."**Judgment as in case of nonsuit**, 1070. See "*Nonsuit, Judgment as in case of*."**Judgment non obstante veredicto**, 1108; costs on, 1109.**Judgment in ejectment, against casual ejector**, 745, 775, 780; setting it aside, 756; after verdict, 763, 782.**Judgment in replevin**, 799, 804.**Judgment in scire facias**, 836. See "*Scire Facias*."**Judgment in actions against prisoners, when to proceed to, &c.**, 855.**Judgment in actions against executors or administrators**, 880; against heir on bond, &c., of ancestor, 886.**Judgment in error**, 376, 384, 388.**Judgment upon a demurrer to evidence**, 310.**Judgment de melioribus damnis**, 323.**Judgment after an award**, 1260.**Judgment, reviving of, by scire facias**, 817, &c.**Judgment, arrest of, motion &c. for**, 1110.**Judgment of court baron, how proved**, 222.**Judgment paper, not evidence**, 217.**Judgment recovered, when plea of, not issuable**, 163; note in margin of plea, &c., 169; when plaintiff may sign judgment on, 169; staying action, after remedy against one of several wrong-doers, &c., 993; plea of, by executor, puis darrein continuance, &c., 880, 300; plea of, by executor, when prevented, 162.**Jurat of affidavit**, 1213; erasure, &c., in, 1214.**Jurata in Nisi Prius record**, 247.**Jurisdiction of the courts.***In what actions*, 1.*By what process commenced*, 2.*As courts of appeal*, 3.*of courts at Westminster extended to Chester and Wales*, 3.**Jurisdiction, proceedings upon plea to the**, 654; affidavit on plea of nonjoinder of defendant, must state him to be in jurisdiction of court, 651.**Jurors.***Who may be.**Who exempt from serving*, 303.*Qualifications*, 304, 305.*How punished for non-attendance*, 305.*Challenges.**What*, 305.*To the array*, 305; causes of principal challenge to the array, 305; challenge to, for favour, 306; in special jury cause, 306.*To the polls*, 306; principal challenges to, 306; propter honoris respectum, 306; for defect in property, person, or description, 306; wrong name, 307; for partiality or bias, 307; for crime, 308; challenge to the polls for favour, 308.*When and how to be made*, 308.*How tried*, 309; to the array, 309; proceedings of array quashed, 309; of challenges to the poll to favour, 309; principal, 309; juror may be examined, 309; result of trial of, 309; misconduct, &c., of, new trial for, 1089, 1091.**Jury de medietate linguæ**, 305, 303.**Jury de ventre inspiciendo**, 303, 307.

Jury in trials at bar, 262.

Jury process.

What it is, 249; for trial at bar, 249; for trial at Nisi Prius, 250.

Fancy jurors, 250; direction of, 250; form of, 250; testis and return of, 250; defects in, 251.

Distringas or Habeas Corpus, 251; testis and return day of, 251; defects in, aided or amendable, 252.

How, and by whom, sued out, 251; revealing where cause stands over, 252.

How returned in common jury cases, 252.

Special jury, how struck, and process for, returned, &c., 253; reason for in replevin, 253; marking cause as a special jury, &c., 254; mode of proceeding to strike special jury, 254; change of sheriff, 255; special jury process, 255; sheriff's expenses of executing, 255; proceedings where special jurors make default, 255; certificate for costs of, 255.

Farm, how obtained and in what cases, 256.

Verdict de novo before verdict, 257.

Justices of peace, actions against, &c.

Limitation of action, 919.

Notice of action, &c., 919.

Declaration, 912.

Venue, 912.

Plea and other proceedings, &c., 912.

Tender of amends and payment into court, 912.

Proof of notice, 912.

Damages in, 912, 926.

Costs, 912.

Justices of peace, other matters as to.

Practising attorney cannot be justice of peace, 48; affidavit to hold to bail cannot be sworn before, 496; proof of conviction, &c., of, 718; empowered to give possession of premises on vacant possession, &c., 772.

Justices, &c., attorney may sue out, &c., though not certificated, 34, 35; act within 2 W. 4, c. 39, 125, a. (c); writ of pone, the mode of removing it to superior court, 795.

Justification of bail. See "Bail."

K.

King. See "Queen."

King's Bench. See "Queen's Bench."

Kingston-upon-Hull, direction of writs to, 509.

L.

Labouring jury, new trial in case of, 1092.

Laches, when attorney liable for, 58, 60; in ruling sheriff to return writ, 548; to bring in body, 553; in moving for attachment against him, 556; exception to bail waived by laches, 587; in suing bail to sheriff, 567; in declaring against prisoner, 552; in proceeding to trial or judgment, &c., against prisoner, 556, 564; in proceeding to execution against, 564 when term's notice necessary after, see "Term's Notice."

Lancaster, &c.; sheriff of, amount of fees entitled to, 18; direction of writ to, 531, 509; rule to return writ, 550; removal of cause from, to have execution, 951.

Landlord and tenant. See "Rent," "Ejectment," "Distress."

Lands, how bound by a judgment, 341; by writ of execution, 405; extending of, 443.

- Land-tax**, attorney cannot be commissioner of, 48; proof by entries in books of, 230.
- Larceny**, attorney convicted of, 62.
- Lease**, sale of, under a *fi. fa.*, 427; the like of goods leased, 431; extending, &c., an *elegit*, 443; in ejectment, 770; of property of outlaw after outlawry, 933.
- Lessee for life**, writ of error by, 348; sale of interest of, under *fi. fa.*, 427.
- Letters of administration**, proof of, 221.
- Letters patent**, how proved, 219; *scire facias* to repeal, 829.
- Lexari facias**, generally, 448; now superseded by *elegit*, 448.
- Lexari facias upon outlawry**, 932, 448.
- Libel**, in Ecclesiastical Court, how proved, 221; in Court of Admiralty, how proved, 221; change of venue in action for, 958; putting off trial in case of, 1062.
- Liberty**, direction and execution of writs in, 508.
- Liberum tenementum**, plea of, need not be signed in Q. B., 171.
- Lichfield**, direction of writs to, 509.
- Lien**, of officers of court for fees, 8; of an attorney, 86; of an agent, 46; plea of, 185; party having seized same goods in execution, waives lien, 432; suing on judgment no waiver of lien on, 342.
- Limitations**, statute of.
- Plea of, is issuable, 163.
 - How far payment into court prevents operation of, 980.
 - In ejectment, 731.
 - In actions against justices of peace, officers of customs and excise, 910.
 - In actions by executors, 874; in actions against executors, 877.
 - On writs of error, 346.
 - Entry of process on roll to save statute of, 922; decisions on the statute as to, 922; on proceedings by writ of summons, 923; proceedings by *capias* under 2 W. 4, c. 39, 925; in inferior court, 925.
- Lincoln**, direction of writs to, 509.
- Liquidated damages**, affidavit to hold to bail for, 482; when recoverable, 320; interest in error on judgment on, 378.
- List of causes for trial**, 265; court in banc have no jurisdiction over, 265; marshal must not insert cause in, when *distringas* not re-sealed, 252; of special jurors, 254; of prisoners supersedeable, 865.
- Local actions**, award of venire into a different county, 1170, 305; change of venue in, 957, 960.
- Lodgers**, not sufficient bail, 599.
- Log-book**, inspection of, refused, 1024.
- London**, direction of writs to, 509; misdescription in direction, 510; no trial at bar in, 262; error from the courts of, 352.
- Lords**, error to the House of, 381, 389; see "*Error*;" judgment of House of, how proved, 218; journal of, 219.
- Lords' Act**, proceedings by and against prisoners under, 871.
- Loss of trial**, what is, &c., 569; proof of lost deed, &c., 229; inspection in case of, 1025; amendment of lost *postea*, 329, 1132; amendment of lost judgment roll, 338, 1132; reference to compute on lost bill, 721.
- Lots**, jury giving verdict by, void, 287; appointing umpire by, bad, 1234.
- Lunacy**, attorney's bill for business in, how taxable, 70; excuse for not bringing in body, 555; return of, to *capias*, 555, 551; witness becoming lunatic, 226; no ground for granting further time to render principal, 623.
- Lunatics**, 909. See "*Idiots*."

M

- Magistrate.** *See* "Justice of Peace."
Malice aforethought, murder, &c., in, 1142; *when action lies for,* 1142.
Malice presumption, proof in action for, 232; *new trial for excessive damages in action for,* 1052.
Malice suspect, action in action for, 1145.
Mandamus to compel witness on interrogatories, 287, 288.
Mandate habeas, return of, to remove prisoner, 351; *to send prisoner,* 412, 413, 414, 415.
Mare, rule, &c., of proof by, 282.
Mare of master, &c., how proved, 282.
Marginal notes, in devisor's books, &c., 683; *in judgment,* 337; *in statutory plan,* 135.
Martinet. *See* "Sailor."
Market overt, sale of goods in, under execution, &c., 481.
Masthouse, affidavit by, 1214.
Mastings, when slave may be held to bail, 422; *discharge of, on account,* 422; *proof of marriage register,* 233; *when writ of error abates by,* 335; *warrant of attorney in case of,* 689; *of female plaintiff or defendant,* 1003, 1004, 1005; *how far revokes arbitrator's authority,* 1222.
Maske and masquerade in the chief justice, &c.
Maske of Queen's Bench prison, &c.
Maske or Warden, action against for an escape, &c.
Master, &c.
When to be issued, 143.
Cannot be held to bail, 143.
Maske of proceeding where improperly arrested, &c., 143, 144.
Declaration, &c.
Plan, &c., 144, 145.
Inspection in Master's Charge, &c.
Showing and giving information as to prisoners, &c., 154.
Notice of escape, &c.
Execution against, &c.
Maske, proceedings against prisoner in custody of, &c. *See* "Prisoner."
Master of the town office, &c.
Master of the courts, &c. *to return indictments of officers appointed, &c.* *against, &c.* *when to be returned to, &c.* *50*; *execution of costs by,* 313; *when not bound to attend,* 313; *notice of execution, &c.,* 313; *reference to court before, &c.*
Maske evidence, what is, &c.
Members of parliament, subject to indictment law, proceedings against, &c.
Privileges from arrest, &c. *mode of compelling appearance and security for non est, &c.,* 120; *process against, &c.* *attachment against, &c.*
Mentorship to be subscribed to writ of summons, &c. *in writ of error, &c.*
Mentorship of warrant to non est, &c.
Mentorship, of endorsement of writ, &c., discontinued, &c., 120, 121.
Mentorship of judgment, &c., registry of, &c.
Mentor, affidavit of, in setting aside proceedings against sheriff, or bail to the writ, &c., when granted, &c. *in setting aside regular judgment, &c.*
Mentor's writ, action for, &c.
What, &c.
By whom it may be brought, &c.
Against whom, &c.
Arrest of, &c.
What a judgment, &c.
Security for costs, &c.
Amount of damages, &c.
Misdirection of damages, &c.

Mesne profits, action for, (*continued*).

Action pending error, 787.

Costs in, 787.

Other proceedings as to, 787.

Middlesex, registry of judgment, to bind lands in, 341.

Military officers, &c., privilege of, from being held to bail, 475.

Militia, attorney not privileged from serving in, 47.

Minute-book of House of Lords, proof by, 218 ; of parliament, proof by, 219 ; of proceedings at sessions, proof by, 218 ; of clerk of peace, 218 ; minute of verdict by associate, 1131.

Miscasting up of damages in judgment does not avoid it, 335 ; in postea, 1131.

Misconduct of an attorney, how punished, 60 ; of agent to attorney, 46 ; of jury, new trial, &c., in case of, 1089.

Misdirection of judge, &c., new trial for, 1087, 1104.

Misericordia, entry of, in judgment. 335.

Misjoinder of counts, how remedied, 324, 325.

Misnomer, in affidavit of debt, 485 ; in writ of *capias*, 510, 511 ; discharge of person arrested by, 511 ; plea in abatement for, not allowed, 104, 652 ; summons to amend, 511, 104 ; execution in case of misnomer, 450 ; in commencement of plea, 167 ; in judgment, &c., on warrant of attorney, 698 ; in writ of error, 353 ; new trial where a juror sworn by wrong name, &c., 1089.

Misprision of clerks, 1115, &c.

Mitigation of damages, what may be taken into consideration in, 325, 326.

Mittimus to a county palatine, award of, in issue, 200 ; the like in the *Nisi Prius* record, 247 ; how sued out, &c., 247.

Mixed actions, Court of Q. B. has no jurisdiction in great part of, 1 ; damages in, 322.

Money had and received, &c. when attorney liable for, 64 ; remedy for, after over-payment of his bill, 71 ; attorney's bill for money lent, when must be delivered, 71 ; statement of, in affidavit to hold to bail, 488 ; affidavit to hold to bail for money lent, paid, or had and received, 488, 489 ; when a defendant may sue for contribution, 323, 417.

Money, when seizable in execution, 427.

Money, payment of, into court, 969 ; see "*Payment of Money into Court* ;" payment of, to sheriff, 614. See "*Deposit with Sheriff*."

Month, calculation of, 75, 93, 549, 160, 161.

Mortgage, reference to compute in action on, 709, 721 ; staying proceedings in ejectment, or on bond for, on paying arrears, &c., 755, 984 ; interest on judgment in error on contract for, 378 ; sale of equity of redemption on *fi. fa.*, 427 ; the like of goods, &c., mortgaged, 431 ; mortgagee admitted to defend in ejectment, 752.

Motions and rules generally, 1184. See "*Rules and Motions*."

Multiplicity of actions on bail-bond, 562 ; on recognisance of bail, 641.

Mutiny acts, regulations in, as to actions against soldiers, &c., 475.

Mystery, statement of, in affidavit, 485 ; in writ, 106, 515.

N.

Names of parties, statement of, in affidavit to hold to bail, 485 ; statement of, in writ, 103, 129 ; statement of initials, 103, 129 ; consequences of misnomer in, 104 ; how misnomer waived, 104 ; mis-statement of, in commencement of plea, does not make it a nullity, 166 ; statement of, in writ of error, 356.

Names of bail in bail-piece, 578.

Navy officers, &c., privilege of, from arrest, &c., 474.

Negative of tender in affidavit to hold to bail, 495.

Negligence, attorney's liability for, 62 ; punishment of, 64 ; liable for acts of agent, 45 ; when a defence to his bill, 84 ; of sheriff in serving writ, 534 ; in suffering escape, &c., 543 ; change of venue in action for, 959.

Ne recipiatur, 92; to prevent cause being tried, 209, 259; notice of trial after, 209, 211.

Ne unques executor, &c., plea of, need not be signed, 171; consequences of pleading, &c., 879.

Nemo his vexari pro eadem causâ, maxim of, 476.

New assignment, 197; costs in case of, 1144.

Newcastle-upon-Tyne, direction of writs to, 509.

Newspapers, execution of process against proprietors of, 438.

New Trial.

What and when proper remedy, 1086.

Cases in which it will be granted, 1086.

Mistake, &c., of judge, 1086; misdirection, 1087; improper discharge of jury, 1087; wrong nonsuit, 1087; wrong admission or rejection of evidence, 1088; where objection has been waived, or not raised at *Nisi Prius*, 1088; where there is a bill of exceptions, 1089.

Default or misconduct of officer of court, 1089.

Default or misconduct of jury, 1089; where sworn by wrong name, 1089; where verdict against evidence, 1089; where evidence conflicting, 1090; where damages excessive, 1090; where damages too small, 1091; misconduct in jury, 1091.

Absence, &c., of counsel or attorney, 1092.

Default or misconduct of opposite party, 1092; improperly influencing jury, 1092; misleading or taking by surprise the opposite party, 1092; where no notice of trial given, 1093.

Default or misconduct of witnesses, 1093; non-attendance of, 1093; perjury of, 1093, mistake of, 1094; incompetency of, 1094.

Discovery of new evidence, &c., after trial, 1094; defence not set up at trial, 1095.

Error in pleading, variance, &c., 1095; defect in special case, 1095.

Where one of several issues, &c., has been wrongly decided, 1096.

Where action or defence is trifling, or vexatious, 1096; plea in abatement or defence not on merits, 1097.

Where a previous new trial, 1097.

Where leave has been reserved to enter a nonsuit or verdict, 1097.

After writ of trial or inquiry before sheriff, 1098.

In penal actions, 1098.

In ejectment, 1098.

In replevin, 1098.

Modes of obtaining new trial.

In what court, 1098.

By whom applied for, 1099.

Motion and rule for, 1099.

At what time to be made, 1099.

Not after motion in arrest of judgment, 1100.

Not after error, &c., brought, 1101.

After certificate for immediate execution, 1101.

Second motion on fresh points, 1101.

Affidavits in support of, 1101.

When put in new trial papers, or merely as a rule, 1101.

Rule nisi, how drawn up, and brought on for argument, &c., 1101.

The argument, &c., 1102.

What terms imposed, 1102.

Amendment after trial, 1103, 1126.

Proceedings on rule absolute, 1103.

The like on rule discharged, 1103.

The new trial.

When to take place, 1103.

Nisi Prius record, &c., 1103.

Entry on record after, 1103.

New trial, (*continued*).

Trial by proviso, 1103.

The costs.

in case of misdirection, 1104.

in case of misconduct, &c., of jury, 1104.

in case of fraud or surprise, 1104.

on new ground, 1104.

where new trial granted without mention of costs, 1104.

where costs are ordered to abide event, 1105.

double costs of first trial, 1106.

recovery, &c., of costs of first trial, 1106.

amount of, &c., 1106.

Venire de novo, 1106.

Nient dedire, 201.

Night, arrest may be made in, 531; writ of execution may be executed in, 408; writ may be served in, 114.

Nihil, return of, to scire facias, 830, 639, 640; not countenanced, 833; leave necessary to sign judgment on, 833.

Nil capiat per breve, judgment of, for defendant on demurrer, 666.

Nil debet, plea of, abolished, 186; when plaintiff may sign judgment on, 166.

Nil dicit, judgment by, 700.

Nisi Prius, meaning of, 246; sittings at, 103.

Nisi Prius record, generally.

What and form of, 246; how made up, 246; when action tried in Lancaster or Durham, 200, 247; date of pleading, 247.

When and how sealed and passed, 247; in Lancaster or Durham, 247; when to be sealed in town causes, 247; when in country causes, 247; re-passing when cause stands over unnecessary, 248; amending distringas &c., 248; re-sealing, 248; costs of passing, 248; fees of, 248.

Variance between Record and Issue, 248.

When amended, 249; amendment of clause of Nisi Prius and distringas, where cause stands over, 248; amendment by judge, 246; variance in matters stated in record, and those proved, 280.

Nisi Prius record, in ejectment, 757, 785.

Nisi Prius record, in error coram nobis, 394; the like, in feigned issues, 646.

Nolle prosequi.

what it is, 1081.

to the whole declaration, &c., 1081.

to some of several counts, &c., 1081.

to part of a count, 1082.

as to some of several defendants, 1082.

how entered, 1083.

costs on, 1083.

retraxit, 1084.

Nominal defendant, where party who defends ejectment in name of, made liable to costs, 763.

Non assumpsit, when to be pleaded, 185; what pleas amount to, 188; if pleaded in debt, plaintiff may sign judgment, 166.

Non est factum, when to be pleaded, 186; its effect on the proofs, 186.

Non est inventus, return of on capias, 551; on ca. sa., 454; to writ of distringas, 131.

Non-joinder, plea of generally, 651, *see* "*Abatement*;" affidavit on must state defendant's residence, &c., 651; time allowed to plead it, 653; plaintiff may reply bankruptcy, &c., 651; on subsequent action after plea of plaintiff may have verdict against one defendant, 652; form of declaration in such a case, 652.

Non obstante veredicto, judgment of.

what and in what cases granted, 1108.

the motion, argument, and rule &c., for, 1108.

writ of inquiry on, 1108.

Non obstante veredicto, judgment of, (continued).
costs on, 1109.

Nonpros, judgment of.
What, 1052.

For not declaring, 1052; where several defendants, 1052; after outlawry, 1053; in replevin, 1053; after removal from inferior court, 1053; when defendant may sign it, 1053; within what time, 1054.

For not replying, &c., 1054, may be signed to part of suit, 1054; by one of several defendants, 1052; in replevin, 1055; in ejectment, 751, 752; where plea a nullity, 1055.

For not entering the issue, 1055.

In error, 1055, for not transcribing record, 363, when defendant is error may sign, for not assigning error, &c., 369.

In other cases, 1055.

How signed, 1055.

In what cases set aside, 1056; when regular, 1056; when irregular, 1056.

Costs and execution, &c., 1056.

Proceedings after it, 1056.

Non sum informatus, judgment by, 700.

Nonsuit.

plaintiff may elect to be nonsuit, 286, 313.

at what time called for, 313.

in what cases, 313.

in trial by proviso, 314.

where recorded, and leave reserved for, 314.

costs on, 314.

judgment as in case of, 314, *see infra*.

how set aside, and on what conditions, 314.

Nonsuit in ejectment, 759, 764.

Nonsuit in replevin, 807.

Nonsuit in sci. fa. 836.

Nonsuit, judgment as in case of.

In what cases.

generally, 1070; when issue deemed to be joined, 1070, *n. (s)*.
where cause has been taken down for trial, 1071; where the delay is not caused by the plaintiff, 1072; where costs for not proceeding to trial have been moved for, 1072; not favoured, 1072.

in town causes, 1072.

in country causes, 1074.

in causes before the sheriff, 1074.

The Motion, Rule, Affidavit, &c., 1075; not granted at chambers, 1076; term's notice unnecessary, 1376; entry of issue unnecessary, 1076; the rule, 1076; when discharged unconditionally, 1076; when discharged upon just cause and reasonable terms, 1077; rule not opened for falsehood in affidavit, &c., 1078; rule how drawn up, and judgment signed, &c., 1078; costs of the day, when part of the rule, 1078.

Default after peremptory Undertaking, 1079; enlargement, discharge, &c., of peremptory undertaking, 1079; costs of enlargement, 1080.

Norwich, directions of writs to, 509.

Not guilty, when to be pleaded, and effect of, 187; what plea amounts to, 192, 193; when plea of, a nullity, 166.

Note of allowance of writ of error, 357.

Notice, in general, service of, 37, 52, 579; on agents, 45; service on attorney, where &c., 52; time of service, 53.

Notice of action, against justices, &c., 910.

Notice of application for admission as attorney, 29.

Notice of attorney's lien, 87.

Notice to plead, 152.

ices of striking out similiter and demurring, 204.
 ices of bail, in town, 579; the like in country cases, 610; the like in error, 358; opposing bail for defect in, 597.
 ices of exception to bail, in town, 586; in the country, 610.
 ices of justification in town, 593, 594; the like in country cases, 611; opposing bail for defect in, 598.
 ices of time to inquire after bail, 605.
 ices of render by bail, 628.
 ices of scire facias, 832, 833, 640.
 ices of filing declaration, 141.
 ices of levy under a distringas, 129.
 ices of set-off, not now admissible, 186. n. (k).
 ices of intention to dispute bankruptcy, 901, 903; amendment as to, 1126.
 ices of inquiry, 714; on back of joinder in demurrer, 661.
 ices of attending inquiry by counsel, 717.
 ices of motion generally, 1186.
 ices to admit documents in evidence, 212, 213.
 ices to produce papers, &c., 224.
 ices of trial at Nisi Prius, 205.
 ices of trial by continuance, 209.
 ices of countermand, 210.
 ices of term's notice, 210.
 ices of trial, in trials at bar, 263.
 ices of trial before sheriff, 295.
 ices of trial to prisoners, 855.
 ices of trial in ejectment, under 11 Geo. 4 & 1 Will. 4, c. 70, 784.
 ices of motion to put off trial, 1063.
 ices of taxation of costs, 334.
 ices of waiver of judgment by default, 704.
 ices of motion for judgment as in case of a nonsuit, 1076.
 ices of motion for interest on judgment in error, 378.
 ices to quit, in what cases necessary, 733; proof of, 228, 229.
 ices to compute principal and interest, &c., 721.
 ices to appear in ejectment, 734, 778, 783.
 ices of distress, &c., for rent, 789.
 ices to marshal, &c., of grounds for preventing supersedeas of prisoner, 866.
 ices by prisoner, &c., under 48 Geo. 3, c. 123, s. 1, 869.
 ottingham, direction of writs to, 509.
 uisance, view in action for, 256.
 ul tiel record, proceedings upon.

When a record of the same court is pleaded, 669.

Issue, form of, &c., 669; demand of term and number on the roll, 669; rule to produce record, 669; notice by plaintiff of production of, 670.

The trial, 670.

Judgment on, &c., 670; for plaintiff, 670; for defendant, 671; entry of proceedings on roll, 671.

Costs on, 671.

Execution on, 671.

Amendment, 671.

When a record of another court is pleaded, 672.

Plea of judgment recovered in another court, 672; marginal note, in plea of number of roll, 672.

Issue, 672.

Certiorari, 672.

Trial, and subsequent proceedings, 673, 669, 670.

Nulla bona, return of, to fi. fa., 419; to writ of distringas, 131.

Nullity, distinction between null and irregular process, 522; distinction between nullity and irregularity in pleading, 167; when plaintiff may treat plea as, 167; when he may treat a plea in abatement as, 665; if defendant's

Nullity, (*continued*).

plea, &c., nullity, he cannot sign judgment for want of replication, &c., 195, 655; of rejoinder, 198; of surrejoinder, 198.

Number of parties in affidavit of debt, 495, in writ of capias, 514.

Nunc pro tunc, filing affidavit of execution of articles, 21; entering of judgment, 823, 838.

O.

Oath. *See* "*Affidavit*."

Ordo tales, 263.

Office copy, obtaining an, to prove private statute, 216.

Officers.

Immediate officers of the courts, 8.

Privileges of, 8.

Summary remedy for their fees, 8, 1267; lien for, 8.

Extra fees on holidays, 9.

Extortion by, 8.

Their holidays, 8.

Hours of attendance, 9.

List of officers, places of office, and hours of attendance, 19.

Actions by and against generally, 847.

Officer of sheriff, what to pay when sheriff fixed, 572; how reimbursed, 572; may be rejected as bail, 601; privileged from being juror, 304.

Officers of the Tower, &c., not privileged from arrest, 464.

Officers of army and navy, when privileged from arrest, 475; exempt from being jurors, when, 303.

Officers of excise and customs. *See* "*Customs*."

Omittas. *See* "*Non Omittas*."

Opening rules, 1194.

Opinion of counsel, attorney acting under, when not liable for ignorance, 43, of witness, when admissible, 276.

Opposing bail, 585; costs of, 601.

Order of judge upon summons. *See* "*Summons and Order*."

Order at N. P., amendment of, 1136.

Original writ, proceedings by, abolished, 2; except in ejectment, &c., 3; to be signed by curitor, 13; declaration in ejectment, &c., not to recite, 733; omission of words, "wheresoever" &c., in proceeding by, not material, 735; issue and imparlances in, 757; amendment of, 1118.

Ouster in ejectment, 750, 771.

Outlawry.

On mesne process.

what, and in what cases, 926.

who may be outlawed, 927.

writ and process, 927.

practical directions as to, 928.

Exigi facias, writ of proclamation, &c., 928, 929.

how executed, 929.

allocatur exigent, 930.

exigent must be executed at five successive courts, 930.

appearance, &c., 930.

bail on exigent, 930.

judgment of outlawry, 930.

Capias utlagatum, &c., 931.

discharge from custody from, 931.

terms on which outlawry reversed by court on motion, 935.

discharge in case of bankruptcy and insolvency, 931.

Special capias utlagatum, &c., 932.

proceedings to obtain satisfaction out of property seized, 932.

Outlawry, on mesne process, (*continued*).

where amount does not exceed 50*l.*, 933.

where it does, 933; grant of debtor's lands, &c., 933.

declaration after outlawry, 934; where several defendants, 934.

On final process.

on what process, 934.

writ of proclamation not necessary, 935.

proceedings on *capias utlagatum*, 935.

after error, 935.

Reversal, &c., of.

How effected, 935.

Reversal of on application to the court or judge, 935; what terms imposed on defendant, 936; at what time applied for, 937; by whom applied for, 937; form of bail required, 937; practical proceedings to reverse an outlawry on mesne process, 938; superseas when defendant in custody, 938; practical proceedings to reverse outlawry after final judgment, 939; reversal in case of insolvency, 939.

Reversal by writ of error, 939.

Costs on, 939.

Overplus, in execution, in ejectment, 768; under distress for rent, 790.

(O)verseer, attorney privileged from being, 47.

Oyer of deeds, &c.

what, and in what cases, 1019.

does not include inspection, 1019.

defendant not bound to plead without it, 1020.

demand of when not demandable, 1020.

at what time and how demandable, 1020.

when granted and time for pleading after, 1020.

how granted, 1020.

refusal of, 1021.

proceedings after oyer, 1021.

when defendant should set out the deed or not, 1021.

when party who grants oyer may set out the deed, 1022.

P.

Palace, arrest cannot be made in, 532; nor can execution, 410.

Palace court, arrest under process of, 532; removal of cause from, 946, &c.; render of bail, where cause removed from, 624.

Paper book abolished, 202.

Paper books on argument of error, 374, 375; on demurrer, 663, 665.

Paper days, 95.

Parish register, how proved, 223.

Parliament, members of, cannot be held to bail, 465; how discharged on arrest, 465; bail of, discharged, 635; proof of proceedings in, 219; dissolution or prorogation of, no abatement of writ of error, 355; bill for business in, when taxable, 70.

Parochial relief, receiving of, ground for rejecting bail, 600.

Parol, demurring not allowed, 885.

Parol evidence, 225, &c.

Part of cause of action, cognovit for, 676; part of warrant of attorney bad, 691; plea answering only part, judgment or demurrer on, 167; nonpros to part of suit, 1054; affidavit bad in part, 494.

Particulars of demand.

where there are *indebitatus* counts, 1028.

where there are special counts, 1029.

in actions *ex contractu*, 1029.

Particulars of demand, (continued).

- in actions ex delicto, 1030.
- in ejectment, 1030.
- at what time, and how obtained, 1032.
- on what terms, and consequences of not giving them, 1032.
- amendment of, 1034.
- what errors in, are material, 1037.
- time for pleading after, 1035.
- annexing of to the record, 1035.
- effect of on the pleadings and evidence, 1036.
- payments specifically admitted in, need not be pleaded, 1036.
- proof confined by particulars, 1036.
- mistakes, not misleading, immaterial, 1037.
- omission when cured by defendant's evidence, 1036.
- special counts not affected by, 1039.
- proof of items omitted from former bill, 1039.
- the particulars, how proved, 1039.

Particulars of premises or breaches, &c., in ejectment, 754.**Particulars of set-off.**

- how obtained, 1033.
- form of, 1034.
- annexing of, to record, 1035, 258.

Particulars of objection to a patent, 171.**Parties to suit, when privileged from arrest, 469.**

Partners, delivery of attorney's bill to, 74; execution against, 431; one of them signing a cognovit, or warrant of attorney, 675, 682; judgment on, 690; bringing action without consent of other, 996, 756.

Passing of record of Nisi Prius, 247; re-passing of, 248.

Patent, change of venue in action for infringement of, 959; particulars of objections, 1031; costs, &c., in such action, 1146; amendment of notice in action for, 1126.

Pauper, actions by.

- who admitted to sue in forma pauperis, 918.
- in what cases, 918.
- when admitted, 918.
- how admitted, 918.
- effect of admission, 919.
- no fees, &c., payable by pauper, 919.
- costs in case of, 919, 920.
- proceedings in the cause, 920.
- when dispaupered or compelled to pay costs, 920.

Pawn, things pawned saleable under a fi. fa., 431.

Payment, to agent of attorney, 45; to attorney, 53; to sheriff under an execution, 423, 452; of attorney's bill, when taxable, &c., after 76; by sheriff to discharge himself on regular proceedings against him for not bringing in body, &c., 572; for copy, &c., of declaration filed, 142.

Payments, particulars of, 1034, 1035.**Payment of money into court.**

General observations as to, 969.

In what cases allowed.

- in general, 969.
- rule of H. T., 4 W. 4, r. 18, as to, 970, 972.
- in assumpsit, 970.
- in debt, 970.
- in covenant, 970.
- in actions ex delicto, 970.
- by executors, &c., 971.
- by assignees of bankrupts, &c., 971.
- by carriers, 971.
- by justices or officers of assize, 971.

- Payment of money into court, (*continued*).**
 by commissioners of bankrupts, 971.
 to part of the declaration, 971.
 by one of several defendants, 972.
 taking out money improperly paid in, 972.
When and how paid in, 972.
 interest, how reckoned, 973.
 paying in additional sum, 973.
 transferring money paid in lieu of bail, 973.
- Plea of.**
 form of, &c., 973.
 in what time pleaded, and how delivered, 974, 152.
- Replication, and subsequent Proceedings**, 974.
- Costs on.**
 in general, 975.
 where several actions are consolidated, 976.
 when allowed to be paid in without, 976.
 defendant may take advantage of court of requests' act, 978.
- Effect of it.**
 as an admission of the cause of action, &c., 978.
 plaintiff when entitled to nominal damages, though other issues found against him, 980.
 action for malicious arrest after, 980.
 plaintiff may be nonsuit after, 980.
 arrest of judgment after, 980.
 money cannot be taken out by defendant, 980.
 right to reply, 981.
- Payment of money into court upon a plea of tender**, 981.
- Payment in lieu of bail.** See "*Bail*."
- Payment of sum indorsed on writ, and costs, &c., staying proceedings on**, 982.
 See "*Staying Proceedings*."
- Peers and Peeresses.**
 Proceedings against, 838, 839.
 Privileged from arrest, 838.
 Process against, 838.
 Attachment against, 1267.
 Exempt from being jurors, 303.
 Security for costs against, 1013.
- Penal actions, jurisdiction of the court in**, 1, 997; corporation cannot sue as common informer, 841; arrest in, not allowed, 483; staying proceedings in, 997; staying proceedings on payment of penalty, &c., 984; staying proceedings on, where several actions, 992; security for costs, 1015; non-pros in, if regular, will not be set aside, 1056; judgment as in case of a nonsuit allowed in, 1070; no damages in, 320; no costs in unless expressly given, 1141; where several counts, and one bad, 324; compounding of, 1040.
- Penalty, holding to bail for**, 482; affidavit to hold to bail for, when allowed, 483; damages in an action for, 321; defendant accountable only to extent of, in debt on bond, 725; staying proceedings on payment of, 984; after payment of, satisfaction to be entered on record, 724; execution in case of, 400; poundage on judgment, 415; in replevin-bond, 810; paying money into court in action for, 971.
- Per minas, plea of**, need not be signed in Queen's Bench or Exchequer, 171.
- Peremptory paper**, 96.
- Peremptory rule to declare**, 139; meaning of word "peremptory" in such case, 139.
- Peremptory undertaking on discharging rule for judgment as in case of a nonsuit**, 1079; notice of trial on, 207; on setting aside nonsuit, 314.
- Performance of covenants, &c., bond for**, 723; plea of performance, &c., 186.
- Perjury, by an attorney in procuring admission**, 33; on other occasions, 60,

Plaint in replevin, &c., 794, 792

Plea, &c., in general.

Notice to plead and time for pl
notice to plead, 152.

time for pleading, 153.

where declaration filed,

where last day Sunday,

at Easter or Christmas,

between 10th August and

where notice gives long

where it gives less time

importance, 155; in whi

term's notice, 155.

after demand of oyer, 15

after delivery of partien

after changing venue, 1.

after order for security 1

after amendment, 157.

Rule to plead.

at what time entered, 15

at what time it expires,

on dies non, 158.

between 10th August to

at Easter and Christmas

Demand of plea.

when necessary, 156.

at what time to be made

how to be made, 159.

judgment for want of pl

waiver of, 160.

Further time to plead and con

how obtained, 160.

summons for, when stay

what time allowed, 161.

the time how reckoned,

a, &c., in general, (*continued*).

Judgment for want of a plea.

- when it may be signed, 165.
- where plea a nullity, 166.
- where plea a sham one, 167.
- where plea answers only part, 167.
- where plea has been delivered irregularly, 168.
- when delivered too soon, 168.
- after former plea, 168.
- in name of one not an attorney, 168.
- where plea of judgment recovered does not state number of roll, 169.
- where demurrer has no marginal note, 169.
- other cases where it may be signed, 169.
- new rule to plead, when necessary, 169.
- how signed, 170.
- setting aside judgment, 170.

The plea, how delivered, &c., 170.

Counsel's signature.

- when necessary, 171.
- how made, 171.
- judgment for want of, 171.

Pleading several pleas.

- when allowed, 172.
- at common law, 172.
- by 4 & 5 Anne, c. 16, ss. 4, 5, 172.
- several pleas not allowed, unless distinct defences, 172.
- pleas in violation of rule may be struck out with costs, 173.
- costs where several pleas and no distinct defence established in respect of each, 173.
- several pleas not allowed at suit of Queen, 174.
- several pleas in inferior courts, 174.
- in action on penal statute, 174.
- what pleas allowed together before R. H., 4 W. 4, 174.
- what since that rule, 176, 177.
- leave to plead several matters, how obtained, 178.
- consequence of pleading several matters without leave, 179.
- setting aside rule to plead several matters, 180.

Withdrawing, striking out, amending, and adding pleas.

- withdrawing pleas, 180.
- where defendant succeeds on demurrer on one plea, 181.
- pleading "forthwith" and "instantly," 181.
- striking out or setting aside pleas at plaintiff's instance, 181, 167.
- amending or adding pleas, 182; *see* "*Amendment*" in general, 1113;
 - what defects in aided by verdict, &c., 1113; rule to plead several matters after, 182.
- rule to abide by plea, 182.

New rules as to the form and effect of the plea.

- pleadings to be entitled of day of month, &c., 183.
- no venue to be stated in body, 183.
- actionem non, when necessary, 183.
- formal defence unnecessary, 184.
- statement of leave of court, &c., unnecessary, 184.
- protestation unnecessary, 184.
- special traverses to conclude to country, 184.
- character in which parties sue or are sued must be denied specially, 184.
- effect of non assumpsit, 185.
- non assumpsit not pleadable to bill or note, 185.
- matters in confession and avoidance to be pleaded specially in, 185.
- payments credited in particulars need not be pleaded, 185, n. (h).

Index.

Plea in general, *new rules, &c.*, (continued).

- evidence of payment not admissible to reduce damages, 185.
- statement of interest of assured, in action on policy, 186.
- effect of non est factum, 186.
- nil debet, plea of, not allowed, 186.
- nunquam indebitatus pleadable in debt on simple contract, 186.
- pleas in other actions of debt, 186.
- effect of non detinet, 186.
- effect of not guilty in case, 186.
- plea in trespass of right of way to be taken distributively, 187.
- plea of right of common, &c., distributive, 187, 188.
- certain rules not to apply where declaration dated before E. T., 1894, 188.
- general issue by statute, how pleaded, 188.
- recent decisions as to what may be given in evidence under the general issue in actions ex contractu, 188, 189, 190.
- in trover, 192.
- in actions on the case, 193.
- in trespass, 194.
- what statutes must be pleaded specially, 194.

Plea in abatement, or to the jurisdiction, 651. See "*Abatement.*"

Plea puis darrien continuance.

- the plea, 299.
- when it may be pleaded, 300.
- entry of continuances, &c., 200, 300.
- how pleaded before trial, 300.
- how at *Nisi Prius*, 301.
- judge cannot refuse it, 301.
- how treated when pleaded for delay, or when clearly bad, 301.
- can be pleaded but once, 302.
- where amendable, 302.
- is a waiver of former pleas, 302.
- costs on, 302.

Plea, by tenant, in ejectment, 749; by landlord, 752.

Plea in bar, in replevin, 804.

Plea in scire facias, 835.

Pleas in particular actions. See the different titles throughout the Index.

Plea after removal of cause from inferior court, 950.

Plea in error, 372, 387.

Pleader, articles of clerkship to, 21.

Pledges, statement of, in personal actions, discontinued, 151.

Pleas administravit, plea of, need not be signed, &c., 171, 878; judgment of assets in futuro on, &c., 878; proceedings on, 878; judgment on, 880; costs on, 881; execution on, 882; scire facias after, 819 to 824, 883; scire fieri inquiry on, 882.

Pluries, writ of summons, 117; fi. fa., 438; elegit, 440; ca. sa., 450; capias, 519.

Policy of insurance. See "*Insurance.*"

Polla, challenge to, 306.

Pone, writ of, &c., 796.

Pone per vadios, 797.

Poole, direction of writs to, 509.

Pope's bull or license, how proved, 324.

Porter of courts, 13.

Posse comitatus, sheriff not bound to raise, on meane process, 533; but is on final process, 412.

Possession, writ of, &c., in ejectment, 765; taking possession without force, 731; demand of, in ejectment, 788.

Post, sending writ by, when not sufficient, 115; sending warrant to officer by, 325; sending ejectment by, 739.

- Post-obit bond, damages in action on, 321; entering up judgment on old warrant of attorney to secure, 697; not within 8 & 9 W. 3, c. 11, 699.
- Postea.
- what, 328.
 - how made out, &c., 328.
 - when judge certifies under 11 Geo. 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 70, 328.
 - how proceeded on, 329.
 - on trial before sheriff, 329.
 - amendment, &c., of, 329, 1130, 1131.
 - how recorded in case of certificate under 1 W. 4, c. 7, s. 2, 329.
- Pound, for goods distrained, 789; overt and covert, 789.
- Poundage to sheriff, allowance of, on deposit in lieu of bail, 541; upon writs of execution, 414; on habere facias, &c., 768; on special capias utlagatum, 932; on attachment, 1270; expenses of selling the goods, 415; of keeping possession, &c., 415; sheriff's remedy for, 416; remedy against sheriff, &c., for extortion, 416.
- Poverty, excuse for attorney's not taking out certificate, 38; where defendant poor, more than two bail allowed, 574; ground for rejecting bail, 599; trial at bar, 262.
- Præcipe, for writ of capias, 506; for writ of summons, 102.
- Prescription, when persons exempt by, from being jurors, 304; pleas of, 187.
- Presentment, in copyholds, proof of, 222.
- Printing, no objection that the declaration is partly printed, 140.
- Priority of writs of execution, 406.
- Prison, of Q. B., chaplain of, 10; clerk of day rules in, 10; clerk of papers of, 10; marshal of, 12; deputy of marshal of, 11; marshal, &c., must reside within rules, 12; turnkey of, being articulated as clerk, 21; rules of, 860; day rules, 862; admission of attornies, &c., into, 863; delivery of papers, &c., to turnkey, 855. *See "Marshal," "Prisoners."*
- Prison, lodging, &c., the defendant in, 546; after arrest on final process, 451; mode, &c. of rendering principal to, 627, 628; right of admission to, &c., 863.
- Prisoners, proceedings against.
- Process, 851.
 - Bail, mode of putting in and justifying, 612.
 - Declaration, &c.
 - time for declaring, 852.
 - mode of declaring, 853.
 - habeas where defendant in prison of another court, 854.
 - when in criminal custody, 854.
 - service of notices, &c., 853, 855.
 - Plea, 855.
 - rule to plead, 853.
 - issue, &c., 856.
 - proceedings to trial on final judgment, 855.
 - final judgment, what, 856.
 - Execution against, 857.
 - where defendant surrenders, 857.
 - where plaintiff is hindered by writ of error, injunction, &c., 857.
 - where defendant takes benefit of insolvent act, 858.
 - how charged in execution when in custody of sheriff, 858, 864.
 - when in custody at suit of plaintiff, 858.
 - how when in custody at suit of third person, 859.
 - when in custody of marshal, and execution in C. P. or Exch., 859.
 - when in custody of warden, 859.
 - when in criminal custody, 859, 854.
 - fieri facias against, 419.
 - death of prisoner, 454.
 - other proceedings against prisoners, 859.
- Attachment against, 1270.

Prisoners, execution against, (continued).

when to take an advantage of an irregularity, 1845.

Prisoners, proceedings, &c., by generally.

Rules and regulations of the prisons.

extent of rules of Q. B., 860.

extent of rules of Fleet, 861.

considered as part of the prison, 861.

as to escape, where prisoner in custody on *meane process*, 843.

in custody in execution, 451.

action against marshal, 849.

day rules, 862.

subsistence and treatment of prisoners, 862.

only five in a room, 862.

seniority, 862.

officers not to sell to, or work for, 862, 863.

visits to, how regulated, 863.

extortion against, how punished, 863.

modes of discharge from imprisonment, 864.

Discharge of prisoners by supersedeas.

in what cases, &c., 864.

for not proceeding to trial, &c., in time, 864.

for not charging him in execution in time, 864.

cases where laches no supersedeas, 864.

once supersedeable always so, 865.

list of prisoners supersedeable, &c., 865.

notice to marshal of cause preventing supersedeas, 866.

discharge of supersedeable prisoners, 866.

how supersedeas obtained, &c., 866, 867.

the effect of it, 867, 865; the rule nisi for, no stay of proceedings, 867.

Discharge of prisoners under insolvent acts.

proceedings under 48 Geo. 3, c. 123, s. 1, 868.

in what cases defendant entitled to his discharge, 868.

to what court he should apply, 869.

application how made, 869.

proceedings where discharge improperly obtained, 870.

proceedings under Lords' Act, 871.

Discharge of prisoners by other means.

where an attorney disclaims the writ, 871, 51, 52.

defect in writ, 871, 484, 500, 520.

by perfecting bail, 872.

on favourable termination, or compromise of the action, 872.

in case of bankruptcy, 872.

after death of plaintiff, 872.

Prisoners, removal of, into the custody of the Marshal, or Warden.

by what writs, 941.

habeas corpus cum causa, 941; to render defendant, 941, 623;

form of, when and how sued out, 941; how obeyed, &c., 941.

habeas corpus ad respondendum, 942.

habeas corpus ad satisfaciendum, to charge defendant in execution,

942; to charge plaintiff in, 942; form of, how sued out, &c.,

942; mode of charging defendant in execution, by means of this

writ, 858.

Prisoner, description of in affidavit, 510; limitation for writ of error by, 349;

cognovit or warrant of attorney given by, 676, 683; attorney, prisoner,

when may practise, &c., 49.

Privilege of attorneys and officers, 47; to sue by attachment of privilege,

abolished, 47; to be sued by bill abolished, 47; from arrest, 47; when

Privilege of attornies, &c., (continued.)

left off practising, 48; after omission to take out certificate, 48; not to disclose communications by client, 48.

Privilege, attachment of, abolished, 2, n. (e).

Privilege, writ of, how sued out, 469.

Privilege from arrest, 463, 525; consequences of arresting privileged person, 466, 525; when will be discharged, 535.

Privilege of speech, enjoyed by counsel, 272.

Privileged communications, 48.

Privy verdict, 288.

Probate of wills, proof by, 221.

Procedendo, in replevin, 796; after removal of cause from inferior court, generally, 947.

Proceedings in an action, previous and subsequent to a trial by jury on the merits, 101; in non-bailable actions, 647; see "Summons, Writ of;" against the sheriff, 547; see "Sheriff, proceedings against;" on the bail-bond, 559; see "Bail-bond;" setting aside or staying such proceedings, 564.

Proceedings against bail to the action, or against bail in error, 618.

Proceedings, setting aside for irregularity. See "Irregularity."

Proceedings, staying of. See "Staying Proceedings."

Proceedings in courts, how proved, 217, 223.

Process. See the different titles of Process throughout this Index.

Prochein amy, how appointed, &c., to sue or defend, for infant, 889, 890; liability for costs, &c., 891; cannot be a witness, 891.

Proclamations, writ of, 978, 984; of state, how proved, 224; on a fine, how proved, 218.

Proclamator of Common Pleas, 13.

Proctor exempt from being juror, 303.

Production of books, &c. at trial, how enforced, 232.

Profert of deeds, &c., 1019.

Prohibition, either party may make up issue in, 203; no judgment as in case of a nonsuit in, 1070.

Promissory note. See "Bill of Exchange."

Proof of debt, how far a discontinuance of action against bankrupt, 903.

Property, what property bail must swear to, 599; what may be taken in execution, 425; of sheriff, in goods taken under fi. fa., 439; claim of, in replevin, 793.

Proprietary probanda, writ of, 795.

Propter defectum juratorum, challenges for, &c., 306.

Prorogation of parliament no abatement of writ of error, 355.

Prostitution, warrant of attorney for, set aside, 690.

Protection, writ of, for persons privileged from arrest, 526.

Proviso, trial by, in what cases, 1065; seldom adopted, 1065; when and how, 1065; notice of trial, 1066; jury process, 1066; proceedings where plaintiff also carries down record, 1066.

Public acts of state, how proved, 224; public statutes, how proved, 216.

Public companies, entries in their books, how proved, 224; execution against clerk of, 401.

Puis darrein continuance, plea of, 299. See "Plea puis darrein continuance."

Purchasers, relation of judgments as to, 343; when bound by writ of execution, 406; of goods from sheriff under execution gain a good title, when, 406, 432; of goods in market overt, 406.

Putting off the trial.

In what cases, 1061; absence of material witness, &c., 1061; other grounds, 1062; issue out of Chancery, 1062.

Application, for, 1062; when and to whom made, 1062; notice to opposite party, 1063; affidavit for, 1063.

Costs on, 1099.

Q.

- Quaker, affirmation of, on admission of, as attorney, 31.
 Quando acciderint, judgment of assets against executor, &c., 880; judgment of, against heir, 886; *sci. fa.* on a judgment of, 827.
 Quare erronice emanavit, restitution on, 769.
 Quare impedit, either party may make up issue in, 303; no judgment in case of a nonsuit in, 1070.
 Quashing of plea, 166; judgment of, on plea in abatement, 656; of writ of error, 353, 354.
 Queen, privileged from being held to bail, 464; so are servants of, 464; attorney not privileged from arrest in action at suit of, 468, may privilege a person from arrest, 526; arrest cannot be made in palace, &c., of, 331; writ of error where Queen is a party, 346; may break open doors in execution for, 409; right of, not to be questioned, &c., in county courts, &c., 795; not necessary to revive a judgment for, by *sci. fa.*, 817, 818.
 Queen's Bench, Court of, see "*Court of Q. B.*;" prison of, see "*Prison of Q. B.*," "*Prisoner.*"
 Qui tam, attorney privileged from being held to bail in action, 469; process in, 514; plea in, when not a nullity, &c., 167; writ of error in action of, 351; compounding of, actions of, 1040; staying proceedings in, 997.
 Quod recuperet, judgment of, 656.

R.

- Re-admission of an attorney, 37; after being struck off the roll, 69.
 Real action, Court of Q. B. has no jurisdiction in, 1; no damages in, 330; writ of error in, 346.
 Real property, new trial where a question of inheritance arises, 1090.
 Rebutter, 198.
 Receiver, attorney acting as, lien of, &c., 65.
 Recognizance of bail, defendant cannot be held to bail on, 469, 482; entering of, 607; where amendable, 1120; damages in action on, 321; interest on error in action on, not given, 378; *scire facias* on, 643, 830; debt on, 643; in ejectment on 1 Geo. 4, c. 87, 778; on removal of cause under 201. from inferior court, 946, 948.
 Recognizance, not to commit waste, pending error, &c., 782.
 Recognizance on attachment, 1270.
 Record of Nisi Prius, 246; in ejectment, 757; in ejectment on 11 Geo. 4 & 1 W. 4, c. 70, 785.
 Record, how proved, 217; notice to admit, &c., 216; amendment of statement in, at trial, 280.
 Record, trial by, generally, 669. See "*Nisi Prius Record.*"
 Record, withdrawing of, 266.
 Recordari facias loquelam, 795; proceedings on, 795.
 Recovery, how proved, 218.
 Reducing damages, 1090, 1091. See "*Remittitur.*"
 Re-examination of witnesses, 277.
 Reference to master to compute, 721.
 Registers, how proved, 223.
 Registry of judgment, 338.
 Rejoinder, 197; rule to rejoin, 197; proceedings without rule by adding similar for defendant, 197; demand of, 198; judgment for want of, 198, 702.
 Rejoining gratis, what, &c., 164.
 Relation of judgments, 341; of writs of execution, 406.
 Release, by client, to prejudice of attorney, 53; by co-plaintiff, when set aside, 299, n. (r); plea of release *pau darrin continuance*, 299; in

Release (*continued*).

ejectment by one of several lessors, 750; assignment of error, &c., on, 367—369; agreement of release of errors in warrant of attorney, 682, 697; plea of release of errors, 372; of witness, by plaintiff, 273.

Relictâ verificatione, cognovit, &c., on, 676, 680.

Remainder-man, writ of error by, 347; admitted to defend in ejectment, 752.

Remanding prisoner, when brought up under Lords' Act, 871.

Remanet, notice of trial on, 207, 211; re-sealing *distringas*, 252; entry of cause in case of, 259; order of trial of, 259; judgment as in case of a nonsuit after, 1071; costs in case of, 1152.

Remittitur damna, 1085; in ejectment, 1085; in *replevin*, 1085; where the damages demanded or found are too large or not recoverable, 1085; in action against several, 1085; on reference to compute, 723.

Remittitur of record, by the court of error, 355.

Removal of prisoners, into the custody of the marshal, 941. *See "Prisoners."*

Removal of causes from inferior courts, &c., before judgment.

By what writs, 944.

By *habeas corpus cum causâ*, 944.

By *certiorari*, 945.

When not removable, 945.

When bail required before removal, 946.

Form, direction, teste, &c., of writ, 946.

Consequence of defects in form, 946.

Writ, how sued out, &c., 947, 941.

Within what time to be sued out and delivered, 947.

How obeyed and returned, 947.

Bail and appearance after removal, 948.

Procedendo, 948.

For not putting in bail, 948.

For other causes, 949.

Quashing *procedendo*, 949.

Quashing *certiorari*, 949.

Removal after *procedendo*, 949.

Proceedings after removal, 949.

declaration, 949.

plea, &c., 950.

subsequent proceedings, 950.

costs, 950.

Removal of judgments, rules, &c., of inferior courts, for the purpose of execution.

Generally, 950.

Where judge a barrister of seven years' standing under 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, 951.

From C. P. at Lancaster or Durham, 952.

From court of the Stannaries at Cornwall, 953.

Render by bail, 621; in discharge of bail to sheriff, &c., 621; bail above, how discharged by, &c., 622.

Rent, change of venue in action of debt for, 958; payment into court in debt for, 970; staying proceedings on payment of, 984; writ of inquiry in action for, 709; ejectment for, 772; ejectment for, and surplus after execution, 768; rent to be paid to landlord before goods sold under execution, 423; distress for, 788.

Rent double, arrest allowed in action for, 483; affidavit of debt for, 494.

Rent-charge, extending of, 443; payment into court on avowry for, &c., 971; costs in *replevin* as to, 808.

Repleader on immaterial issue, 1128; costs on, 1128.

Replegiari facias, writ of, 792.

Replevin.

Jurisdiction of the courts in, 2.

Replevin, (continued).*The Distress.*

- how made, 788.
- at what time made, 788.
- inventory and notice, 789.
- removal of the goods, 789.
- how and where impounded, 789.
- appraisement and sale, 790.
- action for improper distress, 791.

Proceedings to obtain the replevin.

- when and how obtained, and bond given, 792.
- bond, 792.
- practical directions how to obtain the replevin, 793.
- capias in withernam, 794.

*Proceedings in the Inferior Court, 794.**Removal of the plaint to a superior court.*

- in what cases removed, 794.
- plaint, how removed, 795.
- by writ of pone, 795.
- by re. fa. lo., 795.
- by accedas ad curiam, 795.
- by certiorari, 795.
- writ, how sued out and returned, 796.
- how to rule sheriff to return, 796.
- when returned and filed, 796.
- procedendo, 796.
- effect of the writ, 796.

Proceedings in the superior court.

Appearance, 796; how compelled, 797.

Declaration, 798.

- rule to declare, 798.
- rule for time to declare, 798.
- amendment of, 799.

Nonpros for want of declaration, and subsequent proceedings thereon, 799.

- signing the judgment, 797.
- writ of second deliverance, 799.
- suggestion and writ of inquiry under 17 Car. 2, c. 7, s. 2, after nonpros for want of declaration, 800.
- proceeding on the replevin-bond, 801.

Avowry, 801.

- how compelled, 801.
- practical directions as to, 801.
- how framed and delivered, 801.
- time for, 801.
- imparlances, 802.
- waived by pleading, 803.
- general imparlance, what, and effect of, 803.
- special imparlance, what, and effect of, 803.
- general special imparlance, 804.
- what may be pleaded after, 804.

Plea in bar, 804.

Nonpros for want of plea in bar, 805.

- suggestion and inquiry, 805.
- proceedings on bond, 805.

*Issue, 805.**Proceedings on demurrer, 805.*

- Inquiry as to arrears of rent, after judgment for defendant, 806.
- judgment for plaintiff, 806.
- Staying proceedings on payment into court, &c., 806.

Replevin, (continued).

Discontinuing, withdrawing plea in bar, &c., 806.

Trial, &c., 807.

the verdict, 807; judgment for defendant on nonsuit, 808.

second deliverance after, 808.

New trial, 808.

Costs, 808.

Execution, 809.

for plaintiff, 809.

for defendant, 809.

writ de retorno habendo, 809.

practical directions as to suing out *fi. fa.*, *ca. sa.*, or *elegit*, 419, 420, 440, 449.

proceedings on return of *elongata*, 809.

Proceedings against the sureties in the replevin-bond.

Replevin-bond, when and how forfeited, 810.

Assignment of, and action on the bond, 811.

how and when made, 811.

to whom, 811.

action, when and in what court brought, 811.

staying proceedings on payment of value of goods or rent due, &c., 811.

setting aside irregular proceedings, 812.

sureties, how far liable, 812.

how discharged, 812.

Proceedings against the sheriff, 813; for taking insufficient pledges, &c., 813.

Replevin-bond, form of, 792; assignment of and action on, 811; other remedies against sureties, 811; how sureties discharged, 812; interest on judgment in error on, 378.

Replevin clerk, 813.

Replication and subsequent pleadings.

Time for replying, &c., 195.

Rule to reply, 195.

Service of rule a sufficient demand of replication, &c., 195.

At what time rule may be given, 195.

When it expires, 195.

Further time to reply, &c., 196.

Replying, &c., between 10th August and 24th October, 196.

the like at Easter or Christmas, 196.

Term's notice of rule to reply, &c., when necessary, 196.

Form of replication, 196.

How delivered, 197.

Indorsing notice of trial on, 197.

Withdrawing replication, 197.

New assignment, 197.

Replication, to plea in abatement, or to the jurisdiction, 655.

Replication in ejectment, 754; in replevin, 804; in *scire facias*, 836.

Reply at trial, 279.

Requests, Court of. *See "Court of Requests."*

Rescue, the, when sheriff not liable for, 545; punishment of rescuers, 545, return of, on final process, 453; plaintiff's remedy on, 453; attachment on, 1262.

Resealing writ, 1119.

Residence, compelling attorney to disclose that of client, &c., 52, 110; of lessor in ejectment, 754, 778; of attorney, 52; attorney residing abroad, 38; statement of, in affidavit, 1211; in writ of *capias*, 516; indorsement of, on writ of *capias*, 518; statement of, in bail-piece, 610, 583; in writ of summons, 103, 105; indorsement of, on writ of summons, 105; statement of, in plea in abatement for nonjoinder of defendant, 651.

- Respite of jury, statement of, in Nisi Prima record, 347.**
Responsalia, what, 49.
Responsalia postea, judgment, &c., of, 634, 671.
Restitutio, after error, 380, in execution, after execution, 768; when judgment is reversed, &c., see ante, 414.
Re-suspension, after claim of exemption, 345.
Return of attorney, how &c., 58, proof of, 44.
Returna brevia, &c., 92.
Returna habeas, writ of, 580, 585, proceedings on, 580.
Returna 1051, 1054. See "Nisi Prima."
Return of writ, see the different titles of writs throughout this Index; days for, 23, the master who now acts as custos brevia, to set down time of return and filing, &c., 354, 411; mode of ascertaining return, 551; when defect in return, 413, effect of, 410, amendment of, 412.
Reversal, manner of, any practice, &c., without articles of clerkship, 42.
Reversal of outlawry, 345, of judgment by writ of error, 376.
Reversal, writ of error by, 347.
Revocation of warrant of attorney, 647, of arbitrator's authority, 1225.
Retiring judgment, ante faciat lvi, 317, 396.
Rites per decem, plea of, 855, need not be signed, 171.
Riot, actions against hundreders in case of, 342. See "Hundreders."
Roll, when returned in, on entering issue, 204, judgment roll, when necessary to carry in, &c., 337, demand of number, &c., of, on and tui record pleaded, 669, entry of process on, to save Statute of Limitations, 922.
Rolls of manor, proof by, 222, inspection of, 222.
Royal family, &c., cannot be arrested, 464.
Rules, clerk of the day, 10.
Rules granted upon motion by counsel.
 On what side of the court, 1184.
 Different kinds of, 1184.
 Absolute in the first instance, or Nisi, how obtained, &c., 1184.
 Affidavit in support of rule, when and how made and filed, &c., 1185.
 What matters cannot be moved on last day of term, 1186.
 Notice of motion, when given and effect of, 1186.
 For what time the rule should be drawn up, 1187.
 What parties it should include, 1187.
 Grounds of the rule should be correctly stated, 1187.
 Amendment of, 1188.
 Service of.
 showing original rule, 1183.
 at what time, 1188.
 how, 1188.
 personal service, 1183.
 at house or place of business, 1188.
 by post, 1189.
 on prisoner, 1189.
 on one of several, 1189.
 irregularities in, how waived, 1189.
 substitution of, where residence unknown, &c., 1189.
 affidavit of, 1190.
 How far a rule operates as a stay of proceedings, 1190.
 Abandoning rule nisi, 1190.
 Shewing cause against a rule nisi, or enlarging the rule.
 enlarging rule, 1190.
 cause how shown, 1191.
 affidavits for, when and how made and filed, &c., 1191.
 the argument, &c., 1192.
 reference to master, 1192.
 motion to make rule absolute where no cause shown, 1192.

Rules, (continued).

not made absolute on ground different from that stated in it 1193.

Title and date of rule, 1193.

Costs on, 1193.

Opening and rescinding the rule, or moving again, 1194.

How parties may move to discharge rule, &c., 1194, 962.

Filing affidavits, 1195.

Rules granted without motion by counsel.

obtained upon a judge's fiat, 1195.

obtained from the masters, upon a præcipe, 1195.

obtained from the masters, without a præcipe, 1195.

side-bar rules, 1196.

to plead several pleas, 1196.

Rules enforcing rules for payment of money, costs, &c., under 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 18.

Effect of rules under that statute, 1196.

Mode of enforcing, 1196.

Rule for judgment, after verdict, &c.; 336; after demurrer, 665; on nul tiel record pleaded, 670, 671; on judgment by default, 702; not necessary after writ of inquiry, 720.**Rules of the Q. B. prison, 860; day-rules, 862.****S.****Sailors, privilege of, from arrest, &c., 474; how proceeded against, 474; affidavit, &c., on execution against, 451; time to render principal on impressment of, 635.****Sale, see "Goods sold," "Purchaser:" under a fi. fa., 429, &c.****Satisfaction, of judgment.**

Entry of on the roll, 456; satisfaction piece, 457; where a warrant cannot be obtained, 457; in trover for deeds, on delivery of deeds, &c., 457.

Scandalum magnatum, change of venue in action for, 958.**Scire facias generally.**

What, and in what cases requisite.

generally, 815, 816.

where a stranger is to be affected by the judgment, 815.

limitation of by statute, 816.

is within R. H., T., 4 Will. 4, 816.

Proceedings upon it.

The writ, summons, &c., 829.

to whom directed, 830.

on a recognisance, 830.

on a judgment, 830.

teste of the writ, 830.

return day of, 830.

must pursue the judgment, &c., 831.

from what court issued, 831.

leave of court, when necessary, and how obtained, 831.

how sued out, 832.

when left at sheriff's office, 832.

necessary in general, to summon or give notice to defendant, 833.

leave to sign judgment without summoning, 833.

defendant, how summoned, 833.

notice when defendant cannot be, 833, 834.

Judgment for non-appearance, 834.

where defendant has not been summoned, 834.

against one of several, 835.

Scire facias, *in rem*, against a judgment, after a year and a day.

Writ of assize, *in rem*.

Writ of assize, *in rem*.

Writ of assize, *in rem*, and to issue execution within the year where writ of error brought, 818.

Writ where dispensed with, 819.

Writ where execution issued within the year, 818.

Writ on judgment: under 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 87, 818.

Writ after seven years leave of court necessary, 818.

Mode of proceeding on this writ, 819 to 823.

Writ of error no bar to, 819.

Consequence of omission, 819.

Scire facias, upon the death of parties.

Death after judgment and before execution, 819.

By and against whom to be issued, 820.

Against personal representative, 820.

Against heir and terre-tenant, 820, 821.

Death between verdict and judgment, 821.

Before assizes or sittings, 821.

When entered, and leave to enter it same *pro tunc*.

Form of judgment, 822.

Must be revived by *sci. fa.* before execution, 823.

Death between interlocutory and final judgment, 823.

Form of the *sci. fa.*, 823.

Form of the judgment, 823.

sci. fa. on the final judgment before execution, 82

Death of one of several plaintiffs or defendants, 824.

Scire facias, upon the marriage of a feme plaintiff or defendan

Marriage of a feme plaintiff, 824.

Of feme defendant, 825.

Scire facias, in case of bankruptcy or insolvency.

Of plaintiff, 825.

Of defendant, 826: under Lords' act, 826.

Scire facias, in other cases, (*continued*).

For restitution after reversal of error, 828.

To recover land extended under elegit, 828.

To repeal letters patent, 829.

On pardon of outlawry, 829.

To certify bill of exceptions, 829.

Against a sheriff, 829.

On error, 829.

In ejectment in ordinary cases, 769.

Scire fieri inquiry, 882.

Scotland, peers of, when privileged from arrest, 465; bankrupt's certificate, &c., in, no privilege from arrest, 470; affidavit to hold to bail sworn there, 496; commissioners empowered to take affidavit in, 1216; property in, not sufficient for bail to justify, 599; error from courts of, 352.

Sealer of the writs, 13; not to seal blank writs, &c., 13; sealing capias, 520; altering and resealing writs, 1119.

Seamen. *See* "*Sailors*."

Second action, staying proceedings in, 990; after nonpros, 1056; after discontinuance, 1059.

Second arrest, when allowed or not, 535, 1056.

Second deliverance, writ of, 799, 805, 806, 808; costs on, 808.

Second scire facias, 837.

Second trial, 1086, 1098. *See* "*New Trial*."

Secondary on the crown side, 13; of the Queen's Bench for registering of deeds in Middlesex, 13.

Secondary evidence, 224. *See* "*Evidence*."

Security, for costs.

In what cases.

generally, 1012.

where defendant resides abroad, 1013.

in actions against peers, ambassadors, kings, &c., 1013.

in actions by infants or lunatics, 1013.

in ejectment, 1013.

in actions by bankrupt or insolvent, 1014.

in actions by felons, 1015.

in actions for benefit of, or instigated by third parties, 1015.

where name of third party used without consent, 1016.

in other cases, 1016.

fresh security, 1016.

How and at what time to be obtained, 1016, 1017.

affidavit for, 1017.

time for giving, 1018.

amount and sufficiency of, 1018.

time for pleading after, 1018, 156.

Discharge of security, 1018.

Security to plaintiff for defendant's putting in bail, 541; when bail-bond or attachment ordered to stand as, 569.

Seduction, damages in action for, 326; new trial for excessive damages in, 1090.

Sentence of Admiralty, how proved, 221, 222.

Sequestrari facias, &c., 916; after outlawry, 933.

Serjeant, *see* "*Counsel*," when privileged from being held to bail, 467; change of venue in action by, 959; exempt from being a juror, 303.

Servants of royal family, when privileged from arrest, 449, 464; of peers, 464, 449; of ambassadors, &c., 466, 449; service of declaration in ejectment on a servant, 739.

Service of clerkship to attorney, 20.

Service.

Of writ of summons, 113.

Service, (*continued*).

- Of writ of *distringas*, 131.
- Of notices, &c., upon attorneys, 52.
- Of notice to producer, &c., 213.
- Of rules generally, 1188.
- Of summons or order, 1199.
- Of rule for attachment, 1268.
- Of notice of bail, 580.
- Of notice of declaration, 141.
- Of declaration in ejectment, 737.
- Of rule or order to return writ, 550.
- Of rule or order to bring in body, 554.
- Sessions, attorney's bill for business at, must be delivered, 70; a practising attorney cannot be clerk of peace, 48.
- Set-off, plea of, 186; notice of set off not now allowed, 186, n. (k); particulars of, 1031; verdict how taken, in case of, 322; staying proceedings in action brought for a claim set off in other action, 998.
- Set-off, of one award or judgment of costs against another, 88, 459.
- Set-off, of attorney, when available for him, 88; of agent, 45; attorney need not deliver bill for purpose of, 75.
- Setting aside, nonsuit, 313, proceedings against the sheriff, or on the bail-bond, 564; see "*Sheriff, proceedings against*;" warrant of attorney or judgment, &c., on, 689, 690; judgment by default, 703, 705; judgment against casual ejector, 736, 747; award, 1239; plaintiff's own proceedings, 719.
- Setting off judgments, costs, &c., 457. See "*Judgments, setting off*."
- Setting aside proceedings for irregularity, 1042. See "*Irregularity*."
- Several actions, staying proceedings in, 990, 766; consolidating, 756, 966.
- Several counts, when allowed or not, 147; payment into court in case of, 971; damages in case of, 324; when entire verdict and bad count, 324.
- Several issues in law and fact, proceedings on, 661; judgment in demurrer in case of, 666; new trial in case of several issues, 1096; costs in case of, 1154.
- Several plaintiffs or defendants.
 - Statement of in affidavit of debt, 485, 494.
 - Statement of in writ, 106, 401.
 - Time to declare in case of, 138.
 - When plaintiff may declare against one of several only, 138.
 - Outlawry of one, 934.
 - Payment into court by one of, 972.
 - Delivering issue where several defendants, 203.
 - Notice of trial to, 208.
 - Notice of trial where one suffers judgment, 209.
 - Nonsuit where one suffers judgment, 313.
 - Verdict where several defendants, how to be given, 323, 701.
 - Severing damages in case of, 323.
 - Costs where one of several defendants acquitted, 1153.
 - Judgment by default by one, 701, 703.
 - Writ of inquiry in case of, 708.
 - Costs where several defendants in ejectment, 761.
 - Effect of death of one of, 762, 1172.
 - New trial in case of several defendants, 1099.
 - Contribution in case of, 323, 417.
 - Writ of error in case of, 348.
 - Writ of execution in case of, 401.
 - How far execution on one discharges rest, 417.
 - Judgment on warrant of attorney in case of death of one party, 688.
 - Sci. fa. in case of, 824.

several plaintiffs or defendants, (*continued*).

Supersedeas of prisoner where several defendants, 866, 867.

several pleas, when allowed, 172; costs on, 1154.

several tenants, service, &c., of declaration in ejectment, in case of, 738.

Sherriffs, attorney privileged from acting as officer of, 47.

Sherriff pleas, 167.

Sherriff generally.

Sheriffs, 14.

Under-sherriff, 14.

Deputy of sherriff, 14.

Transfer to incoming sherriff, 14.

Blank, &c., warrants forbidden, 15.

Return of writs, 15.

Where rule expires in vacation, 16.

Return of habeas corpus, 16.

Bailiff not to take warrant of attorney except &c., 16.

Officer not to be attorney or bail, 16.

Sheriffs' officers not officers of court, 16.

Liability of for misconduct of officer, 16.

Delay, extortion by, &c., 16.

Stat. 7 W. 4 & 1 Vict. c. 55, regulating sherriffs' fees and giving a remedy for extortion, 17.

what fees may be taken, 17, 18.

extortion summarily punishable, 17.

costs of complaint, 18.

complaint to be made before last day of next term, 18.

fees in Lancaster and Durham, 18.

Direction of writs to, 103, 508; where sherriff is a party, 508.

When bound to discharge defendant on bail tendered, 536, 539.

Duty of, on taking a bail-bond, 536; when bound to assign bail-bond, 559; consequences of refusal, 559; how to assign, 559; effect of it, 560; action by him on bond, 560; how reimbursed if he have to pay debt, &c., 574; amount of liability when he is fixed, 572.

Court will not relieve, when guilty of breach of duty, 572.

Award of venire, where sherriff a party, &c., 1170, 305.

How to execute writs of execution generally, 407; *see* "*Execution*;" how to execute, and duty, &c., on a *fi. fa.*, 421; *see* "*Fi. Fa.*;" on an *elegit*, 444; *see* "*Elegit*;" on a *ca. sa.*, 451; *see* "*Capias ad Satisfaciendum*;" his poundage and expenses on writ of execution, 414; payment to him under an execution, 415; acquires special property in goods seized, 439; remedy against, for amount levied in execution, 439.

Writ of inquiry executed before, &c., 707; *see* "*Inquiry, writ of*;" writ of inquiry in debt on bond executed before, 723; new trial, where under-sherriff was party's attorney, 1089.

Trial of actions not exceeding 20*l.* before sherriff, 292. *See* "*Trial*."

His duties, &c. in replevin, 793; his liability in and proceedings against, 813.

Relief of, &c. in case of adverse claims, &c., 1005. *See* "*Interpleader*."

Sherriff, proceedings against in bailable actions.

Rule or order to return the writ.

In what cases, 547; action against for escape, 547, 454; attachment against, 547, 549; Judge's order to return the writ, 547; must be obtained without delay, 548; in what cases sherriff cannot be compelled to return, 548; where arrest by special bailiff, 524; six months after expiration of office, 548; transfer of writs to incoming sherriff, 14.

When and how obtained, 549; rule or order, 547; form of, 550.

Service of, 550.

Sheriff, proceedings against in bailable actions, (continued).*Rule or order to return the writ, (continued).*

Return of, 550; at what time, 550; mode of proceeding on the usual returns, 551, when defendant is sick, rescue, &c., 551, where writ is lost, and defendant in custody, 541, 542.

Motion for attachment, 542.

Rule or order to bring in the body.

When and how obtained, 553; when sheriff has gone out of office, 554, service of, 554, 550.

How complied with, 554; in what time, 554; the sheriff must, in general, bring in defendant or perfect special bail, 554; sheriff not obliged to bring defendant actually into court, 555.

Motion for the attachment, 555.

The attachment, 556, how sued out and prosecuted, 556, 557.

Amount of liability of sheriff, 558, 572.

*Setting aside or staying proceedings against sheriff or upon the bail-bond.**For irregularity.*

in proceedings relative to bail, 564.

where arrest made by special bailiff, 564, 524, 547.

in assignment of, or action on bail-bond, 564.

after assignment of bail-bond, 565, 548, 559, 561.

where writ is void, 565.

application must be made in reasonable time, 565.

how made, 565.

For other causes.

mistake of defendant, &c., 566.

giving time to defendant, 566.

bad faith, 566.

delay in proceedings against sheriff, 567.

delay in, against bail, 567.

injunction, 567.

death of defendant, 567.

of plaintiff, 568.

bankruptcy of defendant, 568.

where defendant expelled under alien act, 568.

plaintiff's attorney uncertificated, 568.

where two writs are issued, 568.

bail need not be put in before moving, 568.

Staying regular proceedings upon payment of costs.

Terms on which regular proceedings will be stayed under 2 W. 4, c. 39, 569.

under 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 7, 569.

affidavit of merits, when application made by defendant, 569.

affidavit on application by bail or sheriff, 570.

application how made, 571.

when made, 571.

what pleas allowed after, 571.

sheriff not relieved if guilty of misconduct, 571.

remedy of sheriff when attachment not set aside, 572.

remedy of bail when proceedings on bail-bond not set aside, 572.

Sheriff, proceedings against in replevin, 813. See "Replevin."**Sheriff, proceedings against upon a scire facias, 829.****Sheriff, action against.**

For escape, 544.

For false return, 543.

For false imprisonment, 543.

For not returning writ or not assigning bond, 549.

For amount levied in execution, 439.

Sheriff, Action against, (*continued*).

For extortion, 416.

Sheriff, proceedings against prisoners in custody of, &c., 851. See "Prisoners."

Shewing cause against rules generally, 1190.

Ship, notice of trial on master of, 206; register of, proof of, 223; articles of evidence, &c., 233.

Short notice of trial, what, &c., 206.

Sicut alias distringas, &c., 796.

Side-bar rules, 1196.

Signing pleas, what must be signed, 171; signing replication, &c., 197; signing demurrer, &c., 658; forging counsel's signature, 62.

Similiter, striking out and demurring, &c., 204; amendment to insert it, 1124.

Sittings in banc, 94; in bail-court, 94; at Nisi Prius, 97; considered but as one day, 822; notice of trial for, 206; time appointed for trial of common or undefended causes, 265.

Slander, pleas in, 187; verdict in action for, where one bad count, 324; change of venue in, 958; damages in, 325; new trial for excessive damages in, 1090; costs in, 1146.

Soldiers, privileged from arrest, 475; how proceeded against, 475; affidavit, &c., on execution against, 449.

Solvit ad diem, plea of, 185; need not be signed, 171; damages on, 321.

Son assault demesne, plea of, need not be signed, 171.

Southampton, direction of writs to, 509.

Southwark, direction of writ into, 508, 509.

Special bail, or bail above. See "Bail, Special."

Special bailiff, 524.

Special capias utlagatum, 644.

Special case.

Proceedings upon when stated at trial, 319.

How framed and settled, 319.

How acted on, 320.

Argument, &c., of, 320.

Verdict, how entered on, 320.

Proceedings upon a, without going to trial, 649.

Order for and proceedings on, 649.

Proceedings upon a case stated from a Court of Equity, 649.

how framed, 650.

grounds of the opinion stated, 650.

sending case back to the same or another court, 650.

proceedings after argument, 650.

Special demurrer, 658; in abatement, 653.

Special execution, not warranted by general judgment, 400.

Special imparlance, 803.

Special jury, how returned, 253; costs of judge's certificate for, 255; tales, 255; qualifications of, 304.

Special paper, demurrer set down in, 665.

Special pleas, 172; see "Plea;" the like in error, 372.

Special verdict, form of, and proceedings on, 316; amendment of, 318, 1132; judgment and execution on, 318.

Specialty. See "Covenant," "Debt."

Stamp, on articles of clerkship, 21; on attorney's certificate, 34; compelling production of instrument to get stamped, 1025; trying cause out of turn to get instrument stamped, 265; stamp on cognovit or warrant of attorney, and consequences of want of, 676; when payment into court admits sufficiency of stamp, 978, 979.

Stannaries, error from court of, 352; execution on judgment in, 953.

State, acts of, how proved, 224.

in debt generally, 983.
in debt on replevin-bond, 982.
in debt on bail bond, 988.
 upon recognisance of bail, 918.
in covenant, 985.
in trespass or case, 985, 988, 989.
in trover, 985.
in detinue, 986, 988.
in replevin, 986.
in ejectment, 986.
in ejectment by mortgagee, 969.
on one of several counts, 986.
rule or order for, how obtained, and effect of, 98.
undertaking to pay, on staying proceedings, 98.
Upon payment of debt and costs where amount is dis-
in what cases, 987.
order to pay in part, and plaintiff to process
988.
in actions for a money demand, 988.
in detinue or trover, 988.
in replevin, 989.
in other actions for unliquidated damages, 989.
Upon payment of debt, &c., without costs, 989; w
have jurisdiction, 990.
On equitable grounds, 990.
In second actions for same cause, 990.
 after recovery in former action, 993.
 after reference or withdrawal of juror, in former
in actions against several defendants, 993.
 effect of stay till payment of costs of former action
application, when to be made, 993.
In trifling actions, 994.
 where costs of action not to be paid

staying proceedings, (*continued*).

In actions brought without authority, (*continued*).

by cestui que trust, 996.

by assignee of debt, 996.

by lunatic, &c., 996.

where one plaintiff dissents, 996.

Where the attorney is uncertificated, &c., 996.

In penal actions by common informers, 997.

In actions by outlaws and alien enemies, 997.

In actions against bankrupts, 997.

In other cases, 997.

on ground that action will not lie, 997.

on set-off of mutual claims, 998.

on transfer of bill of exchange pending the action, 998.

in action against good faith, 998.

What a breach of a rule staying proceedings, 998.

sterling money, statement of value of, in affidavit, to hold to bail, 490.

tet processus, when allowed, on motion for judgment as in case of nonsuit, 1077.

teward, lien of, 64, 65.

ticking up notice of declaration in office, 141 ; demand of plea, 159.

tipulated damages. See "*Liquidated Damages*."

tock, interest on, bond for replacing, allowed in error, 378, n. (e); bond for replacing, not within 8 & 9 W. 3, c. 11, 724.

tock-jobbing not allowed to be pleaded with other pleas, 175.

triking attorney off roll, 69.

triking out counts, pleas, unnecessary averments, &c.

unnecessary counts, 964.

superfluous matter, 964.

indecent or scandalous matter, 964.

reference to master, 964.

at what time applied for, 965.

forms prescribed by rule of court, 965, 146.

unnecessary pleas, &c., 965, 150.

improper or frivolous pleas, 965, 167.

ubmission to arbitration, 1222. See "*Arbitration*."

ubornation of perjury by an attorney, how punished, 62.

ubpoena, 232 ; subpoena duces tecum, 233 ; on trial before sheriff, 295 ; on writ of inquiry, 717 ; penalty for not obeying, 234 ; attachment, &c., for, 234, 1263.

ubscribing witness, 228.

uggestions, entry of, upon the roll.

When necessary in general, 1170.

As to the awarding of the venire, 1170.

in local actions to prevent delay, 1170.

or to secure an impartial trial, 1171.

where venue laid in county, or city, or town corporate, &c., 1171.

where venue laid in Berwick-upon-Tweed, 1171.

when made, 1171.

notice of suggestion should be given, 1171.

Of breaches in debt on bond, 1171, 723. See "*Bond*."

Of the death of the parties, 1172.

before final judgment, 1172.

amendment of omission to enter it, 1172.

after final judgment, 1172.

death of defendant in error, 1172.

For costs, 1172.

where defendant entitled to more than usual costs, 1172.

double or treble costs, 1173.

under court of conscience acts, 1173.

how court of conscience acts must be taken advantage of, 1175.

affidavit required, 1202.

attestance by counsel, &c., 1202.

Costs of, 1202.

Order not operative, unless drawn up and served, 1203.

Who may draw up the order, 1203.

Effect of the order, and enforcing of, 1204.

When and how it may be abandoned, 1204.

How impeached, 1204.

by application to court, 1204.

by a summons before a judge, 1205.

when court will interfere or not, 1205.

Orders granted without summons, 1206.

How to proceed if order refused, and party dissatisfied.

Summons, writ of, and proceedings on to compel appearance.

Is the commencement of personal actions, 102.

May be issued against any person, 102.

Form of the writ, 102.

Form given by 2 W. 4, c. 39, must be adopted,

Direction of, and parties' names and residence,

defendant's name in, 103.

name of dignity, 105.

defendant's residence, 105.

plaintiff's name, 106.

Character in which parties sue and are sued, 106.

Addition of parties, 106.

Number of parties, 106.

Form of action must be stated, 107.

omission of, irregular, 107.

variance in declaration from form stated in

Return of, and time for appearance on, 108.

Date of summons, 108.

not to be issued till cause of action complete

Time of, 108.

Summons, writ of, &c., service of, (continued).

privilege, 114.

at what time served, 114.

by whom, 114.

how, 114.

on husband and wife, 115.

on corporation, 115.

on inhabitants of hundred or county, 115.

on printer, &c., of newspaper, 115.

on trading or other company, under 7 W. 4 & 1 V. c. 73, 116.

indorsing day of service, 116.

irregularity in service, 116.

motion to set aside for, 116.

affidavit on motion, 116.

motion when to be made, 117.

plaintiff's proceedings on finding irregularity, 117.

Alias and pluries writs, 117.

Concurrent writs, 118.

Defects in writ, how and when taken advantage of, 118, 119.

Altering writ without leave, 119.

Amendment of writ, 120.

Appearance after service of writ, 121.

when entered by defendant, 121.

when plaintiff may enter it, 121.

how entered by defendant, 122.

how entered by plaintiff, 122.

appearance should state names, &c., correctly, 122.

should follow form in 2 W. 4, c. 39, sched., 123.

undertaking to appear, 123.

Summons and distringas, proceedings by, 124. *See "Distringas."*

Summons on a scire facias, 832, 833, 640; if not made, leave of court to sign judgment requisite, 832, 833, 640.

Summons and severance after error brought, 349.

Summons of jury, on writ of inquiry, 717; on trial at Nisi Prius, 267; on trial before the sheriff, 294.

Sunday, when first day of term, 90; when reckoned in proceedings, 91, 93, 586; not reckoned as one of the days for sci. fa. lying in sheriff's office, 832; arrest cannot be made on, 531; unless after negligent escape, 531; writ cannot be served on, 114; service of declaration in ejectment on, bad, 737; service of rule on, bad, 1188; attachment cannot be executed on, 1270; bail may seize principal on, 531; execution cannot be executed on, 408.

Superfluous counts, striking out, &c., 964.

Supersedeas generally, for prisoner for not declaring, 864; for not proceeding to trial or judgment, 864; for not charging in execution in time, 864; cases where laches no supersedeas, 864; list of prisoners supersedeable, &c., 865; notice to marshal, &c., of cause preventing, 866; discharge of prisoner supersedeable, 866; how supersedeas obtained, &c., 866; effect of supersedeas, 867; supersedeas of outlawry, 920; when a writ of error is a supersedeas of execution, 381; writ of second deliverance, a supersedeas of retorno habendo, 800.

Supplementary affidavit of debt, not allowed, 502; when allowed in other cases, 1185.

Supply, *see* "Bail;" in replevin, *see* "Replevin Bond;" surety becoming a witness, 793.

Surgeon, exempt from being juror, 303.

Surname. *See* "Names."

Surprise, time for plaintiff to inquire as to bail, after, 605; new trial in case of verdict, &c., by, 1092.

Surrebutter, 198.

Surrejoinder, &c., 198.
 Surrender of copyhold, proof of, 222.
 Surveys, how proved, 223.
 Survivorship. See "Death."

T.

Tales, 267.
 Tam quam, writ of error, 351.
 Tarde, return of, &c., 796.
 Taxation of costs, 1162; notice of, 1162; of attorney's bill, 76; costs of taxation, 81.
 Taxes, to be paid in case of execution, 435.
 Tenant, see "Ejectment," "Landlord;" in tail, error by, 347; collusion by, against landlord in ejectment, 748; bound to give landlord notice of ejectment, 752; ejectment by landlord against, 777.
 Tenant in common, service of declaration in ejectment on, 738; consent rule in ejectment by, 751.
 Tender, negative of, in affidavit to hold to bail, 495; where best not to plead it, 969; plea of, is issuable, 163; not allowed to be pleaded with general issue to whole declaration, 174; payment of money into court, on plea of, 981; nonsuit after plea of, 313; of witnesses' expenses, 236; of real, &c., in ejectment, 775, 986; of amends in actions against justices, officers, &c., 913; of amends in involuntary trespasses, 961; in replevin, 361.
 Terms.
 The terms, 90.
 Essoign day, 91.
 Return days, &c., of writs in, 91.
 Term's notice.
 to plead, 155.
 to reply, rejoin, &c., 196.
 to enter issue, 205.
 to move for judgment as in case of a nonsuit, 1076.
 of trial, 210.
 of inquiry, 715.
 of motion, 1187.
 of signing judgment, 331.
 necessary only before verdict, and not as to proceedings after it, 331.
 Term of years, &c., sale of, under fl. fa., 427; extending, &c., of, on elegit, 443.
 Terretenants, elegit against, 440; scire facias and execution against, after death of defendant after final judgment, 819, 1182; the like, after death between verdict and judgment, 822, 823, 1182; the like, after death between interlocutory and final judgment, 823, 1181; the like, on death of one of several defendants, 824, 1182.
 Terriers, how proved, 223.
 Tests of writs. See the different titles of Writs throughout the Index.
 Testatum, fl. fa., 419; ca. sa., 450; aci. fa., 830.
 Thanksgiving day, when reckoned in proceedings, 91.
 Threatening letter, attorney sending, 61; threatening bail, costs, &c., after, 604, n. (t); attachment for threatening prosecutor, &c., 1267; plea of per minus need not be signed, 171.
 Time.
 Computation of, 93.
 Days when exclusive or not, 93.
 Portion of a day, 93.
 Days at Easter, Christmas, &c., 93, 94.
 For putting in bail, 576, 608.
 To inquire after bail, 605.
 For pleading, 153.

Time, (continued).

Further time for pleading, 160.

For replying, 195.

For making up issue, 199, 202.

For giving notice of trial, &c., 207.

Tipstaves, 13.

Tithing-man. See "*Constable*."

Title, of affidavit, 1208; of declaration, 145; of issue, 199; of notice of trial, 208.

Title deeds, not seizable in execution, 426.

Tombstone, examined copy of inscription on, 223.

Tower of London, officers of, when privileged from arrest, 464; execution in, not allowed, 410.

Towns, direction of writs into, 509.

Trade, things fixed for, seizable, &c., under fi. fa., 428.

Traders, subject to bankrupt laws.

Proceedings against, 921.

How compelled to pay, &c., debt, or become bankrupt, 921.

Form of affidavit, &c., 921.

Render by defendant in discharge of bail, 921.

Tradesman's books, proof by entries in, 229, 230.

Trainbearer, 13.

Transcript, rule to transcribe, abolished, 368; nonpros for not transcribing, 369; in what cases amended, 368, 369; when necessary to remit it to court below, before execution sued out, 380.

Transmitting bail-piece, 610.

Treasurer of company, execution against, 401.

Treble costs. See "*Double Costs*."

Treble damages, 327.

Trespass, jurisdiction of the court in, 1; plea in, 187; payment into court in, 970; staying proceedings on payment of damages, &c., 985; damages in, 320; for mesne profits, 786; judgment in, 335; judgment by default in, interlocutory, 701; writ of inquiry in, 711; proof of damages in execution of writ of inquiry in, 718, 719; costs in, 1139, 1140; execution in, 400.

Trial, notice of.

What notice necessary.

in Middlesex, 205.

in London, 206.

at assizes, 206.

before sheriff, &c., 206.

short notice of trial, what, 206.

holidays, how reckoned in notice of, 207.

In what cases unnecessary, 207.

When to be given, 207.

To whom to be given, 208.

Form of 208.

conflicting notices, 209.

where one defendant suffers judgment, 209.

Notice of, by continuance, 209.

must be two clear days, 209.

only once a term, 209.

not used in country causes, 209.

not after countermand, 209.

when deemed an original notice, 209.

Notice after *ne recipiatur*, 209.

Term's notice of intention to proceed, 210.

Notice of countermand, 210; neglect to give, 211.

New notice, when necessary, &c., 211.

Irregular or void notice, 211; not waived by retaining it, 212.

withdrawing and setting aside, 265.
 illegal and frivolous causes, 265.
 trial where issue not joined, 266.
 Withdrawing record, 266.
 Re-entry of record, 266.
 Attendance of the parties at the trial, 266.
 Jury, how called and sworn, 267.
 viewers, 267.
 challenges, 267.
 tales, 267, 268.
 Opening of pleadings, right to begin and reply, 268.
 Statement of the case, &c., by counsel, 271.
 Examination of witnesses, 272. *See "Witnesses."*
 Cross-examination of, 277.
 Re-examination of, 277.
 Further evidence, or recalling witnesses, after plaintiff
 278.
 Right to reply on objections taken during the trial, 278.
 The defence, 279.
 The reply, 279.
 The summing up, 280.
 Amendments of variances at trial, 280.
 Special finding under 3 & 4 W. 4, c. 42, and judgment th
 Withdrawing a juror, 285.
 Plea puis darrein continuance, 286.
 Bill of exceptions at, 286.
 Demurrer to evidence, 286.
 Nonsuit, 286.
 Verdict, how given, 286. *See "Verdict."*
 Certificate for speedy execution, 289, 290.
 Trial before the sheriff.
 Statutes as to, and to what cases they extend, 292.
 Extends only to actions for debt or demand not exceedin

- Trial before the sheriff, (*continued*).
 Judgment and execution on, 296.
 Judgment as in case of a nonsuit, 296.
 Judgment and execution, &c., 296.
 Costs on, 297.
 Obtaining sheriff's notes of trial, 297.
 New trial after, 297, 298.
 Trial by proviso, 299.
 Arrest of judgment after, 299.
 Trial lost, in what cases, 569.
 Trial by proviso, 1065. *See "Proviso."*
 Trial, on plea of abatement, 301; who to begin on, 268.
 Trial, in ejectment, 758, 781.
 Trial in replevin, 807.
 Trial in scire facias, 836.
 Trial in actions against prisoners, 855.
 Trial, upon nul tiel record pleaded, 670.
 Trial, putting off, 1061. *See "Putting off the Trial."*
 Trial, costs for not proceeding to.
 in what cases, 1067.
 where delay of party, 1067.
 where delay of court, 1067.
 excuse of such costs, 1067.
 when and how obtained, 1068.
 rule for no stay of proceedings, 1068.
 execution for, under 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, s. 18, 1069.
 as to obtaining costs against lessor of plaintiff in ejectment, 771.
 Trial, new. *See "New Trial."*
 Trifling actions, to what amount court will hold cognizance, 994; staying proceedings in, 994; new trial in, 1096.
 Trover, affidavit to hold to bail in, 484; plea in, 192; payment into court in, 971; staying proceedings on restoring goods, &c., 988; notice to produce in, 231; damages in, 320; judgment in, 330; execution in, 400; sheriff may maintain, 439.
 Trustee, attorney holding paper as, 65; co-plaintiff fraudulently releasing, 299, n. (r), security for costs, &c., when action in name of, without consent, &c., 996, 755, relation of judgment as to trust estate, 341, 342; seizing goods of, on marriage settlement, 430; extending trust estate, 443.
 Turnkey of prison, delivery of papers, &c., to, for prisoner, 855; duties, &c., of, 863; turnkey of marshal not to be an articulated clerk, &c., 21; remedy for misconduct of, 546.
 Turnpike road, execution against clerk of, 402.

U.

- Umpirage, 1234. *See "Arbitration."*
 Undefended causes, list, &c., and time for trial of, 265; nonsuit in, 313.
 Under-sheriff, attorney cannot be, 49; duties and disabilities, 14.
 Undertaking, of an attorney, 58; when enforceable, 58; of a third person for defendant's appearance, &c., 537; to pay attorney's claim against third person, 59; to give material evidence on bringing back venue, 961; on discharging rule for judgment as in case of a nonsuit, 1077.
 Unliquidated damages. *See "Liquidated Damages."*
 Unnecessary counts, &c., 964. *See "Striking out" &c.*
 Use and occupation, affidavit to hold to bail for, 437; inquiry in, after judgment by default, 711.
 Usher of the court, 11.
 Usury, warrant of attorney set aside for, 689.

V.

- Vacant possession**, what is, 770; ejectment on, 770.
- Vacation**, sittings in, 97; *venire facias*, may be returnable in, 250, 251; writ of summons and *capias* may be tested in, 108, 517; so may writs of execution, 404; judgment by default may be signed in, 702.
- Variance**, between affidavit and process, &c., 501; between affidavit of debt and declaration, &c., 631; between summons and *distringas*, 126; between summons and declaration, 107, 144; between judgment and execution, 400; between the issue and declaration, &c., 203, 1084; between the writ of error and the record, 352; amendment of, at *Nisi Prius*, 260.
- Venditioni exponas**, writ of, 436; on special *capias* *utlagatum*, 933.
- Vendor and purchaser**, see "*Goods sold*," "*Purchaser*;" affidavit to hold to bail by, on sale of estate, 469; particulars of defects of title, &c., in action by vendee against vendor, 1033.
- Venire**, 250. See "*Jury Process*."
- Venire de novo**, 1106, 324.
- Venue**, in action on bail-bond, 562; in a *sci. fa.*, 830; in actions against attorneys and officers, 846; in actions against justices, officers, &c., 912.
- Venue**, change of.
- How, and in what cases, by defendant, 956.
 - on the common affidavit, 956, 958.
 - at what time applied for, 957.
 - where cause of action arose in several counties, 957.
 - persons privileged as to venue, 959.
 - on special grounds, 959.
 - in local actions, 960, 200.
 - rule not a stay of proceedings, 960.
 - Into what counties, 960.
 - How and in what cases brought back.
 - on an undertaking, 961.
 - what material evidence, &c., 961.
 - to what time undertaking refers, 962.
 - when brought back, &c., without an undertaking, 962.
 - In what cases changed by plaintiff, 963.
- Verdict generally.**
- How given, 286.
 - jury to be kept together without meat or drink, 286.
 - where they cannot agree, 287.
 - casting lots for their verdict, 287.
 - withdrawing and receiving further evidence, 287.
 - irregularity to be stated on record, 288.
 - juror taken ill, 288.
 - calling on another cause where jury long absent, 288.
 - verdict, when, where, and how delivered, 288.
 - verdict against evidence, 289.
 - verdict found on juror's own knowledge of case, 289.
 - General verdict, 315.
 - where it must be on all the issues, 315.
 - where against all the defendants, 315.
 - where on some counts only, 316.
 - on distributive pleas, 316.
 - Special verdict, 316.
 - how framed and settled, 317.
 - how set down for argument, 317.
 - argument of, 318.
 - construction of, 318.
 - amendment of, 318.

- Verdict generally, (*continued*).
 venire de novo, on, 318.
 judgment, &c., on, 318.
 damages in general, 320. *See* "*Damages.*"
- Verdict in ejectment, 759, 775.
- Verdict in replevin, 807.
- Verdict in actions against executors, 875.
- Verdict taken subject to an award, 1260 ; award of, 1242.
- Vexatious action, staying proceedings in, 994 ; new trial not granted in, 1096.
- Vicecomes non misit breve, continuances by, abolished, 251.
- View, how and in what cases, 256 ; jury on, how called and sworn, 267.
- Void writ, distinction between irregular and void writ, 1049 ; amendment of, not allowed, 1118 ; purchaser at sale under, gains no title, 403 ; part of void warrant held good, 691 ; so of an award, 1249 ; execution without a sci. fa. *semble* not void but voidable, 819.
- Voluntary escape, 543. *See* "*Escape.*"
- Voters at an election not privileged from arrest, 466.

W.

- Wager, when judge not bound to try cause pending on, 265.
- Waiver of privilege, by attorney or officer of court, 446, 447.
- Waiver of irregularity, &c., in affidavit to hold to bail, 1046 ; in writ, &c., 1046 ; in notice of bail, 1047 ; in appearance, 1047 ; in declaration, 1046 ; of demand of plea, 160 ; of irregular plea, &c., 1048 ; of irregularity, &c., in plea in abatement, 655 ; in issue, not agreeing with declaration, &c., 1048 ; in notice of trial, 1048 ; in service of rule nisi, 1190 ; in judgment by attending taxation, 1048 ; of supersedeas by prisoner, 1048 ; of irregularity, &c., in attending an arbitrator, 1234 ; a *nullity* cannot be waived, 1049.
- Waiver of costs to obtain speedy execution, 334.
- Waiver of lien, by seizing goods in execution, 432.
- Waiver of judgment by default, 703.
- Waiver of woman in outlawry, 927.
- Wales, attornies, &c., of courts of, may be admitted in superior courts, 42 ; directions of writs to sheriffs in, 509 ; in what cases venire directed to sheriff of adjoining English county, 201.
- Warden of Fleet, 13 ; action against, for escape, &c. *See* "*Marshal.*"
- Warning on writ of *capias*, 507.
- Warrant to sue or defend, 50 ; entry of abolished, 50 ; how long it continues in force, 53 ; want of, aided after verdict, 1117 ; amendment of, 1117.
- Warrant of sheriff, in general, 15 ; on a *capias*, 524 ; when to be made, 525 ; on final process, how made, 420 ; shewing of, 410 ; not to be executed unless made out, 410 ; on a *distringas*, 129.
- Warrant upon an attachment, 557.
- Warrant of attorney, judgment upon a.
 What, and form of, &c., 682.
 when given, 682.
 by whom, 682.
 consideration for, 682, 689 to 692.
 by one partner, 682.
 stamp on, 682.
 defeazance to be written on same paper, 682.
 where filed, defeazance as to, 683, 692.
 clause dispensing with sci. fa., 683.
- How executed, 683.
- How attested, 683.
 stat. 1 & 2 Vict. c. 110, sects. 9, 10, as to, 684.
 R. H., 2 W. 4, c. 72, as to, 684.

effect of marriage of parties on, 689.
When ordered to be given up and cancelled, 689.
where the consideration is illegal or fraudulent, 68
Irish judgment, 690.
where warrant has been forged or altered, 690.
where given by an infant, 690.
by a married woman, 691.
by one of several executors, 691.
by a lunatic, 691.
where another security is given, 691.
where good in part, and bad in part, 691.
application, by whom to be made, 691.
costs, 692.
In what cases filed, 692.
The judgment on, 692.
when to be signed, 692.
when leave of court or judge necessary before signi
application, how made, 693.
affidavit in support of, 694.
must shew defendant is alive, 694.
affidavit of attesting witness in general necessary, &
original warrant must be forthcoming, 696.
affidavit must shew that a debt exists, 696.
alien enemy, 696.
consequences of signing judgment without leave, &
Judgment, how signed, &c., 697.
reasons for docketting judgment immediately, 337,
form of, 697.
must pursue warrant, 697.
effect of release of errors, 697.
Execution, &c., on, 698.
when it may be issued, 698.
for what amount and when set aside for excess. 698

- Withdrawing a juror, 285; costs on, 285.
 Withdrawing pleas not allowed, without leave, and consequence of, 180; replication, 197; in replevin, 806; withdrawing pleas by executor, &c., 873.
 Withdrawing record, 266.
 Witness.
 In an action, what necessary. *See "Evidence."*
 On writ of inquiry, 717.
 Subpoena of, 232.
 Subpoena duces tecum, 233.
 Habeas corpus ad testificandum, where witness in custody, 233.
 Privilege from arrest, 234.
 Penalty and consequences of not obeying subpoena, 234.
 Expenses of, 236.
 Tender, and remedy for, 237.
 Examination of, on interrogatories, 237. *See "Interrogatories."*
 Examination of, 272.
 on voir dire, 273.
 where parties defend separately, 272.
 witness called on subpoena, 273.
 leading questions, 273.
 time for objecting to competency of witness, 273.
 questions as to incompetency from interest, 273.
 questions as to, from crime, 274.
 questions impeaching his character, 274.
 indorsing name of interested witness on record, 274.
 witness must only speak to facts within his own knowledge, 276.
 opinion admissible on questions of science, 276.
 contradicting witnesses, 276.
 ordering witnesses out of court, 277.
 Cross-examination, 277.
 Re-examination, 277.
 Putting off trial for absence of, 1061.
 New trial for absence, &c. of, 1093.
 New trial for perjury, &c. of, 1093.
 Witness on an arbitration, 1225.
 Witness to assignment of bail-bond, 560; bail cannot be a witness until his name be struck out of the bail-piece, 634; may be struck out, 634; becoming interested need not be called, 227.
 Women, *see "Baron and Feme,"* cannot serve in general on juries, 303; seizure of goods of, when cohabiting with defendant, 430.
 Worcester, direction of writs to, 509.
 Work and labour, affidavit to hold to bail for, 487.
 Writ.
 Officer must indorse on, the time of filing it, 551.
 Blank writs not to be sealed, &c., 13.
 Sheriff not to execute writ until delivered, 15.
 Return of by sheriff, &c., 15, 547.
 Rule or order to return, 547.
 Attachment for not returning, 556.
 Proof by, 217.
 Calling on opposite party to admit, 212.
 Writ of capias, on mesne process, 506.
 Writ of capias ad satisfaciendum, 448. *See "Capias ad Satisfaciendum."*
 Writ of capias in withernam, 794, 809.
 Writ of distringas on mesne process, 124. *See "Distringas, Writ of."*
 Writ of error, 345. *See "Error, Writ of."*
 Writ of exigi facias, 928.
 Writ of inquiry, generally, 707. *See "Inquiry, Writ of."*
 Writ of possession, in ejectment, 765.
 Writ of privilege, 468.

Writ de proprietate probandâ, 795.
 Writ of protection, 528.
 Writ of replegiari facias, 792.
 Writ de retorno habendo, 800, 806.
 Writ of second deliverance, 800, 806.
 Writ of summons, 102. *See* "*Summons, Writ of.*"
 Writ of venditioni exponas, 436; in outlawry, 932. *See* "*Venditioni Exponas.*"
 Writ of venire facias juratores, 249.
 Writings, not under seal, how proved, &c., 238; what scizable in execution, 466.

Y.

Year, *see* "*Time;*" computation of, 93; affidavit to hold to bail only in force for, 497; other affidavits good though more than a year old, 1218; statement of, in capias, 507; in writ of summons, 108; not necessary in judge's summons, 1199; plaintiff must declare in, 137; suing out execution in, 396, 420, 444, 450; scire facias to revive judgment after, 817.
 Yeomen of guard, &c., when privileged from arrest, 464.
 York, direction of writs to, 508; registry of judgment to bind lands in, 341.

FINIS.







